# **Chemical Science**

# EDGE ARTICLE



Cite this: Chem. Sci., 2021, 12, 8073

**C** All publication charges for this article have been paid for by the Royal Society of Chemistry

Received 17th February 2021 Accepted 2nd April 2021

DOI: 10.1039/d1sc00986a

rsc.li/chemical-science

# Introduction

The straightforward formation of modified amino acids from native amino acid precursors<sup>1</sup> is conceptually appealing in order to increase the step- and atom-economy associated with the preparation of orthogonally protected molecular scaffolds. Synthetic methods have in the past mainly focused on the modification of limited nucleophilic residues of amino acids, such as found in lysine, tyrosine or cysteine.<sup>2</sup> The prevalence of carboxylic acids motifs abundant in peptidic structures of aspartic acid, glutamic acid and a-carboxylic acids, renders them as ideal candidates for targeted peptide modifications. Beyond the traditional amidation and esterification, proteinogenic alkyl carboxylic acids have generally been utilized as handles for transition-metal-catalyzed decarboxylative functionalizations, either by employing activated redox-active esters<sup>3</sup> or the direct manipulation of native peptides.<sup>4</sup> C–H functionalizations of inert C–H bonds of peptides are of current topical importance for selective late-stage diversifications.<sup>5</sup> However, deaminative functionalizations of amino acids as a complementary strategy for the late-stage modifications of amino acids and peptides continue to be underdeveloped (Fig. 1a). By means of activating kinetically stable  $C(sp^3)$ -N bonds via the in situ formation of a-diazoesters, Wang developed the transitionmetal-free deaminative coupling with boronic acids for the synthesis of  $\alpha$ -aryl esters.<sup>6</sup> Very recently, Rovis reported on a challenging photoredox-catalyzed deaminative alkylation with sterically encumbered  $\alpha$ -primary amines.<sup>7</sup> Similarly, benchstable redox-active alkylpyridinium salts—also known as **EDGE ARTICLE**<br> **CONFIDENTIFICATION CONTINUE CONTRACT CONTR** 

# Deaminative *meta-*C-H alkylation by ruthenium(II) catalysis†

Wen Wei[,](http://orcid.org/0000-0002-6397-8087)<sup>a</sup> Hao Yu,  $\mathbf{D}^a$  Agnese Zangarelli<sup>a</sup> and Lutz Ackermann  $\mathbf{D}^{*ab}$ 

Precise structural modifications of amino acids are of importance to tune biological properties or modify therapeutical capabilities relevant to drug discovery. Herein, we report a ruthenium-catalyzed meta-C–H deaminative alkylation with easily accessible amino acid-derived Katritzky pyridinium salts. Likewise, remote C–H benzylations were accomplished with high levels of chemoselectivity and remarkable functional group tolerance. The meta-C-H activation approach combined with our deaminative strategy represents a rare example of selectively converting C(sp<sup>3</sup>)–N bonds into C(sp<sup>3</sup>)–C(sp<sup>2</sup>) bonds.

> Katritzky pyridinium salts—were utilized by Watson as deaminative reagents for elegant Suzuki–Miyaura cross-coupling reactions.<sup>8</sup> Glorius concurrently found a visible-light-mediated Minisci reaction with Katritzky salts.<sup>9</sup> Photo-induced deaminative borylations<sup>10</sup> and Giese reactions<sup>11</sup> were further made possible via the formation of electron-donor–acceptor complex by Aggarwal. The popularity of Katritzky salts as a functional handle was likewise demonstrated by visible-light-mediated transformations, such as Mizoroki-Heck-type reactions,<sup>12</sup> allylations,<sup>13</sup> alkynylations<sup>14</sup> and nickel-catalyzed couplings.<sup>15</sup>

> Positional selectivity is paramount to synthetically useful C–H transformations, but challenging because of the close bond dissociation energies.<sup>16</sup> Proximity-induced ortho-C–H functionalization by chelation assistance<sup>17</sup> proved powerful for late-stage diversification. In stark contrast, remote C-H functionalizations of arenes<sup>18</sup> continue to be challenging. Especially, strategies for meta-C–H functionalizations<sup>19</sup> continue to be scarce, even though major progress has been achieved by steric control, template



Fig. 1 (a) Peptide modification. (b) Ruthenium-catalyzed meta-C–H deaminative alkylation.

