Materials Advances

PAPER

Cite this: *Mater. Adv.*, 2021, 2, 4804

Received 29th March 2021, Accepted 8th June 2021

DOI: 10.1039/d1ma00277e

rsc.li/materials-advances

Introduction

In recent years, studies on the consequences of intracellular viscosity changes highlighted their importance in regulating cellular functions.^{1,2} The latest findings indicate that healthy breast epithelial cells exhibit a higher intracellular viscosity

Engineering a light-driven cyanine based molecular rotor to enhance the sensitivity towards a viscous medium†

Vishal Kachwal,^a Abhilasha Srivastava,^b Sumukh Thakar,^b Maria Zubiria-Ulacia, ^{noc} Diplesh Gautam,^d Syamantak Majumder,^b Venkatesh K. P., D^d David Casanova, D^d Rajdeep Chowdhury,^b Nigam Rath,^e Sudeshna Mukherjee, $*^b$ Pere Alemany $\mathbf{D} *^f$ and Inamur Rahaman Laskar D^{*a}

This article describes the enhanced sensitivity to a viscous medium by a molecular rotor based fluorophore (RBF), TPSI I. The TPSI I molecule is designed in such a way that it consists of a rotor and a fluorophore with a π -rich bridge between them. TPSI I is a light-responsive material in solution as well as in the solid state. The structural design of the molecule allows flexible rotation and photo-induced cis–trans isomerization both in the solid state as well as in solution. These combined attributes of TPSI I are responsible for the ultrasensitive viscosity response of the new material, which was verified through the Förster-Hoffmann equation. According to this equation, the derived 'x' value is 1.02 (x is related to the sensitivity) which is the highest among the contemporary reports for RBFs. The facts were evidenced both by experimental as well as theoretical data. The ultrasensitivity towards viscosity was further analyzed in in vitro studies by detecting the subtle changes in the alteration of intracellular viscosity in normal and cancerous cells. An alteration of intracellular viscosity in cells treated with viscosity modulators was also confirmed using a previously well-established viscosity measurement technique, dynamic measurement through the piezoelectric patch. Our research offers a detailed mechanism to improve viscosity sensors and an efficient probe for detecting minute changes in intracellular viscosity. PAPER

Sometimes and the main of the sensitivity

Consistence and the sensitivity

Consis

than cancerous ones, a change which is majorly driven by an altered actin distribution and a higher nucleus to cytoplasmic ratio in cancerous cells. Therefore, changes in viscosity are likely to be directly linked to diseases and malfunctioning at the cellular level. Although several mechanical based techniques are available to determine the viscosity of a fluid medium (capillary, falling ball, and rotational viscometer) – these are unlikely to be used to trace intracellular viscosity. $3-5$ The viscosity of the intracellular environment is, indeed, a very specific parameter for the efficient running of life. Various studies have revealed that an anomalous mitochondrial viscosity is related to neurodegenerative diseases, diabetes, and cell malignancy, while the change in the lysosomal viscosity suggests the onset of diseases of lysosomal storage, inflammation, and even cancer.^{6–9}

Many molecular 'rotor based fluorophores (RBFs)' and 'aggregation-induced emission (AIE)' fluorophores have been designed and synthesized to measure the intrinsic and extrinsic viscosity using the concept of Twisted Intramolecular Charge Transfer (TICT). $10-12$ The emission properties of these molecules depend on the rotating ability of the attached rotors at the excited state. The competition of the off/on state of emission

^a Department of Chemistry, BITS Pilani, Pilani Campus, Rajasthan, India. E-mail: ir_laskar@pilani.bits-pilani.ac.in

^b Department of Biological Science, BITS Pilani, Pilani Campus, Rajasthan, India. E-mail: sudeshna@pilani.bits-pilani.ac.in

 ϵ Donostia International Physics Center (DIPC) and Universidad del País Vas co/Euskal Herriko Unibertsitatea (UPV/EHU), Donostia, Euskadi, Spain

 d Department of Mechanical Engineering, BITS Pilani, Pilani Campus, Rajasthan, India ^e Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry and the Centre for Nanoscience,

University of Missouri-St. Louis, USA

 f Department de Ciència de Materials i Química Física and Institut de Química Teòrica I Computacional (IQTCUB), Universität de Barcelona, Barcelona, Spain.

E-mail: p.alemany@ub.edu

[†] Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: ¹H NMR, ¹³C NMR, and mass spectra, crystal data, theoretical calculations, UV-visible and fluorescence spectroscopic analyses and other related materials. CCDC 2023139 and 2023140. For ESI and crystallographic data in CIF or other electronic format see DOI: 10.1039/d1ma00277e

depends on the radiative vs. non-radiative decays that can be regulated by the speed of rotation which can be restricted by a variation of the viscosity in the surrounding medium. $13-15$ Push–pull, BODIPY, cyanine, and porphyrin-based 'fluorescent molecular rotors' (FMRs) have been used as platforms in applications as viscosity sensors.¹⁶ The high sensitivity of these RBFs is essential for their ability to act as probes to measure the viscosity of biological fluids. Viscosity sensitivity is related to the fluorescence (FL) contrast (I/I_0) of RBFs (I = FL intensity in high-viscosity media and I_0 = FL intensity in low-viscosity media).¹⁷ The FL contrast strongly depends on the rotational ability of the molecular rotors. In addition to introducing rotors with a high rotation ability, several scientists have attempted to improve the sensitivity further by introducing multiple numbers of rotors into a single entity $18,19$ or by increasing the number of π -rich bridging between neighboring rotors.^{3,20} A very recent article by Ye et al. shows a general strategy for controlling the sensitivity of viscosity probes. It describes the inherent rotational energy barrier of RBFs that plays an important role in controlling the viscosity sensitivity of probes.²¹⁻²⁴ Scientists also developed many viscosity-sensitive fluorescent probes that can monitor viscosity changes in specific subcellular organelles like mitochondria, lysosomes, etc.,^{25,26} although in these instances the possibilities of improving the sensitivity through such complicated synthetic approaches are less feasible.²⁶⁻²⁸ Thus, it is necessary to further explore simpler strategies which may rationally improve viscosity sensitivity in these applications. Paper
 OpenIs on the nuitative of constrainties decays that can be the most constraints (NS are bond on the propries are constraints) and the specifical on a specifical on the constraints are constrained on a specifical

Here, we have designed and synthesized a probe molecule (TPSI I) with an exceptionally high sensitivity on sensing viscosity following a simpler route. The new probe contains a molecular rotor with a π -rich bridge joining cyanine and phenyl pyridine. The structural design of the molecule enables it to transform via cis–trans isomerism through a vinyl bond by photoexcitation. The combined effect of the rotation of the phenyl pyridine and the photoisomerization characteristic of the molecule is shown to lead to an enhanced viscosity sensitivity.^{12,15,27} The rationale behind the high viscosity sensitivity of the probe was explored and the high sensitivity of TPSI I was successfully employed to mark alteration in intracellular viscosity both in healthy and cancerous cells in a simple way. The support of viscosity dependent emission in cells was given by measuring the intracellular viscosity by dynamic measurements.

