# **Materials** Advances



# PAPER

Cite this: *Mater. Adv.*, 2020, 1, 2019

Received 29th May 2020, Accepted 26th July 2020

DOI: 10.1039/d0ma00363h

[rsc.li/materials-advances](http://rsc.li/materials-advances)

# Introduction

Direct discharge of effluents containing hazardous organic materials, such as dyes, pesticides, etc. by industries and farming communities is a major concern for the sustainability of marine ecosystems. In recent years, a lot of research is being pursued around the world for removing these pollutants.<sup>1,2</sup> One of the research directions for this purpose is the development of porous materials that can adsorb the pollutants from water.<sup>3</sup> For example, Mao et al. reported a one-step synthesis of a cationic poly(epichlorohydrin)-ethylenediamine hydrogel that has shown remarkable activity towards dye removal from aqueous solutions.<sup>4</sup> Ayad *et al.* evaluated the dye adsorption capability of polyaniline nanotubes towards methylene blue adsorption and studied the corresponding physical processes in detail.<sup>5</sup>

Nanomaterials Research Lab, Department of Chemical Sciences, IISER Kolkata, Mohanpur Campus, Nadia, West Bengal, 741246, India.

E-mail: mvenkataramanan@yahoo.com

# Defect induced ''super mop'' like behaviour of Eu<sup>3+</sup>-doped hierarchical Bi<sub>2</sub>SiO<sub>5</sub> nanoparticles for improved catalytic and adsorptive behaviour†

Debashrita Sarkar, Sagar Ganguli, **D** Athma E. Praveen and Venkataramanan Mahalingam D\*

Development of ''super-mops'', i.e., materials that are able to rapidly adsorb and degrade pollutants from water bodies, is a necessity for sustaining the marine ecosystem. But, most materials can either adsorb or degrade pollutants leading to a sluggish pollutant removal process. With the aim of developing a "super-mop", we have synthesized Eu<sup>3+</sup> incorporated Bi<sub>2</sub>SiO<sub>5</sub> with high surface area. Eu<sup>3+</sup> incorporation led to the rapid formation and stabilization of orthorhombic/monoclinic phase Bi<sub>2</sub>SiO<sub>5</sub> by inducing asymmetry in the structure at significantly lower temperatures compared to earlier reports. Furthermore, partial reduction of  $Eu^{3+}$  to Eu<sup>2+</sup> due to reaction conditions resulted in defects in the system by creation of oxygen deficient regions on the surface. These promoted the self-assembly of the initially formed nanoflakes into hierarchical microflowers. The as-synthesized materials, particularly after 1.5 percent  $Eu<sup>3+</sup>$  incorporation, showed high efficiency in the removal of a wide range of pollutants from aqueous media through the adsorption process with an initial rate as high as 3.25 mg  $g^{-1}$  min<sup>-1</sup>. To illustrate, the material was able to adsorb  $>60$  percent of pollutants within the first five minutes of contact with the aqueous solution. Eu<sup>3+</sup> incorporation also significantly improved ( $\sim$  5 times enhancement in rate constant) the photocatalytic activity of  $B<sub>2</sub>SiO<sub>5</sub>$  by suppressing the degree of recombination as Eu<sup>3+</sup> present in the material can effectively trap the photo-excited electrons to produce  $Eu^{2+}$ , which thereafter rapidly de-traps to efficiently produce reactive radicals, and the oxygen defects, which are present in large number, acted as excellent sites for temporary trapping of electrons to generate the reactive radical species responsible for photocatalytic degradation. PAPER<br> **DEPERTEMBER SECTION CONTROL** CONFIDENCIAL SURFACT CONDUCT CONTROL CON

> Unfortunately, the majority of the research studies on the development of adsorbents focus only on improving the maximum adsorption capacity of materials. The other aspect that is often ignored but is of pivotal importance is the rate of removal, which must be very high for practical application purposes.<sup>6</sup> Another major limitation of adsorbent materials is their possibility of subsequent leaching of the dye molecules.<sup>7</sup> This demands the development of a ''super-mop'' material that would be able to rapidly adsorb as well as degrade the pollutants.

> In this context, inorganic semiconductor nanomaterials may be potential candidates due to their controllable morphology, high surface area, tuneable bandgap, dimensionality, etc. Through a prudent choice of reaction conditions, it is possible to prepare inorganic materials with superior microstructural properties that are capable of removing pollutants from aqueous solutions through an adsorption process.<sup>8</sup> Furthermore, due to the semiconducting nature of these materials, they can also participate in photocatalysis reactions. In the presence of sunlight, excitons get produced in these materials and they subsequently produce reactive radical species with the ability to degrade the organic pollutants.<sup>9</sup>

<sup>†</sup> Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. See DOI: 10.1039/d0ma00363h

The conversion of toxic dyes or pollutant molecules into relatively non-toxic intermediates and by-products via photocatalysis has been studied to validate the effectiveness of the photocatalytic degradation pathway.<sup>10,11</sup> Doping of metal centres into inorganic nanomaterials, particularly in oxide-based nanostructures, has recently gained significant attention as the resulting materials often show improved photocatalytic performance due to the modulation of the band structure, band position, stabilization of metastable phase,  $etc.<sup>12-14</sup>$  The structure of the photocatalyst also plays a key role in facilitating the course of the photocatalytic reaction.15 Among the diverse forms of structural variations reported so far, hierarchical nanostructures have shown efficacious activity over conventional structures. The improved photocatalytic behaviour is attributed to the acceleration of the reaction kinetics due to enhanced light absorption, high surface area and improved diffusion of the generated reactive ions.<sup>16,17</sup>

Among inorganic nanomaterials, bismuth silicate based semiconductor materials are particularly promising for dye removal through both adsorption and photocatalysis processes due to their high surface area.  $Bi<sub>2</sub>SiO<sub>5</sub>$  (BSO) is one of the emerging lead free substitutes of ferroelectric as well as luminescent probes in thermometry. $18-24$  In particular, BSO is a potential material because of its layered structure made up by intergrowth of the  $[\text{SiO}_3]^{2-}$  pyroxene layer and  $[\text{Bi}_2\text{O}_2]^{2+}$  layer. The  $[\text{Bi}_2\text{O}_2]^{2+}$  layer is structurally built up of an oxygen bound square pyramidal layer with  $Bi^{3+}$  ions sitting at the top of the pyramid. The opposite directionality of the  $Bi^{3+}$  lone pairs and the O dipole generates an internal electric field that is able to separate the photo-induced exciton with enhanced efficiency.<sup>25</sup> Furthermore, BSO is known to exist in different polymorphs, tetragonal, cubic, monoclinic, and orthorhombic.26 Among them, the monoclinic and orthorhombic phases would be able to efficiently separate the photo-generated electrons and holes leading to suppressed recombination because of their lower symmetry. $27$  As this results in an enhanced photocatalytic performance, the synthesis of monoclinic/orthorhombic BSO is actively pursued by researchers. However, due to its metastable character, the synthesis of pure monoclinic or orthorhombic phase BSO is a challenging step. The available reports show that high temperatures, long reaction times ( $\sim$  48 hours), and tedious post-synthesis protocols have been employed. $28-33$ This has motivated us to develop a low-cost strategy to produce monoclinic or orthorhombic BSO with superior structural properties at lower reaction temperatures and time. Moreover, the as-synthesized BSO must be a "super-mop", *i.e.* it should be able to remove pollutants rapidly from water via adsorption and subsequently degrade them effectively through a photocatalysis process. Paper Maccus of total dysta or pollutar and<br>constant articles are the photonship in studied on 2020. Noncombined in 2020. Noncombined are the secondary in the studied under the studied under the studies are article in the

In this study, we have developed pure phase monoclinic BSO, which is otherwise difficult to stabilise, through asymmetry generation by  $Eu^{3+}$ -doping. Furthermore, the  $Eu^{3+}$ -doped BSO (Eu-BSO) nanoparticles are able to demonstrate ''super-mop'' behaviour, *i.e.*, they exhibit excellent adsorption as well as photocatalytic behaviour. Briefly, the slight doping of  $Eu<sup>3+</sup>$  into the BSO matrix led to the emergence of a range of interesting changes. This encompasses asymmetric phase stabilization, formation of hierarchical self-assembled microstructures, higher surface area, pore size and pore volume, oxygen deficiency sites,

redox couple, etc. Time dependent crystallisation of Eu-BSO was studied to understand the growth mechanism. Partial reduction of  $Eu^{3+}$  to  $Eu^{2+}$  took place due to the reaction conditions resulting in the generation of defect sites. Oxygen deficient regions, as defect sites, at the surface lead to the self-assembly of the initially formed nanoflakes into hierarchical microflowers with improved surface area as compared to BSO. The as-synthesized materials, particularly after 1.5 percent  $Eu^{3+}$  incorporation, showed high efficiency in the removal of a wide range of pollutants via adsorption and photocatalysis. The effectiveness of  $Eu<sup>3+</sup>$ -incorporation to enhance the rapid removal of a wide range of organic pollutants from aqueous solutions through adsorption and photocatalytic degradation processes compared to the available reports on BSO material validates it to be a promising strategy to develop ''supermop'' materials for practical application purposes.