<sup>&</sup>quot;Institut für Organische und Biomolekulare Chemie, Georg-August-Universität Göttingen, Tammannstraße 2, 37077 Goettingen, Germany. E-mail: Lutz. Ackermann@chemie.uni-goettingen.de

b Woehler Research Institute for Sustainable Chemistry (WISCh), Georg-August-Universität Göttingen, Tammannstrasse 2, 37077 Göttingen, Germany

<sup>†</sup> Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. See DOI: 10.1039/d1sc00986a

#### Chemical Science **Edge Article**

assistance, transient mediators and weak hydrogen bonding.<sup>20</sup> In this context, we have now merged ruthenium-catalyzed meta-C–H transformations<sup>21</sup> with a deaminative bond formation to disclose herein unprecedented ruthenium-catalyzed meta-C–H alkylations with Katritzky salt. Notable features of our findings (Fig. 1b) include (1) easily accessible and bench-stable alkylating agent for C–H functionalizations, (2) low catalyst loading, (3) high functional group tolerance, and (4) selective ruthenium-catalyzed deaminative meta-C–H alkylation and benzylation.

### Results and discussion

#### Optimization of the reaction conditions

We initiated our studies by probing the secondary alkylation of arene 1a with Katritzky salt 2a (Table 1). Among a variety of phosphine ligands, electron-deficient  $P(4-CF<sub>3</sub>C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>)$ <sub>3</sub> proved to be optimal (entries 1–5). Subsequently, we tested different ruthenium catalysts, and  $[RuCl_2(p\text{-cymene})]_2$  led to the desired meta-alkylated product 3a in high yield (entries 8 and 9). Control experiments verified the key role of the phosphine ligand and the ruthenium catalyst (entries 6 and 7). Notably, decreasing the amount of the catalyst and the phosphine ligand did not significantly alter the reaction performance (entry 9).

#### **Versatility**

With the optimized reaction conditions for the rutheniumcatalyzed deaminative C–H alkylation in hand, we next examined its versatility (Scheme 1). The ruthenium catalysis was not limited to pyridine guidance. Indeed, the meta-alkylation also allowed for the use of pyrazoles (3g–3l), pyrimidines (3m), oxazolines (3n and 3o) and benzoquinoline (3p) as orienting

Table 1 Optimization for ruthenium-catalyzed deaminative alkylation<sup>a</sup>

[Ru] (15 mol %) Ligand  $(45 \text{ mol } %$ BF. Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>,1,4-dioxane CO<sub>2</sub>Me 100 °C. 24 h CO<sub>2</sub>Me Мe  $1<sub>5</sub>$  $2a$  $3a$ Yield $b$ /% Entry Catalyst  $\qquad \qquad$  Ligand Yield $^b$ 1  $\begin{bmatrix} \text{Ru}(\text{O}_2\text{CMes})_2(p\text{-cymene}) \end{bmatrix}$  PPh<sub>3</sub> 37<br>2  $\begin{bmatrix} \text{Ru}(\text{O}_2\text{C Mes})_2(p\text{-cymene}) \end{bmatrix}$  P(4-F-C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>)<sub>3</sub> 45  $\lceil \text{Ru}(\text{O}_2 \text{CMes})_2(p\text{-cymene}) \rceil$ 3  $\left[\text{Ru}(\text{O}_2\text{CMes})_2(p\text{-cymene})\right]$   $P(4\text{-}MeOC_6H_4)_3$  24 4  $\text{[Ru(O}_2\text{CMes})_2(p\text{-cymene})]$   $\text{P}(4\text{-}C_6\text{H}_4\text{CF}_3)_3$  63 5  $[Ru(O_2CMes)_2(p\text{-cymene})]$  PCy<sub>3</sub> 7<br>6  $[Ru(O_2CMs)_2(p\text{-cymene})]$  - Trace 6  $[\text{Ru(O}_2 \text{CMes})_2(p\text{-cymene})]$  $P(4-C_6H_4CF_3)_3$  Trace 8  $[RuCl_2(p\text{-cymene})]_2$   $P(4-C_6H_4CF_3)_3$  79<br>
9  $[RuCl_2(p\text{-cymene})]_2$   $P(4-C_6H_4CF_3)_3$   $77^{c,d}$ 9  $\left[\text{RuCl}_2(p\text{-cymene})\right]_2$ 

