Materials Advances

COMMUNICATION

Cite this: *Mater. Adv.*, 2021, 2, 6272

Received 8th July 2021, Accepted 3rd September 2021

DOI: 10.1039/d1ma00590a

rsc.li/materials-advances

Owing to their high theoretical capacities, safe operational voltage, unique tunnel-shaped structural features for fast lithium ion transfer, and structural stability, niobium-based oxides are regarded as promising candidate anode materials for lithium-ion batteries (LIBs). Here, molybdenum niobium oxide (MoNb $_6O_{18}$) with a high theoretical capacity of 399 mA h g $^{-1}$ (Mo $^{6+}/{\rm Mo}^{4+}$ and Nb $^{5+}/{\rm Nb}^{3+}$) was developed as a new anode material for LIBs. The crystal structure of $M \circ N_{6}O_{18}$ was observed to display a Wadsley–Roth phase of the $ReO₃$ shear structure. The discharge capacity of micron-sized particles of $MoNb₆O₁₈$ was measured to be 219.4 mA h g $^{-1}$ with a high initial Coulombic efficiency of 96.8% at 0.2C and 154.3 mA h g $^{-1}$ at 1C from 1.0 to 3.0 V. The results of ex situ X-ray diffraction (XRD) experiments revealed the ability of M_0 O 18 to serve as an intercalation-type anode material for lithium ion storage with outstanding structural stability. Also, an LiMn₂O₄//MoNb₆O₁₈ full cell displayed desirable lithium-ion storage properties. COMMUNICATION

^{View} Article Chine
 (0) Check for updates
 **Shear-structured MoNb₆O₁₈ as a new anode like

stream Material Schemes interactions and the experiments of the strength of the strength of the strength of**

Introduction

Lithium-ion batteries (LIBS), as the most popular energy storage device, are widely used in various fields such as mobile electronics products, grid storage, and even in electric vehicles $(EVs).¹⁻⁶ Graphite, as the prevailing anode for commercial LIBs,$ has a high theoretical capacity (372 mA h $\rm g^{-1}$). However, its use could result in lithium plating or the formation of lithium dendrites when working at high charging/discharging rates and at low potentials, and thus has safety concerns.^{$7-10$} It has been found that TiO_2 ,¹¹ $\text{Li}_4\text{Ti}_5\text{O}_{12}$,¹² LiCrTiO₄¹³ and other titaniumbased materials are suitable for use as anode materials for LIBs

Shear-structured MoNb $_6O_{18}$ as a new anode for lithium-ion batteries†

Jialin Cheng, Fengqi Lu D^{*} and Xiaojun Kuang D

with a safe voltage of about 1.55 V. Unfortunately, their disadvantages of low theoretical capacity and low energy density significantly hinder their practical applications in nextgeneration LIBs requiring high power density levels and long lifespans. $14-16$ Therefore, it is urgent to investigate suitable anode materials with safe working potentials and high energy density and cycling stability levels, especially for EVs.¹⁷

Recently, niobium-based oxides have been extensively investigated as high-rate anode materials for LIBs, due to their high theoretical capacities based on multielectron reactions, fast lithium ion transport, and, most importantly, safe operating voltage (at just above 1.0 V vs. Li^{+}/Li).¹⁸⁻²⁰ Therefore, niobium-based oxide anodes are promising candidates for high-power LIB anodes, specifically in EVs.21 Most niobium-based oxides form the Wadsley–Roth crystallographic shear structure with blocks consisting of distorted $MO₆$ octahedra sharing corners, and are built of $n \times m \times \infty$ ReO₃-type units (with *n* and *m* denoting the numbers of $MO₆$ octahedra along the length and width of the blocks). 22 This unique open-tunnel structure can promote lithium ion diffusion and enhance lithium storage capacities.¹⁹ Recently, Wadsley-Roth-shear-structured niobium-based oxides, including $W_5Nb_{16}O_{55}^{23}$ MoNb₁₂O₃₃²⁴ $\text{WNb}_{12}\text{O}_{33}^{\quad 25}\ \text{Mo}_{3}\text{Nb}_{14}\text{O}_{44}^{\quad 26}\ \text{W}_{3}\text{Nb}_{14}\text{O}_{44}^{\quad 27}\ \text{TiNb}_{2}\text{O}_{7}^{\quad 28}\ \text{Ti}_{2}\text{Nb}_{10}\text{O}_{29}^{\quad 29}\$ have been investigated as high-rate anode materials for LIBs. Mo–Nb–O oxides with Wadsley–Roth shear structure anode materials have shown great potential for high-rate lithium ion storage. To the best of our knowledge, $M \text{o} \text{Nb}_{12} \text{O}_{33}$, $M \text{o}_3 \text{Nb}_{14} \text{O}_{44}$ and $Mo₃Nb₂O₁₄$ are the only three available Mo-Nb-based oxide anode materials. Very few Mo–Nb–O oxides have so far been used as anodes. In the work described here, a new micro-sized Mo–Nb–O oxide (MoN b_6O_{18}) particle, one made by tailoring the ratio of Mo to Nb, was investigated as an anode material for LIBs.