Experiments and methods

All chemicals, 4-(pyridine-2-yl)benzaldehyde, 2,3,3-trimethyl-3H-indole, methyl iodide, and solvents were purchased from Sigma Aldrich, TCI Chemicals Company Ltd. All spectroscopic grade solvents, DCM, methanol, tetrahydrofuran, etc. were procured from the Merck Company and Spectrochem.

(i) Instrumentation and spectroscopic studies

Characterization. ${}^{1}H$ NMR and ${}^{13}C$ NMR spectra were recorded in a 400 MHz Bruker spectrometer (BITS Pilani, Pilani Campus) using CDCl₃ and DMSO as solvents and

tetramethylsilane (TMS δ = 0 for ¹H and ¹³C NMR). UV-Visible absorption spectra were recorded on a spectrophotometer (Model UV-1800 and 2550). The steady-state photoluminescence spectra were recorded on a Spectrofluorometric FLs920-s Edinburgh VARIO III spectrophotometer. The UV-Visible lamp (365 nm and 250 nm) was procured from Sigma Aldrich.

(ii) Synthesis

1,2,3,3-Tetramethyl-3H-indol-1-ium iodide (L1). The methylation was carried out in a microwave oven. The solution of 2,3,3-trimethyl-3H-indole in ethanol was introduced into the microwave tube. To the reaction mixture, 1 eq. of methyl iodide was added and kept in the oven for five minutes at 90 \degree C. After completion, the reaction mixture was made to cool down to room temperature. Deep brown-red crystals were obtained. The crystals were washed with hexane and dried. The resulting product was characterized by ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.70–7.64 m, 1H, 7.61 (ddd, $J = 7.3$, 4.6, 2.7 Hz, 2H), 7.57 (dd, $J =$ 6.0, 2.8 Hz, 1H), 4.30 (s, 3H), 3.14 (s, 3H), 1.70 (s, 6H). 13C NMR $(101 \text{ MHz}, \text{ CDCl}_3) \delta 141.30, 138.76, 130.30, 129.61, 123.13,$ 115.11, 54.68, 37.25, 23.19, 17.22 (Fig. S1(a) and (b), ESI†)

1,3,3-Trimethyl-2-(4-(pyridine-2-yl)styryl)-3H-indol-1-ium (I-) (TPSI I). In a two neck round bottom flask, 1 eq. of L1 solution in dry methanol was taken and 1 eq. of 4-(pyridine-2 yl) benzaldehyde was added to it under continuous stirring and then refluxed for 12 to 14 hours. The reaction mixture was monitored by TLC. A deep red coloured solution was visible. The solution was dried on a rotavapor. The remaining residue was washed first with hexane and afterwards with mixtures of hexane and ethyl acetate in different proportions $(1:2, 1:3, 1:4, ...)$ and 1 : 5) to remove the unreacted part, and finally an orange-red coloured powder was obtained. Single crystals were obtained by dissolving the powder in DCM and by layering in hexane. (Fig. S2(a)–(e), ESI†).¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ _H 8.74 (1 H, s), 8.38 (2 H, d, J 8.3), 8.30 (1 H, d, J 16.2), 8.24 (2 H, d, J 8.3), 8.16 (1 H, d, J 16.2), 7.86 (2 H, d, J 6.8), 7.72–7.67 (1 H, m), 7.66–7.50 (3 H, m), 7.33 (1 H, t, J 4.9), 4.60 (3 H, s), 1.89 (6 H, s). ¹ H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-D₆) δ _H 8.75 (1 H, dd, J 4.8, 1.7), 8.48 (1 H, d, J 16.4), 8.42–8.28 (5 H, m), 8.17 (1 H, d, J 8.0), 7.99–7.89 (3 H, m), 7.79 (1 H, d, J 16.4), 7.68–7.63 (2 H, m), 7.45 (1 H, dd, J 7.5, 4.7), 4.20 (3 H, s), 1.83 (6 H, s). (Fig. S2(a), ESI†) 13C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 182.46, 155.40, 154.17, 149.65, 143.90, 143.02, 141.55, 137.38, 134.36, 131.94, 130.07, 129.76, 127.88, 123.28, 122.51, 121.41, 115.08, 113.87, 77.35, 52.59, 37.07, 26.85. HRMS of TPSI I1 given (Fig. S2f and g, ESI†), m/z - found: exp 510.0819 $\left[{\rm M}+{\rm HCOO}\right]^{+}\!,\,524.1102\,\left[{\rm M}+{\rm CH}_3{\rm COO}\right]^{+}\!,\,126.90\,\left[{\rm I}-{\rm M}\right]^{+}-{\rm Cal}$ $[m/z: 465.0953]$

1,3,3-Trimethyl-2- $(4$ -(pyridine-2-yl)styryl)-3H-indol-1-ium (PF_6^-) (TPSI PF₆). In the microwave tube, TPSI I was dissolved in methanol/acetone. To this mixture, potassium hexafluorophosphate (5 eq.) was added, and then it was kept in a microwave oven for 15 min at 110 °C under 600 rpm. After cooling it down to room temperature, a yellow colour precipitate separated, that was washed with an hexane/ethyl acetate $(1:3)$ mixture and dried in the oven (80 $^{\circ}$ C). Single crystals were obtained by dissolving the powder in DCM/hexane. The NMR spectrum

shows the same peaks as for TPSI I. 1 H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO) δ 8.75 (s, 1H), 8.49 (d, J = 16.3 Hz, 1H), 8.35 (s, 4H), 8.18 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 8.06–7.87 (m, 3H), 7.80 (d, J = 16.4 Hz, 1H), 7.66 (s, 2H), 7.46 (s, 1H), 4.20 (s, 3H), 1.83 (s, 5H). (Fig. S3, ESI†). HRMS: $m/z = 144.96$ $[PF_6-M]^+$ (Fig. S3 a and b, ESI[†]).