<sup>a</sup> Reaction conditions: 1a (0.2 mmol), 2a (0.4 mmol),  $[\text{Ru(O}_2\text{CMes})_2(p$ cymene)<sub>2</sub>] (15 mol%), P(4-C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>CF<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub> (45 mol%), Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> (0.4 mmol) 1,4-dioxane (2.0 mL) at 100 °C for 24 h.  $\frac{b}{b}$  Yield of isolated products.  $\frac{c}{c}$  [RuCl<sub>2</sub>(*p*-cymene<sub>)2</sub>]<sub>2</sub> (2.5 mol%), P(4-C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>CF Triphenyl pyridine was isolated in 89%.



Scheme 1 Ruthenium-catalyzed meta-C-H alkylations with heteroarene orienting groups.  $a^2$  Gram scale reaction at 1 mmol

motifs, while the functionalization of heteroarenes provided thus far less satisfactory results. A range of synthetically useful functional groups, such as halides  $(3c, 3k$  and  $3l)$ , ester  $(3j)$  and ketone (3f), were well tolerated and furnished the desired metaalkylated products 3 in good to excellent yields and high levels of meta-selectivity.

Next, Katritzky salts 2 derived from functionalized amino acids were tested (Scheme 2). A wide range of aliphatic amino acids, including alanine, valine, leucine, and phenylalanine, could be selectively converted into the desired products 4a–4d. Furthermore, the corresponding products 4e and 4f were obtained in 77% and 69% yield, respectively, when aspartic acidand glutamic acid-derived Katritzky salts were employed. Notably, functional groups, including the free hydroxyl group in tyrosine (4g) and the free amino group in lysine (4j) as well as free NH-indole in tryptophan (4k), were well tolerated. Derivatives from protected tyrosine and phenylalanine containing easily transformable functional groups furnished the desired products 4h and 4i efficiently. Structurally more complex dipeptide-derived Katritzky salt ([PyN]–Phe–Ala–OMe) selectively underwent the meta-ligation, delivering the desired product 4l. In addition, heteroarenes (4o–4q) were compatible with the ruthenium catalysis. Importantly, the transformative power of our approach was harnessed for late-stage diversifications. Marketed drugs and natural product-like compounds,



Scheme 2 Ruthenium-catalyzed meta-C–H secondary alkylation.<br><sup>a</sup> 5 mol% of [RuCl<sub>2</sub>(p-cymene)<sub>2</sub>]<sub>2</sub> was used.

such as indomethacin (4r), dehydrocholic acid (4s) and elaidic acid (4t), proved to be amenable, indicating the potential for drug discovery programs.

In addition to amino acid derivatives, we also explored Katritzky salts of primary amines to achieve benzylations (Scheme 3). Electron-donating and electron-withdrawing benzyl groups, such as products 6b and 6c were well obtained. Halogen-containing substrates also resulted in good yields of the corresponding meta-benzylated products 6d–6f. Notably, highly labile functional groups, such as Bpin (6g), also proved to be applicable. Katritzky salts bearing a free acid chemoselectively led to the desired product 6h. Products 6i-6k were obtained in a synthetically useful yield and with high levels of chemo-selectivity from the amino acids-derived Katritzky salts.



