Chemical Science

EDGE ARTICLE

Cite this: Chem. Sci., 2021, 12, 5825

C All publication charges for this article have been paid for by the Royal Society of Chemistry

Received 4th February 2021 Accepted 17th March 2021

DOI: 10.1039/d1sc00694k

rsc.li/chemical-science

Introduction

Ethane, as the second abundant constituent of natural gas $(\sim 10\%)$ and one of the major pollutants emitted from both biogenic and anthropogenic sources $(\sim]9.57$ Tg per year), can be utilized to produce value-added chemical substances such as ethanol, acetaldehyde, acetic acid, ethylene, and hydrochloric ether.²⁻⁴ Although ethyl hydroperoxide (CH₃CH₂OOH) could be generated in ethane oxidation, it is generally regarded as an intermediate species due to its facile decomposition.^{5,6} However, the synthesis of $CH₃CH₂OOH$ is very attractive because it is a reservoir for both reactive oxygen species (ROS) such as \rm{HO}_{x} radicals and $^{1} \rm{O}_{2}$, and some carbon-containing free radicals such as alkyl, alkyloxy, and alkylperoxyl radicals.⁶⁻⁹ In the presence of a trace amount of enzyme, hematin, or some metal ions, these active species can be released by $CH₃CH₂OOH$ to regulate the oxidative stress in the cell, as a promising approach for cancer therapy.⁷⁻¹³ This will even outperform the present photodynamic therapy techniques that are highly dependent on the oxygen and external light.¹³–¹⁵ Thus, achieving

Highly efficient visible-light photocatalytic ethane oxidation into ethyl hydroperoxide as a radical reservoir†

Yao Zhu, \ddagger ^a Siyua[n](http://orcid.org/0000-0002-5358-8667) [Fa](http://orcid.org/0000-0002-5358-8667)ng, \ddagger ^b Shaoqin Chen,^a Youjie Tong,^a Chunling Wang^a and Yun Hang Hu^{D*ab}

Photocatalytic ethane conversion into value-added chemicals is a great challenge especially under visible light irradiation. The production of ethyl hydroperoxide (CH₃CH₂OOH), which is a promising radical reservoir for regulating the oxidative stress in cells, is even more challenging due to its facile decomposition. Here, we demonstrated a design of a highly efficient visible-light-responsive photocatalyst, Au/WO₃, for ethane oxidation into CH₃CH₂OOH, achieving an impressive yield of 1887 μ mol g_{cat}⁻¹ in two hours under visible light irradiation at room temperature for the first time. Furthermore, thermal energy was introduced into the photocatalytic system to increase the driving force for ethane oxidation, enhancing CH₃CH₂OOH production by six times to 11 233 µmol g_{cat}^{-1} at 100 °C and achieving a significant apparent quantum efficiency of 17.9% at 450 nm. In addition, trapping active species and isotope-labeling reactants revealed the reaction pathway. These findings pave the way for scalable ethane conversion into CH₃CH₂OOH as a potential anticancer drug. EDGE ARTICLE

(a) Check for undetermined the state of the state of the state of the control of the state of the state

the stable conversion of ethane into $CH₃CH₂OOH$ is highly desirable.

Compared with traditional catalytic processes, photocatalytic ethane conversion is much more intriguing because it can take place under a milder condition with a lower cost.^{1,16-25} So far, TiO₂, ZnO, CeO₂, MoO₃, and V_2O_5 have been explored as the photocatalysts for ethane oxidation, 2^{2-26} but only UV light can be absorbed due to their large band gaps. However, in the solar irradiation spectrum, UV light accounts for only 3%, while visible light constitutes 44%.27,28 Thus, it is highly expected to develop visible-light-responsive photocatalysts for ethane oxidation. Here, $WO₃$ is a promising candidate with a narrow band gap (2.4–2.8 eV) and a high resistance to photo-corrosion.^{29,30} Nevertheless, pure WO_3 generally shows a high charge carrier recombination rate, requiring modifications such as loading cocatalysts, introducing dopants, and adjusting morphologies. $31-33$ Modified WO₃ has been successfully applied in water splitting, pollutant degradation, and solar energy conversion,^{34,35} and is desirable to achieve efficient ethane oxidation under visible light irradiation. This is the first hypothesis of the present work.

Being able to absorb visible light cannot ensure the effective utilization of visible light to drive corresponding redox reactions. For example, Pt-loaded black $TiO₂$ could absorb visible light, but it exhibited a negligible activity for water splitting under visible light irradiation.³⁶ Here, as revealed in our previous work, though a narrow band gap of the photocatalyst allows visible light absorption, it meanwhile causes the insuf ficient driving force for the redox reactions, resulting in a poor

a School of Environmental Science and Engineering, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, Shanghai 200240, China

b Department of Materials Science and Engineering, Michigan Technological University, Houghton, Michigan 49931, USA. E-mail: yunhangh@mtu.edu

[†] Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: Characterizations of Au/WO $_3$, schematic reactor, 1 H-NMR and GC-MS spectra of the products. See DOI: 10.1039/d1sc00694k

[‡] These authors contributed equally.

catalytic performance.³⁷ The introduction of thermal energy into the photocatalytic system, as a novel thermo-photo catalytic approach, can effectively address this issue. This is because the kinetic energy of the reactants increased by the temperature rise can compensate the potential driving force for corresponding redox reactions. As a result, the visible-light driven photocatalytic activities were significantly improved in a series of chemical processes including water splitting, $37-39$ CO₂ reforming of CH₄,⁴⁰ steam reforming of CH₄,⁴¹ CO hydrogenation,⁴² and $CO₂$ hydrogenation.⁴³ Based on our previous successes, introducing thermal energy is expected to enhance the efficiency of ethane oxidation under visible light irradiation, which constitutes the second hypothesis of this work.

On top of these two hypotheses, revealing the reaction pathway of photocatalytic ethane oxidation is even more essential. On the one hand, multiple products with various oxidation degrees might be generated in ethane oxidation. Elucidating the reaction pathway will help to exploit the strategies to enhance the selectivity for the desirable product. On the other hand, identifying the roles of each reactants (e.g., oxygen and water) will contribute to the exploration of approaches to accelerate the reaction. So far, only a few efforts have been made, mainly based on the results of nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy,⁴⁴ in situ infrared spectroscopy and trapping active species,^{25,45} whereas the solid and comprehensive investigation into the reaction mechanism has not been conducted yet.

