Nanoscale Advances

PAPER

Cite this: Nanoscale Adv., 2021, 3, 1741

Received 20th January 2021 Accepted 4th February 2021

DOI: 10.1039/d1na00053e

rsc.li/nanoscale-advances

Introduction

Methyl glycolate (MG) is an industrially important chemical, which can be used as solvents, extractants or as food additives. In addition, they are applied in the synthesis of macromolecule polymer material as platform molecules.¹⁻³ To date, many strategies have been developed to prepare MG from the different conventional substrates.^{4,5} Moreover, since the heterogeneous Au catalysts were first reported to be active in the oxidation of ethylene glycol to methyl glycolate by Hayashi et al.,⁶ a series of studies have shown that Au catalysts supported on various supports are effective in the oxidation of various alcohols.⁷–¹²

For analyzing the heterogeneous catalyst, the type of metal (especially Au), particle size and morphology have been extensively explored on the side of anchored metals.¹³ For example, Bianchi et al. have substantiated that the decrease in the Au particle diameter of supported catalysts could lead to the increase in catalytic activity in the selective liquid phase

Investigation of $Au/Co₃O₄$ nanocomposites in glycol oxidation by tailoring $Co₃O₄$ morphology[†]

Xuejiao W[ei,](http://orcid.org/0000-0001-6649-5796)^{ab} Sami Barkaoui,^b Jingwen Chen,^a Guiping Cao,^a Zeying Wu,^a Fei Wang^{*c} and Gao Li \mathbf{D}^{*b}

The interfacial perimeter of nanogold and supports is often deemed as the catalytically active site for multiple reactions while the geometrical configuration of the interfacial perimeter at atomic scale is less studied. Herein, gold nanoparticles (NPs) of ca. 2.0 nm are dispersed on $Co₃O₄$ support in the shape of nanocubes (dominant Co₃O₄(001) facet) and nanoplates (Co₃O₄(111)), which forms different Au–Co₃O₄ interfaces with respect to the specific facet of the oxide support. A comparison is made on the basis of the interfacial structures and catalytic behavior of ethylene glycol oxidation. STEM analysis identifies that these metallic Au NPs interact with Co₃O₄ with an orientation relationship of Au/Co₃O₄(001) and Au/ $Co₃O₄(111)$. XPS and Raman spectroscopy investigations reveal the important variations in the reactivity of surface oxygen, surface O_{ads}/O_L ratio, and evolution of surface oxygen vacancies upon variation of the Co₃O₄ shape. Au/Co₃O₄-P exhibits much better catalytic activity than the Au/Co₃O₄-C counterpart in the aerobic oxidation of ethylene glycol, which is promoted by surface oxygen vacancies and intrinsic defects. It has been revealed that the surface oxygen vacancies participate in activating O_2 , thus making $Co₃O₄$ -P a superior support for Au NPs in the catalysis of ethylene glycol oxidation. Open Access Article. Published on 05 February 2021. Downloaded on 1/28/2025 5:17:08 AM. This article is licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 3.0 Unported Licence.](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/3.0/) **[View Article Online](https://doi.org/10.1039/d1na00053e) [View Journal](https://rsc.66557.net/en/journals/journal/NA) [| View Issue](https://rsc.66557.net/en/journals/journal/NA?issueid=NA003006)**

oxidation of glycols.¹⁴ However, Comotti et al. revealed that the catalytic activity was inversely proportional to the diameter of Au particles $(3-6 \text{ nm})$ in the oxidation of glucose.¹⁵ These findings notably indicate that an Au size effect is apparent in different reactions. Whereas on the side of support, the morphology of oxide supports can mediate the catalytic activity, which is mainly due to their atomic arrangements and electronic structures (e.g., surface defects or surface oxygen vacancies, reconstructions and surface orientations).¹⁶–¹⁸ For instance, the $Co₃O₄$ morphology of nanotubes,¹⁹ nanosheets,²⁰ nanowires²¹ and nanocubes²² showed distinct shape effect in CO oxidation. Note that the different properties of these $Co₃O₄$ nanomaterials are strongly associated with exposed crystal planes. Similar to $Co₃O₄$ nanowires, enclosed by the $Co₃O₄(111)$ facets, show a high CO conversion rate of 161.75 µmol CO g^{-1} s^{-1} at 248 °C.²¹ Moreover, the Co₃O₄ nanorods (40% of the $Co₃O₄(110)$ facets) exhibited excellent CO oxidation activity.²³