#### Mechanistic studies

In order to elucidate the reaction mechanism of the ruthenium( $\pi$ )-catalyzed *meta*-C–H transformations, we subsequently conducted mechanistic studies. Competition experiments highlighted that electron-rich substrates are inherently less reactive than the electron-deficient counterparts. Katritzky salts with electron-withdrawing groups turned out to be more effective for the meta-transformation (see ESI†). Reactions with isotopically labelled co-solvent CD<sub>3</sub>OD provided strong support for facile reversible C–H activation at the ortho-position (Fig. 2). Given this exclusive *meta*-selectivity, we were inspired to identify key intermediates. First, the ruthenium complexes 7 and 8 were synthesized and isolated. When catalytic amounts of complex 7 were utilized, the product 3a was obtained in 23% (Fig. 3a). Instead, ruthenium-phosphine catalyst 8 displayed a significantly improved performance (Fig. 3b).

Subsequently, experiments with the typical radical trapping reagent TEMPO led to an inhibition of reactivity (see ESI†). A radical clock experiment with allyl-containing Katritzky salt afforded the corresponding ring closure product observed by ESI-MS spectrometry (see ESI†), being supportive of a radical pathway being operative.

On the basis of our mechanistic findings, a plausible reaction mechanism for the ruthenium-catalyzed meta-C–H alkylation is put forward in Scheme 4, which commences by a chelation-assisted C–H ruthenation and dissociation of pcymene ligand, forming ruthenacycle I. <sup>22</sup> Single-electron transfer (SET) from the ruthenium( $\pi$ ) complex I to the Katritzky salt 2



Fig. 2 H/D exchange experiment.



Fig. 3 Reactions with isolated complexes 7 and 8.



delivers the ruthenium( $\text{m}$ ) intermediate II, along with isolated triphenylpyridine (12) (see ESI†). The newly formed secondary alkyl radical attacks the aromatic motif at the position para to the C–Ru bond, generating the triplet ruthenium intermediate III. Rearomatization then leads to the formation of ruthenacycle IV. Finally, proto-demetalation and ligand exchange affords the desired meta-functionalized product 3 and regenerates ruthenium $(n)$  complex I.

# Conclusion

In summary, we have reported on ruthenium-catalyzed metaselective C–H secondary alkylations and benzylations with easily accessible pyridinium salts. The ruthenium catalysis featured excellent chemo- and position-selectivities as well as

a broad functional group tolerance. Importantly, the deaminative strategy set the stage for late-stage diversification of bioactive molecules and marketed drugs by deaminative transformations of amino acids and peptides.

### Author contributions

L. A. and Y. H. conceived the project. W. W. performed the experiments, analyzed and interpreted the experimental data. W. W. and A. Z. drafted the paper. All of the authors discussed the results and contributed to the preparation of the final manuscript.

# Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

# Acknowledgements

Generous support by the DFG (Gottfried-Wilhelm-Leibniz award to L. A.) and the CSC (fellowship to W. W.) is gratefully acknowledged.

