# **RSC** Advances



View Article Online

View Journal | View Issue

# PAPER

Check for updates

Cite this: RSC Adv., 2020, 10, 12754

# *In situ* production of a two-dimensional molybdenum disulfide/graphene hybrid nanosheet anode for lithium-ion batteries<sup>†</sup>

Srikanth Mateti, Md Mokhlesur Rahman, 💿\* Pavel Cizek and Ying Chen 💿\*

A solvent-free, low-cost, high-yield and scalable single-step ball milling process is developed to construct 2D MoS<sub>2</sub>/graphene hybrid electrodes for lithium-ion batteries. Electron microscopy investigation reveals that the obtained hybrid electrodes consist of numerous nanosheets of MoS<sub>2</sub> and graphene which are randomly distributed. The MoS<sub>2</sub>/graphene hybrid anodes exhibit excellent cycling stability with high reversible capacities (442 mA h g<sup>-1</sup> for MoS<sub>2</sub>/graphene (40 h); 553 mA h g<sup>-1</sup> for MoS<sub>2</sub>/graphene (20 h); 342 mA h g<sup>-1</sup> for MoS<sub>2</sub>/graphene (10 h)) at a high current rate of 250 mA g<sup>-1</sup> after 100 cycles, whereas the pristine MoS<sub>2</sub> electrode shows huge capacity fading with a retention of 37 mA h g<sup>-1</sup> at 250 mA g<sup>-1</sup> current after 100 cycles. The incorporation of graphene into MoS<sub>2</sub> has an extraordinary effect on its electrochemical performance. This work emphasises the importance of the construction of the 2D MoS<sub>2</sub>/graphene hybrid structure to prevent capacity fading issues with the MoS<sub>2</sub> anode in lithium-ion batteries.

Received 17th February 2020 Accepted 23rd March 2020

DOI: 10.1039/d0ra01503b

rsc.li/rsc-advances

#### Introduction

Electrode materials are key ingredients of any battery and the anode is a major component in lithium-ion batteries due to its electrochemical performance. Although, graphite is the most popular commercial anode material for lithium-ion batteries (LIBs), however, graphite-based anodes are unable to meet the market demands of high energy density due to the low theoretical capacity of 372 mA h g<sup>-1</sup>.<sup>1</sup> It is, therefore, necessary to develop alternative anode materials with high capacity and excellent cycling stability. Recently, layer-structured molybdenum disulfide (MoS<sub>2</sub>) has attracted much attention due to its low cost, environmental friendliness, easy fabrication, and wide application in many fields, particularly rechargeable batteries.<sup>2</sup> Even though MoS<sub>2</sub> has potential to be used as an anode in LIBs with a high theoretical capacity of 670 mA h  $g^{-1}$ , low electronic conductivity and large volume variations during the insertion and extraction of ions leads to poor cycling stability of the cells.<sup>2,3</sup> To circumvent these problems, the hybridization concept is widely used, and electrochemical performance, especially cycling stability of the MoS<sub>2</sub> anode is improved significantly. Meanwhile, carbons or other conductive materials have been integrated to form composites/hybrids with different geometries and dimensions, which could lead to improved

lithium-ion storage performances in terms of both capacity and cyclic stability.<sup>4-7</sup>

In general, a common problem in preparing  $MoS_2$  based electrodes is that most of the production methods produce small quantity (milligrams), which is drastically different from existing industrial processes. The materials production process is vital because, if the production technique could not be adopted by industry, it would not be possible to commercially implement the electrode materials no matter how wonderful they are. Herein, we develop a simple scalable ball-milling technique to produce a large quantity of  $MoS_2$ /graphene hybrid nanosheet electrodes *via* an *in situ* generation of graphene and 2D  $MoS_2$  where bulk graphite and bulk  $MoS_2$  used as starting materials as presented in Fig. 1. The obtained structure of  $MoS_2$ /graphene heterointerface is expected to enhance the electronic conductivity and store more  $Li^+$ , thus results in better



Fig. 1 Schematic of *in situ* production procedure of  $MoS_2$ /graphene hybrid nanosheets.

Institute for Frontier Materials, Geelong Campus, Deakin University, Waurn Ponds, 3216, Victoria, Australia. E-mail: m.rahman@deakin.edu.au; ian.chen@deakin.edu.au

<sup>†</sup> Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. See DOI: 10.1039/d0ra01503b

electrochemical properties. The electrochemical performance of the  $MoS_2/graphene$  hybrid nanosheets is improved drastically over the single system of either  $MoS_2$  or graphite when used as an anode material in LIBs.

