Chemical Science

EDGE ARTICLE



Cite this: Chem. Sci., 2020, 11, 10143

o All publication charges for this article have been paid for by the Royal Society of Chemistry

Received 9th July 2020 Accepted 1st September 2020 DOI: 10.1039/d0sc03754k

rsc.li/chemical-science

Introduction

Due to climate change, CO₂ capture and conversion has recently, become one of the greatest concerns.¹ In particular, the photoreduction of CO₂ into value-added chemicals (such as CO, HCOOH, CH₄, and so on) has attracted great attention, because it can be considered as a promising approach for solar-to-chemical energy conversion by mimicking the natural photosynthetic process to achieve a carbon neutral economy.² In the past few decades, diverse photocatalysts have been extensively employed for the photocatalytic CO₂ reduction reaction (CO₂RR).³ Homogeneous/molecular catalysts exhibit high selectivity and

‡ These authors contributed equally to this work.

Formation of a mixed-valence Cu(I)/Cu(II) metalorganic framework with the full light spectrum and high selectivity of CO₂ photoreduction into CH₄†

Yajun Gao, ^{(D} ‡^a Lei Zhang, ^{†d} Yuming Gu, ^{†c} Wenwei Zhang, ^(D)^a Yi Pan,^a Weihai Fang,^c Jing Ma, ^{(D) *c} Ya-Qian Lan ^{(D) *d} and Junfeng Bai ^{(D) *ab}

Based upon the hetero-N,O ligand of pyrimidine-5-carboxylic acid (Hpmc), a new semiconductive Cu(I)/ Cu(II) mixed-valence MOF with the full light spectrum and a novel topology of {4³·6¹²·8⁶}₂{4³·6³}₂{6³}₆{6⁴·8²}₃, {(Cu₄|₄)_{2.5}[Cu₃(μ₄-O) (μ₃-I) (pmc)₃(Dabco)₃]·2.5DMF·2MeCN}_∞ (NJU-Bai61, NJU-Bai for Nanjing University Bai group; Dabco = 1,4-diazabicyclo [2.2.2] octane), was synthesized stepwise. NJU-Bai61 exhibits good water/pH stabilities and a relatively large CO₂ adsorption capacity (29.82 cm³ q^{-1} at 1 atm, 273 K) and could photocatalyze the reduction of CO₂ into CH₄ without additional photosensitizers and cocatalysts and with a high CH₄ production rate (15.75 μ mol g⁻¹ h⁻¹) and a CH₄ selectivity of 72.8%. The CH₄ selectivity is the highest among the reported MOFs in aqueous solution. Experimental data and theoretical calculations further revealed that the Cu_4I_4 cluster may adsorb light to generate photoelectrons and transfer them to its $Cu_3OI(CO_2)_3$ cluster, and the Cu₃OI(CO₂)₃ cluster could provide active sites to adsorb and reduce CO₂ and deliver sufficient electrons for CO₂ to produce CH₄. This is the first time that the old Cu(i)_xX_yL_z coordination polymers' application has been extended for the photoreduction of CO_2 to CH_4 and this opens up a new platform for the effective photoreduction of CO₂ to CH₄.

> efficiency, but low activity due to catalyst deactivation,4 whereas heterogeneous/inorganic catalysts show high activity and efficiency, but low selectivity.5 Very recently, due to their high surface area, inorganic-organic hybrid nature, structural and functional diversity and tunability, metal-organic frameworks (MOFs) may combine the advantages of the traditional homogeneous/ heterogeneous catalysts and are emerging as promising platforms for the photocatalytic CO2RR.6

