


Cite this: *RSC Adv.*, 2021, 11, 10836

An amino-substituted 2-(2'-hydroxyphenyl) benzimidazole for the fluorescent detection of phosgene based on an ESIPT mechanism†

Zi-Jie Li,^a Wen-Jie Zhang,^a Wen-Zhu Bi,^{ID} ^{*a} Qiu-Juan Ma,^{ID} ^{*a} Su-Xiang Feng,^{*abc} Xiao-Lan Chen^{ID} ^d and Ling-Bo Qu^d

Received 30th January 2021

Accepted 9th March 2021

DOI: 10.1039/d1ra00811k

rsc.li/rsc-advances

In this work, an ESIPT-based fluorescence probe, 5'-amino-2-(2'-hydroxyphenyl)benzimidazole (**P1**), was synthesized and explored for the ratiometric detection of phosgene. Compared to 2-(2'-hydroxyphenyl) benzimidazole (HBI), **P1** exhibits high sensitivity (LoD = 5.3 nM) and selectivity toward phosgene with the introduction of the amine group. Furthermore, simple **P1** loaded test papers are manufactured and display selective fluorescent detection of phosgene in the gas phase.

Introduction

Phosgene (COCl₂), also called carbonyl chloride, is a kind of colorless gas with a freshly cut grass-like odor and is widely used as an important industrial ingredient for the production of pesticides, pharmaceuticals, dyes, numerous chemicals and materials.^{1–6} On the other hand, due to its fast reaction with alveoli protein, disrupting the blood-air barrier and resulting in suffocation,^{7–9} phosgene is notorious for its abuse as a chemical weapon during World War I.^{10–14} However, unlike other chemical weapons such as sarin, tabun, soman and VX, prohibited by laws all over the world,¹⁵ phosgene can be easily generated by readily available triphosgene in the presence of tertiary amines or chloride ions.^{2,16} The extensive industrial use, high toxicity and easy accessibility make phosgene a serious threat to public health and safety. Therefore, the development of facile and effective strategies for its rapid detection are urgent and necessary.

As to the detection of phosgene, conventional gas chromatography^{17–19} and electrochemical technique²⁰ suffer from obvious drawbacks, such as bad portability, high cost and inconvenience in real-time detection. Nowadays, fluorescent detection methods are well developed and widespread due to their easy manipulation, fast response, high sensitivity and selectivity, and the possibility of real-time detection. Among them, reports on the fluorescent detection of phosgene are still

limited^{12–14} and mainly based on: (i) twice carbamylation reactions of fluorescence probes, which employ *o*-phenylenediamine,²¹ *o*-hydroxyaniline,²² *o*-aminobenzyl amine,²³ catechol,²⁴ ethylenediamine,²⁵ ethanolamine²⁶ or other moieties²⁷ as reactive site; (ii) phosgene-promoted dehydration reaction of fluorescence probes, which employ oxime²⁸ or amide²⁹ as reactive site; and (iii) several other phosgene-induced reactions, including intermolecular reaction of two fluorophores,³⁰ intramolecular reaction of cinnamic acids,³¹ ring opening reaction of benzimidazole-fused rhodamine dye³² and Beckmann rearrangement of ketoxime³³ (Table S2†). However, most of these fluorescence probes are based on mechanisms of photoinduced electron transfer (PeT), intramolecular charge transfer (ICT) or aggregation-induced emission (AIE), which might be disturbed by acetylating,^{21c} phosphorylating agents^{21h} or oxidizing chemicals,^{21e,27g} resulting to false response. And several other drawbacks still need to be overcome, such as incapable of discrimination between triphosgene and phosgene^{21h,21f} and tedious preparation process.^{22,24,25}

Due to the promising advantages, such as high selectivity, high sensitivity and large Stokes shift, excited-state intramolecular proton transfer (ESIPT) based fluorophores has attracted considerable attention in the development of new fluorescence detection methods.³⁴ However, only two ESIPT based fluorescent probes have been developed for the ratiometric detection of phosgene through twice carbamylation of their keto or enol form, *i.e.* 2-(2-aminophenyl)benzothiazole (ABT) reported by Chen *et al.* in 2017³⁵ and 2-(1*H*-phenanthro[9,10-*d*]imidazol-2-yl)phenol (Pi) reported by Wu *et al.* in 2019.³⁶ Despite successful application of the two ESIPT based probes, ABT is unable to discriminate between phosgene and triphosgene, and Pi suffers from high LoD in solution (0.14 μM).

