Materials Advances

REVIEW



Cite this: Mater. Adv., 2022, 3, 2612

Received 12th December 2021, Accepted 24th January 2022

DOI: 10.1039/d1ma01175h

rsc.li/materials-advances

Fluorescent Schiff base sensors as a versatile tool for metal ion detection: strategies, mechanistic insights, and applications

Manoj Kumar Goshisht, \mathbb{D}^a Goutam Kumar Patra \mathbb{D}^b and Neetu Tripathi \mathbb{D}^{*^c}

Metal ions exist globally. Therefore, the study of the design strategies, mechanistic insights, and applications of fluorescent Schiff base sensors for metal ion detection constitutes an active topic of research. Further, theoretical studies on these systems using various spectroscopic techniques add new flavor in terms of providing a better understanding of the photo-electronic properties of Schiff base sensors and their complexes with metals. The presented review article starts with the general introduction of fluorescent Schiff base sensors for metal ion detection, and consists of two main sections. The first section illustrates the strategies and different mechanistic insights into metal ion detection using Schiff base sensors. The second section demonstrates the application of fluorescent Schiff base sensors in metal ion sensing, biomedical imaging, environmental monitoring, and optoelectronic systems. Additionally, a summary of the reviewed fluorescent Schiff base sensors is presented in a table format. Finally, the conclusion and future scope of fluorescent Schiff base sensors for metal ion detections are discussed.

^a Department of Chemistry, Government Naveen College Tokapal, Bastar, Chhattisgarh 494442, India

^b Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Physical Sciences, Guru Ghasidas Vishwavidyalaya, Bilaspur (Chhattisgarh), 495009, India

^c Department of Chemistry, Guru Nanak Dev University, Amritsar, Punjab 143005, India. E-mail: neetutripathi1990@yahoo.com, neetuchem1990@gmail.com



Manoj Kumar Goshisht

Dr Manoj Kumar Goshisht is an Assistant Professor of Chemistry at Govt. Naveen College Tokapal, Bastar, Chhattisgarh, India. He obtained his master's degree from Guru Jambheshwar University of Science & Technology, Hisar, Haryana, and PhD degree from Dr B. R. Ambedkar National Institute of Technology, Jalandhar, Punjab, India. He has cleared the National Eligibility Test (CSIR-UGC NET) for Assistant Professor in the subject of Chemical Sciences. He is a winner

of the Editors Pick Award of the "9th DST & ACS Workshop" held on 11th August 2021. His research interests include organic chemistry, materials chemistry, supramolecular chemistry, and nanomaterials. He has published various research papers in reputed international peerreviewed journals such as The American Chemical Society, The Royal Society of Chemistry, and Springer Nature. He also has a book to his credit published by CRC Press (an imprint of the Taylor & Francis group).



received his PhD from Jadavpur University, under the supervision of Prof. Dipankar Datta at Indian Association for the Cultivation of Science, Kolkata. Then he joined Tel Aviv University, Israel, as a postdoctoral research fellow with Prof. Israel Goldberg (2000-2002). Subsequently he moved to Carnegie Mellon University, USA, where he worked with Prof. Catalina Achim. Then he joined as Asst. Prof. in Vijoygarh Jyotish

Goutam Kumar Patra

ROYAL SOCIETY

OF CHEMISTRY

View Article Online

Goutam Kumar Patra

Ray College, Kolkata, in December 2003. He visited Max Planck Institute of Bioinorganic Chemistry, Mülheim, Germany, as a BOYSCAST fellow during 2006-2007 and worked with the then Director, Prof. Karl Wieghardt. He has been a Professor in Guru Ghasidas Central University, Bilaspur, since 2012. His research interests include chemosensors, redox activity, azamacrocyclic chemistry, crystal engineering, porphyrin and supramolecular chemistry, peptide nucleic acids (PNAs) and free radical chemistry. So far, he has published more than hundred research papers in journals of national and international repute.

Prof.

BΥ-NC

8

1. Introduction

The metal ions constitute an active topic of research owing to their global existence. In the human body, metal ions enjoy special status because of their participation in numerous biological processes. In our surroundings, metal ions are present in food, soil, and water.¹ However, the presence of metal ions at concentrations above or below the permissible limit (Table 1) may cause hazardous effects on the environment and human beings.^{2–5} In the past, various methods such as inductively coupled plasma atomic emission spectroscopy, inductively coupled plasma mass spectroscopy, electrochemical detection, and ion chromatography have been reported for metal ion detection.^{6–10}

However, these methods suffer from limitations such as inadequate practical applications, expensive instruments, time-consuming processes, complicated procedures, *etc.* Recently, significant attention has been paid to developing fluorescent chemosensors for metal ion detection owing to their excellent selectivity, sensitivity as well as rapid response time.^{4,11,12}

