Volume 11 Number 20 28 May 2020 Pages 5117–5348

Chemical Science

rsc.li/chemical-science

ISSN 2041-6539

EDGE ARTICLE

ROYAL SOCIETY
OF CHEMISTRY

Naoya Kumagai, Masakatsu Shibasaki *et al.* Introduction of a 7-aza-6-MeO-indoline auxiliary in Lewis-acid/photoredox cooperative catalysis: highly enantioselective aminomethylation of α,β-unsaturated amides

Chemical Science

EDGE ARTICLE

Cite this: Chem. Sci., 2020, 11, 5168

C All publication charges for this article have been paid for by the Royal Society of Chemistry

Received 2nd April 2020 Accepted 24th April 2020

DOI: 10.1039/d0sc01890b

rsc.li/chemical-science

Introduction

Introduction of a 7-aza-6-MeO-indoline auxiliary in Lewis-acid/photoredox cooperative catalysis: highly enantioselective aminomethylation of α , β unsaturated amides†

Santosh K. Pagire, **D** Naoya Kumagai \mathbb{D}^* and Masakatsu Shibasaki \mathbb{D}^*

An efficient cooperative chiral Lewis acid/photoredox catalytic system for engaging highly reactive radicals in highly enantioselective conjugate addition to α , β -unsaturated carbonyls is highly desirable. Direct photoexcitation of unbound substrates typically induces undesired background pathways for racemic products and remains a formidable challenge to be addressed in the area of enantioselective photocatalysis. Herein, we report a cooperative catalytic system comprising a chiral Cu(I) complex and an Ir(III) photocatalyst fueled by visible-light irradiation that allows for seamless integration of the catalytic formation of α -amino alkyl radicals and subsequent enantioselective addition to α , β -unsaturated amides. A 7-aza-6-MeO-indoline attachment on the amide substrates plays a pivotal role in suppressing the undesired pathways, resulting in excellent enantioselectivity and enabling expedited access to valuable γ -aminobutyramides. The indoline amide was readily diversified with full recovery of the azaindoline attachment, highlighting the synthetic utility of this cooperative catalytic system. **EDGE ARTICLE**
 (a) Check for updetes
 Introduction of a 7-aza-6-MeO-indoline auxiliary
 $\frac{1}{2}$
 EQUE ARTICLE
 **Introduction of a 7-aza-6-MeO-indoline auxiliary
** $\frac{1}{2}$ **
** $\frac{1}{2}$ **
** $\frac{1}{2}$ **
** $\frac{1}{2}$ **
 \frac{1}{**

Over the past decade, the field of visible-light photoredox catalysis has rapidly advanced as a sustainable methodology for exerting orthogonal activation modes to manipulate small organic molecules,^{1,2} in which photoinduced single-electron transfer triggers the in situ generation of active chemical species from organic substrates, thereby enabling a variety of unique chemical events to facilitate C–C and C–heteroatom bond formation.³–¹² Recently, reaction promotion by combining photoexcitation and concomitant stereocontrol with the aid of catalytic chiral sources such as chiral Lewis acids or organocatalysts has become a topic of great interest and prompted the development of enantioselective photocatalysis.¹³–²³ Meggers et al. developed chiral-at-metal Λ -configured or Δ -configured Ir(III) or Rh(III) photoactive complexes for a broad range of asymmetric photocatalytic transformations.^{24,25} Although several enantioselective photoredox reactions are now well established, a number of fundamental reactions such as Giese reactions^{26,27} could benefit from improved catalytic systems.²⁸ In this particular case, the α , β -unsaturated carbonyl substrates may undergo direct $[2 + 2]$ -photocycloaddition²⁹⁻³³ or E/Z -isomerization,^{34,35} which is often difficult to suppress.³⁶ To overcome