## Results and discussion

#### Phase analysis and growth mechanism

The as-obtained BSO materials synthesized via solvothermal methods at different time intervals were subjected to PXRD analysis to obtain information regarding their phases as well as the growth mechanism. The material was found to first crystallize into a tetragonal phase in 2 hours (as shown in Fig. S1c, ESI†). With an increase in the reaction time (6 h), a peak at  $2\theta \sim 12^{\circ}$ was found to appear. The exact origin of this peak is debatable as this peak is reported to appear for tetragonal BSO with I4/mmm symmetry only upon heteroatom doping.<sup>20,25</sup> Moreover, this is also present in the standard pattern of both monoclinic and orthorhombic phases of BSO. As in our case, this peak appeared for undoped BSO, and we believe that this indicates the formation of monoclinic/orthorhombic phases. Recently, the exact phase identification between monoclinic and orthorhombic BSO, particularly at room temperature, has received great attention. Taniguchi et al. have reported that the orthorhombic BSO is stable only at temperatures higher than 500  $^{\circ}$ C and with lower temperatures, the  $SiO<sub>4</sub>$  units twist making 172.2 $^{\circ}$  angle leading to the stabilization of the monoclinic crystal structure.<sup>18</sup> Girard and co-workers have however suggested that it is difficult to ascertain the exact crystal phase as the monoclinic distortion is very small and is less than the uncertainties of both diffraction measurements and theoretical calculations.<sup>23</sup> Kim and co-workers even calculated the energy difference between the two phases to be 23 meV f.u. $^{-1}$ , which is very small for interconversion.<sup>24</sup> Therefore, though the new peak suggests the partial conversion of the tetragonal phase into a phase of relatively lower symmetry, its exact identification is very difficult. For consistency, we have referred to this new phase as monoclinic in the ensuing discussion as all characterization methods and experiments were carried out at room temperature. With the aim to synthesize pure monoclinic BSO due to its advantages in practical applications (discussed in the Introduction section), the hydrothermal reactions were carried out for longer times (8 h, 10 h and 24 h). However, pure phase monoclinic BSO remained unattainable at any of the reaction duration and resulted in mixed tetragonal and monoclinic phases. In fact, the material obtained after 24 h of reaction was found to consist of cubic  $Bi_4Si_3O_{12}$  along with its tetragonal and monoclinic counterparts thereby suggesting that the monoclinic phase was getting transformed into the cubic phase with increasing reaction duration (Fig. S1b, ESI†). Furthermore, such transformation into the cubic phase with increasing reaction time indicates the preference of the system to adopt a crystal structure of higher symmetry and underlines the requirement of introducing asymmetry-inducing factors for stabilizing the monoclinic phase.

In this context,  $Eu^{3+}$  at various concentrations (0.5, 1, 1.5, and 2 percent with respect to  $Bi^{3+}$ ) was introduced into the reaction medium and the solvothermal reactions were carried out for different time intervals.  $Eu^{3+}$  was chosen because of its identical charge (III) but slightly smaller ionic radius (108.7 pm) than that of  $Bi^{3+}$  (117 pm), making it compatible for occupying the lattice positions of BSO and thereby inducing the desired asymmetry in the crystal structure. As shown in Fig. S1a (ESI†), all four characteristic peaks belonging to (200), (020), (002), and (022) of monoclinic BSO became prominent after 8 h and pure monoclinic BSO was obtained after 10 h of reaction for the material synthesized using 1.5% of  $Eu^{3+}$  w.r.t.  $Bi^{3+}$  (Eu<sub>1.5</sub>-BSO). A closer inspection of the PXRD patterns recorded for materials synthesized at lower reaction times (2 h, 6 h, etc.) indicates that the formation of the final monoclinic phase may be proceeding through the generation of some  $Bi<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>$  polymorphs (probably cubic delta or tetragonal beta phases) and a small amount of silicate.

Such an observation was earlier reported by Back et  $al.^{20}$  The peaks in PXRD for the other three materials ( $Eu<sub>0.5</sub>$ -BSO,  $Eu<sub>1</sub>$ -BSO, and  $Eu<sub>2</sub>$ -BSO) obtained after 10 h also correspond to pure monoclinic BSO without the presence of any impurity phase (Fig. 1).

Thereafter to further confirm the phase, the Rietveld refinement process was performed using GSASII software to determine the crystallographic information of  $Eu<sub>1.5</sub>$ -BSO nanoparticles. The monoclinic phase BSO (ICDD 04-039-9380) was used as the model for refinement. As shown in Fig. S2 (ESI†), the experimental, calculated, difference and Bragg's positions indicate that  $Eu<sub>1.5</sub>$ -BSO belongs to the monoclinic phase of BSO class of materials. The crystal structure parameters and fitting reliability of GOF 2.4% and  $R_{wp}$  10.2% further confirm that doping  $Eu^{3+}$  into BSO stabilises the BSO structure in the pure phase monoclinic system as summarised in Table S1 (ESI†). We believe that such  $Eu^{3+}$  doping-induced faster phase transformation to stabilize the asymmetric crystal structure clearly indicates that  $Eu^{3+}$  doping has lowered the energy barrier for the transition from the tetragonal crystal system to a pure phase monoclinic system. To our knowledge, this is the first report where pure monoclinic BSO has been prepared within such a short duration and at such a low temperature. Materials Advances<br>
in fact, the material obtained after 21 h of reaction was found to Such an DV20 of the other circle interactional interactional interactional interactional interactional interactional interactional int

#### FTIR analysis

To further understand the role of  $Eu<sup>3+</sup>$  in stabilizing the monoclinic phase, FT-IR analysis of all materials synthesized after 10 h of solvothermal reactions was carried out (Fig. 2a).



Fig. 1 The PXRD pattern of  $Bi_2SiO_5$  (BSO) and  $Eu^{3+}$ -doped  $Bi_2SiO_5$  (Eu-BSO) nanoparticles

The obtained bands with corresponding bond vibrations are tabulated in the ESI† (Table S2). The most distinct feature observed after  $Eu^{3+}$  incorporation is the intensification of the peak around 945  $\rm cm^{-1}$ . Zhu *et al.* have assigned this band to the stretching vibration mode of isolated  $\mathrm{(SiO_5)}^{6-}$  groups forming a distorted tetrahedron.<sup>31,34</sup> Thus, the intensification of this band in Eu-BSO compared to BSO strongly validates our claim that the incorporation of  $Eu^{3+}$  has induced asymmetry in the crystal structure leading to the faster generation and stabilization of the monoclinic BSO phase.

#### Elemental composition analysis

To quantify the exact amount of incorporated  $Eu^{3+}$  and its relative ratio to the amount of  $Bi^{3+}$  in the as-synthesized materials, ICP-AES and EDS analyses were performed and the obtained results are presented in Tables S3, S4 and Fig. S4 (ESI†). Both data suggest that the relative percentage of incorporated  $Eu^{3+}$  w.r.t.  $Bi^{3+}$  is in accordance with that of the corresponding reactant concentration. For example, the Eu<sup>3+</sup> present in Eu<sub>1.5</sub>-BSO was found to be 1.4 percent w.r.t.  $Bi^{3+}$  from ICP-AES, respectively.

#### Chemical state analysis

To understand the chemical nature of different elements in the as-synthesized materials, XPS analysis was performed for BSO and  $Eu_{1.5}$ -BSO and the results are shown in Fig. S3 (ESI†)

(calibrated to the C1s peak at 284.6 eV).<sup>35</sup> For BSO, the peaks at 159.1 eV and 164.3 eV corresponding to the binding energies of Bi  $4f_{7/2}$  and Bi  $4f_{5/2}$  core levels, respectively, are attributed to  $Bi^{3+}$  in the short Bi-O bonds of  $Bi_2SiO_5$  (Fig. S3a, ESI<sup>†</sup>).<sup>36</sup> Moreover, the two smaller peaks at 163.0 and 157.9 eV can be ascribed to the complex formed between the free  $Bi^{3+}$  present on the surface with ethylene glycol used as the solvent during synthesis. The absence of any significant change in the observed binding energy of  $Bi^{3+}$  in Eu<sub>1.5</sub>-BSO suggests that the chemical nature around the  $Bi^{3+}$  ions remains the same even after  $Eu^{3+}$ doping (Fig. S3b, ESI†).

The basis of peak separation of 5.2 eV is in agreement with the studies by Yu and co-workers where they observed a similar difference in binding energy for Bi  $4f_{7/2}$  and Bi  $4f_{5/2}$  levels.<sup>37</sup> The peak at 101.2 eV in both materials corresponds to the presence of  $Si<sup>4+</sup>$  and this stems from the Si-O bond of the silicate tetrahedra (Fig. S3c and d, ESI†). Interestingly, though Eu was found to primarily exist in its (+III) oxidation state as confirmed from the strong peaks at 1163.6 eV and 1133.96 eV, the presence of small amounts of  $Eu^{2+}$  was also evident from the appearance of its characteristic peaks at 1155.2 eV and 1125.8 eV (Fig. 2c).<sup>38</sup> In one of our previous articles, we have observed that ethylene glycol is capable of reducing  $Eu^{3+}$  into  $Eu^{2+}$  at high temperatures.<sup>39</sup> Therefore, we believe that the usage of ethylene glycol during synthesis is responsible for the partial reduction of  $Eu<sup>3+</sup>$  into  $Eu<sup>2+</sup>$  in the as-prepared Eu-BSO materials. The effect of the Paper Martinsked bands with corresponding bond vibriations are calibracd on the Cast peak at 281.0 Yell 200 Significations Articles. The most distinct state is licensed to the component on the distinct of the common commo



Fig. 2 (a) FT-IR spectra of BSO and Eu-BSO, XPS peaks of (b) O 1s for BSO (c) Eu 3d for Eu<sub>1.5</sub>-BSO and (d) O 1s for BSO.