In recent years, the design and synthesis of fluorescent chemosensors are of central importance because of their straightforward synthesis, tailor-made properties, and rapid, selective, and sensitive detection of the analyte on-site.¹³⁻¹⁹ Here, positive metal ion detection may result in visible color change, fluorescence color change, a shift in emission wavelength or intensity (blue-shift or red-shift) or may result in the appearance of new emission maxima.²⁰⁻²⁵ Fluorescent chemosensors for metal ion detection generally contain Schiff base,²⁶⁻²⁸ pyridine,29,30 pyrene,^{31,32} anthracene,33,34 quinoline,^{35,36} urea,^{38,39} naphthalene,³⁷ coumarin,40,41 phenolphthalein,^{42,43} and rhodamine^{44,45} groups as the



Neetu Tripathi

Dr Neetu Tripathi obtained her PhD from Guru Nanak Dev University, Amritsar, India. She completed her master's with 1st rank (Gold medal) in MSc Chemistry (Instrumental Analysis). She has been a recipient of the prestigious INSPIRE fellowship of the Government of India during her PhD. She has also cleared National Eligibility Test (CSIR-UGC NET) for Assistant Professor and Graduate Aptitude test (GATE) in the subject of chemical sciences.

Her research interest includes organic chemistry, materials chemistry, supramolecular chemistry, and nanomaterials. She has published various research papers in international peer-reviewed journals (Royal Society of Chemistry, Elsevier journals and Springer journal). She is also the co-author of a book published by CRC Press (an imprint of the Taylor & Francis group).

 Table 1
 Permissible concentration levels of metals in drinking water by

 WHO (World Health Organization) and recommended daily amount (RDA) of metal ions in the human body

Metal ion	In drinking water (mg l^{-1})	In human body	Ref.
$\frac{\text{Mg}^{2+}}{\text{Ca}^{2+}}$	50	\sim 300–400 mg day ⁻¹	3 and 5
Ca ²⁺	75	_ 0,	5
Al^{3+}	$0.03 \text{ mg } \mathrm{l}^{-1}$	$3-10 \text{ mg day}^{-1}$	3 and 4
Sn^{2^+}	$1-2 \ \mu g \ l^{-1}$		2
Pb^{2+}	0.05	93.5 μg day ⁻¹	5
Cr ³⁺	0.05	$50-200 \text{ mg day}^{-1}$	4
Mn ²⁺	0.1 mg/l	2.3 mg day ⁻¹	2-4
Fe^{2+}/Fe^{3+}	0.3	8 mg day ⁻¹	3-5
$\begin{array}{c} \text{Co}^{2+} \\ \text{Ni}^{2+} \\ \text{Cu}^{2+} \end{array}$	0.001	5-40 $\mu g day^{-1}$	3-5
Ni ²⁺	0.0008	$80-130 \ \mu g \ day^{-1}$	3-5
Cu^{2+}	1.0	2 mg day^{-1}	3-5
Zn^{2+}	5.0	8 mg day^{-1}	3 and 4
$\begin{array}{c} Hg^{2+} \\ Cd^{2+} \end{array}$	0.001-65		4 and 5
$C\tilde{d}^{2+}$	0.005	_	4 and 5

binding site. Among various fluorescent chemosensors, Schiff base-based sensors have shown outstanding results owing to their simple synthesis, and provide a suitable electronic and geometrical environment for coordination with single metal ions as well as with multiple metal ions simultaneously. Schiff bases commonly include hydrazone, acyl hydrazone, salicylimine, azine, and other groups, and provide nitrogen and oxygen atoms for coordination with metal ions.^{46–50} Schiff base-based chemosensors may show the aggregation-induced emission (AIE) phenomenon because of the free rotation around the C=N bond. Additionally, the excited-state intramolecular proton transfer (ESIPT) process was exhibited by chemosensors containing the salicylimine group.^{51–54}

Nowadays, Schiff base sensors and their complexes with metal ions have become a hot topic of research owing to their practical application in numerous scientific areas such as in environmental monitoring and in biological cell imaging of target ions within the cells, tissues, and organelles.^{4,55–59} Furthermore, the tailor-made and multi-stimuli responsive properties of Schiff bases enable their application in optoelectronic systems.^{60–62} The molecular switching properties of Schiff base sensors have been applied in the construction of molecular keypads and logic gates.^{63,64}

In the past, significant research work has been done in developing fluorescent Schiff base sensors for metal ion detection.^{63,65–71} However, to the best of our knowledge, no review has been reported on metal ion detection using fluorescent Schiff base sensors. In this review article, we start with the general introduction of fluorescent Schiff base sensors for metal ion detection and then divide the article into main sections. The first section illustrates the strategies and different mechanistic insights into metal ion detection using Schiff base sensors. The second section demonstrates the practical applications of fluorescent Schiff base sensors in metal ion sensing, biomedical imaging, environmental monitoring, and optoelectronic systems (Fig. 1). Additionally, a summary of the reviewed fluorescent Schiff base sensors is presented in a table format (Table 2). Finally, the conclusion and future opportunities of



fluorescent Schiff base sensors for metal ion detection are discussed.