such shortcomings, elaborated catalytic systems were devised to streamline the desired catalytic scenario. In the late $20th$ century, Sibi and Porter described the Lewis acid-promoted enantioselective radical addition to the electron-deficient α , β unsaturated compounds.³⁷ Stoichiometric amounts of reagents were required, however, likely due to the undesired background reaction of the unbound substrate. Yet, this reaction set the standard for the future development of numerous enantioselective conjugate radical addition reactions.³⁸⁻⁴¹ It was later demonstrated that visible light photocatalysts could be utilized to generate a-amino radicals, thereby obviating the mandatory use of external reagents.⁴²⁻⁵⁰ Catalytic enantioselective photocatalytic intramolecular conjugate addition of a-amino radicals to the quinolone skeleton was first disclosed by Bach's group using a chiral hydrogen-bonding photosensitizer.⁵¹ The work of Pandey et al.⁵²⁻⁵⁶ and others⁵⁷⁻⁶² revealed that α -silylamines have a pivotal role in the photogeneration of α -amino radical species, which are integrated into a subsequent conjugate addition in an intermolecular fashion. Yoon et al. developed a dual catalytic system comprising a chiral $Sc(m)$ complex and a Ru-based photocatalyst that promotes conjugate addition to form the chiral products with excellent enantiocontrol.⁶³ Subsequently, Gong et al. and Meggers et al. independently provided alternative protocols for conjugate additions using ingeniously designed chiral photocatalysts such as $Ni(n)$ -DBFOX⁶⁴ and Δ -RhO,⁶⁵ respectively. With a similar platform, few other groups attempted analogous reactions, and the dominant racemic background reaction could be overcome to the great extent.^{66,67}

ROYAL SOCIETY
OF CHEMISTRY

Institute of Microbial Chemistry (BIKAKEN), 3-14-23, Kamiosaki, Shinagawa-ku, Tokyo, 141-0021, Japan. E-mail: mshibasa@bikaken.or.jp; nkumagai@bikaken.or.jp † Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. CCDC 1985034–1985036. For ESI and crystallographic data in CIF or other electronic format see DOI: 10.1039/d0sc01890b

Results and discussion

We initiated our investigation with the prototypical 7-azaindoline amide 1a and α -silylamine 2a as an electrophile and radical source, respectively, where 1 mol% of $[\text{Ir}(\text{ppy})_2(\text{dtb-bpy})]PF_6$ was used as a photocatalyst under blue-light irradiation (λ_{max} 448/ 455 nm). The initial attempt using a Cu(1)/(S,S)- t Bu-PyBox (L1) complex as a typical chiral Lewis acid in acetonitrile at room temperature resulted in poor conversion to give the desired product 3aa (Table 1, entry 1). This is likely due to the decomposition of the Cu(I) complex as indicated by the deeply colored reaction mixture, preventing photoexcitation of the $Ir(m)$ photocatalyst. In contrast, $Cu(i)$ phosphine complexes emerged as a compatible chiral Lewis acid under blue-light irradiation, affording 3aa in moderate yield (entries 2–6). Among the chiral phosphines tested, a commercially available biaryl-type (R)-DM-Segphos (L2) afforded the highest enantioselectivity (89% ee), which was sensitive to the reaction temperature (entries 2–4). A protic solvent like ethanol was beneficial for increasing the yield to 73% (vide infra, see mechanistic discussion), but a reduction in solubility hampered the smooth progress of the reaction at -20 °C (entries 7 and 8). Aiming to attain homogeneity, we next evaluated a mixed solvent system, leading to the identification of EtOH : DME $(1:3)$ as the optimal reaction media to give 3aa in 83% yield with 89% ee (entries 9–12). Lowering the reaction temperature to -30 °C did not further enhance the enantioselectivity, and the reaction became more sluggish (entry 13). No reaction occurred in the absence of a photocatalyst or light source, confirming that the photocatalytic activation of 2a is essential to the cooperative catalysis (entries 14 and 15). Conditions lacking a Cu(I) catalyst gave no product 3aa, indicating that the reaction system does not undergo a nonstereoselective background reaction (entry 16).

The necessity of the 7-azaindoline auxiliary was confirmed in a series of reactions using distinct α , β -unsaturated amides as acceptors of the in situ-generated radical (Table 2). Minute