> Since 2011,7 many MOFs have been designed for the photocatalytic CO₂RR targeting to improve their efficiency, activity and selectivity by functionalizing organic ligands, optimizing metal ions/clusters, and making MOF-based composites.8 Although, some achievements have been made, research on MOF-based photocatalysts to date is still in its early stages. In terms of the reductive products, most reported MOFs predominantly produce the 2e^{-/}2H⁺ products of CO/HCOOH.^{8a,9} Due to the fact that the photocatalytic reduction of CO₂ into CH₄ is more difficult than with other C1 fuels, because it involves a complex 8e⁻/8H⁺ reduction process, *i.e.*, multiple steps of hydrogenation and deoxygenation reactions, and requiring the highest kinetic barrier of up to 818.3 kJ mol⁻¹,¹⁰ the reported MOF catalysts capable of producing even low or moderate yields of CH₄ are still rare. Thus, design of MOFs with high selectivity for the reduction of CO2 into CH4 is a great challenge.11

> The $Cu(I)_r X_v L_z$ (where X = Cl, Br or I; L = N, P or S containing organic ligands) are almost the oldest coordination polymers





View Article Online

View Journal | View Issue

[&]quot;State Key Laboratory of Coordination Chemistry, School of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Nanjing University, Nanjing 210023, China

^bSchool of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Shaanxi Normal University, Xi'an 710119, China. E-mail: bjunfeng@nju.edu.cn; bjunfeng@snnu.edu.cn

Key Laboratory of Mesoscopic Chemistry of Ministry of Education, School of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Nanjing University, Nanjing 210023, China. E-mail: majing@nju.edu.cn

^dJiangsu Collaborative Innovation Centre of Biomedical Functional Materials, Jiangsu Key Laboratory of New Power Batteries, School of Chemistry and Materials Science, Nanjing Normal University, Nanjing 210023, China. E-mail: yqlan@njnu.edu.cn

[†] Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. CCDC 1958778 and 1958779. For ESI and crystallographic data in CIF or other electronic format see DOI: 10.1039/d0sc03754k

with diversified structures and interesting properties, such as luminescence and semiconductivity, and so on.12 Very recently, their use has been demonstrated for photocatalytic H₂ evolution.13 Herein the exploration of these polymers as promising platforms for CO₂ capture and conversion is reported. From a simple hetero-N,O ligand pyrimidine-5-carboxylic acid, a Cu₄I₄ and $Cu_3OI(CO_2)_3$ cluster based and semiconductive Cu(I)/Cu(II)mixed-valence MOF (NJU-Bai61) with a full light spectrum, which exhibits good water and pH stabilities and the relatively large CO₂ adsorption capacity (29.82 cm³ g⁻¹ at 1 atm, 273 K) was successfully constructed. In addition, NJU-Bai61 could photocatalyze the reduction of CO2 into CH4 without additional photosensitizers and cocatalysts and with a high CH₄ production (15.75 μ mol g⁻¹ h⁻¹) and CH₄ selectivity of 72.8%. As far as is known, the CH₄ selectivity is the highest among the reported MOFs in the aqueous solution. Upon light irradiation, its Cu₄I₄ clusters as photoelectron generators could transfer photoelectrons to the $Cu_3OI(CO_2)_3$ clusters, whereas the $Cu_3OI(CO_2)_3$ clusters could provide active sites for adsorbing and reducing CO₂ and act as photoelectron collectors for delivering enough electrons to CO₂ for CH₄ evolution.

Results and discussion

From CuI and the Hpmc ligand and using Dabco as the structural directing agent, like many $Cu(1)_x X_y L_z$, a $Cu_4 I_4$ cluster-based



Scheme 1 A schematic view of the preparation of NJU-Bai61.

copper(1) coordination polymer, $\{(Cu_4I_4) (Hpmc)_2\}_{\infty}$ (NJU-Bai61p) was initially obtained. NJU-Bai61p is a 2D layered and 4connected network with sql topology (Fig. S3, ESI[†]), in which each Hpmc ligand uses its N-donor center to link to a 4-coordinated Cu(1) in a tetrahedral coordination geometry resulting in a [Cu₄I₄N₄] moiety, leaving its COOH functional group uncoordinated (Fig. S4, ESI[†]).