#### Materials Advances Paper

formation of  $Eu^{2+}$  is also reflected in the O 1s spectrum as shown in Fig. 2b and d. For BSO, a peak at a binding energy of 529.2 eV arises. Interestingly, for  $Eu_{1.5}$ -BSO, while the lattice O peak appeared at 529.8 eV, a significant contribution in the overall spectrum was observed at 531.77 eV. In recent past, several research groups have attributed this to the O atoms present in the oxygen deficient regions in a material.<sup>40-42</sup> The relative percentage of the oxygen vacancies was determined following the protocol developed by Wang et al. where the ratio of the area under the curves was found to be  $\sim$  10.3% w.r.t. lattice oxygen present in  $Eu_{1.5}$ -BSO.<sup>43</sup> Therefore, the presence of  $Eu^{2+}$  in the Eu<sub>1.5</sub>-BSO results in the formation of a higher number of oxygen deficiencies, thus causing defect sites in semiconducting BSO. We believe that this may be due to the necessity of overall charge neutralization in the final material.

### Morphology analysis

The as-synthesized materials (BSO and Eu-BSO obtained after 10 h of reaction) were subjected to electron microscopy (FE-SEM and TEM) analyses to understand their morphologies. Both SEM and TEM images for BSO suggest the formation of nanoflakes like structures with an average length of 200 nm and an average width of 20–30 nm (Fig. 3 and Fig. S5, ESI†). The appearance of 0.263 nm lattice spacing in the HR-TEM image reveals the presence of the (002) plane associated with the monoclinic phase of BSO and this is further supported by the

corresponding FFT pattern. Interestingly, for all Eu-BSO nanoparticles, a self-assembly of such nanoflakes via interweaving into hierarchical microspheres was observed.<sup>44</sup>

### Nanoplate to microflower formation

To better understand the evolution of respective morphologies, a time dependent microscopy analysis was carried out. For the precursor of BSO (0 min) as well as BSO (30 min), spherical particles with a diameter of  $\sim$  7–8 nm were found to assemble into irregular structures with a roughened surface (Fig. 4b). Interestingly, after 2 h, these rough and irregular structures were transformed into nanoflakes and were found to grow radially into relatively larger flakes. A similar observation of irregular assembly of small spherical particles was observed for the precursor of  $Eu_{1.5}$ -BSO and  $Eu_{1.5}$ -BSO (30 min). But, for the  $Eu_{1.5}$ -BSO obtained from reactions carried out for longer times, self-assembly of nanoplates into hierarchical microspheres resembling that of the corresponding final product was observed (Fig. 4i–l).

We believe that the tendency of the nanoplates to selfassemble only in Eu-doped materials arises from the relatively higher population of oxygen deficiencies due to partial reduction of  $Eu<sup>3+</sup>$  into Eu<sup>2+</sup> at the surface. To confirm this, Sm<sup>3+</sup>-doped BSO was prepared through a solvothermal method and was characterized to be phase pure monoclinic by PXRD analysis (Fig. S6a, ESI†).  $Sm<sup>3+</sup>$  was chosen because it is known to strongly resist reduction into the  $Sm^{2+}$  state and therefore the generation of



Fig. 3 FE-SEM images of (a1 and a2) BSO nanoparticles, (b1 and b2) Eu<sub>0.5</sub>-BSO, (c1 and c2) Eu<sub>1</sub>-BSO, (d1 and d2) Eu<sub>1.5</sub>-BSO and (e) Eu<sub>2</sub>-BSO



Fig. 4 Time dependent evolution of  $(a-f)$  BSO nanoparticles depicting plate like structures;  $(g-l)$  Eu<sub>1.5</sub>-BSO depicting assembled structures

oxygen deficiencies at the surface would be minimum.<sup>45</sup> FE-SEM analysis confirmed that while the material is plate-like in morphology, no self-assembly has taken place despite the presence of a lanthanide dopant (Fig. S6b, ESI†). Therefore, we propose strongly that oxygen-deficiency was the primary driving force for the self-assembly process. The oxygen-deficiency resulted in localized charges at the surface resulting in high surface energy and in order to lower the overall surface energy of the system, the nanoflakes self-assembled into hierarchical structures. Thereafter, all materials (BSO and Eu-BSO) were subjected to EDS mapping analysis and as shown in Fig. S7 (ESI†), Eu was found to be evenly distributed in the materials.

#### Surface area analysis

To quantitatively understand the porosity features of the as-synthesized materials, nitrogen adsorption–desorption analysis was performed. For BSO, the isotherm was type IV in nature with a H3 hysteresis loop characterizing the formation of slit-like pores from plate-like particles (Fig.  $S_8$ ,  $ESI_7^+$ ).<sup>46</sup> Interestingly, the hysteresis in the isotherm for  $Eu<sub>0.5</sub>$ -BSO and  $Eu<sub>1.5</sub>$ -BSO corresponded to a combination of H3 and H4, which is typically symbolic for narrow slit-like pores having an irregular shape and broad size distribution. The surface area, average pore size and pore volume for all materials are presented in Table S5 (ESI†). While the BET surface area increased from 27  $\mathrm{m^2\,g^{-1}}$  for BSO to 70  $\mathrm{m^2\,g^{-1}}$  for Eu $_{1.5}$ -BSO, a sudden decrease to 18  $\mathrm{m^2~g^{-1}}$  was observed for Eu<sub>2</sub>-BSO. We believe that the initial improvement is a result of the self-assembly of the nanoplates into microflowers as the latter consisted of higher number of pores due to their hierarchical structure. Despite similar hierarchy, the steep decrease of surface area in  $Eu<sub>2</sub>$ -BSO probably arose due to the substantial lowering of pore volume for this material when compared to others. We believe that such a decreased pore volume in the presence of a higher dopant concentration was a result of the ''doping induced pore-collapse'' phenomenon.47,48

#### Optical property analysis

Upon 312 nm excitation, a broad emission peak centred at 470 nm was observed for all materials. This broad emission may be attributed to the bandgap emission from bismuth silicate.<sup>49</sup> According to reports, the valence band of  $Bi<sub>2</sub>SiO<sub>5</sub>$  was comprised

of Bi 6s and O 2p orbitals, whereas the conduction band was comprised of Bi 6p orbitals. From Fig. S9a (ESI†), it is observed that the emission intensity of the  $Eu<sub>1.5</sub>$ -BSO nanoparticles is the least among the materials signifying a lower degree of radiative recombination. We believe that such lowering of recombination is primarily due to the trapping of the excitons in the defects evolved after Eu-doping. This also suggests the applicability of this material in applications that require charge extraction, such as photocatalysis.

The presence of  $Eu^{3+}$  ions in  $Bi_2SiO_5$  is advantageous as it is a suitable optical probe in understanding the crystallinity of the local environment. To confirm the presence of  $Eu^{3+}$ -doping, photoluminescence spectra were recorded in solid-state by 396 nm excitation. The emission spectra of Eu-doped BSO nanoparticles display five bands around 580 nm, 595 nm, 616 nm, 653 nm and 703 nm wavelength regions corresponding to  ${}^{5}D_{0} \rightarrow {}^{7}F_{J=0-4}$ , transitions in Eu<sup>3+</sup>, respectively (Fig. S9b, ESI<sup>†</sup>). The higher intensity of the hypersensitive  ${}^{5}D_0$   $\rightarrow$   ${}^{7}F_2$ (616 nm) electric-dipole transition as compared to that of the  ${}^{5}D_{0}$   $\rightarrow$   ${}^{7}F_{1}$  (595 nm) magnetic dipole transition indicates that the metal sites are of low symmetry and possess no inversion centre.<sup>50</sup> It is interesting to note that while the emission bands corresponding to all the transitions from  ${}^{5}D_{0} \rightarrow {}^{7}F_{1,2,3,4}$ increase in intensity up to  $Eu<sub>1.5</sub>$ -BSO, a decrease was observed for the Eu<sub>2</sub>-BSO. The observed decrease in intensity with an increase in  $Eu^{3+}$  is attributed to increased cross-relaxation and concentration quenching.<sup>51</sup> To probe the presence of  $Eu^{2+}$  in BSO nanoparticles, the solid state materials were excited at a wavelength of 465 nm,<sup>52</sup> and the corresponding photoluminescence spectra are shown in Fig. S10 (ESI†). A broad peak centred at 496 nm and 514 nm corresponding to the transition  $4f^65d^1 \rightarrow 4f^7$ has been observed corresponding to Eu<sup>2+</sup>.<sup>53-55</sup> As the amount of Eu doping was very miniscule, the doping amount was increased to 2.5% to obtain a relatively higher intensity of emission. Furthermore, relatively weaker peaks at 595 nm, 616 nm, 653 nm and 703 nm, characteristic of  $Eu^{3+}$ , were also observed in the photoluminescence spectra of the materials. The high intensity of peaks arising from  $Eu^{2+}$  compared to those arising from  $Eu^{2+}$  may be ascribed to the allowed inter-configuration nature of the transitions. In fact, the intensity ratio of the 496 nm peak belonging to  $Eu^{2+}$ and 714 nm belonging to  $Eu^{3+}$  was found to be highest in case of

Eu<sub>1.5</sub>-BSO implying that the proportion of conversion of Eu<sup>3+</sup> into  $Eu^{2+}$  is maximum in this material.