2. Strategies and mechanistic insights into fluorescence-based metal ion detection

The general approach for metal ion detection involves sensormetal ion coordination interactions. A Schiff base sensor contains a binding functional unit that can coordinate with a metal ion, causing enhancement/quenching of emission intensity, ratiometric fluorescence change, or enhancement/quenching of fluorescence color of the solution, leading to chelationenhanced fluorescence (CHEF) or chelation-enhanced quenching (CHEQ) effect. The commonly used mechanisms for metal ion detection are formation/disruption of self-assembly/disassembly, aggregation/disaggregation, photo-induced electron transfer (PET), intra/intermolecular charge transfer (ICT), metal to ligand charge transfer (MLCT), ligand to metal charge transfer (LMCT), Förster resonance energy transfer (FRET), excited state intramolecular proton transfer (ESIPT), inner filter effect (IFE), metal-induced chemical change and C—N isomerization.

2.1 Self-assembly/disassembly

Self-assembled molecules are versatile materials for a variety of applications, including analyte detection and fluorescence imaging. In the sensing system, the fluorescence of the sensor can be modulated by analyte interaction and the self-assembly process. In the literature, various strategies such as self-assembly-disassembly driven detection of metal ions, metal ion-induced disassembly, self-assembly coupled with the AIE phenomenon, *etc.* have been used for fluorescence-based detection of metal ions.^{202,203} Herein, we will discuss the self-assembly-based mechanism for metal ion detection using fluorescent Schiff base molecules.

Wu *et al.* developed pyrene-based Schiff base **1** with AEE (Aggregation emission enhancement) properties for fluorescence-based

Review

 Table 2
 Summary of the reported fluorescent Schiff base sensors, fluorescence response, excitation wavelength (λ_{ex}), emission wavelength (λ_{em}), metal ion, limit of detection (LOD), Recovery rates (%) ± RSD (%) (relative standard deviation) in the spiked samples, mechanism, application, and references

Sensor	Fluorescence response	Solvent	$\lambda_{\mathrm{ex}} (\mathrm{nm})$	λ_{em} (nm)	Metal ion	LOD	Recovery rates (%) \pm RSD (%) in the spiked samples	Mechanism	Application	Ref
1	Turn-on	H_2O-DMF (1:1, v/v)	370	455	Cu ²⁺	35 nM	_	Cu ²⁺ induced self- assembly	Metal ion sensor, fluorescence ima- ging of intracellular Cu ²⁺	72
2	Turn-off	99% HEPES buffer− CH₃CN	390	526	Cu ²⁺	0.261 ppb	95 to $120 \pm <0.5$ in tap water, pond water, and indus- trial waste water	Disassembly of self- assembled aggregates		73
3	Blue shift of emission, turn-on	0	393	454.5	Cu ²⁺	2.5 μ M	_	Coordination of sensor 3 with Cu ²⁺ blocks the PET process	Metal ion sensor	32
4	Turn-off	H ₂ O/ DMSO (v/v, 1:1)	400	585	Cu ²⁺	32.8 nM	_	Coordination of Cu ²⁺ with sensor 4 caused activation	Metal ion sensor, fluorescence ima- ging of intracellular Cu ²⁺	41
5	Blue shift of emission, turn-on	Tris-HCl buffer (CH ₃ OH/ H ₂ O = 9:1, v/v)	430	516	Zn ²⁺	0.144 μΜ	_	PET and CHEF effect	Metal ion sensor	74
6	Turn-on, red- shift in the case of Cu ²⁺		340	440	Cu ²⁺ and Pb ²⁺	1.2 μ M and 0.9 μ M for Cu ²⁺ and Pb ²⁺ , respectively	98.3 to 101.6 \pm <1.5 for Cu ²⁺ , and 100.7 to 109.8 \pm <2 for Pb ²⁺ in water samples	PET and ICT process	Metal ion sensor, logic gate, cell imaging	75
7	Turn-off	$\begin{array}{l} THF\text{-}H_2O\\ (9:1,v/v) \end{array}$	365	484	Cu ²⁺	Less than 1 µM		Metal to ligand charge transfer, CHEQ	Metal ion sensor	76
8	Turn-off	Aqueous medium	405	517	Cu ²⁺	12.3 nM	_	Ligand to metal charge transfer	Metal ion sensor, secondary sensor for CN ⁻ (turn-on), logic gate, cellular imaging	77
9	Turn-off	DMF/water mixtures	400	525 and 630	Cu^{2^+}	9.12 nM	_	FRET	Metal ion sensor	78
10	Turn-on	PBS buffer at pH 7.4	360	415 and 548	Al ³⁺	10 nM	_	ESIPT, metal- template aggregation	Metal ion sensor	79
11	Turn-off	Aqueous medium	350	438		0.175 μΜ	99 to 104 \pm <2 in ground and tap water, 102.5 \pm <2 in human serum, and 101.5 to 105 \pm <2 in vitamin C tablets	Inner filter effect	Metal ion sensor, secondary sensor for ascorbic acid (turn-on), tap water, ground water	80
12	Turn-on	CH ₃ CN- H ₂ O (7:3, v/v)	305	389	Al ³⁺ and Cr ³⁺		97.8 to $103.8 \pm <1$ for Al ³⁺ and 96.5 to $104.8 \pm <1.5$ for Cr ³⁺ in tap and deionized water		Metal ion sensor, tap water	81
13	Turn-on	Ethanol	491	547	Mg^{2+}	0.516 μM	_	PET process, CHEF	Metal ion sensor	82
14	Ratiometric fluorescence change	HEPES buffer solution (pH = 7.2)	370	545 and 483	Ca ²⁺	0.3 μΜ	_	effect ICT process	Metal ion sensor	83
15	Turn-on	HEPES buffer solution	365	488	Al ³⁺	15.0 nM	94.4 \pm 2.2 in tap water	PET process, CHEF effect	Metal ion sensor, test paper, tap water	84
16	Turn-on, blue-shift	Methanol	316	550	Al^{3^+}	14 nM	_	CHEF effect	Metal ion sensor	85
17	Turn-on	$\begin{array}{l} DMF\text{-}H_2O\\ \left(v/v, 9:1\right)\end{array}$	390	490	Al ³⁺	6.7 μΜ	_	ICT process, CHEF effect and C—N isomerization	Metal ion sensor	86