In this work, $Au/WO₃$ was designed and synthesized as a visible-light-responsive photocatalyst for visible-light driven photocatalytic ethane oxidation in the presence of oxygen and water. An impressive yield of CH₃CH₂OOH (1887 μ mol $g_{\text{cat}}^{-1})$ was obtained in the two-hour visible-light driven photocatalytic process at room temperature for the first time, which was further enhanced by six times via elevating the reaction temperature to 100 \degree C, with a high selectivity of 63.6% and an apparent quantum efficiency up to 17.9% at 450 nm. More importantly, the synergistic roles of the $Au/WO₃$ catalyst, visible light irradiation, and thermal energy in efficient ethane oxidation into $CH₃CH₂OOH$, as well as the comprehensive reaction mechanism of ethane conversion, were uncovered.

Results and discussion

Design and synthesis of $Au/WO₃$ as a visible-light-responsive catalyst

There are three requirements for designing an efficient photocatalyst. First, the band structure of the catalyst must match the redox potentials of the desirable reaction. Second, it can absorb as much light as possible. Third, photo-generated electrons and holes can be effectively separated to drive corresponding redox reactions. In this light, to create an ideal catalyst for ethane oxidation, we selected WO_3 as an active component due to its narrow band gap for visible light response and Au as a promoter since it can not only assist charge transfer but also enhance light harvest due to the surface plasmon resonance (SPR) effect.30,46

Fig. 1 TEM images of $Au/WO₃$. (a) TEM image. (b) and (c) HRTEM images. (d) HAADF-STEM image. (e) Au element EDX map. (f) W element EDX map. (g) O element EDX map.

 $Au/WO₃$ was prepared by photo-depositing Au onto monoclinic WO₃ nanoparticles. The Au loading amount was 0.33 wt% as determined by ICP-MS. In spite of the small loading amount, a weak peak corresponding to metallic Au (at 38.337°) was observed in the XRD pattern (Fig. $S1\dagger$).⁴⁷ The morphological structure of $Au/WO₃$ is shown in Fig. 1a, where Au nanoparticles with an average size of \sim 16 nm dispersed on the surface of WO₃ (see Fig. S2† for the size distribution of Au). Moreover, the dspacings of lattice fringes of 0.260, 0.230, and 0.202 nm were recognized (Fig. 1b and c), attributed to $WO₃(202)$, Au(111), and Au(200), respectively.^{47,48} Furthermore, elemental mapping (Fig. 1d–g) revealed the uniform distribution of Au, W, and O. The BET surface area and the BJH average pore diameter and volume of this catalyst were determined as 4.51 $\mathrm{m^2\,g^{-1}}$, 262 Å, and 0.0368 cm³ g^{-1} , respectively (Fig. S3[†]). In addition, both lattice oxygen (O^{2-}) and adsorbed oxygen $(O^{-}$ and $O_2^{-})$ were observed in the O 1s XPS spectrum (Fig. S4a†),⁴⁸ while W existed in the +6 state with the peaks for W $4f_{7/2}$ and W $4f_{5/2}$ shifted to higher values compared with those for pure $WO₃$, indicating the strong interaction between Au and WO₃ (Fig. S4b†). The Au 4f XPS spectrum of the catalyst was just the same as the standard one of metallic Au (Fig. S4c†).⁴⁷ Chemical Science

The interded on the probability of the temperature

The same of the results are resulted only the properties are considered under a component of the common

Superior and the position-NonCommercial 3.0 Ma

> The UV-vis absorption spectra of $Au/WO₃$ and $WO₃$ are shown in Fig. 2a. Compared with WO_3 , Au/WO₃ showed an enhanced light absorption from 450 to 700 nm with an absorption peak at 550 nm, owing to the SPR effect of Au nanoparticles.⁴⁶ The band gap of Au/WO₃ was then calculated to be 2.43 eV, enabling a visible-light driven photocatalytic process. To ensure that the thermodynamic requirements are satisfied, the band structure of $Au/WO₃$ was analysed as following. The Mott–Schottky plots (Fig. S5†) indicated that the Fermi level locates at 0.71 V (vs. NHE) while the valence-band XPS spectrum (Fig. S4d†) showed a main absorption onset at 1.51 eV, by which the valence band maximum was estimated to be 2.22 V (vs. NHE). Considering the band gap of 2.43 eV, the conduction band minimum was then obtained as -0.21 V (vs. NHE). Thereby, the band structure of $Au/WO₃$ was depicted in Fig. 2b, consistent with reported ones.^{46,49}

> Here, a series of reduction reactions would take place with photo-generated electrons, forming O_2 , H_2O_2 , and 'OH. The

Fig. 2 Optical and photoelectric properties of the catalyst. (a) UVvisible absorption spectra of Au/WO_3 and WO_3 . (b) Band structure of Au/WO₃ and redox potentials of possible reactions. (c) Photocurrenttime curves of Au/WO₃ and WO₃. (d) Linear sweep voltammetry curves of Au/WO₃ and WO₃.

reduction of gas-phase O_2 cannot happen since its redox potential $(-0.33 \text{ V} \text{ vs. NHE})$ is above the conduction band minimum, but dissolved O_2 can be reduced with a favorable redox potential of -0.16 V vs. NHE.⁵⁰⁻⁵² This implies the vital importance of carrying out ethane oxidation in an aqueous condition. Moreover, owing to this unique band structure, the reduction of H^+ into H_2 is inhibited, effectively enhancing the production of above oxidizing agents. Meanwhile, photogenerated holes could oxidize water with 'OH as the byproduct. The formation of these ROS would make a great contribution to ethane oxidation.

Moreover, $Au/WO₃$ showed a high efficiency for separating photo-generated electrons and holes as demonstrated by its stable photocurrent of 1.74 μ A cm⁻² under visible light irradiation, which was much higher than that of WO₃ $(1.23 \mu A \text{ cm}^{-2})$ (Fig. 2c). This agreed well with the result of linear sweep voltammetry (Fig. 2d), namely, enhanced current density was acquired on Au/WO₃ compared with that of WO₃. Therefore, the aforementioned three requirements for designing a highperformance photocatalyst are met, highlighting the great

promise of $Au/WO₃$ as a highly efficient photocatalyst for ethane oxidation under visible light irradiation.