Edge Article Chemical Science

	Table 1 Screening of the reaction conditions ^a			
--	---	--	--	--

	Edge Article	View Article Online Chemical Science						
	Our recent efforts focusing on asymmetric catalysis led us to			Table 1 Screening of the reaction conditions a				
	identify that 7-azaindoline amides are privileged substrates that drive a number of highly stereocontrolled C-C bond-forming		Entry Ligand Solvent			Temp $({}^{\circ}C)$ Yield ^b $(\%)$ ee ^c $(\%)$		
	reactions in the context of Cu(I) catalysis. ^{68,69} However, the 7-	$\mathbf{1}$	L1	MeCN	23	10	ND	
	azaindoline auxiliary had not been implemented in the field of	$\overline{2}$	L2	MeCN	23	72	30	
	photocatalysis and the use of inexpensive copper complexes is	3	L ₂	MeCN	5	61	70	
	rarely explored in asymmetric photocatalysis. ^{23,70-73} We	4	L2	MeCN	-20	62	89	
		5	L ₃	MeCN	5	49	52	
	reasoned that this auxiliary could also serve as a potential	6	L ₄	MeCN	5	43	-44	
	stereo-controlling unit in radical reactions and provide	$\overline{7}$	L2	EtOH	5	73	74	
	a powerful alternative to several challenging photocatalytic	8	L2	EtOH	-20	Trace	ND	
	transformations. Herein, we established a photocatalytic	9	L2	EtOH : MeCN (1:1)	-20	68	66	
	additive-free protocol exerted by $Cu(i)/Ir(m)$ dual catalysis to	10	L2	EtOH : DME (1:1)	-20	77	76	
	engage α , β -unsaturated 7-azaindoline amides and α -silylamines	11	L2	EtOH : DME (3:1)	-20	49	85	
	in a highly enantioselective radical conjugate addition. The	12 13	L2 L2	EtOH : DME (1:3) EtOH : DME (1:3)	-20 -30	$83(80)^d$ 67	89 89	
	intriguing substituent effect of the 7-azaindoline unit was first	14^e	L2	EtOH : DME (1:3)	-20	Trace	ND	
	revealed by systematic studies and comparisons of the crystal	15^f	L2	EtOH : DME (1:3)	-20	Trace	ND	
	structures of Cu(1)/amide complexes. The broad substrate	16 ^g	L2	EtOH : DME (1:3)	-20	Trace	ND	
Article. Published on 27 April 2020. Downloaded on 5/15/2025 12:47:15 AM. This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 Unported Licence.	generality is supported by divergent transformation of the 7- azaindoline moiety of the enantioenriched products and high- lights the synthetic utility of the present catalytic protocol. Results and discussion		$L1: (S, S)-B$ uPyBox	^I Bu L2: (H) -DM-Segphos Ar = 3,5-xylyl $L3: (H)-DM-BINAP$ $Ar = 3.5$ -xylyl	$LA: (R, R)$ -Ph-BPE	$[lr(ppy)2dtb-bpy]PF6$		
	We initiated our investigation with the prototypical 7-azaindo- line amide 1a and α -silylamine 2a as an electrophile and radical source, respectively, where 1 mol% of $[Ir(ppy)_2(dtb-bpy)]PF_6$ was used as a photocatalyst under blue-light irradiation (λ_{max} 448/		^{<i>a</i>} 1a : 0.1 mmol, 2a : 0.25 mmol, blue light $(\lambda_{\text{max}} 448/455 \text{ nm})$. b Determined by 1 H NMR analysis of the crude reaction mixture with 1,1,2,2-tetrachloroethane as an internal standard. ϵ Determined by chiral stationary phase HPLC analysis. d Isolated yield. e Without a photocatalyst. f Reaction in the dark. g Without a Cu(i) catalyst.					
Open Access ▸	455 nm). The initial attempt using a Cu(1)/ $(S,S)^{-t}$ Bu-PyBox (L1) complex as a typical chiral Lewis acid in acetonitrile at room temperature resulted in poor conversion to give the desired product 3aa (Table 1, entry 1). This is likely due to the decom- position of the Cu(1) complex as indicated by the deeply colored reaction mixture, preventing photoexcitation of the Ir(m) pho- tocatalyst. In contrast, Cu(I) phosphine complexes emerged as a compatible chiral Lewis acid under blue-light irradiation	changing in the unsaturation of the heterocycle to 7-azaindole 4 resulted in no reaction. Isomeric 4-azaindoline 5 or indoline derivative 6 exhibited no reactivity, indicating that the coordi- nation capability of the nitrogen atom at the 7-position is essential. Of note, a potentially chelating acyclic N-(2-pyridyl) amide 7 failed to promote the reaction, highlighting the exclu-						

^{*a*} **1a**: 0.1 mmol, **2a**: 0.25 mmol, blue light $(\lambda_{\text{max}} 448/455 \text{ nm})$.
^{*b*} Determined by ¹H NMR analysis of the crude reaction mixture with 1,1,2,2-tetrachloroethane as an internal standard. c Determined by chiral stationary phase HPLC analysis. ^d Isolated yield. ^e Without a photocatalyst. f Reaction in the dark. g Without a Cu(1) catalyst.