# Notes and references

- 1 (a) C. T. Walsh, S. Garneau-Tsodikova and G. J. Gatto, Jr., Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2005, 44, 7342–7372; (b) J. M. Chalker, G. J. Bernardes, Y. A. Lin and B. G. Davis, Chem.–Asian J., 2009, 4, 630–640; (c) C. D. Spicer and B. G. Davis, Nat. Commun., 2014, 5, 4740; (d) J. N. deGruyter, L. R. Malins and P. S. Baran, Biochemistry, 2017, 56, 3863–3873; (e) C. Bottecchia and T. Noel, Chem.– Eur. J., 2019, 25, 26–42.
- 2 (a) B. A. Vara, X. Li, S. Berritt, C. R. Walters, E. J. Petersson and G. A. Molander, Chem. Sci., 2018, 9, 336–344; (b) A. J. Rojas, C. Zhang, E. V. Vinogradova, N. H. Buchwald, J. Reilly, B. L. Pentelute and S. L. Buchwald, Chem. Sci., 2017, 8, 4257–4263; (c) H. G. Lee, G. Lautrette, B. L. Pentelute and S. L. Buchwald, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2017, 56, 3177–3181; (d) C. Bottecchia, M. Rubens, S. B. Gunnoo, V. Hessel, A. Madder and T. Noel, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2017, 56, 12702–12707; (e) J. Willwacher, R. Raj, S. Mohammed and B. G. Davis, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2016, 138, 8678–8681; (f) J. Ohata, M. B. Minus, M. E. Abernathy and Z. T. Ball, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2016, 138, 7472–7475; (g) E. V. Vinogradova, C. Zhang, A. M. Spokoyny, B. L. Pentelute and S. L. Buchwald, Nature, 2015, 526, 687–691; (h) D. T. Cohen, C. Zhang, B. L. Pentelute and S. L. Buchwald, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2015, 137, 9784–9787.
- 3 (a) J. M. Smith, T. Qin, R. R. Merchant, J. T. Edwards, L. R. Malins, Z. Liu, G. Che, Z. Shen, S. A. Shaw, M. D. Eastgate and P. S. Baran, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2017, 56, 11906–11910; (b) T. Qin, L. R. Malins, J. T. Edwards, R. R. Merchant, A. J. Novak, J. Z. Zhong, R. B. Mills, M. Yan, C. Yuan, M. D. Eastgate and P. S. Baran, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2017, 56, 260–265; (c)

C. Li, J. Wang, L. M. Barton, S. Yu, M. Tian, D. S. Peters, M. Kumar, A. W. Yu, K. A. Johnson, A. K. Chatterjee, M. Yan and P. S. Baran, Science, 2017, 356, eaam7355; (d) J. T. Edwards, R. R. Merchant, K. S. McClymont, K. W. Knouse, T. Qin, L. R. Malins, B. Vokits, S. A. Shaw, D. H. Bao, F. L. Wei, T. Zhou, M. D. Eastgate and P. S. Baran, Nature, 2017, 545, 213–218; (e) W. M. Cheng, R. Shang and Y. Fu, ACS Catal., 2017, 7, 907–911; (f) Y. Jin, M. Jiang, H. Wang and H. Fu, Sci. Rep., 2016, 6, 20068.