Visible-light driven photocatalytic ethane oxidation over Au/ WO₂

As shown in Fig. S6,† photocatalytic ethane oxidation was carried out in a sealed reactor under visible light irradiation (λ) >420 nm, 100 mW $\rm cm^{-2}$). Besides ethane and oxygen, water was also introduced into the reactor to dissolve oxygen to enable a thermodynamically favorable photocatalytic process. As expected, the two-hour room-temperature photocatalytic reaction over Au/WO₃ catalyst (35 °C as measured by the thermocouple) resulted in an impressive yield of $CH₃CH₂OOH$ (1887 µmol g_{cat}^{-1}), together with some CH₃CHO (621 μ mol g_{cat}^{-1}) and CH₃COOH (585 µmol g_{cat}^{-1}), surpassing current reports (at room temperature) even though their yields were obtained under UV light or simulated sunlight irradiation (Table 1).

Here, considering the intrinsic issue of visible-light photocatalysis, namely, the contradiction between visible light absorption and sufficient driving force, we propose that the introduction of thermal energy, which can help to break the kinetic limitation of the reaction, will greatly promote ethane oxidation under visible light irradiation. By analysing the band structure of Au/WO₃, the driving forces for O_2 reduction (i.e., energy difference between the redox potential of dissolved O_2 / C_2 ⁻ and the conduction band minimum) and H₂O oxidation (*i.e.*, energy difference between the redox potential of 'OH/H₂O and the valence band maximum) were found as small as 0.05 and 0.25 V, respectively. The introduction of thermal energy by simply increasing the reaction temperature will enhance the kinetic energy of the reactants, thus providing additional kinetic driving force for the semi-reactions. The compensation effect of the kinetic driving force with regards to the potential

Fig. 3 Photocatalytic ethane oxidation over $Au/WO₃$. (a) Visible-light driven ethane oxidation at various temperatures (reacting for 2 h in 20 mL water). (b) Ethane oxidation under various lights (reacting for 2 h in 20 mL water at 100 $^{\circ}$ C). (c) Visible-light driven ethane oxidation with various water volumes (reacting for 2 h at 100 °C). (d) Visible-light driven ethane oxidation with various reaction times (in 20 mL water at $100 °C$).

driving force is highly expected to lead to highly efficient ethane oxidation under visible light irradiation.

To demonstrate above hypothesis, photocatalytic ethane oxidation was carried out at 50, 100, 150, 180, and 200 °C. The results are shown in Fig. 3a, where the yield of CH_3CH_2OOH reached 11 233 μ mol g_{cat}^{-1} at 100 °C, which was six times of that obtained at room temperature. The production of $CH₃CHO$ and $CH₃COOH$ was also enhanced, with a new high-value product, $CH₃CH₂OH$, being obtained. These products were further evidenced by ${}^{1}H$ NMR (Fig. S7 \dagger) and GC-MS (Fig. S8-S11[†]). The significant production efficiencies outperform not only all the reported values (Table 1), but also those obtained with other catalysts under the same test condition (Fig. S12†), indicating the superiority of the $Au/WO₃$ catalyst. Herein, Au contributed to both charge separation and light harvest due to SPR as demonstrated by the fact that loading other metals without evident SPR effect showed much poorer efficiencies. However, although the increase of the Au loading amount from 0.08 to 0.33 wt% promoted ethane oxidation, excessive Au loading of 0.69 wt% resulted in the decreased yield (Fig. S13†) because Au nanoparticles could also act as the recombination centers of electrons and holes.^{53,54} Furthermore, different from other reports with $CH₃CHO$ as the major product, the selectivity of $CH₃CH₂OOH$ we obtained was as high as 63.6%, marking an effective way to produce such an easy-to-decompose substance which is promising for cancer therapy. As-produced liquid could be further purified by column chromatography, etc., for further application. The apparent quantum efficiency over Au/ $WO₃$ at 100 °C was measured as high as 17.9% at 450 nm, again demonstrating the excellent ethane oxidation performance achieved by thermo-photo catalysis. The decrease of the yield in the temperature range from 150 to 200 \degree C was due to the overoxidation of ethane into $CO₂$ and the decreased solubility of feed gases, which were supported by the enhanced $CO₂$ yield and lowered total yield, respectively. Chemical Science

Published on the constrained on 18 March 2021. The constrained on 1/2021 and the state of the state

> In contrast, as shown in Fig. 3b, in the process at 100 $^{\circ}$ C without illumination, negligible oxidation products were detected, evidencing the little contribution of thermal catalysis to ethane oxidation at this temperature. In addition, the activity under simulated sunlight irradiation (AM1.5G) was just slightly higher than that obtained under visible light irradiation, indicating that the activity of ethane oxidation was directly correlated to photo energy (as distributed by light wavelengths). Furthermore, light irradiation with wavelengths above 510 nm resulted in very low yields of ethane oxidation products because these lights cannot be absorbed by $Au/WO₃$ (with a band gap of 2.43 eV) to generate electrons and holes. This also implied the very limited contribution of hot electrons of Au derived from the plasmonic effect to ethane oxidation. These observations demonstrated that ethane oxidation under illumination at 100 \degree C still followed a photocatalytic pathway. Therefore, our hypothesis (that the introduction of thermal energy can accelerate the photocatalytic process of ethane oxidation under visible light irradiation by enhancing the driving force) was exactly confirmed.

> Water plays a key role in photocatalytic ethane oxidation since negligible product was detected in the reaction without

Fig. 4 Identification of the reaction pathway and active species in visible-light driven photocatalytic ethane oxidation over $Au/WO₃$. (a) Conversion efficiency using ethanol, acetaldehyde, or acetic acid as the reactant. (b) Normalized yield obtained with the employment of trapping agents.

water (Fig. 3c). Besides enabling a process with dissolved O_2 as the reduced species to meet the thermodynamic requirement of photocatalysis, water also accelerates ethane oxidation via the following two aspects. On the one hand, water is the source of some ROS such as 'OH and H_2O_2 . On the other hand, water could serve as an effective solvent for the oxidation products, cleaning the catalyst surface and regenerating the active sites. Both aspects could be promoted at an appropriately elevated temperature due to the enhanced water dissociation (to form OH^- which can be oxidized into 'OH more easily) and the accelerated desorption of the products. However, excessive amount of water led to the decrease of the yield, because in such a three-phase system, the contact between the feed gases and catalyst could be suppressed by water. The prolongation of the reaction from 2 to 10 hours showed a nearly linear enhancement in ethane oxidation (Fig. 3d), indicating there was no evident photo-corrosion. However, the time extension mainly promoted the overoxidation into $CH₃COOH$ and $CO₂$ while lowered the selectivity of CH₃CH₂OOH. Thus, considering the time effectiveness, activity, and selectivity, two-hour reaction was the best.