changing in the unsaturation of the heterocycle to 7-azaindole 4 resulted in no reaction. Isomeric 4-azaindoline 5 or indoline derivative 6 exhibited no reactivity, indicating that the coordination capability of the nitrogen atom at the 7-position is essential. Of note, a potentially chelating acyclic N-(2-pyridyl) amide 7 failed to promote the reaction, highlighting the exclusive nature of 7-azaindoline to engage the α , β -unsaturated carbonyl units in an asymmetric radical reaction. As expected, a Weinreb amide 8 and dimethyl amide 9 were incompatible substrates. Based on the apparent pivotal role of 7-azaindoline

Table 2 Necessity of the 7 -azaindoline auxiliary^a

 a Amide: 0.1 mmol, 2a: 0.25 mmol. Yields were determined by 1 H NMR analysis of the crude reaction mixture with 1,1,2,2-tetrachloroethane as an internal standard.

to control stereoselection via strong bidentate coordination to the $Cu(I)$ complex, we conducted a systematic study of substituent effects (Table 3). Installation of non-coordinating methyl or phenyl groups at the 6-position, the most biasing position to interfere with the coordination to Cu(I), significantly decreased the enantioselectivity (3ba, 3ca). In sharp contrast, upon introducing a potentially coordinative substituent, e.g., methoxy or chloro substituents, at the same position enhanced the yield and improved enantioselectivity up to 98% ee (3da, 3ea).

This finding was further supported by the negative effect of the 6-cyano substituent (3fa). This observation can be ascribed to the preferential end-on coordination mode of the cyano functionality, which hindered the coordination ability of the neighboring pyridyl nitrogen. Electronic effects on the pyridyl nitrogen were excluded on the basis of the minimal effect of methoxy or chloro substituents at the 4-position on the enantioselectivity (3ga, 3ha). Similarly, sterics at the 3-position had little effect on the reaction outcome (3ia), implying that delicate steric factors with coordination capabilities near the $Cu(1)$ center are crucial toward achieving excellent enantioselectivity.

Reinvestigation of the reaction conditions with the proficient 7-aza-6-MeO-indoline attachment revealed that both the catalyst loading and the requisite amount of 2a could be decreased (Scheme 1). The stereoselectivity enhancement was maintained with α , β -unsaturated amides possessing different β -substituents, indicating that perturbation around the coordination sphere of the $Cu(i)$ centre influenced the stereoselection at the β -position (Scheme 1a). Intriguingly, the beneficial effect of the 6-MeO substituent was also validated in the reaction using

 a 1: 0.1 mmol, 2a: 0.25 mmol. Yields were determined by ${}^{1}H$ NMR analysis of the crude reaction mixture with 1,1,2,2-tetrachloroethane as an internal standard.

Scheme 1 Optimized conditions and enhancement of stereoselection with a 6-MeO substituent.

a different biaryl ligand, (R)-DM-BINAP L3, armed with identical aromatic biasing groups (3,5-xylyl) on the phosphorus atom (Scheme 1b). To gain more insight into this notable substituent effect, single crystals of $L3/Cu(1)/7$ -azaindoline amide complexes were grown to determine the structural differences induced by the absence or presence of the 6-MeO substituent (Fig. 1). Consistent with the previous observations, the 7-azaindoline amide moiety adopted the Z-conformation for bidentate coordination to Cu(i), even in the presence of the 6-MeO substituent, as revealed by X-ray crystallographic analysis of the $L3/Cu(i)$ / amide 1m complex (Fig. 1a).

In-depth studies of the crystal structures of $L3/Cu(1)/1m$ (with the 6-MeO substituent) and $L3/Cu(1)/1j$ (without the 6-MeO substituent)⁷⁴ elucidated the structural differences that may account for the positive effect of the 6-MeO substituent. In the absence of the 6-MeO substituent, the front view of the $L3/Cu(i)$ / 1j complex exhibited the ideal tetrahedral coordination around $Cu(i)$, in which the amide 1i located perpendicular to the P–Cu– P fragment (Fig. 1c). Biasing 3,5-xylyl groups of L3 exist in the first and third quadrants, and the incoming radical predominantly approaches from the second quadrant to give the product with the observed absolute configuration (see the X-ray crystal structure of 3dd in Table 4). On the other hand, amide 1m with the 6-MeO substituent in the $L3/Cu(1)/1m$ complex slightly rotated counterclockwise (Fig. 1b). Comparative analysis of the bond angles of the two complexes revealed a highly skewed tetrahedral coordination for the $L3/Cu(i)/1m$ complex with deviated \angle P1–Cu–N (117.79°) and \angle P2–Cu–N (134.07°) angles. While the distance between $Cu(i)$ and the 6-MeO substituent (2.88 A) exceeded the sum of the van der Waals radii, the narrower \angle Cu–N–C(6) angle (117.83°) compared with that of the $L3/Cu(1)/1j$ complex (119.74°) suggested that the weak