	Fluorescence response	Solvent	$\lambda_{\mathrm{ex}} (\mathrm{nm})$	λ_{em} (nm)	Metal ion	LOD	Recovery rates (%) \pm RSD (%) in the spiked samples	Mechanism	Application	Re
18	Turn-on	Ethanol	428	502	Al ³⁺	38.7 nM		PET phenomenon, CHEF effect	Metal ion sensor	87
19	Turn-on	Ethanol	350	391	Al^{3^+}	0.1 μΜ	_	PET process, CHEF effect	Metal ion sensor	88
20	Turn-on	Ethanol	410	480	Al^{3^+}	31.9 nM	_	PET process, CHEF effect	Metal ion sensor	89
21	Turn-on	Ethanol– $H_2O(v/v, 3:1)$	420	475 and 508	Al ³⁺	18.2 nM	_	PET process	Metal ion sensor, solid state sensor	90
	Turn-on, blue-shift	Aqueous medium	389	460	Al^{3^+}	0.6.9 μΜ	_	ICT phenomenon	Metal ion sensor	91
	Turn-on	Ethanol	363	420	Al^{3^+}	0.2 µM	_	PET phenomenon, CHEF effect	Metal ion sensor	92
24 and ' 25	Turn-on	DMSO- H ₂ O		493 and 476 for sensors 24 and 25, respectively	Al ³⁺	14.9 nM and 15.1 nM for sensors 24 and 25, respectively	_	PET process, CHEF effect	Metal ion sensor	93
26 and ' 27	Turn-on	Methanol	410	506 and 489 for sensors 26 and 27, respectively	Al ³⁺	47.9 nM and 82.8 nM for sensors 26 and 27, respectively	_	CHEF effect	Metal ion sensor	94
28	Turn-on	Ethanol	394	478	Al^{3+}	4.2 ppm	_	CHEF effect	Metal ion sensor	95
29	Turn-on	DMF	378	481	Al ³⁺	1.2 μM	_	ICT process, CHEF effect	Metal ion sensor	96
30	Turn-on	Methanol– $H_2O(9:1, v/v)$	334	521	Al ³⁺	0.1587 μM	_	PET process, CHEF effect	Metal ion sensor	97
31	Turn-on	V/V) DMSO- $H_2O(1:1, v/v)$	320	460	Sn ²⁺	0.314 μΜ		PET process, ICT process, C—N iso- merization and CHEF effect	Metal ion sensor	98
32	Turn-on	CH ₃ CN– H ₂ O (95/ 5%)	273	537	Cr ³⁺	0.22 μM	_	PET process, CHEF effect	Metal ion sensor	99
33	Turn-on	CH_3CN-H_2O (95/ 5%)	360	663	Cr ³⁺	0.13 μΜ	_	PET process, CHEF effect	Metal ion sensor	10
34	Turn-off	DMF	280	356 and 372	Fe ³⁺	37.5 nM	103 ± 1.9 and 97.5 \pm 2.1 in distilled water and tap water, respectively	LMCT	Metal ion sensor	10
85	Turn-on	Aqueous medium	256	505	Fe ³⁺	48.0 nM		PET process, CHEF effect	Metal ion sensor	10
	Turn-on, red- shift		300	370	Fe ²⁺	0.15 μΜ	104.8 \pm 0.04, 100.8 \pm 0.03, 97.0 \pm 0.03, and 93.3 \pm 0.05 in tap water, tablets, dark chocolate and tomato juice, respectively	ICT process, CHEF	Metal ion sensor, tap water, tablets, dark chocolate and tomato juice	10
37 and ' 38	Turn-off	DMF/ HEPES buffer (7:3, v/v)	318 and 304 for sensors 37 and 38, respectively	and 38 , respectively	Fe ³⁺	4.28 μ M and 0.83 μ M for sensors 37 and 38, respectively	99.7 to 101.9 \pm <1.0 in bottled water, and 100.1 to 100.3 \pm <0.8 in tap water	Electron/energy transfer	Metal ion sensor, water samples	10
39	Turn-on	Ethanol	298	350	Co ²⁺	0.782 μM	97.2 to 98.8 \pm <3.5 in lake water, and 99.6 to 102.5 \pm <2 in tap water	AIE phenomenon	Metal ion sensor, lake water, tap water	10
10	Turn-off	CH ₃ CN– H ₂ O (9/1, v/v)	384	429	Cu ²⁺	0.503 μΜ	102 ± 0.49 in tap water, and 100.5 ± 0.76 in drinking water	LMCT	Metal ion sensor, tap water, drinking water	10
11	Turn-off	DMSO	278	307	Cu^{2^+}	0.037 μM		Electron transfer	Metal ion sensor	10