Results and discussion

The crystal structure of $MoNb₆O₁₈$ was characterized using X-ray diffraction (XRD). As shown in Fig. 1, sharp diffraction peaks were observed, indicating its good crystallinity. To the

Key Laboratory of New Processing Technology for Nonferrous Metal & Materials, Ministry of Education Guangxi Key Laboratory of Optical and Electronic Materials and Devices, College of Materials Science and Engineering, Guilin University of Technology, Guilin 541004, P. R. China. E-mail: lufengqi@glut.edu.cn † Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. See DOI: 10.1039/

d1ma00590a

Fig. 1 \times RD pattern of MoNb₆O₁₈ and the corresponding Rietveld refinement result, with the inset image displaying a view of the crystal structure along the b axis.

best of our knowledge, no crystal structure of $MoNb₆O₁₈$ has been previously reported; and no information about its crystal structure was found in the Inorganic Crystal Structure Database (ICSD) and the International Centre for Diffraction Data (ICDD). Careful analysis of the XRD pattern of $MoNb₆O₁₈$ showed its diffraction characteristics to be very similar to those of MoTa₁₂O₃₃. According to this result, MoNb₆O₁₈ formed a crystal structure similar to that of $M_0Ta_{12}O_{33}$, *i.e.*, a monoclinic Wadsley–Roth shear structure in an I2/m space group. An XRD Rietveld refinement was performed using the Wadsley–Roth shear structure of MoTa₁₂O₃₃ as the initial structural model; the refinement converged to an R_{wp} of 10.35%, R_p of 7.48%, and R_{Bragg} of 3.32%. The cell lattice parameters of $\text{MoNb}_6\text{O}_{18}$ were determined to be $a = 17.730(2)$ Å, $b = 3.822(7)$ Å, $c = 19.417(2)$ Å, β = 106.43(6)°, with a unit-cell volume *V* of 1260.46(2) Å³. This result confirmed that the as-prepared $MoNb₆O₁₈$ adopted the Wadsley–Roth shear structure with many Nb and O vacancies. As shown in the inset of Fig. 1, 3×4 NbO₆ octahedral blocks were spread to an indefinite extent on the $a-c$ layer, and extended indefinitely along the direction of the b-axis. The 3×4 blocks linked to each other as a result of edge-sharing $NbO₆$ octahedra, and corner-sharing $MoO₄$ tetrahedra. The $NbO₆$ octahedra shared corners with each other within the block, thus providing many vacant sites for lithium ion storage and fast diffusion.²⁴ The Mo: Nb atomic ratio was determined from an ICP analysis to be 0.95 : 6, consistent with the theoretical value $(1:6)$ in MoNb₆O₁₈ oxide.

The morphology and microstructure of $MoNb₆O₁₈$ samples were observed using scanning electron microscopy (SEM) and transmission electron microscopy (TEM). In the SEM image (Fig. 2a), $MoNb₆O₁₈$ exhibited irregular rod-like particles with a size distribution ranging from 0.3 to 3 μ m. The elements Mo, Nb and O were determined to be uniformly distributed in each $MoNb₆O₁₈$ rod-like particle (Fig. 2b–d). The observed bright diffraction spot in the selected-area electron diffraction (SAED) pattern of an $MoNb₆O₁₈$ sample, shown in Fig. 2e, can be well

Fig. 2 Morphology and microstructure of $M \sim b_6O_{18}$. (a) SEM image. (b–d) EDS elemental mapping images for (b) Mo, (c) Nb, and (d) O. (e) SAED pattern. (f) HRTEM image.

indexed to (110) , (105) and (015) planes of Wadsley-Roth shear structure characteristic of $MoNb₆O₁₈$. The inter-planar distances observed in a high-resolution TEM (HRTEM) image of $MoNb₆O₁₈$, shown in Fig. 2f, were measured to be about 0.390 and 0.379 nm, corresponding to the (105) and (110) planes, respectively.

