

Cite this: *Chem. Sci.*, 2020, 11, 1518

All publication charges for this article have been paid for by the Royal Society of Chemistry

Received 14th October 2019  
Accepted 17th December 2019

DOI: 10.1039/c9sc05188k

rsc.li/chemical-science

# ATP-fuelled self-assembly to regulate chemical reactivity in the time domain†

Maria A. Cardona  and Leonard J. Prins \*

Here, we exploit a small biomolecule – ATP – to gain temporal control over chemical reactivity in a synthetic system composed of small self-assembling molecules and reactants. The approach relies on the capacity of ATP to template the formation of amphiphile-based assemblies. The presence of the enzyme alkaline phosphatase causes a gradual decrease in the ATP-concentration in time and, consequently, a spontaneous dissociation of the assemblies. The uptake of apolar reactants in the hydrophobic domain of the assemblies leads to an enhancement of the reaction rate. It is shown that ATP-triggered self-assembly causes the selective upregulation of one out of two hydrazone-bond formation reactions that take place concurrently in the system. This leads to an inversion in the product ratio, which, however, is transient in nature because the upregulated reaction spontaneously reverts to its basal low reaction rate once the ATP has been consumed by the enzyme. Overall, the results demonstrate the potential of chemically-fuelled self-assembly under dissipative conditions to gain temporal control over reactivity in complex chemical systems.

## Introduction

The bioregulatory system is mediated by small molecules, which can efficiently up- or downregulate biochemical pathways through allostery.<sup>1</sup> The high selectivity of the interactions between these molecules and their biochemical targets permits control over reactivity in a chemically highly complex mixture. The transient availability of small molecules provides temporal control and ensures that the system returns to a basal state once the trigger has disappeared.<sup>2</sup> Only recently, chemists have started to appreciate chemically-fuelled dissipative processes as a tool to actively control the properties of synthetic systems.<sup>3–5</sup> Within a short time span experimental studies have already demonstrated that the obtained materials, catalysts, and molecular machinery have unique properties when compared to analogous systems that operate under equilibrium conditions.<sup>6–10</sup> One important novelty is that the functional properties of a system can be temporally controlled by regulating the concentration of chemical fuel, just as in nature. This implies that the time domain has now become accessible as a design parameter for the development of functional chemical systems.<sup>11–14</sup> Whereas the majority of these studies have been dedicated to the development of materials and a study of their properties, the exploitation of chemically-fuelled driven self-assembly for the purpose of controlling chemical reactivity

has received much less attention.<sup>15–25</sup> Here, we exploit adenosine triphosphate (ATP)-fuelled self-assembly<sup>16,26–29</sup> to control the reaction kinetics in a reaction mixture in which two reactions take place concurrently (Fig. 1). We demonstrate that the addition of ATP causes the selective transient upregulation of one of the two chemical reactions, which translates in a temporary inversion of the product ratio in the system as long as the chemical fuel is present.

## Results


### Synthesis and characterisation

We synthesized the new amphiphile  $C_{12}$ TACN, which contains the same 1,4,7-triazacyclononane (TACN) head group as the amphiphile  $C_{16}$ TACN used in previous studies but attached to a shorter apolar chain ( $C_{12}$  vs.  $C_{16}$ ) (Fig. 1).<sup>16,30</sup> We expected that the lower intrinsic stability of the resulting assemblies would lead to a larger difference in critical aggregation concentration (CAC) in the presence and absence of templates. This would allow us to work at higher amphiphile-concentrations without having to worry about spontaneous, non-templated self-assembly. This difference was indeed confirmed by self-assembly studies carried out by titrating  $C_{12}$ TACN·Zn<sup>2+</sup>, the Zn<sup>2+</sup>-complex of  $C_{12}$ TACN, to a solution of an apolar fluorophore, such as DPH (1,6-diphenyl-1,3,5-hexatriene) or laurdan dye, in aqueous buffer ([HEPES] = 5 mM, pH = 7.0). These probes are insoluble in the solution, but spontaneously partition in the apolar domain of structures formed by self-assembly of the amphiphiles causing an increase in fluorescence intensity. The onset of fluorescence intensity is thus an indicator for the CAC. For  $C_{12}$ TACN·Zn<sup>2+</sup> the fluorescence

Department of Chemical Sciences, University of Padova, Via Marzolo 1, 35131 Padova, Italy. E-mail: leonard.prins@unipd.it

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. See DOI: 10.1039/c9sc05188k





intensity of DPH started to increase slightly only at a concentration of around 5 mM, indicating that the CAC lies around this concentration (Fig. 2a). This marks a strong difference with the CAC of around 100  $\mu M$  previously determined for  $C_{16}TACN \cdot Zn^{2+}$  (Fig. 2a).<sup>16</sup> This result was confirmed by surface tension measurements (Fig. 2a).

