RSC Advances



View Article Online

View Journal | View Issue

PAPER

Check for updates

Cite this: RSC Adv., 2020, 10, 18543

Atomic and electronic structures of charge-doping VO₂: first-principles calculations

Lanli Chen, ^[]^{ab} Yuanyuan Cui, ^b Hongjie Luo^b and Yanfeng Gao ^[]^{*bc}

The atomic and electronic structures of charge-doping VO₂ are investigated by using first-principles calculations. Hole doping is more conducive to stabilizing the structure of VO₂ than electron doping. The controllable phase transition temperature is coupled with changes in atomic and electronic structures. With the increase in hole density, the V–V chains and twisting angle experience a dramatic change, and the band gap (0.69–0 eV) is rapidly reduced due to orbital switching between the $d_{x^2-y^2}$ and d_{z^2}/d_{yz} orbitals. However, as the electron density increases, the band gap (0.69–0.502 eV) narrows slightly, while the V–O bond lengths significantly increase. The current results provide up a variable way to tune the VO₂ phase transition temperature through charge-doping.

Received 16th March 2020 Accepted 6th May 2020

DOI: 10.1039/d0ra02420a

rsc.li/rsc-advances

1. Introduction

Vanadium dioxide (VO₂) is an attractive thermochromic material due to its reversible metal–insulator transition (MIT) from a high-temperature rutile phase (R) to a low-temperature monoclinic phase (M) at a phase transition temperature (T_c) of about 68 °C. Across the MIT, VO₂ exhibits a dramatic change in its electrical and optical properties.¹ Therefore, VO₂ is not only attracting wide scientific interest but also has great technological applications in optoelectronic devices,^{2,3} sensors,⁴ and smart windows.⁵⁻⁷

Given the diverse potential applications for VO₂, modulation of the phase transition behavior and decreasing the $T_{\rm c}$ close to room temperature are the main hottest topics in VO₂ researches. The control of phase transition in VO2 was successfully implemented with multiple ways including doping,89 point defects,10,11 external strain,12 electric fields,13 and surface and interface engineering.14 In most of these modulations, doping was proposed to play a strong role in mediating the phase transition temperature of VO₂. Recently, it is reported that charge-doping could effectively decrease the T_c of VO₂. What's the effect of the two types of charge injection, *i.e.* the hole or electron injection, on the tuning the MIT of VO₂? For example, Zhang et al.¹⁵ found that the reduction of the T_c is essentially ascribed to the charge injection as the dopants by the first-principles calculations. The surface adsorption of F₄TCNQ molecules assists the phase transition of VO₂ film due to the surface charge transfer.16 Substitution N-doping or interstitial N-doping in VO₂ allows for a significant reduction in the phase transition temperature from 80.0 to 62.9 °C.17 Zhang et al. also

found that the T_c of the metal-insulator transition process decreases with a value of ~ 18 °C for N-incorporated VO₂ thin films, and the narrowing of the charge doping results in the attenuation of the interaction within the V-V dimer in the M1 phase.18 Dai et al. reported that 2.93% F-doped VO2 foil exhibits an increased solar-heat shielding ability (35.1%), excellent solar modulation ability (10.7%) and appropriate visible transmittance (48.7%).19 On the other hand, the incorporation of electrons in the VO2 lattice could also induce its metal-insulator transition.20-22 For example, NH₃ can act as a reductant to inhibit the over-oxidization of VO₂, resulting in inferior thermochromic properties.²⁰ Boron at the interstitial site provides three electrons, and thus, boron doping of VO₂ could drastically reduce the T_c at a rate as large as 31.5 °C per at% B.²¹ According to recent theoretical predictions, T_c can be reduced by 27 K per at% W at the GGA+U level or by 18.6 K per at% W at the HSE06 level, which is attributed to W-doping providing three electrons and inducing a large structural distortion in the lattice.²² Actually, the electron or hole-injection in VO₂ is implemented through these concrete methods such as the doping of N, B, F and other metals. For instance, from the point view of the semiconductor doping process, the W atom has one more valence electron than the V atom, so one W atom substituting for a V atom in VO2 injects only one additional electron into the compound, whereas a B atom which possess two valence electrons in the 2s orbital at an interstitial site can inject its two 2s electrons into the VO2. In addition, the N is widely considered to be the most promising p-type dopant due to its similar size to oxygen, resistance to forming AX centers.18 The F atom leads to the loss of the V⁴⁺–V⁴⁺ pairs, as electrons from the donors are injected into the V-3d valence band, resulting in the formation of V⁴⁺-V³⁺ pairs via charge transfer.¹⁹ Experimentally, Andreev et al. observed that hydrogen atoms penetrated into the VO2 film during thermal decomposition could lead to a lowered phase transition temperature.23