The lithium-ion storage properties of $MoNb₆O₁₈$ electrodes were investigated by taking CV and GCD measurements of them at room temperature. Fig. 3a shows CV curves of $MoNb₆O₁₈$ acquired in different voltage ranges (specifically 0.8–3.0 V, 1.0–3.0 V and 1.2–3.0 V) at a scanning rate of 0.2 mV s⁻¹. During the anodic scanning process, reduction peaks were observed at about 2.28 V (R_1) and 1.92 V (R_2) , and could be attributed to conversions of $Mo(w)$ to $Mo(v)$, and of $Mo(v)$ to $Mo(w).^{26}$ Peaks were also observed at about 1.72 V, and could be ascribed to the reduction of $Nb(v)$ to $Nb(w)$.³⁰⁻³² In addition, peaks were observed at about 1.14 V (R_4) , and corresponded to the reduction of $Nb(w)$ to $Nb(m)$ at the voltage ranges 0.8–3.0 V and 1.0–3.0 V. During the cathodic scanning process, one broad oxidation peak appeared at about 1.88 V. Comparison of CV curves obtained using the three different voltage ranges clearly showed the $MoNb₆O₁₈$ electrode displaying the highest peak current for the voltage range 1.0–3.0 V.

The rate capability and cycling performance of $MoNb₆O₁₈$ were tested at various rates and voltage ranges. Fig. 3b illustrates the rate properties from 0.2 to 5C. In the first cycle at 0.2C, the $MoNb₆O₁₈$ electrode showed the highest discharge capacity of 287.3 mA h g^{-1} at the voltage range 0.8 to 3.0 V, but a rather low charge capacity of 197.7 mA h g^{-1} , which could be

Fig. 3 Electrochemical performances of $M \sim b_6O_{18}$. (a) CV curves at 0.2 mV s^{-1} , (b) rate capabilities, (c) histogram of rate capabilities, and (d) cycling property at 1C.

ascribed to the irreversible reaction leading to capacity loss. In contrast, the electrode exhibited a discharge/charge capacity of 219.3/212.4 mA h g^{-1} with an initial Coulombic efficiency as high as 96.8% from 1.0 to 3.0 V. The average charge capacities are displayed in Fig. 3c; the $M0Nb₆O₁₈$ electrode exhibited the highest average charge capacity of 198.2 mA h \rm{g}^{-1} at 0.2C from 1.0 to 3.0 V. However, at a high current density of 5C, it showed the highest average charge at the voltage range 1.2 to 3.0 V, which could be attributed to a more reversible reaction. When the current density returned to 0.2C, the specific capacities almost recovered to their original values for the voltage ranges 1.0 to 3.0 V and 1.2 to 3.0 V, in contrast with a low specific capacity for the range 0.8 to 3.0 V. Determining the cycling stability of the electrode materials is an important way to assess LIBs. Fig. S1 (ESI†) shows the first-cycle voltage profiles at 1C. Three voltage ranges (0.8–3.0, 1.0–3.0 and 1.2–3.0 V) gave first discharge capacities of 145.8, 155.0 and 145.9 mA h g^{-1} , respectively. Cycling performances of an $MoNb₆O₁₈$ electrode at 1C were determined at various voltage ranges, as shown in Fig. 3d. The cycle profile fluctuated to a certain extent, and this fluctuation could be attributed to the environmental differences between daytime and nighttime during the winter, when these experiments were performed. Compared with the other two voltage ranges, the electrode exhibited the highest charge capacity of 137.6 mA h g^{-1} and retention rate of 93% after 60 cycles between 1.0 and 3.0 V. The lithium-storage properties of $MoNb₆O₁₈$ electrode were also compared with those of reported Nb-based and other anodes (Table S1, ESI†).^{5,20,24,33,34}