Although the oxidation of ethylene glycol has been intensively investigated in the field of heterogeneous Au catalysts, identification of active sites and clarification of catalytic mechanism on the supported gold nanoparticles have not yet been fully investigated. Recently, additional studies favour that the reaction should occur at the Au-oxide interfacial perimeter over which the reactants are adsorbed and activated on the gold nanoparticle and the molecular oxygen is active by oxide surfaces (e.g. defects). $24-26$ With this respect, both the size of gold particles and the chemical properties of oxide surfaces played critical roles in determining the Au-oxide interfacial

[&]quot;School of Chemical Engineering and Materials, Changzhou Institute of Technology, Changzhou 213032, China

^bState Key Laboratory of Catalysis, Dalian Institute of Chemical Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Dalian 116023, China. E-mail: gaoli@dicp.ac.cn

c Advanced Catalysis and Green Manufacturing Collaborative Innovation Center, School of Petrochemical Engineering, Changzhou University, Changzhou 213164, China. E-mail: wangfei@cczu.edu.cn

[†] Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. See DOI: 10.1039/d1na00053e

structures and consequently catalytic activity, which involves electronic and geometric interactions between Au particles and oxide surfaces at their interfaces.

Herein, we have initially prepared the gold NPs of \sim 2 nm supported on $Co₃O₄$ with different morphology (nanocubes, mainly exposing $Co₃O₄{111}$ and nanoplate, exposing $Co₃O₄{001}$) using gold colloids (\sim 2 nm Au : PVA particles) as a precursor. Moreover, the size and morphology of Au nanoparticles on the surface of $Co₃O₄$ -C and $Co₃O₄$ -P are similar based on the mathematical statistics, which could simplify the protocols of relationship between Au and oxide support and shed light on the mechanism of oxidation reaction over supported Au NPs. Furthermore, $Au/Co₃O₄-C$ and $Au/Co₃O₄-P$ catalysts show a remarkable improvement on the activity than the corresponding plain $Co₃O₄$ in the glycol oxidation reaction. Furthermore, XPS and Raman spectroscopy results confirmed that the larger number of defects in $Co₃O₄$ -P than in $Co₃O₄$ -C could participate in activating O_2 and in the activation of oxygen species, which demonstrates that $Co₃O₄$ -P is a superior support for Au NPs for catalyzing ethylene glycol oxidation.

Experimental

Synthesis of $Co₃O₄$ oxides

 $Co₃O₄$ was synthesized by typical procedures reported in the literature.^{27,28} The cubic-like $Co₃O₄$ ($Co₃O₄$ -C for short) was synthesized by dissolving 10 mmol $Co(NO₃)₂·6H₂O$ in 45 mL of ethanol. Then, 10 mL triethylamine (TEA) was dissolved in 25 mL ethanol, which forms a transparent solution. The two solutions were mixed under vigorous stirring for 35 min. After stirring for 30 min, the suspension was transferred into a Teflon-lined stainless steel autoclave and aged at 160 \degree C for 24 h. The solution was filtered and washed by deionized water and ethanol dried at 80 °C overnight and then calcined at 350 °C for 3 h in air.

For $Co₃O₄$ nanoplates (abbreviated as $Co₃O₄$ -P), 0.9525 g $CoCl_2 \cdot 6H_2O$ was dissolved in 80 mL of deionized water. Moreover, 2 mL of TEA was added under magnetic stirring. After stirring for 10 min, the solution was transferred into a 100 mL autoclave and reacted at 180 °C for 20 h. The precipitate was filtered, washed several times with deionized water and ethanol until free of chloride ions (AgNO₃ test). The solids were dried overnight at 80 \degree C and then calcined at 350 °C for 3 h in air. The surfactants in $Co₃O₄$ -P and $Co₃O₄$ -C were completely removed by the calcination and was confirmed by the temperature-programmed oxidation (TPO) measurements (Fig. S1 and S2 in the ESI†).