^aSchool of Mathematics and Physics, Hubei Polytechnic University, Huangshi 435003, China

^bSchool of Materials Science and Engineering, Shanghai University, Shanghai 200444, China. E-mail: yfgao@shu.edu.cn

^cFaculty of Chemical Engineering, Huaiyin Institute of Technology, Jiangsu 223003, China

The above experimental and theoretical results indicate that the T_c and phase transition behavior of VO₂ can be effectively controlled by charge doping. However, the role of type of charge on the reduced phase transition temperature is still a debate and remains to be settled. Therefore, it is of vital importance to reveal the underlying mechanism on the effects of chargedoping on the phase transition behavior for realizing the rational tuning of the phase transition temperature.

2. Computational details

The calculations were conducted with the Vienna ab initio simulation package (VASP).^{24,25} The potentials were of the projector augmented wave type (PAW) type, and the exchange-correlation part of the density functional was treated within the generalized gradient approximation (GGA) of Perdew-Burke-Ernzerhof (PBE).^{26,27} Given the strong on-site Coulomb repulsion among the V-3d electrons, the Hubbard parameter U was added to the PBE functional.28 As discussed in ref. 9 and 10 the value was chosen as 3.4 eV in the PBE+U formalism. The valence electron configurations for V $(3d^34s^2)$ and O $(2s^22p^4)$ atoms were used in the calculations. 530 eV was used as the plane-wave cut-off energy. The Brillouin zone sampling mesh parameters for the k-point set were chosen as 5 \times 5 \times 5 for the electronic structures. These parameters were used to ensure a total energy converges of 1 \times 10^{-5} eV per unit cell and a maximum force of 0.01 eV Å⁻¹. The charge density was tuned by changing the total number of electrons in the unit cell, with a compensating jellium background of opposite charge added or subtracted.29

The formation enthalpy of pristine or charge-doped VO_2 was calculated as

$$\Delta H_{\rm f} = E_{\rm tot}({\rm VO}_2) - E_{\rm V}({\rm bulk}) - 2E\left(\frac{1}{2}{\rm O}_2\right) \tag{1}$$

where $\Delta H_{\rm f}$ is the formation enthalpy of pristine or charge-doped VO₂. $E_{\rm V}$ (bulk) is the chemical potential of V in the bulk phase. $E\left(\frac{1}{2}O_2\right)$ is the total energy of the oxygen molecules. The phase transition temperature (T_c) can be quantitatively calculated *via*³⁰

$$T_{\rm c} = T_{\rm c,0} \frac{\Delta H}{\Delta H_0} \tag{2}$$

where $T_{c,0}$ is the transition temperature of pure VO₂ and a value of 340 K is adopted from ref. 2. ΔH and ΔH_0 are the enthalpy changes associated with the phase transition for doped and pure VO₂, respectively. The enthalpy is approximated as the Helmholtz free energy, which can be obtained from our DFT calculations by neglecting the *pV* term for condensed matter and omitting the entropy contribution at 0 K.

As shown in Fig. 1(a and b), the basic calculations were performed in the supercells with 2 \times 2 \times 2 and 2 \times 2 \times 4 primitive unit cells for VO₂(M) and VO₂(R) phases, respectively. Table 1 lists the calculated structural parameters. The theoretical lattice parameters obtained in this work are in good agreement with the previously reported experimental data.³¹

3. Results and discussion

3.1 Charge induced phase transition for VO₂

Fig. 2(a and b) shows the total energies (E_{tot}) of charge doping in M and R phase as a function of charge density. It can be found that the total energies of charge-doping M phase VO₂ are gradually lower than those of R phase as the charge doping increases, indicating that it is more difficult to obtain R phase VO₂ than the M phase under current conditions. The similar results have been also appeared in electron-injection in twodimensional transition metal dichalcogenides.³² Fig. 2(c and d) shows the formation enthalpy of charge-doped VO₂. The trend of the formation enthalpy of electron doping and that of hole doping are contrary. The formation enthalpy of VO₂ linearly increased with the increase in electron density, while the formation enthalpy of VO₂ decreased with the increase in hole density. In addition, hole doping system is more negative than the pristine one, and the electron doping system is less negative than the pristine one, suggesting that hole doping system is more stable than the electron doping. Thus, we conclude that



Fig. 1 Crystalline structures of monoclinic (a) and rutile (b) phases of VO2. V and O atoms are represented by gray and red spheres, respectively.