The Li-ion diffusion behavior of the M_6O_{18} electrode was investigated by taking CV measurements of this electrode at the different voltage ranges at various scan speeds from 0.2 to 1.0 mV s^{-1} . As shown in Fig. 4, when the scan rate was increased from 0.2 to 1.0 mV s^{-1} , the reduction and oxidation peaks shifted to lower and higher voltages, respectively. Based

Fig. 4 CV curves of $MoNb₆O₁₈$ in the voltage ranges (a) 0.8-3.0 V, (b) $1.0 - 3.0$ V and (c) $1.2 - 13.0$ V from 0.2 to 1.0 mV s⁻¹. (d) The slopes of different peak currents after linear fitting in different voltage ranges.

on the linear relationship between the scan rate and peak current (Fig. 4d), the Li-ion diffusion coefficient of the $MoNb₆O₁₈$ electrode was determined by using the Randles– Sevcik equation (eqn (1)).³⁵

$$
I_{\rm p} = 2.69 \times 10^5 \times n^{1.5} C_0 S D_{\rm CV}^{0.5} v^{0.5}
$$
 (1)

In this equation, I_p is peak current, *n* is the charge transfer number, C_0 is the concentration of Li ions in the electrode, S is the surface area of the electrode, D_{CV} is the Li-ion diffusion coefficient, and ν is the scan rate. The calculated D_{CV} values were 1.34×10^{-10} –2.21 \times 10^{-10} , 2.81 \times 10^{-10} –4.99 \times 10^{-10} and 1.56×10^{-10} -2.61 $\times 10^{-10}$ cm² s⁻¹ for the voltage ranges of 0.8–3.0, 1.0–3.0 and 1.2–3.0 V, respectively. Note that the D_{CV} value for the voltage range 1.0 to 3.0 V was higher than those for the ranges 0.8–3.0 V and 1.2–3.0 V; and it was comparable with those, determined using same method (CV technology), of other intercalation-type niobium-based oxide anode materials such as $\text{MoNb}_{12}\text{O}_{33}$ $(4.0 \times 10^{-14}$ –8.6 $\times 10^{-14}$ $\text{cm}^2 \text{ s}^{-1})^{24}$ and $\rm W_3Nb_{14}O_{44}(7.83\times 10^{-13}$ – $\rm 8.02\times 10^{-13}~cm^2~s^{-1}).^{27}$

The apparent lithium-ion diffusion properties of the $MoNb₆O₁₈$ electrode were further investigated by performing the galvanostatic intermittent titration technique (GITT) during the whole cycle at different voltages (Fig. 5). A current density of 0.1C was applied for 30 minutes to the electrode to control the Li-ion insertion and extraction, following by a relaxation process lasting for 120 minutes. The apparent Li-ion diffusion coefficient (D^{GIT}) values were calculated using the equation³⁵

$$
D^{\text{GITT}} = (4/\pi\tau)(m_{\text{B}}V_{\text{m}}/M_{\text{B}}S)^{2}(\Delta E_{\text{s}}/\Delta E_{\tau})^{2} \quad (\tau \leq 1^{2}/D), \tag{2}
$$

where D^{GIT} is the Li-ion diffusion coefficient in cm² s⁻¹ determined using GITT, τ is the relaxation time, m_B , M_B , V_m , and S represent, respectively, the mass, molecular weight, molar volume and surface area of the cathode material, ΔE_s

Fig. 5 GITT curves and Li-ion diffusion coefficient profiles of an M_0 MoN b_6O_{18} electrode at 0.1C with different voltage windows.

is the difference between two consecutive stable voltages after relaxation, and ΔE_{τ} is the transient change in voltage during a single titration step. During the Li-ion insertion/extraction, the ranges of diffusion coefficient (D^{GIT}) values were determined to be 2.48 \times 10^{-10} –6.27 \times 10^{-13} , 2.26 \times 10^{-10} –2.49 \times 10^{-12} and 2.30 \times 10⁻¹⁰-8.41 \times 10⁻¹² cm² s⁻¹ for the voltage ranges 0.8–3.0, 1.0–3.0 and 1.2–3.0, respectively. And the average Li-ion D^{GIT} values were 8.29 \times 10^{-11} , 9.28 \times 10^{-11} and 1.35×10^{-10} cm² s⁻¹, respectively. The use of MoNb₆O₁₈ yielded faster diffusion of lithium ions than did other niobium-based oxides such as $\text{WNb}_2\text{O}_8 \left(\sim \text{2.8}\times 10^{-11} \text{ cm}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}\right)^{\text{36}}$ and $\text{WNb}_{60}\text{O}_{153}$ $({\sim}1.51\,{\times}\,{10}^{-14}~{\rm cm}^2~{\rm s}^{-1})^{.37}$