#### Experimental

To prepare MoS<sub>2</sub>/graphene hybrid nanosheets, commercial bulk  $MoS_2$  (Sigma Aldrich-particle size  $\sim 6 \ \mu m$ ) and commercial bulk graphite (Sigma Aldrich-particle size < 20 µm) were used as starting materials. 4 grams of powder mixture with 2 grams of  $MoS_2$  and 2 grams of commercial graphite (weight ratio = 1 : 1) were loaded in a stainless steel milling container. Four hardened steel balls with a diameter of 25.4 mm each were also loaded to the same steel milling container where powder to ball ratio was maintained 1:1 (4 grams: 4 balls). The milling container was evacuated and purged with argon gas for several times and filled with anhydrous ammonia (NH<sub>3</sub>). Anhydrous NH<sub>3</sub> is selected in our experiment because NH<sub>3</sub> environment protects the original structure of materials while exfoliation to nanosheets.8 Both materials were milled in a rolling ball-mill at a rotation speed of 150 rpm for 10, 20, and 40 h at room temperature under an atmospheric pressure of 300 kPa. The obtained products were denoted as MoS<sub>2</sub>-G (10 h), MoS<sub>2</sub>-G (20 h), and MoS<sub>2</sub>-G (40 h), respectively. X-ray diffraction (XRD) data were collected from powder samples on a PANalytical X'Pert powder instrument using CuKa radiation (1.54 Å) operated at 40 kV with 30 mA current. XRD data were recorded over a range of 10-80° with a step time and size of 150 s and 0.02, respectively. BET surface area of the milled samples were measured using Quantachrome Autosorb. The morphology of the milled samples was examined by scanning electron microscopy (SEM), using a Hitachi S4500 Zeiss Supra 55VP microscope operated at 3 to 10 kV. Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) investigations were performed using a JEOL JEM 2100F instrument.

For electrochemical measurements, the anode electrode was prepared by making a homogenous slurry of active material, conductive carbon additive (Super P Li™) and binder (CMC) with a weight ratio of 80%: 10%: 10% in water solvent. The slurry was then uniformly applied onto clean pieces of copper (Cu) foil with an area of  $1 \times 1$  cm<sup>2</sup>. The coated Cu foil was dried naturally for 10 min then in a vacuum oven at 90 °C overnight. The loading of the electrode was around 1–1.5 mg cm $^{-2}$ . The dried electrodes were then pressed with 5 tons of load to enhance the contact between material and copper foil. The electrochemical cells (CR2032 coin type cell) were assembled in argon-filled glove box (<0.1 ppm O<sub>2</sub>) with working electrode (active materials coated onto Cu foil), polypropylene film as a separator, and Li metal foil as counter electrode. 1 M LiPF<sub>6</sub>, a mixture of ethylene carbonate (EC)/dimethyl carbonate (DMC)/diethyl carbonate (DEC) (1:1:1) used as electrolyte. The cells were galvanostatically discharged-charged at 25 °C in the voltage range of 0.01–3.0 V at 250 mA  $g^{-1}$  current using a LAND battery testing system (Wuhan LAND Electronics Ltd., China). Cyclic voltammograms (CV) were recorded using an Ivium electrochemical workstation at a scan rate of  $0.05 \text{ mV s}^{-1}$ .

#### Results and discussion

XRD patterns of the commercial graphite, commercial  $MoS_2$ , and ball-milled three samples of  $MoS_2$ -G (10 h),  $MoS_2$ -G (20 h), and  $MoS_2$ -G (40 h) are shown in Fig. 2. The ball-milled samples show an XRD pattern comprising two phases of graphite (JCPDS: 00-001-0640) and  $MoS_2$  (JCPDS: 00-006-0097). No peaks of any other phases or impurities were detected within the resolution of the measurement, demonstrating that pure  $MoS_2$ / graphene hybrid can be obtained using ball milling technique.<sup>8-11</sup> At the same time, the diffraction peaks of the graphite and  $MoS_2$  phases have a low intensity with respect to the noise level and are significantly broadened, highlighting a small crystallite size of the graphite and  $MoS_2$ . As the milling time increases, the peaks of both commercial graphite and  $MoS_2$ become wider and weak, indicating the exfoliation of particles to nanosheets.<sup>8</sup>