()

Table 1 Lattice parameters of pristine VO₂(M) and VO₂(R)

Systems	a (Å)	b (Å)	c (Å)	α (°)	β (°)	γ (°)	$V\left(\text{\AA}^3\right)$	Ref.
$VO_2(M)$	5.743	4.517	5.375	90	122.6	90	117.466	Exp. ³¹
$VO_2(M)$	5.626	4.637	5.454	90	121.704	90	121.021	This calc.
$VO_2(R)$	4.552	4.552	2.852	90	90	90	59.095	Exp. ³¹
$VO_2(R)$	4.652	4.652	2.789	90	90	90	60.336	This calc.

hole doping is more conducive to stabilize the structure of VO_2 than electron doping. This phenomenon has been found in IIA element doping of $VO_2(M)$.⁹ Zhang *et al.* reported that the introduction of some electrons in VO_2 *via* W doping results in the instability of the structure.²²

The dependence of T_c on the charge doping concentration is presented in Fig. 3(a and b). As shown in Fig. 3(a), the T_c of VO₂ is found to be almost linearly proportional to the hole-doping density. It is clearly shown that when the hole density is set at 4.28×10^{21} cm⁻³, the phase transition of VO₂ could take place at 162.44 K, which is lower than the room temperature of 298 K. This observation is consistent with the experimental observation that hole doping could trigger the MIT below 340 K.¹⁷ Wan *et al.* reported that the reduced phase transition temperature for hole doped VO₂ is due to the fact that hole doping modifies the V-3d orbital occupancy and thus weakens the electron–electron correlation, lowering the crystalline stability energy.¹⁷ Dai *et al.* found that F-doping can effectively decrease the T_c to 35 °C at 2.93% F in VO₂ and that F-doping VO₂ smart glass foils exhibit



Fig. 3 Dependence of the phase transition temperature (T_c) in VO₂ on the charge density. (a) Hole-doping, and (b) electron doping.

excellent thermochromism in the near-infrared region.19 However, the situation for electron-doping is not the same as that of hole-doping. As shown in Fig. 3(b), when the electron density increases from 0 to 2.1 \times 10²¹ cm⁻³, T_c gradually increases. However, once the electron density is greater than 2.1 \times 10²¹ cm⁻³, T_c rapidly decreases. For example, an electron density of 2.1×10^{21} cm⁻³ corresponds to a ΔT_c of 11.72 K for electron-doped VO₂, *i.e.*, the phase transition from the rutile phase to the monoclinic phase occurs at 351.72 K. However, an electron density of 1.12×10^{22} cm⁻³ will result in a ΔT_c as large as -25.32 K, implying the reduction in T_c to 314.68 K. Actually, the $T_{\rm c}$ is related with the charge concentration. In the range of charge concentration, the T_c is almost linearly proportional to the charge density. Experimentally, when cooled down to 120 K, $H_r VO_2$ (x > 4%) films exhibit metallic properties, and the ΔT_c was around -55 K per at% H.23



Fig. 2 Total energies (E_{tot}) of charge doping in M and R phase as a function of charge density, and formation energy of charge doped VO₂. (a) Hole doping, (b) electron doping, (c) charge doped VO₂(M), and (d) charge doped VO₂(R).



Fig. 4 Lattice parameters of (a) hole or (b) electron doped VO₂(M), and (c) hole or (d) electron doped VO₂(R). The inset figure is the variation of c, *i.e.*, Δc ($c - c_0$). The c and c_0 are the supercell lengths along the c-axis at equilibrium and charge-doped VO₂.

3.2 Atomic and electronic structures of charge-doped VO₂

The reduction in T_c for charge-doped VO₂ should be coupled with the changes in the atomic and electronic structure, as discussed in the next section. For charge-doped $VO_2(M)$, as shown in Fig. 4(a and b), the lattice parameters b and c of are slightly increase, and the lattice parameter a remains nearly unchanged under the considered electron density. However, these parameters (a, b, c) slightly decrease with increasing of hole density. This outcome further confirms that the reduction in the T_c in hole doped VO₂ is due to the shorter *a*-axis length.^{33,34} Moreover, the lattice parameter a expressing the V-V chains promotes the transition from the monoclinic phase to the rutile phase at a lower temperature than that of pristine VO_2 . Applying the compression strain along the *a*-axis balances the V-V distance. In addition, applying the compression strain along the *a*-axis results in the overlapping of d orbitals, which increases the d bandwidth and then forces it to transition from the monoclinic phase to the rutile phase, thus causing the reduction in T_c. Experimentally, Fan et al. reported that stretching of *a*-axis will increase the T_c of VO₂ films.³³ Muraoka et al. found that the appearance strain along the a-axis from VO₂/TiO₂ thin films has a large influence on the MIT of VO₂.³⁴ Therefore, hole doping in the concentration range considered is able to promote the phase transition in VO₂. The opposite is true for electron doping. For charge-doped $VO_2(R)$, as shown in Fig. 4(c and d), the *c* axis is slightly shorten, and then slightly elongated in the hole-doping $VO_2(R)$, while the *c* axis is slightly

elongated in electron-doping $VO_2(R)$. This may attribute the fact that the charge-injection would induce variable orbital occupancy, which is similar to the experimental results.¹⁹