- 4 (a) M. Garreau, F. Le Vaillant and J. Waser, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2019, 58, 8182–8186; (b) S. Bloom, C. Liu, D. K. Kolmel, J. X. Qiao, Y. Zhang, M. A. Poss, W. R. Ewing and D. W. C. MacMillan, Nat. Chem., 2018, 10, 205–211; (c) S. J. McCarver, J. X. Qiao, J. Carpenter, R. M. Borzilleri, M. A. Poss, M. D. Eastgate, M. M. Miller and D. W. MacMillan, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2017, 56, 728–732.
- 5 (a) H.-R. Tong, B. Li, G. Li, G. He and G. Chen, CCS Chem., 2020, 1797–1820; (b) D. G. Rivera, G. M. Ojeda-Carralero, L. Reguera and E. V. Van der Eycken, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2020, 49, 2039–2059; (c) Z. B. Bai and H. Wang, Synlett, 2020, 31, 199–204; (d) B.-B. Zhan, M.-X. Jiang and B.-F. Shi, Chem. Commun., 2020, 56, 13950–13958; (e) W. Wang, M. M. Lorion, J. Shah, A. R. Kapdi and L. Ackermann, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2018, 57, 14700–14717; (f) A. Correa and M. Segundo, Synthesis, 2018, 50, 2853–2866; (g) T. Brandhofer and O. G. Mancheno, Eur. J. Org. Chem., 2018, 2018, 6050–6067; (h) S. Sengupta and G. Mehta, Tetrahedron Lett., 2017, 58, 1357–1372; (i) G. He, B. Wang, W. A. Nack and G. Chen, Acc. Chem. Res., 2016, 49, 635– 645; (j) A. F. Noisier and M. A. Brimble, Chem. Rev., 2014, 114, 8775–8806.
- 6 G. Wu, Y. Deng, C. Wu, Y. Zhang and J. Wang, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2014, 53, 10510–10514.
- 7 M. A. Ashley and T. Rovis, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2020, 142, 18310–18316.
- 8 (a) C. H. Basch, J. Liao, J. Xu, J. J. Piane and M. P. Watson, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2017, 139, 5313–5316; (b) M. E. Hoerrner, K. M. Baker, C. H. Basch, E. M. Bampo and M. P. Watson, Org. Lett., 2019, 21, 7356–7360.
- 9 F. J. R. Klauck, M. J. James and F. Glorius, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2017, 56, 12336–12339.
- 10  $(a)$  J. Wu, L. He, A. Noble and V. K. Aggarwal, *J. Am. Chem.* Soc., 2018, 140, 10700–10704; (b) J. Hu, G. Wang, S. Li and Z. Shi, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2018, 57, 15227–15231.
- 11 J. Wu, P. S. Grant, X. Li, A. Noble and V. K. Aggarwal, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2019, 58, 5697–5701.
- 12 X. Jiang, M. M. Zhang, W. Xiong, L. Q. Lu and W. J. Xiao, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2019, 58, 2402–2406.
- 13 M. M. Zhang and F. Liu, Org. Chem. Front., 2018, 5, 3443– 3446.
- 14 M. Ociepa, J. Turkowska and D. Gryko, ACS Catal., 2018, 8, 11362–11367.
- 15 (a) C. G. Yu and Y. Matsuo, Org. Lett., 2020, 22, 950–955; (b) J. Wang, M. E. Hoerrner, M. P. Watson and D. J. Weix, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2020, 59, 13484–13489; (c) F. T. Pulikottil, R. Pilli, R. V. Suku and R. Rasappan, Org. Lett., 2020, 22, 2902–2907; (d) H. Yue, C. Zhu, L. Shen, Q. Geng,

K. J. Hock, T. Yuan, L. Cavallo and M. Rueping, Chem. Sci., 2019, 10, 4430–4435; (e) J. Yi, S. O. Badir, L. M. Kammer, M. Ribagorda and G. A. Molander, Org. Lett., 2019, 21, 3346–3351; (f) S. Z. Sun, C. Romano and R. Martin, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2019, 141, 16197–16201; (g) S. Plunkett, C. H. Basch, S. O. Santana and M. P. Watson, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2019, 141, 2257–2262; (h) S. Ni, C. X. Li, Y. Mao, J. Han, Y. Wang, H. Yan and Y. Pan, Sci. Adv., 2019, 5, eaaw9516; (i) R. Martin-Montero, V. R. Yatham, H. Yin, J. Davies and R. Martin, Org. Lett., 2019, 21, 2947-2951; (j) J. Liao, C. H. Basch, M. E. Hoerrner, M. R. Talley, B. P. Boscoe, J. W. Tucker, M. R. Garnsey and M. P. Watson, Org. Lett., 2019, 21, 2941–2946; (k) K. M. Baker, D. Lucas Baca, S. Plunkett, M. E. Daneker and M. P. Watson, Org. Lett., 2019, 21, 9738–9741; (l) J. Liao, W. Guan, B. P. Boscoe, J. W. Tucker, J. W. Tomlin, M. R. Garnsey and M. P. Watson, Org. Lett., 2018, 20, 3030–3033. Edge Article C. Li, J. Wang, K. K. A. Miles and A. Commons Article is lightly and the state of the state