(iii) Photoisomerization

The probes were dissolved in methanol to study their photoisomerization process in solution. Two different stock solutions with the probes (c , 1 \times 10⁻⁵ M, methanol) were prepared. Then both the solutions were exposed under an UV lamp ($\lambda_{\rm exc}$, 365 nm) for their respective times. Afterwards, time-dependent UV-VIS spectra were recorded for the prepared solutions. For solid-state samples, the powder of probes was mixed with barium sulfate as a reference and the mixture was applied to the sample holder. Then the sample holder was exposed under the UV-VIS lamp ($\lambda_{\rm exc}$, 365 nm). The UV-VIS absorption spectra were recorded as a function of time.

(iv) Electronic structure calculations

Quantum chemistry calculations were performed within the density functional theory (DFT) and its time-dependent version (TD-DFT) with the B3LYP functional and the 6-31G(d) basis set. Methanol solvent effects were taken into account by the polarized continuum model with the integral equation formalism $(IEFPCM)^{29,30}$ and transition energies in solution were obtained within the linear response approach. $31,32$ Wave function-based methods were also used to further evaluate interstate relative energies and the nature of electronic states. All calculations were done using the Q-Chem $program^{33}$ and the Gaussian package.³⁴ Further details can be found in the ESI.[†]

(v) Dynamic measurements

The cultured cells were placed on the actuation unit (piezoelectric patch). The piezo disc is driven by a function generator. Chirp signals up to 1 MHz frequency range, and 3 V (peak to peak) were applied to the Piezo disc using an arbitrary function generator (Tektronix, AFG1022). The prepared cell culture was placed over the cross slide and dynamic input was applied on the slide for the excitation of the cells. A microscope was used to determine the location of the cells in the dish. A Position-Sensitive Device (PSD) (Hamamatsu, S1880) was used as an optical position sensor (OPS) with a signal processing circuit (Hamamatsu, C4674-01) to measure the exact position of an incident light spot in two-dimensions on a sensor surface. A laser beam (RLM650TA-020R, Laser century) was focused on the cells and got refracted to the PSD. A schematic figure demonstrating the process is provided in Fig. 1.

Displacement in the cells was traced by PSD and the oscilloscope gave the digital output voltage proportional to the displacement. A digital oscilloscope (Tektronix, TBS 1102B) was used to measure the voltage output from PSD in the time domain. Furthermore, a Data Acquisition system (DAQ) was used for processing the obtained time-domain data using MATLAB. We took the FFT of the time data to obtain the frequency response of the cells. A rectangular window was used

Fig. 1 Experimental setup for measuring the dynamic response of cells.

for a better resolution of the sample rate in the oscilloscope. Response measurements were sequentially taken on the cell and the base. We took the cell's transfer function with respect to the base to take away all base related modes. The same procedure was repeated for different cell types. The frequencydomain response was processed in MATLAB. The natural frequency (ω_n) of the cells was evaluated by using the peak picking approach. The quality factor (Q) , a measure of damping present in the cell, was evaluated using the half power point approach. The damping ratio (ξ) was evaluated using the quality factor given by $Q = 1/(2\xi)$. The time constant of the cell is given by $\tau = 1/(\xi \omega_n)$. Cells are a translucent structure and are mediated in a viscous fluid. Considering cells as micron-size droplets, the intracellular viscosity of the cell was determined using Lamb's relation^{35,36} given by Materials Advances
 $\frac{1}{2}$ Artistic Age ($\frac{1}{2}$ ASS $\frac{1}{2}$ ASS $\frac{1}{2}$ ASS $\frac{1}{2}$ ASS $\frac{1}{2}$ ASS $\frac{1}{2}$ ASS $\frac{1}{2}$ AN. This $\frac{1}{2}$ AN. This $\frac{1}{2}$ AN. This are $\frac{1}{2}$ AN. This are $\frac{1}{2}$

$$
\eta = \frac{\rho r^2}{\tau (n-1)(2n+1)}
$$

where τ is the exponential time constant, ρ the density of the cell, r its radius, n the mode number and η the dynamic viscosity of the cells.

Results and discussion

(i) Synthesis

TPSI I was synthesized by methylation of [1,2,3,3-tetrame] thyl-3H-indol-1-ium (iodide) (L1) followed by reaction with 4-(pyridin-2-yl)benzaldehyde to form the desired product (E)-1,3,3-trimethyl-2-(4-(pyridin-2-yl)styryl)-3H-indol-1-ium(TPSI I). For TPSI PF₆, the counter ion I^- (iodide) of TPSI I was replaced by PF₆⁻ (potassium hexafluorophosphate) as shown in Scheme 1.

(ii) Crystal structure

Single crystals for both compounds (TPSI I and TPSI PF_6) were produced by the diffusion method using DCM/hexane as solvents. In both cases, the crystal structure and space group are triclinic and P1, respectively. A detailed description of the structures is given in the supporting document (Table S1 and S2, $ESI⁺$). Structural features for the TIPS⁺ cation in both compounds are given in Fig. 2. From the crystal structures, it is clear that the two hydrogen atoms present at the central

Scheme 1 Synthesis of L1, TPSI I & TPSI PF6.

Fig. 2 Molecular structure for the TIPS⁺ cation in TPSI I (a) and TPSI PF₆ (b) showing short H \cdots I (<3.1 Å) and H \cdots F (<2.6 Å) contacts in the two crystals, respectively. For the sake of clarity, labels for hydrogen atoms are only provided for those H atoms involved in hydrogen bonds with the anions.

double bonds ($-HC12=C13H$ –) are in a *trans* disposition to each other, leading to a stable configuration of the TIPS⁺ cation in both TPSI I and TPSI PF $_6$. In TPSI I, there are two nonequivalent molecules establishing hydrogen bonds to either the I1 or the I2 anions. The most remarkable difference between the conformation in the two independent cations is in the dihedral angle between the average planes passing through the phenyl pyridine and the cyanine groups, 39.04° and 24.26° for the molecules interacting with I1 and I2, respectively (Fig. S4(a) and (b), $ESI⁺$). In **TPSI PF**₆ there is only a symmetry-independent $TIPS⁺$ cation with a dihedral angle of 36.08 $^{\circ}$, similar to that found for the first cation in TIPS I (Fig. S4c, ESI†).