It is reported that the V-V chains are one of the key characteristics of VO₂ in determining the atomic and electronic properties at different phases.^{35,36} As shown in Fig. 1, in pristine $VO_2(R)$, all the V atoms arrange uniformly along the c axis with nearest-neighbor V-V distances of 2.788 Å. However, pristine VO₂(M) exhibits Peierls distortion in the V-V chains along the aaxis, in which the short and long V-V bonds (2.520 Å vs. 3.143 Å) are arranged alternately. Compared with those of pristine $VO_2(M)$, as shown in Fig. 5(a and b), the short and long V-V bond distances are nearly unchanged and still maintain the dimerization characteristics after electron doping. However, hole doping results in a gradual shortening of the long V-V bond distances and a gradual elongation of the short V-V bond distances below a critical hole density of 6.48×10^{21} cm⁻³. This phenomenon could also be observed experimentally in the intermediate phase of VO₂(M2), in which only half of the V atoms are dimerized, while the other half form chains of is equally spaced atoms.³⁷ Once above the critical hole density, the alternatively short and long V-V bond distances jump, and the equidistant V-V bond distances (2.7-2.8 Å) are similar to the V–V bond length in $VO_2(R)$ (as shown in Fig. 5(a)). These results indicate that VO₂ transits from the monoclinic phase to the rutile phase within the range of a certain hole-doping density. Yuan et al. proved that the appearance of this phenomenon is



Fig. 5 Dependence of V–V distances in (a) hole or (b) electron doped $VO_2(M)$ and (c) hole or (d) electron doped $VO_2(R)$ on the charge-doping density. The long V–V bonds denote V–V⁽¹⁾, and the short V–V bonds denote V–V⁽²⁾. The inserted figure shows the V–V bonds in the $VO_2(M)$. The V and O atoms are indicated by the gray and red spheres, respectively.

attributed to a strong lattice-hole coupling.³⁸ Experimentally, it is found that a critical hole density induces a sudden rapidly variable V–V bond, which is related to the critical excitation influence.^{39–42} As shown in Fig. 5(c), the V–V distances in the hole-doping VO₂(R) has a very fast change into alternatively short and long bond distances, for the V–V chains. In contrast, as shown in Fig. 5(d), the V–V distances in electron-doping VO₂(R) seem slightly to increase. Therefore, it can be concluded that the hole-injection has greater influence on the V–V bond lengths than the electron-injection in VO₂.

The structural transition in VO2 is accompanied by changes in the electronic band structure near the Fermi level that can be qualitatively understood within a crystal field model.³¹ As shown in Fig. 6(a), the twisting of the V-V chains causes splitting of the d_{ll} orbital into filled bonding and empty antibonding orbitals, while making the π^* orbital move to a higher energy level, thus opening the band gap. Actually, the VO₂ phase transition is relative to the octahedral environment and the associated hybridization of the O-2p orbitals with the V-3d orbital.³¹ As shown in Fig. 6(b), for $VO_2(M)$, each VO_6 octahedron shares edges with its neighboring octahedra, forming chains along the a-axis. In addition, the V-3d orbitals split into a combination of low-energy states and high-energy states, where the high-energy states involve the symmetry of the V-O bonds. In charge-doping VO₂(M), as shown in Fig. 6(c and d), the V-O distance is slightly lengthened with the increase in electron density, and could further decrease

the p-d overlap.⁴³ The increase in the V–O bond results in the expansion of the lattice volume due to the decrease in $c_{\rm R}/a_{\rm R}$ and further induces the reduction in $T_{\rm c}$.⁴³ Therefore, we can conclude that electron doing makes the V–O bond length longer and thus reduces the $T_{\rm c}$ in VO₂. However, as the hole density increases, the V–O bond lengths are gradually reduced. When the hole density reaches 5×10^{21} cm⁻³, d_2 experiences a dramatic increase, and d_5 decreases sharply, which will increase the p–d overlap, and thus both the d_{||} and π^* orbitals are partially occupied at Fermi level.⁴³ This phenomenon confirms that VO₂ may experience a metal-insulator transition.

As shown in Fig. 6(e), in the VO₂(R), the apical and equatorial V–O bond lengths increase with the increase of electron density, which is due to the decrease in the ration of c/a. However, as shown in Fig. 6(f), the apical and equatorial V–O bond lengths decrease with the increase hole density.

