# **Materials** Advances

# PAPER



Cite this: *Mater. Adv.*, 2020, 1, 1448

Received 16th May 2020, Accepted 7th July 2020

DOI: 10.1039/d0ma00318b

[rsc.li/materials-advances](http://rsc.li/materials-advances)

## 1. Introduction

With the continuous development of life sciences and medicine, the exploration of various life metabolism activities has become more and more in-depth.<sup>1</sup> In recent years, the intersection of synthetic chemistry and biological imaging has continuously promoted the collaborative development of high-quality optical instruments and fluorogenic probes, thus providing a powerful chemical detection tool for the study of basic aspects of cell physiology.2 Fluorescence probes exhibit several advantageous properties, such as robust response, high sensitivity, real-time and intuitive, visible detection, $3$  and are powerful tools for studying biochemical processes and diagnosing disease biomarkers.4

A turn-on fluorogenic probe generally contains a receptor group and a quenched fluorescent group. The probe itself emits no or weak fluorescence and exhibits fluorescence enhancement only when the recognition group interacts with the specific



Yao Lu, $\ddagger$ <sup>a</sup> Bo Peng, $\ddagger$ <sup>b</sup> Xinghan Qiu, $\ddagger$ <sup>a</sup> Xin Li,<sup>b</sup> Zheng Li,<sup>a</sup> Duoteng Zhang,<sup>a</sup> Wenhui Ji,<sup>a</sup> Bin Fang,<sup>b</sup> Qiong Wu,<sup>a</sup> Chengwu Zhang,  $*$ <sup>a</sup> Lin Li  $\bullet$  \*a and Wei Huang<sup>ab</sup>

Parkinson's disease (PD) is the second most common neurodegenerative disease worldwide and the abnormal level of hydrogen peroxide (H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>) in the dopaminergic neurons is strongly implicated in the progression of PD. Turn-on fluorogenic probes have been used to detect various biomarkers such as H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> due to their high sensitivity and low background fluorescence. However, the complicated design and synthesis of fluorogenic probes limit the development of such excellent biochemical tools. Herein, we proposed a multicomponent one-pot reaction system using POCl<sub>3</sub> as the core and by changing specific receptor groups, different phosphate ester-based fluorogenic probes could be assembled to detect various target analytes. Based on this platform, we synthesized a hydrogen peroxide (H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>) probe **UFPS-1** that is able to selectively and sensitively detect  $H_2O_2$  with near-red emission and large Stokes shift. More importantly, UFPS-1 monitored  $H_2O_2$  in a quantitative manner in both in vitro and in vivo PD models, suggesting that UFPS-1 could potentially be utilized for the diagnosis of PD. **PAPER**<br> **Consider the continuous and the continuous consider the continuous consider the c** 

analyte.5 Small-molecule fluorogenic probes have shown to be selective and sensitive to specific intracellular analytes and can be used to detect physiological and pathological processes in cells with high fidelity in space and time.<sup>6</sup> In recent years, Xiong *et al.* designed an acetate-based NIR fluorogenic probe for the in situ detection of hydrogen peroxide in tumors.<sup>7</sup> Huang et al. modified the NIR hemicyanine framework with a benzil moiety and designed a two-photon fluorogenic probe that can monitor hydrogen peroxide in an organism in real time.<sup>8</sup> Fluorogenic probe imaging technology has developed into one of the most effective methods for real-time detection of life activities.

However, different types of probes for various target analytes have been designed and proposed in recent decades but in the face of a complex system of disease biomarkers, the design and synthesis of fluorogenic probes in a convenient, simple, and universal way has always been missing.

One-pot multicomponent reaction has been widely used in compound library constructions. For instance, phosphorus oxychloride  $(POCl<sub>3</sub>)$  as the core is able to produce a structurally diverse phosphate ester-based small molecule drug library.<sup>9</sup> Compounds with nucleophilic groups (e.g., hydroxyl or amine groups) are able to rapidly replace the chloride group and form a tri-ester phosphate.<sup>10</sup> Therefore, POCl<sub>3</sub>-based one-pot multicomponent reaction is versatile to various functional groups and can facilitate the generation of a structurally-diverse phosphate ester library. Notably, phosphate esters are the key components of important molecules, such as DNA, RNA, and ATP. In addition,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Key Laboratory of Flexible Electronics (KLOFE) & Institute of Advanced Materials (IAM), Nanjing Tech University (Nan-jingTech), 30 South Puzhu Road, Nanjing, 211816,

P. R. China. E-mail: iamcwzhang@njtech.edu.cn, iamlli@njtech.edu.cn  $b$  Frontiers Science Center for Flexible Electronics, Xi'an Institute of Flexible

Electronics (IFE) and Xi'an Institute of Biomedical Materials & Engineering,

Northwestern Polytechnical University, 127 West Youyi Road, Xi'an 710072, China † Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: The synthetic procedure and <sup>1</sup>H NMR, <sup>13</sup>C NMR, HRMS for UFPS-1, experimental details, Fig. S1-S13. See DOI: 10.1039/d0ma00318b

<sup>‡</sup> Authors are equally contributed.



upon activation, phosphate esters undergo hydrolysis rapidly. Therefore, phosphate esters are commonly used as the core in various types of fluorogenic probes, all of which possess high bioavailability, water solubility, and excellent reaction kinetics.<sup>11</sup> Although one-pot multicomponent reactions are extremely useful in drug discovery, $12$  they have been rarely used in the synthesis of fluorogenic probe library.