In order to overcome these drawbacks and develop '6S' (simplicity, speed, selectivity, sensitivity, stability and smart) fluorescence probe for the detection of phosgene, we select

^aSchool of Pharmacy, Henan University of Chinese Medicine, Zhengzhou, 450046, China. E-mail: biwenzhu2018@hactcm.edu.cn; Fax: +86 371 65962746; Tel: +86 371 65962746

^bCollaborative Innovation Center for Respiratory Disease Diagnosis and Treatment & Chinese Medicine Development of Henan Province, Zhengzhou, 450046, China

^cZhengzhou Key Laboratory of Chinese Medicine Quality Control and Evaluation, Zhengzhou, 450046, China

^dCollege of Chemistry, Zhengzhou University, Zhengzhou, 450052, China

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. See DOI: 10.1039/d1ra00811k



a readily prepared small molecule, 2-(2'-hydroxyphenyl)benzimidazole (HBI), as our initially explored fluorophore (Scheme 1, R = H). Preliminary experiments in our group showed a fast and sensitive reaction between HBI and phosgene at a concentration of 10 μ M, resulting to an obvious fluorescent intensity increase at 351 nm and decrease at 462 nm. However, no obvious color change was observed under hand-held 365 nm UV light and the selectivity of HBI was not satisfactory (Fig. S1†). Inspired by the fast and sensitive reaction of HBI toward phosgene, we continuously committed our efforts to develop new HBI derivatives with large Stokes shifted emission and high selectivity. Herein, we report an amino modified 2-(2'-hydroxyphenyl)benzimidazole (**P1**) for the ratiometric detection of phosgene (Scheme 1, R = NH₂). Through the introducing of the strong electron-donating amino group at the C5' position of HBI, the fluorescent emission is red-shifted to 540 nm. Upon addition of phosgene, **P1** is converted to a six-membered ring-containing product **P1-CO**, the ESIPT process is disrupted and the emission color changes from yellow to bright blue under hand-held 365 nm UV light. Moreover, compared to HBI, the selectivity of **P1** is also well improved. Furthermore, simple and easily prepared **P1** loaded test papers are fabricated and display an obvious fluorescence color change upon exposure to phosgene in the gas phase.

Experimental section

Reagents and instruments

All reagents and solvents were obtained from commercial suppliers and used without further purification. ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker 400 spectrometer in DMSO-d₆ containing tetramethylsilane as an internal standard. Fluorescence emission spectra were collected by Hitachi F7000 fluorescence spectrometer. UV-Vis absorption spectroscopy measurements were performed on Thermo Evolution 260 Bio at room temperature. High-resolution mass spectra (HRMS) were obtained with a Thermo LTQ Orbitrap mass spectrometer.

Synthesis and characterization of P1

5-Aminosalicylic acid (0.153 g, 1.0 mmol) and *o*-phenylenediamine (0.108 g, 1.0 mmol) were mixed and stirred in polyphosphoric acid (85% phosphorus pentoxide, 10 mL) at 160 °C over a period of 3 h.³⁷ Then the reaction mixture was poured into distilled water (20 mL), and the precipitate were collected and washed by saturated NaHCO₃ aqueous solution to pH 8–9. The obtained crude product was further purified by column chromatography (ethyl acetate: petroleum ether = 3 : 1) to give **P1** (0.108 g, yield 48%) as a purple solid. ¹H NMR (400 MHz,

DMSO-d₆) δ (ppm): 13.01 (br, s, 1H, NH), 12.16 (br, s, 1H, OH), 7.68–7.59 (m, 2H, Ar-H), 7.26–7.23 (m, 3H, Ar-H), 6.79 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1H, Ar-H), 6.72 (dd, *J* = 2.2 Hz, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 1H, Ar-H), 4.70 (br, s, 2H, NH₂); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO-d₆) δ (ppm): 152.59, 149.93, 141.28, 119.68, 117.93, 112.80, 110.89. HRMS: [M + H]⁺: calcd for C₁₃H₁₂N₃O: 226.0975, found: 226.0980.