Fig. 1 Crystal structures of Cu(I)/amide complexes. (a) Crystal structure of a (R) -DM-BINAP $(L3)/Cu(1)/amide$ 1m (with 6-MeO substituent) complex. (b) Front view of the L3/Cu(I)/amide 1m complex (with 6-MeO substituent). (c) Front view of the L3/Cu(I)/amide 1j complex (without 6-MeO substituent). The quadrant representation is applied to structures in (b and c), where the shaded area of the first and third quadrants represents the shielded area by 3,5-xylyl groups on the phosphorus. SD: standard deviation. Thermal ellipsoids are drawn at the 50% probability level. Hydrogens are omitted for clarity. Color code: gray, carbon; blue, nitrogen; red, oxygen; purple, phosphorus; green, copper.

attractive interaction between $Cu(i)$ and the 6-MeO group results from the skewed coordination mode. Indeed, a competitive binding study using an equimolar mixture of the $L3/Cu(1)$ complex, 1j, and 1m resulted in a nearly 1 : 1 mixture of L3/ Cu(ι)/1j and L3/Cu(ι)/1m complexes, suggesting that the 6-MeO group is not merely imparting a steric bias to disfavor complexation (see Section 9 in the ESI for ¹H-NMR analyses of these complexes†).

The deviation of the position of amide 1m from the horizontal line rendered the β -position of the amide more likely to be shielded by the 3,5-xylyl group at the third quadrant, leading to higher enantioselectivity. We believe this mechanism is also operative with 6-Cl-substituted 7-azaindoline amide 1e, in which the enantioselectivity was similarly increased despite the smaller magnitude of enhancement (Table 3, 3ea).

Having determined the optimal cooperative catalytic system and incorporating the newly generated the 7-aza-6-MeOindoline attachment, we next investigated the substrate scope of the catalytic asymmetric aminomethylation (Table 4). The reaction of archetypal β -Me-substituted unsaturated amide could be performed on a gram scale with lower catalyst loading

 a 1a: 0.1 mmol, 2a: 0.12 mmol. b The reaction was run for 96 h with reduced catalyst loading (Cu: 10 mol%, L2: 12 mol%, photocatalyst 0.3 mol%). ϵ Combined yield of diastereomers. Color code: white, hydrogen; gray, carbon; blue, nitrogen; red, oxygen.

(3da, Cu: 10 mol%, Ir: 0.3 mol%), albeit with a marginal loss in enantioselectivity. Linear, branched, and cyclic β -alkyl substituents were largely accommodated to deliver the corresponding products in high yield and with high enantioselectivity (3na– 3ra). β-Aromatic amides were successfully accommodated as tractable substrates to deliver the corresponding γ -aminomethylated products with high enantioselectivity, irrespective of the presence of electron-donating or -withdrawing substituents (3la, 3sa–3za). Potentially coordinative heteroaromatic units did not interfere with the catalysis (3ma, 3aaa), although the reaction was complicated by the presence of an α -Me substituent on the amide substrate, giving a mixture of diastereomers (81 : 19) in moderate yield with moderate enantioselectivity (3aba). Sterically more $(N-Bn, {}^{i}Pr)$ or less $(N-H)$ demanding a-silylamines 2b–^d as well as 2e bearing an ^o-F

Fig. 2 Plausible catalytic cycle.

substituent were compatible, affording the desired γ -amino amides (3db–3de) in high yield with high enantioselectivity.

The proposed catalytic cycle is delineated in Fig. 2. 7-Aza-6- MeO-indoline amide 1d readily interacts with the $L2/Cu(i)$ complex to form complex I, mitigating the direct excitation of 1d for $[2 + 2]$ -photocycloaddition. Independently, α -silylamine 2a is oxidized by the photoexcited $Ir(m)*$ complex generated by blue-light irradiation, catalytically producing α -amino radical II and TMS cations. At low temperature, the coordinated amide in complex I is sufficiently more electrophilic than non-ligated 1d, and undergoes coupling with radical II with excellent stereocontrol, as described in Fig. 1.