Reaction pathway

Since multiple oxidation products with various oxidation degrees were detected, visible-light driven ethane oxidation over $Au/WO₃$ is highly possible to undergo a stepwise process. When using $CH₃CH₂OH$ as the reactant, its conversion efficiency was as high as 96.9%, generating the same types of products as those obtained from ethane oxidation (Fig. 4a), indicating that $CH₃CH₂OH$ was an important intermediate species. The major product was $CH₃COOH$, while the generation of $CH₃CH₂OOH$, as the most abundant and desirable product, showed a poor selectivity of 13.2% (much below that of 63.6% in ethane oxidation), suggesting that $CH₃CH₂OH$ might not be the main

intermediate to $CH₃CH₂OOH$. Besides, the conversion efficiency of $CH₃CHO$ was just 60.0%, with $CH₃COOH$ as the dominant product with a high selectivity of 91.3%, revealing that CH₃CHO was a key intermediate to CH₃COOH. Only minor amount of $CH₃COOH$ could be further converted. Above results implied $CH₃CH₂OOH$ was not mainly produced from $CH₃$ - $CH₂OH$, $CH₃CHO$, and $CH₃COOH$, but, as a primary product directly generated from ethane.^{3,44}

Moreover, to reveal the role of active species in photocatalytic ethane oxidation, trapping agents, including p-benzoquinone (p-BQ), tertiary butyl alcohol (TBA), and ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid disodium salt dihydrate (EDTA–2Na), were employed to trap 'O₂ \degree , 'OH, and holes, respectively. A small addition of p -BQ (10 mM) led to a 94.4% decrease in the total yield (Fig. 4b), indicating the vital importance of O_2 . In the photocatalytic reduction semi-reaction, ' O_2 ⁻ was first produced, by which $H₂O₂$ and 'OH could be formed successively. Therefore, trapping O_2 ⁻ would result in an evident decrease of ROS and thereby a low yield. Moreover, O_2 ⁻ played a key role for generating CH_3CH_2OOH , which would be revealed in the following part. In contrast, the effect induced by trapping holes was smaller, causing a 45.6% decrease of the total yield. As proposed in Fig. 2b, holes would react with $H₂O$ to form 'OH, which could then contribute to ethane oxidation. Even though holes were trapped, 'OH could still be produced from the reduction semi-reaction, thus the influence on the yield was not very much noticeable. Moreover, by trapping 'OH, the production of $CH₃CH₂OOH$ was slightly affected while the yields of $CH₃CHO$ and $CH₃COOH$ significantly decreased, indicating that 'OH is directly correlated to the formation of $CH₃CHO$ and $CH₃COOH$ but not $CH₃CH₂OOH$. Edge Article

Channel Science

Channel Science

Channel Science

Channel Science

Channel Science

Channel Science

Commonstration-and CHACOU and CHACOU an

Furthermore, isotope labeling experiments with the use of O^{18} ₂, H₂O¹⁸, or D₂O, were conducted to demonstrate the origin of each product. The products detected by GC-MS are shown in Table 2 (see Fig. S8–S11† for the GC-MS spectra). Employing O^{18} ₂ resulted in the formation of CH₃CH₂O¹⁸O¹⁸H, CH₃CH₂- $O^{18}H$, CH₃CHO¹⁸, CH₃CO¹⁸O¹⁸H, CH₃CO¹⁸OH, and CH₃-COO¹⁸H, while the use of H_2O^{18} generated CH₃CHO¹⁸, $CH₃CO¹⁸O¹⁸H$, $CH₃CO¹⁸OH$, and $CH₃COO¹⁸H$. Moreover, replacing general water by D_2O made the hydroperoxyl group of $CH₃CH₂OOH$ be fully deuterated and the carboxy group of CH3COOH be partially deuterated.

According to the above results, the reaction pathway was proposed as following. First of all, visible light was absorbed by Au/WO₃ to generate active electrons and holes (eqn (1)). Considering the thermodynamic requirements of photocatalysis (Fig. 2b), photo-generated electrons would transfer from the conduction band of $WO₃$ to Au nanoparticle to reduce dissolved O_2 into O_2 ⁻ (eqn (2)), which could be further

converted into H_2O_2 (eqn (3)) and 'OH (eqn (4)), while photogenerated holes remaining on WO_3 would oxidize H_2O into $'OH$ (eqn (5)).

$$
Au/WO_3 + hv \rightarrow e^- + h^+ \tag{1}
$$

$$
O_2 + e^- \rightarrow 'O_2^-
$$
 (2)

$$
O_2^- + 2H^+ + e^- \to H_2O_2 \tag{3}
$$

$$
H_2O_2 + H^+ + e^- \rightarrow \text{'}OH + H_2O \tag{4}
$$

$$
H_2O + h^+ \rightarrow \text{'}OH + H^+ \tag{5}
$$

 $CH₃CH₃$ could react with either h⁺ (eqn (6)) or 'OH (eqn (7)) to form an unstable intermediate radical $\text{CH}_{3}\text{CH}_{2}$ ²⁵ The participation of both h^+ and 'OH was supported by the result of trapping experiments, namely, trapping h^+ or 'OH did not completely inhibit the reaction. 'O $_2^-$ could be protonated by H $^{\rm +}$ in water to generate 'OOH (eqn (8)),^{55,56} which then reacted with CH_3CH_2 ^t to form CH_3CH_2OOH (eqn (9)). Since 'OOH and $CH₃CH₂$ were generated on Au and WO₃ respectively, the most favourable site for CH_3CH_2OOH production was the interface of Au and WO₃. Such a pathway for $CH₃CH₂OOH$ production agreed well with the result of isotope labeling experiments, namely, the O atoms in $\rm CH_3CH_2OOH$ were from $\rm O_2$ while the H atom in the hydroperoxyl group originated from water. The insertion of ' O_2 ⁻ was the preliminary step for the generation of subsequent oxidation products, thus trapping $^{\cdot} \text{O}_2^{^-}$ led to a sharp drop of the yields (Fig. 4b). Chemical Science

Chemical Vascon Access Article

Chemical Vascon Access Article

Chemical Vascon Access Article

Survey Article is remaining on WO₂ was all on the RD into deriver if Ω .