Synthesis and characterization of P1-CO


P1 (0.113 g, 0.5 mmol) and triethylamine (0.1 mL) were dissolved in dry CH₂Cl₂ (15 mL) at 0 °C, then triphosgene (0.15 g, 0.5 mmol) in dry CH₂Cl₂ (5 mL) was added over a period of 10 min. The mixture was continually stirred at 0 °C until the completion of the reaction. Saturated NaHCO₃ aqueous solution was added into the mixture and extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (20 mL \times 2). The organic phase was collected, dried over anhydrous Na₂SO₄ and evaporated to give the crude product. The crude product was further purified by column chromatography (ethyl acetate: petroleum ether = 1 : 2) to give **P1-CO** (0.098 g, yield 80%) as a brown solid. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d₆) δ (ppm): 8.18–8.16 (m, 1H, Ar-H), 7.86–7.84 (m, 1H, Ar-H), 7.51–7.48 (m, 2H, Ar-H), 7.39 (d, *J* = 2.2 Hz, 1H, Ar-H), 7.28 (d, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 1H, Ar-H), 6.95 (dd, *J* = 2.2 Hz, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 1H, Ar-H), 5.56 (br, s, 2H, NH₂); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO-d₆) δ (ppm): 147.44, 147.23, 143.98, 143.83, 142.99, 130.99, 126.07, 125.15, 120.03, 119.88, 117.76, 114.78, 112.98, 106.60. HRMS: [M + H]⁺: calcd for C₁₄H₁₀N₃O: 252.0768, found: 252.0770.

General analytical experiment procedure

Phosgene was generated by addition of the less-toxic triphosgene to the solution of TEA (triethylamine). Stock solutions of **P1** and triphosgene for UV-Vis and fluorescence titrations were prepared in CH₂Cl₂ (1.0 mM). Stock solution of triethylamine (TEA) was prepared in CH₂Cl₂ (0.1 vol%). Relevant analytes ((COCl)₂, CH₃COCl, SOCl₂, TsCl, DCP, HOAc, POCl₃ and SO₂Cl₂) solutions were also prepared in CH₂Cl₂ (10 mM). The test solutions were prepared by dilution of the stock solutions. For detection of phosgene in solutions: 20 μ L probe solution and equal amount of TEA solution were added and mixed in 2.0 mL of CH₂Cl₂, then triphosgene stock solution (1–12 μ L) was added to generate phosgene. For detection of relevant analytes in solutions: 20 μ L probe solutions and equal amount of TEA solution were added and mixed in 2.0 mL of CH₂Cl₂ and then 10 μ L relevant analytes stock solutions were added. All solutions were kept for 10 min before the UV-Vis and fluorescence testing.


Preparation of P1 loaded test papers and detection of gaseous phosgene

Filter paper was cut into small strips (0.5 cm \times 2.5 cm) and dipped in the **P1** stock solution (1.0 mM), then removed and dried under air. The dip-dry process was repeated for 2 times. For detection of gaseous phosgene: the pre-prepared filter paper was firstly pasted on the inner wall of a 10 mL centrifuge tube, and 20 μ L TEA stock solution (0.1 vol% in CH₂Cl₂) was added by the use of a HPLC needle. Following that, 2 μ L, 4 μ L, 8 μ L, 12 μ L and 16 μ L of triphosgene solution (10 mM in CH₂Cl₂) were added in the centrifugal tube, respectively. Thus, the



Scheme 1 The design strategy of ESIPT-based fluorescence probes for phosgene.



Scheme 2 Synthesis of **P1** and **P1-CO**.

concentration of phosgene was calculated to be 0.6 mg L^{-1} , 1.2 mg L^{-1} , 2.4 mg L^{-1} , 3.6 mg L^{-1} and 4.8 mg L^{-1} , assuming that triphosgene was completely decomposed into gaseous phosgene. The centrifugal tubes were sealed immediately and kept for 10 min, then imaged under 365 nm UV-light. For detection of other gaseous analytes: $(\text{COCl})_2$, CH_3COCl , SOCl_2 , TsCl , DCP , HOAc , POCl_3 and SO_2Cl_2 ($4 \text{ }\mu\text{L}$, 0.1 M in CH_2Cl_2) were added in the above mentioned 10 mL centrifugal tube with our pre-prepared filter paper. Then, the centrifugal tubes were sealed and kept for 10 min. The fluorescent response to each analytes vapor was observed and imaged under 365 nm UV-light, respectively.