(iii) Photophysical property studies

At room temperature, solutions of TPSI I and TPSI PF6 in methanol exhibit absorption peaks at λ_{abs} , 399 nm and 403 nm, respectively (Fig. S5, ESI†), similar to those reported for other cyanine analogues.²⁴ Using the results from TD-DFT calculations (Fig. S6, S7 and Table S3, ESI†) these peaks may be attributed to a π - π ^{*}, HOMO-to-LUMO transition to the lowest excited singlet state^{37,38} (Fig. S8, ESI[†]).

In the solid phase, **TPSI PF**₆ shows a strong emission (λ_{ex} = 368 nm; λ_{em} = 580 nm), while **TPSI I** exhibits only a very weak emission (λ_{ex} = 368 nm, λ_{em} = 520 nm) (Fig. S9, ESI†), a demonstration, as it is well established that cyanine-type probes such as TPSI⁺ are sensitive to certain external stimuli such as the viscosity and the polarity of their environment.³⁹ Both TPSI I and TPSI PF $_6$ were tested for viscosity sensing experiments. The photoluminescence (PL) spectra and images of TPSI I were recorded in the presence of various solvents with increasing viscosity (0.98 cP in ethanol to 190 cP in PEG600, cP = centipoise) and polarity (Fig. 3a and b). The emission intensity increases drastically with the variation of the viscosity \sim 255 times with increasing viscosity from 0.98 cP to 190 cP at λ_{max} = 540 nm).

The experiment shows that the emission of TPSI I is highly sensitive to the viscosity of the medium. The dependency of the PL, the intensity of **TPSI I** and **TPSI PF**₆ on viscosity, were compared by recording the PL spectra with a gradual increment of the viscosity through the addition of PEG400 into the solution containing TPSI I in methanol $(10^{-5}$ M) (Fig. S10a and b, ESI†). Moreover, the relation between the emissions of **TPSI I** and **TPSI** PF₆ with the viscosity of the solvent were quantified using the Förster–Hoffmann equation, $40 \log I = C +$ $x \log \eta$, where η is the viscosity of the solvents, I the emission intensity of the probe, C is a temperature-dependent constant, and x the viscosity sensitivity of the probe. By plotting $\log I$ vs. log η for TPSI I and TPSI PF₆ we find a linear relation in the range 0.52 cP to 10.7 cP in the two cases with R^2 = 0.98 and R^2 = 0.97 for TPSI I and TPSI PF $_6$, respectively (Fig. 4a and b). The values found for x for the two probes are 1.02 and 0.31 respectively. To the best of our knowledge, the value of x

Fig. 3 (a) Emission spectra for TPSI I (0.4 mM) in the presence of the solvent with increasing viscosity (1 = ethanol ($P = 4.3$, 0.98 cP), 2 = DMSO $(P = 7.2, 1.98 \text{ cP})$, $3 = \text{butanol} (P = 4.0, 2.59 \text{ cP})$, $4 = \text{ethylene glycol}$ $(P = 6.9, 16 \text{ cP})$, 5 = cyclohexanol (P = 5.09, 57 cP), 6 = PEG 200 (60 cP) 7 = PEG 300 (95 cP), 8 = PEG 400 (120 cP), 9 = PEG 600 (150–190 cP)) (b) images of TPSI I solutions taken under irradiation by a UV-lamp (λ_{max} = 365 nm). $P =$ polarity, $cP =$ centipoise.

Fig. 4 Linear fit between log(*l*) ($I = PL$ intensity of (a) **TPSI I**, (b) **TPSI PF₆**; $c = 10^{-5}$ M, in methanol) and log(η) (η = viscosity of the solvent).

obtained for TPSI I, i.e. 1.02, is the highest value reported so far in the literature, $41-46$ supporting its high sensitivity to changes in the viscous medium. The detection limit for TPSI I is 0.93 cP and for TPSI PF₆ is 1.29 cP. To check the response of TPSI I in small and long-range viscosity, the emission spectra and photoluminescence images of TPSI I were recorded in the presence of water (1 cP) and glycerol (1412 cP). The emission intensity is very high in glycerol in comparison to water (Fig. S10, ESI†). The emission spectra and photoluminescence images of TPSI I were also recorded in different concentrations of water-glycerol fractions (Fig. S10b and c ESI†). The emission intensity increases with an increase in the concentration of glycerol. In general, for the case of solvatochromic probes as the polarity of the solvent increases, the emission intensity decereases with a bathochromic shift and if the solvent polarity decereases, the emission intensity increases with a hypsochromic shift. $47-49$ Here, in both cases the emission intensity of TPSCI I increases without any noticeable change in the wavelength, from which we conclude that the changes depend only on the viscosity and not on the polarity. The significantly different values obtained for the two compounds despite sharing the same $TIPS⁺$ fluorescent cation is an indication of strong cation–anion interactions in solution, leading to a differential rigidification, and hence, sensitivity towards viscosity of the emitting cation in the two cases. A reversibility test was carried out by the gradually decreasing viscosity of the medium containing TPSI I by

increasing the volume of methanol in the viscous solution. The emission intensity was found to decrease gradually (Fig. S11c, ESI†). Moreover, the emission intensity of TPSI I was found to increase gradually with the decreasing temperature of the medium (Fig. S12, ESI†).

The experiment supports the theory that the ease of motion of the rotating units (cyanine/pyridyl) might be responsible for the observed enhanced PL intensity. Hence, along with the rotation of the cyanine and phenyl pyridine units, the existing double bond that connects them may be playing a major role in the increased sensitivity towards the viscosity of the medium.