Materials Advances

Sensor	Fluorescence response	Solvent	$\lambda_{\rm ex}$ (nm)	$\lambda_{\rm em} \ ({\rm nm})$	Metal ion	LOD	Recovery rates (%) \pm RSD (%) in the spiked samples	Mechanism	Application	Ref
43	Turn-off, blue-shift	$CH_3CN/H_2O(1:1, (1:1))$	310	435	Cu ²⁺	10 µM	_	Nitrogen coordination and cation $-\pi$		109
44	Turn-on	v/v) CH ₃ CN	340	369	Cu ²⁺	1.54 nM	_	interaction ICT process, C=N isomerization and	Metal ion sensor	110
45	Turn-off	Aqueous medium	386	554	Cu^{2^+}	0.35 µM	_	CHEF effect Electron transfer	Metal ion sensor	111
46 and 47	Turn-on	DMF	364 and 365 for sensors 46 and 47, respectively	411 and 416 for sensors 46 and 47, respectively	Cu ²⁺	1 nM for sensor 47	_	CHEF effect	Metal ion sensor	112
48	Turn-on	CH ₃ CN	298	335 and 381	Cu ²⁺	27.4 nM	_	ICT process and C=N	Metal ion sensor	113
49	Turn-off	CH ₃ CN/ PBS (v/v =9/1)	365	624	Cu ²⁺	0.67 μ M	_	isomerization Ligand to metal charge transfer (LMCT)	Metal ion sensor, fluorescence bio- imaging	114
50	Turn-on	Ethanol/ HEPES buffer (95/ 5, v/v)	242	450	Zn ²⁺	0.5034 μM	_	(i) Restriction in rotation of the C=N group, (ii) blockage of the PET process, (iii) Zn ²⁺ induced dis- aggregation of sen- sor 50	Metal ion sensor, fluorescence bio- imaging	115
51	Turn-on	DMF	430	509	Zn ²⁺	8.6 nM	_	CHEF effect and $C=N$ isomerization	Metal ion sensor	116
52	Turn-on	$\begin{array}{l} DMF\text{-}H_2O\\ \left(9:1,v/v\right)\end{array}$	380	500	Zn ²⁺	2.59 μM	_	PET process and C=N	Metal ion sensor	117
53	Turn-on	Ethanol	430	498	Zn ²⁺	0.173 μΜ	_	isomerization PET process, C—N isomerization and CHEF effect	Metal ion sensor	118
54	Turn-on	Water– DMSO (3 : 1 v/v)	340	427	Ag ⁺	3.15 μΜ	_	PET process, and CHEF effect	Metal ion sensor, real samples	119
55	Turn-off, red- shift		365	500	Hg ²⁺	0.907 μM	_	Intramolecular charge transfer	Metal ion sensor, lake water, tap water, distilled water	120
56	Turn-on	Methanol/ H ₂ O (8:2, v/v)	365	503	Hg ²⁺	20 µM	_	CHEF effect	Metal ion sensor	121
57	Turn-on	$CH_3CN-H_2O(9/1, v/v)$	349	415 and 473	Hg ²⁺	22.68 nM	_	ESIPT process and $C \longrightarrow N$ isomerization	Metal ion sensor	122
58	Turn-on	Aqueous medium	355	417	Hg ²⁺	0.270 μM	99.5 to 101.0 \pm <3 in drinking water and tap water		Metal ion sensor, drinking water, and tap water	123
61	Turn-on	10% methanol solution (v/ v, 0.2 M PBS, pH 7.0)	246	425	Cd ²⁺	2.7 nM	_	Interaction between Cd ²⁺ and nitrogen contain- ing moieties in sensor 61	Metal ion sensor	124
62	Turn-on	$CH_3CN-H_2O(8:2, v/v)$	380	510	Cd ²⁺	14.8 nM	99.5 to 100.5 \pm <1.5 in double distilled water, and 99.2 to 101.0 \pm <1.5 in tap water	CHEF effect and C—N isomerization	Metal ion sensor, double distilled water, and tap water	125
63	Turn-off	Ethanol	341	385	Ag ⁺ , Cu ²⁺ and Fe ³⁺	$\begin{array}{c} 0.132 \ \mu M, \\ 0.088 \ \mu M, \\ and \ 0.037 \\ \mu M, \ for \ Ag^{+}, \\ Cu^{2^{+}} \ and \\ Fe^{3^{+}}, \\ respectively \end{array}$	99.43 \pm 0.14, 100.65 \pm 0.38, and 101.4 \pm 0.39 for	PET process	Multi-metal ion sensor, water samples	126