Electrochemical impedance spectra (EIS) of the Mo_6O_{18} electrode were acquired with a fresh cell and after 5 cycles at various voltage ranges at 0.2C (Fig. 6). Each Nyquist EIS plot was found to contain a semicircle at the high- to middlefrequency region and a sloping line at the low-frequency region—with the former relevant to the charge-transfer impedance on the electrode–electrolyte interface $(R_{\rm ct})$, and the latter assigned to the semi-infinite diffusion of lithium ions in the

Fig. 6 Nyquist plots of $MoNb₆O₁₈$ in the voltage ranges 0.8–3.0, 1.0–3.0 and 1.2–3.0 V.

Fig. 7 Ex situ XRD patterns of MoNb₆O₁₈ electrodes at various voltages.

electrode.³⁸ Interestingly, the diameters of the semicircles at the high to middle frequencies decreased obviously after 5 cycles, revealing an enhancement of the charge-transfer efficiency after cycling. This phenomenon could be attributed to the irreversible insertion of lithium ions into the $Mo₆O₁₈$ electrode leading to improvement in the electronic conductivity of the electrode. $39,40$ The values of the diameters of the semicircle in the voltage ranges 1–3 V and 1.2–3 V were seen to be almost equal and smaller than that of the voltage range 0.8–3 V, indicating that the insertion of lithium ions into the $Mo₆O₁₈$ electrode occurred most easily in the voltage ranges 1.0–3.0 V and 1.2–3 V.

The structural evolution of $M \text{o}Nb_6O_{18}$ during charging/discharging at 0.1C was further clarified from the results of an ex situ XRD experiment. As shown in Fig. 7, after a full cycle of insertion and extraction of lithium ions, all diffraction peaks almost returned to their original peak positions and recovered their peak intensities, indicating the high reversibility of the lithium ion insertion into/extraction from the structure $M \text{oNb}_6\text{O}_{18}$. This result verified that $M \text{oNb}_6\text{O}_{18}$ is an intercalation-type anode material for lithium-ion storage and has outstanding structural stability.

To estimate the potential application of MNO in LIBs, a full battery cell was fabricated with $MoNb₆O₁₈$ as the anode and $LiMn₂O₄$ as the cathode (LMO//MNO).^{10,41,42} The working voltage of this LMO//MNO full cell was about 2.0 V (Fig. S2b, ESI†). As shown in Fig. 8, the initial respective charge and discharge capacities of the full cell were 226.2 and 196.3 mA h g^{-1} with a Coulombic efficiency of 86.8% at 0.2C. From the fifth cycle onward, the Coulombic efficiency was above 98%, indicating a highly reversible charge/discharge process. After 30 cycles, the full cell maintained a discharge capacity of 152 mA h g^{-1} . The properties of the full cell were comparable to those of an $LiMn₂O₄//$ porous $\text{MoNb}_{12}\text{O}_{33}$ microsphere full cell (\sim 170 mA h g⁻¹ at 1C after 100 cycles)²⁴ and LiMn₂O₄//nanowire Mo₃Nb₁₄O₄₄ full cell

Fig. 8 Cycling properties and Coulombic efficiency levels of an LMO// MNO full cell at 0.2C; inset: red-LED powered by the LMO//MNO full cell after 30 cycles.

(201 mA h g $^{-1}$ at 0.1C, 73 mA h g $^{-1}$ at 5C after 500 cycles). 33 A red-LED (Fig. 8 inset) was successfully powered by the LMO//MNO full cell after 30 cycles, certifying the potential practicability of the full cell. The excellent results for this full cell revealed that $MoNb₆O₁₈$ has potential applications in LIBs.