Except for the variable V–O bond, the band gap evolution of charge-doped VO₂ is related to the atomic structure change described by the V–V chains and twisting angle δ and the electronic structure change described by orbital switching. As shown in Fig. 7(a and b), δ in the V–V chains of electron-doped VO₂ tends to gradually decrease, indicating that the V–V bond characterizes a dimerizing feature. However, when the hole density is from 0 to 4.28×10^{21} cm⁻³, δ in the V–V chains decreases monotonically, as the hole density increase further, the value of δ sharply decreases, finally reaching zero, which



Fig. 6 (a) Bandwidth scheme of pristine VO_2 , (b) an octahedron at the center of VO_2 , (c and d) the V–O bond lengths in charge doped $VO_2(M)$, and (e and f) the V–O bond lengths in hole-doped $VO_2(R)$. The bond distances in $VO_2(M)$ are d_1 , d_2 , d_3 , d_4 , d_5 and d_6 . The bond lengths in $VO_2(R)$ are the equatorial and apical V–O.

demonstrates that the alternatively short and long V–V bond distances in VO₂(M) quickly change into equal V–V bonds. In addition, the average twisting angle δ in the V–V chains exhibits

excellent consistency with the band gap change of VO₂ from R to M1. As in Gao's previous discussion,³⁵ the significant dimerization in V–V, slight twisting of δ or the variable V–O bond can



Fig. 7 (a and b) Average twisting angle (δ) of the V–V chains and (c and d) the band gaps in charge-doped VO₂(M). (a and c) Electron doping, and (b and d) hole doping. The inserted figure in (b) is the δ angle in the V–V chains in VO₂(M).



Fig. 8 (a) Structures of VO₂ (M/R) and (b) energy barriers from M to R phase under different charge doping.

be regarded as the characteristic parameters of the structuredriven transition. As shown in Fig. 7(c), when the hole density is increased by 5.4 \times 10²¹ cm⁻³, the band gaps of VO₂(M) linearly decrease and eventually decrease to 0 eV with the increase in hole density. The band gap undergoes a fast change, and exhibits a similar change tendency as the twisting angle δ . This phenomenon is consistent with the other result calculated from Lee et al.,¹⁴ who reported that VO₂ exhibits a significant band-gap narrowing for a charge concentration from 0.025 to 0.5 hole/electron per VO₂. Experimentally, Zhang et al. found that N atoms-substituted O atoms induce narrowing of the energy band gap due to weakening of the interaction within the V-V dimer with the hole injected into the d_{||} sub-bands.¹⁸ In contrast, as shown in Fig. 7(d), as the electron density increases from 0 to $1.2\times 10^{22}~\text{cm}^{-3},$ the band gaps of $\text{VO}_2(M)$ experience a slight reduction ranging from 0.69 eV to 0.502 eV. Apparently, electron doping induces a slow MIT, and its density has some effect on the phase transition. Theoretically, a fascinating rebound behavior of the transition temperature is observed. By increasing the doping concentration of W into VO₂, the MIT is tuned to a lower temperature at the beginning and then anomalously shifted to a higher temperature.44

In order to reveal the kinetic limit for charge-driven phase transition in VO₂, we performed CINEB calculations to obtain the phase transition barriers. As shown in Fig. 8(a), we redefined the lattice parameters for VO₂(R) from tetragonal and monoclinic view to better reveal the kinetic limit for charge-driven phase transition in VO₂ (As listed in Table 2). Fig. 8(b) shows the energy curves of M-to-R phase transition in pristine and charge-doping VO₂ with certain density. It can be found that the energy barriers are too higher for VO₂ transiting from M phase to R phase, indicating that it requires additional conditions to

Table 2 Calculated lattice parameters for VO2 (M/R)												
VO ₂	a (Å)	b (Å)	c (Å)	α (deg)	β (deg)	γ (deg)	Ref.					
R Monoclinic	4.609	4.609	2.785 5.427	90 90	90 120.936	90 90	35 This					
R	0.070	1.000	0.12/	50	120.900	50	calc.					
М	5.610	4.571	5.393	90	121.9	90	35					
М	5.648	4.634	5.450	90	121.64	90	This calc.					

drives the M-to-R phase transition, such as the temperature, pressure and light radiation. $^{\rm 32}$