Computational modelling of the molecular torsion around the central double bond identifies it as a non-radiative decay path, where the gap between the ground and the first excited singlet gradually vanishes as the molecule loses its planarity (Fig. 5). Optimization of the ground state molecular structure at the DFT level shows a preference for the planar *trans* conformation of TPSI⁺ (coordinates for the optimized structure are provided in the ESI†). TD-DFT calculations at the Franck– Condon region identify the absorption band at \sim 500 nm in solution (Fig. S6 and S7, ESI†) as the transition to the lowest excited singlet state (S_1) . The $S_0 \rightarrow S_1$ excitation is dipole allowed, as indicated by a sizeable oscillator strength $(f=1.535)$ and is obtained as the electron promotion from the HOMO to the LUMO (Fig. S8, ESI†). Both frontier orbitals hold a π -type character with respect to the molecular plane and are largely delocalized over the entire molecule. Moreover, at the ethylene unit linking the cyanine ring with the phenyl pyridine, the HOMO and LUMO present π -bonding and π -antibonding character, respectively. Hence, the molecular torsion around the central double bond reduces the HOMO/LUMO energy difference. As a consequence, the gap between the ground and excited singlet diminishes as the molecule loses its planarity, with nearly S_0/S_1 degeneracy at the orthogonal position (Fig. 5). The shrinkage of the gap between the ground and excited relative energies upon molecular torsion has been further confirmed by multiconfigurational electronic structure calculations (Tables S4 and S5, ESI†). Materials Advances

Sample of Fred Commons Articles. Published on 2021.

The consistent article is article in the consistent article is a consistent and one of the commons are consistent and the commons are consistent and

> The computed energy profiles clearly show a photo-induced non-radiative decay path back to the ground state following the

Fig. 5 Energy profiles for the ground (S_0) and first excited (S_1) states of TPSI⁺ along the molecular torsion around the central double bond.

torsion of the central double bond. The rotation around the ethylene unit involves a rather large simultaneous displacement of the cyanine ring and the phenyl pyridine moiety, which can be impeded by environmental factors such as a high viscosity of the medium or the establishment of hydrogenbond interactions with the anions. The non-radiative decay of $TPSI⁺$ is in agreement with the photoinduced isomerization described for similar systems such as retinal⁵⁰ or stilbene.⁵¹ These facts led us to predict that the exceptionally high sensitivity of TPSI I compared to TPSI PF $_6$ and others on the viscosity might be associated with the photo-induced cis–trans isomerization process and the influence of hydrogen-bond interactions with the anions in this process.

To further explore these questions, several controlled experiments were conducted on both probes. The following experiment was carried out in two parts. First, TPSI I and TPSI PF_6 were irradiated with a UV-Vis lamp (λ_{ext} = 365 nm) at increasing time intervals and after each excitation, the UV-Vis absorption spectra were recorded. In the case of TPSI I, we observed that the intensity of the long-wavelength absorption peak ($\lambda_{\rm abs}$, 398 nm) decreased gradually with a slight blue shift upon exposure to UV light ($\lambda_{\rm ex}$, 365 nm; 0-50 s) and, in parallel, the absorption of the new peak ($\lambda_{\rm abs}$, 281 nm) gradually increased. After this, no further change was observed (Fig. 6a). In TPSI PF₆⁻, no significant changes were observed in the absorption peak upon exposure to the UV light, even up to ten minutes later (Fig. 6b). To check the reversible nature of the process, the absorption spectra of TPSI I (exposed to UV radiation) were recorded at different time intervals (0–85 s) by switching off the lamp (Fig. S13a, ESI†), observing that the peak at 283 nm (Fig. 6) gradually decreased along with the appearance of a broad absorption peak at 398 nm with an intensity that gradually increased with time. It took \sim 16 h to return to the original form (Fig S13b, ESI†). Such observations support a cis–trans isomerization process in TIPS I.

According to a recent report, cyanine-type chromophores act as rotors in the compounds to which they are attached. 52 Hence, we assume that the cyanine in TPSI I and TPSI PF $_6$ will

Fig. 6 (a) UV-Visible absorption spectra of TPSI I in methanol (1 \times 10⁻⁵ M) recorded by irradiation with an UV lamp ($\lambda_{\text{emi}} = 365$ nm) at different time intervals (b) UV-Visible absorption spectra of TPSI PF $_6$ in methanol $(1 \times 10^{-5}$ M) upon irradiation with an UV lamp ($\lambda_{\rm ex}$ = 365 nm) at different time intervals. (Approximately two minutes were taken between two consecutive readings.)

also act as a rotating unit. The ¹H NMR spectrum of TPSI I with PEG400 was recorded to understand whether there is any sort of interaction, apart from the viscosity effect, playing between PEG400 and the probe molecule. Only a slight change in the chemical shifts of all the ${}^{1}H$ NMR peaks, moving from the deshielded to the shielded region, was observed, indicating that there are no specific interactions existing between PEG400 and the probe molecule (Fig. S15(a) and (b), ESI†).

We also investigated the X-ray crystal structures of both compounds to compare them and to try to get some clues on their significant difference in viscosity sensing. Since the emitting cation is the same in the two cases, the different behaviour is expected to arise from specific anion–cation interactions that modify the rigidity for the cation in the two compounds. As shown in Fig. S15a, ESI,† the unit cell of TPSI I contains four cations (the four cations in the centre of the figure) that form an approximate rectangular box and four iodide anions forming an approximate square around the two central cations. These four iodide anions all lie on the same plane (although it is not the plane shown in the figure, where anions at different heights belonging to neighbouring unit cells are superposed). As is evident in Fig. S15a in the ESI,† molecules in the unit cell group together form two different pairs of head-to-tail dimers that alternate in a perpendicular arrangement along the direction shown in the figure. It is noteworthy that the volume comprised between the two molecules in each of these dimers is left empty, with anions adopting positions in the space between the different dimers. For the dimer shown in the centre of the figure, where the methyl groups on the cyanine fragment point towards the exterior of the dimer, the empty volume between the two molecules, \sim 46.6 Å³, is considerable. On the other hand, in the crystal structure of TPSI PF_6 (Fig. S15b, ESI†), we find only two symmetry-equivalent molecular cations in the unit cell, where no formation of cages or voids is observed. Further details of all the interactions in the crystal packing of TPSI I (Fig. S16a, ESI†) and TPSI PF₆ are included in the supplementary documents (Fig. S16b, ESI†). As is evident when comparing Fig. S15a and b, ESI,† very different packing patterns are obtained with the same cationic entity using different counter ions *i.e.*, PF_6^- or I^- . In TPSI I, the basic structural unit is formed by head-to-tail dimers of TPSI⁺ cations, with the relatively small I^- anions disposed around these dimers. Paper More is consider the consider and the stone are a reading time. Here is a positive consider a creative of the state of the st

> From the point of view of the rigidity of the $TPSI⁺$ cations upon aggregation, the large size effect of the PF_6^- anion is predicted to restrict the free rotation of the pyridyl and cyanine moieties by pinning the molecular geometry through a more homogeneous net of electrostatic interactions around each cation. In the case of TIPS I, on the contrary, the formation of loosely bound dimers surrounded by iodine anions may be the cause of higher flexibility of the emitting cations in the solid state. Taking the observed packing patterns in the two crystal structures as a reference, we propose that upon aggregation, the formation of loosely bound TIPS⁺ dimers surrounded by the small I⁻ anions allows, upon excitation, more efficient energy dissipation through a non-radiative channel in the case of TPSI I.