Fig. 3 demonstrates SEM images of the pristine MoS<sub>2</sub> and graphite, and ball milled MoS<sub>2</sub>/graphene hybrid. A typical low magnification SEM images of the pristine  $MoS_2$  (Fig. 3(a)) and graphite (Fig. 3(b)) reveal that pristine MoS<sub>2</sub> and graphite consists of numerous large flakes or sheets like morphology. A significant change in morphology is observed between pristine MoS<sub>2</sub> and graphite, and MoS<sub>2</sub>/graphene hybrids. During ball milling, large flakes of both pristine MoS<sub>2</sub> and graphite are reduced to small flakes and simultaneously mixed them randomly and form 2D MoS<sub>2</sub>/graphene hybrid nanosheets. A typical morphology of MoS<sub>2</sub>/graphene hybrids is depicted in Fig. 3(c-h). It is clearly observed that  $MoS_2$  and graphite components are compacted into dense aggregates, which is prospectively beneficial for gaining an attractive capacity and for improvement of packing of the active material in a practical battery electrode. However, the duration of ball milling has an impact in the surface area of the hybrids. The specific BET surface areas of the hybrids were measured by the N<sub>2</sub> adsorption/desorption method. The MoS2-G (20 h) hybrid shows the height surface area of 6.0  $\text{m}^2 \text{g}^{-1}$ , whereas it was 2.0



Fig. 2 XRD patterns of commercial graphite, commercial  $MoS_2$  and ball milled  $MoS_2$ -G (10 h),  $MoS_2$ -G (20 h), and  $MoS_2$ -G (40 h) samples.



Fig. 3 SEM images: (a) commercial  $MoS_2$ , (b) commercial graphite, and (c–h)  $MoS_2$ /graphene hybrid nanosheets of (c and d)  $MoS_2$ -G (10 h), (e and f)  $MoS_2$ -G (20 h), and (g and h)  $MoS_2$ -G (40 h).

 $m^2 g^{-1}$  for MoS<sub>2</sub>-G (10 h) and 4.0  $m^2 g^{-1}$  for MoS<sub>2</sub>-G (40 h) hybrids. The result of BET surface areas is well consistence with morphology of the hybrids. It is visualised that 10 h and 40 h ball milled samples exhibit denser aggregates than that of 20 h hybrid (compare Fig. 3(d, f and h)). The relatively large specific surface area offers large material/electrolyte contact area, promote electrolyte ion diffusion to the active sites with less resistance<sup>12</sup> and tolerate the volume change.<sup>13</sup> Hence, it is anticipated that MoS<sub>2</sub>-G (20 h) hybrid may deliver a high reversible capacity with a stable cycle life as compared to MoS<sub>2</sub>-G (10 h) and MoS<sub>2</sub>-G (40 h) hybrids.

TEM examination was further carried out to evaluate the structural analysis of the  $MoS_2$ -G (20 h) sample. Bright-field imaging of the sample reveals small flake-like dense morphology (Fig. 4(a)). The corresponding selected area electron diffraction (SAED) pattern of the sample is also depicted in the Fig. 4(b). The SAED pattern consists of both components of  $MoS_2$  and graphene that can be referenced to the crystallographic directions of (100), (102), (103), and (104) for  $MoS_2$  and (002), (100), and (101) for graphene, respectively. Fig. 4(c) represents HRTEM image of the sample. The *in situ* generated graphenes are composed of few-layers with a *d* spacing of approximately 0.35 nm, where nanocrystal of  $MoS_2$  is also clearly visible with a *d* spacing of approximately 0.70 nm (002).

The electrochemical performance of the  $MoS_2$ /graphene hybrid nanosheets was examined using CR 2032 coin-type cells



Fig. 4 TEM investigation of the  $MoS_2$ -G (20 h) sample: (a) a bright-field TEM image, (b) corresponding SAED pattern, and (c) HRTEM image of the sample.

in the voltage range of 0.01–3.0 V. Fig. 5(a) demonstrates the comparison of cycling performance of all electrodes in an identical testing condition. The incorporation of graphene into  $MoS_2$  has an extraordinary effect on its electrochemical performance. All hybrid electrodes of  $MoS_2/G$  exhibit excellent cycling stability with high reversible capacities of 442 mA h g<sup>-1</sup> for  $MoS_2$ -G (40 h), 553 mA h g<sup>-1</sup> for  $MoS_2$ -G (20 h), 342 mA h g<sup>-1</sup> for  $MoS_2$ -G (10 h) at 250 mA g<sup>-1</sup> after 100 cycles, respectively. The achieved reversible capacities are almost 85% for  $MoS_2$ -G (40 h), 98% for  $MoS_2$ -G (20 h), and 97% for  $MoS_2$ -G (10 h) electrodes after 100 cycles with respect to the 3<sup>rd</sup> cycle reversible capacities,