We then studied the effect of ATP (adenosine triphosphate) on the CAC of  $C_{12}TACN \cdot Zn^{2+}$  by repeating the titrations in the presence of different amounts of ATP (20–100  $\mu M$ ) (Fig. 2b). In all cases the fluorescence intensity started to increase at a  $C_{12}TACN \cdot Zn^{2+}$ -concentration of around 30  $\mu M$  indicating that ATP templated the formation of assemblies at concentrations well below the CAC. All curves exhibited a maximum which implied that at elevated concentrations of  $C_{12}TACN \cdot Zn^{2+}$  the amount of ATP is not sufficient to stabilize the assemblies. The ATP-templated formation of assemblies with nanometer-sized dimensions was confirmed by dynamic light scattering (DLS), transmission electron microscopy (TEM) and laser scanning confocal microscopy (LSCM) measurements (Fig. 2c and d). The presence of an aqueous inner phase in these structures, as evidenced from the possibility to encapsulate the water-soluble cationic dye rhodamine 6G (Fig. S3, ESI<sup>†</sup>), suggests that the assemblies have a vesicular structure similar to those observed previously for  $C_{16}TACN \cdot Zn^{2+}$ .<sup>16,30</sup> Altogether, the data show that ATP templates the self-assembly of  $C_{12}TACN \cdot Zn^{2+}$  in spherical assemblies. Similar to previous studies,<sup>16,30</sup> transient assembly formation was observed under dissipative conditions installed




Fig. 2 (a) Fluorescence intensity (FI) at 428 nm (filled squares) and surface tension (empty circles) as a function of the concentration of  $C_{12}TACN \cdot Zn^{2+}$  (red) and  $C_{16}TACN \cdot Zn^{2+}$  (blue) in the presence of DPH (2.5  $\mu M$ ). (b) Fluorescence intensity (FI) at 428 nm as a function of the concentration of  $C_{12}TACN \cdot Zn^{2+}$  in the presence of different concentrations of ATP (20, 50, 100  $\mu M$ ). The black trace indicates the fluorescence response of a sample without ATP present, whereas the blue trace indicates the response of adenosine (200  $\mu M$ ) and Pi (600  $\mu M$ ). (c) Hydrodynamic diameter of assemblies formed in the presence of 50  $\mu M$  ATP as measured by DLS. (d) TEM and LSCM images of aggregates with  $[C_{12}TACN \cdot Zn^{2+}] = 100 \mu M$  and  $[ATP] = 50 \mu M$ . TEM images are stained with 2% uranyl acetate solution. (e) Fluorescence intensity (FI) at 472 nm following repetitive additions of ATP (50  $\mu M$ ) to a solution of  $C_{12}TACN \cdot Zn^{2+}$  (100  $\mu M$ ) and laurdan dye (2  $\mu M$ ) in the presence of alkaline phosphatase (0.5 U). General experimental conditions: [HEPES] = 5 mM, pH 7.0,  $T = 25 \text{ }^\circ C$ .

by the presence of the enzyme alkaline phosphatase (Fig. 2e). The waste products (adenosine and inorganic phosphate) obtained from enzymatic hydrolysis of ATP are unable to stabilize the assemblies (Fig. 2b) and spontaneous dissociation takes place after enzymatic ATP hydrolysis. Full reversibility of this process was demonstrated by an experiment in which the same solution was fuelled with a second batch of ATP (50  $\mu M$ ) (Fig. 2e).

### Transient regulation of chemical reactivity

Previously we have shown that transiently stable vesicular nanoreactors can upregulate a nucleophilic aromatic substitution reaction by concentrating the apolar reactants in the hydrophobic bilayer.<sup>16</sup> We were interested in expanding the reaction scope to hydrazone-bond formation, because this reaction is widely applied for the development of molecular receptors, materials and catalysts.<sup>31,32</sup> Furthermore, we wanted to demonstrate that a chemical trigger can be used to selectively and transiently upregulate one chemical reaction in a system of higher complexity.