### Band gap analysis

The band gap of all materials was determined using diffuse reflectance spectroscopy. Fig. S11a (ESI†) shows the absorption spectra of the different Eu-BSO nanomaterials. The Eu-BSO materials showed a blue-shift with an increase in the dopant amount. This may be either due to an increase in the Fermi energy of the conduction edge leading to band gap enhancement or due to reduction of particle size with an increase in  $Eu^{3+}$  doping.<sup>56</sup> In general, band gaps of semiconductors are determined using Tauc plots according to the following formula

$$
\alpha h\nu = A(h\nu - E_{\rm g})^{2/n} \tag{1}
$$

where, the value of  $n$  is dependent on the type of semiconductor, *i.e.*,  $n = 1$  for a direct bandgap semiconductor and  $n = 4$  for an indirect bandgap semiconductor. However, for solid state materials, the bandgap calculated using the Kubelka–Munk plot according to the following formula is known to provide more appropriate results.

$$
F(R) = (1 - R)^2 / 2R
$$
 (2)

where,  $F(R)$  is the Kubelka–Munk function,  $(1 - R)$  signifies the molar absorption coefficient, 2R signifies the scattering factor, and R is the reflectance of the solid material. The band gap of a semiconductor is obtained by plotting  $h\nu$  as the abscissa and  $(F(R)h\nu)^{2/n}$  in the ordinate. As BSO is known to be a direct bandgap semiconductor, the bandgap was calculated from the intercept of the slope at *x*-axis for the plot between  $(F(R)h\nu)^{4/2}$  and  $h\nu$  at  $F(R) = 0.^{28}$  The calculated bandgap of BSO nanoparticles is 3.62 eV as shown in Fig. S11b (ESI†). The bandgaps for  $Eu<sub>0.5</sub>$ -BSO,  $Eu<sub>1.5</sub>$ -BSO,  $Eu<sub>1.5</sub>$ -BSO, and  $Eu<sub>2</sub>BSO$  were calculated to be 3.63 eV, 3.64 eV, 3.83 eV, and 3.80 eV, respectively. This slight increase in the bandgap with an increase in the  $Eu^{3+}$  doping concentration is in accordance with the blue-shift of the absorption spectra towards lower wavelength.

### Band position analysis

The band positions of the undoped and doped BSO nanoparticles were determined using the Mott Schottky plot obtained at different frequencies as shown in Fig. S12 (ESI†). The analysis was performed thrice and the average potential and the standard deviation were calculated (Table S6, ESI†). The positive slope obtained for BSO and Eu-BSO suggests that the synthesised nanoparticles retain their n-type character even after doping.<sup>57</sup> As shown in Fig. S12 (ESI†), the negative flat band potentials of  $-0.97$  V,  $-1.02$  V,  $-1.01$  V,  $-0.61$  V, and  $-0.61$  V (vs. NHE) corresponding to BSO,  $Eu_{0.5}$ -BSO,  $Eu_{1}$ -BSO,  $Eu_{1.5}$ -BSO, and  $Eu_{2}$ -BSO were directly used as the conduction band (CB) potential and the band gap value calculated using the Kubelka–Munk plot was subsequently used to determine the valence band (VB) position of the nanoparticles. As shown in Fig. S13 (ESI†), the valence band potentials of BSO,  $Eu_{0.5}$ -BSO,  $Eu_{1}$ -BSO,  $Eu_{1.5}$ -BSO, and  $Eu_{2}$ -BSO were calculated to be 2.65 V, 2.61 V, 2.63 V, 3.22 V, and 3.19 V, respectively, using the formula:

$$
E_{\rm g} = \rm CB - VB \tag{3}
$$

### Water decontamination

The improved BET surface area, stabilized monoclinic phase, and lower degree of radiative recombination in Eu-BSO compared to BSO motivated us to employ the as-synthesized materials for water decontamination. First, the adsorption ability of the materials was explored.

(a) Adsorption analysis. To understand the adsorption capability of the as-synthesized materials, an aqueous solution containing methylene blue as the model pollutant was chosen. The removal of methylene blue was calculated by observing the change in its absorption spectra at  $\lambda = 640$  nm. Among the various Eu-BSO materials analysed, adsorption studies were carried out with  $Eu_{1.5}$ -BSO due to its highest microstructural properties. The equilibrium adsorption capacity of BSO and  $Eu<sub>1.5</sub>$ -BSO at different dye concentrations was computed using eqn (4).

$$
q_{\rm e} = (C_0 - C_{\rm e})V/m \tag{4}
$$

where,  $q_e$  is the equilibrium adsorption capacity (mg  $g^{-1}$ ),  $C_0$  and  $C_e$  are the initial and equilibrium concentration of the adsorbate in the solution phase (mg  $L^{-1}$ ), respectively, V is the solution volume  $(L)$ , and m is the adsorbent mass  $(g)$ . As shown in Fig. 5a and b, the adsorption capacity of  $Eu<sub>1.5</sub>$ -BSO was found to be  $\sim$ 3.75 times higher at low dye concentration (5 and 10  $\mu$ M) and  $\sim$  2.5 times higher at relatively higher dye concentration (20 and 50  $\mu$ M), compared to BSO thereby suggesting that the dye adsorption capability of BSO has significantly improved upon  $Eu^{3+}$ -incorporation. To better understand the nature of interactions responsible as well as the timescale for the adsorption processes, time-dependent dye adsorption analysis was performed with 10  $\mu$ M and 50  $\mu$ M methylene blue solutions. The obtained data (Fig. S14 and S15, ESI†) were then fitted to pseudo-first order, pseudo-second order and intraparticle diffusion models according to their linear expressions and the validity of the models was determined from the corresponding determination coefficient  $(R^2)$  values (eqn (5)-(7), respectively). Materials Advances<br>
Europeis Article proporcion of conversion of Eu<sup>142</sup> and bose degree of radiative encoded interaction in first Boundary and<br>
In the proporcion articles was determined using diffuse variety decompositio

$$
\log(q_{\rm e} - q_t) = \log q_{\rm e} - (k_1 t/2.303) \tag{5}
$$

$$
t/q_t = (1/k_2 q_e^2) + (t/q_e)
$$
 (6)

$$
q_t = k_i t^{0.5} + C \tag{7}
$$

where,  $q_{\text{e}}$  and  $q_t$  are the amounts of adsorbed dye  $(\text{mg g}^{-1})$  at equilibrium and at time  $t$  (min), and  $k_1$  is the adsorption rate  $\text{constant} \, (\text{min}^{-1})$ .  $k_2 \, (\text{g} \, \text{mg}^{-1} \, \text{min}^{-1})$  is the pseudo-second-order rate constant.  $k_i$  is the intraparticle diffusion rate (mg  $g^{-1}$  min<sup>-0.5</sup>) and  $c$  (mg  $g^{-1}$ ) is a constant related to the thickness of the boundary layer.

It is clearly evident from Fig. 5c, d and Fig. S14 and S15 (ESI<sup>†</sup>) that the  $R^2$  of the pseudo-second order kinetic model is much higher than that of the other two models and therefore, we may conclude that the dye adsorption processes for both BSO and  $Eu_{1.5}$ -BSO at different dye concentrations are primarily proceeding through the chemisorption process.15,58–60

As mentioned in the introduction section, the adsorption process must be fast for practical application purposes. In this context, the as-synthesized materials were found to be excellent candidates as majority of the pollutant removal took place



Fig. 5 (a) Absorption spectra of methylene blue after attaining equilibrium adsorption by BSO and Eu<sub>1.5</sub>-BSO, respectively (b) relative adsorption rate for methylene blue. The pseudo-second order fitting for determining the kinetic rate of methylene blue adsorption by (c) BSO and (d) Eu<sub>1.5</sub>-BSO.

within the first five minutes of contact period for both BSO and Eu<sub>1.5</sub>-BSO (Fig. S14, ESI†). This also gets reflected in the  $k_2$ values (Table S7, ESI†), which represent the time required to attain an equilibrium state. A higher  $k_2$  value indicates faster attainment of the equilibrium state.<sup>61</sup> While the majority of recent articles report this value to be in the order of  $10^{-3}$  or  $10^{-4}$ , the values shown by BSO and  $Eu_{1.5}$ -BSO were found to be almost 2–3 orders higher in magnitude  $({\sim}10^{-2})$ , thereby suggesting the ability of the as-synthesized materials to adsorb the pollutants quickly.

Moreover, the decrease in  $k_2$  values with an increase in the concentration of dyes indicates the dependence of attaining the equilibrium on the initial dye concentration and this is in accordance with the theoretical interpretations of this parameter.<sup>62,63</sup> To our knowledge, the  $k_2$  values alone cannot present the true capability of the materials in terms of rate of dye removal. This is because, while in the first five minutes of contact in an aqueous solution of  $10 \mu$ M methylene blue, BSO showing a  $k_2$  value of 39.3  $\times$   $10^{-2}$  g mg $^{-1}$  min $^{-1}$  removed ~18 percent of the dye, and Eu<sub>1.5</sub>-BSO showing a  $k_2$  value of  $6.5 \times 10^{-2}$  g mg<sup>-1</sup> min<sup>-1</sup> removed  $\sim$  66 percent.