**Fig. 5** Electrochemical performances of the  $MoS_2$ -G hybrid electrodes: (a) cycling stability up to 100 cycles at a current density of 250 mA g<sup>-1</sup>, (b) galvanostatic discharge/charge voltage profiles of the  $MoS_2$ -G (20 h) electrode, (c) coulombic efficiency of the  $MoS_2$ -G hybrid electrodes, and (d) electrochemical impedance spectra of the fresh cells.

respectively. A significant capacity fading is observed for the  $MoS_2$  electrode with a capacity retention of 37 mA h g<sup>-1</sup> at 250 mA g<sup>-1</sup> after 100 cycles. Such a huge improvement in cycling performance of the hybrid electrodes, particularly cycling stability, suggests that the incorporation of graphene into  $MoS_2$  crystals not only tremendously increases electronic conductivity (both interparticle and intra-particle conductivity) but also improves the ionic conductivities of the hybrid electrodes.<sup>14</sup> However, it is interesting to note that ball milling duration in the hybrid preparation plays a critical role in their electrochemical performance. Clearly, the  $MoS_2$ -G (20 h) electrode shows better electrochemical performance (in terms of capacity and stability) than that of  $MoS_2$ -G (10 h) and  $MoS_2$ -G (40 h) electrodes, which is related to the high surface area as well as structural integrity of the  $MoS_2$ -G (20 h) electrode.

Corresponding galvanostatic charge-discharge of the MoS<sub>2</sub>-G (20 h) electrode is depicted in Fig. 5(b). Fig. 5(b) shows the first, 2<sup>nd</sup>, 10<sup>th</sup>, 50<sup>th</sup> and 100<sup>th</sup> cycle discharge-charge curves of the electrode obtained at 250 mA  $g^{-1}$  within the voltage range of 0.01-3.0 V. When the electrode is discharged to 0.01 V (lithiation) and charged to 3.0 V (de-lithiation), both voltage profile exhibits typical plateaus which are consistence with the CV curves. The MoS<sub>2</sub>-G (20 h) electrode also delivers commendable initial coulombic efficiency. At the first cycle, the  $MoS_2$ -G (20 h) electrode exhibits discharge/charge capacities of 485/ 338 mA h  $g^{-1}$ , corresponding to the initial coulombic efficiency of  $\sim$ 70% (Fig. 5(c)). The coulombic efficiency of more than 99% after the first few cycles indicates high reversibility of the composite electrodes, leading to a stable cycling performance. The electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) of the electrodes was performed in the fresh cells at OCP (open circuit potential) state. Typical Nyquist plots recorded for all electrodes are presented in Fig. 5(d). All plots display one compressed

semicircle in the high to medium frequency region and a sloped line in the low frequency region. The diameter of each semicircle is related to the charge transfer resistance, the smaller the diameter, the smaller the charge transfer resistance, and the higher the electronic conductivity.<sup>15,16</sup> A comparison of the diameters of the semicircles indicates that the impedance of the MoS<sub>2</sub>/graphene hybrid electrodes is significantly lower than that of the graphite and MoS<sub>2</sub> electrodes, respectively. The incorporation of graphene into MoS<sub>2</sub> particles under ball milling significantly enhances the conductivity of the MoS<sub>2</sub>/ graphene hybrid electrodes, leading to much easier charge transfer at the electrode/electrolyte interface and consequently decreases the overall internal resistance of the cells.