In the case of TPSI PF_6 , we expect, on the contrary, aggregation of individual TPSI $^+$ cations surrounded by a shell of PF $_6^{\,-}$ anions. In this case the formation of $\text{TIPS}^+\cdots \text{PF}_6^-$ hydrogen bonds lead to a restriction of internal motion in the emitting $TIPS⁺$ cation that prevents non-radiative decay upon excitation. Since this behaviour is also observed in solution, we conclude that interacting anion-cation units are the basic entities found in solution.

A UV-Vis absorption-based experiment was performed to support to these hypotheses. A sample of solid powder of TPSI I was irradiated by a UV lamp ($\lambda_{\rm ex}$, 250 nm) at a certain interval and then the UV-Vis absorption spectrum was recorded. Similarly, the process was continued for 30 minutes. The characteristic absorption peaks of TPSI⁺ (λ_{abs} , 287 nm; 409 nm) were observed to change systematically with increasing time interval. In this case, the intensity of the original absorption peak at 287 nm increased, while that of the peak at 409 nm was found to gradually decrease, with the observation of isosbestic points at 360 nm and 450 nm (Fig. 7a). The peak reached a maximum absorption within 30 minutes of initiating the excitation and then it reverted to the original absorption intensity within 12 min (Fig. 7b). Besides, we observed an increase in the intensity of the absorption peak at 409 nm when changing the excitation wavelength to 365 nm (Fig. S17a and b, ESI†). Computations Materials Advances

In the case of **TPSI** respect on the contents, aggrega-

or of individual TPSI canonical original case are conditioned by a shell of the signes are collected nonce than the signes are considered to the

Fig. 7 (a) UV-VIS spectral changes of TPSI I in solid-state (powder form) upon irradiation at 250 nm as a function of time (b) recoverable without irradiation in the dark for several minutes (approximately two minutes were taken to operate two consecutive reading).

of vertical energies along the molecular torsion path of TPSI+ suggest a redshift of the absorption energy at the *cis* conformation with respect the trans form (Table S6, ESI†), in agreement with the decrease and increase of the 409 nm and 480 nm absorption peaks upon irradiation. On performing an analogous absorption study for **TPSI PF**₆, we did not observe such changes irrespective of the excitation wavelengths (Fig. S18a and b ESI†).

These observations suggest that TPSI I becomes unstable under photoexcitation and it is constantly transformed into the photo-isomerized product due to the presence of relatively flexible units in the crystals which may undergo movement. The absence of such phenomena in TPSI PF₆ confirms our hypothesis of the effect of hydrogen bonding cation–anion interactions in the packing and restriction of internal motion in TPSI I and TIPS PF_6 , explaining the emissive nature of the $TPSI⁺$ cations in TIPS PF₆ in the solid-state. Moreover, the significant extent of overlapping of emission and absorption spectra of TPSI⁺ in **TPSI I** [overlap integral $J(\lambda)$, 3.46 \times 10¹⁶; Fig. S19, ESI†] leads to the self-absorption of the emitted energy, that added to the more effective non-radiative decay channel, justifies the non-emissive nature of TIPS I in the solid state.

(iv) Measurement of intracellular viscosity in normal and cancerous cells

To test the efficacy of the TPSI I for detecting alterations in viscosity we initially used primary cells from human origin, the HUVEC (Human Umbilical Vein Endothelial Cells). The compound was found to be non-cytotoxic to the HUVECs, as analysed by an XTT assay (data not shown). The cells were then treated with different concentrations of glucose ranging from 5.5 to 40 mM and the effect of glucose on the alteration of the intracellular viscosity was measured. At the onset, we used a well-accepted position sensing device (PSD) based analysis for the measurement of intracellular viscosity. Using a standard protocol as elaborated in the Methodology section, we performed sequential measurements on the cell and the base. Fig. 8a shows the frequency response of the cell and the base captured using the PSD system. We considered the transfer function of the cell with respect to the base to take away all base related modes. Fig. 8a also shows the transfer function of the cell. We evaluated the viscosity using the dynamic measurements as per the details provided in the Methods section. The PSD system depicted an increase in intracellular viscosity with an increase in the concentration of glucose. This experiment acted as an affirmation for an increase in intracellular viscosity with an increase in the concentration of glucose.

Thereafter, since a statistically significant increase in viscosity was observed with 30 mM glucose in HUVECs, we selected the same dose for fluorescence microscopy-based analysis of the TPSI I compound. We found that for HUVECs, a treatment with 30 mM of glucose was sufficient to cause an increase in intracellular viscosity detected through TPSI I fluorescence (Fig. 8b).

Fig. 8 (a) Intracellular viscosity measurements in HUVECs. A position sensing device-based analysis was performed to estimate alteration in intracellular viscosity of HUVECs after exposure to 30 mM glucose for 24 h. (b and c) Untreated cells served as control. Intracellular viscosity analysis of HUVECs treated with glucose. HUVECs were exposed to 30 mM of glucose and probe molecules ($TPSI$ I, 10 μ M). Control cells were treated with the probe only.

Although a 1.8-fold increase in intracellular viscosity was observed, yet this change was not found to be statistically significant ($p = 0.08$), at least by the current **TPSI I** staining protocol (Fig. 8c). Subsequently, we planned to analyse the potential of the probe for monitoring intracellular viscosity in tumour cell models. We cultured the human tumour cell line Huh7 and exposed the cells to different concentrations of TPSI I.