Later on, by changing the acid and extending the time, NJU-Bai61p was further transformed into NJU-Bai61 (Scheme 1). Compared with NJU-Bai61p, the Hpmc ligands in NJU-Bai61 were deprotonated, coordinated with Cu(II) ions in a bridging bidentate mode, facilitating the formation of the $Cu_3OI(CO_2)_3$ cluster. The $Cu_3OI(CO_2)_3$ cluster is 7-connected and surrounded by one Cu₄I₄ cluster, three pmc and three Dabco auxiliary ligands. All the Cu(II) ions in this new cluster adopt 5-coordinated geometry with two O atoms from two independent pmc linkers, one N atom from the Dabco linker, one μ_3 -I⁻ ion shared by three Cu(II) ions, and one μ_4 -O²⁻ ion shared by three Cu(II) ions and one Cu(I) ion from the Cu_4I_4 cluster (Fig. S6, ESI[†]). Remarkably, the Cu₄I₄ clusters in NJU-Bai61 exist in two different coordination environments. One is the same as that of NJU-Bai61p and can form a 4-connected [Cu₄I₄N₄] moiety, whereas the other is the Cu₄I₄ cluster which is linked by three N atoms from three Dabco ligands and one μ_4 -O²⁻ ion to form a 4connected [Cu4I4N3O] moiety (Fig. S5, ESI⁺).

Furthermore, these Cu_4I_4 and $Cu_3OI(CO_2)_3$ clusters are bridged by pmc and Dabco ligands to form two types of cubic cages. The larger one (cage A) is composed of four Cu_4I_4 clusters and four $Cu_3OI(CO_2)_3$ clusters arranged alternately as vertices and 12 linear Dabco ligands as edges with a diameter of about 8.0 Å (Fig. 1c). The smaller one (cage B) is composed of eight pairs of $[Cu_4I_4-Cu_3OI(CO_2)_3]$ linkage clusters as vertices and 12 Dabco ligands as edges, in which there exists a square with a diameter of about 6.4 Å based on four pmc linkers and Cu_4I_4 clusters located at the center of the four facets of this cage



Fig. 1 (a) and (b) Cu_4I_4 and $Cu_3OI(CO_2)_3$ clusters are illustrated by two types of tetrahedrons; (c) and (d) two types of cubic cages in NJU-Bai61: cage A, lavender; cage B, lime; (e) the 1D channel consists of the cages A and B; (f) the 1D cage-stacked chain consists of cages B; (g) the 3D framework of NJU-Bai61 with the 1D channels and chains.

(Fig. 1d and S7, ESI[†]). The cages A and B connect alternately with each other to form a 1D channel by sharing quadrilateral windows, whereas the B cages connect with each other to form a 1D cage-stacked chain by sharing the facets including a quadrilateral window and a Cu₄I₄ cluster (Fig. 1e, f, and S8, ESI[†]). Therefore, these 1D channels and chains are arranged in an alternating fashion to form a 3D porous framework based on the cages A and B ratio of 1:3, in which each cage A shares facets with six cage Bs and each cage B shares facets with two cage As and four cage Bs (Fig. 1g and S9, ESI†). From the viewpoint of structural topology, pmc ligands, Cu₄I₄ and Cu₃-OI(CO₂)₃ clusters could be regarded as 3-connected triangular nodes, 4-connected tetrahedral nodes, and 7-connected single cap octahedron nodes, respectively. Consequently, NJU-Bai61 is a new (3,4,4,7)-connected network with the point symbol $\{4^3 \cdot 6^{12} \cdot 8^6\}_2 \{4^3 \cdot 6^3\}_2 \{6^3\}_6 \{6^4 \cdot 8^2\}_3$ (Fig. S10, ESI[†]).

The phase purities and thermal stabilities of **NJU-Bai61p** and **NJU-Bai61** were confirmed using PXRD and TG analyses (Fig. S13 and S14, ESI†). As shown in Fig. S15–S17 (ESI†), they are quite stable under water and other organic solvents. Furthermore, they are also stable under the broad variation of the pH values.