AdVO₂ + km $\rightarrow e + h^{-}$ (1)

A

$$
CH_3CH_3 + h^+ \rightarrow CH_3CH_2 + H^+ \tag{6}
$$

$$
CH_3CH_3 + 'OH \rightarrow CH_3CH_2' + H_2O \tag{7}
$$

$$
O_2^- + H^+ \rightarrow \text{'} OOH \tag{8}
$$

$$
CH_3CH_2^{\bullet} + \text{'}OOH \rightarrow CH_3CH_2OOH
$$
 (9)

Since the O atom in CH_3CH_2OH came from O_2 rather than $H₂O$, it was most likely to be resulted with $CH₃CH₂OOH$ as the intermediate as shown in eqn (10) and (11). Here, eqn (11) ensured that H_2O was not the source of the H atom in the hydroxy group. Eqn (10) and (11) could proceed reversibly because $CH₃CH₂OOH$ was detected as one of the products in photocatalytic conversion of $CH₃CH₂OH$ (Fig. 4a).

$$
CH_3CH_2OOH \rightleftharpoons CH_3CH_2O^{\prime} + {}^{\prime}OH \tag{10}
$$

$$
CH_3CH_2O^{\bullet} + CH_3CH_3 \rightleftharpoons CH_3CH_2OH + CH_3CH_2^{\bullet}
$$
 (11)

 $CH₃CHO$ could be produced either from $CH₃CH₂OH$ (eqn (12)) or directly from CH_3CH_2OOH (eqn (13)), by which the O atom in CH₃CHO originated from O_2 . Moreover, the detected $CH₃CHO¹⁸$ with $H₂O¹⁸$ as the reactant was ascribed to the reversible reaction between $CH₃CHO$ and $CH₃CH(OH)₂$ (eqn (14)). The existence of $\mathrm{CH_3CH(OH)_2}$ was evidenced by ¹H NMR spectrum (Fig. S7†). In this case, both H atoms and at least one O atom(s) in the hydroxyl groups of $CH_3CH(OH)_2$ should be derived from H_2O .

$$
CH_3CH_2OH + 2'OH \rightarrow CH_3CHO + 2H_2O \tag{12}
$$

$$
CH_3CH_2OOH \rightarrow CH_3CHO + H_2O \tag{13}
$$

$$
CH3CHO + H2O \rightleftharpoons CH3CH(OH)2
$$
 (14)

 $CH_3CH(OH)_2$ could be further oxidized into CH_3COOH by 'OH (eqn (15)), in which the H atom and at least one O atom(s) in the carboxy group originated from $H₂O$, partially agreeing with the result of isotope labeling experiments (Fig. S11d†). Thus, there should be another pathway leading to the formation of CH₃COOH in which both O atoms came from O_2 and the H atom in the carboxy group was not derived from $H₂O$. This might be achieved *via* eqn (16) and (17).

 $CH₃CH(OH)₂ + 2^oOH \rightarrow CH₃COOH + 2H₂O$ (15)

$$
CH_3CH_2OH + 2oOOH \rightarrow CH_3CH(OOH)(OH) + H_2O_2 \quad (16)
$$

$$
CH_3CH(OH)(OOH) \rightarrow CH_3COOH + H_2O
$$
 (17)

The cleavage of the C–C bond occurred in $CH₃CH₂OH$ and $CH₃CHO$ because HCOOH and $CH₃OH$ were detected after photocatalytic oxidation of $CH₃CH₂OH$ and $CH₃CHO$ (Fig. 4a). The production of HCOOH from CH_3CH_2OH was proposed via eqn (18) and (19) , with the assistance of 'OH. HCOOH could also be resulted by the reaction between $CH₃CHO$ and 'OH (eqn (20)). CH_3 generated in eqn (18) and (20) could combine with 'OH into $CH₃OH$ (eqn (21)).

 $CH_3CH_2OH + OH \rightarrow 'CH_3 + CH_2(OH)_2$ (18)

 $CH₂(OH)₂ + 2⁺OH \rightarrow HCOOH + 2H₂O$ (19)

$$
CH_3CHO + 'OH \rightarrow 'CH_3 + HCOOH
$$
 (20)

$$
{}^{*}CH_{3} + {}^{*}OH \rightarrow CH_{3}OH
$$
 (21)

As-produced HCOOH could be decomposed into CO (eqn (22)) or further oxidized into $CO₂$ by 'OH (eqn (23)).

$$
HCOOH \rightarrow CO + H_2O \tag{22}
$$

Fig. 5 Schematic reaction pathway for visible-light driven photocatalytic ethane oxidation over $Au/WO₃$

$$
HCOOH + 2^{\circ}OH \rightarrow CO_2 + 2H_2O \tag{23}
$$

The reaction pathway was depicted in Fig. 5 based on above discussions. It should be noted that the reactions took place at the interface of Au and $WO₃$ for relieving the limitation of mass transfer. Moreover, it could be easily observed that 'OH was mainly responsible for further conversion of $CH₃CH₂OOH$. The high selectivity for CH₃CH₂OOH here was owed to its fast desorption from the catalyst surface due to the solvation effect of water and the elevated temperature to 100 \degree C, which supressed its further oxidation. This provides a guidance to develop more efficient photocatalytic processes for the production of CH₃CH₂OOH.

Conclusions

In summary, highly efficient visible-light driven photocatalytic ethane conversion into a radical reservoir (namely, $CH₃CH₂$ -OOH) was achieved over our created $Au/WO₃$ catalyst. The yield of CH₃CH₂OOH obtained at room temperature in two hours was as high as 1887 μ mol $g_{\rm cat}^{-1}$, which was further enhanced by six times by simply elevating the temperature to 100 \degree C. Moreover, a significant apparent quantum efficiency of 17.9% was obtained at 450 nm. Such a promotion was owed to the compensation effect of the kinetic energy of the reactants with regards to the insufficient potential energy in photocatalytic ethane oxidation over the narrow-band-gap $Au/WO₃$ catalyst. Furthermore, the reaction pathway was revealed by converting intermediate substances, trapping active species, and labeling reactants with isotopes, implying that 'OH plays a key role to determine the selectivity and activity of CH₃CH₂OOH production. Here, the excellent catalytic performance and the thorough analysis of the reaction mechanism will pave the way for scalable ethane conversion into $CH₃CH₂OOH$, which shows a great promise for cancer therapy by regulating the oxidative stress. Edge Article

HCOOH + 2°OH -- OO, + 2H-O

The restricted in the spin back contribution during vigocos sixting a 300 pm (h)

the restricted on the spin back contribution of mass by an unitarities of an anticle. A

discussi

Experimental

Materials

Monoclinic WO₃ (99.8%, Macklin), P25 (99.5%, Sigma-Aldrich), ZnO (99.9%, Macklin), $Bi₂O₃$ (99.99%, Macklin), MoO₃ (99.50%, Alfa Aesar), and CeO₂ (99.99%, Macklin) were acquired to synthesize the catalysts. Freshly prepared 2 mg mL^{-1} HAuCl₄ (Sigma-Aldrich) aqueous solution was used as Au precursor. Other metal precursors included H_2PtCl_6 (Sigma-Aldrich), PdCl₂ (Sigma-Aldrich), RhCl₃ (Alfa Aesar), AgNO₃ (Sinopharm), $Cu(NO₃)₂$ (Sinopharm), and Fe(NO₃)₃ (Sinopharm). The free radical scavengers, p-benzoquinone (97%), EDTA–2Na (AR), and tertiary butyl alcohol (AR), were obtained from Macklin Co., Ltd. Feed gases, ethane (80% ethane + 20% nitrogen) and oxygen (99.99%), were supplied by Air Liquid Co., Ltd.