To elucidate the possible driving forces behind the MIT, we further calculated the total and partial densities of states (DOSs) of charge-doped VO₂(M). As shown in Fig. 9(a), for pristine VO₂(M), the electrons around $E_{\rm F}$ occupy the t_{2g}-state d_{x²-y²} orbital (π), while the conduction is mainly occupied by the e_{o} state d_{z^2} orbital (σ). At hole densities of 2.1 \times 10²¹ cm⁻³ and 4.28 $\times 10^{21}$ cm⁻³, the band gaps of VO₂(M) are reduced to 0.515 eV and 0.34 eV, respectively. Near the $E_{\rm F}$, the electrons dominantly occupy the $d_{x^2-v^2}$ orbital and d_{vz} orbital. In contrast, fewer electrons occupy the d_{z^2} orbital (σ). More importantly, this electronic structure characteristic remains from 0 to $6.48 imes 10^{21}$ cm^{-3} (the critical hole density). Interestingly, when the hole density reaches the critical hole density, VO₂ exhibits metallic properties, as shown in Fig. 9(d). There is a strong interaction near the Fermi level between the $d_{x^2-y^2}$ and d_{z^2} orbitals. This result is also consistent with the previous discussion on the significant dimerization in V–V and a slight twisting of δ , which could be regarded as the characteristic parameters of the structure-driven transition. However, from Fig. 9(e-h), the Fermi levels are shifted into the conduction band to varying degrees with the increase in electron doping. The states near the Fermi level are mainly occupied by the d_{z^2} orbital, while the valence bands mainly consist of the $d_{x^2-y^2}$ orbital. Furthermore, it can be observed that the electronic structure of VO₂ changes slightly for different electron concentrations. More importantly, although there are some changes in the band gap from 0.597 eV to 0.502 eV and the location of $E_{\rm F}$, this electronic structure characteristic remains as electron doping increases. Therefore, it can be concluded that the band gap of VO₂ with charge doping should be attributed to an electron-correction-driven Mott transition in which orbital switching occurs between the $d_{x^2-y^2}$ and d_{z^2} states. Experimentally, by soft-X-ray absorption spectroscopy, orbital-assisted MIT is also observed in VO2.43

Fig. 10 shows the density of states of pure VO₂(R) and chargedoping VO₂(R). It is seen that pristine VO₂(R) and charge-doped VO₂(R) exhibit metallic properties as the Fermi level crosses the conduction band. Furthermore, electrons around Fermi level mainly occupy the $d_{x^2-y^2}$ orbital and d_{z^2} orbital. In addition, as the hole density increases, the former has a higher occupant than the latter. However, as the electron density increases, the occupant of d_{z^2} orbital is higher than that of the $d_{x^2-y^2}$ orbital. In



Fig. 9 Total and projected density of states (DOS) of (b–d) hole-doped and (e–h) electron-doped VO₂(M). The concentrations of charge are (a) pristine, (b) 2.1×10^{21} cm⁻³, (c) 4.28×10^{21} cm⁻³, (d) 8.8×10^{21} cm⁻³, (e) 1.04×10^{21} cm⁻³, (f) 4.28×10^{21} cm⁻³, (g) 7.63×10^{21} cm⁻³, and (h) 1.12×10^{22} cm⁻³. The Fermi level (E_F) is set to 0 eV.

general, there is a significant orbital switching between the $d_{x^2-y^2}$ and d_{z^2} orbitals accompanied by obvious V–V dimerization and a slight twisting of δ in the charge-doped VO₂.

3.3 Discussion

Charge-doping greatly impacts on the atomic and electronic structures of VO_2 . From the Fig. 3, it is found that the charge-doping including hole and electron-doping could trigger the

phase transition of VO₂. More interestingly, the effect of hole doping on the T_c is greater than that of electron-doping. This is consistent with other calculation result. As is predicted by Zhang *et al.*, the hole doping was superior to electron doping for modulating the phase transition of VO₂.³⁸ From a geometric viewpoint, the octahedra in VO₂ are orthorhombically distorted, making it possible to differentiate the V–O bonds. After electron-doping, the V–O bond length change significantly in



Fig. 10 Total and projected density of states (DOS) of (b–d) hole-doped and (e–h) electron-doped VO₂(R). The concentrations of charge are (a) pristine, (b) $2.1 \times 10^{21} \text{ cm}^{-3}$, (c) $4.28 \times 10^{21} \text{ cm}^{-3}$, (d) $8.8 \times 10^{21} \text{ cm}^{-3}$, (e) $1.04 \times 10^{21} \text{ cm}^{-3}$, (f) $4.28 \times 10^{21} \text{ cm}^{-3}$, (g) $7.63 \times 10^{21} \text{ cm}^{-3}$, and (h) $1.12 \times 10^{22} \text{ cm}^{-3}$. The Fermi level (*E*_F) is set to 0 eV.