Results and discussion

Synthetic process of **P1** and **P1-CO**

As shown in Scheme 2, **P1** was synthesized by one-pot condensation reaction of *o*-phenylenediamine with 5-aminosalicylic acid in PPA at 160°C for 3 h.³⁷ The related proposed sensing product **P1-CO** was synthesized through fast twice carbamylation reaction between **P1** and triphosgene in the presence of TEA with good isolated yield. HPLC-MS analysis of the reaction mixture showed a complete conversion of **P1** and the 5'-amino group did not react with phosgene under this condition (Fig. S7†). The structures of **P1** and **P1-CO** were fully characterized by ^1H NMR, ^{13}C NMR and high-resolution mass spectroscopy (HRMS).

Photo physics property of **P1** and **P1-CO**

As shown in Fig. 1, the photo physics property of the as-synthetic two compounds were investigated. Due to the intra-molecular proton transfer of the hydroxyl and imidazole moieties, **P1** in CH_2Cl_2 ($10 \text{ }\mu\text{M}$) displays the absorption spectral maximum ($\lambda_{\text{max}}^{\text{abs}}$) at 305 and 355 nm and a mainly yellow fluorescent emission maximum ($\lambda_{\text{max}}^{\text{em}}$) at 540 nm. While, the




Fig. 1 UV-Vis absorption (solid) and fluorescence (dash) spectra of $10 \text{ }\mu\text{M}$ **P1** (black) and $10 \text{ }\mu\text{M}$ **P1-CO** (red) in CH_2Cl_2 solutions. Inset: Photos of **P1** (left) and **P1-CO** (right) solutions under 365 nm UV-light.

absorption and fluorescence spectral maximum ($\lambda_{\text{max}}^{\text{abs}}/\lambda_{\text{max}}^{\text{em}}$) of **P1-CO** were observed to be 305/358 nm with a blue fluorescent emission. The fluorescence quantum yields (Φ_f) of **P1** (4.3%) and **P1-CO** (56%) were measured with quinine sulfate as the reference ($\Phi_f = 54\%$ in $1.0 \text{ M H}_2\text{SO}_4$) (Fig. S2†). Therefore, **P1** would be employed as a ratiometric fluorescent probe for detection of phosgene with a fluorescence-emission color change from yellow to blue under 365 nm UV lamp (Fig. 1, inset).

Fluorescence titration of **P1** to phosgene

The fluorescence titration analysis of **P1** to phosgene was performed as shown in Fig. 2A. The fluorescent spectra of **P1** showed a regular change after 0–6 μM triphosgene was added. The emission intensity at 540 nm gradually decreased while the peak at 358 nm increased. The ratio of the emission intensities at 358 and 540 nm was found to depend linearly on the triphosgene concentration over the 0–3.5 μM range (Fig. 2B). The triphosgene detection limit was determined to be 5.3 nM ($\text{LoD} = 3\sigma/k$, where σ is the standard deviation of the blank experiment, and k is the slope of the relationship between the emission-intensity ratio and the phosgene concentration) (Fig. S4†).

Response time of **P1** to phosgene

Time-dependent fluorescence spectral was performed to assess the response time of **P1** to phosgene. As shown in Fig. 3, the fluorescence intensities at 358 nm for $10 \text{ }\mu\text{M}$ **P1** solution with 6 μM triphosgene in the presence (black line) or absence (red line) of TEA ($20 \text{ }\mu\text{L}$, $0.1 \text{ vol}\%$) was recorded. As it can be seen, the fluorescence intensity at 358 nm rapidly increased (within 50 s)




Fig. 2 (A) Fluorescence spectra of $10 \text{ }\mu\text{M}$ **P1** solutions containing TEA ($20 \text{ }\mu\text{L}$, $0.1 \text{ vol}\%$) upon addition of triphosgene (0–6 μM), $\lambda_{\text{ex}} = 305 \text{ nm}$, slit width = $5/5 \text{ nm}$. (B) Linear correlation between the ratio of emission intensities at 358 nm and 540 nm (I_{358}/I_{540}) and the concentration of triphosgene (0–3.5 μM).






