


Cite this: *RSC Adv.*, 2021, 11, 3972

# Synthesis and biological activity of squaramido-tethered bisbenzimidazoles as synthetic anion transporters†

Zhong-Kun Wang,<sup>a</sup> Xiao-Qiao Hong,<sup>b</sup> Jinhui Hu,<sup>a</sup> Yuan-Yuan Xing<sup>a</sup>  
and Wen-Hua Chen<sup>id</sup>\*<sup>ac</sup>

A series of squaramido-tethered bisbenzimidazoles were synthesized from the reaction of diethyl squarate with substituted 2-aminomethylbenzimidazoles. These conjugates exhibit moderate binding affinity toward chloride anions. They are able to facilitate the transmembrane transport of chloride anions most probably *via* an anion exchange process, and tend to be more active at acidic pH than at physiological pH. The viability of these conjugates toward four selected solid tumor cell lines was evaluated using an MTT assay and the results suggest that some of these conjugates exhibit moderate cytotoxicity probably in an apoptotic fashion.

Received 3rd December 2020  
Accepted 13th January 2021

DOI: 10.1039/d0ra10189c

rsc.li/rsc-advances

## 1. Introduction

During the past decades, substantial interest has been shown in the creation of small-molecule, organic compounds that are able to facilitate the transport of anions, in particular chloride anions across cellular phospholipid membranes.<sup>1–10</sup> These so-called synthetic anion transporters may serve as useful research tools to clarify the structures and functions of natural anion channels and transporters. More interestingly, because anion transporters are able to perturb the chemical gradients within cells to trigger apoptosis, they may be developed as a novel class of chemotherapeutic agents for the treatment of cancers.<sup>11–20</sup> In addition, they may also have high chemotherapeutic potentials for channelopathies such as cystic fibrosis (CF) by restoring the flux of anions through epithelial cell membranes in CF patients.<sup>20,21</sup> As such, more small-molecule anion transporters with diverse structures and promising biological activity are in demand.<sup>1–10</sup>

In these aspects, squaramides and (benz)imidazoles represent two classes of ideal structural skeletons for the construction of superior anion transporters.<sup>22–40</sup> This may be ascribed to their unique features, including ready availability, high stability and strong capability to complex anions mainly

*via* hydrogen-bonding interactions. Some simple squaramides, such as trifluoromethylphenyl derivatives have been found to efficiently facilitate the transport of chloride anions across phospholipid bilayers.<sup>32</sup> More interestingly, it has been demonstrated that these squaramide derivatives are able to trigger the apoptosis of cancer cell lines by deacidifying lysosomes, inhibiting Cathepsin B enzyme activity and disturbing autophagy.<sup>24</sup> Replacement of the oxygen atoms in squaramides with sulfur atoms (to give thiosquaramides) leads to pH dependent anion transport.<sup>26,27</sup> Such pH-regulating anion transporters may serve as attractive candidates for the development of anticancer drugs. Attachment of squaramido substituents to a rigid choloyl unit leads to extremely strong anion receptors that show promising anion transport.<sup>28</sup> As for (benz)imidazole-based anion transporters, it has been reported that incorporation of (benz)imidazolyl or (benz)imidazolium groups into the structures of anion transporters may be favorable to the anion transport efficiency and biological activity.<sup>33–40</sup> For example, Schmitzer *et al.* have described the strong antibacterial properties of some benzimidazolium-based anion transporters, which is a likely consequence of their ability to insert into the cellular membranes and alter the membrane permeability for chloride anions.<sup>38</sup> We have also shown in our previous studies that 1,3-bis(benzimidazol-2-yl) benzene and its derivatives exhibit potent anion transport with promising anti-proliferative activity towards the selected solid tumor cell lines.<sup>41–43</sup>

These studies have raised the feasibility that conjugation of squaramides with benzimidazoles may lead to a novel class of strong anion receptors. To test this hypothesis, we synthesized a squaramide-tethered bisbenzimidazole **1** (Fig. 1). In this conjugate, the two benzimidazolyl subunits are linked to the squaramido moiety *via* a CH<sub>2</sub> unit so that the target molecule

<sup>a</sup>School of Biotechnology and Health Sciences, Wuyi University, Jiangmen 529020, P. R. China. E-mail: whchen@wuyi.edu.cn

<sup>b</sup>School of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Tsinghua University, Haidian District, Beijing 100084, P. R. China

<sup>c</sup>State Key Laboratory of Chemical Oncogenomics, Key Laboratory of Chemical Genomics, Peking University Shenzhen Graduate School, Shenzhen 518055, P. R. China

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: Structural characterization and experimental data for the anion recognition, anion transport and biological activity of each compound. See DOI: 10.1039/d0ra10189c







Fig. 1 Structures of compounds 1–14 and the interactions of compounds 1–13 with chloride anions.

is flexible enough to complex anions through induced-fit, cooperative interactions with both the benzimidazolyl and squaramido NHs (Fig. 1).<sup>44–46</sup> In addition, inspired by our previous findings that introduction of electron-withdrawing substituents onto the benzimidazolyl subunits of 1,3-bis(benzimidazol-2-yl)benzene is favorable to the anion transport,<sup>42,43</sup> we modified the benzimidazolyl subunits of compound 1 with diverse substituents (to give compounds 2–13) with the aim at optimizing the anionophoric activity. For comparison, we also prepared compound 14 bearing only one benzimidazolyl subunit. Herein we report the synthesis of compounds 1–14 as well as their anion transport properties and anti-proliferative activity toward some solid tumor cell lines.

## 2. Results and discussion

### 2.1 Synthesis

Compounds 1–14 were synthesized as shown in Scheme 1. Reaction of diethyl squarate with substituted 2-amino-methylbenzimidazoles 28–40 gave compounds 1–13. Reaction of compound 28 with excess diethyl squarate (to give compound 41) and subsequent reaction with *n*-propylamine afforded compound 14. Compounds 28–40 were prepared starting from the condensation of *N*-Boc-gly with substituted 1,2-diaminobenzene using the procedures previously reported.<sup>47,48</sup> Compounds 1–14 were characterized by means of NMR (<sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C) and ESI MS (LR and HR) (see Experimental section and Fig. S1–S56†).



Scheme 1 Synthesis of compounds 1–14.




Fig. 2 (a) Negative ESI MS spectrum of compound 1 ( $1.0 \times 10^{-3}$  M) mixed with TBACl ( $1.5 \times 10^{-2}$  M) in 4 : 1  $CH_3CN$ -DMSO. (b) <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra of compound 1 ( $1.0 \times 10^{-3}$  M) in the presence of TBACl of varying concentrations, in 4 : 1  $CD_3CN$ -DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>.



## 2.2 Anion recognition

To explore the anion binding properties of compounds **1–14**, we firstly measured the electrospray ionization (ESI) mass spectra of a mixture of compounds **1–14** with tetra(*n*-butyl)ammonium chloride (TBACl). As shown in Fig. 2a and S57 and S58,† in addition to the ion peaks from compounds **1–14** (for example,  $m/z = 371.17$ ,  $[1 - H]^+$ , Fig. 2a), new ion peaks that are assignable to the 1 : 1 complexes with chloride anions were observed (for example,  $m/z = 406.86$ ,  $[1 + Cl]^+$ , Fig. 2a). These observations suggest that compounds **1–14** are able to form stable 1 : 1 complexes with chloride anions.

To clarify the binding sites and affinity of compounds **1–14** toward chloride anions, we carried out  $^1H$  NMR titrations with TBACl (Fig. 2b and S59–S71†). Upon the addition of TBACl, both the benzimidazolyl and squaramido NHs were gradually downfield shifted simultaneously, suggesting that they are involved in the recognition of chloride anions, probably *via* hydrogen bonding interactions (Fig. 1). To quantitatively characterize the affinity, we measured the association constants ( $K_a$ 's) of compounds **1–14** with chloride anions, by nonlinear curve fitting the relationship between the downfield shifts of the squaramido NHs and the concentrations of TBACl based on a 1 : 1 model (Fig. S72 and S73†). The results are listed in Table 1 and suggest that compounds **1–13** exhibit moderate affinity toward chloride anions. Compound **14** is weaker than compounds **1–13**, which suggests that a second benzimidazolyl subunit is necessary for achieving stronger anion recognition. Structural optimization of compound **1** with diverse substituents led to up to 10-fold increase in the binding affinity toward chloride anions, suggesting that the anion-binding affinity may be regulated by the substituents.