The thus-formed α -amide radical III was quenched with the Ir (II) complex *via* single-electron transfer to give amide enolate IV while concomitantly regenerating the ground state $Ir(m)$ complex to close the photocatalytic cycle. The co-solvent EtOH protonated the amide enolate to liberate γ -amino amide product 3da, as evidenced by the 85% deuterium incorporation (see Fig. S4 and S5 in the ESI for HRMS and ¹H NMR analyses, respectively†) when using EtOH- d_4 in place of EtOH. The 6-MeO-7-azaindoline attachment was readily removed after taming the reactivity of the cooperative asymmetric catalysis, allowing for

Scheme 2 Transformation of the products

diverse functional group transformations (Scheme 2). Simple acidic hydrolysis of product 3da gave Me ester 11 or acid 12 depending on the acid concentration and reaction media. This class of compounds provides potentially useful intermediates for γ -aminobutyric acid derivatives, a key structural motif shared in several marketed therapeutics for central nervous system disorders.75,76 The 7-azaindoline unit served to stabilize the tetrahedral intermediate formed upon reaction with an organolithium reagent, delivering methyl ketone 13 without over-alkylated product. For secondary amine product 3dd, simple treatment with KO^tBu at 0 $^{\circ}$ C afforded lactam 14. In all cases, the 6-MeO-7-azaindoline attachment was recovered in over 95% yield.

Conclusions

In conclusion, we developed a new protocol for a highly enantioselective catalytic conjugate addition of a-amino radicals fueled by visible light irradiation. A chiral $Cu(i)$ catalyst and an Ir-based photocatalyst exert their influence orthogonally to promote the smooth reaction, where the 7-azaindoline unit of the α , β -unsaturated amides plays a key role in both the reaction progress and stereoselection. Substituents at the 6-position of the 7-azaindoline attachment had a significant effect on the reaction, shedding light on the stereocontrol of the privileged $Cu(1)/7$ -azaindoline combination in asymmetric catalysis. The substrate generality and functional group transformation of the products will be highly applicable to practical organic synthesis.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgements

This work was financially supported by KAKENHI (17H03025 and 18H04276 in Precisely Designed Catalysts with Customized Scaffolding) from JSPS and MEXT. S. K. P. thanks the German Research Foundation (DFG: PA 3350/1-1; Project Number: 407495001) for the postdoctoral research fellowship. Dr Roman Pluta is gratefully acknowledged for his fruitful discussions during the project. We are grateful to Dr Kiyoko Iijima, Dr Ryuichi Sawa, Yumiko Kubota, and Yuko Takahashi at the Institute of Microbial Chemistry for technical assistance in NMR and MS analyses. We especially thank Dr Tomoyuki Kimura for the single crystal X-ray analyses for 1w (CCDC 1985034), 3dd (CCDC 1985035), and a (R)-DM-BINAP (L3)/Cu(I)/ amide 1m (with 6-MeO substituent) complex (CCDC 1985036).