Herein, using phosphate esterification reaction of POCl<sub>3</sub> with a fluorophore and different receptor groups, we were able to utilize a one-step multicomponent reaction to efficiently provide the fluorogenic probes (Scheme 1) and we term this novel platform as a universal fluorogenic probe system (UFPS). As a proof-of-concept, we conjugated the borate ester group as the receptor group and pyrimidine as the fluorophore, and by using  $POCl<sub>3</sub>$  one-pot reaction, we prepared our first "prototype" UFPS-1 for  $H_2O_2$  detection (Scheme 1 and Fig. S1, ESI†). The UFPS-1 probe exhibits remarkable sensitivity and selectivity towards  $H_2O_2$ . We observed that  $H_2O_2$ , as a kind of reactive oxygen species (ROS), is closely related to many diseases such as Parkinson's disease (PD) in the process of oxidative stress.<sup>13</sup> Therefore, we tried to apply UFPS-1 to PD animal models and successfully detected endogenous  $H_2O_2$  in the in vitro and in vivo PD models. Moreover, the fluorescence dye of UFPS-1 shows a large Stokes shift at near-red emission and excellent turn-on fluorescent property. Therefore, we speculate that by changing the specific receptor groups, this UFPS platform will be able to robustly generate a phosphate ester-based fluorogenic probe library for detecting various biochemical processes and analytes.

### 2. Experimental section

#### 2.1. Materials and methods

All the chemicals were purchased from Aldrich or TCI based in China and used without further purification, unless otherwise stated. All the non-aqueous reactions were carried out at nitrogen atmosphere in oven-dried glassware. The reaction progress was monitored by thin layer chromatography (TLC) on pre-coated silica plates (250 µmol  $L^{-1}$  thickness, purchased from Qingdao

Haiyang Chemical Co.) and the spots were visualized by UV light or iodine. Flash column chromatography (200–300 mesh) was carried out using silica gel bought from Qingdao Haiyang Chemical Co. The  ${}^{1}$ H NMR and  ${}^{13}$ C NMR spectra were acquired over a Bruker AV-500 spectrometer using  $CDCl<sub>3</sub>, CD<sub>3</sub>OD, CD<sub>3</sub>CN$ , or  $(CD_3)_2$ SO as the solvent. Chemical shifts were reported in parts per million referenced with respect to the residual solvent  $(CDCl_3 = 7.26$  ppm,  $CD_3OD = 3.31$  ppm,  $CD_3CN = 1.94$  ppm, and  $(CD_3)_2$ SO = 2.50 ppm for <sup>1</sup>H NMR; CDCl<sub>3</sub> = 77.16 ppm, CD<sub>3</sub>OD = 49.00 ppm,  $CD_3CN = 1.32$  ppm and  $(CD_3)_2SO = 39.52$  ppm for  $^{13}C$  NMR). <sup>1</sup>H NMR coupling constants (*J*) were reported in Hertz (Hz) and the multiplicity is indicated as follows: s (singlet), d (doublet), t (triplet), m (multiplet), and dd (doublet of doublets). High resolution mass spectra (HRMS) were obtained using an LTQ Orbitrap XL hybrid FTMS (Fourier Transform Mass Spectrometer) by Thermo Fisher Scientific. Fluorescence spectra were recorded using a HITACHI F4600 fluorescence spectrophotometer with excitation slit widths of 5 nm and emission slit widths of 10 nm. Analytical HPLC (Shimadzu LC-20AD) and mass spectra were recorded on a Shimadzu LC-ESI system equipped with a prominence RF-20A fluorescence detector, an SPD-M20A UV-Vis detector, and an autosampler, using reverse-phase Hypersil Gold 5 µm C18 100 Å 250  $\times$  4.6 mm columns. 0.1% FA/H<sub>2</sub>O and 0.1% FA/acetonitrile were used as the eluents (flow rate was 1 mL  $min^{-1}$ ). All measurements were performed at room temperature. All the images were acquired on a Zeiss LSM880 NLO  $(2 + 1)$  with BIG) confocal microscope system equipped with objective LD C-Apochromat  $63\times/1.15$  W Corr M27, cell incubator with temperature control resolution  $\pm 0.1$  °C, 405 nm diode laser, argon ion laser (458, 488, and 514 nm), HeNe laser (543 and 594 nm), Rack LSM 880 including a 633 nm laser, and a spectra physics femtosecond Ti:sapphire laser  $({\sim}4 \text{ W at } 800 \text{ nm})$ , which corresponded to approximately 1% ( $\sim$  40 mW at 800 nm, the output laser pulses have a tunable center wavelength in the range from 690 nm to 1040 nm with a pulse duration of  $150 <$  fs and a repetition rate of 80 MHz) average power in the focal plane as the excitation source, with the main beam splitter wheel VIS equipped for ROGB lasers/Axio imager beam coupling optics for NLO and 405 nm laser and 8 channels AOTF for simultaneous control of 8 laser lines. Band-pass filters were

used in one-photon imaging. Internal photomultiplier tubes were used to collect the signals in 8-bit unsigned 1024  $\times$ 1024 pixels at a scan speed of 200 Hz. The images were processed with a Zeiss User PC Advanced for the LSM system (BLUE).