VO₂(R). However, for hole-doping, the alternatively short and long V-V bond distances has very fast change into the equidistant V-V bond in VO₂(M). Furthermore, the twisting angle δ experiences a great change. These phenomena are ascribed to structure-driven transition due to the large δ and V-V bond length change.³⁵ From the electronic structure viewpoint, the characteristic of VO₂ transition from the semiconducting state to the metallic state appears due to the strong interaction between the d_{x²-y²} and d_{z²} orbitals near the Fermi level, which can be attributed to the electron-driven the transition. Therefore, it can be concluded that charge-doping may provide an efficient way to modulate the MIT in VO₂.

4. Conclusions

In summary, we performed first-principles calculations to investigate the behavior of charge doping and its influence on the phase transition temperature of VO₂. Charge doping could effectively modulate the T_c , assisting the VO₂ phase transition at room temperature. Hole doping induces a significant band-gap narrowing with increasing of hole concentration. The characteristic VO₂ transition from the semiconducting state to the metallic state appears once above the critical hole density (6.48 × 10²¹ cm⁻³), which is due to the strong interaction between the $d_{x^2-y^2}$ and d_{z^2} orbitals near the Fermi level. However, electron doping shows a slight band-gap narrowing with increasing electron concentration. The V–O bond lengths significantly increase. The results will provide insights into phase transitions of VO₂ thermochromic materials across the MIT suitable for applications such as smart windows.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgements

The authors gratefully acknowledge support from the National Natural Science Foundation of China (51873102, 51972206), the Open Project Fund of Key Laboratory for Optoelectronics and Communication of Jiangxi Province (20181OEC001), the Schoollevel Scientific Research Project of Hubei Polytechnic University (18xjz11R), and the Innovation Training Program of Hubei Province (S201910920031). The computation was conducted using the high performance computing platform of Shanghai University.

References

- 1 F. J. Morin, Phys. Rev. Lett., 1959, 3, 34-36.
- 2 C. Granqvist, Phys. Scr., 1985, 32, 401-407.
- 3 T. Driscoll, H. Kim, B. Chae, M. Ventra and D. Basov, *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, 2009, **95**, 043503.
- 4 B. Kim, Y. Lee, B. Chae, S. Yun, S. Oh, H. Kim and Y. Lim, *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, 2007, **90**, 023515.

- 5 L. Kang, Y. Gao, H. Luo, J. Wang, B. Zhu, Z. Zhang, J. Du, M. Kanehira and Y. Zhang, *Sol. Energy Mater. Sol. Cells*, 2011, **95**, 3189–3194.
- 6 Y. Gao, S. Wang, H. Luo, L. Dai, C. Cao, Y. Liu, Z. Chen and M. Kanehira, *Energy Environ. Sci.*, 2012, 5, 6104–6110.
- 7 Y. Cui, Y. Ke, C. Liu, Z. Chen, N. Wang, L. Zhang, Y. Zhou, S. Wang, Y. Gao and Y. Long, *Joule*, 2018, 2, 1707–1746.
- 8 C. Tang, P. Georgopoulos, M. E. Fine, J. B. Cohen, M. Nygren, G. S. Knapp and A. Aldred, *Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys.*, 1985, **31**, 1000–1011.
- 9 L. Chen, Y. Liu, K. Yang, P. Lan, Y. Cui, H. Luo, B. Liu and Y. Gao, *Comput. Mater. Sci.*, 2019, **161**, 415–421.
- 10 L. Chen, Y. Cui, S. Shi, B. Liu, H. Luo and Y. Gao, *RSC Adv.*, 2016, **6**, 86872.
- 11 S. Zhang, I. S. Kim and L. J. Lauhon, *Nano Lett.*, 2011, **11**, 1443–1447.
- 12 J. P. Pouget, H. Launois, J. P. D'haenens, P. Merenda and T. M. Rice, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 1975, **35**, 873–875.
- 13 J. Jeong, N. Aetukuri, T. Graf, T. D. Schladt, M. G. Samant and S. S. P. Parkin, *Science*, 2013, **339**, 1402–1405.
- 14 D. Lee, B. Chung, Y. Shi, G. Y. Kim, N. Campbell, F. Xue, K. Song, S. Choi, J. Podkaminer, T. Kim, P. Ryan, J. Kim, T. Paudel, J. Kang, J. Spinuzzi, D. Tenne, E. Tsymbal, M. Rzchowski, L. Chen, J. Lee and C. Eom, *Science*, 2018, 362, 1037.
- 15 J. Zhang, H. He, Y. Xie and B. Pan, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2013, **15**, 4687.
- 16 K. Wang, W. Zhang, L. Liu, P. Guo, Y. Yao, C. Wang, C. Zou, Y. Yang, G. Zhang and F. Xu, *Appl. Surf. Sci.*, 2018, 447, 347– 354.
- 17 M. Wan, M. Xiong, N. Li, B. Liu, S. Wang, W. Ching and X. Zhao, *Appl. Surf. Sci.*, 2017, 410, 363–372.
- 18 W. Zhang, K. Wang, L. Fan, L. Liu, P. Guo, C. Zou, J. Wang,
 H. Qian, K. Ibrahim, W. Yan, F. Xu and Z. Wu, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2014, **118**, 12837–12844.
- 19 L. Dai, S. Chen, J. Liu, Y. Gao, J. Zhou, Z. Chen, C. Cao, H. Luo and M. Kanehira, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2013, 15, 11723–11729.
- 20 M. Wan, B. Liu, S. Wang, L. Hu, H. Tao and X. Zhao, J. Alloys Compd., 2017, 706, 289–296.
- 21 T. Hajlaoui, N. Émond, C. Quirouette, B. L. Drogoff, J. Margot and M. Chaker, *Scr. Mater.*, 2020, 177, 32–37.
- 22 J. Zhang, H. He, Y. Xie and B. Pan, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 2013, **138**, 114705.
- 23 V. Andreev, V. M. Kapralova and V. Klimov, *Phys. Solid State*, 2007, **49**, 2318–2322.
- 24 G. Kresse and J. Hafner, *Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys.*, 1993, 47, 558–561.
- 25 G. Kresse and J. Furthmuller, *Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys.*, 1996, **54**, 11169–11186.
- 26 J. P. Perdew, K. Burke and M. Ernzerhof, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 1996, 77, 3865–3868.
- 27 P. E. Blochl, *Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys.*, 1994, 50, 17953–17979.
- 28 S. L. Dudarev, G. A. Botton, S. Y. Savrasov, C. J. Humphreys and A. P. Sutton, *Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys.*, 1998, 57, 1505–1509.