After a preliminary screening of a set of hydrazides and aldehydes, we selected aldehydes **1** (*trans*-cinnamaldehyde) and **2** (2-pyridinecarboxaldehyde) and hydrazide **A** (3-hydroxy-2-naphthoic hydrazide) for a detailed study (Fig. 1 and 3a). The formation of hydrazone **1A** from **1** and **A** could be conveniently detected using UV-vis spectroscopy because the conjugated structure of **1A** gives rise to a new absorption band with a maximum at 332 nm in aqueous buffer (Fig. S18, ESI†). The identity of the product and the kinetics of product formation were independently confirmed by LC-MS (Fig. S20–S25†). In aqueous buffer the formation of **1A** after mixing **1** (5 μM) and **A** (20 μM) is slow and just trace amounts of product are observed after several hours (Fig. 3b). Performing the reaction in the presence of just  $C_{12}TACN \cdot Zn^{2+}$  (100 μM) caused a minor 3-fold increase in initial rate from  $6.1 \times 10^{-5}$  to  $1.8 \times 10^{-4}$  μM min<sup>-1</sup> (Fig. 3b). On the other hand, when the reagents were added to a solution containing both  $C_{12}TACN \cdot Zn^{2+}$  (100 μM) and ATP (200 μM) the reaction rate proceeded at a 25-fold higher rate ( $1.5 \times 10^{-3}$  μM min<sup>-1</sup>) (Fig. 3b). TEM images confirmed that the presence of the

reagents did not affect the assembly process (Fig. S19, ESI†). A direct visualization of the correlation between the formation of ATP-templated assemblies and the increase in reaction rate was obtained from a control experiment in which ATP was added 12 hours after mixing  $C_{12}TACN \cdot Zn^{2+}$  and reagents **1** and **A** (Fig. 3c). While the reaction proceeded slowly in the absence of ATP, the addition of ATP caused an immediate strong increase in reaction rate. A control experiment in which ATP was added to a solution of the reactants in buffer in the absence of  $C_{12}TACN \cdot Zn^{2+}$  did not result in any rate increase (Fig. S25, ESI†).

On the other hand, the reaction between aldehyde **2** and hydrazide **A** to form hydrazone **2A** was not affected by the presence of either  $C_{12}TACN \cdot Zn^{2+}$  or ATP-templated assemblies (Fig. 3d). In aqueous buffer, the reaction proceeds with a significant rate ( $7.9 \times 10^{-4}$  μM min<sup>-1</sup>), attributed to the higher reactivity of the aldehyde-moiety in **2** compared to **1** caused by the pyridine-moiety.<sup>33,34</sup> Similar rates were observed in the presence of either  $C_{12}TACN \cdot Zn^{2+}$  (100 μM) or  $C_{12}TACN \cdot Zn^{2+}$  (100 μM) and ATP (200 μM) ( $\nu_0 = 9.9 \times 10^{-4}$  and  $6.4 \times 10^{-4}$  μM, respectively). The slight decrease in rate observed in the presence of assemblies is tentatively ascribed to an uptake of hydrazide **A** in the hydrophobic part of the assemblies, which reduces its concentration in the aqueous medium.

The fact that the reaction between **1** and **A** is mediated by the assemblies creates – from a kinetic point of view – an interesting difference with the same reaction carried out in bulk. Although ATP does not participate in the reaction, its concentration enters in the rate equation because it determines the availability of reactor volume. Indeed, kinetic studies revealed that the reaction rate was of order 0.9 in the concentration of ATP (Fig. S21, ESI†). The order in ATP indicates the efficiency at which ATP creates an apolar reaction environment in the system. Interestingly, it was observed that the addition of ATP under dissipative conditions, *i.e.* in the presence of enzyme, caused an increase in rate, but only for a limited amount of time depending on the enzyme concentration (Fig. 3e). The final concentrations of **1A** (at  $t = 800$  min) were inversely related to the enzyme concentration. This is caused by the reduction in life time of the assemblies upon an increase in enzyme concentration which provides less time for the reactions to occur. Importantly, for the experiments that will be described below, the formation of product **2A** was not affected by the presence of enzyme (Fig. 3f). The transientness of ATP-induced upregulation emerged from an experiment in which 3 batches of ATP (50 μM) were repetitively added to a solution of reactants and  $C_{12}TACN \cdot Zn^{2+}$  in the presence of enzyme (0.5 U). For **1** and **A** each addition of ATP resulted in a rapid increase in rate which gradually levelled off as ATP was hydrolysed, whereas for **1** and **B** the addition of ATP had no effect on the reaction rate (Fig. S26, ESI†).