As for the cell imaging, the 543 nm laser was used with a 1AU pinhole to get higher resolution. The voltage was 18% and a bandpass filter for the 543 nm laser was used. As for Drosophila brain imaging, 543 nm wavelength was used, the voltage was 10%, and a band-pass filter for the 543 nm wavelength was used. Z-Stack model was used and the frame time was 0.63 s for one photo. All the photos have two channels, the fluorescence field and the bright field. We used the fluorescence channel for analysis.

#### 2.2. Synthesis of probe UFPS-1

Compound PB-OH was synthesized according to the reported literature.<sup>14</sup>

PB-OH (116 mg, 0.3 mmol) was dissolved in 10 mL pyridine and phosphorus oxychloride (85  $\mu$ L, 0.9 mmol) was added dropwise, and the mixture was stirred for 2 h until the solution was clarified. The flask was then placed in a cold trap at  $-$ 40  $^{\circ}$ C, and 4-(hydroxymethyl)phenylboronic acid pinacol ester (0.69 g, 3 mmol) was dissolved in pyridine with slow dropwise addition to the reaction system, and the mixture was stirred at room temperature for 3 h. The reaction system was placed at  $-20\ ^\circ\text{C},$ quenched by adding 2 mL of water, extracted with ethyl acetate, and the organic phase was evaporated. The residue was purified with silica gel column chromatography to afford UFPS-1 as a pale orange-yellow solid (72.7 mg, yield 27%), as shown in Fig. S1, ESI.† Materials Advances<br>
used to collect the signals in bifomaging incorrection the signals of the collect the signals are the sig

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>, ppm)  $\delta$  = 7.82 (m, 6H), 7.45  $(d, J = 8.75 \text{ Hz}, 4\text{H})$ , 7.39  $(d, J = 7.85 \text{ Hz}, 4\text{H})$ , 6.98  $(s, 1\text{H})$ , 6.80  $(d,$  $J = 15.8$  Hz, 2H), 6.69 (d,  $J = 8.75$  Hz, 4H), 5.39 (d,  $J = 7.25$  Hz, 4H), 3.01 (s, 12H), 1.33 (s, 24H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>, ppm)  $\delta$  = 166.29, 151.25, 138.95, 138.88, 138.40, 134.98, 129.36, 126.80, 123.58, 120.14, 112.23, 112.02, 83.80, 70.02, 40.21, 24.87.

#### 2.3. General procedure for fluorescence measurement

The stock solution of UFPS-1 (10 mM) was prepared in DMSO. The 2, 10, and 20  $\mu$ M solutions of UFPS-1 were prepared in phosphate buffered saline (PBS) buffer (10 mM, pH = 7.4, 0.02% Triton X-100). Deionized water was used throughout the experiment. Various ROS and RNS species were prepared according to the reported literature.15 The solutions of anions and amino acid were prepared from the corresponding salts and the concentration was varied in the range from 10 to 100  $\mu$ M.

#### 2.4. Quantum yield measurement

Quantum yield was determined using rhodamine B as a standard. Fluorescence quantum yield was determined by the following equation:

$$
\varPhi_{\rm X} = \varPhi_{\rm ST}{\left(\frac{A_{\rm ST}}{A_{\rm X}}\right)}{\left(\frac{F_{\rm X}}{F_{\rm ST}}\right)}{\left(\frac{\eta_{\rm X}}{\eta_{\rm ST}}\right)}^2
$$

wherein,  $\Phi$  is the quantum yield, A is the absorbance at the excitation wavelength (A was kept at  $\leq 0.05$  during the

fluorescence measurements to avoid self-quenching),  $F$  is the fluorescence intensity at the excitation wavelength, and  $\eta$  is the refractive index of the solvent. The subscripts ST and X refer to the standard and unknown, respectively. The  $\Phi$  of rhodamine B is 0.65 in ethanol.<sup>16</sup>  $\Phi$  of UFPS-1 was 0.007 and  $\Phi$  of UFPS-1 with  $H_2O_2$  was 0.037 ( $\lambda_{ex}$  = 540 nm).

#### 2.5. Absorption and fluorescence spectrum studies of UFPS-1

The absorption and emission spectra of UFPS-1 in PBS buffer solution (pH = 7.4, 10 mM, 0.2% v/v DMSO, and 0.02% v/v Triton X-100) were measured in the presence of  $H_2O_2$ . UFPS-1  $(2 \mu M)$  was placed in the PBS buffer solution mixture and shaken on a shaker at 37  $\degree$ C for 2 h, and then the absorption and emission spectra of UFPS-1 reacting with different concentrations of  $H_2O_2$  were measured.