## 2.3 Anion transport

**2.3.1 Chloride transport at physiological pH.** As compounds **1–14** are able to complex chloride anions, they may be capable of facilitating the transport of chloride anions across phospholipid membranes. To address this, we measured the efflux of chloride anions out of egg-yolk 1- $\alpha$ -phosphatidylcholine (EYPC) vesicles (100 nm, extrusion) using chloride ion selective electrode techniques (Fig. 3a and S74–S87†).<sup>49</sup> The results suggest that the efflux of chloride anions across the liposomal membranes is facilitated in the presence of conjugates **1–13**. Expectedly, compound **14** is not active in facilitating the transport of chloride anions (Fig. S87†). To quantitatively characterize the transport efficiency of compounds **1–13** toward chloride anions, we carried out concentration-dependent chloride efflux experiments and used a Hill equation to analyze the relationship between the relative chloride efflux at 260 s and the concentration of each compound. This analysis gives the  $EC_{50}$  value, the concentration that each compound needs to reach 50% of the maximum efflux.<sup>50</sup>

As shown in Table 1, compounds **1–13** exhibit chloride transport efficiency with the  $EC_{50}$  values ranging from 1.84 mol% to 58.0 mol% at pH 7.0, suggesting that they are modest anion transporters. Calcein leakage experiments based on compounds **1–3** and **5–6** indicate that the transport of chloride anions across the membranes was triggered by the presence of these compounds (Fig. S88†).<sup>51</sup> Careful analysis of the  $EC_{50}$  values afforded several observations on the structure–activity relationships. Firstly, the observation that compound **14** exhibits negligible anion transport activity, suggests that two benzimidazolyl subunits are required in the chloride transport. Secondly, the substituents exhibit obvious effects on the transport efficiency of compounds **1–13**. Compared with

Table 1 Lipophilicity (clog  $P$ ), anion binding affinity and chloride efflux efficiency of compounds **1–14**

Compound	clog $P^a$	Anion binding <sup>b</sup>		Chloride efflux efficiency <sup>c</sup> ( $EC_{50}$ , mol%)					
		$K_a$ ( $M^{-1}$ )	$RA_1^d$	pH 7.0	pH 6.0	pH 5.0	pH 4.0	$RA_2^e$	$RA_3^f$
<b>1</b>	1.52	$(1.31 \pm 0.25) \times 10^2$	1.9	$16.7 \pm 2.83$	$10.9 \pm 1.10$	$9.12 \pm 1.13$	$1.64 \pm 0.50$	1.0	10.2
<b>2</b>	2.55	$(2.92 \pm 0.32) \times 10^2$	4.3	$3.78 \pm 0.72$	$3.72 \pm 0.65$	$1.93 \pm 0.21$	$0.27 \pm 0.09$	4.4	14.0
<b>3</b>	1.21	$(3.67 \pm 0.67) \times 10^2$	5.5	$6.67 \pm 0.79$	$6.08 \pm 0.77$	$3.74 \pm 0.50$	$1.15 \pm 0.48$	2.5	5.8
<b>4</b>	5.03	$(4.34 \pm 0.49) \times 10^2$	6.4	$50.4 \pm 22.9$	$37.3 \pm 11.1$	$26.7 \pm 9.52$	$21.4 \pm 10.6$	0.3	2.4
<b>5</b>	1.40	$(1.52 \pm 0.91) \times 10^2$	2.3	$1.84 \pm 0.35$	$1.76 \pm 0.16$	$1.38 \pm 0.12$	$0.44 \pm 0.07$	9.1	4.2
<b>6</b>	2.09	$(3.70 \pm 0.22) \times 10^2$	5.5	$6.41 \pm 0.62$	$5.60 \pm 0.47$	$2.80 \pm 0.24$	$1.99 \pm 0.63$	2.6	3.2
<b>7</b>	2.73	$(2.97 \pm 0.83) \times 10^2$	4.4	$31.5 \pm 10.4$	$21.4 \pm 3.58$	$15.4 \pm 3.73$	$6.84 \pm 2.98$	0.5	4.6
<b>8</b>	3.06	$(2.54 \pm 0.18) \times 10^2$	3.8	$47.8 \pm 15.7$	$23.5 \pm 2.89$	$16.7 \pm 4.04$	$2.77 \pm 1.03$	0.3	17.3
<b>9</b>	2.09	$(2.41 \pm 0.30) \times 10^2$	3.6	$58.0 \pm 20.3$	$20.7 \pm 2.72$	$11.0 \pm 1.94$	$1.82 \pm 0.57$	0.3	31.9
<b>10</b>	1.81	$(2.84 \pm 0.26) \times 10^2$	4.2	$23.3 \pm 2.88$	$20.5 \pm 4.52$	$10.15 \pm 1.48$	$5.99 \pm 2.31$	0.7	3.9
<b>11</b>	3.28	$(1.26 \pm 0.34) \times 10^3$	18.7	$48.9 \pm 16.4$	$41.1 \pm 14.0$	$30.5 \pm 12.6$	$27.2 \pm 23.4$	0.3	1.8
<b>12</b>	2.09	$(3.71 \pm 0.33) \times 10^2$	5.5	$28.0 \pm 7.80$	$14.4 \pm 2.30$	$10.00 \pm 1.47$	$6.06 \pm 0.80$	0.6	4.6
<b>13</b>	1.81	$(2.37 \pm 0.32) \times 10^2$	3.5	$13.9 \pm 1.80$	$10.2 \pm 1.16$	$6.10 \pm 0.84$	$3.38 \pm 0.79$	1.2	4.1
<b>14</b>	1.32	$67.3 \pm 20.7$	1.0	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA

<sup>a</sup> Calculated using MarvinSketch (Version 6.1.0, Weighted Model, ChemAxon, MA). <sup>b</sup> Estimated by means of  $^1H$  NMR titrations in  $CD_3CN$ -DMSO- $d_6$  (4/1, v/v). <sup>c</sup> Measured by means of chloride ion selective electrode techniques, under the conditions of an intravesicular 500 mM NaCl solution (25 mM HEPES buffer) and extravesicular 500 mM  $NaNO_3$  solution (25 mM HEPES buffer). <sup>d</sup>  $RA_1$  denotes the anion binding affinity of each compound relative to compound **14**, that is,  $RA_1 = K_a$  (each compound)/ $K_a$  (compound **14**). <sup>e</sup>  $RA_2$  denotes the chloride efflux efficiency of each compound at pH 7 relative to compound **1** at pH 7, that is,  $RA_2 = EC_{50}$  (compound **1**)/ $EC_{50}$  (each compound). <sup>f</sup>  $RA_3$  denotes the chloride efflux efficiency of each compound at pH 4 relative to itself at pH 7, that is,  $RA_3 = EC_{50}$  (pH 7)/ $EC_{50}$  (pH 4).