- 16 (a) R. Gramage-Doria and C. Bruneau, Coord. Chem. Rev., 2021, 428, 213602; (b) Q. Zhao, G. Meng, S. P. Nolan and M. Szostak, Chem. Rev., 2020, 120, 1981–2048; (c) S. Rej, Y. Ano and N. Chatani, Chem. Rev., 2020, 120, 1788–1887; (d) F. Kakiuchi and T. Kochi, Chem. Lett., 2020, 49, 1256– 1269; (e) S. Rej and N. Chatani, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2019, 58, 8304–8329; (f) S. M. Khake and N. Chatani, Trends Chem., 2019, 1, 524–539; (g) Y. Park, Y. Kim and S. Chang, Chem. Rev., 2017, 117, 9247–9301; (h) B. Li and P. H. Dixneuf, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2013, 42, 5744–5767; (i) P. B. Arockiam, C. Bruneau and P. H. Dixneuf, Chem. Rev., 2012, 112, 5879–5918.
- 17 L. Ackermann, Acc. Chem. Res., 2014, 47, 281–295.
- 18 (a) A. R. A. Spencer, R. Korde, M. Font and I. Larrosa, Chem. Sci., 2020, 11, 4204–4208; (b) S. Porey, X. Zhang, S. Bhowmick, V. Kumar Singh, S. Guin, R. S. Paton and D. Maiti, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2020, 142, 3762–3774; (c) S. Bag, K. Surya, A. Mondal, R. Jayarajan, U. Dutta, S. Porey, R. B. Sunoj and D. Maiti, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2020, 142, 12453–12466; (d) M. Font, A. R. A. Spencer and I. Larrosa, Chem. Sci., 2018, 9, 7133–7137; (e) P. Wang, P. Verma, G. Xia, J. Shi, J. X. Qiao, S. Tao, P. T. W. Cheng, M. A. Poss, M. E. Farmer, K. S. Yeung and J. Q. Yu, Nature, 2017, 551, 489–493; (f) M. Simonetti and I. Larrosa, Nat. Chem., 2016, 8, 1086–1088; (g) X. C. Wang, W. Gong, L. Z. Fang, R. Y. Zhu, S. Li, K. M. Engle and J. Q. Yu, Nature, 2015, 519, 334–338; (h) Y. Kuninobu, H. Ida, M. Nishi and M. Kanai, Nat. Chem., 2015, 7, 712–717; (i) J. Luo, S. Preciado and I. Larrosa, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2014, 136, 4109–4112; (j) D. Leow, G. Li, T. S. Mei and J. Q. Yu, Nature, 2012, 486, 518–522; (k) R. J. Phipps and M. J. Gaunt, Science, 2009, 323, 1593–1597; (l) J. Y. Cho, M. K. Tse, D. Holmes, R. E. Maleczka, Jr. and M. R. Smith, 3rd, Science, 2002, 295, 305–308.
- 19 (a) G. Meng, N. Y. S. Lam, E. L. Lucas, T. G. Saint-Denis, P. Verma, N. Chekshin and J. Q. Yu, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2020, 142, 10571–10591; (b) A. Dey, S. K. Sinha, T. K. Achar and D. Maiti, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2019, 58, 10820– 10843; (c) M. T. Mihai, G. R. Genov and R. J. Phipps, Chem.

Soc. Rev., 2018, 47, 149–171; (d) J. A. Leitch and C. G. Frost, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2017, 46, 7145–7153; (e) N. Della Ca, M. Fontana, E. Motti and M. Catellani, Acc. Chem. Res., 2016, 49, 1389–1400; (f) C. G. Frost and A. J. Paterson, ACS Cent. Sci., 2015, 1, 418–419; (g) L. Ackermann and J. Li, Nat. Chem., 2015, 7, 686–687; (h) F. Julia-Hernandez, M. Simonetti and I. Larrosa, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2013, 52, 11458–11460.