Preparation of $Au/Co₃O₄$ catalysts

Au : PVA colloids were prepared using a previously reported method.²⁹ Typically, 120 mL of Au sols were deposited on $Co₃O₄$ support at room temperature and stirred for 3 h at 800 rpm. After stirring, the samples were washed with water and ethanol before being dried overnight at 50 $^{\circ}$ C. The final products were calcined at 300 $^{\circ}$ C for 2 h in the presence of a flow of air to remove all the capping surfactant, which was evidenced by TPO tests (Fig. S3 and S4 in the ESI†). The loading of Au was designed as 1.0 wt%. Moreover, inductively coupled plasmaatomic emission spectrometer (ICPS-8100, Shimadzu) analysis confirmed that the actual loading of Au was 0.62 wt% for both $Au/Co₃O₄$ -C and $Au/Co₃O₄$ -P.

Characterization

Scanning transmission electron microscopy (STEM) images were recorded on a F200 microscope operated at 300 kV. The specimen was prepared by ultrasonically dispersing the sample into ethanol, which deposits droplets of the suspensions onto a carbonenhanced copper grid, and then dries them in air. Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) images were recorded on a FEI Tecnai G2 Spirit microscope operated at 120 kV. TPO analysis was measured with an AutoChem II 2920 instrument (Micromeritics) and analyzed using a thermal conductor detector (TCD). Before analysis, 50 mg of samples were pre-treated with a 5 vol% O_2 /He mixture (30 mL min⁻¹) at 200 °C for 1 h to clean the sample surface. After cooling to room temperature, 5 vol% of $O₂/He$ was used as a feed gas to perform TPO from RT to 600 $^{\circ}$ C. X-ray photoelectron spectra (XPS) were then obtained using an ESCA-LAB MK-II spectrometer with an aluminium anode for K α (hv = 1484.6 eV) radiation. The equipment base pressure was 1.7 \times 10^{-10} mbar, and all samples were characterized at room temperature. Detailed spectra were recorded for the region of Co 2p, O 1s and Au 4f photoelectrons with a 0.1 eV step. Analysis was then performed using XPSPEAK41 software and charging effects were corrected by adjusting the binding energy (B.E.) of C 1s to 284.6 eV. The spectra were deconvoluted using the XPSPEAK program by curve fitting with a mixed Gaussian-Lorentzian function. Raman spectra were collected with Renishaw via a Raman microscope with a laser wavelength of 785 nm and a laser power of 3 mW and obtained at the same conditions after 60 s of exposure. Nanoscale Advances

Structures and consequently enableis activity, which involves a somic emission spectromate (ICPS-8100, Shimadau analy

selectron on 1/28, with the common behaviour 3.18 and control that the same headin

Catalytic investigation

The oxidation reactions were conducted in a 10 mL continuous stirred-tank reactor. Typically, the reactions were performed at 90 °C under atmosphere of 4 MPa O_2 , stirring at 600 rpm, for 4 h using 2 mL methanol, 500 mg ethylene glycol and 100 mg catalysts. After the reaction, the reactor was cooled to less than 5 °C with an ice-water mixture and depressurized. An internal standard of n-amyl alcohol was added to liquid products. The conversion of ethylene glycol and selectivity toward the product of methyl glycolate (MG), dimethyl oxalate (DMO), and hydroxyethyl formate (HF) are analyzed by gas chromatographymass spectrometry method on a GC/MS 7890B-5977A with a capillary column HP-5MS with He as carrier gas. The conversion of ethylene glycol (X_{EG}) and selectivity toward desired product of methyl glycolate (S_{MG}) were calculated using the following equations:

$$
X_{\rm EG}(\%) = \left(1 - \frac{C_{\rm EG}}{C_{\rm EG, initial}}\right) \times 100\% \tag{1}
$$

$$
S_{\rm MG}(\%) = \frac{C_{\rm MG}}{C_{\rm DMO} + C_{\rm HF} + C_{\rm MG}} \times 100\% \tag{2}
$$

where $C_{EG, initial}$ represents the initial ethylene glycol concentration, and C_{EG} , C_{MG} , C_{HF} , and C_{DMO} stand for the

concentration of the ethylene glycol, methyl glycolate, dimethyl oxalate, and hydroxyethyl formate after the catalyzed reactions, respectively.