#### 2.6. Selectivity and ion interference

To measure the selectivity of UFPS-1 in the presence of common ions and amino acids in PBS buffer solution (pH = 7.4, 10 mM, 0.2% v/v DMSO, and 0.02% v/v Triton X-100) in the presence of  $H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>$ , UFPS-1 (2 µM) was put into the PBS buffer solution mixture, then 43 analytes (200  $\mu$ M) were added and shaken on a 37  $\degree$ C shaker for 2 h, followed by measurement of the emission value of the reaction solution at 640 nm.  $\lambda_{ex}$  = 540 nm.

In order to measure whether common ions and amino acids interfere with the detection of  $H_2O_2$  by UFPS-1, UFPS-1 (2  $\mu$ M) was put in the PBS buffer solution mixture, 100 equiv. of  $H_2O_2$ was added, 43 analytes (200  $\mu$ M) were added and shaken at 37  $\degree$ C for 2 h, followed by measurement of the emission value of the reaction solution at 640 nm.  $\lambda_{ex}$  = 540 nm.

#### 2.7. Cell culture and MTT assay

HepG2 cells were cultured in Dulbecco's Modified Eagle Medium (DMEM) containing 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS), 100.0 mg mL $^{-1}$ streptomycin, and 100 IU  $mL^{-1}$  penicillin. The cells were maintained in a humidified atmosphere of 5%  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  at 37 °C.

The cytotoxicity of UFPS-1 was determined by using the 3-(4,5-dimethyl-2-thiazolyl)-2,5-diphenyl-2-H-tetrazolium bromide (MTT) colorimetric cell proliferation kit (Roche) by following the manufacturer's guidelines. Briefly, HepG2 cells were grown to 70–80% confluence in 96-well plates. The medium was aspirated and then replaced with  $100 \mu L$  of the medium containing different concentrations of UFPS-1 (0.1, 0.5, 1, 5, 10, 20, 30, 40, and 50  $\mu$ M). UFPS-1 was dissolved in DMSO and the final concentration in DMSO was lowered. The same volume of DMSO was used as a negative control.

After 24 h incubation, 10 µL MTT solution (5 mg mL<sup>-1</sup>) was added to the cells. After further incubation at 37  $\degree$ C for 4 h in a dark environment, the colored MTT-formazan crystals were produced. The solution was replaced by 100 µL DMSO to dissolve the crystals. After 10 min, the absorbance was measured at 560 nm by using a microplate reader.

#### 2.8. Living cell imaging

HepG2 cells were seeded at a density of  $5 \times 10^5$  cells per dish in a 35 mm confocal laser imaging dish and grown for 24 h. The cell cultures were incubated with the UFPS-1 probe at the concentration of 5  $\mu$ M and maintained at 37 °C in an atmosphere of 5%  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  for 3 h, followed by washing of the cells with PBS (3  $\times$  2 mL per dish). For exogenous control experiments, the cells were incubated with 20  $\mu$ M H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> for 3 h at 37 °C and then washed 3 times with PBS. The cells were further incubated with the 5  $\mu$ M UFPS-1 probe for 3 h. For endogenous  $H_2O_2$  experiments, the cells were incubated with 10  $\mu$ M rotenone (ROS inducer<sup>17</sup>) in the culture medium for 3 h at 37  $\degree$ C, and after washing 3 times with PBS solution, the cells were further incubated with the  $5 \mu M$  UFPS-1 probe for 3 h. To verify that the fluorescence enhancement was attributed to intracellular  $H_2O_2$ , we applied N-acetylcysteine (NAC), a  $H_2O_2$  scavenger, as the control.<sup>18</sup>

All the cells were washed 3 times with PBS before imaging. Finally, the cells were imaged using a confocal laser scanning microscope (ZEISS LSM 880) and a single photon laser (63 $\times$  water immersion objective) with 543 nm excitation and recorded emission at 570–700 nm.

#### 2.9. Fluorescent imaging of  $H_2O_2$  in the *Drosophila* brain

Parkin null and wild-type Drosophila were obtained from Neurodegeneration Research Laboratory (NDRL) of National Neuroscience Institute (NNI) of Singapore. All the Drosophila were grown on corn flour-molasses medium and maintained at 25 °C. We selected parkin null and wild-type *Drosophila* and divided them into the experimental group and the control group. In addition, we chose glutathione (GSH) as an inhibitor. Unlike the antioxidant mechanism of NAC, GSH is directly involved in the detoxification of  $H_2O_2$  in the brain.<sup>19</sup> After dissection, the experimental group of Drosophila brains were placed in UFPS-1 (25  $\mu$ M) in PBS (pH 7.4), the control Drosophila brains were immersed in PBS buffer, and the inhibition group was placed in UFPS-1 (25  $\mu$ M) and GSH (250  $\mu$ M) in PBS buffer, and incubated for 5 h at 37 °C. Subsequently, each group of brain tissues was washed three times with PBS. The confocal laser scanning fluorescence images of the Drosophila brain were obtained under the excitation laser with 543 nm wavelength and recorded at 570–700 nm.