Dose kinetics for viability was performed to detect any cytotoxic effect imparted by the compound on the tumour cells and the compound was found to be non-cytotoxic (Fig. S20, ESI†). Thereafter, we selected methylcellulose (MC) to enhance the intracellular viscosity of the Huh7 cells. 53 PSD analysis showed an approximately 3-fold increase in intracellular viscosity upon MC treatment (Fig. 9a) supporting the fact that cellular viscosity increases upon MC exposure. Following confirmation of the alteration of intra-cellular viscosity, fluorescence microscopy was performed with the compound. Fluorescence microscopic images (Fig. 9b and c) show a substantial increase in green fluorescence intensity in cells treated with MC. To have a quantitative evaluation of the same, we compared a shift in fluorescence through flow cytometry in Huh7 cells treated with TPSI I alone or with TPSI I plus MC. Importantly, flow cytometric analysis also provided further evidence for the viscosity probing the function of TPSI I, as an increase in fluorescence was observed upon MC exposure (Fig. 9d).

Fig. 9 (a) Intracellular viscosity measurement in tumour cells. A position sensing device-based analysis was performed to estimate the intra-cellular viscosity of Huh7 cells after exposure to 0.5% MC for 24 h. Untreated cells served as control. (b and c) Intracellular viscosity analysis by fluorescence microscopy using TPSI I. Huh7 cells was treated with viscosity modulator and TPSI I (10 μ M) for 24 h. Only TPSI I treated cells served as control. DAPI was used to stain the nucleus. (d) Intracellular viscosity measurement by flow cytometry using TPSI I. Huh7 cells was treated with 0.5% MC and TPSI I (10 µM). Only TPSI1 treated cells served as control. A shift in green fluorescence was monitored through flow cytometry.

Conclusion

In summary, the present article reports the water-soluble novel ultra-sensitive viscosity probe TPSI I, with a sensitivity of $x = 1.02$ (Förster–Hoffmann equation). The TPSI⁺ cation in TPSI I rotates freely, photo isomerizes, and shows a weak photoluminescence in a low-viscosity environment, while in the high viscosity media, the emission intensity is strongly enhanced. The restriction of motion in a highly viscous medium reduces the dissipation of energy in the excited state through nonradiative paths and enhances the photoluminescence intensity. The restriction of internal motion was also supported by changing the counterion with larger size PF_6 which is found to restrict the internal motion of the $TPST⁺$ cation and thus making it less sensitive to changes in viscosity. The enhancement of photoluminescence was further applied to measure the intracellular viscosity using an imaging technique in normal and cancerous cells of human origin. The probe was able to distinguish the altered viscosity of cancerous from normal cells. Therefore, we believe that the present probe, TPSI I, holds the potential to be utilized in the future for pre-diagnosis of various diseases linked to altered cellular viscosity, thus enabling an image-based approach towards disease diagnosis. Materials Advances

In summer, the present article reports the water-subile need $\frac{1}{2}$ A. K. K. K. H. Commons, 2002, d. 139-163.

Ultra-servicity probe TPS1 1, with a servicity of 2 P. A. K. K. H. Commons Article. The

Author's contribution

The manuscript was written through the contributions of all authors. All authors have approved the final version of the manuscript.

Conflicts of interest

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

Acknowledgements

V. K. thanks CSIR India for the SRF fellowship [09/719(0082)/ 2018EMR-I]. We gratefully acknowledge to DRDO, Govt. of India, for supporting the financial assistance through the research project no. ARMREB/CDSW/2019/216. A. S. thanks BITS Pilani, Pilani Campus for Institute Fellowship. The 'UGC-SAP' and DST-FIST programs, Department of Chemistry, BITS Pilani, Pilani Campus, have been acknowledged for instrumental support. We gratefully thank DST-FIST [SR/FST/ CSI-270/2015] for the HRMS Facility, Department of Chemistry, BITS Pilani. This work was partially supported by an Additional Competitive Research Grant from BITS-Pilani to SM1(PLN/AD/ 2017-18/02) and SM2(PLN/AD/2018-19/2). M. Z.-U. and D. C. acknowledge financial support from the Spanish Government MINECO/FEDER (projectCTQ2016-80955-P) and the EuskoJaurlaritza (Basque Government, project PIBA19-0004). P. A.'s research has been supported by the Spanish Ministerio de Economia y Competitividad (project PGC2018-093863-B-C22 and the Maria de Maeztu Units of Excellence Program under Grant MDM-2017-0767) and Generalitat de Catalunya (project 2017 SGR 1289).