NJU-Bai61p exhibits a visible light adsorption up to 550 nm due to the Cu₄I₄ cluster to linker charge transfer (CLCT) transition (Fig. 2a and Table S2, ESI⁺). Very interestingly, NJU-Bai61 shows the widest absorption band among the reported MOFs with the edge up to 1400 nm, which are mainly dominated by intra metal cluster transfer (ICT), CLCT, and metal cluster-tometal cluster charge transfer (CCCT) transitions (Fig. 2a and Table S3, ESI[†]). The bandgaps of semiconductive NJU-Bai61p and NJU-Bai61 were estimated to be 2.33 eV and 0.92 eV, respectively, (Fig. S18, ESI⁺), which could be correlated with the calculated HOMO-LUMO gaps of 2.16 eV and 1.25 eV for the corresponding cluster models, respectively, (Tables S4 and S5, ESI[†]). The solid state of NJU-Bai61 with a periodic boundary condition (PBC) model for the band gap was further calculated, showing a narrow band gap of 0.65 eV (Fig. S19, ESI[†]). The Mott-Schottky measurements further revealed that they were



Fig. 2 (a) The UV-Vis-NIR absorption spectra of NJU-Bai61p and NJU-Bai61; (b) Mott–Schottky plots for NJU-Bai61; (c) the amounts of CH₄, CO and H₂ produced as a function of the irradiation time over NJU-Bai61; (d) the mass spectral analysis of ¹³CH₄ recorded under a ¹³CO₂ atmosphere using NJU-Bai61 as the catalyst.

typical n-type semiconductors and their conduction bands (CB) were -0.55 V and -0.58 V, which were more negative than the reduction potentials for the conversion of CO₂ to CO and CH₄ (Fig. 2b and S20, ESI[†]).^{8a} Thus, they are very promising for the CO₂ photoreduction applications.

The photocatalytic reduction of CO₂ over the activated NJU-Bai61 was further investigated. The amount of CH4 was 1.26 μ mol (*i.e.*, 15.75 μ mol g⁻¹ h⁻¹) after 4 h. Except for the small amounts of CO (0.32 μ mol, *i.e.*, 4 μ mol g⁻¹ h⁻¹) and H₂ (0.15 μ mol, *i.e.*, 1.87 μ mol g⁻¹ h⁻¹), no other products, such as HCOOH, CH₃OH and HCHO, were detected (Fig. 2c, S22 and S23, ESI[†]). The NJU-Bai61 exhibited a CH₄ selectivity of 72.8% in aqueous solution, which was the highest among the reported MOFs (Table S8, ESI[†]). No obvious change of the CH₄ activity occurred during the four continuous runs (Fig. S24, ESI[†]). The XRD patterns obtained before and after its photocatalytic experiments revealed the structural robustness of the catalyst (Fig. S27, ESI[†]). The isotopic ¹³CO₂ tracing experiment was also performed to confirm that the carbon source of CH₄ did indeed come from the used CO₂ rather than the degradation of organics in the reaction (Fig. 2d).11b For comparison, the use of NJU-Bai61p as the photocatalyst was also investigated under the same conditions and only CO (1.37 μ mol, *i.e.*, 17.13 μ mol g⁻¹ h^{-1}) and H_2 (1.34 µmol, *i.e.*, 16.75 µmol $g^{-1} h^{-1}$) were detected after 4 h (Fig. S25, ESI[†]). This result may reveal that Cu₃OI(CO₂)₃ clusters in NJU-Bai61 could provide active sites for CH4 evolution.