### 3. Results and discussion

### 3.1. UFPS-1 design and synthesis

Pyrimidine derivatives are widely used as photoluminescence materials due to their excellent biocompatibility, photostability, and deep-red emission.<sup>20</sup> Moreover, the fluorescence of pyrimidinebased dyes can be easily quenched by the intramolecular charge transfer (ICT) effect. $^{14}$  Aryl borates are considered to be species with complementary amphiphilic reactivity on  $H_2O_2$ , which have been widely used in the detection of  $H_2O_2$ .<sup>21</sup> We believe that an  $H_2O_2$ probe with excellent performance can be obtained by assembling the two substances with POCl<sub>3</sub>. UFPS-1 was synthesized under multicomponent one-pot reaction, conjugating one molecule of pyrimidine and two molecules of borate ester. After the successful synthesis of UFPS-1, the structure of the product was characterized and confirmed by  ${}^{1}$ H NMR,  ${}^{13}$ C NMR, and HRMS (Fig. S11-S13, ESI<sup>†</sup>). When the borate ester group reacts with  $H_2O_2$ , the phosphate

ester structure would be hydrolyzed to restore the fluorescence (Fig. S4 and S5, ESI†).<sup>22</sup> The mechanism of UFPS-1 in  $H_2O_2$  sensing was further confirmed by high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC). As shown in Fig. S6 (ESI†), at time 0, a single peak with a retention time of 23 min in the HPLC chromatogram was assigned to UFPS-1. Upon  $H_2O_2$  treatment, the peak area of UFPS-1 decreased and a new peak for PB-OH with a retention time of about 3 min emerged. The HPLC results indicated that PB-OH was indeed the final product of the reaction between UFPS-1 and  $H_2O_2$ , which proved that our design  $(H_2O_2)$  can lead to the hydrolysis of phosphate group, and release PB-OH) is successful.

#### 3.2. Optical characterizations of probe UFPS-1

After getting UFPS-1, we performed the optical response test of  $H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>$ . As shown in the Fig. 1a and Fig. S2 (ESI†), the main absorption peak of UFPS-1 appeared at 455 nm in PBS, and the absorption peak gradually shifted to 490 nm with the addition of  $H_2O_2$ . Subsequently, we studied the fluorescence response of UFPS-1 to  $H_2O_2$ . UFPS-1 itself only showed weak deep-red fluorescence at 640 nm when excited by light at 540 nm (Fig. 1b and Fig. S3, ESI†). Upon the addition of different concentrations of  $H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>$  (0 to 200  $\mu$ M), the fluorescence at 640 nm reached the maximum intensity, and about 4-fold of fluorescence increment was observed within 2 h (Fig. 1b). The detection limit of the probe was calculated to be 92 nM (Fig. S7 and Table S1, ESI†), and the cellular concentration of  $H_2O_2$  was reported as  $10^{-8}$ – $10^{-4}$  M<sup>23</sup> which is much higher than the detection limit of UFPS-1. It indicated that our probe should be able to detect cellular  $H_2O_2$ . Additionally, we also checked the reaction kinetics of UFPS-1 to  $H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>$  (Fig. 1c). The fluorescence intensity increased rapidly after the addition of  $H_2O_2$  (0 to 200 equiv.) and saturated after 75 min (200 equiv.), which suggested a rapid response of UFPS-1 to  $H_2O_2$ . Paper More continuous contract with the CFPs-1 probe at the concern-<br>
content of 3 July 2020. The article on 15 C C and annother of 5 M (18 S 1 and SS 1 SH)<sup>2</sup> The mochimical of UPS-1 Operation-<br>
On 6 3 July 2020 12:32:18

An ideal fluorogenic probe should be able to selectively respond to the target analyte without interference by other substances.  $H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>$  is a product of cellular oxidative stress and belongs to ROS. Since some of the substances in the cells such as ROO<sup>o</sup> and  $ONOO<sup>-</sup>$  contain an O–O structure similar to  $H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>$ , it is possible to interfere with the detection. In order to verify the selectivity of UFPS-1 toward  $H_2O_2$ , we selected six reactive oxygen/nitrogen species (ROS/RNS) substances (*i.e.*,  ${}^{1}O_{2}$ , ClO<sup>-</sup>,  ${}^{\bullet}OH$ , ROO ${}^{\bullet}$ , NO, and ONOO<sup>-</sup>) that were common in cellular metabolism. Impressively, these 6 most prominent potential interferents, at various concentrations, scarcely induced any fluorescence increment in UFPS-1. As shown in Fig. 1d, at the concentration of 200  $\mu$ M for each analyte, only  $H_2O_2$  exhibited significant fluorescence increment. In addition, 42 different ions and amino acids that are commonly found in physiological environments were tested as well. Similarly, no obvious fluorescence change had been observed upon the addition of these ions and amino acids to UFPS-1 (Fig. 1e and Fig. S8, ESI†). The above results demonstrated that UFPS-1 possessed excellent selectivity towards  $H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>$  among the potential interferents, ions, and amino acids. It could be applied for the specific detection of  $H_2O_2$  even in complex biological environments.