References

- 1 C. K. Prier, D. A. Rankic and D. W. C. MacMillan, Chem. Rev., 2013, 113, 5322–5363.
- 2 K. Zeitler, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2009, 48, 9785–9789.
- 3 M. Fagnoni, D. Dondi, D. Ravelli and A. Albini, Chem. Rev., 2007, 107, 2725–2756.
- 4 T. P. Yoon, M. A. Ischay and J. Du, Nat. Chem., 2010, 2, 527– 532.
- 5 L. Shi and W. Xia, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2012, 41, 7687–7697.
- 6 J. Xuan and W. J. Xiao, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2012, 51, 6828– 6838.
- 7 D. A. Nicewicz and T. M. Nguyen, ACS Catal., 2013, 4, 355– 360.
- 8 D. M. Schultz and T. P. Yoon, Science, 2014, 343, 1239176.
- 9 D. Ravelli, S. Protti and M. Fagnoni, Chem. Rev., 2016, 116, 9850–9913.
- 10 M. H. Shaw, J. Twilton and D. W. MacMillan, J. Org. Chem., 2016, 81, 6898–6926.
- 11 L. Marzo, S. K. Pagire, O. Reiser and B. Konig, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2018, 57, 10034–10072.
- 12 S. K. Pagire, T. Föll and O. Reiser, Acc. Chem. Res., 2020, 53, 782–791.
- 13 M. N. Hopkinson, B. Sahoo, J. L. Li and F. Glorius, Chem.– Eur. J., 2014, 20, 3874–3886.
- 14 E. Meggers, Chem. Commun., 2015, 51, 3290–3301.
- 15 M. Pena-Lopez, A. Rosas-Hernandez and M. Beller, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2015, 54, 5006–5008. Edge Article Chemical Science Articles. A
	- 16 C. Wang and Z. Lu, Org. Chem. Front., 2015, 2, 179–190.
	- 17 X. Lang, J. Zhao and X. Chen, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2016, 45, 3026– 3038.
	- 18 K. L. Skubi, T. R. Blum and T. P. Yoon, Chem. Rev., 2016, 116, 10035–10074.
	- 19 C. Jiang, W. Chen, W. H. Zheng and H. Lu, Org. Biomol. Chem., 2019, 17, 8673–8689.
	- 20 J. Choi and G. C. Fu, Science, 2017, 356, eaaf7230.
	- 21 G. C. Fu, ACS Cent. Sci., 2017, 3, 692–700.
	- 22 Q. Lu and F. Glorius, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2017, 56, 49–51.
	- 23 K. Wang and W. Kong, Chin. J. Chem., 2018, 36, 247–256.
	- 24 A. G. Amador and T. P. Yoon, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2016, 55, 2304–2306.
	- 25 L. Zhang and E. Meggers, Acc. Chem. Res., 2017, 50, 320–330.
	- 26 D. A. Evans, T. Rovis and J. S. Johnson, Pure Appl. Chem., 1999, 71, 1407–1415.
	- 27 J. Christoffers, G. Koripelly, A. Rosiak and M. Rössle, Synthesis, 2007, 9, 1279–1300.
	- 28 J. H. Wu, R. Radinov and N. A. Porter, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1995, 117, 11029–11030.
	- 29 J. Du, K. L. Skubi, D. M. Schultz and T. P. Yoon, Science, 2014, 344, 392–396.
	- 30 T. R. Blum, a. D. Miller, D. M. Bates, I. A. Guzei and T. P. Yoon, Science, 2016, 354, 1391–1395.
	- 31 M. E. Daub, H. Jung, B. J. Lee, J. Won, M. H. Baik and T. P. Yoon, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2019, 141, 9543–9547.
	- 32 S. K. Pagire, A. Hossain, L. Traub, S. Kerres and O. Reiser, Chem. Commun., 2017, 53, 12072–12075.
	- 33 S. Kerres, E. Plut, S. Malcherek, J. Rehbein and O. Reiser, Adv. Synth. Catal., 2019, 361, 1400–1407.
	- 34 K. Zhan and Y. Li, Catalysts, 2017, 7, 337.
	- 35 J. B. Metternich, D. G. Artiukhin, M. C. Holland, M. von Bremen-Kuhne, J. Neugebauer and R. Gilmour, J. Org. Chem., 2017, 82, 9955–9977.
	- 36 R. Brimioulle, D. Lenhart, M. M. Maturi and T. Bach, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2015, 54, 3872–3890.
- 37 M. P. Sibi, J. G. Ji, J. H. Wu, S. Gurtler and N. A. Porter, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1996, 118, 9200–9201.
- 38 M. P. Sibi and S. Manyem, Tetrahedron, 2000, 56, 8033–8061.
- 39 J. H. Wu, G. Zhang and N. A. Porter, Tetrahedron Lett., 1997, 38, 2067–2070.
- 40 M. P. Sibi, J. Ji, J. B. Sausker and C. P. Jasperse, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1999, 121, 7517–7526.
- 41 M. P. Sibi and J. Zimmerman, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2006, 128, 13346–13347.