Fig. 3 (a) Relative efflux of chloride anions out of EYPC liposomes enhanced by compounds 1–14 (5 mol%) under the conditions of an intravesicular 500 mM NaCl solution in 25 mM HEPES buffer (pH 7.0) and extravesicular 500 mM NaNO<sub>3</sub> in 25 mM HEPES buffer (pH 7.0). (b) Relative efflux of chloride anions out of EYPC liposomes enhanced by compound 1 (5 mol%) at pHs varying from 4.0 to 7.0, under the conditions of an intravesicular 500 mM NaCl solution in 25 mM HEPES buffer (pH 7.0) and extravesicular 500 mM NaNO<sub>3</sub> in 25 mM HEPES buffer (pH 7.0).

compound 1, compounds 2, 3, 5, 6 and 13 exhibit 2.1–9.1-fold higher anion transport, whereas the other compounds are less active. This result suggests that the transport efficiency may be moderately regulated by the substituents. Thirdly, the highest chloride transport was observed in compound 5 bearing nitro groups on the benzimidazolyl subunits, *ca.* 9-fold more active than compound 1. The other conjugates bearing electron-withdrawing substituents, in particular trifluoromethyl groups (*i.e.*, compounds 4 and 11) do not show higher activity than compound 1. These results, together with the finding that the conjugates bearing methoxy or methyl groups on the benzimidazolyl subunits exhibit higher chloride transport, suggest that introducing electron-withdrawing substituents on the benzimidazolyl subunits may not necessarily lead to an increase in the activity. This is apparently different from our findings that electron-withdrawing groups on the benzimidazolyl subunits of 1,3-bis(benzimidazol-2-yl)benzene are favourable to the chloride transport.<sup>41–43</sup>

**2.3.2 Effect of pH on the chloride transport.** Literature reports show that benzimidazolyl derivatives exhibit pH dependent anion transport.<sup>52</sup> This encouraged us to explore

whether compounds 1–13 are able to facilitate the transport of chloride anions in a pH dependent fashion. To address this, we measured the efflux of chloride anions out of the EYPC liposomes at pHs varying from 4.0 to 7.0 (Fig. 3b and S74–S87,† Table 1). The EC<sub>50</sub> value for each compound increases by 2 to 32 folds when the pH increases from 4 to 7, suggesting that all the conjugates tend to be more active at lower pHs. Interestingly, the pH-dependent increment in the activity is greater for the derivatives that are less active at physiological pH (*e.g.*, compounds 8 and 9) than for those that are more active at physiological pH (*e.g.*, compounds 2, 3, 6 and 13). It should be noted that compound 14 exhibits negligible activity in the pH range from 4 to 7.

**2.3.3 Probable mechanism of action of anion transport.** To clarify the probable mechanism of action by which compounds 1–13 facilitate the transmembrane transport of chloride anions, we measured the efflux of chloride anions in the presence of different alkali metal ions or anions.<sup>53,54</sup> As can be seen from Fig. 4a, b and S89–S92,† the efflux of chloride anions is regulated by the anions rather than by the metal ions, which suggests that an anion exchange process may be involved in the




Fig. 4 (a) Relative chloride efflux out of EYPC liposomes containing Li<sup>+</sup>, Na<sup>+</sup>, K<sup>+</sup>, Rb<sup>+</sup> or Cs<sup>+</sup>, enhanced by compound 1 (5 mol%), under the conditions of an intravesicular 500 mM MCl solution in 25 mM HEPES buffer (pH 7.0) and extravesicular 500 mM MNO<sub>3</sub> in 25 mM HEPES buffer (pH 7.0) (M = Li, Na, K, Rb or Cs). (b) Relative chloride efflux out of EYPC liposomes, enhanced by compound 1 (5 mol%), under the conditions of an intravesicular 500 mM NaCl in 25 mM HEPES buffer (pH 7.0) and extravesicular 500 mM NaNO<sub>3</sub>, 500 mM NaHCO<sub>3</sub> or 250 mM Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> in 25 mM HEPES buffer (pH 7.0). (c) Chloride transport across a bulky nitrobenzene membrane, promoted by compound 1 (1.0 mM) and detected by a chloride ion selective electrode in the receiving aqueous phase of U-tube, under the conditions of 500 mM NaNO<sub>3</sub> (25 mM HEPES, pH 7.0) for the U-tube chloride receiver, 500 mM NaCl (25 mM HEPES, pH 7.0) for the U-tube chloride donor and 2 mM TBAPF<sub>6</sub> in the nitrobenzene organic phase.



Table 2 Inhibitory activity of compounds **4**, **5**, **7**, **8**, **11** and doxorubicin

Compound	Inhibitory activity <sup>a</sup> (IC <sub>50</sub> , $\mu$ M)			
	HeLa	A549	MCF-7	HepG2
<b>4</b>	23.27 $\pm$ 2.19	>50	18.10 $\pm$ 3.19	18.74 $\pm$ 1.84
<b>5</b>	>50	>50	>50	12.62 $\pm$ 2.30
<b>7</b>	>50	37.31 $\pm$ 6.07	9.85 $\pm$ 1.72	16.09 $\pm$ 4.52
<b>8</b>	31.94 $\pm$ 13.36	>50	17.35 $\pm$ 5.45	14.41 $\pm$ 5.48
<b>11</b>	9.59 $\pm$ 0.56	>50	10.51 $\pm$ 2.57	12.81 $\pm$ 2.17
Doxorubicin	0.99 $\pm$ 0.16	1.99 $\pm$ 0.08	0.77 $\pm$ 0.10	1.75 $\pm$ 0.12

<sup>a</sup> Calculated using GraphPad Prism v8.0.

efflux of chloride anions. In addition, U-tube experiments show that these conjugates are able to facilitate the transport of chloride anions across bulky organic layers (Fig. 4c and S93<sup>†</sup>), suggesting that compounds **1–13** function primarily as mobile carriers.<sup>55</sup>

## 2.4 Cell viability

The ability of compounds **1–13** to facilitate the transport of anions across liposomal membranes encouraged us to investigate the biological effect of each compound on four selected solid cancer cell lines (that is, HeLa cervical cancer cells, A549 lung adenocarcinoma cells, MCF-7 breast cancer cells and HepG2 human liver cancer cells) and one normal LO2 cell line. Using a conventional MTT (3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide) assay, we measured the inhibitory activity of each compound at 50  $\mu$ M toward those cell lines (Fig. S94<sup>†</sup>), and the IC<sub>50</sub> values of those conjugates that exhibit higher than 50% inhibition at the above-mentioned concentrations (Fig. S94–S96<sup>†</sup> and Table 2). Doxorubicin was used as

a positive drug. The results indicate that some of these conjugates, such as compounds **4**, **5**, **7**, **8** and **11** exhibit moderate inhibitory activity toward the four cancer cells. It appears that these conjugates exhibit no obvious cytotoxic effect on the LO2 cell line. In addition, we used flow cytometry to investigate the probable mode of cell death triggered by compound **11** (Fig. 5). The results imply that compound **11** may cause cell death *via* an apoptotic fashion. More work will be carried out to clarify this.

## 3. Conclusion

In conclusion, we have successfully synthesized a series of squaramido-tethered bisbenzimidazoles and assessed their anion binding affinity, transport properties and biological activity. These conjugates are able to complex chloride anions, and facilitate the transport of chloride anions with moderate pH dependence and *via* an anion exchange process. In addition, some of these conjugates exhibit moderate anti-proliferative effects on the selected solid tumor cell lines, whereas without significant cytotoxicity toward the normal cell line. Further work will be carried out to optimize the structures of these conjugates so that promising pH-regulated anionophoric activity may be achieved. The outcomes will be reported in due course.

## 4. Experimental

### 4.1 Generals

The <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra were measured on a Bruker Avance AV 400 or 500 spectrometer, and the data were reported relative to the deuterium solvents. Waters UPLC/Quattro Premier XE and Bruker maXis 4G ESI-Q-TOF mass spectrometers were used to measure the LR and HR ESI-MS spectra, respectively. Analytical thin-layer chromatography (TLC) plates (silica gel,




Fig. 5 Flow cytometry of (a) untreated HeLa cells and (b–e) HeLa cells treated with **11** ((b) 10  $\mu$ M; (c) 20  $\mu$ M; (d) 30  $\mu$ M) and doxorubicin ((e) 0.03  $\mu$ M) for 24 h and stained with Annexin V-FITC and PI solution. (f) The apoptosis rate of HeLa cells by compound **11** at different concentrations (mean  $\pm$  s.d.,  $n = 3$ , \* $P < 0.05$ , One-Way ANOVA).