Thus, despite lower  $k_2$ , Eu<sub>1.5</sub>-BSO was able to remove much higher amount of pollutant from aqueous solutions in the initial five minutes. In this context, the initial sorption rate  $(h = k_2 q_e^2)$  is a more appropriate parameter to represent the true potential of materials in faster removal of pollutants and was calculated for BSO and  $Eu_{1.5}$ -BSO.<sup>64</sup> As shown in Table S7 (ESI<sup>†</sup>), the h of Eu<sub>1.5</sub>-BSO was  $\sim$  2.46 and  $\sim$  2.41 times higher than that of BSO for 10 and 50  $\mu$ M dye solutions, respectively. Upon comparing the values with literature reports (Table S8, ESI†), it is quite evident that Eu<sub>1.5</sub>-BSO with an *h* value of 3.25 mg  $g^{-1}$  min<sup>-1</sup> is a promising material for faster dye removal from aqueous solutions. Thereafter, to understand the maximum adsorption capacity  $(q<sub>m</sub>)$  of BSO and  $Eu<sub>1.5</sub>$ -BSO as well as to garner further information regarding the interaction between the sorbate and the adsorbent, the obtained data were fitted into linear expressions of the Langmuir and Freundlich isotherms. The validity of the models was determined from the corresponding coefficient of determination  $(R^2)$  values. As shown in Fig. S16 (ESI†), BSO and  $Eu_{1.5}$ -BSO were found to follow the Freundlich and Langmuir isotherms, respectively. Moreover, the maximum adsorption capacity  $(q_m)$  of Eu<sub>1.5</sub>-BSO was found to be  $\sim$  9.53 mg g<sup>-1</sup> from the corresponding Langmuir isotherm analysis (Table S9, ESI†).

(b) Photocatalytic dye degradation. While the adsorption capacity was found to significantly improve upon  $Eu<sup>3+</sup>$  incorporation, the major objective of this work was to synthesize a material with both fast dye removal and degradation properties. Therefore, the photocatalytic dye degradation activities of BSO and all Eu-BSO nanoparticles were analysed following the standard protocol. Methylene blue was found to self-degrade up to  $\sim$  15 percent under simulated solar radiation within 180 minutes (Fig. 6a). In contrast, the addition of BSO in the dye solution resulted in the degradation of  $\sim$  40 percent of the dye, thereby signifying that the materials are able to degrade the pollutants in the presence of light. A significant improvement of the photocatalytic activity was observed when Eu-BSO materials were added to the dye solutions. For example, the dye degradation was found to be highest ( $\sim$ 98%) using Eu<sub>1.5</sub>-BSO as the photocatalyst for 180 minutes. For  $Eu_{0.5}$ -BSO,  $Eu_{1}$ -BSO, and  $Eu_{2}$ -BSO, the degrees of degradation were 90, 94 and 96 percent, respectively. The increase in the photocatalytic activity in Eu-BSO over BSO is further supported from the kinetics of dye degradation as well.

Upon calculating the rate of dye degradation of methylene blue using first order kinetics, the rate constant of Eu-BSO materials improved by  $\sim$  5 times compared to BSO (as shown in Fig. 6b). The above data clearly suggest that upon  $Eu^{3+}$  incorporation, not

only the dye adsorption but also the dye degradation properties of the materials got significantly enhanced. Different amounts of methylene blue dye solution were studied to evaluate the effectiveness of  $Eu_{1.5}$ -BSO in the degradation of contaminants. As depicted in Fig. S17 (ESI†), the relative adsorption is nearly 40% for 75  $\mu$ M dye solution and upon light irradiation, the catalyst was able to remove  $\sim$ 99% of the dye. The removal of pollutants and subsequent degradation efficiency of  $Eu<sub>1.5</sub>$ -BSO were further evaluated using aqueous solutions containing other common organic pollutants such as, rhodamine B (RhB), methyl orange (MO), chicago sky blue (CSB), rose Bengal (RBX) dye (Fig. S18 and S21a, ESI†).

These dyes were chosen as they are considered as the model cationic dye, anionic dye, neutral azo dye and neutral dye with attached halogen atoms. It was observed that the amount of dye degradation was 60, 55 and 80 percent for MO, CSB and RBX, respectively. The practical use of  $Eu<sub>1.5</sub>$ -BSO was further evaluated by studying its ability to degrade industrial and pharmaceutical wastes such as phenol and antibiotics. It was found to be able to remove  $\sim$  80% of phenol,  $\sim$  65% of tetracycline hydrochloride and  $\sim 60\%$  of chloramphenicol (as shown in Fig. S21b, ESI†),



Fig. 6 (a) The photodegradation of methylene blue dye (50  $\mu$ M) in the presence of BSO under a solar simulator. (b) Rate of degradation of methylene blue assuming first order kinetics (c) Recyclability study of the photocatalytic process with Eu<sub>1.5</sub>-BSO, and (d) photocatalytic experiments performed in the presence of active species scavengers.

which are considered as leading causes of superbug problems<sup>65</sup> associated with dumping of pharmaceutical waste in river bodies.

The stability of the  $Eu_{1.5}$ -BSO as a photocatalyst was determined by carrying out recyclability studies and it was found to be able to retain  $\sim$  95 percent of its initial dye removal activity after five consecutive cycles (Fig. 6c). The slight reduction in the photocatalytic activity of  $Eu_{1.5}$ -BSO can be attributed to the pore collapse phenomenon which is known to occur for oxide based semiconductors after annealing them at higher temperatures that was employed in this work between consecutive cycles for complete removal of dye molecules from the materials.<sup>66</sup>

To understand the reactive species that are primarily responsible for dye degradation, the photocatalytic experiments were performed in the presence of Na<sub>2</sub>EDTA, AgNO<sub>3</sub>, isopropanol, and Ar as the hole scavenger, electron scavenger, hydroxide ion scavenger, and superoxide ion scavenger, respectively. The impeded degradation in the presence of EDTA and Ar suggests that holes and superoxide ions are the active radicals involved (Fig. 6d). To further confirm this, photocatalytic reactions were performed in the presence of a different set of scavenging ions. Ammonium oxalate, p-benzoquinone, potassium persulphate, and tertiary butyl alcohol were employed as hole, superoxide, electron, and hydroxide radical scavengers, respectively (as shown in Fig. S22, ESI†). This also complements the earlier inference that holes are the primary radical species responsible for the degradation of dyes during photocatalysis closely followed by superoxide ions. This is because scavenger species such as  $Na<sub>2</sub>EDTA$  and  $(NH<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>C<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>$  have a tendency to quickly form intermediates with holes to generate glyoxylic acid, iminodiacetic acid, etc., which does not allow the holes to take part in the degradation mechanism any further.<sup>67</sup> Superoxide radicals are not able to carry out oxidation in the presence of benzoquinones, as the radical involves itself in a cyclic process of redox reactions. Similarly, the constant purging of Ar hinders the formation of superoxide ions, which hampers the degradation rate.<sup>68</sup>

#### Degradation mechanism

To understand the reaction mechanism, the rhodamine B solution at different time intervals (60 min, 120 min and 180 min) was collected during photocatalysis and subjected to ESI-MS analysis to identify the various intermediates. As shown in Fig. S19a (ESI†), the initial  $m/z$  denoted as  $-60$  is referred to as the rhodamine solution without any catalyst. The peak at 443 is referred to as the rhodamine B molecule. After 60 min of light irradiation, the peaks observed at  $m/z$  values of 413, 387, and 359 are identified with de-ethylated fragments of rhodamine B. The peaks at m/z values of 274, 244, and 230 are various decarboxylated intermediates as observed at 120 min and 180 min, respectively (Fig. S19c and d, ESI†). It is interesting to note that with an increase in light irradiation, the intensity of the N-de-ethylated products further decreased. Based on the above observation, a probable fragmentation mechanism of rhodamine B is shown in Fig. S20 (ESI†) The intermediates identified at different time intervals are similar to the previously reported literature.<sup>69-72</sup>