Then in-depth research was carried out to discover the reason underlying the high efficiency of CH₄ evolution. As for **NJU-Bai61**, the BET surface area was 248.1 $\text{m}^2 \text{g}^{-1}$ and the CO₂ uptakes at 273 K and 298 K were 29.82 and 19.69 cm³ g⁻¹, respectively, which was helpful for the subsequent CO2 conversion (Fig. S28-S30, ESI[†]). The electrostatic potential analysis may further reveal that the Cu(II) centers in Cu₃- $OI(CO_2)_3$ clusters are the most favorable sites for the nucleophilic attack of CO₂ (Fig. S31, ESI[†]). The local interactions between Cu(II) sites and CO₂ molecules were investigated using the in situ FTIR technology. The adsorption of CO₂ onto the Cu(π) sites in NJU-Bai61 was a 16 cm⁻¹ red shift of the asymmetric stretching mode of CO_2 ($\nu = 2359 \text{ cm}^{-1}$), indicating the stronger binding between the CO₂ and Cu(II) sites (Fig. S33, ESI[†]).^{11b} However, for NJU-Bai61p, no shift existed after CO₂ adsorption (Fig. S32, ESI[†]). Moreover, this experimental phenomenon was explained by the DFT calculations in which the peaks were also red-shifted and the adsorbed CO2 molecule takes a slightly bent geometry to facilitate the CO₂ activation (Fig. S34 and Table S9, ESI[†]).¹⁴ Furthermore, its fluorescence was quenched in comparison to NJU-Bai61p, indicating that the photo-excited electrons of the Cu₄I₄ clusters were transferred to the Cu₃OI(CO₂)₃ clusters, making it act as a photoelectron collector to provide electrons for the adsorbed CO_2 (Fig. S35, ESI†).

An energetically feasible reaction pathway was calculated using DFT with the relative free energy, ΔG , for each step shown in Fig. 3 and S38 (ESI).[†] Upon light irradiation, the Cu₄I₄ clusters in **NJU-Bai61** may adsorb light to generate the photoelectrons and transfer them to the Cu₃OI(CO₂)₃ clusters, whereas



Fig. 3 A proposed reaction pathway together with free energy difference (ΔG) for the photocatalytic CO₂-to-CH₄ conversion over NJU-Bai61.

the Cu₃OI(CO₂)₃ clusters could supply electrons to the adsorbed CO_2 for CH_4 evolution. In the first step, the adsorbed CO_2 molecule accepted an electron and a proton to generate the COOH*. Then the COOH* combines with the second electronproton pair to generate CO*. The CO* was reduced to the CHO* by accepting two electrons and a proton, and further combined with a total of four electrons and five protons to generate CH₄. In the photocatalytic process, the Cu₄I₄ cluster could serve as a photosensitizer and donated the energy of 2.16 eV to the conversion process of CO* to CHO* at the $Cu_3OI(CO_2)_3$ cluster which was an endothermic process with the ΔG of 1.2 eV. Moreover, the stronger CO binding affinity on NJU-Bai61 ($E_{\rm b} =$ -20.13 eV) in comparison with that on only Cu(I)-contained NJU-Bai61p ($E_b = -8.05$ eV) may further stabilize the CO@Cu₃IO(CO₂)₃ complex to complete the CO₂-to-CH₄ conversion (Fig. S39, ESI[†]).

Conclusions

In summary, a novel Cu_4I_4 and $Cu_3OI(CO_2)_3$ cluster based and semiconductive Cu(1)/Cu(11) mixed-valence MOF with the full light spectrum, NJU-Bai61, was successfully produced, which exhibits good water stability, pH stability and a relatively large CO_2 adsorption capacity. NJU-Bai61 could photocatalyze the reduction of CO_2 into CH_4 , without additional photosensitizers and cocatalysts, but with a high CH_4 production and significantly high CH_4 selectivity of 72.8% (the highest among the reported MOFs in aqueous solution). It was revealed that the Cu_4I_4 and $Cu_3OI(CO_2)_3$ clusters may play the role of photoelectron generators and collectors, respectively. This work firstly expands the old $Cu(1)_XX_yL_z$ coordination polymers' application into the reduction of CO_2 to CH_4 and may open up a new system of MOFs for the reduction of CO_2 to CH_4 with high selectivity.

Experimental section

Synthesis of NJU-Bai61p

A mixture of Hpmc (11 mg, 0.09 mmol), CuI (30 mg, 0.16 mmol), Dabco (6 mg, 0.05 mmol), H_2SO_4 (10 µL), DMF (1.0 mL), and MeCN (3.0 mL) was sealed in a 20 mL Pyrex tube and kept in an oven at 85 °C for 1 day. After washing with DMF, yellow block crystals were obtained. Yield: 2.5 mg (6%). Selected IR (cm⁻¹): 3036, 2666, 2554, 1713, 1586, 1441, 1398, 1330, 1297, 1202, 1170, 1119, 1090, 1054, 996, 908, 837, 749, 695, 667, 568. Elemental analysis (%) calcd. for $Cu_2I_2C_5H_4N_2O_2$: C 11.89, H 0.80, N 5.54; found: C 11.96, H 1.00, N 5.52.