Results and discussion

Characterization of $Au/Co₃O₄$

TEM images showed that the $Co₃O₄-C$ oxides are monodisperse with a uniform size of \sim 22 nm (Fig. S5 in the ESI†). Co₃O₄-P with a hexagonal shape was prepared with an average edge length of \sim 160 nm and thickness of \sim 25 nm (Fig. S6 in the ESI†). Further, the Au : PVP colloids of 2 nm were adsorbed and deposited onto the surface of the defined $Co₃O₄$ oxides (Fig. S7 in the ESI†). Au/Co₃O₄ samples primarily kept their initial $Co₃O₄$ morphologies after the introduction of Au NPs and annealing at 300 \degree C. HAADF-STEM images showed that the gold particles were dispersed uniformly in space on the oxidesupports (Fig. 1a–f). The gold particles exhibited a mean size of 2.0 \pm 0.5 nm and a quasi-truncated octahedron enclosed by Au $\{111\}$ and $\{100\}$ facets in the Au/Co₃O₄-C samples. Moreover, Au NPs uniformly anchored on the $Co₃O₄(001)$ facet as the measured inter-planar distance of 0.29 nm corresponds to the (220) crystal plane of cubic $Co₃O₄$ oxides (Fig. 1b and c).³⁰ Puper

concentration of the ethylone glyck formula after the entaty-red tentations,

respectively.
 CROSSIGNON COMMONS ARTICLE ARTICLE ARTICLE ARTICLE ARTICLE ARTICLE IS THE CROSS COMMONS ARTICLE THE CROSS COMMONS ARTICL

For Au/Co₃O₄-P catalysts, lattice fringes with an inter-planar spacing of 0.46 nm can be attributed to the $Co_3O_4(111)$ facets of $Co₃O₄$ -P oxides.^{27,31} Gold particles uniformly loaded onto the $Co₃O₄(111)$ facet and exhibited a quasi-truncated octahedron enclosed by Au $\{111\}$ and $\{100\}$ facets, as seen in Fig. 1d and e. Au NPs showed a particle size of 2.0 ± 0.6 nm (Fig. 1d), which is similar with the particle size in the $Au/Co₃O₄-C$ and the parent

Fig. 2 Au 4f, Co 2p and O1s XPS survey spectra of (a–c) $Au/Co₃O₄$ -C and (d–f) Au/Co₃O₄-P. Note that the Co³⁺, Co²⁺, O_v, O_A and O_{latt} species are fixed at 779.2, 780.8, 531.2, 532.8 and 529.7 eV, respectively.

Au colloids. It demonstrates that the small gold particles exhibited strong interaction with both $Co₃O₄(001)$ and $Co₃O₄(111)$ facets, and the Au NPs should have stronger interaction with $Co₃O₄(111)$ facets with a short lattice spacing of 0.21 nm than the Au NPs on the $Co₃O₄(001)$ plane.

Further, XPS analysis was performed to explore the chemical state of $Au/Co₃O₄$ catalysts. The spectra of Au 4f, Co 2p and O 1s are compiled in Fig. 2. Au 4f XPS spectra showed two same sets of the Au $4f_{7/2}$ and Au $4f_{5/2}$ signals at bonding energies (BEs) of 83.9 and 87.6 eV (Fig. 2a and d), which indicates that the

Fig. 1 STEM images of Au particles on the Co₃O₄(001) in the Au/Co₃O₄-C samples (a–c) and Co₃O₄(111) in the Au/Co₃O₄-P (d–f). Insets, in (a) and (c), are the size distribution of the gold nanoparticles.

Table 1 Surface element compositions calculated based on the Fig. 2

Catalysts	$(wt\%)$	Au loading Au mean size (nm)	Co^{3+}/Co^{2+} ratio O_V/O_L ratio	
$Au/Co3O4-C$ 0.62		2.00	1.07	0.60
$Au/CO3O4-P$ 0.62		2.03	1.09	0.79

nanogold chemical states are metallic (Au $4f_{7/2}$ BE of gold foil is \sim 83.8 eV) in both Au/Co₃O₄-C and Au/Co₃O₄-P samples.³² TEM and XPS results suggest that the chemical property of gold nanoparticles (e.g. Au loading, Au mean size, and oxidation state) are similar, which can relieve the factors (e.g. sizedependence, charge effects, *etc.*) and focus on the $Au-Co₃O₄$ interfaces in the aerobic oxidation of ethylene glycol to methyl glycolate (vide infra).