Rationale behind improvement of photocatalytic activity upon Eu incorporation. Upon photo-irradiation, an electron–hole

pair gets generated with the electron occupying the conduction band (CB) and the hole occupying the valence band (VB) in a material. The excited electrons and the holes are known to react with dissolved oxygen and water to produce superoxide  $(O^{2-\bullet})$  and peroxide ('OH) radicals, respectively. These radicals are thermodynamically unstable and rapidly decompose organic materials. For an efficient photocatalytic process, the recombination of electrons and holes is undesirable and therefore, the reasons behind the improvement of photocatalytic activity of Eu-BSO over BSO may be ascribed to efficient charge separation and extraction.<sup>73,74</sup> To our understanding, the following factors are primarily responsible for inhibiting the electron–hole recombination: (i)  $Eu<sup>3+</sup>$  present in the material can effectively trap the photo-excited electrons to produce Eu<sup>2+</sup>. Thereafter, due to the higher reduction potential of  $O_2/O_2$ <sup>-•</sup>  $(0.046 \text{ V})$  compared to  $\text{Eu}^{3+}/\text{Eu}^{2+}$   $(-0.35 \text{ V})$ ,  $\text{Eu}^{2+}$  rapidly de-traps and transfers the electrons to  $O_2$  adsorbed on the catalyst surface leading to the efficient production of reactive  $O^{2-\bullet}$ . Such a beneficial effect of  $Eu^{3+}$ -doping in Bi-based photocatalysts has also been reported by few other research groups in the recent past.75 However, such an improvement in surface area followed by high adsorption has not been observed. The effect of  $Eu^{3+}$  incorporation on photocatalysis is further validated by the improved photocatalytic activity of Eu<sub>2</sub>-BSO over BSO. Despite inferior microstructural properties, especially the lower surface area and pore volume of the former, its significantly enhanced photocatalytic activity can only be described by considering the reduced recombination of excitons by trapping of electrons in  $Eu^{3+}$  and subsequent higher generation of reactive species. (ii) As has been suggested by XPS analysis, the Eu-BSO materials possess a higher number of oxygen deficient regions. Several research groups have reported that these oxygen deficient defects are excellent sites for temporary trapping of electrons leading to reduction in exciton recombination.<sup>76-78</sup> Therefore, the defect sites play a pivotal role behind the promotion of the photocatalytic process. Paper Moth are considered as leading causes of superbug problems" pair gets generated with the electron occupying the construction are considered under the most of the components are also are considered under the componen

Role of O deficiency sites. We believe that the oxygen vacancy sites are playing a pivotal role behind the dye removal processes. The presence of localised excess electrons and unsaturated sites allow the small molecules to firmly bind and take part in further reactions. The oxygen vacancies serve as the initial binding sites for the model pollutants.<sup>79,80</sup> After successful binding of the molecules to the Eu-BSO surface, the reactive oxygen species generated reacts with them leading to degradation. Therefore, the O vacancy sites play a key role in accelerating the adsorption as well as photocatalytic processes on the Eu-BSO nanoparticles.

The effectiveness of the  $Eu<sub>1.5</sub>$ -BSO material towards the rapid removal and photocatalytic degradation of such a wide range of organic pollutants from aqueous solutions compared to the already available reports (Table S10, ESI†) clearly validates it to be a potent material for practical application purposes.

# **Conclusions**

In conclusion, the incorporation of  $Eu^{3+}$  aided in the rapid formation and stabilization of  $Bi<sub>2</sub>SiO<sub>5</sub>$  in its monoclinic phase by inducing asymmetry in the structure during material growth.

Partial reduction of  $Eu^{3+}$  to  $Eu^{2+}$  due to reaction conditions resulted in the generation of defect sites with oxygen deficient regions on the surface. To lower the surface energy, the initially formed nanoflakes self-assembled into hierarchical microflowers with substantial improvement in surface area as compared to  $Bi<sub>2</sub>SiO<sub>5</sub>$ . The as-synthesized materials, particularly after  $Eu<sup>3+</sup>$  incorporation showed high efficiency in adsorbing a wide range of pollutants from aqueous medium through the chemisorption process with an initial adsorption rate as high as 3.25 mg  $g^{-1}$  min<sup>-1</sup>. Further, Eu<sup>3+</sup> incorporation was found to significantly improve the photocatalytic activity of  $Bi<sub>2</sub>SiO<sub>5</sub>$ ( $\sim$  5 times enhancement in rate constant). This was primarily due to the lower degree of recombination as (i)  $Eu<sup>3+</sup>$  present in the material can effectively trap the photo-excited electrons to produce  $Eu^{2+}$ , which thereafter rapidly de-traps and transfers the electrons to  $O_2$  adsorbed on the catalyst surface leading to the efficient production of reactive  $O_2$ <sup>-•</sup> radicals, and (ii) the presence of higher oxygen deficient defects acted as excellent sites for temporary trapping of electrons to generate the reactive radical species responsible for photocatalytic degradation. The effectiveness of  $Eu^{3+}$ -incorporation to enhance the rapid removal of such a wide range of organic pollutants from aqueous solutions through adsorption and photocatalytic degradation processes compared to the available reports obviously validate it to be a promising strategy to develop ''super-mop'' materials for practical application purposes. Materials Advances<br>
Experimention of Cafe ties to reaction conditions Symbesis of Pa(8%) doped 86.860; (Eq.,BSO) anapparticles<br>
conduction the gracinon of Cafe ties with common the matter the curious terms of Pa<sup>1</sup> doptin

## Experimental

#### Materials

Bismuth nitrate pentahydrate, ethylene glycol, concentrated monomethyl ether, concentrated nitric acid, and sodium hydroxide pellets were purchased from Merck. Sodium metasilicate, methylene blue, rhodamine B, Chicago sky blue, and rose Bengal dye were purchased from Loba chemicals. Europium oxide was purchased from Sigma Aldrich. Crystalline phenol was purchased from SRL chemicals. All chemicals were used without further purification.

#### Synthesis of  $Bi<sub>2</sub>SiO<sub>5</sub>$  (BSO) nanoparticles

Bismuth silicate nanoparticles (NPs) were synthesised via a solvothermal method. Initially, for the undoped samples,  $Bi(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>·5H<sub>2</sub>O$  (2 mmol = 970.14 mg) was taken in a 100 ml glass beaker with 20 ml of ethylene glycol to dissolve the nitrate salts. After stirring the beaker on a magnetic stirrer for 20 min at room temperature, white crystals of  $(Bi(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>)$  were dissolved. Following this, the sodium silicate solution (1 mmol = 284.2 mg dissolved in 20 ml) was added dropwise and stirred for another 30 min. This was succeeded by dropwise addition of 2 M solution of NaOH till the pH reaches 11. The white opaque basic solution was stirred for 30 min before transferring it to a Teflon based autoclave and heated at 200  $\degree$ C for 10 h. After cooling, the resulting product was centrifuged 3–4 times with deionised water and ethanol, and dried overnight at 60 $\degree$ C in an oven.

#### Synthesis of Eu( $x\%$ ) doped-Bi<sub>2</sub>SiO<sub>5</sub> (Eu<sub>x</sub>-BSO) nanoparticles

 $Eu<sup>3+</sup>$  doped samples were prepared in the same manner. Typically for 0.5% of Eu<sup>3+</sup> doping, 0.005 mmol of Eu<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> was converted to nitrates by stirring and heating in HNO<sub>3</sub>. After that 1.99 mmol of  $Bi(NO)<sub>3</sub>·5H<sub>2</sub>O$  was dissolved in ethylene glycol. The europium nitrate solution dissolved in 20 ml of water was added to the bismuth nitrate solution. After stirring for 30 min, 1 mmol of sodium metasilicate dissolved in 20 ml of water was added dropwise to the solution containing nitrate solution of  $Bi<sup>3+</sup>$  and Eu3+. After 30 min of stirring 2 M NaOH solution was added till the pH reaches 11. The whole dispersion was transferred to an autoclave vessel and heated at 200 $\degree$ C for 10 h. After cooling, the solution was centrifuged 3–4 times with deionised water and ethanol and dried overnight at 60 $\degree$ C in an oven. Similarly, 1.0%, 1.5%, and 2.0% Eu<sup>3+</sup>-doped  $Bi<sub>2</sub>SiO<sub>5</sub>$  were prepared. The samples were abbreviated as BSO for  $Bi_2SiO_5$  nanoparticles and  $Eu_x$ -BSO for  $x$  (=0.5, 1, 1.5, and 2)% Eu<sup>3+</sup> doped Bi<sub>2</sub>SiO<sub>5</sub> nanoparticles.

#### Characterization

The crystallinity and phase analysis of nanoparticles was carried out using powder X-ray diffraction (PXRD) measurements using a Rigaku-Smartlab diffractometer with Cu-Ka 1.54059 radiation operating at 70 kV and 35 mA at a scanning rate of  $1^{\circ}$  min<sup>-1</sup> in the range of  $10-80^\circ$ . The samples were completely well powdered and spread evenly on a quartz slide. The morphology of the nanoparticles was characterized by field emission scanning electron microscopy (FESEM). FESEM images were taken using a JEOL (JSM 6390 LV) instrument and the samples were coated with a thin film of gold in order to avoid charging effects prior to loading of the samples into the chamber. EDS was performed on a SUPRA 55-VP instrument with patented GEMINI column technology. The surface area measurement was carried out with a Micromeritics Gemini VII surface area analyser. The nitrogen adsorption–desorption isotherms were reported by BJH (Barret-Joyner-Halenda) surface/volume mesopore analysis. Each sample was degassed at 150 °C for 12 h. Fourier transform infrared studies were performed with a PerkinElmer Spectrum RX1 with KBr pellets. Diffuse reflectance UV-vis spectra (DRS) of the samples were recorded with a Varian Cary 100 spectrophotometer equipped with a diffuse reflectance accessory in the region of 250–500 nm, with barium sulphate as the reference. The reflectance spectra were converted into Kubelka–Munk function  $[F(R)]$  which is proportional to the absorption coefficient for low values of  $F(R)$ . The PL spectra were recorded on a Horiba Fluoromax 4 spectrometer equipped with a tungsten xenon lamp. The excitation and emission light was dispersed using a Czerny Turner monochromator with an optical resolution of 1 nm. The emitted photons were detected using a Himamatsu R928 detector. The output signal was recorded using a computer. Room temperature optical UV- visible absorption spectra of all samples were recorded on a Lab India spectrophotometer. TEM images were collected on a JEM 2100F field emission transmission electron microscope operating at 200 kV. ICP-AES analysis was carried out in an ACROS, simultaneous ICP spectrometer manufactured by SPECTRO Analytical Instruments GmbH, Germany. XPS analysis was performed using a PHI 5000 Versa

Probe II, FEI Inc. XPS system. Mott Schottky plots were obtained at different frequencies using a Biologic 300 electrochemical station with a three electrode system.