(cc) BY-NC

Sensor	Fluorescence response	Solvent	$\lambda_{\mathrm{ex}} (\mathrm{nm})$	$\lambda_{\mathrm{em}} (\mathrm{nm})$	Metal ion	LOD	Recovery rates (%) \pm RSD (%) in the spiked samples	Mechanism	Application	Ref
64	Turn-off	THF/water (6:4, v/v)	259	622	Co^{2+}, Cu^{2+} and Hg ²⁺	3.26 nM, 89.1 pM and 0.387 nM for Co^{2+} , Cu^{2+} and Hg^{2+} , respectively	-	The heavy metal ion effect and paramagnetic behavior triggered non-radiative decay	Multi-metal ion sensor, distilled water, tap water	127
65	Turn-on with Hg^{2+} and turn-off with Co^{2+} and Cu^{2+}	$H_2O(9:1,$	460	540	Co^{2+} , Cu^{2+} and Hg^{2+}	1.1.1 $C_{2}^{(1)}$ $C_{2}^{(2)}$ $C_{2}^{(2)}$ ppb , $and 0.20$ ppb , $respectively, for C_{2}^{(2)}, Cu^{2+} and Hg^{2+}$	_	The coordination and desulfurization mechanism for Hg^{2+} and disrup- tion of the intra- molecular H-bond in the presence of Co^{2+} or Cu^{2+}	Multi-metal ion sensor, tap, lake, drink, ditch and ground water	128
66	Turn-on, red- shift	CH ₃ CN	355	500	Al ³⁺ , Cr ³⁺ and Fe ³⁺	0.117 μ M, 0.111 μ M and 0.106 μ M for Al ³⁺ , Cr ³⁺ and Fe ³⁺	_	Excimer formation and PET process	Multi-metal ion sensor, multi-metal ion sensor, living cell imaging	129
67	Turn-on	Ethanol	510	572 and 580 for Zn^{2+} and Fe^{3+} , respectively	Zn ²⁺ , Fe ³⁺	0.664 μ M and 0.212 μ M for Zn ²⁺ and Fe ³⁺ , respectively	_	Opening of the lac- tam ring	Multi-metal ion sensor, distilled water, lake water, running water, well water, and Yangtze river	
68	Turn-off, red- shift	DMSO- H ₂ O (1:1, v/v)	310	550	Cu ²⁺ , Hg ²⁺ , Ag ⁺	64.8 μ M, 52.7 μ M and 63.7 μ M for Cu ²⁺ , Hg ²⁺ and Ag ⁺	_	Coordination of Cu^{2+} , Hg^{2+} , and Ag^+ with phenolic hydroxyl O, imine N atoms and thiol S, hydrogen bonding	Multi-metal ion	131
69	Turn-off	DMF	341	393	Fe ²⁺ , Fe ³⁺ and Cu ²⁺	2.06 μ M, 2.17 μ M, and 2.48 μ M for Fe ²⁺ , Fe ³⁺ and Cu ²⁺ , respectively	_	СНЕО	Multi-metal ion sensor	132
70	Turn-on	Ethanol– HEPES buffer (9:1, v/v)	420 and 520 for Zn^{2+} and Fe^{3+} , respectively	490 and 583 for Zn ²⁺ and Fe ³⁺ , respectively	Zn ²⁺ and Fe ³⁺	0.134 μ M and 0.139 μ M for Zn ²⁺ and Fe ³⁺	_	PET process, CHEF effect for Zn ²⁺ and opening of the spirolactam ring for Fe ³⁺	Multi-metal ion sensor, solid state sensor	133
71	Turn-on, red- shift	CH ₃ CN	350	539 and 565 for Zn ²⁺ and Hg ²⁺ , respectively	Zn ²⁺ and Hg ²⁺	0.040 μ M and 0.011 μ M for Hg ²⁺ and Zn ²⁺ , respectively	_	ICT process and CHEF effect	Multi-metal ion sensor	134
72	Turn-on	DMSO- H ₂ O (1:99, v/v)	300	460 and 434 for Zn ²⁺ and Ga ³⁺ , respectively	Zn ²⁺ and Ga ³⁺	0.32 μ M and 0.01 μ M for Zn ²⁺ and Ga ³⁺ , respectively	_	PET process	Multi-metal ion sensor	135
73	Turn-on	CH ₃ CN	333	536	Al ³⁺ and Cr ³⁺	0.31 μ M and 0.25 μ M for Al ³⁺ and Cr ³⁺	_	ESIPT process and C—N isomerization	Multi-metal ion sensor	136
74	Turn-off response with Cu^{2+} and turn-on response with Hg^{2+}	THF-H ₂ O $(7:3, v/v)$	385	537	Cu ²⁺ and Zn ²⁺	70.6 nM and 0.116 μ M for Cu ²⁺ and Zn ²⁺ , respectively		ICT process, CHEQ for Cu ²⁺ and PET process, CHEF for Hg ²⁺	Multi-metal ion sensor	137
75	Turn-on	CH ₃ CN	380	495	Fe ³⁺ and Cr ³⁺	4.57 μ M and 2.75 μ M for Fe ³⁺ and Cr ³⁺ , respectively	_	ESIPT process and C=N isomerization	Multi-metal ion sensor	138