Fig. 6 shows the cyclic voltammograms of the MoS<sub>2</sub>-G (10 h), MoS<sub>2</sub>-G (20 h), MoS<sub>2</sub>-G (40 h), and MoS<sub>2</sub> electrodes measured at a scan rate of 0.05 mV  $\rm s^{-1}$  in the voltage range 0.01–3.0 V. During the first discharge, two cathodic peaks appear between 1.0-1.25 V and 0.5-0.9 V for the MoS2-G electrodes, which can be attributed to the conversion reaction of  $MoS_2 + 4Li^+ \rightarrow Mo +$ 2Li<sub>2</sub>S and the change in the coordination of Mo with six S atoms from trigonal prism to octahedron by inserting Li ions into the MoS<sub>2</sub> layers (*i.e.*, formation of Li<sub>r</sub>MoS<sub>2</sub>) (1.0-1.25 V).<sup>2</sup> It is observed that the cathodic peaks appeared between 0.5-0.9 V comprises broad peak, which should be correlated with the formation of a SEI (solid electrolyte interface) film on the surface of the electrodes.<sup>17</sup> In the subsequent discharge process, the cathodic peaks are quite different from the first discharge and peaks are shifted to higher voltages and two new broad peaks appeared at 1.0 and 1.8 V, corresponding to the voltage plateau with the formation of Li2S and the association of Li ions with Mo.18 In the anodic scans, the electrodes display two peaks at 1.7 and 2.3 V, which are corresponding to the oxidation of Mo metal to  $MoS_2$  (1.7 V), while the pronounced oxidation peak at 2.3 V is due to the formation of sulfur.<sup>19,20</sup> The MoS<sub>2</sub> bulk electrode (Fig. 6(d)) shows three sharp cathodic peaks at 1.87,



**Fig. 6** Cyclic voltammograms measured at a scan rate of  $0.05 \text{ mV s}^{-1}$  in the voltage range 0.01-3.0 V: (a) MoS<sub>2</sub>-G (10 h), (b) MoS<sub>2</sub>-G (20 h), (c) MoS<sub>2</sub>-G (40 h), and (d) MoS<sub>2</sub>.

1.08, and 0.31 V. In the anodic process, the  $MoS_2$  electrode exhibits two peaks at 1.7 V and 2.3 V, corresponding to the oxidation of Mo metal to  $MoS_2$  and formation sulfur. However, a pair of cathodic/anodic peak at around 0.19/0.27 V is visualised for the  $MoS_2$ -G electrodes, which is assigned to the insertion/extraction of Li ions into the layer of graphene.<sup>2</sup>

The obtained preliminary results indicate that the structure of 2D MoS<sub>2</sub>/graphene electrode is beneficial to the full infiltration of the electrolyte, reducing the migrating distance of Li ions, facilitating Li-ions exchange across the heterointerface by maintaining a large effective contact area between the active materials, conductive additives, and the electrolyte. Most importantly, the 2D MoS<sub>2</sub>/graphene hybrid nanosheets structure is capable of tolerating volume variation of the electrodes during Li-ions extraction/insertion, enhancing Li-ions storage kinetics and structural stability. The obtained electrochemical performance of the 2D MoS<sub>2</sub>/graphene hybrid electrode is promising and comparable with those of the reported results<sup>21-29</sup> as summarised in Table S1 (ESI).† It is expected that a more robust electrode architecture of MoS<sub>2</sub>/graphene hybrid nanosheets prepared by controlled parameters could be used as an effective negative electrode for more sustainable, costeffective Na-ion and K-ion batteries and this is to be demonstrated in our future work.

### Conclusions

To prevent the long-standing issue of poor cycling stability of MoS<sub>2</sub> anode in lithium-ion batteries, a hybrid of nanostructured MoS<sub>2</sub> with graphene is proposed. A simple and scalable ball milling method is developed to produce MoS<sub>2</sub>/graphene hybrid nanosheets *via in situ* mechanical peeling of bulk graphite and simultaneously disperse them among MoS<sub>2</sub> nanosheets. The MoS<sub>2</sub>/graphene hybrid nanosheets effectively lead to significant improvements in electronic conductivity, structural stability, and ion diffusion, which in turn, results in excellent cycling stability is a significant advance in the field.

# Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

# Acknowledgements

S. M., and Y. C., acknowledge financial support from the Australian Research Council under the Discovery Programs (DP150102346). M. M. R., and Y. C., thank the Australia Research Council for a Linkage Project (LP170100784). The authors also acknowledge Deakin University's Advanced Characterisation Facilities.

# Notes and references

- 1 J. R. Dahn, T. Zheng, Y. Liu and J. Xue, *Science*, 1995, **270**, 590.
- 2 H. Zhao, H. Zeng, Y. Wu, S. Zhang, B. Lia and Y. Huang, J. Mater. Chem. A, 2015, 3, 10466.