### Regulation of chemical reactivity in the time domain

The different dependence of the two reactions on the presence of templated assemblies prompted us to investigate reactivity




**Fig. 3** (a) Schematic representation of the reactions between **1**, **2** and **A** to give hydrazones **1A** and **2A** in the unassembled and assembled state. (b) Concentration of **1A** as a function of time as determined by HPLC when **1** (20 μM) and **A** (5 μM) were added to aqueous buffer at pH 7.0 (grey), a solution of  $C_{12}TACN \cdot Zn^{2+}$  (100 μM) (empty red circles) or a solution of  $C_{12}TACN \cdot Zn^{2+}$  (100 μM) and ATP (200 μM) (filled red circles). (c) Concentration of **1A** as a function of time as determined by HPLC when **1** and **A** (20 μM) were added to a solution of  $C_{12}TACN \cdot Zn^{2+}$  (100 μM) and to which ATP (200 μM) was added after 900 minutes. (d) Concentration of **2A** as a function of time when **2** (20 μM) and **A** (5 μM) were added to aqueous buffer at pH 7.0 (grey), a solution of  $C_{12}TACN \cdot Zn^{2+}$  (100 μM) (empty blue circles) or a solution of  $C_{12}TACN \cdot Zn^{2+}$  (100 μM) and ATP (200 μM) (filled blue circles). (e and f). Concentration of **1A** (e)/**2A** (f) as a function of time when **1** (e)/**2** (f) (20 μM) and **A** (20 μM) were reacted together in the presence of  $C_{12}TACN \cdot Zn^{2+}$  (100 μM), ATP (200 μM) and increasing concentrations of alkaline phosphatase (0–2 U). General experimental conditions: [HEPES] = 5 mM, pH 7.0,  $T = 25$  °C.



in a system in which both reactions take place simultaneously (Fig. 4a). In line with the observations reported above, in a solution containing all reactants **1**, **2**, and **A** and just  $C_{12}TACN \cdot Zn^{2+}$  the reaction producing **2A** occurred at a significantly higher rate and after 350 minutes hydrazone **2A** was the dominant product in the reaction mixture (Fig. 4b). However, the product distribution in the system changed completely after the addition of ATP (200  $\mu M$ ). The ATP-templated formation of assemblies led to a strong acceleration of the reaction leading to **1A**, without significantly affecting the rate of formation of **2A**. Consequently, the concentration of **1A** started to increase rapidly resulting in an equimolar concentration of hydrazones **1A** and **2A** at around 300 minutes after addition of ATP. After that time, hydrazone **1A** became the dominant product in the reaction mixture. A similar inversion of product composition was observed when  $C_{12}TACN \cdot Zn^{2+}$  was added to a reaction mixture which initially contained the reactants and just ATP (Fig. S27, ESI<sup>†</sup>).

Finally, temporal control over the product distribution in the system could be obtained exploiting the fact that the upregulation of **1A** formation is controlled by ATP. This is illustrated by



**Fig. 4** (a) Schematic representation of the change in dominant reaction as the system transits between the unassembled and the assembled state under dissipative conditions. (b) Increase in the concentration of **1A** and **2A** over time when a mixture of **1**, **2** and **A** (20  $\mu M$ ) were added to a solution of  $C_{12}TACN \cdot Zn^{2+}$  (100  $\mu M$ ) and to which ATP (200  $\mu M$ ) was added after 350 minutes. (c) Increase in the concentration of **1A** and **2A** over time when a mixture of **1** (20  $\mu M$ ), **2** (20  $\mu M$ ) and **A** (40  $\mu M$ ) were added to a solution of  $C_{12}TACN \cdot Zn^{2+}$  (100  $\mu M$ ) and alkaline phosphatase (0.5 U) and to which ATP (50  $\mu M$ ) was added at  $t = 80$  min and a new batch (100  $\mu M$ ) at  $t = 300$  min. Overlaid on the same graph is the change in FI at 472 nm over time upon the addition of the same amounts of ATP to a solution containing  $C_{12}TACN \cdot Zn^{2+}$  (100  $\mu M$ ) and laurdan dye (2  $\mu M$ ) under the same experimental conditions. General experimental conditions: [HEPES] = 5 mM, pH 7.0,  $T = 25$  °C. (d) Simulation of the experiment described in (b) using a kinetic model (ESI<sup>†</sup>). (e) Simulation of the experiment described in (c) (first cycle) using a kinetic model (ESI<sup>†</sup>).