GF254) were detected by use of iodine and UV (254 or 365 nm). EYPC vesicles were prepared by extrusion through nucleopore track-etched polycarbonate membranes (100 nm, Whatman, Florham Park, New Jersey, USA) on an Avanti's Mini-Extruder (Avanti Polar Lipids, Inc., Alabaster, Alabama, USA). Chloride efflux was measured by using a Mettler-Toledo Perfection™ chloride ion selective electrode assembled with a Mettler-Toledo Seven Compact S220 ionometer.

EYPC and MTT were purchased from Sigma Chemical Co. (St Louis, USA). All the other chemicals and reagents were obtained from commercial sources and used without further purification. The experimental protocols for the measurement of anion recognition, anion transport and biological activity were included in the ESI.†

## 4.2 Synthesis of compounds 15–27

**4.2.1 Compound 15.** A solution of *N*-Boc-gly (805 mg, 4.59 mmol), EDC (1.77 g, 9.23 mmol), HOBT (936 mg, 6.92 mmol) and DMAP (30 mg, 0.24 mmol) in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (40 mL) was stirred at room temperature for 10 min, and added drop wise to a solution of 1,2-diaminobenzene (500 mg, 4.62 mmol) in DMF (1.5 mL). The resulting mixture was stirred at room temperature for 3 d and concentrated under reduced pressure. The obtained residue was dissolved in EtOAc (20 mL) and washed subsequently with brine (20 mL × 2), saturated aqueous NH<sub>4</sub>Cl solution (20 mL × 2), saturated aqueous NaHCO<sub>3</sub> solution (20 mL × 2) and brine (20 mL). The organic layer was dried over anhydrous Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The obtained residue was dissolved in acetic acid (5 mL). The resulting solution was heated at 70 °C for 10 h, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The obtained residue was dissolved in ether (20 mL) and filtered. The filtrate was concentrated under reduced pressure and the obtained residue was purified by chromatography on a silica gel column (petroleum ether/EtOAc, 2/1, v/v) to give compound 15 (515 mg, 45%) having <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CD<sub>3</sub>OD) δ 7.51 (s, 2H), 7.20 (s, 2H), 4.48 (s, 2H), 1.47 (s, 9H) and negative ESI-MS: *m/z* 245.96 ([M – H]<sup>–</sup>).

**4.2.2 Compound 16.** Similar procedures as described for compound 15. 266 mg (25%). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CD<sub>3</sub>OD) δ 7.38 (d, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 7.30 (s, 1H), 7.03 (d, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 4.45 (s, 2H), 2.42 (s, 3H), 1.46 (s, 9H) and negative ESI-MS: *m/z* 259.83 ([M – H]<sup>–</sup>).

**4.2.3 Compound 17.** Similar procedures as described for compound 15. 458 mg (47%). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CD<sub>3</sub>OD) δ 7.38 (d, *J* = 8.8 Hz, 1H), 7.02 (s, 1H), 6.83 (d, *J* = 8.8 Hz, 1H), 4.44 (s, 2H), 3.81 (s, 3H), 1.46 (s, 9H) and negative ESI-MS: *m/z* 275.83 ([M – H]<sup>–</sup>).

**4.2.4 Compound 18.** Similar procedures as described for compound 15. 302 mg (38%). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CD<sub>3</sub>OD) δ 8.09 (s, 1H), 7.76 (s, 1H), 4.57 (s, 2H), 1.47 (s, 9H) and negative ESI-MS: *m/z* 381.87 ([M – H]<sup>–</sup>).

**4.2.5 Compound 19.** Similar procedures as described for compound 15. 287 mg (30%). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CD<sub>3</sub>OD) δ 8.46 (s, 1H), 8.17 (d, *J* = 8.9 Hz, 1H), 7.65 (d, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 1H), 4.53 (s, 2H), 1.47 (s, 9H); negative ESI-MS: *m/z* 290.88 ([M – H]<sup>–</sup>) and

negative HR-ESI-MS for C<sub>24</sub>H<sub>12</sub>F<sub>12</sub>N<sub>6</sub>O<sub>2</sub> ([M – H]<sup>–</sup>) calcd: 291.10878; found: 291.10983.

**4.2.6 Compound 20.** Similar procedures as described for compound 15. 342 mg (34%). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CD<sub>3</sub>OD) δ 7.05 (d, *J* = 5.4 Hz, 1H), 6.84–6.80 (m, 1H), 4.47 (s, 2H), 1.47 (s, 9H) and negative ESI-MS: *m/z* 281.88 ([M – H]<sup>–</sup>).

**4.2.7 Compound 21.** Similar procedures as described for compound 15. 140 mg (14%). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CD<sub>3</sub>OD, 400 MHz) δ 7.51–7.46 (m, 2H), 7.19 (d, *J* = 8.5 Hz, 1H), 4.47 (s, 2H), 1.46 (s, 9H) and negative ESI-MS: *m/z* 280.12 ([M – H]<sup>–</sup>).

**4.2.8 Compound 22.** Similar procedures as described for compound 15. 221 mg (38%). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CD<sub>3</sub>OD) δ 7.67 (s, 1H), 7.42 (s, 1H), 7.32 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 4.42 (s, 2H), 1.46 (s, 9H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CD<sub>3</sub>OD) δ 158.5, 155.9, 129.1, 123.8, 81.0, 39.6, 28.9; negative ESI-MS: *m/z* 323.81 ([M – H]<sup>–</sup>) and negative HR-ESI-MS for C<sub>13</sub>H<sub>16</sub>BrN<sub>3</sub>O<sub>2</sub> ([M – H]<sup>–</sup>) calcd: 324.03421; found: 324.03513.

**4.2.9 Compound 23.** Similar procedures as described for compound 15. 365 mg (37%). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CD<sub>3</sub>OD) δ 7.05 (d, *J* = 8.3 Hz, 1H), 6.81 (t, *J* = 10.3 Hz, 1H), 4.46 (s, 2H), 1.45 (s, 9H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CD<sub>3</sub>OD) δ 161.5 (d, *J* = 42.5 Hz), 159.1 (d, *J* = 63.4 Hz), 158.1, 156.0, 129.7, 98.7, 98.5, 98.4, 98.2, 80.8, 39.2, 28.7; negative ESI-MS: *m/z* 281.91 ([M – H]<sup>–</sup>) and negative HR-ESI-MS for C<sub>13</sub>H<sub>15</sub>F<sub>2</sub>N<sub>3</sub>O<sub>2</sub> ([M – H]<sup>–</sup>) calcd: 282.10485; found: 282.10547.

**4.2.10 Compound 24.** Similar procedures as described for compound 15. 143 mg (23%). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CD<sub>3</sub>OD) δ 7.30 (d, *J* = 7.3 Hz, 1H), 7.19–7.14 (m, 1H), 6.92 (t, *J* = 9.2 Hz, 1H), 4.39 (s, 2H), 1.37 (s, 9H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CD<sub>3</sub>OD) δ 158.4, 155.0, 124.1 (d, *J* = 27.2 Hz), 108.4 (d, *J* = 69.1 Hz), 80.9, 39.6, 28.5; negative ESI-MS: *m/z* 263.93 ([M – H]<sup>–</sup>) and negative HR-ESI-MS for C<sub>13</sub>H<sub>16</sub>FN<sub>3</sub>O<sub>2</sub> ([M – H]<sup>–</sup>) calcd: 264.11428; found: 264.11514.

**4.2.11 Compound 25.** Similar procedures as described for compound 15. 246 mg (28%). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CD<sub>3</sub>OD) δ 7.83 (s, 1H), 7.66 (d, *J* = 7.9 Hz, 1H), 7.49 (d, *J* = 8.3 Hz, 1H), 4.52 (s, 2H), 1.47 (s, 9H) and negative ESI-MS: *m/z* 314.08 ([M – H]<sup>–</sup>).