Catalyst preparation

Au was loaded on WO_3 *via* photo-deposition. Namely, 0.2000 g WO_3 was added into 40 mL deionized water to form a 5 g L⁻¹ suspension, followed by adding 100 μ L freshly prepared 20 mg mL^{-1} HAuCl₄ solution during vigorous stirring at 500 rpm (the theoretical Au loading amount: 1 wt%). The mixture was continuously stirred at 500 rpm for 20 min and then ultrasonicated for 20 min. Afterwards, the suspension was irradiated by an ultraviolet lamp (100 W, λ <400 nm) for 10 min while being stirred at 600 rpm. In this process, the color of the suspension was found to change from yellow to purple, demonstrating the reduction of Au^{3+} . The as-obtained Au/WO₃ suspension was washed and then collected via suction filtration with a 45 µm filter membrane. Lastly, the collected powder was dried at 60 °C for 12 h. Au/WO₃ catalysts with 0.1, 0.5, and 1.5 wt% Au were also prepared, with 10, 50, and 150 μ L HAuCl₄ solutions, respectively. The real loading amount was confirmed by inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (ICP-MS).

In addition, Au was also loaded on commercial $TiO₂$, ZnO, $Bi₂O₃$, MoO₃, and CeO₂ as control samples, using the same method as above-described. Moreover, to investigate the influence of different metal cocatalysts, Pt, Pd, Ag, and Rh were photo-deposited on WO_3 with H_2PtCl_6 , $PdCl_2$, AgNO₃, and $RhCl₃$ as the precursors, while Fe and Cu were loaded on WO₃ *via* impregnation and subsequent calcination at 400 \degree C for 6 h.

Catalyst characterization

X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns were obtained from Shimadzu X-ray diffractometer (XRD-6100). The structures and morphologies of the catalysts were characterized using a FEI Tecnai G2 F20 field-emission transmission electron microscope (TEM) at an accelerating voltage of 200 kV. Attached energy dispersive Xray spectroscopy (EDS) was employed for elemental analysis of the as-synthesized samples. The surface areas of the samples were measured and calculated using the multipoint Brunauer– Emmett–Teller (BET) technique with a BET Sorptometer (ASAP 2020 PLUS HD88) based on the adsorption isotherms. The chemical state and composition of the catalyst surface were determined via an X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) instrument (Thermo EscaLab 250Xi). The element contents were measured by inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (ICP-MS) (Thermo iCAP Q). Ultraviolet-visible (UV-vis) absorption spectra of the catalysts were obtained using an UVvis spectrometer (Shimadzu UV-2600). ¹H nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) was conducted on a Bruker AVANCE III HD 400 NMR spectrometer using the liquid mixture obtained from ethane oxidation with deuterated water (D_2O) .

DFT calculations

DFT calculations were conducted using Gaussian 16 package.⁵⁷ The geometric structure of the complex which contains one organic molecule (i.e., CH_3CH_2OOH or CH_3CH_2OH) and two water molecules was optimized using the B3LYP method⁵⁸ combined with the 6-311G(d,p) basis set.⁵⁹ The optimized structures were confirmed to be stable by frequency calculations. Afterwards, ¹H NMR chemical shifts were computed by the gauge-independent atomic orbital (GIAO) model⁶⁰ at the B3LYP/6-311++G(d,p) level, $58,61$ using the self-consistent reaction field $(SCRF)^{62}$ technique in simulated water continuum. The geometric structure and NMR shifts of the reference tetramethylsilane (TMS) were calculated using the same method.

Photocatalytic activity test

As shown in Fig. S6,† photocatalytic ethane oxidation was carried out in a 100 mL sealed stainless steel reactor with a concentric quartz window on the top. A 300 W xenon lamp (CEL-HXF300, AULIGHT) equipped with an UVcut420 filter, as the light source ($\lambda > 420$ nm), was placed right above the reactor with the light intensity kept at 100 mW cm $^{-2}$. AM1.5G filter was employed to simulate the sunlight irradiation in some cases. In a typical test, 20 mg Au/WO₃ and 20 mL deionized water were added into the Teflon vessel, after which the reactor was vacuumed and purged with the C_2H_6/N_2 mixture gas for three times to remove any impurities in the system. Subsequently, 1.0 MPa C_2H_6/N_2 mixture (4 : 1) and 1.5 MPa O_2 were injected into the reactor. The photocatalytic reaction then started under visible light irradiation ($\lambda > 420$ nm) at a certain temperature in the range of 35–200 \degree C during continuous stirring at 900 rpm. After reacting for 2 h, the reactor was placed in an ice bath for 30 min to ensure the termination of the reaction, and then as-produced CO and $CO₂$ were measured by a gas chromatograph (GC) (Fuli-9800) equipped with a TDX-01 packed column and a thermal conductive detector (TCD) working at 80 and 100 $^{\circ}$ C, respectively. Afterwards, the suspension was filtered, and the liquid was collected for product detection. CH_3CH_2OH , CH_3CHO , and $CH₃OH$ were analyzed by GC with a Porapak Q column and a flame ionization detector (FID) by injecting 1 μ L liquid. The temperatures of the injector, column, and FID detector were 150, 120, and 150 °C, respectively. CH_3CH_2OOH , CH_3COOH , and HCOOH were quantified by a high-performance liquid chromatograph (HPLC) (Shimadzu Essentia LC-16) with a C18 column (Φ 4.6 mm \times 250 m) working at 35 °C. Chemical Science

The geometric structure and NMR shifts of the reference totas-

2021. The production of the common access Article is likely interview at all the emperatures.
 As shown in Fig. So.1 Photocraphic echane