For in vivo applications, excellent spectral response and stability over a specific pH range is the fundamental property



Fig. 1 (a) Absorbance and (b) emission spectra of UFPS-1  $(2 \mu M)$  in PBS (0.2% v/v DMSO, 0.02% v/v Triton-X100) in the presence of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> with different concentrations (0 to 200 equiv.) incubated for 2 h; (c) fluorescence response of UFPS-1 (2  $\mu$ M) to various H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> (0 to 200 equiv.) at different time points (0 to 120 min); (d) fluorescence response of UFPS-1 (2  $\mu$ M) to various ROS and RNS species at the single concentration of 200  $\mu$ M in 120 min; (e) fluorescence response of UFPS-1 (2  $\mu$ M) to 43 analytes at the single concentration of 200  $\mu$ M; (f) fluorescence response of UFPS-1 (2  $\mu$ M) at the single concentration of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> (200 µM) to various pH in 2 h.  $\lambda_{\text{ex}}$  = 540 nm,  $\lambda_{\text{em}}$  = 640 nm. F/F<sub>0</sub>: the real time fluorescence intensity compared to the initial fluorescence intensity.

of  $H_2O_2$  probes. We examined the changes in the fluorescence intensities of UFPS-1 at 640 nm with or without the addition of  $H_2O_2$  (200 µM) in the pH range of 3–10 (Fig. 1f). Without  $H_2O_2$ , UFPS-1 remained non-fluorescent at all the tested pH values. With the analyte, in the range of pH 7–10, the fluorescence of UFPS-1 was independent of the change in the pH, while it did not emit fluorescence in the pH range of 3–7. Despite the relatively poor activity in acidic conditions, the fluorescent intensity of UFPS-1 in the presence of  $H_2O_2$  reached the maximum at pH 7-9, which was favorable for  $H_2O_2$  detection in physiological environments.

### 3.3. Fluorescence imaging of UFPS-1 in living cells

Inspired by the excellent spectral properties of UFPS-1, especially the high selectivity and sensitivity towards  $H_2O_2$ , the potential application of UFPS-1 in the detection of cellular  $H_2O_2$  was examined in the living cells. Firstly, the cytotoxicity of UFPS-1 in HepG2 cells was evaluated using the MTT assay. 94.1% of HepG2 cells remained viable after incubation with 50  $\mu$ M UFPS-1 for 24 h (Fig. S9, ESI†), which indicated the relatively low cytotoxicity of UFPS-1 at the working concentrations. To confirm that UFPS-1 is also safe for normal somatic cells, we also performed the same test in LO2 cells. After incubating with 50  $\mu$ M UFPS-1 for 24 h, more than 90% of the LO2 cells remained viable (Fig. S10, ESI†), which further proves that our probes are safe for living organisms.

For fluorescent imaging, we first tested the turn-on ability of UFPS-1 using HepG2 cells, as shown in Fig. 2a(2); UFPS-1 successfully detected intracellular  $H_2O_2$  under the normal conditions, which demonstrated the excellent cell permeability and outstanding sensitivity of UFPS-1 towards relatively low



Fig. 2 (a) Fluorescence imaging of the exogenous/endogenous  $H_2O_2$ level in living HepG2 cells with UFPS-1. The first column: control. Second column: cells incubated with UFPS-1 ( $5 \mu$ M) only for 3h. Third column: cells incubated with UFPS-1 (5  $\mu$ M) for 3 h and then treated with H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> (20  $\mu$ M) for 3 h. Fourth column was incubated with UFPS-1 (5  $\mu$ M) for 3 h and then treated with Rot (10  $\mu$ M) for 3 h. Fifth column: cells incubated with **UFPS-1** (5  $\mu$ M) for 3 h and then treated with Rot (10  $\mu$ M) and NAC (10  $\mu$ M) for 3 h.  $\lambda_{ex}$  = 543 nm,  $\lambda_{em}$  = 570–700 nm. Scale bar = 10 µm; (b) relative fluorescence intensities of (1–5). Statistical analyses were performed with the Student's  $t$ -test. \*\*\* $P < 0.001$ 

cellular concentrations of  $H_2O_2$ . We next evaluated the ability of UFPS-1 in detecting the change in the exogenous and endogenous level of  $H_2O_2$  under different conditions. As expected, the addition of exogenous  $H_2O_2$  (20  $\mu$ M) significantly enhanced the fluorescent signal of UFPS-1 (Fig. 2a(3)). In order to detect the variation in the endogenous  $H_2O_2$  concentrations, rotenone (Rot, 10  $\mu$ M), an inhibitor of the oxidative phosphorylation chains in mitochondrial respiration, was administered to the HepG2 cells to stimulate the production of endogenous  $H_2O_2$ . With the stimulation of Rot, the fluorescence of UFPS-1 was enhanced as



Fig. 3 (a) Confocal fluorescence microscopy imaging of wild type (3) and parkin null (4) Drosophila brain with UFPS-1 (25  $\mu$ M, 5 h) at room temperature. (5) Parkin null Drosophila brains incubated with UFPS-1 ( $25 \mu$ M) and GSH ( $250 \mu$ M) for 5 h. (1) and (2) are the corresponding control groups.  $\lambda_{\text{ex}}$  = 543 nm,  $\lambda_{\text{em}}$  = 570–700 nm. Scale bar = 150 µm; (b) relative fluorescence intensities of (1–6). Statistical analyses were performed with the Student's t-test. \*\*\* $P < 0.001$ , \*\* $P < 0.01$ , N = 5.

well (Fig. 2a(4)). It is noteworthy that the fluorescence of UFPS-1 was attenuated when NAC, the antioxidant, was applied (Fig. 2a(5)). Based on the above experimental results, we have demonstrated that UFPS-1 was able to detect exogenous and endogenous  $H_2O_2$ in living cells.