In addition, two XPS sets were found at 795.1 and 780.0 eV with an energy difference of \sim 15.1 eV, which corresponds to Co $2p_{1/2}$ and Co $2p_{3/2}$ spin-orbit-split doublet peak of Co₃O₄ spinel. $33-37$ The Co $2p_{3/2}$ peak of 780.0 eV is fitted and deconvoluted into two peaks of 780.8 and 779.2 eV (Fig. 2b and e), which is attributed to Co^{2+} and Co^{3+} species, respectively. In addition, the surface ratio of Co^{3+}/Co^{2+} is similar in the Au/ $Co₃O₄$ -P and Au/ $Co₃O₄$ -C catalysts (1.07 *vs.* 1.09, Table 1).

Moreover, the O 1s electronic levels were examined. The asymmetric O 1s peaks could be deconvoluted to two components at 531.2 and 529.7 eV for $Au/Co₃O₄-C$ (Fig. 2c). However, the O 1s peaks can be best fitted by three components centred at \sim 529.7, \sim 531.2, and \sim 532.8 eV, which can be ascribed to lattice oxygen atoms (O_L) , oxygen vacancies (O_V) , and surface oxygen species (O_A) , respectively.³⁸ Furthermore, the existence of peak at 532.8 eV (Fig. 2f) for the $Au/Co₃O₄$ -P is assigned to surface chemisorbed or dissociated oxygen.³⁹ The O_V/O_L ratio of Au/ $Co₃O₄$ -P (0.79) is much higher than that of Au/Co₃O₄-C (0.60, Table 1). Above all, it is obvious that the XPS strength of surface oxygen species increases for $Au/Co₃O₄$ -P, which indicates the existence of more oxygen vacancy sites on the $Au/Co₃O₄$ -P than that of $Au/Co₃O₄$ -C.

To clearly identify the surface oxygen vacancies of $Au/Co₃O₄$ –C and Au/Co₃O₄-P, Raman analysis was applied. As shown in Fig. 3, the Au/Co₃O₄ catalysts displayed five vibration peaks ($A_{1g} + E_{g} + 3$ $\mathrm{F_{2g}})$ in the range of 150–800 cm^{-1} . The peaks at 484, 523 and

Fig. 3 Raman spectra of the as-prepared Au/Co_3O_4 -C and Au/ $Co₃O₄ - P$ catalysts.

622 cm⁻¹ are assigned to the E_g, $F_{2g}^{(2)}$ and $F_{2g}^{(1)}$ symmetry, respectively. The Raman peak at 196 cm^{-1} is attributed to the $\mathrm{F_{2g}}^{(3)}$ mode of tetrahedral sites (CoO₄) while the peak at 691 $\mathrm{cm^{-1}}$ with A_{1g} symmetry is attributed to the characteristics of octahedral $CoO₆$ sites, which correspond to the unique characteristics of spinel-type cubic $Co₃O₄$ phase, which is in great agreement with these reported for $Co₃O₄$.⁴⁰ The full width at half-maximum (FWHM's) of all peaks in the $Au/Co₃O₄$ -P are higher than that of $Au/Co₃O₄-C$ catalysts, which could account for the high concentration of surface oxygen vacancies and intrinsic defects for Au/ $Co₃O₄$ -P.⁴⁰ To summarize, Au/Co₃O₄-P has more defective structures than $Au/Co₃O₄$ -C which can promote the oxidation reactions as these surface defective structures can facilitate adsorption and activation of oxygen species.

Catalytic performance

The aerobic oxidation of ethylene glycol is chosen as a probe reaction to evaluate the catalytic efficiency of $Au/Co₃O₄$ catalysts and $Co₃O₄$ catalysts. The aerobic oxidations were carried out in a liquid phase: a 4 MPa O_2 gas at 90 °C for 4 h (details see Experimental section), and the catalytic results are compiled in Fig. 4. Note that both $Co₃O₄-C$ and $Co₃O₄-P$ supports were inactive during the aerobic oxidation of ethylene glycol under such conditions. Clearly, the presence of Au NPs has notably improved the catalytic activity in the EG oxidation reactions. There major products of methyl glycolate (MG), dimethyl oxalate (DMO), and hydroxyethyl formate (HF) were observed by a GC-MS method in the $Au/Co₃O₄$ catalyzed oxidation reaction of ethylene glycol (EG). Note that DMO can be considered as the further oxidative esterification of MG product and HF is other oxidative esterification of methanol with EG (Scheme S1 \dagger).⁴¹ Au/ $Co₃O₄$ -P catalysts gave a promising activity in the oxidation of ethylene glycol; a 67% conversion was achieved while a medium EG conversion of \sim 41% was obtained over the Au/Co₃O₄-C catalysts. Moreover, $Au/Co₃O₄$ -P catalysts gave a higher selectivity $(\sim 87\%)$ towards the desired MG product (an important Nanoscale Advances