The apparent quantum efficiency (AQE) was measured using a band-pass filter of monochromatic light of 450 nm ($\lambda_{1/2} = \sim 20$) nm) at 100 °C following the same procedure as above described. All the products after two-hour reaction were quantified, and then the AQE was calculated via the following equations:

$$
AQE = \frac{N(\text{photo generated electrons})}{N(\text{incident photons})}
$$
 (24)

$$
N(\text{incident photons}) = \frac{I \times A \times \lambda}{h \times c} \times t \tag{25}
$$

 $N(\text{photo generated electrons}) = 2 \times N(\text{CH}_3\text{CH}_2\text{OOH}) + 2$

$$
\times N(C_2H_5OH) + 4 \times N(CH_3CHO) + 6 \times N(CH_3COOH)
$$

+ N(CH_3OH) + 5 \times N(HCOOH) + 5 \times N(CO)

$$
+ 7 \times N(CO_2) \tag{26}
$$

where *I*, *A*, λ , and *t* are light intensity, irradiation area, light wavelength, and duration, respectively, while h and c represent Planck's constant and light speed, respectively.

Furthermore, to identify the role of free radicals in photocatalytic ethane oxidation, 10 mM free radical scavenger, i.e., pbenzoquinone (p-BQ), tertiary butyl alcohol (TBA), and ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid disodium salt dihydrate (EDTA–

2Na), was added into the catalyst suspension for photocatalytic activity test at 50 \degree C to avoid the decomposition of scavengers at high temperatures.

Isotope labeling experiment

Isotope labeling experiments were conducted simply by replacing general O_2 and H_2O with O^{18} ₂, H_2O^{18} , or D_2O . The reaction temperature was controlled at 100 \degree C. The products were analyzed by gas chromatography-mass spectrometry (GC-MS) (Shimadzu QP 2020 NX) equipped with an RTX-WAX column and an electron ionization source working at 70 eV. The temperatures of the split injector, column, connector, and ionization source were set as 120, 40, 120, and 200 $\,^{\circ}$ C, respectively.

Electrochemical measurement

The photocurrent–time $(i-t)$ curve and linear sweep voltammetry (LSV) curve were recorded for $Au/WO₃$ and $WO₃$ in a threeelectrode cell with a 0.5 M $Na₂SO₄$ electrolyte under visible light irradiation. A fluorine-doped tin oxide glass coated with catalyst, Pt, and saturated Ag/AgCl performed as the working, counter, and reference electrodes, respectively. LSV measurement was preformed from 1.2 to 0.3 V (vs. SHE) at a sweep rate of 0.5 mV s^{-1} . Mott-Schottky analysis was carried out at 500, 1000, 1500, and 2000 Hz in the same cell in dark.

Author contributions

Conceptualization, YHH; methodology, YHH; investigation, YZ, SF, SC, YT, CW and YHH; formal analysis, YZ, SF, and YHH; writing - original draft, SF; writing - review & editing, YHH; supervision, YHH.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Notes and references