**4.2.12 Compound 26.** Similar procedures as described for compound 15. 159 mg (16%). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CD<sub>3</sub>OD) δ 7.29 (s, 2H), 4.36 (s, 2H), 1.37 (s, 9H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CD<sub>3</sub>OD) δ 158.4, 156.4, 150.3 (d, *J* = 61.6 Hz), 147.9 (d, *J* = 62.4 Hz), 132.4, 129.9, 106.2, 100.5, 80.9, 39.6, 28.7; negative ESI-MS: *m/z* 281.94 ([M – H]<sup>–</sup>) and negative HR-ESI-MS for C<sub>13</sub>H<sub>15</sub>F<sub>2</sub>N<sub>3</sub>O<sub>2</sub> ([M – H]<sup>–</sup>) calcd: 282.10485; found: 282.10583.

**4.2.13 Compound 27.** Similar procedures as described for compound 15. 268 mg (29%). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CD<sub>3</sub>OD) δ 7.84 (s, 1H), 7.67 (d, *J* = 6.6 Hz, 1H), 7.50 (d, *J* = 6.7 Hz, 1H), 4.53 (s, 2H), 1.48 (s, 9H) and negative ESI-MS: *m/z* 263.93 ([M – H]<sup>–</sup>).

## 4.3 Synthesis of compounds 28–40

**4.3.1 Compound 28.** To a solution of compound 15 (60 mg) in CH<sub>3</sub>OH (3 mL) was added HCl aqueous solution (2 M, 3 mL). The resulting solution was stirred at room temperature for 1 h and concentrated under reduced pressure. The obtained residue was partitioned in ammonia solution (3 mL). The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 15 min and

concentrated under reduced pressure. This procedure was repeated. Purification by preparative thin-layer chromatography ( $\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2/\text{MeOH}/\text{NH}_3 \cdot \text{H}_2\text{O}$ , 24/3/1, v/v/v) afforded compound **28** (39 mg, 45%).  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (500 MHz,  $\text{CD}_3\text{OD}$ )  $\delta$  7.54 (dd,  $J = 4.8$ , 2.5 Hz, 2H), 7.22 (dd,  $J = 4.8$ , 2.5 Hz, 2H), 4.03 (s, 2H).

**4.3.2 Compound 29.** Similar procedures as described for compound **28**. 40 mg (72%) from compound **16** (90 mg).  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (400 MHz,  $\text{CD}_3\text{OD}$ )  $\delta$  7.40 (d,  $J = 8.2$  Hz, 1H), 7.31 (s, 1H), 7.05 (d,  $J = 8.2$  Hz, 1H), 4.01 (s, 2H), 2.44 (s, 3H).

**4.3.3 Compound 30.** Similar procedures as described for compound **28**. 55 mg (71%) from compound **17** (122 mg).  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (400 MHz,  $\text{CD}_3\text{OD}$ )  $\delta$  7.40 (d,  $J = 8.8$  Hz, 1H), 7.03 (d,  $J = 2.3$  Hz, 1H), 6.85 (dd,  $J = 8.8$ , 2.4 Hz, 1H), 3.99 (s, 2H), 3.82 (s, 3H).

**4.3.4 Compound 31.** Similar procedures as described for compound **28**. 69 mg (70%) from compound **18** (134 mg).  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (400 MHz,  $\text{CD}_3\text{OD}$ )  $\delta$  8.06 (s, 1H), 7.72 (s, 1H), 4.11 (s, 2H).

**4.3.5 Compound 32.** Similar procedures as described for compound **28**. 62 mg (73%) from compound **19** (129 mg).  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (400 MHz,  $\text{CD}_3\text{OD}$ )  $\delta$  8.45 (d,  $J = 2.0$  Hz, 1H), 8.16 (dd,  $J = 8.9$ , 2.0 Hz, 1H), 7.65 (d,  $J = 8.9$  Hz, 1H), 4.09 (s, 2H).

**4.3.6 Compound 33.** Similar procedures as described for compound **28**. 42 mg (75%) from compound **20** (86 mg).  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (400 MHz,  $\text{CD}_3\text{OD}$ )  $\delta$  7.28–7.25 (m, 1H), 7.16–7.09 (m, 1H), 4.04 (s, 2H).

**4.3.7 Compound 34.** Similar procedures as described for compound **28**. 72 mg (85%) from compound **21** (132 mg).  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (400 MHz,  $\text{CD}_3\text{OD}$ )  $\delta$  7.68 (s, 1H), 7.44 (d,  $J = 8.6$  Hz, 1H), 7.33 (dd,  $J = 8.5$ , 1.7 Hz, 1H), 4.04 (s, 2H).

**4.3.8 Compound 35.** Similar procedures as described for compound **28**. 144 mg (68%) from compound **22** (307 mg).  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (400 MHz,  $\text{CD}_3\text{OD}$ )  $\delta$  7.75 (d,  $J = 1.0$  Hz, 1H), 7.51 (d,  $J = 6.9$  Hz, 1H), 7.38 (dd,  $J = 6.8$ , 1.4 Hz, 1H), 4.33 (s, 2H).

**4.3.9 Compound 36.** Similar procedures as described for compound **28**. 76 mg (70%) from compound **23** (167 mg).  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (400 MHz,  $\text{CD}_3\text{OD}$ )  $\delta$  7.07 (dd,  $J = 8.4$ , 1.7 Hz, 1H), 6.82 (td,  $J = 10.4$ , 2.0 Hz, 1H), 4.01 (s, 2H).

**4.3.10 Compound 37.** Similar procedures as described for compound **28**. 64 mg (73%) from compound **24** (140 mg).  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (400 MHz,  $\text{CD}_3\text{OD}$ )  $\delta$  7.31 (d,  $J = 8.0$  Hz, 1H), 7.17 (ddd,  $J = 8.0$ , 8.0, 4.8 Hz, 1H), 6.93 (dd,  $J = 10.8$ , 8.0 Hz, 1H), 4.04 (s, 2H).

**4.3.11 Compound 38.** Similar procedures as described for compound **28**. 54 mg (72%) from compound **25** (110 mg).  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (400 MHz,  $\text{CD}_3\text{OD}$ )  $\delta$  7.84 (s, 1H), 7.67 (d,  $J = 8.4$  Hz, 1H), 7.50 (d,  $J = 8.5$  Hz, 1H), 4.08 (s, 2H).

**4.3.12 Compound 39.** Similar procedures as described for compound **28**. 70 mg (72%) from compound **26** (150 mg).  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (400 MHz,  $\text{CD}_3\text{OD}$ )  $\delta$  7.45 (t,  $J = 8.8$  Hz, 2H), 4.23 (s, 2H).

**4.3.13 Compound 40.** Similar procedures as described for compound **28**. 79 mg (73%) from compound **27** (172 mg).  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (400 MHz,  $\text{CD}_3\text{OD}$ )  $\delta$  7.50 (dd,  $J = 8.8$ , 4.7 Hz, 1H), 7.15 (dd,  $J = 9.1$ , 2.2 Hz, 1H), 7.00 (ddd,  $J = 9.3$ , 9.3, 2.4 Hz, 1H), 4.08 (s, 2H).

#### 4.4 Synthesis of compound 41

A solution of compound **28** (40 mg, 0.27 mmol) and  $\text{Et}_3\text{N}$  (300  $\mu\text{L}$ ) in EtOH (3 mL) was stirred at room temperature for 30 min.

Then, a solution of diethyl squarate (55 mg, 0.32 mmol) in EtOH (270  $\mu\text{L}$ ) was added. The resulting solution was stirred at room temperature for 23 h. Purification by preparative thin-layer chromatography ( $\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2/\text{CH}_3\text{OH}$ , 20/1, v/v) afforded compound **41** (44 mg, 71%) having  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (500 MHz,  $\text{CD}_3\text{OD}$ )  $\delta$  7.54 (dd,  $J = 6.0$ , 3.2 Hz, 2H), 7.23 (dd,  $J = 6.0$ , 3.2 Hz, 2H), 5.07 (s, 2H), 3.59 (q,  $J = 7.1$  Hz, 2H), 1.16 (t,  $J = 7.1$  Hz, 3H); negative ESI-MS:  $m/z$  270.09 ( $[\text{M} - \text{H}]^-$ ) and negative HR-ESI-MS for  $\text{C}_{14}\text{H}_{13}\text{N}_3\text{O}_3$  ( $[\text{M} - \text{H}]^-$ ) calcd: 270.08542; found: 270.08732.