Synthesis of NJU-Bai61

A single crystal of **NJU-Bai61p** (10 mg), Dabco (4 mg, 0.036 mmol) and CuI (20 mg, 0.11 mmol) were added to 1.0 mL of DMF and 3.0 mL of MeCN. To this was added 60 μ L of HCOOH with stirring. The mixture was sealed in a Pyrex tube and heated to 85 °C for 2 d. Dark-red octahedral crystals were obtained and further characterized by PXRD and the results are shown in Fig. S1 (ESI†). Yield: 8.8 mg (25%). Selected IR (cm⁻¹): 3392, 3108, 2952, 2883, 2840, 1681, 1652, 1587, 1435, 1377, 1319, 1218, 1170, 1087, 1050, 1000, 924, 840, 805, 764, 700, 612, 583, 468, 420. Elemental analysis (%) calcd. for Cu₁₃I₁₁C_{44.5}H_{68.5}-N_{16.5}O_{9.5}: C 16.66, H 2.15, N 7.20; found: C 16.87, H 2.30, N 6.98.

Sample activation

The as-synthesized sample of **NJU-Bai61** was soaked in MeOH for 5 d with refreshing of the MeOH every 8 h. Then, the solvent-exchanged sample was activated at 70 °C and under vacuum for 10 h to obtain the activated **NJU-Bai61**.

Photocatalytic reaction

The photocatalytic CO₂ reduction experiments were carried out on an evaluation system (CEL-SPH2N, CEAULIGHT, China), in a 100 mL quartz container. A 300 W xenon arc lamp (300 < λ < 2500 nm) was utilized as the irradiation source. The 20 mg MOFs (**NJU-Bai61p** or the activated **NJU-Bai61**) were dispersed in 50 mL of a solution of triethylamine and water (TEA/H₂O = 5 : 45 ν/ν). The suspension was pre-degassed with CO₂ (99.999%) for 30 min to remove the air before irradiation. The reaction was stirred constantly with a magnetic bar to ensure the photocatalyst particles remained in suspension. The temperature of the reaction was maintained at 25 °C by a circulating cooling water system. The gaseous product was measured by gas chromatography (GC-7900, CEAULIGHT, China) with a flame ionization detector (FID) and a thermal conductivity detector (TCD). An ion chromatography (LC-2010 Plus, Shimadzu, Japan) was used for the detection of HCOO⁻. The concentration of Cu in the solution before and after catalysis was determined using an ICP-OES system (Optima 5300 DV, PerkinElmer). Before the photocatalytic reaction, the suspension of the activated NJU-Bai61 (220 mg), TEA (5 mL) and H₂O (45 mL) was pre-degassed with CO₂ (99.999%) for 30 min to remove the air, then 2 mL of the filtrate was removed and a Cu concentration of 0.6 mg L⁻¹ was detected. Thus, the concentration of dissolved Cu ions of the activated NJU-Bai61 was 0.05% before catalysis. After the photocatalytic reaction, 2 mL of filtrate was also removed and the concentration of Cu in the filtrate was determined to be 13.8 mg L^{-1} . Thus, the concentration of dissolved Cu ions of the activated NJU-Bai61 was 1.1%. The cycling experiment was carried out as follows: at the end of each run, the suspension was centrifuged and the supernatant was removed. Then the recovered catalyst was washed with distilled water and dried in air at 60 °C before the next cycle.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgements

We wish to acknowledge the Cheung Kong Scholars Program, the Hundred Talents Program of Shaanxi Province, the National Natural Science Foundation of China (21771121, 21673111) for their support. This work was also supported by the National Key Research and Development Program of China (2019YFC0408303).