#### Adsorption

Adsorption was performed by mixing 1 mg/1 ml solution of catalyst (BSO and  $Eu_{1.5}$ -BSO) in methylene blue dye taken at different concentrations (5  $\mu$ M, 10  $\mu$ M, 20  $\mu$ M, and 50  $\mu$ M). Initially, 3 mg of adsorbent was taken in a cuvette with 3 ml of solution. The solution was magnetically stirred for 1 min to allow mixing of the adsorbate and adsorbent using a rice pellet. Then the cuvette was kept inside the spectrophotometer and the absorbance was measured at different time intervals to determine the kinetic rate of removal of the dye. The measurement was carried out until no change in the absorption spectrum of the dye was recorded to establish the equilibrium adsorption.

#### Photocatalysis

Photocatalysis was performed by taking the catalyst to the dye solution ratio as 1 mg: 1 ml. Typically, 20 mg of catalyst was dissolved in 20 ml of solution (50  $\mu$ M unless mentioned separately) taken in a 100 ml beaker. Before adding the catalyst, 1 ml of the dye solution was separated to be used for determining the initial concentration of the dye. The catalyst dispersed in the solution was stirred for 1 h under dark conditions by covering the beaker in aluminium foil. This was done to establish the adsorption–desorption equilibrium between the adsorbent and solution. After this, a 1 ml aliquot was taken to determine the concentration of the solution after the equilibrium has been established to see if any solution is adsorbed by the catalyst. Then the solution was kept under a simulated solar Xe lamp (Newport, Stanford) with 140 W power (70 mA). 1 ml aliquots were taken after a time interval of 30 min. The aliquots taken at different time intervals were diluted by adding 3 ml of deionised water and the catalyst present in the dispersion was removed by centrifugation. The supernatant of the solution was taken for analysis by UV-vis absorption spectroscopy. The rate of degradation was calculated by the following equation assuming pseudo first order kinetics. Paper Motion (FEI Inc. XIPS system. Moti Schotly plots were obtained ontrom as the working circumde, and Agolar State a

$$
\ln(C/C_0) = kt \tag{8}
$$

where,  $C_0$  is the initial dye concentration,  $C$  is the dye concentration at different time intervals,  $k$  is the rate constant, and t is the time. The recyclability measurements were performed by collecting the  $Eu_{1.5}$ -BSO catalyst after the photocatalytic degradation of the rhodamine dye solution and then using centrifugation with continuous washing by water and acetone to remove the adsorbed dye. The catalyst was dried in an oven overnight at 60  $\degree$ C and then calcined at 400  $\degree$ C for 1 h with 10  $\degree$ C  $\mathrm{min}^{-1}$  heating rate. The used catalyst was also examined using PXRD to check the phase integrity of the material prior to further usage for the degradation of rhodamine dye.

#### Electrochemical measurement

The flat band potential was determined using the Mott Schottky plot using a three electrode system with material coated glassy

carbon as the working electrode, platinum as the counter electrode, and Ag/AgCl (satd. KCl) as the reference electrode. 5 mg of catalyst was mixed with 50  $\mu$ L of Nafion as a binder and  $500 \mu L$  of ethanol to prepare the ink, which was subsequently drop cast on the working electrode. 1 M  $Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>$  solution was used as an electrolyte. The potential measured was calibrated to the normal hydrogen electrode (NHE) using the equation:

$$
E_{\text{NHE}} = E_{\text{Ag/AgCl}} + 0.0591 \times \text{pH} + 0.22 \text{ V} \tag{9}
$$

# Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

# Acknowledgements

The authors acknowledge DST, India, for funding the project (DST/TMD/MES/2K17/70) and IISER Kolkata for instrumental facilities. The authors thank ''TEM, DST-FIST, IISER, Kolkata'' for providing TEM facility and ''DST and SAIF, IIT Bombay'' for ICP-AES analysis. We are thankful to NCL Pune for XPS analysis. For fellowship, D. S. and A. E. P. are thankful to IISER Kolkata and DST-INSPIRE, respectively.