#### 3.4. The application of UFPS-1 in PD Drosophila model

To prove that the deep red UFPS-1 probe holds the ability to detect the analyte in vivo, we selected the Drosophila PD model as an example. Drosophila shares 70% genome homology with that of humans. Parkin null Drosophila was developed by the gene deletion of endogenous parkin, which therefore displayed similar pathological features as that of the mammalian one, and were widely utilized as the PD model. $^{24}$  In our previous work, we have confirmed the morphological changes in the mitochondria of Drosophila after gene knockout, which was well correlated to those of human PD patients.<sup>25</sup> We applied UFPS-1 to the brain of parkin null Drosophila as well as the wide-type control. After incubation with UFPS-1, the brain of parkin null Drosophila showed enhanced fluorescence signal compared with that of wild-type control (Fig. 3a). To confirm whether the fluorescent signal was derived from  $H_2O_2$ , we applied GSH, which could directly neutralize  $H_2O_2$  in the Drosophila brain. A significant decrease in the fluorescence intensity was observed in the GSH-treated PD group, indicating that the fluorescence signal indeed came from the turn-on reaction of UFPS-1 with  $H_2O_2$  (Fig. 3a). Lastly, we quantified the fluorescence intensity derived from the Drosophila brain and the results showed that the fluorescence intensity of the PD Drosophila brain (39.76  $(\pm 0.77)$ ) was more than twice of the intensity obtained for the wild-type Drosophila brain (18.26  $(\pm 0.21)$ ) (Fig. 3b). The above data demonstrates the ability of UFPS-1 in the detection of  $H_2O_2$  in vivo.

### 4. Conclusion

In summary, we proposed a UFPS platform to synthesize a phosphate ester fluorogenic probe by multicomponent one-pot

reaction with  $POCl<sub>3</sub>$  as the core. Based on this, we designed and synthesized a fluorogenic turn-on probe UFPS-1, which displayed excellent selectivity to  $H_2O_2$  among various potential interferents with near-red emission and a large Stokes shift. It was also able to quantitatively detect both endogenous and exogenous  $H_2O_2$  in the *in vitro* and *in vivo* PD models. As a common and important ROS species,  $H_2O_2$  is closely related to the pathogenesis of a series of diseases such as cancer, cardiovascular disease, and neurodegenerative diseases. Our work provides an effective strategy for the real-time monitoring of  $H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>$  in living organisms. It holds great potential in the early diagnosis and pathological mechanism study of the diseases mentioned above. Paper Materials Advances<br>
Control on 15 July 2020. The common problems are common and the common attention of the common and the common and the co

More importantly, the excellent performance of UFPS-1 and the robust one-pot synthesis indicate the great potential of our UFPS platform. Utilizing this method to design a universal ''toolbox'' for fluorogenic probe is indeed intriguing and versatile. It is worthy to explore different receptor/recognition pairs that can be easily tuned into specific fluorogenic probes for the corresponding target biomolecules. Therefore, this platform holds great potential and will deliver a profound impact on chemical probe development and disease diagnosis.

### Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

### Acknowledgements

This work was financially supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (81672508), Jiangsu Provincial Foundation for Distinguished Young Scholars (BK20170041), Natural Science Basic Research Program of Shaanxi (Program No. 2019JM-016), Key Research and Development Program of Shaanxi (2020ZDLGY13-04), Open Research Fund of Anhui Key Laboratory of Tobacco Chemistry (20181140), China-Sweden Joint Mobility Project (51811530018), and Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities.