#### 4.5 Synthesis of compounds 1–13

**4.5.1 Compound 1.** A solution of compound **28** (39 mg, 0.16 mmol) and  $\text{Et}_3\text{N}$  (300  $\mu\text{L}$ ) in EtOH (3 mL) was stirred at 50 °C for 30 min. Then, a solution of diethyl squarate (7 mg, 0.042 mmol) in EtOH (100  $\mu\text{L}$ ) was added. The resulting solution was stirred at 50 °C for 54 h. The formed precipitates were collected through filtration under reduced pressure and washed with EtOH (20 mL  $\times$  5) to afford compound **1** (12 mg, 31%) having  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (400 MHz,  $\text{DMSO}-d_6$ )  $\delta$  12.45 (s, 2H), 8.24 (s, 2H), 7.53 (s, 4H), 7.17 (s, 4H), 5.02 (s, 4H); selected  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR (125 MHz,  $\text{DMSO}-d_6$ )  $\delta$  183.0, 167.7, 151.5, 121.7, 41.4; negative ESI-MS:  $m/z$  371.07 ( $[\text{M} - \text{H}]^-$ ) and negative HR-ESI-MS for  $\text{C}_{20}\text{H}_{16}\text{N}_6\text{O}_2$  ( $[\text{M} - \text{H}]^-$ ) calcd: 371.12510; found: 371.12570.


**4.5.2 Compound 2.** Similar procedures as described for compound **1**; 35 mg (79%) from compound **29** (40 mg).  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (400 MHz,  $\text{DMSO}-d_6$ )  $\delta$  12.32 (s, 2H), 8.18 (s, 2H), 7.44–7.26 (m, 4H), 6.99 (s, 2H), 4.99 (s, 4H), 2.39 (s, 6H);  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR (100 MHz,  $\text{DMSO}-d_6$ )  $\delta$  183.0, 167.9, 151.4, 151.0, 143.3, 141.1, 134.7, 132.4, 131.7, 130.4, 123.8, 122.9, 118.2, 111.3, 41.5, 21.3; negative ESI-MS:  $m/z$  399.06 ( $[\text{M} - \text{H}]^-$ ) and negative HR-ESI-MS for  $\text{C}_{22}\text{H}_{20}\text{N}_6\text{O}_2$  ( $[\text{M} - \text{H}]^-$ ) calcd: 399.15640; found: 399.15680.

**4.5.3 Compound 3.** Similar procedures as described for compound **1**; 43 mg (71%) from compound **30** (55 mg).  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (400 MHz,  $\text{DMSO}-d_6$ )  $\delta$  12.33 (s, 2H), 8.19 (s, 2H), 7.44 (s, 2H), 7.04 (s, 2H), 6.83 (d,  $J = 7.8$  Hz, 2H), 5.00 (s, 4H), 3.79 (s, 6H);  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR (125 MHz,  $\text{DMSO}-d_6$ )  $\delta$  183.0, 167.7, 155.8, 154.8, 150.3, 137.3, 134.9, 128.5, 119.0, 111.6, 110.7, 101.3, 94.7, 55.4, 41.4; negative ESI-MS:  $m/z$  431.01 ( $[\text{M} - \text{H}]^-$ ) and negative HR-ESI-MS for  $\text{C}_{22}\text{H}_{20}\text{N}_6\text{O}_4$  ( $[\text{M} - \text{H}]^-$ ) calcd: 431.14622; found: 431.14667.

**4.5.4 Compound 4.** Similar procedures as described for compound **1**; 33 mg (43%) from compound **31** (66 mg).  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (400 MHz,  $\text{DMSO}-d_6$ )  $\delta$  8.31 (s, 2H), 8.20 (s, 2H), 7.79 (s, 2H), 5.14 (s, 4H);  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR (125 MHz,  $\text{DMSO}-d_6$ )  $\delta$  183.2, 168.9, 167.6, 144.3, 127.0, 125.2, 125.1, 124.0, 123.1, 123.0, 121.1, 114.4 (q,  $J = 132.3$  Hz), 111.2 (q,  $J = 120.8$  Hz), 45.8; negative ESI-MS:  $m/z$  642.89 ( $[\text{M} - \text{H}]^-$ ) and negative HR-ESI-MS for  $\text{C}_{24}\text{H}_{12}\text{F}_{12}\text{N}_6\text{O}_2$  ( $[\text{M} - \text{H}]^-$ ) calcd: 643.07463; found: 643.07489.

**4.5.5 Compound 5.** Similar procedures as described for compound **1**; 45 mg (69%) from compound **32** (59 mg).  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (400 MHz,  $\text{DMSO}-d_6$ )  $\delta$  8.45 (s, 2H), 8.30 (s, 2H), 8.11 (d,  $J = 8.8$  Hz, 2H), 7.72 (d,  $J = 8.8$  Hz, 2H), 5.10 (s, 4H); selected  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR (125 MHz,  $\text{DMSO}-d_6$ )  $\delta$  183.1, 168.0, 156.8, 142.6, 117.9, 41.5; negative ESI-MS:  $m/z$  460.99 ( $[\text{M} - \text{H}]^-$ ) and negative HR-ESI-MS for  $\text{C}_{20}\text{H}_{14}\text{N}_8\text{O}_6$  ( $[\text{M} - \text{H}]^-$ ) calcd: 461.09525; found: 461.09579.

**4.5.6 Compound 6.** Similar procedures as described for compound **1**; 30 mg (70%) from compound **33** (39 mg).  $^1\text{H}$  NMR





(400 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  12.79 (s, 2H), 8.24 (s, 2H), 7.32–7.20 (m, 4H), 5.04 (s, 4H); selected  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR (100 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  183.5, 167.3, 154.5, 146.3 (d,  $J$  = 42.8 Hz), 144.0 (d,  $J$  = 40.8 Hz), 111.1, 99.4, 41.5; negative ESI-MS:  $m/z$  443.02 ( $[\text{M} - \text{H}]^-$ ) and negative HR-ESI-MS for  $\text{C}_{20}\text{H}_{12}\text{F}_4\text{N}_6\text{O}_2$  ( $[\text{M} - \text{H}]^-$ ) calcd: 443.08741; found: 443.08801.

**4.5.7 Compound 7.** Similar procedures as described for compound **1**; 52 mg (71%) from compound **34** (69 mg).  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  12.63 (s, 2H), 8.20 (s, 2H), 7.73 (s, 2H), 7.49 (d,  $J$  = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 7.31 (dd,  $J$  = 8.5, 1.7 Hz, 2H), 5.01 (s, 4H); selected  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR (100 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  182.6, 168.0, 153.1, 124.7, 114.2, 41.5; negative ESI-MS:  $m/z$  438.89 ( $[\text{M} - \text{H}]^-$ ) and negative HR-ESI-MS for  $\text{C}_{20}\text{H}_{14}\text{Cl}_2\text{N}_6\text{O}_2$  ( $[\text{M} - \text{H}]^-$ ) calcd: 439.04715; found: 439.04749.

**4.5.8 Compound 8.** Similar procedures as described for compound **1**; 96 mg (73%) from compound **35** (142 mg).  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  12.62 (s, 2H), 8.22 (s, 2H), 7.60–7.53 (m, 2H), 7.20 (dd,  $J$  = 8.5, 1.6 Hz, 2H), 5.02 (s, 4H); selected  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR (100 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  183.3, 168.0, 153.1, 126.4, 122.0, 41.5; negative ESI-MS:  $m/z$  526.85 ( $[\text{M} - \text{H}]^-$ ) and negative HR-ESI-MS for  $\text{C}_{20}\text{H}_{14}\text{Br}_2\text{N}_6\text{O}_2$  ( $[\text{M} - \text{H}]^-$ ) calcd: 526.94612; found: 526.94629.