Table 1 Survey cerament corroctions catcuated ones or the Fig. 2 622 cm³ and angle that article is licensed under the match of the form and the simulation-of the transmission Commons American Commons

Fig. 4 Catalytic performance of Au/Co_3O_4-P and Au/Co_3O_4-C over oxidation of ethylene glycol. Reaction conditions: 500 mg ethylene glycol and 100 mg catalysts in a 2 mL methanol, 4 MPa O_2 , 90 °C, for 4 h.

chemical) than $Au/Co₃O₄-C$ catalysts, as shown in Fig. 4. In all, the $Au/Co₃O₄$ -C catalysts are considered inferior to that of the $Au/Co₃O₄$ -P counterpart.

Previously, Dong et al. observed that the correlation between the activity and diameter is consistent with the nature of active exposed atoms.⁴² However, when compared to previous studies, our results show that the interfacial environment between Au and $Co₃O₄$ support seems to impact the catalytic activity largely, while the Au particles on both Au/Co_3O_4 -C and Au/Co_3O_4 -P catalysts have similar structure based on our statistical calculation (Table S1 in the ESI†). Indeed, the difference between the activity can be mainly attributable to the change of interfacial environment due to the introduction of Au on the different crystal plane ($Co_3O_4(111)$ for Co_3O_4 -P and $Co_3O_4(001)$ for Co_3O_4 -C).

Recently, it is widely accepted that the catalytic behavior of supported Au catalyst is strongly associated with Au particles and the nature of the support as well as the Au–support interaction.43,44 For liquid oxidation reactions, the situation is more complicated due to the catalyst's surface properties.⁴⁵⁻⁴⁷ Herein, the selective oxidation only occurs in the presence of Au, and the Au/Co₃O₄-P shows better activity than that of Au/Co₃O₄-C. Combined with similar Au dispersion in $Au/Co₃O₄$ -P and Au/ $Co₃O₄$ -C samples, the oxygen vacancy site rooted in the Au– $Co₃O₄$ interfacial structure should play a vital role in this glycol oxidation. Nevertheless, we believe that it is justified that the Au/Co₃O₄-P exhibits better catalytic activity than Au/Co₃O₄-C in the oxidation of ethylene glycol, which is promoted by the surface oxygen vacancies and intrinsic defects confirmed by XPS and Raman results.^{48,49} Puper
 Complexity are considered on the form in Fig. 4. In all, Listoning Neutral Science Concerns are considered to the transition of the considered on the transition-Theorem (MYC180712).

A metrodog, properties on the

Conclusions

In summary, gold NPs of ca. 2.0 nm immobilized on $Co₃O₄$ oxides have been synthesized through a simple impregnation of gold colloids and oxides. Au/Co₃O₄-C and Au/Co₃O₄-P catalysts show a remarkable improvement on the activity than the corresponding plain $Co₃O₄$ oxides in the glycol oxidation reaction. The sensitive factors, e.g. size-hierarchy and chemical state of the gold particles, are effectively excluded during the nanogold catalyzed reactions. STEM analyses identified that Au particles with Au $\{111\}$ and Au $\{100\}$ exposures interact with Co₃O₄ $\{111\}$ and $Co₃O₄{001}$. Therefore, Au/Co₃O₄{111} exhibited better catalytic activity and selectivity in the catalyzing glycol oxidation. XPS and Raman spectroscopy analyses confirmed that the surface oxygen vacancies and intrinsic defects on $Co₃O₄{111}$ on the surface of gold NPs are responsible for the high catalytic behavior in catalyzing glycol oxidation.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgements

We thank the financial support by the fund of National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 21701168 and No. 21802008), Liaoning Natural Science Foundation (2020-MS-024), and Liaoning Revitalization Talents Program (XLYC1807121).