- 42 A. McNally, C. K. Prier and D. W. C. MacMillan, Science, 2011, 334, 1114–1117.
- 43 P. Kohls, D. Jadhav, G. Pandey and O. Reiser, Org. Lett., 2012, 14, 672–675.
- 44 Y. Miyake, K. Nakajima and Y. Nishibayashi, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2012, 134, 3338–3341.
- 45 J. W. Beatty and C. R. Stephenson, Acc. Chem. Res., 2015, 48, 1474–1484.
- 46 M. El Khatib, R. A. Serafim and G. A. Molander, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2016, 55, 254–258.
- 47 J. K. Matsui, S. B. Lang, D. R. Heitz and G. A. Molander, ACS Catal., 2017, 7, 2563–2575.
- 48 Y. Yin, Y. Dai, H. Jia, J. Li, L. Bu, B. Qiao, X. Zhao and Z. Jiang, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2018, 140, 6083–6087.
- 49 K. Ohmatsu, R. Suzuki, Y. Furukawa, M. Sato and T. Ooi, ACS Catal., 2020, 10, 2627–2632.
- 50 J. L. Schwarz, R. Kleinmans, T. O. Paulisch and F. Glorius, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2020, 142, 2168–2174.
- 51 A. Bauer, F. Westkämper, S. Grimme and T. Bach, Nature, 2005, 436, 1139–1140.
- 52 G. Pandey, G. Kumaraswamy and U. T. Bhalerao, Tetrahedron Lett., 1989, 30, 6059–6062.
- 53 G. Pandey, G. Devi Reddy and G. Kumaraswamy, Tetrahedron, 1994, 50, 8185–8194.
- 54 G. Pandey and D. Chakrabarti, Tetrahedron Lett., 1996, 37, 2285–2288.
- 55 G. Pandey, G. D. Reddy and D. Chakrabarti, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1, 1996, 219–224.
- 56 G. Pandey and M. Kapur, Synthesis, 2001, 8, 1263–1267.
- 57 M. A. Brumfield, S. L. Quillen, U. C. Yoon and P. S. Mariano, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1984, 106, 6855–6856.
- 58 E. Hasegawa, W. Xu, P. S. Mariano, U. C. Yoon and J. U. Kim, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1988, 110, 8099–8111.
- 59 W. Xu, Y. T. Jeon, E. Hasegawa, U. C. Yoon and P. S. Mariano, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1989, 111, 406–408.
- 60 Y. S. Jung and P. S. Mariano, Tetrahedron Lett., 1993, 34, 4611–4614.
- 61 X. Zhang, S.-R. Yeh, S. Hong, M. Freccero, A. Albini, D. E. Falvey and P. S. Mariano, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1994, 116, 4211–4220.
- 62 Z. Su, P. S. Mariano, D. E. Falvey, U. C. Yoon and S. W. Oh, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1998, 120, 10676–10686.
- 63 L. R. Espelt, I. S. McPherson, E. M. Wiensch and T. P. Yoon, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2015, 137, 2452–2455.
- 64 X. Shen, Y. J. Li, Z. R. Wen, S. Cao, X. Y. Hou and L. Gong, Chem. Sci., 2018, 9, 4562–4568.
- 65 J. Ma, J. Lin, L. Zhao, K. Harms, M. Marsch, X. Xie and E. Meggers, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2018, 57, 11193–11197.
- 66 J. J. Murphy, D. Bastida, S. Paria, M. Fagnoni and P. Melchiorre, Nature, 2016, 532, 218–222.
- 67 M. Silvi, C. Verrier, Y. P. Rey, L. Buzzetti and P. Melchiorre, Nat. Chem., 2017, 9, 868–873.
- 68 N. Kumagai and M. Shibasaki, Synthesis, 2019, 51, 185–193.
- 69 N. Kumagai, M. Kanai and H. Sasai, ACS Catal., 2016, 6, 4699–4709.
- 70 Y. Li, K. Zhou, Z. Wen, S. Cao, X. Shen, M. Lei and L. Gong, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2018, 140, 15850–15858.
- 71 B. Han, Y. Li, Y. Yu and L. Gong, Nat. Commun., 2019, 10, 3804.
- 72 F. Wang, P. Chen and G. Liu, Acc. Chem. Res., 2018, 51, 2036– 2046.
- 73 Q. S. Gu, Z. L. Li and X. Y. Liu, Acc. Chem. Res., 2020, 53, 170– 181.
- 74 M. Zhang, N. Kumagai and M. Shibasaki, Chem.–Eur. J., 2016, 22, 5525–5529.
- 75 E. Boonstra, R. de Kleijn, L. S. Colzato, A. Alkemade, B. U. Forstmann and S. Nieuwenhuis, Front. Psychol., 2015, 6, 1520. Chemical Science

65 J. J. Marthison, D. Basic article. Published on 27 April 2020. Downloaded on 27 April 2020. Downloaded on 5/15/2025 2020. Downloaded on 27 April 2020. Downloaded on 5/15/2025 2025 12:47:15 AM. This art
	- 76 R. B. Silverman, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2008, 47, 3500–3504.