**4.5.9 Compound 9.** Similar procedures as described for compound **1**; 58 mg (72%) from compound **36** (73 mg).  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  8.19 (s, 2H), 7.22 (dd,  $J$  = 8.6, 1.5 Hz, 2H), 7.04 (td,  $J$  = 10.7, 1.7 Hz, 2H), 5.02 (s, 4H); selected  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR (125 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  183.0, 167.8, 159.1 (d,  $J$  = 77.0 Hz), 156.8 (d,  $J$  = 55.7 Hz), 153.1, 97.5, 97.3, 97.2, 96.9, 41.3; negative ESI-MS:  $m/z$  442.99 ( $[\text{M} - \text{H}]^-$ ) and negative HR-ESI-MS for  $\text{C}_{20}\text{H}_{12}\text{F}_4\text{N}_6\text{O}_2$  ( $[\text{M} - \text{H}]^-$ ) calcd: 443.08741; found: 443.08789.

**4.5.10 Compound 10.** Similar procedures as described for compound **1**; 51 mg (73%) from compound **37** (61 mg).  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  12.87 (s, 2H), 8.22 (s, 2H), 7.35 (d,  $J$  = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 7.17 (t,  $J$  = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.16 (t,  $J$  = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 6.99 (t,  $J$  = 8.6 Hz, 2H), 5.05 (s, 4H);  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR (125 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  183.0, 167.7, 152.1, 137.1, 131.2, 122.8, 107.9, 106.8, 106.7, 41.3; negative ESI-MS:  $m/z$  407.01 ( $[\text{M} - \text{H}]^-$ ) and negative HR-ESI-MS for  $\text{C}_{20}\text{H}_{14}\text{F}_2\text{N}_6\text{O}_2$  ( $[\text{M} - \text{H}]^-$ ) calcd: 407.10625; found: 407.10687.

**4.5.11 Compound 11.** Similar procedures as described for compound **1**; 42 mg (74%) from compound **38** (52 mg).  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  12.93 (s, 2H), 8.24 (s, 2H), 7.90 (s, 2H), 7.73 (d,  $J$  = 8.3 Hz, 2H), 7.50 (d,  $J$  = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 5.08 (s, 4H);  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR (125 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  183.1, 167.9, 154.6, 129.1, 126.4, 123.7, 122.6 (q,  $J$  = 156.1 Hz), 121.0, 118.7, 41.5; negative ESI-MS:  $m/z$  507.03 ( $[\text{M} - \text{H}]^-$ ) and negative HR-ESI-MS for  $\text{C}_{22}\text{H}_{14}\text{F}_6\text{N}_6\text{O}_2$  ( $[\text{M} - \text{H}]^-$ ) calcd: 507.09986; found: 507.10004.

**4.5.12 Compound 12.** Similar procedures as described for compound **1**; 54 mg (71%) from compound **39** (67 mg).  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  8.13 (s, 2H), 7.58 (t,  $J$  = 9.2 Hz, 4H), 4.99 (s, 4H); selected  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR (125 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  183.0, 167.8, 153.7, 147.8 (d,  $J$  = 76.5 Hz), 145.4 (d,  $J$  = 75.7 Hz), 41.4; negative ESI-MS:  $m/z$  442.94 ( $[\text{M} - \text{H}]^-$ ) and negative HR-ESI-MS for  $\text{C}_{20}\text{H}_{12}\text{F}_4\text{N}_6\text{O}_2$  ( $[\text{M} - \text{H}]^-$ ) calcd: 443.08741; found: 443.08786.

**4.5.13 Compound 13.** Similar procedures as described for compound **1**; 61 mg (72%) from compound **40** (76 mg).  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  12.56 (s, 2H), 8.17 (s, 2H), 7.54–7.51 (m,

2H), 7.34 (d,  $J$  = 8.1 Hz, 2H), 7.03 (t,  $J$  = 8.7 Hz, 2H), 5.01 (s, 4H);  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR (125 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  183.0, 167.8, 159.6, 157.3, 153.0, 110.0, 109.8, 41.1; negative ESI-MS:  $m/z$  407.04 ( $[\text{M} - \text{H}]^-$ ) and negative HR-ESI-MS for  $\text{C}_{20}\text{H}_{14}\text{F}_2\text{N}_6\text{O}_2$  ( $[\text{M} - \text{H}]^-$ ) calcd: 407.10625; found: 407.10605.

## 4.6 Synthesis of compound 14

To a solution of compound **41** (40 mg, 0.15 mmol) in EtOH (2 mL) were added  $\text{Et}_3\text{N}$  (200  $\mu\text{L}$ ) and 1-propylamine (9 mg, 0.16 mmol). The resulting mixture was stirred at room temperature for 23 h. The formed precipitates were collected through filtration under reduced pressure and washed with EtOH (20 mL  $\times$  5) to give compound **14** (34 mg, 79%) having  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (500 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  12.48 (s, 1H), 7.97 (s, 1H), 7.58 (s, 1H), 7.48 (s, 1H), 7.17 (s, 2H), 5.00 (s, 2H), 3.50 (s, 2H), 1.54 (sext,  $J$  = 7.1 Hz, 2H), 0.89 (t,  $J$  = 7.3 Hz, 3H);  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR (125 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  183.0, 182.3, 168.4, 167.1, 151.6, 142.9, 134.0, 122.2, 121.4, 118.5, 111.4, 45.1, 41.1, 24.0, 11.2; negative ESI-MS:  $m/z$  283.08 ( $[\text{M} - \text{H}]^-$ ) and negative HR-ESI-MS for  $\text{C}_{13}\text{H}_{16}\text{N}_2\text{O}_2$  ( $[\text{M} - \text{H}]^-$ ) calcd: 283.11895, found: 283.11703.

## Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

## Acknowledgements

Financial support from the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 21877057) and Jiangmen Program for Innovative Research Team (No. 2018630100180019806) is acknowledged.

## Notes and references

- 1 L. Chen, S. N. Berry, X. Wu, E. N. W. Howe and P. A. Gale, *Chem*, 2020, **6**, 61–141.
- 2 X.-H. Yu, X.-Q. Hong, Q.-C. Mao and W.-H. Chen, *Eur. J. Med. Chem.*, 2019, **184**, 111782.
- 3 P. A. Gale, J. T. Davis and R. Quesada, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2017, **46**, 2497–2519.
- 4 Z. Li and W.-H. Chen, *Mini-Rev. Med. Chem.*, 2017, **17**, 1398–1405.
- 5 N. Busschaert, C. Caltagirone, W. Van Rossom and P. A. Gale, *Chem. Rev.*, 2015, **115**, 8038–8155.
- 6 D. S. Kim and J. L. Sessler, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2015, **44**, 532–546.
- 7 N. Busschaert and A. P. Gale, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2013, **52**, 1374–1382.
- 8 G. W. Gokel and S. Negin, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2013, **46**, 2824–2833.
- 9 P. A. Gale, R. Pérez-Tomás and R. Quesada, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2013, **46**, 2801–2813.
- 10 F. De Riccardis, I. Izzo, D. Montesarchio and P. Tecilla, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2013, **46**, 2781–2790.
- 11 S. K. Ko, S. K. Kim, A. Share, V. M. Lynch, J. Park, W. Namkung, W. V. Rossom, N. Busschaert, P. A. Gale, J. L. Sessler and I. Shin, *Nat. Chem.*, 2014, **6**, 885–892.