Materials Advances

Sensor	Fluorescence response	Solvent	$\lambda_{\rm ex}$ (nm)	$\lambda_{\rm em} (\rm nm)$	Metal ion	LOD	Recovery rates (%) \pm RSD (%) in the spiked samples	Mechanism	Application	Rei
	Turn-on	DMSO– H ₂ O (1 : 2, v/v)	405 for sensors 77	505 for	Al ³⁺	14.8 nM and 42.3 nM for sensors 77 and 78, respectively	_	ESIPT process, C—N isomeriza- tion and CHEF effect	Metal ion sensor, secondary sensor for F ⁻ (turn-off), filter paper, cell imaging	13
79	Turn-on	DMSO- H ₂ O (1:9, v/v, HEPES buffered)	450	525	Zn ²⁺	0.52 μΜ	_	ICT	Metal ion sensor, secondary sensor for PPi (turn-off), test paper strips and bioimaging of intracellular Zn ²⁺ in HeLa cells	14
30	Turn-off with Co^{2+} and Cu^{2+} and $turn-on$ with Hg^{2+}	(1:99)	360	620	Hg ²⁺ - Cu ²⁺	0.0937 μ M, 0.0221 μ M, and 0.0988 μ M for Co ²⁺ , Hg ²⁺ and Cu ²⁺ , respectively		Metal ion binding in the cavity of the sensor through oxygen and nitro- gen atoms	Multi-metal ion sensor, sequential sensor for Co ²⁺ - Hg ²⁺ -Cu ²⁺ , test strip, living cell imaging	14
81	Turn-on	Ethanol- H_2O buf- fered (9:1, v/v)	330	511	Zn ²⁺	1.2 nM		PET process, C—N isomerization and CHEF effect	Metal ion sensor, secondary sensor for PPi (turn-off), INHIBIT type logic gate	14
82	Turn-off	H ₂ O- CH ₃ CN (1:1, v/v) PBS buffered	450	521	Cu ²⁺	24.0 nM	_	Sensor 82 carbonyl and imino group binding with Cu ²⁺		14
83	Turn-on, blue-shift	Methanol– water (3:1, v/v)	315	512	Zn ²⁺	0.078 μΜ	_	ESIPT and PET process and CHEF effect	Metal ion sensor, secondary sensor for ATP (turn-off), live cell imaging	14
34	Turn-on	Aqueous medium	350	588	Al ³⁺	1.68 μΜ	_	Al ³⁺ induced hydrolysis of sensor 84 , forming the free –CHO group		14
85	Turn-off	DMSO– HEPES buffer (4:6, v/v)	338	419	Cu ²⁺	2.11 ppb	_	Cu ²⁺ induced hydrolysis of the imine functionality of sensor 85	Metal ion sensor, secondary sensor	14
86	Turn-on	90% aqu- eous methanol	410	520	Al ³⁺	1.62 μΜ	_	CHEF effect and C=N isomerization	* * *	14
87	Turn-on, red- shift	Ethanol	400	500	Al ³⁺	63.0 nM	_	Schiff base hydro- lysis and CHEF effect	Metal ion sensor, live cell imaging in living HeLa cells	14
38	Turn-on	Methanol/ $H_2O(9:1, v/v)$	310	343	Al ³⁺	0.64 μM	_	isomerization and CHEF effect	Metal ion sensor, live cell imaging	14
39	Turn-on, red- shift	DMSO- $H_2O(1:1, v/v)$ solution	393	467	Cu ²⁺	0.26 μM	_	Strong coordina- tion of sensor 89 with Cu ²⁺	Metal ion sensor, live cell imaging	1
90	Turn-on	DMSO- $H_2O(3/7, v/v)$ solution	346	472	Zn ²⁺	0.018 μΜ	_	ESIPT, CHEF effect	living cell (African Monkey Vero cells) imaging	15
91	Turn-on	Ethanol– $H_2O(1/1, v/v)$ solution	545	584	Fe ³⁺	28.1 nM	_	Opening of the spirolactam ring	Metal ion sensor, living cell (SMMC- 7721 cells) imaging	15