Conclusions

In summary, $MoNb₆O₁₈$ was investigated as a new intercalationtype anode material for LIBs. Pure-phase micro-scale $M \text{o}Nb_6O_{18}$ was fabricated using a simple solid-state method with MoO₃ and $Nb₂O₅$ as raw materials. MoN $b₆O₁₈$ formed a monoclinic shear ReO₃-type crystal structure in the space group $I2/m$, consisting of a $(3 \times 4)_{\infty}$ NbO₆ octahedron block and an MoO4 tetrahedron at the corner of the block. In the half-cells, the $MoNb₆O₁₈$ electrode operated at a safe voltage (of about 1.72 V) and showed a reversible capacity of up to 219.4 mA h $\rm g^{-1}$ at 0.2C and 154.3 mA h \rm{g}^{-1} at 1C in the voltage range 1.0 V to 3.0 V. Moreover, the $LiMn_2O_4/MoNb_6O_{18}$ full cell delivered charge and discharge capacities of 226.2 and 196.3 mA h g^{-1} at 0.2C with an energy density of 393 W h kg $^{-1}$. Due to its multielectron reactions, safe operating voltage, and reversible crystal structural evolution, $MoNb₆O₁₈$ is expected—in particular after subjecting it to morphology optimization, nano-sizing and carbon synergy—to be a competitive candidate anode material for large-scale energy storage systems, especially in EVs. Also, this work has provided some new insights for investigations of M–Nb–O mix-oxides used as anode materials for LIBs.

Conflicts of interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Acknowledgements

This work was financially supported by the Guangxi Natural Science Foundation (No. 2020GXNSFAA159005).