Notes and references

- 1 (a) O. M. Yaghi, M. J. Kalmutzki and C. S. Diercks, Introduction to Reticular Chemistry: Applications of Metal-Organic Frameworks, Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KgaA, Weinheim, Germany 2019, p. 285; (b) C. A. Trickett, A. Helal, B. A. Al-Maythalony, Z. H. Yamani, K. E. Cordova and O. M. Yaghi, Nat. Rev. Mater., 2017, 2, 17045; (c) K. Sumida, D. L. Rogow, J. A. Mason, T. M. McDonald, E. D. Bloch, Z. R. Herm, T.-H. Bae and J. R. Long, Chem. Rev., 2012, 112, 724; (d) P. Nugent, Y. Belmabkhout, S. D. Burd, A. J. Cairns, R. Luebke, K. Forrest, T. Pham, Ma, B. Space, L. Wojtas, M. Eddaoudi and S. M. J. Zaworotko, Nature, 2013, 495, 80; (e) L. Zou, Y. Sun, S. Che, X. Yang, X. Wang, M. Bosch, Q. Wang, H. Li, M. Smith, S. Yuan, Z. Perry and H. C. Zhou, Adv. Mater., 2017, 29, 1700229; (f) P. G. Boyd, A. Chidambaram, E. Garcia-Diez, C. P. Ireland, T. D. Daff, R. Bounds, A. Gladysiak, P. Schouwink, S. M. Moosavi, M. M. Maroto-Valer, J. A. Reimer, J. A. R. Navarro, T. K. Woo, S. Garcia, K. C. Stylianou and B. Smit, Nature, 2019, 576, 253; (g) W. D. Jones, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2020, 142, 4955.
- 2 (*a*) S. Berardi, S. Drouet, L. Francas, C. Gimbert-Surinach, M. Guttentag, C. Richmond, T. Stoll and A. Llobet, *Chem.*

Soc. Rev., 2014, **43**, 7501; (b) V. P. Indrakanti, J. D. Kubicki and H. H. Schobert, *Energy Environ. Sci.*, 2009, **2**, 745; (c) T. Zhang and W. Lin, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2014, **43**, 5982.