- 20 (a) G. Meng, N. Y. S. Lam, E. L. Lucas, T. G. Saint-Denis, P. Verma, N. Chekshin and J.-Q. Yu, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2020, 142, 10571–10591; (b) Y. Kuninobu and T. Torigoe, Org. Biomol. Chem., 2020, 18, 4126–4134; (c) A. Dey, S. K. Sinha, T. K. Achar and D. Maiti, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2019, 58, 10820–10843; (d) M. T. Mihai, G. R. Genov and R. J. Phipps, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2018, 47, 149–171; (e) L. Ackermann and R. Vicente, in C–H Activation, ed. J.-Q. Yu and Z. Shi, Springer Berlin Heidelberg, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2010, pp. 211–229.
- 21 (a) K. Korvorapun, R. C. Samanta, T. Rogge and L. Ackermann, Ruthenium-Catalyzed Remote C–H Functionalizations, in Remote C–H bond Functionalizations, ed. D. Maiti and S. Guin, Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, 2021, pp. 137–165; (b) G. Li, J. An, C. Jia, B. Yan, L. Zhong, J. Wang and S. Yang, Org. Lett., 2020, 22, 9450–9455; (c) K. Korvorapun, M. Moselage, J. Struwe, T. Rogge, A. M. Messinis and L. Ackermann, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2020, 59, 18795–18803; (d) K. Korvorapun, R. Kuniyil and L. Ackermann, ACS Catal., 2020, 10, 435–440; (e) X. G. Wang, Y. Li, H. C. Liu, B. S. Zhang, X. Y. Gou, Q. Wang, J. W. Ma and Y. M. Liang, J. Am. Chem. Soc.,

2019, 141, 13914–13922; (f) A. Sagadevan and M. F. Greaney, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2019, 58, 9826–9830; (g) P. Gandeepan, J. Koeller, K. Korvorapun, J. Mohr and L. Ackermann, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2019, 58, 9820–9825; (h) K. Korvorapun, N. Kaplaneris, T. Rogge, S. Warratz, A. C. Stückl and L. Ackermann, ACS Catal., 2018, 8, 886-892; (i) J. A. Leitch and C. G. Frost, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2017, 46, 7145–7153; (j) S. Warratz, D. J. Burns, C. Zhu, K. Korvorapun, T. Rogge, J. Scholz, C. Jooss, D. Gelman and L. Ackermann, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2017, 56, 1557– 1560; (k) J. Li, K. Korvorapun, S. De Sarkar, T. Rogge, D. J. Burns, S. Warratz and L. Ackermann, Nat. Commun., 2017, 8, 15430; (l) G. B. Li, D. Z. Li, J. Y. Zhang, D. Q. Shi and Y. S. Zhao, ACS Catal., 2017, 7, 4138–4143; (m) B. Li, S. L. Fang, D. Y. Huang and B. F. Shi, Org. Lett., 2017, 19, 3950–3953; (n) C. J. Teskey, A. Y. Lui and M. F. Greaney, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2015, 54, 11677–11680; (o) A. J. Paterson, S. St John-Campbell, M. F. Mahon, N. J. Press and C. G. Frost, Chem. Commun., 2015, 51, 12807–12810; (p) J. Li, S. Warratz, D. Zell, S. De Sarkar, E. E. Ishikawa and L. Ackermann, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2015, 137, 13894–13901; (q) N. Hofmann and L. Ackermann, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2013, 135, 5877–5884; (r) L. Ackermann, N. Hofmann and R. Vicente, Org. Lett., 2011, 13, 1875– 1877; (s) O. Saidi, J. Marafie, A. E. Ledger, P. M. Liu, M. F. Mahon, G. Kociok-Kohn, M. K. Whittlesey and C. G. Frost, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2011, 133, 19298–19301. Open Access Article. Published on 09 April 2021. Downloaded on 5/27/2025 11:30:30 PM. This article is licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 3.0 Unported Licence.](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/3.0/) **[View Article Online](https://doi.org/10.1039/d1sc00986a)**

22 (a) L. Ackermann, Chem. Rev., 2011, 111, 1315–1345; (b) L. Ackermann, R. Vicente and A. Althammer, Org. Lett., 2008, 10, 2299–2302.