- 12 C. R. Elie, G. David and A. R. Schmitzer, *J. Med. Chem.*, 2015, **58**, 2358–2366.
- 13 W.-H. Chen, X.-B. Shao, R. Moellering, C. Wennersten and S. L. Regen, *Bioconjugate Chem.*, 2006, **17**, 1582–1591.
- 14 T. Saha, A. Gautam, A. Mukherjee, M. Lahiri and P. Talukdar, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2016, **138**, 16443–16451.
- 15 T. Saha, M. S. Hossain, D. Saha, M. Lahiri and P. Talukdar, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2016, **138**, 7558–7567.
- 16 V. Soto-Cerrato, P. Manuel-Manresa, E. Hernando, S. Calabuig-Farinas, A. Martínez-Romero, V. Fernandez-Duenas, K. Sahlholm, T. Knopfel, M. García-Valverde, A. M. Rodilla, E. Jantus-Lewintre, R. Farras, F. Ciruela, R. Perez-Tomas and R. Quesada, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2015, **137**, 15892–15898.
- 17 E. Hernando, V. Soto-Cerrato, S. Cortes-Arroyo, R. Pérez-Tomás and R. Quesada, *Org. Biomol. Chem.*, 2014, **12**, 1771–1778.
- 18 S. J. Moore, M. Wenzel, M. E. Light, R. Morley, S. J. Bradberry, P. Gomez-Iglesias, V. Soto-Cerrato, R. Pérez-Tomás and P. A. Gale, *Chem. Sci.*, 2012, **3**, 2501–2509.
- 19 S. J. Moore, C. J. E. Haynes, J. Gonzalez, J. L. Sutton, S. J. Brooks, M. E. Light, J. Herniman, G. J. Langley, V. Soto-Cerrato, R. Perez-Tomas, I. Marques, P. J. Costa, V. Felix and P. A. Gale, *Chem. Sci.*, 2013, **4**, 103–117.
- 20 H. Li, H. Valkenier, A. G. Thorne, C. M. Dias, J. A. Cooper, M. Kieffer, N. Busschaert, P. A. Gale, D. N. Sheppard and A. P. Davis, *Chem. Sci.*, 2019, **10**, 9663–9672.
- 21 X.-J. Cai, Z. Li and W.-H. Chen, *Mini-Rev. Org. Chem.*, 2018, **15**, 148–156.
- 22 X.-H. Yu, X.-J. Cai, X.-Q. Hong, K. Y. Tam, K. Zhang and W.-H. Chen, *Future Med. Chem.*, 2019, **11**, 1091–1106.
- 23 X. Bao, X. Wu, S. N. Berry, E. N. W. Howe, Y.-T. Chang and P. A. Gale, *Chem. Commun.*, 2018, **54**, 1363–1366.
- 24 N. Busschaert, S. H. Park, K. H. Beak, Y. P. Choi, J. H. Park, E. N. W. Howe, J. R. Hiscock, L. E. Karagiannidis, I. Marques, V. Felix, W. Namkung, J. L. Sessler, P. A. Gale and I. Shin, *Nat. Chem.*, 2017, **9**, 667–675.
- 25 X.-J. Cai, Z. Li and W.-H. Chen, *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.*, 2017, **27**, 1999–2002.
- 26 X. Wu, L. W. Judd, E. N. W. Howe, A. M. Withecombe, V. Soto-Cerrato, H. Li, N. Busschaert, H. Valkenier, R. Perez-Tomas, D. N. Sheppard, Y.-B. Jiang, A. P. Davis and P. A. Gale, *Chem.*, 2016, **1**, 127–146.
- 27 R. B. P. Elmes, N. Busschaert, D. D. Czech, P. A. Gale and K. A. Jolliffe, *Chem. Commun.*, 2015, **51**, 10107–10110.
- 28 S. J. Edwards, H. Valkenier, N. Busschaert, P. A. Gale and A. P. Davis, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2015, **54**, 4592–4596.
- 29 Z. Li, L.-Q. Deng, J.-X. Chen, C.-Q. Zhou and W.-H. Chen, *Org. Biomol. Chem.*, 2015, **13**, 11761–11769.
- 30 N. Busschaert, R. B. P. Elmes, D. D. Czech, X. Wu, I. L. Kirby, E. M. Peck, K. D. Hendzel, S. K. Shaw, B. Chan, B. D. Smith, K. A. Jolliffe and P. A. Gale, *Chem. Sci.*, 2014, **5**, 3617–3626.
- 31 L.-Q. Deng, Y.-M. Lu, C.-Q. Zhou, J.-X. Chen, B. Wang and W.-H. Chen, *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.*, 2014, **24**, 2859–2862.
- 32 N. Busschaert, I. L. Kirby, S. Young, S. J. Coles, P. N. Horton, M. E. Light and P. A. Gale, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2012, **51**, 4426–4430.
- 33 Z. Li, L.-Q. Deng, Y. Chen, T. Wu and W.-H. Chen, *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.*, 2016, **26**, 3665–3668.
- 34 C.-C. Peng, Z. Li, L.-Q. Deng, Z.-F. Ke and W.-H. Chen, *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.*, 2016, **26**, 2442–2445.
- 35 L. Gonzalez-Mendoza, B. Altava, M. I. Burguete, J. Escorihuela, E. Hernando, S. V. Luis, R. Quesada and C. Vicent, *RSC Adv.*, 2015, **5**, 34415–34423.
- 36 J. Gravel and A. R. Schmitzer, *Supramol. Chem.*, 2015, **27**, 364–371.
- 37 J. Kempf, N. Noujeim and A. R. Schmitzer, *RSC Adv.*, 2014, **4**, 42293–42299.
- 38 C. R. Elie, G. David and A. R. Schmitzer, *J. Med. Chem.*, 2015, **58**, 2358–2366.
- 39 C.-R. Elie, M. Charbonneau and A. R. Schmitzer, *RSC Med. Chem.*, 2012, **3**, 1231–1234.
- 40 C.-R. Elie, N. Noujeim, C. Pardin and A. R. Schmitzer, *Chem. Commun.*, 2011, **47**, 1788–1790.
- 41 X.-H. Yu, X.-Q. Hong and W.-H. Chen, *Org. Biomol. Chem.*, 2019, **17**, 1558–1571.
- 42 X.-H. Yu, C.-C. Peng, X.-X. Sun and W.-H. Chen, *Eur. J. Med. Chem.*, 2018, **152**, 115–125.
- 43 C.-C. Peng, M.-J. Zhang, X.-X. Sun, X.-J. Cai, Y. Chen and W.-H. Chen, *Org. Biomol. Chem.*, 2016, **14**, 8232–8236.
- 44 C. Montoya, R. Cervantes and J. Tiburcio, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2015, **56**, 6177–6182.
- 45 J. Cai and J. L. Sessler, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2014, **43**, 6198–6213.
- 46 M. Lisbjerg, H. Valkenier, B. M. Jessen, H. Al-Kerdi, A. P. Davis and M. Pittelkow, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2015, **137**, 4948–4951.
- 47 L. Yin, W.-R. Xu, Z.-G. Wang, D.-T. Zhang, J. Jia, Y.-Q. Ge, Y. Li and J.-W. Wang, *Arkivoc*, 2010, (9), 196–205.
- 48 M. Bibian, R. J. Rahaim, J. Y. Choi, Y. Noguchi, S. Schurer, W. Chen, S. Nakanishi, K. Licht, L. H. Rosenberg, L. Li, Y. Feng, M. D. Cameron, D. R. Duckett, J. L. Cleveland and W. R. Roush, *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.*, 2013, **23**, 4374–4380.
- 49 J. T. Davis, P. A. Gale, O. A. Okunola, P. Prados, J. C. Iglesias-Sanchez, T. Torroba and R. Quesada, *Nat. Chem.*, 2009, **1**, 138–144.
- 50 S. Bhosale and S. Matile, *Chirality*, 2006, **18**, 849–856.
- 51 S. Dutta, B. G. Watson, S. Mattoo and J.-C. Rochet, *Bio-Protoc.*, 2020, **10**, e3690.
- 52 S.-P. Zheng, J.-J. Jiang, A. V. D. Lee and M. Barboiu, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2020, **132**, 19082–19088.
- 53 P. A. Gale, C. C. Tong, C. J. E. Haynes, O. Adeosun, D. E. Gross, E. Karnas, E. M. Sedenberg, R. Quesada and J. L. Sessler, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2010, **132**, 3240–3241.
- 54 Z. Li, X.-H. Yu, Y. Chen, D.-Q. Yuan and W.-H. Chen, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2017, **82**, 13368–13375.
- 55 C. J. E. Haynes, N. Busschaert, I. L. Kirby, J. Herniman, M. E. Light, N. J. Wells, I. Marques, V. Félix and P. A. Gale, *Org. Biomol. Chem.*, 2014, **12**, 62–72.