- 1 K. Wada, K. Yoshida, T. Takatani and Y. Watanabe, Appl. Catal., A, 1993, 99, 21–36.
- 2 Y. Zhu, S. Shi, C. Wang and Y. H. Hu, Int. J. Energy Res., 2020, 44, 708–717.
- 3 M. M. Forde, R. D. Armstrong, C. Hammond, Q. He, R. L. Jenkins, S. A. Kondrat, N. Dimitratos, J. A. Lopez-Sanchez, S. H. Taylor, D. Willock, C. J. Kiely and G. J. Hutchings, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2013, 135, 11087–11099.
- 4 R. Jin, M. Peng, A. Li, Y. Deng, Z. Jia, F. Huang, Y. Ling, F. Yang, H. Fu, J. Xie, X. Han, D. Xiao, Z. Jiang, H. Liu and D. Ma, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2019, 141, 18921–18925.
- 5 F. Battin-Leclerc, O. Herbinet, P.-A. Glaude, R. Fournet, Z. Zhou, L. Deng, H. Guo, M. Xie and F. Qi, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2010, 49, 3169–3172.
- 6 D. Chen, H. Jin, Z. Wang, L. Zhang and F. Qi, J. Phys. Chem. A, 2011, 115, 602–611.
- 7 W. Chamulitrat, N. Takahashi and R. P. Mason, J. Biol. Chem., 1989, 264, 7889–7899.
- 8 B. Kalyanaraman, C. Mottley and R. P. Mason, J. Biol. Chem., 1983, 258, 3855–3858.
- 9 Z. Zhou, J. Song, L. Nie and X. Chen, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2016, 45, 6597–6626.
- 10 Z. Zhou, J. Song, R. Tian, Z. Yang, G. Yu, L. Lin, G. Zhang, W. Fan, F. Zhang, G. Niu, L. Nie and X. Chen, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2017, 56, 6492–6496.
- 11 A. Caputo, M. Brunori and R. Giuliano, Cancer Res., 1961, 21, 1499.
- 12 Y. Wan, G. Lu, J. Zhang, Z. Wang, X. Li, R. Chen, X. Cui, Z. Huang, Y. Xiao, J. Chelora, W. Zhang, Y. Liu, M. Li, H.-Y. Xie and C.-S. Lee, Adv. Funct. Mater., 2019, 29, 1903436.
- 13 C. Wang, F. Cao, Y. Ruan, X. Jia, W. Zhen and X. Jiang, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2019, 58, 9846–9850.
- 14 C. Gorrini, I. S. Harris and T. W. Mak, Nat. Rev. Drug Discovery, 2013, 12, 931–947.
- 15 D. E. J. G. J. Dolmans, D. Fukumura and R. K. Jain, Nat. Rev. Cancer, 2003, 3, 380–387.
- 16 A. Hu, J.-J. Guo, H. Pan and Z. Zuo, Science, 2018, 361, 668.
- 17 G. Laudadio, Y. Deng, K. van der Wal, D. Ravelli, M. Nuño, M. Fagnoni, D. Guthrie, Y. Sun and T. Noël, Science, 2020, 369, 92–96.
- 18 C. T. Brigden, S. Poulston, M. V. Twigg, A. P. Walker and A. J. J. Wilkins, Appl. Catal., B, 2001, 32, 63–71.
- 19 K. Wada, K. Yoshida, Y. Watanabe and T. Suzuki, Appl. Catal., 1991, 74, L1–L4.
- 20 M. Somekh, A. M. Khenkin, A. Herman and R. Neumann, ACS Catal., 2019, 9, 8819–8824.
- 21 K. Wada, H. Yamada, Y. Watanabe and T.-a. Mitsudo, J. Chem. Soc., Faraday Trans., 1998, 94, 1771–1778.
- 22 A. Tóth, T. Bánsági and F. Solymosi, Mol. Catal., 2017, 440, 19–24.
- 23 X. Wang, Z. He, S. Zhong and X. Xiao, J. Nat. Gas Chem., 2007, 16, 173–178.
- 24 X.-T. Wang, S.-H. Zhong and X.-F. Xiao, J. Mol. Catal. A: Chem., 2005, 229, 87–93.
- 25 R. Zhang, H. Wang, S. Tang, C. Liu, F. Dong, H. Yue and B. Liang, ACS Catal., 2018, 8, 9280–9286.
- 26 N. Djeghri, M. Formenti, F. Juillet and S. Teichner, Faraday Discuss. Chem. Soc., 1974, 58, 185–193.
- 27 M. P. Thekaekara, Sol. Energy, 1976, 18, 309–325.
- 28 D. M. Schultz and T. P. Yoon, Science, 2014, 343, 1239176.
- 29 R. Abe, H. Takami, N. Murakami and B. Ohtani, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2008, 130, 7780–7781.
- 30 D. P. DePuccio, P. Botella, B. O'Rourke and C. C. Landry, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2015, 7, 1987–1996.
- 31 P. Y. Dong, G. H. Hou, X. U. Xi, R. Shao and F. Dong, Environ. Sci.: Nano, 2017, 4, 539–557.
- 32 L. Chen and S. C. Tsang, Sens. Actuators, B, 2003, 89, 68–75.
- 33 K. Wenderich, A. Klaassen, I. Siretanu, F. Mugele and G. Mul, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2014, 53, 12476–12479.
- 34 C. A. Bignozzi, S. Caramori, V. Cristino, R. Argazzi, L. Meda and A. Tacca, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2013, 42, 2228–2246.
- 35 T. Zhu, M. N. Chong and E. S. Chan, ChemSusChem, 2014, 7, 2974–2997.
- 36 X. Chen, L. Liu, P. Y. Yu and S. S. Mao, Science, 2011, 331, 746.
- 37 B. Han and Y. H. Hu, J. Phys. Chem. C, 2015, 119, 18927– 18934.
- 38 S. Fang, Z. Sun and Y. H. Hu, ACS Catal., 2019, 9, 5047–5056.
- 39 S. Fang, Y. Liu, Z. Sun, J. Lang, C. Bao and Y. H. Hu, Appl. Catal., B, 2020, 278, 119316.
- 40 B. Han, W. Wei, L. Chang, P. Cheng and Y. H. Hu, ACS Catal., 2016, 6, 494–497.
- 41 B. Han, W. Wei, M. Li, K. Sun and Y. H. Hu, Chem. Commun., 2019, 55, 7816–7819.
- 42 X. Wu, J. Lang, Y. Jiang, Y. Lin and Y. H. Hu, ACS Sustainable Chem. Eng., 2019, 7, 19277–19285.
- 43 C. Wang, S. Fang, S. Xie, Y. Zheng and Y. H. Hu, J. Mater. Chem. A, 2020, 8, 7390–7394.
- 44 F. Sastre, A. Corma and H. García, Appl. Catal., B, 2012, 128, 84–90.
- 45 Q. Li, H. Yue, C. Liu, K. Ma, S. Zhong, B. Liang and S. Tang, Chem. Eng. J., 2020, 395, 125120.
- 46 A. Tanaka, K. Hashimoto and H. Kominami, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2014, 136, 586-589.
- 47 N. Minh Vuong, D. Kim and H. Kim, Sci. Rep., 2015, 5, 11040.
- 48 Y. Wang, B. Zhang, J. Liu, Q. Yang, X. Cui, Y. Gao, X. Chuai, F. Liu, P. Sun, X. Liang, Y. Sun and G. Lu, Sens. Actuators, B, 2016, 236, 67–76.
- 49 L. Wang, C.-S. Tsang, W. Liu, X. Zhang, K. Zhang, E. Ha, W.-M. Kwok, J. H. Park, L. Y. Suk Lee and K.-Y. Wong, J. Mater. Chem. A, 2019, 7, 221–227. Edge Article Commutical, N. Tabahashi and R. P. Mason, J. Boil. 36 X. Gleen, L. Liu, P. Y. Yu and S. S. Moo, Stiese, 2014, 31

1993, 28, 2005. 2015, 2016, 2016, 2016, 2016, 2016, 2016, 2016, 2017, 2017, 2017, 2017, 2017,
	- 50 W. He, H.-K. Kim, W. G. Wamer, D. Melka, J. H. Callahan and J.-J. Yin, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2014, 136, 750–757.
	- 51 P. Wardman, J. Phys. Chem. Ref. Data, 1989, 18, 1637–1755.
	- 52 Y. Nosaka and A. Y. Nosaka, Chem. Rev., 2017, 117, 11302– 11336.
	- 53 H. Li, Z. Bian, J. Zhu, Y. Huo, H. Li and Y. Lu, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2007, 129, 4538–4539.
	- 54 V. Jovic, W.-T. Chen, D. Sun-Waterhouse, M. G. Blackford, H. Idriss and G. I. N. Waterhouse, J. Catal., 2013, 305, 307– 317.
	- 55 H. Song, X. Meng, S. Wang, W. Zhou, X. Wang, T. Kako and J. Ye, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2019, 141, 20507–20515.
	- 56 X. Chen, Y. Li, X. Pan, D. Cortie, X. Huang and Z. Yi, Nat. Commun., 2016, 7, 12273.
	- 57 M. Frisch, G. Trucks, H. Schlegel, G. Scuseria, M. Robb, J. Cheeseman, G. Scalmani, V. Barone, G. Petersson and H. Nakatsuji, Gaussian 16, 2016.
	- 58 P. J. Stephens, F. J. Devlin, C. F. Chabalowski and M. J. Frisch, J. Phys. Chem., 1994, 98, 11623–11627.
	- 59 P. C. Hariharan and J. A. Pople, Theor. Chim. Acta, 1973, 28, 213–222.
	- 60 K. Wolinski, J. F. Hinton and P. Pulay, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1990, 112, 8251–8260.
	- 61 K. Raghavachari and G. W. Trucks, J. Chem. Phys., 1989, 91, 1062–1065.
	- 62 V. Barone, M. Cossi and J. Tomasi, J. Chem. Phys., 1997, 107, 3210–3221.