Fig. 3 Time-dependent fluorescence intensity at 358 nm of **P1** (10 μM) treated with triphosgene (6 μM) at 200 s in the presence (black line) or absence (red line) of TEA, $\lambda_{\text{ex}} = 305 \text{ nm}$, $\lambda_{\text{em}} = 358 \text{ nm}$, slit width = 5/5 nm.

upon the addition of triphosgene and TEA (black line). On the contrary, there is no obvious change in the fluorescence intensity at 358 nm without TEA (red line). Therefore, due to the different reactivity of **P1** to phosgene and triphosgene, the designed probe **P1** can efficiently discriminate between phosgene and triphosgene.

Sensing mechanism of **P1** to phosgene

Initially, **P1** displayed dual fluorescence emission at 412 nm and the mainly 540 nm (Fig. 1), which should be the sign of ESIPT and indicated the coexistence of the keto and enol form coexist of **P1** in solution.³⁸ Upon addition of phosgene, the hydroxyl and imidazole moieties of **P1** were connected by the carbonyl group, resulting to an intramolecular six-membered ring. The keto-enol tautomerization and the ESIPT process of **P1** was blocked. The bluish fluorescent emission of **P1-CO** could be attributed to the ESIPT 'enol' form. In order to confirm the proposed mechanism, **P1** and the proposed sensing product **P1-CO** were characterized by ^1H NMR. As shown in Fig. 4, the peaks at 12.16 ppm for hydroxyl and 13.01 ppm for the imidazole amino groups disappear, which confirms that these groups serve as the phosgene-recognition sites. Moreover, the proposed sensing product was characterized by HRMS for $\text{C}_{14}\text{H}_{10}\text{N}_3\text{O}$: $\text{M} + \text{H}^+$: calculated 252.0768, found 252.0770 (Fig. S13[†]), indicating that the molecular weight (m/z) of the purified product corresponds with that of **P1-CO**. These data strongly backed the proposed mechanism.




Fig. 4 ^1H NMR spectra of **P1** and **P1-CO**.




Fig. 5 Fluorescence increments at 358 nm and 540 nm relative to 10 μM **P1** solutions containing TEA (20 μL , 0.1 vol%) before and after addition of triphosgene (6 μM) or various analytes (50 μM) for 10 min: (1) blank, (2) triphosgene, (3) $(\text{COCl})_2$, (4) CH_3COCl , (5) SOCl_2 , (6) TsCl , (7) DCP , (8) HOAc , (9) POCl_3 , (10) SO_2Cl_2 . $\lambda_{\text{ex}} = 305 \text{ nm}$. Inset: Photo of above solutions under 365 nm UV-light.

Sensing selectivity of **P1** to phosgene

The selectivity of **P1** for the detection of phosgene over various potential interferents were assessed. As shown in Fig. 5, 10 μM **P1** solutions containing TEA (20 μL , 0.1 vol%) were treated with phosgene (triphosgene (6 μM)) and 50 μM interferents solutions. The fluorescence spectroscopy reveals a clear change for phosgene at 358 nm (Fig. S5[†]). The fluorescence increments at 358 nm and 540 nm exhibits a highly specific and selective detection of phosgene over various acyl chlorides. Moreover, the selectivity of **P1** can be obviously observed under 365 nm UV-light from yellow to blue only for phosgene (Fig. 5, inset). Furthermore, the determination of phosgene in the presence of these interfering compounds were performed. As shown in Fig. S6 and Table S1,[†] the fluorescent intensities at 358 nm were not significantly affected by these interfering compounds at 5.0 μM and good recovery rate was obtained (from 87.8% to 108.9%). However, increased concentration (10 μM) would cause obvious fluorescent intensity decrease. This might be due to the block of recognition sites by interfering compounds at high concentration.

Detection of gaseous phosgene and other vapors

In order to investigate the possible application of **P1** for the detection of gaseous phosgene, simple and low cost **P1** test papers were prepared. As shown in Fig. 6, **P1** test paper exhibited yellow fluorescence under 365 nm UV light. After




Fig. 6 Photographic images of **P1** test papers upon exposure to various amounts of gaseous phosgene generated by triphosgene and TEA in 10 mL centrifugal tubes. (1) 0 mg L^{-1} , (2) 0.6 mg L^{-1} , (3) 1.2 mg L^{-1} , (4) 2.4 mg L^{-1} , (5) 3.6 mg L^{-1} , (6) 4.8 mg L^{-1} .