- 3 (a) T. Inoue, A. Fujishima, S. Konishi and K. Honda, *Nature*, 1979, 277, 637; (b) H. Tong, S. Ouyang, Y. Bi, N. Umezawa, M. Oshikiri and J. Ye, *Adv. Mater.*, 2012, 24, 229; (c) A. Dhakshinamoorthy, Z. Li and H. Garcia, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2018, 47, 8134; (d) H. Rao, L. C. Schmidt, J. Bonin and M. Robert, *Nature*, 2017, 548, 74; (e) Y. Ma, X. Wang, Y. Jia, X. Chen, H. Han and C. Li, *Chem. Rev.*, 2014, 114, 9987.
- 4 Y.-H. Luo, L.-Z. Dong, J. Liu, S.-L. Li and Y.-Q. Lan, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 2019, **390**, 86.
- 5 M. Tahir and N. S. Amin, *Energy Convers. Manage.*, 2013, **76**, 194.
- 6 (a) C. S. Diercks, Y. Liu, K. E. Cordova and O. M. Yaghi, Nat. Mater., 2018, 17, 301; (b) O. K. Farha, I. Eryazici, N. C. Jeong, B. G. Hauser, C. E. Wilmer, A. A. Sarjeant, R. Q. Snurr, S. T. Nguyen, A. O. Yazaydin and J. T. Hupp, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2012, 134, 15016; (c) S. Wang and X. Wang, Small, 2015, 11, 3097; (d) A. Dhakshinamoorthy, A. M. Asiri and H. Garcia, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2016, 55, 5414; (e) M. Ding, R. W. Flaig, H.-L. Jiang and O. M. Yaghi, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2019, 48, 2783.
- 7 C. Wang, Z. Xie, K. E. DeKrafft and W. Lin, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2011, **133**, 13445.
- 8 (a) R. Li, W. Zhang and K. Zhou, Adv. Mater., 2018, 30, 1705512; (b) Y.-B. Huang, J. Liang, X.-S. Wang and R. Cao, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2017, 46, 126; (c) Y. Fu, D. Sun, Y. Chen, R. Huang, Z. Ding, X. Fu and Z. Li, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2012, 51, 3364; (d) D. Chen, H. Xing, C. Wang and Z. Su, J. Mater. Chem. A, 2016, 4, 2657; (e) Y. Lee, S. Kim, J. K. Kang and S. M. Cohen, Chem. Commun., 2015, 51, 5735; (f) L.-Y. Wu, Y.-F. Mu, X.-X. Guo, W. Zhang, Z.-M. Zhang, M. Zhang and T.-B. Lu, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2019, 58, 9491; (g) R. Li, J. Hu, M. Deng, H. Wang, X. Wang, Y. Hu, H.-L. Jiang, J. Jiang, Q. Zhang, Y. Xie and Y. Xiong, Adv. Mater., 2014, 26, 4783; (h) Z.-C. Kong, J.-F. Liao, Y.-J. Dong, Y.-F. Xu, H.-Y. Chen, D.-B. Kuang and C.-Y. Su, ACS Energy Lett., 2018, 3, 2656.
- 9 J. W. Maina, C. Pozo-Gonzalo, L. Kong, J. Schutz, M. Hill and L. F. Dumee, *Mater. Horiz.*, 2017, **4**, 345.
- 10 (a) X. Li, Y. Sun, J. Xu, Y. Shao, J. Wu, X. Xu, Y. Pan, H. Ju,
 J. Zhu and Y. Xie, *Nat. Energy*, 2019, 4, 690; (b) Y. Ji and
 Y. Luo, ACS Catal., 2016, 6, 2018; (c) X. Chang, T. Wang
 and J. Gong, *Energy Environ. Sci.*, 2016, 9, 2177; (d) W. Tu,
 Y. Zhou and Z. Zou, Adv. Mater., 2014, 26, 4607.
- 11 (a) E.-X. Chen, M. Qiu, Y.-F. Zhang, Y.-S. Zhu, L.-Y. Liu, Y.-Y. Sun, X. Bu, J. Zhang and Q. Lin, *Adv. Mater.*, 2018, 30, 1704388; (b) H. Zhang, J. Wei, J. Dong, G. Liu, L. Shi, P. An, G. Zhao, J. Kong, X. Wang, X. Meng, J. Zhang and J. Ye, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2016, 55, 14310.
- 12 (a) J. Bai, A. V. Virovets and M. Scheer, Science, 2003, 300, 781; (b) J. Bai, E. Leiner and M. Scheer, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2002, 41, 783; (c) J. Bai, A. V. Virovets and M. Scheer, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2002, 41, 1737; (d) R. Peng, M. Li and D. Li, Coord. Chem. Rev., 2010, 254, 1; (e) Y. Kang, F. Wang, J. Zhang and X. Bu, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2012, 134,

17881; (*f*) P. C. Ford, E. Cariati and J. Bourassa, *Chem. Rev.*, 1999, **99**, 3625; (*g*) T. Okubo, K. Himoto, K. Tanishima, S. Fukuda, Y. Noda, M. Nakayama, K. Sugimoto, M. Maekawa and T. Kuroda-Sowa, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2018, **57**, 2373.

- 13 D. Shi, R. Zheng, M.-J. Sun, X. Cao, C.-X. Sun, C.-J. Cui, C.-S. Liu, J. Zhao and M. Du, *Angew. Chem.*, *Int. Ed.*, 2017, 56, 14637.
- 14 (a) X. Lin, Y. Gao, M. Jiang, Y. Zhang, Y. Hou, W. Dai,
 S. Wang and Z. Ding, *Appl. Catal., B*, 2018, 224, 1009; (b)
 P. D. Dietzel, R. E. Johnsen, H. Fjellvag, S. Bordiga,
 E. Groppo, S. Chavan and R. Blom, *Chem. Commun.*, 2008, 5125.