the following experiment in which ATP was added to a solution containing reagents **1**, **2**, and **A**,  $C_{12}TACN \cdot Zn^{2+}$  and the enzyme alkaline phosphatase under optimized conditions in terms of concentrations. The formation of reaction product was monitored by UPLC, which revealed a higher rate of formation of **2A** prior to addition of ATP (50  $\mu M$ ), as expected based on the results described above (Fig. 4c and S28, ESI<sup>†</sup>). The addition of ATP at  $t = 80$  min resulted in a rapid increase in rate of formation of **1A** and at  $t = 100$  min hydrazone **1A** became the dominant product in the mixture. However, under the dissipative conditions installed by the presence of enzyme, the assemblies have a limited lifetime, which could be determined independently by monitoring the fluorescence intensity of the apolar probe laurdan dye under the same experimental conditions. It was observed that after a rapid rise the FI dropped rapidly over the time course of around 200 minutes. Importantly, in the same time interval the increase in concentration of **1A** slowed down significantly reaching a nearly complete stop in concomitance with completion of the disassembly process. However, over this period the concentration of **2A** continued to increase steadily because this reaction is not affected by the presence – or absence – of the assemblies. As a result, from  $t = 200$  min onwards, hydrazone **2A** became again the dominant product in the reaction mixture. To unequivocally demonstrate that the product composition can be temporally controlled by ATP, we added a new batch of ATP (100  $\mu M$ ) at  $t = 300$  min to start a new cycle. A rapid increase in concentration of **1A** was again observed and from  $t = 400$  min onwards hydrazone **1A** became again the major product in the mixture.

A kinetic model was developed to improve the understanding how the different processes occurring in the system – self-assembly, reactivity, energy dissipation – affect the output (ESI<sup>†</sup>). The model includes the reaction rates for the formation of hydrazones **1A** and **2A** in the absence and presence of assemblies, ATP-induced assembly formation, and the enzyme-catalysed degradation of ATP. The simulated addition of ATP to a mixture in the absence of enzyme indeed leads to the experimentally observed inversion of product selectivity (compare Fig. 4d to b) and under dissipative conditions the calculated trace for the increase in concentration of **1A** compares well to the experimentally observed data. The model is thus able to capture the essential kinetic features of the system in the correct time frame. The possibility to capture the system in a relatively simple kinetic scheme will facilitate the design of systems of higher complexity.

## Conclusions

In conclusion, we have shown that chemically-fuelled self-assembly under dissipative conditions permits the transient upregulation of a chemical reaction. This changes the product selectivity in the reaction mixture for a limited amount of time depending on the availability of ATP. Kinetic reaction profiles of the kind demonstrated here are not possible to obtain using conventional approaches. Overall, the results indicate a novel way of controlling chemical reactivity in reaction mixtures,



which will serve to program reaction pathways in systems of higher complexity.<sup>35</sup>

## Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

## Acknowledgements

Funding from the European Union Horizon 2020 Research and Innovation Programme under the Marie Skłodowska-Curie grant agreement 642793. We are grateful to Dr Ilaria Fortunati for the LSCM measurements and to Dr Subhabrata Maiti for advice and stimulating discussions.