Fig. 7 Photographic images of **P1** test papers upon exposure to (A) phosgene gas (1.2 mg L^{-1}) and the vapors of potential interferents ($4 \mu\text{L}$, 0.1 M in CH_2Cl_2) and (B) phosgene gas (1.2 mg L^{-1}) after exposure to the vapors of potential interferents. (1) Blank, (2) phosgene, (3) $(\text{COCl})_2$, (4) CH_3COCl , (5) SOCl_2 , (6) TsCl , (7) DCP , (8) HOAc , (9) POCl_3 , (10) SO_2Cl_2 .

exposure to various amounts of gaseous phosgene (0 – 4.8 mg L^{-1}), a yellow-green-blue fluorescence color change was clearly observed. Moreover, the selectivity of **P1** loaded test paper to phosgene over other related analytes were also investigated (Fig. 7). The results showed that **P1** test paper did not give false responses to other potential interferents. More importantly, the detection of gaseous phosgene were also founded effective even though the test paper was pre-exposed to the vapors of potential interferents. Therefore, based on all these results, **P1** can be employed for selective detection of phosgene both in solutions and in gas phase.

Conclusions

In summary, we have designed and synthesized a new 5'-amino-2-(2'-hydroxyphenyl)benzimidazole (**P1**) for the fluorescent detection of phosgene both in solution and in gas phase. This probe employs hydroxyl and imidazole moieties as recognition sites to trap phosgene and bears a strong electron donating amino group to expand the Stokes shifted emission and improve the selectivity. Through twice carbamylation of the recognition sites, the ESPT process of **P1** is forbidden and an obvious fluorescent color change from yellow to blue can be easily observed by naked eyes. This probe is sensitive ($\text{LoD} = 5.3 \text{ nM}$) and highly selective toward phosgene over triphosgene and other acyl chlorides. Furthermore, **P1** loaded test paper was fabricated and successfully applied for selective detection of gaseous phosgene with an easily observed yellow to blue color change.

Author contributions

Zi-Jie Li and Wen-Jie Zhang: methodology, formal analysis, investigation, visualization. Wen-Zhu Bi: conceptualization, analysis, writing-review & editing. Qiu-Juan Ma and Su-Xiang Feng: writing – review & editing, validation. Xiao-Lan Chen and Ling-Bo Qu: validation, supervision.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the Zhongjing Scholars Research Funding of Henan University of Chinese Medicine (No. 00104311-2020-1).

Notes and references

- 1 H. Babad and A. G. Zeiler, *Chem. Rev.*, 1973, **73**, 75.
- 2 H. Eckert and B. Forster, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 1987, **26**, 894.
- 3 L. Cotarca, P. Delogu, A. Nardelli and V. Sunjic, *Synthesis*, 1996, 553.
- 4 W. Su, W. Zhong, G. Bian, X. Shi and J. Zhang, *Org. Prep. Proced. Int.*, 2004, **36**, 499.
- 5 S. Huang, B. Yan, S. Wang and X. Ma, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2015, **44**, 3079.
- 6 M. O. Ganiu, B. Nepal, J. P. Van Houten and R. Kartika, *Tetrahedron*, 2020, **76**, 131553.
- 7 W. Li, F. Liu, C. Wang, H. Truebel and J. Pauluhn, *Toxicol. Sci.*, 2012, **131**, 612.
- 8 A. M. Sciuto, *Arch. Toxicol.*, 1998, **72**, 283.
- 9 W. W. Holmes, B. M. Keyser, D. C. Paradiso, R. Ray, D. K. Andres, B. J. Benton, C. C. Rothwell, H. M. Hoard-Fruchey, J. F. Dillman, A. M. Sciuto and D. R. Anderson, *Toxicol. Lett.*, 2016, **244**, 8.
- 10 S. A. Cucinell and E. Arsenal, *Arch. Environ. Health*, 1974, **28**, 272.
- 11 Y.-C. Yang, J. A. Baker and J. R. Ward, *Chem. Rev.*, 1992, **92**, 1729.
- 12 N. Kwon, Y. Hu and J. Yoon, *ACS Omega*, 2018, **3**, 13731.
- 13 L. Chen, D. Wu and J. Yoon, *ACS Sens.*, 2018, **3**, 27.
- 14 S. Costanzi, J. Machado and M. Mitchell, *ACS Chem. Neurosci.*, 2018, **9**, 873.
- 15 S. L. Bartelt-Hunt, D. R. U. Knappe and M. A. Barlaz, *Crit. Rev. Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 2008, **38**, 112.
- 16 L. Pasquato, G. Modena, L. Cotarca, P. Delogu and S. Mantovani, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2000, **65**, 8224.
- 17 L. J. Priestley, F. E. Critchfield, N. H. Ketcham and J. D. Cavender, *Anal. Chem.*, 1965, **37**, 70.
- 18 G. G. Esposito, D. Lillian, G. E. Podolak and R. M. Tuggle, *Anal. Chem.*, 1977, **49**, 1774.
- 19 M. Palit, D. Pardasani, A. K. Gupta and D. K. Dubey, *Anal. Chem.*, 2005, **77**, 711.
- 20 H. B. Singh, D. Lillian and A. Appleby, *Anal. Chem.*, 1975, **47**, 860.
- 21 (a) Y. Hu, L. Chen, H. Jung, Y. Zeng, S. Lee, K. M. Swamy, X. Zhou, M. H. Kim and J. Yoon, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2016, **8**, 22246; (b) H. C. Xia, X. H. Xu and Q. H. Song, *ACS Sens.*, 2016, **2**, 178; (c) W. Q. Zhang, K. Cheng, X. Yang, Q. Y. Li, H. Zhang, Z. Ma, H. Lu, H. Wu and X. J. Wang, *Org. Chem. Front.*, 2017, **4**, 1719; (d) H. Xie, Y. Wu, F. Zeng, J. Chen and S. Wu, *Chem. Commun.*, 2017, **53**, 9813; (e) H. C. Xia, X. H. Xu and Q. H. Song, *Anal. Chem.*, 2017, **89**, 4192; (f) S. L. Wang, L. Zhong and Q. H. Song, *Chem.-Eur. J.*, 2018, **24**, 5652; (g) K. Cheng, N. Yang, Q. Y. Li, X. W. Gao and X. J. Wang, *ACS Omega*,



