



## Recognising our Reviewers for *Chemical Science*

Cite this: *Chem. Sci.*, 2019, 10, 1901

DOI: 10.1039/c9sc90026h

www.rsc.org/chemicalscience

We would like to take this opportunity to thank all of *Chemical Science's* reviewers. In particular, we would like to highlight the outstanding reviewers for the journal from 2018, for their significant contribution to *Chemical Science*.

We announce a selection of our highest-contributing reviewers annually and each receives a certificate to give recognition for their contribution. These reviewers have been chosen based on the number, timeliness and quality of the reports completed during 2018.

### Outstanding *Chemical Science* Reviewers in 2018

Professor Christian Hackenberger  
Leibniz-Institut für Molekulare  
Pharmakologie and Humboldt  
Universität zu Berlin, Germany  
ORCID: 0000-0001-7457-4742

Professor Ben Zhong Tang  
The Hong Kong University of Science  
and Technology, Hong Kong  
ORCID: 0000-0002-0293-964X

Professor Huimin Ma  
Institute of Chemistry,  
Chinese Academy of Sciences,  
China  
ORCID: 0000-0001-6155-9076

Professor Juyoung Yoon  
Ewha Womans University,  
Republic of Korea  
ORCID: 0000-0002-1728-3970

Professor Lingxin Chen  
Chinese Academy of Sciences,  
Yantai Institute of Coastal Zone  
Research, China  
ORCID: 0000-0002-3764-3515

Professor Zhuang Liu  
Soochow University, China  
ORCID: 0000-0002-1629-1039

Dr Lin Yuan  
Hunan University, China  
ORCID: 0000-0002-1015-5319

Professor Dr Frank Glorius  
Universität Münster, Germany  
ORCID: 0000-0002-0648-956X

Dr Phillip Christopher  
University of California Santa Barbara,  
USA  
ORCID: 0000-0002-4898-5510

Dr Xinliang Feng  
TU Dresden, Germany  
ORCID: 0000-0003-3885-2703

Dr Xiong Wen Lou  
Nanyang Technological University,  
Singapore  
ORCID: 0000-0002-5557-4437

Dr Gustav Berggren  
Uppsala University, Sweden  
ORCID: 0000-0002-6717-6612

Professor Abhishek Dey  
Indian Association for the Cultivation  
of Science, India  
ORCID: 0000-0002-9166-3349

Professor Shunichi Fukuzumi  
Osaka University, Japan  
ORCID: 0000-0002-3559-4107

Dr David Harris  
Northwestern University, USA  
ORCID: 0000-0003-4144-900X

Professor Jian-Hui Jiang  
Hunan University, China  
ORCID: 0000-0003-1594-4023

Professor Jong Seung Kim  
Korea University, Republic of Korea  
ORCID: 0000-0003-3477-1172

Professor Dr Burkhard Koenig  
Universität Regensburg, Germany  
ORCID: 0000-0002-6131-4850

Professor Bo Tang  
Shangdong Normal University, China  
ORCID: 0000-0002-8712-7025

Professor Francois Gabbai  
Texas A & M University, USA  
ORCID: 0000-0003-4788-2998



Professor Ramesh Giri  
University of New Mexico, USA  
ORCID: 0000-0002-8993-9131

Professor Chuan He  
University of Chicago, USA  
ORCID: 0000-0003-4319-7424

Dr Weiyang Lin  
University of Jinan, China  
ORCID: 0000-0001-8080-4102

Dr Zhihong Nie  
Fudan University, China  
ORCID: 0000-0001-9639-905X

Dr Yoshiaki Nishibayashi  
University of Tokyo, Japan  
ORCID: 0000-0001-9739-9588

Professor Zhiyong Tang  
National Center for Nanoscience  
and Nanotechnology, China  
ORCID: 0000-0003-0610-0064

Dr Igor Alabugin  
Florida State University, USA  
ORCID: 0000-0001-9289-3819

Professor Chris Chang  
University of California, Berkeley, USA  
ORCID: 0000-0001-5732-9497

Professor Dr Martin Oestreich  
Technische Universität Berlin,  
Germany  
ORCID: 0000-0002-1487-9218

Dr Kanyi Pu  
Nanyang Technological University,  
Singapore  
ORCID: 0000-0002-8064-6009

Professor Jeremy Smith  
Indiana University, USA  
ORCID: 0000-0002-3206-4725

Professor Lai-Sheng Wang  
Brown University, USA  
ORCID: 0000-0003-1816-5738

Dr Xinchun Wang  
Fuzhou University, China  
ORCID: 0000-0002-2490-3568

Dr Julia Weinstein  
University of Sheffield, UK  
ORCID: 0000-0001-6883-72X

In past years, we have highlighted ten of our outstanding reviewers, however this year we would like to do something a little extra for *Chemical Science*. Not only have we extended this list to include more of our exceptional reviewers, as above, but we would also like to offer them the option of accepting a digital badge from us.