References

- 1 M. K. Kuimova, Chima, 2012, 66, 159–165.
- 2 P. A. Valberg and H. A. J. B. j. Feldman, Int. Rev. Cytol., 1987, 52, 551–561.
- 3 L. Tang, L. Zhou, X. Yan, K. Zhong, X. Gao, X. Liu and J. Li, Dyes Pigm., 2020, 182, 108644.
- 4 M. K. Kuimova, S. W. Botchway, A. W. Parker, M. Balaz, H. A. Collins, H. L. Anderson, K. Suhling and P. R. Ogilby, Nat. Chem., 2009, 1, 69–73.
- 5 J. Gong, C. Liu, X. Jiao, S. He, L. Zhao and X. Zeng, Microchem. J., 2020, 158, 105191.
- 6 X. Zhang, L. Wang, N. Li and Y. Xiao, Chin. Chem. Lett., 2021, DOI: 10.1016/j.cclet.2021.02.031.
- 7 T. D. Thanh, J. Balamurugan, N. T. Tuan, H. Jeong, S. H. Lee, N. H. Kim and J. H. Lee, Biosens. Bioelectron., 2017, 89, 750–757.
- 8 Y. Wu, W. Shu, C. Zeng, B. Guo, J. Shi, J. Jing and X. Zhang, Dyes Pigm., 2019, 168, 134–139.
- 9 J. Cui, H. Nie, S. Zang, S. Su, M. Gao, J. Jing and X. Zhang, Sens. Actuators, B, 2021, 331, 129432.
- 10 R. Kotani, H. Sotome, H. Okajima, S. Yokoyama, Y. Nakaike, A. Kashiwagi, C. Mori, Y. Nakada, S. Yamaguchi and A. Osuka, J. Mater. Chem. C, 2017, 5, 5248–5256.
- 11 S.-C. Lee, J. Heo, H. C. Woo, J.-A. Lee, Y. H. Seo, C.-L. Lee, S. Kim and O.-P. Kwon, Chem. – Eur. J., 2018, 24, 13706–13718.
- 12 X. Peng, Z. Yang, J. Wang, J. Fan, Y. He, F. Song, B. Wang, S. Sun, J. Qu, J. Qi and M. Yan, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2011, 133, 6626–6635.
- 13 J. Sutharsan, D. Lichlyter, N. E. Wright, M. Dakanali, M. A. Haidekker and E. A. Theodorakis, Tetrahedron, 2010, 66, 2582–2588.
- 14 M. A. Haidekker and E. A. Theodorakis, Org. Biomol. Chem., 2007, 5, 1669–1678.
- 15 L. Wang, Y. Xiao, W. Tian and L. Deng, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2013, 135, 2903–2906.
- 16 M. K. Kuimova, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys., 2012, 14, 12671–12686.
- 17 N. Boens, V. Leen and W. Dehaen, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2012, 41, 1130–1172.
- 18 D. Su, C. L. Teoh, L. Wang, X. Liu and Y.-T. Chang, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2017, 46, 4833–4844.
- 19 F. Liu, Y. Luo and M. Xu, Tetrahedron Lett., 2018, 59, 4540–4544.
- 20 X. Peng, F. Song, E. Lu, Y. Wang, W. Zhou, J. Fan and Y. Gao, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2005, 127, 4170–4171.
- 21 S. Ye, H. Zhang, J. Fei, C. H. Wolstenholme and X. Zhang, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2020, DOI: 10.1002/anie.202011108.
- 22 A. Vyšniauskas, I. López-Duarte, N. Duchemin, T.-T. Vu, Y. Wu, E. M. Budynina, Y. A. Volkova, E. Peña Cabrera, D. E. Ramírez-Ornelas and M. K. Kuimova, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys., 2017, 19, 25252–25259.
- 23 A. Li, Y. Liu, C. Bi, W. Xu, Z. Ma, H. Cui and S. Xu, Spectrochim. Acta, Part A, 2020, 237, 118390.
- 24 S. Toliautas, J. Dodonova, A. Zvirblis, I. Ciplys, A. Polita, A. Devižis, S. Tumkevičius, J. Sulskus and A. Vyšniauskas, Chem. – Eur. J., 2019, 25, 10342–10349.
- 25 X. Song, N. Li, C. Wang and Y. Xiao, J. Mater. Chem. B, 2017, 5, 360–368.
- 26 C. Ma, W. Sun, L. Xu, Y. Qian, J. Dai, G. Zhong, Y. Hou, J. Liu and B. Shen, J. Mater. Chem. B, 2020, 8, 9642–9651.
- 27 Z. Yang, Y. He, J.-H. Lee, N. Park, M. Suh, W.-S. Chae, J. Cao, X. Peng, H. Jung, C. Kang and J. S. Kim, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2013, 135, 9181–9185.
- 28 J. A. Robson, M. Kubánková, T. Bond, R. A. Hendley, A. J. P. White, M. K. Kuimova and J. D. E. T. Wilton-Ely, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2020, 59, 21431–21435. Paper Moreal Access Article. Comparing Article. Comparing Articles. Article. Published on 2021. And the same of th
	- 29 E. Cancès and B. Mennucci, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 2001, 114, 4744-4745.
	- 30 D. M. Chipman, J. Chem. Phys., 2002, 116, 10129–10138.
	- 31 R. Cammi and B. Mennucci, J. Chem. Phys., 1999, 110, 9877–9886.
	- 32 M. Cossi, N. Rega, G. Scalmani and V. Barone, J. Comput. Chem., 2003, 24, 669–681.
	- 33 M. Frisch, et al., Gaussian 09, Rev. D.01, Gaussian, Inc., Wallingford, CT, 2013.
	- 34 M. Ehara, K. Toyota, R. Fukuda, J. Hasegawa, M. Ishida, T. Nakajima, Y. Honda, O. Kitao, H. Nakai and T. Vreven.
	- 35 H. Lamb, Hydrodynamics, Cambridge University Press, 1993.
	- 36 A. Prosperetti, J. Fluid Mech., 1980, 100, 333–347.
	- 37 R. F. Khairutdinov and N. Serpone, J. Phys. Chem. B, 1997, 101, 2602–2610.
	- 38 L. Qun, L. Guo-Liang, P. Bi-Xian and L. Zheng-Xin, Dyes Pigm., 1998, 38, 211–218.
	- 39 Y. Xu, Y. Liu and X. Qian, J. Photochem. Photobiol., A, 2007, 190, 1–8.
- 40 W. Akers and M. Haidekker, J. Biomech. Eng., 2004, 126, 340–345.
- 41 I. López-Duarte, T. T. Vu, M. A. Izquierdo, J. A. Bull and M. K. Kuimova, Chem. Commun., 2014, 50, 5282–5284.
- 42 S. Khopkar, M. Jachak and G. Shankarling, Dyes Pigm., 2019, 161, 1–15.
- 43 R. D. Telore and N. Sekar, Dyes Pigm., 2016, 129, 1–8.
- 44 M. Rajeshirke, A. B. Tathe and N. Sekar, J. Mol. Liq., 2018, 264, 358–366.
- 45 G. Zhang, Y. Ni, D. Zhang, H. Li, N. Wang, C. Yu, L. Li and W. Huang, Spectrochim. Acta, Part A, 2019, 214, 339–347.
- 46 F. Liu, J. Wen, S.-S. Chen and S. Sun, Chem. Commun., 2018, 54, 1371–1374.
- 47 A. Yu, C. A. Tolbert, D. A. Farrow and D. M. Jonas, J. Phys. Chem. A, 2002, 106, 9407–9419.
- 48 A. V. Kulinich, E. K. Mikitenko and A. A. Ishchenko, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys., 2016, 18, 3444–3453.
- 49 S. Nigam and S. Rutan, Appl. Spectrosc., 2001, 55, 362A–370A.
- 50 R. Schoenlein, L. Peteanu, R. Mathies and C. Shank, Science, 1991, 254, 412–415.
- 51 Y. Dou and R. E. Allen, J. Chem. Phys., 2003, 119, 10658–10666.
- 52 Y. Shiraishi, T. Inoue and T. Hirai, Langmuir, 2010, 26, 17505–17512.
- 53 G. Stephen, B. Anne and W. Margaret van, In Vitro Cell. Dev. Biol.: Anim., 1993, 29A, 755–757.