- 2019, **4**, 22557; (h) L. Zeng, H. Zeng, L. Jiang, S. Wang, J. T. Hou and J. Yoon, *Anal. Chem.*, 2019, **91**, 12070.
- 22 (a) L. Zeng, H. Zeng, S. Wang, S. Wang, J. T. Hou and J. Yoon, *Chem. Commun.*, 2019, **55**, 13753; (b) Y. L. Fu, Y. Y. Chong, H. Li, W. Feng and Q. H. Song, *Chem.-Eur. J.*, 2021, DOI: 10.1002/chem.202005169.
- 23 (a) M. Sayar, E. Karakus, T. Guner, B. Yildiz, U. H. Yildiz and M. Emrullahoglu, *Chem.-Eur. J.*, 2018, **24**, 3136; (b) T. Cao, D. Gong, L. Zheng, J. Wang, J. Qian, W. Liu, Y. Cao, K. Iqbal, W. Qin and A. Iqbal, *Anal. Chim. Acta*, 2019, **1078**, 168.
- 24 T. I. Kim, D. Kim, J. Bouffard and Y. Kim, *Sens. Actuators, B*, 2019, **283**, 458.
- 25 (a) X. Wu, Z. Wu, Y. Yang and S. Han, *Chem. Commun.*, 2012, **48**, 1895; (b) Y. Zhang, A. Peng, X. Jie, Y. Lv, X. Wang and Z. Tian, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2017, **9**, 13920; (c) P. Liu, N. Liu, C. Liu, Y. Jia, L. Huang, G. Zhou, C. Li and S. Wang, *Dyes Pigm.*, 2019, **163**, 489.
- 26 Q. Hu, C. Duan, J. Wu, D. Su, L. Zeng and R. Sheng, *Anal. Chem.*, 2018, **90**, 8686.
- 27 (a) S. L. Wang, L. Zhong and Q. H. Song, *Chem. Commun.*, 2017, **53**, 1530; (b) W. Zhou, Q. Chen, A. Wu, Y. Zhang and W. Yu, *J. Chin. Chem. Soc.*, 2020, **67**, 1213; (c) W. Feng, S. Gong, E. Zhou, X. Yin and G. Feng, *Anal. Chim. Acta*, 2018, **1029**, 97; (d) Q. Hu, Q. Huang, K. Liang, Y. Wang, Y. Mao, Q. Yin and H. Wang, *Dyes Pigm.*, 2020, **176**, 108229; (e) L. Patra, K. Aich, S. Gharami and T. K. Mondal, *Sens. Actuators, B*, 2021, **326**, 128837; (f) S. L. Wang, C. Li and Q. H. Song, *Anal. Chem.*, 2019, **91**, 5690; (g) L. Yang, F. Wang, J. Zhao, X. Kong, K. Lu, M. Yang, J. Zhang, Z. Sun and J. You, *Talanta*, 2021, **221**, 121477; (h) L. Yang, Z. Sun, Z. Li, X. Kong, F. Wang, X. Liu, J. Tang, M. Ping and J. You, *Anal. Methods*, 2019, **11**, 4600; (i) A. Gangopadhyay and A. K. Mahapatra, *New J. Chem.*, 2019, **43**, 11743; (j) L. Yang, F. Wang, Z. Sun, X. Kong and Y. Kong, *Anal. Methods*, 2020, **12**, 3123; (k) X. Z. Wei, Y. L. Fu, M. J. Xue and Q. H. Song, *Org. Lett.*, 2019, **21**, 9497; (l) S. L. Wang, C. L. Zhang and Q. H. Song, *J. Mater. Chem. C*, 2019, **7**, 1510.