## What are Digital Badges?

A growing list of employers, funders and associations are starting to use digital badges to help individuals show evidence of their experience and expertise online, in a simple, easy-to-digest way.

Through recognising a small section of our most dedicated reviewers for the journal from 2018, we will be exploring whether the use of digital badges is a valuable way of acknowledging the considerable efforts of our reviewers, over the course of a six-month trial.

For the purposes of the trial, we will be awarding an Exceptional Peer Reviewer badge to a selection of reviewers who have completed three or more reviews in the last 12 months. We'll be aiming to offer additional badges to those reviewers who pass this milestone on a regular basis throughout the trial.



- A badge acts as a verifiable, online certificate of achievement.

- It describes the nature of a credential, and in this case will provide evidence

that someone has completed a high level of reviewing for *Chemical Science*.

- This information sits locked behind and securely linked to the badge image, making the badge unique to the reviewer.

- Badges use the Open Badge Standard, which means that while anyone clicking on your badge can read the information to find out more about your credential, they cannot access or change it.

A digital badge can be displayed and shared as part of a reviewer's professional record, and can identify them as someone who has contributed a high level of reviewing for our flagship journal, *Chemical Science*. The badge can be shared on social media (including Facebook and LinkedIn), on blogs and personal websites, and in email signatures.

As the badge is awarded for the level of reviewing over a period of 12 months and there is no information given on individual manuscripts or when reviews were completed, the anonymity of the reviewer is maintained at all times.

We will shortly be contacting a selection of our reviewers from last year, with how they can claim their digital badge, and details of how this can be shared online. The digital badges will be provided by our partner company, DigitalMe, who have experience and expertise in enabling organisations to offer digital credentials.

At the end of this pilot, we will be gathering reviewers' experience of using these digital badges to consider whether to use this more widely, to create a consistent system of recognition for our reviewer community.

## What else do we offer our reviewers?

There is also an alternative way of recording the reviewing work that you do for *Chemical Science*, and other RSC journals. Following positive feedback from community, we are continuing our partnership with Publons by making this service available across all of our journals.



Publons is a service that reviewers can sign up for and record their peer review history, as a way of “getting credit for peer review”. Their mission is to speed up research by harnessing the power of great peer review, working with publishers, institutions and researchers to turn peer review into a measurable output that can be used to demonstrate a researcher’s standing, impact and influence in their field.

## How does Publons work?

When submitting a review for an RSC journal, reviewers are asked if they want to opt in to Publons; they can then instantly start building a verified peer review and editorial record to showcase the full extent of their contributions. Publons tracks reviews without compromising reviewer anonymity, by default, only the year of the review and the journal title will be shown on reviewer profiles for our journals.

Publons gives access to a range of tools and data to benchmark reviewing and editorial activity, and provides up-to-date

verified evidence of peer review contributions, which can be included on CVs and in funding applications. There is also the option to link your Publons record to your ORCID account to show your publication and peer review activity together.

## Interested in becoming a peer-reviewer?

With a pool of over 5000 reviewers for *Chemical Science* in 2018 alone, they are drawn from across the chemical sciences community. However we are always looking for new reviewers for our journals, so if you are interested in reviewing for us, please do contact the relevant journal office and provide up-to-date CV or résumé. Reviewers are selected for their expertise and experience and as a minimum, we are looking for people who:

- are qualified to PhD level (or equivalent) or above in a relevant subject.
- are current active researchers.

- have one or more recent publications in peer-reviewed journals of comparable impact and reputation to our journals.

General guidance for reviewers on the procedure and requirements to assess manuscripts submitted to journals published by the Royal Society of Chemistry, can be found on our website, where you can read and download our guide providing our top tips for peer reviewers.

Further benefits for being a reviewer for our journals include a 25% discount on all books published by the Royal Society of Chemistry.

To find out more about how we are using digital badges or any other ways we are recognising our reviewers, please do not hesitate to get in touch at [chemicalscience-rsc@rsc.org](mailto:chemicalscience-rsc@rsc.org).

May Copsey  
Executive Editor, *Chemical Science*