References

- 1 R. Schmuch, R. Wagner, G. Hörpel, T. Placke and M. Winter, Nat. Energy, 2018, 3, 267–278.
- 2 X. Hu, S. E. Li and Y. Yang, IEEE Trans. Transp. Electrif., 2017, 2, 140–149.
- 3 B. Alex, W. Lars, T. Andrea and T. Axel, J. Cleaner Prod., 2018, 89, 292–308.
- 4 S. J. Kim, H. C. Park, M. C. Kim, D. M. Kim, Y. W. Lee and K. W. Park, J. Power Sources, 2015, 273, 707–715.
- 5 C. Wei, H. Fei, Y. Tian, Y. An, Y. Tao, Y. Li and J. Feng, Chin. Chem. Lett., 2020, 31, 980–983.
- 6 Z. Luo, C. Liu and S. Fan, J. Mater. Chem. A, 2019, 7, 3642–3647.
- 7 M. Armand and J. M. Tarascon, Nature, 2008, 451, 652–657.
- 8 R. Srinivasan and L. Srinivasan, J. Power Sources, 2015, 293, 876–882.
- 9 J. B. Goodenough and Y. Kim, J. Power Sources, 2011, 196, 6688–6694.
- 10 Z. Zhang, Y. Huang, X. Li, S. Zhang, Q. Jia and T. Li, Chem. Eng. J., 2021, 421, 129827.
- 11 S. I. Choi, E. J. Jung, M. Park, H. S. Shin and S. W. Yong, Appl. Surf. Sci., 2020, 508, 145237.
- 12 T. Yi, S. Yang and X. J. Ying, J. Mater. Chem. A, 2015, 3, 5750–5777.
- 13 G. Hao, L. Ning, D. Li, C. Dai and D. Wang, J. Phys. Chem. C, 2009, 113, 6324–6326.
- 14 S. Lee, W. Eom, H. Park and T. H. Han, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2017, 9, 25332–25338.
- 15 K. Kanamura, T. Umegaki, H. Naito, Z. Takehara and T. Yao, J. Appl. Electrochem., 2001, 31, 73–78.
- 16 D. Yoshikawa, Y. Kadoma, J. M. Kim, K. Ui, N. Kumagai, N. Kitamura and Y. Idemoto, Electrochim. Acta, 2010, 55, 1872–1879.
- 17 T. Tomohiro, Y. Shinpei, F. Yuki, A. Kengo, U. Kotaro, I. Ryoji and S. Yoji, J. Electrochem. Soc., 2018, 165, A1231–A1237.
- 18 Q. Fu, X. Zhu, R. Li, G. Liang, L. Luo, Y. Chen, Y. Ding, C. Lin, K. Wang and X. Zhao, Energy Environ. Mater., 2020, 30, 401–411.
- 19 Y. Yang and J. Zhao, Adv. Sci., 2021, 2004855.
- 20 L. Qin, Y. Liu, S. Xu, S. Wang, X. Sun, S. Zhu, L. Hou and C. Yuan, Small Methods, 2020, 4, 2000630.
- 21 Q. Deng, Y. Fu, C. Zhu and Y. Yu, Small, 2019, 15, 1804884.
- 22 R. S. Roth and A. D. Wadsley, Acta Crystallogr., 1965, 19, 26–32.
- 23 K. J. Griffith, K. M. Wiaderek, G. Cibin, L. E. Marbella and C. P. Grey, Nature, 2018, 559, 556–563.
- 24 X. Zhu, J. Xu, Y. Luo, Q. Fu, G. Liang, L. Luo, Y. Chen, C. Lin and X. S. Zhao, J. Mater. Chem. A, 2019, 7, 6522–6532.
- 25 A. D. Saritha, B. V. Pralong, A. U. V. Varadaraju and B. B. Raveau, J. Solid State Chem., 2010, 183, 988–993.
- 26 X. Ma, P. Chen, M. Qian, D. Wu, J. Du, X. Chen, R. Dai, M. Sha, Z. Zi and J. Dai, J. Alloys Compd., 2021, 864, 158379.
- 27 Y. Yang, H. Zhu, J. Xiao, H. Geng, Y. Zhang, J. Zhao, G. Li, X. Wang, C. Li and Q. Liu, Adv. Mater., 2020, 32, 1905295.
- 28 K. J. Griffith, I. D. Seymour, M. A. Hope, M. M. Butala, L. K. Lamontagne, M. B. Preefer, C. P. Koçer, G. Henkelman, A. J. Morris, M. J. Cliffe, S. E. Dutton and C. P. Grey, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2019, 141, 16706–16725. Communication Macchines Article. Article. Published on 11/8/2024 5:35:03 PM. This article is licensed on 11/8/2024 5:35:03 PM. This article is licensed under a method of Creative Commons Articles. Commons Articles. Uncerta
	- 29 S. Deng, Y. Zhang, D. Xie, L. Yang, G. Wang, X. Zheng, J. Zhu, X. Wang, Y. Yu, G. Pan, X. Xia and J. Tu, Nano Energy, 2019, 58, 355–364.
	- 30 X. Ma, L. Cheng, L. Li, X. Cao, Y. Ye, Y. Wei, Y. Wu, M. Sha, Z. Zi and J. Dai, Electrochim. Acta, 2020, 332, 135380.
	- 31 C. Yang, S. Yu, C. Lin, F. Lv, S. Wu, Y. Yang, W. Wang, Z. Zhu, J. Li, N. Wang and S. Guo, ACS Nano, 2017, 11, 4217–4224.
	- 32 U. K. Sen, A. Shaligram and S. Mitra, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2014, 6, 14311–14319.
	- 33 R. Li, G. Liang, X. Zhu, Q. Fu, Y. Chen, L. Luo and C. Lin, Energy Environ. Mater., 2021, 4, 65–71.
	- 34 X. Yang, Y. Wang, B. Hou, H. Liang, X. Zhao, H. Fan, G. Wang and X. Wu, Acta Metall. Sin. (Engl. Lett.), 2021, 24, 390–400.
- 35 A. J. Bard and L. R. Faulkner, Russ. J. Electrochem., 2002, 38, 1364–1365.
- 36 Z. Zhou, S. Lou, X. Cheng, B. Cui and G. Yin, ChemistrySelect, 2020, 5, 1209–1213.
- 37 Q. Tian, W. Ye, H. Yu, X. Cheng, H. Zhu, N. Long, M. Shui and J. Shu, Ceram. Int., 2019, 45, 1893–1899.
- 38 F. Lu, Q. Chen, S. Geng, M. Allix, H. Wu, Q. Huang and X. Kuang, J. Mater. Chem. A, 2018, 6, 24232–24244.
- 39 R. Song, H. Song, J. Zhou, X. Chen, B. Wu and H. Y. Yang, J. Mater. Chem., 2012, 22, 12369–12374.
- 40 H. Chen, L. X. Ding, K. Xiao, S. Dai, S. Wang and H. Wang, J. Mater. Chem. A, 2016, 4, 16318–16323.
- 41 Y. Wang, B. Hou, J. Guo, Q. Ning, W. Pang, J. Wang, C. Lv and X. Wu, Adv. Energy Mater., 2018, 8, 1703252.
- 42 B. Hou, Y. Wang, J. Guo, Y. Zhang, Q. Ning, Y. Yang, W. Li, J. Zhang, X. Wang and X. Wu, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2018, 10, 3581–3589.