- 28 (a) T. I. Kim, B. Hwang, J. Bouffard and Y. Kim, *Anal. Chem.*, 2017, **89**, 12837; (b) L. Bai, W. Feng and G. Feng, *Dyes Pigm.*, 2019, **163**, 483; (c) K. Maiti, D. Ghosh, R. Maiti, V. Vyas, P. Datta, D. Mandal and D. K. Maiti, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2019, **7**, 1756; (d) B. Ma, X. Wang, S. Gao, L. Qi, Y. Xu, J. Yang and G. Zuo, *Dyes Pigm.*, 2020, **177**, 108279.
- 29 (a) M. Du, B. Huo, J. Liu, M. Li, A. Shen, X. Bai, Y. Lai, L. Fang and Y. Yang, *J. Mater. Chem. C*, 2018, **6**, 10472; (b) A. Gangopadhyay, S. S. Ali and A. K. Mahapatra, *ChemistrySelect*, 2019, **4**, 8968.
- 30 (a) H. Zhang and D. M. Rudkevich, *Chem. Commun.*, 2007, 1238; (b) A. P. Vargas, F. Gámez, J. Roales, T. Lopes-Costa and J. M. Pedrosa, *ACS Sens.*, 2018, **3**, 1627.
- 31 P. Kundu and K. C. Hwang, *Anal. Chem.*, 2012, **84**, 4594.
- 32 Y. Hu, X. Zhou, H. Jung, S. J. Nam, M. H. Kim and J. Yoon, *Anal. Chem.*, 2018, **90**, 3382.
- 33 Y. L. Huang, W. Ye, Y. T. Su, Z. Y. Wu and H. Zheng, *Dyes Pigm.*, 2020, **173**, 107854.
- 34 (a) K. Akutsu, S. Mori, K. Shinmei, H. Iwase, Y. Nakano and Y. Fujii, *Talanta*, 2016, **146**, 575; (b) F. S. Rodembusch, F. P. Leusin, L. B. Bordignon, M. R. Gallas and V. Stefani, *J. Photochem. Photobiol., A*, 2005, **173**, 81; (c) K. Takagi, Y. Yamada, R. Fukuda, M. Ehara and D. Takeuchi, *New J. Chem.*, 2018, **42**, 5923; (d) M. L. Odyneć, S. J. Park, J. E. Gardiner, E. C. Webb, A. C. Sedgwick, J. Yoon, S. D. Bull, H. M. Kim and T. D. James, *Chem. Sci.*, 2020, **11**, 7329.
- 35 L. Chen, D. Wu, J. M. Kim and J. Yoon, *Anal. Chem.*, 2017, **89**, 12596.
- 36 C. Wu, H. Xu, Y. Li, R. Xie, P. Li, X. Pang, Z. Zhou, B. Gu, H. Li and Y. Zhang, *Talanta*, 2019, **200**, 78.
- 37 E. Barni, P. Savarino, M. Marzona and M. Piva, *J. Heterocycl. Chem.*, 1983, **20**, 1517.
- 38 Y. Zhao, Y. Ding, Y. Yang, W. Shi and Y. Li, *Org. Chem. Front.*, 2019, **6**, 597.