- 29 L. Chen, A. Wang, Z. Xiong, S. Shi and Y. Gao, *Appl. Surf. Sci.*, 2019, **467–468**, 22–29.
- 30 M. Netsianda, P. E. Ngoepe, C. Richard, A. Catlow and S. M. Woodley, *Chem. Mater.*, 2008, **20**, 1764–1772.
- 31 J. Goodenough, J. Solid State Chem., 1971, 3, 490-500.
- 32 X. Zhou, H. Shu, Q. Li, P. Liang, D. Cao and X. Chen, *J. Mater. Chem. C*, 2020, **8**, 4432–4440.
- 33 L. Fan, S. Chen, G. Liao, Y. Chen, H. Ren and C. Zou, *J. Phys.: Condens. Matter*, 2016, **28**, 255002.
- 34 Y. Muraoka, Y. Ueda and Z. Hiroi, *J. Phys. Chem. Solids*, 2002, 63, 965–967.
- 35 S. Chen, J. Liu, H. Luo and Y. Gao, J. Phys. Chem. Lett., 2015, 6, 3650–3656.
- 36 T. Yao, X. Zhang, Z. Sun, S. Liu, Y. Huang, Y. Xie, C. Wu, X. Yuan, W. Zhang, Z. Wu, G. Pan, F. Hu, L. Wu, Q. Liu and S. Wei, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 2010, **105**, 226405.
- 37 Y. Ji, Y. Zhang, M. Gao, Z. Yuan, Y. Xia, C. Jin, B. Tao, C. Chen, Q. Jia and Y. Lin, *Sci. Rep.*, 2014, 4, 4854.

- 38 X. Yuan, W. Zhang and P. Zhang, *Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys.*, 2013, 88, 035119.
- 39 C. Kübler, H. Ehrke, R. Huber, R. Lopez, A. Halabica, R. F. Haglund and A. Leitenstorfer, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 2007, 99, 116401.
- 40 P. Baum, D. S. Yang and A. H. Zewail, Science, 2007, 318, 788.
- 41 S. Wall, D. Wegkamp, L. Foglia, K. Appavoo, J. Nag, R. F. Haglund, J. Stähler and M. Wolf, *Nat. Commun.*, 2012, 3, 721.
- 42 D. Hilton, R. Prasankumar, S. Fourmaux, A. Cavalleri,
 D. Brassard, M. Khakani, J. Kieffer, A. Taylor and
 R. Averitt, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 2007, 99, 226401.
- 43 N. Aetukuri, A. Gray, M. Drouard, M. Cossale, L. Gao, A. Reid,
 R. Kukreja, H. Ohladag, C. Jenkins, E. Arenholz, K. Roche,
 H. Dürr, M. Samant and S. Parkin, *Nat. Phys.*, 2013, 9, 661–666.
- 44 M. Nisar, Z. Lin, G. Xu, Y. Liu and G. Han, *J. Appl. Phys.*, 2019, **126**, 195106.