## Notes and references

- 1 S. Karak, K. Dey, A. Torris, A. Halder, S. Bera, F. Kanheerampockil and R. Banerjee, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2019, 141, 7572–7581.
- 2 H. Liu, J. B. Joo, M. Dahl, L. Fu, Z. Zeng and Y. Yin, Energy Environ. Sci., 2015, 8, 286–296.
- 3 P. Samanta, A. V. Desai, S. Let and S. K. Ghosh, ACS Sustainable Chem. Eng., 2019, 7, 7456–7478.
- 4 D. Li, Q. Li, N. Bai, H. Dong and D. Mao, ACS Sustainable Chem. Eng., 2017, 5, 5598–5607.
- 5 M. M. Ayad and A. A. El-Nasr, J. Phys. Chem. C, 2010, 114, 14377–14383.
- 6 Q. Sui, J. Huang, Y. Liu, X. Chang, G. Ji, S. Deng, T. Xie and G. Yu, J. Environ. Sci., 2011, 23, 177–182.
- 7 X. Xing, H. Qu, R. Shao, Q. Wang and H. Xie, Water Sci. Technol., 2017, 76, 1243–1250.
- 8 C. X. Gui, Q.-Q. Wang, S.-M. Hao, J. Qu, P. P. Huang, C. Y. Cao, W. G. Song and Z.-Z. Yu, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2014, 6, 14653–14659.
- 9 S. Ganguli, C. Hazra, T. Samanta and V. Mahalingam, RSC Adv., 2015, 5, 45611–45617.
- 10 J. Li, X. Dong, G. Zhang, W. Cui, W. Cen, Z. Wu, S. C. Lee and F. Dong, J. Mater. Chem. A, 2019, 7, 3366–3374.
- 11 J. Li, W. Cui, P. Chen, X. Dong, Y. Chu, J. Sheng, Y. Zhang, Z. Wang and F. Dong, Appl. Catal., B, 2020, 260, 118130.
- 12 C. K. Rastogi, S. K. Sharma, A. Patel, G. Parthasarathy, R. G. S. Pala, J. Kumar and S. Sivakumar, J. Phys. Chem. C, 2017, 121, 16501–16512.
- 13 Y. Gao, Y. Huang, Y. Li, Q. Zhang, J. Cao, W. Ho and S. C. Lee, ACS Sustainable Chem. Eng., 2016, 4, 6912–6920.
- 14 S. Usai, S. Obregón, A. I. Becerro and G. Colón, J. Phys. Chem. C, 2013, 117, 24479–24484.
- 15 C. O. Panão, E. L. S. Campos, H. H. C. Lima, A. W. Rinaldi, M. K. Lima-Tenório, E. T. Tenório-Neto, M. R. Guilherme, T. Asefa and A. F. Rubira, J. Mol. Liq., 2019, 276, 204–213.
- 16 Y. Li, G. Chen, Q. Wang, X. Wang, A. Zhou and Z. Shen, Adv. Funct. Mater., 2010, 20, 3390–3398.
- 17 X. Li, J. Yu and M. Jaroniec, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2016, 45, 2603–2636.
- 18 H. Taniguchi, A. Kuwabara, J. Kim, Y. Kim, H. Moriwake, S. Kim, T. Hoshiyama, T. Koyama, S. Mori, M. Takata, H. Hosono, Y. Inaguma and M. Itoh, Angew. Chem., 2013, 125, 8246–8250. Open Access Article. Published on 28 July 2020. Downloaded on 1/10/2025 1:23:13 AM. This article is licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 3.0 Unported Licence.](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/3.0/) **[View Article Online](https://doi.org/10.1039/d0ma00363h)**
	- 19 M. Back, E. Trave, G. Zaccariello, D. Cristofori, P. Canton, A. Benedetti and P. Riello, Nanoscale, 2019, 11, 675–687.
	- 20 M. Back, E. Casagrande, C. A. Brondin, E. Ambrosi, D. Cristofori, J. Ueda, S. Tanabe, E. Trave and P. Riello, ACS Appl. Nano Mater., 2020, 3, 2594–2604.
	- 21 H. Taniguchi, S. Tatewaki, S. Yasui, Y. Fujii, J.-I. Yamaura and I. Terasaki, Phys. Rev. Mater., 2018, 2, 45603.
	- 22 A. Girard, M. Stekiel, W. Morgenroth, H. Taniguchi, V. Milman, A. Bosak and B. Winkler, Phys. Rev. B, 2019, 99, 064116.
	- 23 A. Girard, H. Taniguchi, S. M. Souliou, M. Stekiel, W. Morgenroth, A. Minelli, A. Kuwabara, A. Bosak and B. Winkler, Phys. Rev. B, 2018, 98, 134102.
	- 24 J. Park, B. G. Kim, S. Mori and T. Oguchi, J. Solid State Chem., 2016, 235, 68–75.
	- 25 S. Georges, F. Goutenoire and P. Lacorre, J. Solid State Chem., 2006, 179, 4020–4028.
	- 26 C. C. Chen, C. T. Yang, W. H. Chung, J. L. Chang and W. Y. Lin, J. Taiwan Inst. Chem. Eng., 2017, 78, 157–167.
	- 27 J. Ke, M. Adnan Younis, Y. Kong, H. Zhou, J. Liu, L. Lei and Y. Hou, Nano-Micro Lett., 2018, 10, 1–27.
	- 28 J. Wang, G. Zhang, J. Li and K. Wang, ACS Sustainable Chem. Eng., 2018, 6, 14221–14229.
	- 29 W. Gu, F. Teng, Z. Liu, Z. Liu, J. Yang and Y. Teng, J. Photochem. Photobiol., A, 2018, 353, 395–400.
	- 30 H. Lu, Q. Hao, T. Chen, L. Zhang, D. Chen, C. Ma, W. Yao and Y. Zhu, Appl. Catal., B, 2018, 237, 59–67.
	- 31 W. Q. Li, Z. H. Wen, S. H. Tian, L. J. Shan and Y. Xiong, Catal. Sci. Technol., 2018, 8, 1051–1061.
	- 32 Z. Wan and G. Zhang, J. Mater. Chem. A, 2015, 3, 16737–16745.
	- 33 L. Zhang, W. Wang, S. Sun, D. Jiang and E. Gao, CrystEng-Comm, 2013, 15, 10043–10048.
	- 34 D. Liu, J. Wang, M. Zhang, Y. Liu and Y. Zhu, Nanoscale, 2014, 6, 15222–15227.
	- 35 W. Z. Wang, G. H. Wang, X. S. Wang, Y. J. Zhan, Y. K. Liu and C. L. Zheng, Adv. Mater., 2002, 14, 67–69.
	- 36 Y. Guo, G. Zhang, J. Liu and Y. Zhang, RSC Adv., 2013, 3, 2963–2970.
	- 37 K. Le Jia, J. Qu, S. M. Hao, F. An, Y. Q. Jing and Z. Z. Yu, J. Colloid Interface Sci., 2017, 506, 255–262.
	- 38 D. Kim, Y. H. Jin, K. W. Jeon, S. Kim, S. J. Kim, O. H. Han, D. K. Seo and J. C. Park, RSC Adv., 2015, 5, 74790–74801.
	- 39 C. Hazra, T. Samanta, S. Ganguli and V. Mahalingam, ChemistrySelect, 2017, 2, 5970–5977.
- 40 P. T. Hsieh, Y. C. Chen, K. S. Kow and C. M. Wang, Appl. Phys. A: Mater. Sci. Process., 2008, 90, 317–321.
- 41 S. Jaiswar and K. D. Mandal, J. Phys. Chem. C, 2017, 121, 19586–19601.
- 42 M. J. Jackman, A. G. Thomas and C. Muryn, J. Phys. Chem. C, 2015, 119, 13682–13690.
- 43 A. Thøgersen, M. Rein, E. Monakhov, J. Mayandi and S. Diplas, J. Appl. Phys., 2011, 109, 113532.
- 44 M. X. Song, M. Du, Q. Liu, F. Xing, C. Huang and X. Qiu, Catal. Today, 2019, 335, 193–199.
- 45 J. Kim, B. O. Jeong, E. H. Lee, D. H. Ahn, Y. Jung and S. W. Paek, Int. J. Electrochem. Sci., 2012, 7, 11257–11263.
- 46 K. S. W. Sing, D. H. Everett, R. A. W. Haul, L. Moscou, R. A. Pierotti, J. Rouquerol and T. Siemieniewska, Pure Appl. Chem., 1985, 57, 603–619.
- 47 S. Liu, E. Guo and L. Yin, J. Mater. Chem., 2012, 22, 5031–5041.
- 48 M. Zhou, J. Yu and B. Cheng, J. Hazard. Mater., 2006, 137, 1838–1847.
- 49 L. Dou, J. Zhong, J. Li, J. Luo and Y. Zeng, Mater. Res. Bull., 2019, 116, 50–58.
- 50 D. Sarkar, B. Meesaragandla, T. Samanta and V. Mahalingam, ChemistrySelect, 2016, 1, 4785–4793.
- 51 N. J. J. Johnson, S. He, S. Diao, E. M. Chan, H. Dai and A. Almutairi, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2017, 139, 3275–3282.
- 52 S. Kasturi and V. Sivakumar, RSC Adv., 2016, 6, 98652–98662.
- 53 J. S. Kim, Y. H. Park, S. M. Kim, J. C. Choi and H. L. Park, Solid State Commun., 2005, 133, 445–448.
- 54 Z. Wang, X. Hou, Y. Liu, Z. Hui, Z. Huang, M. Fang and X. Wu, RSC Adv., 2017, 7, 52995–53001.
- 55 S. Qi, Y. Huang, T. Tsuboi, W. Huang and H. J. Seo, Opt. Mater. Express, 2014, 4, 396–402.
- 56 P. P. Pal and J. Manam, J. Rare Earths, 2013, 31, 37–43.
- 57 A. E. Praveen, T. Samanta, S. Ganguli and V. Mahalingam, ChemPhotoChem, 2019, 3, 204–210.
- 58 H. N. Tran, S. J. You, A. Hosseini-Bandegharaei and H. P. Chao, Water Res., 2017, 120, 88–116.
- 59 G. Blanchard, M. Maunaye and G. Martin, Water Res., 1984, 18, 1501–1507.
- 60 S. Bhattacharya and R. Shunmugam, ACS Omega, 2020, 5, 2800–2810.
- 61 W. Plazinski, W. Rudzinski and A. Plazinska, Adv. Colloid Interface Sci., 2009, 152, 2–13.
- 62 J. Febrianto, A. N. Kosasih, J. Sunarso, Y. H. Ju, N. Indraswati and S. Ismadji, J. Hazard. Mater., 2009, 162, 616–645.
- 63 B. K. Nandi, A. Goswami and M. K. Purkait, J. Hazard. Mater., 2009, 161, 387–395.
- 64 M. Streat, L. A. Sweetland and D. J. Horner, Process Saf. Environ. Prot., 1998, 76, 142–150.
- 65 B. Aslam, W. Wang, M. I. Arshad, M. Khurshid, S. Muzammil, M. H. Rasool, M. A. Nisar, R. F. Alvi, M. A. Aslam, M. U. Qamar, M. K. F. Salamat and Z. Baloch, Infect. Drug Resist., 2018, 11, 1645–1658.
- 66 T. Lo´pez, F. Rojas, R. Alexander-Katz, F. Galindo, A. Balankin and A. Buljan, J. Solid State Chem., 2004, 177, 1873–1885.
- 67 P. A. Babay, C. A. Emilio, R. E. Ferreyra, E. A. Gautier, R. T. Gettar and M. I. Litter, Int. J. Photoenergy, 2001, 3, 193–199.
- 68 M. Rajendran, Photodiagn. Photodyn. Ther., 2016, 13, 175–187.
- 69 B. R. V. Ferreira, D. N. Correa, M. N. Eberlin and P. H. Vendramini, J. Braz. Chem. Soc., 2017, 28, 136–142.
- 70 H. Yang and J. Yang, RSC Adv., 2018, 8, 11921–11929.
- 71 T. S. Natarajan, M. Thomas, K. Natarajan, H. C. Bajaj and R. J. Tayade, Chem. Eng. J., 2011, 169, 126–134.
- 72 Z. He, C. Sun, S. Yang, Y. Ding, H. He and Z. Wang, J. Hazard. Mater., 2009, 162, 1477–1486.
- 73 I. F. Teixeira, E. C. M. Barbosa, S. Chi, E. Tsang and P. H. C. Camargo, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2018, 47, 7783.
- 74 Z. Xu, M. Quintanilla, F. Vetrone, A. O. Govorov, M. Chaker and D. Ma, Adv. Funct. Mater., 2015, 25, 2950–2960.
- 75 A. Sarkar, A. B. Ghosh, N. Saha, A. K. Dutta, D. N. Srivastava, P. Paul and B. Adhikary, Catal. Sci. Technol., 2015, 5, 4055–4063.
- 76 M. Förster, R. J. Potter, Y. Ling, Y. Yang, D. R. Klug, Y. Li and A. J. Cowan, Chem. Sci., 2015, 6, 4009–4016.
- 77 J. Gan, X. Lu, J. Wu, S. Xie, T. Zhai, M. Yu, Z. Zhang, Y. Mao, S. C. I. Wang, Y. Shen and Y. Tong, Sci. Rep., 2013, 3, 1–7.
- 78 B. Wang, M. Zhang, X. Cui, Z. Wang, M. Rager, Y. Yang, Z. Zou, Z. L. Wang and Z. Lin, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2020, 59, 1611–1618. Paper Metricles. Published on 28 July 2020. Published on 28 July 2020. Non-<br>
Strained on 28 July 2020. Download 2. H. 78 Non-motion, A. Creative Commons Articles. Non-motion, A. S. American Metrics. A. This article is lice
	- 79 Y. Liu, B. Wei, L. Xu, H. Gao and M. Zhang, ChemCatChem, 2015, 7, 4076–4084.
	- 80 X. Dong, W. Cui, H. Wang, J. Li, Y. Sun, H. Wang, Y. Zhang, H. Huang and F. Dong, Sci. Bull., 2019, 64, 669–678.