Notes and references

- 1 Y. Cui, B. Wang, C. Wen, X. Chen and W. L. Dai, ChemCatChem, 2016, 8, 527–531.
- 2 G. Dong, Y. Cao, S. Zheng, J. Zhou, W. Li, F. Zaera and X. Zhou, J. Catal., 2020, 391, 155–162.
- 3 H. Fan, J. Tan, Y. Zhu, H. Zheng and Y. Li, J. Mol. Catal. A: Chem., 2016, 425, 68–75.
- 4 B. Wang, Q. Xu, H. Song and G. Xu, J. Energy Chem., 2016, 16, 78–80.
- 5 A. Y. Yin, C. Wen, W. L. Dai and K. N. Fan, Appl. Catal., B, 2011, 108, 90–99.
- 6 T. Hayashi, T. Inagaki, N. Itayama and H. Baba, Catal. Today, 2006, 117, 210–213.
- 7 C. Zhang, Y. Chen, H. Wang, Z. Li, K. Zheng, S. Li and G. Li, Nano Res., 2018, 11, 2139–2148.
- 8 C. Fan, R. Wang, P. Kong, X. Wang, J. Wang, X. Zhang and Z. Zheng, Catal. Commun., 2020, 140, 106002.
- 9 G. L. Brett, P. J. Miedziak, N. Dimitratos, J. A. LopezSanchez, N. F. Dummer, R. Tiruvalam, C. J. Kiely, D. W. Knight, S. H. Taylor, D. J. Morgan, A. F. Carley and G. J. Hutchings, Catal. Sci. Technol., 2012, 2, 97–104.
- 10 R. K. P. Purushothaman, J. van Haveren, D. S. van Es, I. Melian-Cabrera and H. J. Heeeres, Green Chem., 2012, 14, 2031–2037.
- 11 K. Suzuki, T. Yamaguchi, K. Matsushita, C. Iitsuka, J. Miura, T. Akaogi and H. Ishida, ACS Catal., 2013, 3, 1845–1849.
- 12 C. Liu, J. Zhang, J. Huang, C. Zhang, F. Hong, Y. Zhou, G. Li and M. Haruta, ChemSuSChem, 2017, 10, 1976–1980.
- 13 A. Taketoshi and M. Haruta, Chem. Lett., 2014, 43, 380–387.
- 14 C. Bianchi, F. Porta, L. Prati and M. Rossi, Top. Catal., 2000, 13, 231–236.
- 15 M. Comotti, C. D. Pina, R. Matarrese and M. Rossi, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2004, 43, 5812–5815.
- 16 P. Bhojane, L. Sinha, R. S. Devan and P. M. Shirage, Nanoscale, 2018, 10, 1779–1787.
- 17 X. Zhang, P. Yang, Y. Liu, J. Pan, D. Li, B. Wang and J. Feng, J. Catal., 2020, 385, 146–159.
- 18 J. Wang, R. Gao, D. Zhou, Z. Chen, Z. Wu, G. Schumacher, Z. Hu, X. Liu, J. Wang and R. Gao, ACS Catal., 2017, 7, 6533–6541.
- 19 Y. Lv, Y. Li and W. Shen, Catal. Commun., 2013, 42, 116–120.
- 20 C. Xu, Y. Liu, C. Zhou, L. Wang, H. Geng and Y. Ding, ChemCatChem, 2011, 3, 399–407.
- 21 Y. Sun, P. Lv, J. Y. Yang, L. He, J. C. Nie, X. Liu and Y. Li, Chem. Commun., 2011, 47, 11279–11281.
- 22 Y. Teng, Y. Kusano, M. Azuma, M. Haruta and Y. Shimakawa, Catal. Sci. Technol., 2011, 1, 920–922.
- 23 X. W. Xie, Y. Li, Z. Q. Liu, M. Haruta and W. J. Shen, Nature, 2009, 458, 746.
- 24 H. Li, S. Wang, F. Hong, Y. Gao, B. Zeng, R. S. Haider, F. Fan, J. Huang and C. Li, J. Chem. Phys., 2020, 152, 194702.
- 25 I. X. Green, W. J. Tang, M. Neurock and J. T. Yates, Science, 2011, 333, 736–739.
- 27 Z. Chen, S. Wang, W. Liu, X. Gao, D. Gao, M. Wang and S. Wang, Appl. Catal., A, 2016, 525, 94–102.
- 28 Y. Zhan, G. Du, S. Yang, Ch. Xu, M. Lu, Z. Liu and J. Y. Lee, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2015, 7, 12930–12936.
- 29 B. Shao, J. Zhang, J. Huang, B. Qiao, Y. Su, Sh. Miao, Y. Zhou, D. Li, W. Huang and W. Shen, Small Methods, 2018, 2, 1800273.
- 30 Y. J. Mergler, J. Hoebink and B. E. Nieuwenhuys, J. Catal., 1997, 167, 305–313.
- 31 H. Chen, M. Yang, S. Tao and G. Chen, Appl. Catal., B, 2017, 209, 648–656.
- 32 O. F. Odio, L. Lartundo-Rojas, P. Santiago-Jacinto, R. Martínez and E. Reguera, J. Phys. Chem. C, 2014, 118, 2776–2791.
- 33 S. Fan, Y. Zhang, X. Ma, E. Yan, X. Liu, S. Li, W. Liang and X. Zhai, Int. J. Electrochem. Sci., 2013, 8, 10498–10505.
- 34 J. Wang, C. Zhang, S. Yang, H. Liang and Y. Men, Catal. Sci. Technol., 2019, 9, 6379–6390.
- 35 J. Wang, S. Yang, H. Sun, J. Qiu and Y. Men, J. Colloid Interface Sci., 2020, 577, 355–367.
- 36 Z. S. Wu, W. Ren, L. Wen, L. Gao, J. Zhao, Z. Chen, G. Zhou, F. Li and H. M. Cheng, ACS Nano, 2010, 4, 3187–3194.
- 37 B. M. Abu-Zied, S. M. Bawaked, S. A. Kosa and W. Schwieger, Appl. Surf. Sci., 2015, 351, 600–609.
- 38 C. Zhang, F. Zheng, Z. Zhang, D. Xiang, C. Cheng, Z. Zhuang, P. Li, X. Li and W. Chen, J. Mater. Chem. A, 2019, 7, 9059– 9067. Nanoscale Advances