- 3 L. Yang, S. Wang, J. Mao, J. Deng, Q. Gao, Y. Tang and O. G. Schmidt, *Adv. Mater.*, 2013, 25, 1180.
- 4 W. Sun, Z. Hu, C. Wang, Z. Tao, S. L. Chou, Y. M. Kang and H. K. Liu, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2016, **8**, 22168.
- 5 L. Jiang, B. Lin, X. Li, X. Song, H. Xia, L. Li and H. Zeng, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2016, 8, 2680.
- 6 J. Wang, J. Liu, J. Luo, P. Liang, D. Chao, L. Lai, J. Lin and Z. Shen, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2015, **3**, 17534.
- 7 T. Yang, J. Liang, I. Sultana, M. M. Rahman, M. J. Monteiro, Y. Chen, Z. Shao, S. R. Silva and J. Liu, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2018, **6**, 8280.
- 8 T. Xing, S. Mateti, L. Li, F. Ma, A. Du, Y. Gogotsi and Y. Chen, *Sci. Rep.*, 2016, **6**, 35532.
- 9 S. Mateti, M. M. Rahman, L. H. Li, Q. Cai and Y. Chen, *RSC Adv.*, 2016, **6**, 35287.
- 10 S. Mateti, A. M. Glushenkov, L. H. Li, Q. Ma, C. Zhi and Y. Chen, *Nanoscale Horiz.*, 2019, 4, 642.
- 11 Y. Liu, S. Mateti, C. Li, X. Liu, A. M. Glushenkov, D. Liu,
  L. H. Li, D. Fabijanic and Y. Chen, *Adv. Eng. Mater.*, 2018,
  20, 1700488.
- 12 D. W. Wang, F. Li, M. Liu, G. Q. Lu and H. M. Cheng, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2008, **47**, 373.
- 13 S. B. Yang, G. L. Cui, S. P. Pang, Q. Cao, U. Kolb, X. L. Feng, J. Maier and K. Mullen, *ChemSusChem*, 2010, 3, 236.
- 14 S. Mateti, M. M. Rahman, L. H. Li, Q. Cai and Y. Chen, *RSC Adv.*, 2016, **6**, 35287.
- 15 L. F. Jiao, H. T. Yuan, Y. C. Si, Y. J. Wang and Y. M. Wang, *Electrochem. Commun.*, 2006, **8**, 1041.
- 16 Y. Shao, M. Engelhard and Y. Lin, *Electrochem. Commun.*, 2009, **11**, 2064.
- 17 M. Winter, P. Novak and A. Monnier, *J. Electrochem. Soc.*, 1998, **148**, 428.
- 18 W. Qiu, J. Jiao, J. Xia, H. Zhong and L. Chen, *RSC Adv.*, 2014, 4, 50529.
- 19 D. Sun, D. Ye, P. Liu, Y. Tang, J. Guo, L. Wang and H. Wang, *Adv. Energy Mater.*, 2018, **8**, 1702383.
- 20 J. Wang, J. Liu, D. Chao, J. Yan, J. Lin and Z. X. Shen, *Adv. Mater.*, 2014, **26**, 7162.
- 21 H. Liu, X. Chen, L. Deng, X. Su, K. Guo and Z. Zhu, *Electrochim. Acta*, 2016, **206**, 184.
- 22 D. H. Young, C. Jo, J. Y. Kim, J. Lee and J. S. Lee, *J. Power Sources*, 2015, **295**, 228.
- 23 M. Choi, S. K. Koppala, D. Yoon, J. Hwang, S. M. Kim and J. Kim, *J. Power Sources*, 2016, **309**, 202.
- 24 Y. Jing, E. O. Ortiz-Quiles, C. R. Cabrera, Z. Chen and Z. Zhou, *Electrochim. Acta*, 2014, 147, 392.
- 25 S. Srivastava, B. Kartick, S. Choudhury and M. Stamm, *Mater. Chem. Phys.*, 2016, **183**, 383.
- 26 X. Zhang, Q. Zhang, Y. Sun, P. Zhang, X. Gao, W. Zhang and J. Guo, *Electrochim. Acta*, 2016, **189**, 224.
- 27 L. Xu, L. Ma, T. Rujirala, B. Liu, J. Zhang and W. Zhang, Nanotechnology, 2019, **30**, 415402.
- 28 L. Chen, F. Chen, N. Tronganh, M. Lu and Y. Jiang, *J. Mater. Res.*, 2016, **31**, 3151.
- 29 Y. Teng, H. Zhao, Z. Zhang, Z. Li, Q. Xia, Y. Zhang, L. Zhao,
  X. Du, Z. Du, P. Lv and K. Świerczek, *ACS Nano*, 2016, 10, 8526.