### Notes and references

- 1 (a) K. D. Davis, N. Aghaeepour and A. H. Ahn, et al., Nat. Rev. Neurol., 2020, 16, 381-400; (b) S. P. Haen, M. W. Löffler and H. Rammensee, et al., Nat. Rev. Clin. Oncol., 2020, DOI: 10.1038/s41571-020-0387-x.
- 2 D. W. Domaille, E. L. Que and C. J. Chang, Nat. Chem. Biol., 2008, 4, 168–175.
- 3 D. Chen, W. J. Qin and H. X. Fang, et al., Chin. Chem. Lett., 2019, 30, 1738–1744.
- 4 H. Cheng, Y. Li and B. Z. Tang, et al., Chem. Soc. Rev., 2020, 49, 21–31.
- 5 (a) M. E. Jun, B. Roy and K. H. Ahn, Chem. Commun., 2011, 47, 7583–7601; (b) X. Li, X. Gao and W. Shi, Chem. Rev., 2013, 114, 590–659.
- 6 (a) H. X. Fang, H. Zhang and L. Li, et al., Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2020, 59, 7536–7541; (b) Q. Sun, J. J. Xu and C. L. Ji, et al., Anal. Chem., 2020, 92, 4038–4045; (c) Z. J. Fang, Z. Su and W. J. Qin, et al., Chin. Chem. Lett., 2020, DOI: 10.1016/j.cclet.2020.03.063.
- 7 J. Xiong, L. Xia and L. Li, et al., Sens. Actuators, B, 2019, 288, 127–132.
- 8 X. Huang, Z. Li and Z. Liu, et al., Dyes Pigm., 2019, 165, 518–523.
- 9 (a) U. Pradere, E. C. Garnier-Amblard and S. J. Coats, et al., Chem. Rev., 2014, 114, 9154–9218; (b) J. L. Wanga, Y. X. Wang and L. Xu, et al., Carbohydr. Polym., 2018, 181, 19–26.
- 10 B. G. Harvey, A. C. Chafin and M. D. Garrison, et al., RSC Adv., 2015, 5, 74712.
- 11 (a) P. Zhang, C. Fu and Q. Zhang, et al., Anal. Chem., 2019, 91, 12377–12383; (b) Y. Li, H. Wang and J. Li, et al., Anal. Chem., 2011, 83, 1268–1274.
- 12 (a) R. O. Rocha, M. O. Rodrigues and B. A. Neto, ACS Omega, 2020, 5, 972–979; (b) J. Y. Ge, X. M. Cheng and L. P. Tanb, et al., Chem. Commun., 2012, 48, 4453–4455.
- 13 (a) V. K. Lorraine and E. L. Anthony, Lancet, 2015, 386, 896–912; (b) T. Wu and M. Hallett, Brain, 2013, 136, 696–709; (c) S. J. Dixon and B. R. Stockwell, Nat. Chem. Biol., 2014, 10, 9–17; (d) B. R. Stockwell, J. P. F. Angeli and H. Bayir, Cell, 2017, 171, 273–285; (e) Y. Xie, W. Hou and X. Song, Cell Death Differ., 2016, 23, 369–376.
- 14 X. H. Qiu, C. Q. Xin and W. J. Qin, et al., Talanta, 2019, 199, 628–633.
- 15 X. Li, R. R. Tao and L. J. Hong, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2015, 137, 12296–12303.
- 16 R. F. Kubin and A. N. Fletcher, J. Lumin., 1982, 27, 455–462.
- 17 (a) D. S. Higgins and J. T. Greenamyre, J. Neurosci., 1996, 16, 3807–3816; (b) B. Chance, G. R. Williams and G. Hollunger, J. Biol. Chem., 1963, 238, 418–431; (c) T. B. Sherer, R. Betarbet and C. M. Testa, et al., J. Neurosci., 2003, 23, 10756–10764; (d) P. Caboni, T. B. Sherer and N. Zhang, Chem. Res. Toxicol., 2004, 17, 1540–1548.
- 18 A. Rahimmi, F. Khosrobakhsh and E. Izadpanah, Brain Res. Bull., 2015, 113, 34–40.
- 19 R. Dringen, Prog. Neurobiol., 2000, 62, 649–671.
- 20 Z. Liu, P. Shao and Z. Huang, et al., Chem. Commun., 2008, 2260–2262.
- 21 (a) A. R. Lippert, G. C. Bittner and C. J. Chang, Acc. Chem. Res., 2011, 44, 793–804; (b) R. Weinstain, E. N. Savariar and C. N. Felsen, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2014, 136, 874–877;  $(c)$  A. R. Lippert, K. R. Keshari and J. Kurhanewicz, *J. Am.* Chem. Soc., 2011, 133, 3776–3779; (d) D. Srikun, A. E. Albers and C. I. Nam, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2010, 132, 4455–4465. **Materials Advances**<br>
Support 2020. The published on 15 July 2020. Downloaded on 2/5/2020. Downloaded on 2/5/2020. Downloaded on 2/5/2020. The creative Commons Article is licensed under a Creative Commons Article is licens
	- 22 (a) J. Kumamoto and F. H. Westheimer, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1955, 77, 2515–2518; (b) W. W. Butcher and F. H. Westheimer, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1955, 77, 2420–2424.
	- 23 M. Giorgio, M. Trinei and E. Migliaccio, Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol., 2007, 8, 722–728.
	- 24 (a) Y. Liu, L. Bai and Y. H. Li, et al., Sens. Actuators, B, 2019, 279, 38-43; (b) L. Li, C. W. Zhang and G. Y. Chen, et al., Nat. Commun., 2014, 5, 3276; (c) H. Bolus, K. Crocker and G. Boekhoff-Falk, et al., Int. J. Mol. Sci., 2020, 21, 3055; (d) E. Ziviani, R. N. Tao and A. J. Whitworth, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A., 2010, 107, 5018–5023.
	- 25 H. Li, C. Q. Xin and G. B. Zhang, et al., J. Mater. Chem. B, 2019, 7, 4227–4372.