26 C. Wang, H. Wang, V. Ston, H. Yan, J. Lind, J. Lind, J. Paper 30 C. Zhang, P. Zhang, D. Xhang, C. Cheng, Z. Zhang, This article. Published on Access Article. Non-

2021. The common Access American St
	- 39 K. Song, E. Cho and Y. M. Kang, ACS Catal., 2019, 9, 3773– 3782.
	- 40 G. Zhai, J. Wang, Z. Chen, W. An and Y. Men, Chem. Eng. J., 2018, 337, 488–498.
	- 41 Y. Shi, S. Tian, Q. Shi, A. Waheed, Y. Cao and G. Li, Nanoscale Adv., 2019, 1, 3654–3659.
	- 42 Y. Ke, X. Qin, C. L. Liu, R. Z. Yang and W. S. Dong, Catal. Sci. Technol., 2014, 4, 3141–3150.
	- 43 A. Waheed, Q. Shi, N. Maeda, D. M. Meier, Z. Qin, G. Li and A. Baiker, Catalysts, 2020, 10, 933.
	- 44 Q. Fang, Z. Qin, Y. Shi, F. Liu, S. Barkaoui, H. Abroshan and G. Li, ACS Appl. Energy Mater., 2019, 2, 2654–2661.
	- 45 T. Ishida, N. Kinoshita, H. Okatsu, T. Akita, T. Takei and M. Haruta, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2008, 47, 9265–9268.
	- 46 R. Jin, G. Li, S. Sharma, Y. Li and X. Du, Chem. Rev., 2021, 121(2), 567–648.
	- 47 X. Du, Y. Huang, X. Pan, B. Han, Y. Su, Q. Jiang, M. Li, H. Tang, G. Li and B. Qiao, Nat. Commun., 2020, 11, 5811.
	- 48 Q. Shi, Z. Qin, A. Waheed, Y. Gao, H. Xu, H. Abroshan and G. Li, Nano Res., 2020, 13, 939–946.
	- 49 S. Guo, G. Zhang, Z.-K. Han, S. Zhang, D. Sarker, W. W. Xu, X. Pan, G. Li and A. Baiker, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2021, 13, 622–630.