## Notes and references

- 1 M. Perutz, *Mechanisms of Cooperativity and Allosteric Regulation in Proteins*, Cambridge University Press, 1990.
- 2 P. Goloubinoff, A. S. Sassi, B. Fauvet, A. Barducci and P. De los Rios, *Nat. Chem. Biol.*, 2018, **14**, 388–395.
- 3 S. A. P. van Rossum, M. Tena-Solsona, J. H. van Esch, R. Eelkema and J. Boekhoven, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2017, **46**, 5519–5535.
- 4 F. della Sala, S. Neri, S. Maiti, J. L. Y. Chen and L. J. Prins, *Curr. Opin. Biotechnol.*, 2017, **46**, 27–33.
- 5 S. De and R. Klajn, *Adv. Mater.*, 2018, **30**, 1706750.
- 6 E. Mattia and S. Otto, *Nat. Nanotechnol.*, 2015, **10**, 111–119.
- 7 S. Erbas-Cakmak, D. A. Leigh, C. T. McTernan and A. L. Nussbaumer, *Chem. Rev.*, 2015, **115**, 10081–10206.
- 8 B. A. Grzybowski and W. T. S. Huck, *Nat. Nanotechnol.*, 2016, **11**, 584–591.
- 9 R. Merindol and A. Walther, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2017, **46**, 5588–5619.
- 10 G. Ragazzon and L. J. Prins, *Nat. Nanotechnol.*, 2018, **13**, 882–889.
- 11 T. Heuser, A. K. Steppert, C. M. Lopez, B. L. Zhu and A. Walther, *Nano Lett.*, 2015, **15**, 2213–2219.
- 12 S. Dhiman, A. Jain, M. Kumar and S. J. George, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2017, **139**, 16568–16575.
- 13 C. Pezzato, C. Y. Cheng, J. F. Stoddart and R. D. Astumian, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2017, **46**, 5491–5507.
- 14 J. Leira-Iglesias, A. Sorrenti, A. Sato, P. A. Dunne and T. M. Hermans, *Chem. Commun.*, 2016, **52**, 9009–9012.
- 15 H. Fanlo-Virgos, A. N. R. Alba, S. Hamieh, M. Colomb-Delsuc and S. Otto, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2014, **53**, 11346–11350.
- 16 S. Maiti, I. Fortunati, C. Ferrante, P. Scrimin and L. J. Prins, *Nat. Chem.*, 2016, **8**, 725–731.
- 17 Y. Okamoto and T. R. Ward, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2017, **56**, 10156–10160.
- 18 H. L. Che, B. C. Buddingh and J. C. M. van Hest, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2017, **56**, 12581–12585.
- 19 H. L. Che, S. P. Cao and J. C. M. van Hest, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2018, **140**, 5356–5359.
- 20 P. S. Munana, G. Ragazzon, J. Dupont, C. Z. J. Ren, L. J. Prins and J. L. Y. Chen, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2018, **57**, 16469–16474.
- 21 I. Colomer, S. M. Morrow and S. P. Fletcher, *Nat. Commun.*, 2018, **9**, 2239.
- 22 S. Bal, K. Das, S. Ahmed and D. Das, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2019, **58**, 244–247.
- 23 H. Wang, Y. Wang, B. Shen, X. Liu and M. Lee, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2019, **141**, 4182–4185.
- 24 C. Biagini, S. D. P. Fielden, D. A. Leigh, F. Schaufelberger, S. Di Stefano and D. Thomas, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2019, **58**, 9876–9880.
- 25 S. P. Afrose, S. Bal, A. Chatterjee, K. Das and D. Das, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2019, **58**, 15783–15787.
- 26 S. Dhiman, A. Jain and S. J. George, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2017, **56**, 1329–1333.
- 27 X. Hao, W. Sang, J. Hu and Q. Yan, *ACS Macro Lett.*, 2017, **6**, 1151–1155.
- 28 A. Sorrenti, J. Leira-Iglesias, A. Sato and T. M. Hermans, *Nat. Commun.*, 2017, **8**, 15899.
- 29 L. Heinen and A. Walther, *Sci. Adv.*, 2019, **5**, eaaw0590.
- 30 J. L. Y. Chen, S. Maiti, I. Fortunati, C. Ferrante and L. J. Prins, *Chem.–Eur. J.*, 2017, **23**, 11549–11559.
- 31 M. E. Belowich and J. F. Stoddart, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2012, **41**, 2003–2024.
- 32 X. Su and I. Aprahamian, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2014, **43**, 1963–1981.
- 33 E. T. Kool, P. Crisalli and K. M. Chan, *Org. Lett.*, 2014, **16**, 1454–1457.
- 34 E. T. Kool, D. H. Park and P. Crisalli, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2013, **135**, 17663–17666.
- 35 G. Vantomme and E. W. Meijer, *Science*, 2019, **363**, 1396–1397.