	Fluorescence response	Solvent	$\lambda_{\mathrm{ex}} (\mathrm{nm})$	$\lambda_{\mathrm{em}} (\mathrm{nm})$	Metal ion	LOD	Recovery rates (%) \pm RSD (%) in the spiked samples	Mechanism	Application	Re
94	Turn-off	$CH_3CN/$ PBS (1:1, v/v)	450	540	Cu ²⁺	_	92.90 to 109.3 \pm <2 in water samples	_	Metal ion sensor, live cell (MCF-7 and A549 cells) imaging	
95	Turn-off	HEPES buffer	418	516	Cu ²⁺	0.16 μΜ		MLCT, LLCT	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	15
96	Turn-on	Ethanol	400	458	Al ³⁺	1.11 nM	_	ESIPT	Metal ion sensor, cell (MCF-7) imaging	15
97	Turn-on	CH ₃ CN– water (8:2) mixture	440	530	Hg ²⁺	1 nM	_	PET and hydrolysis of the C—N group	Metal ion sensor,	15
98	Turn-on	Buffer solution (ethanol/ Tris-HCl, v/v, 4/1)	380	458	Zn ²⁺	1.37 μΜ	_	PET process, C—N isomerization and CHEF effect	Metal ion sensor, live cell (A549) imaging	15
101	Turn-on		350	435	Al ³⁺	0.05 μΜ	_	CHEF effect and C==N isomerization	Metal ion sensor, fluorescence ima- ging in living cells (SW480 cells)	15
102	Turn-on	,	321	450	Al ³⁺	0.1 μΜ	_	ESIPT process, C=N isomeriza- tion and CHEF effect	Metal ion sensor, fluorescence ima- ging in living cells (HepG2 cells)	15
103	Turn-on	DMSO/ H_2O (HEPES buffer, v/v = 3/7)	346	555	Zn ²⁺	60 nM	_	PET process, ESIPT process and CHEF effect	Metal ion sensor,	16
	Turn-on, red- shift	,	347	445	Hg ²⁺	2.82 μ M	_	Excimer formation and CHEF effect	Metal ion sensor, live cell (HeLa cells) imaging	16
105	Turn-on	CH ₃ CN	350	430	Cu ²⁺	86.3 nM	-	PET process and CHEF effect	Metal ion sensor, live cell (Raw264.7 cells) imaging	16
106	Turn-on	Methanol	373	517	Al ³⁺	0.12 μΜ	_	PET process	Metal ion sensor, live cell (HeLa cells) imaging	16
	Turn-on		307	430	Al ³⁺	0.137 μΜ	_	ESIPT process	Metal ion sensor, live cell (HeLa cells) imaging, <i>in vivo</i> bioimaging of Al ³⁺ in zebrafish larvae	
	Turn-on	Phosphate buffer- ethanol (7:3, v/v) solution		516	Au ³⁺	60 nM	_	Metal induced chemical change	Metal ion sensor, PC12 cell and zeb- rafish imaging	16
109	Turn-on	Buffered CH ₃ CN– water (1:1)	370	450	Zn ²⁺	0.50 μΜ	_	Complexation of Zn^{2+} with oxygen, nitrogen and sulfur atoms of sensor 109	Metal ion sensor, live cell (MCF-7 cells) imaging	16
110	Turn-off	Buffered ethanol- water (1:1, v/v)	400	566	Cu ²⁺	15.4 nM	_	Excited state energy/electron transfer	Metal ion sensor, live cell (HeLa cells) imaging	16
111	Turn-on	DMSO- H ₂ O (9:1, v/v)	377	479	Al ³⁺	9.79 nM	_	PET process and CHEF effect	Metal ion sensor, live cell (HeLa cells) imaging	16

Materials Advances

Table 2 (continued)

Materials Advances

Sensor	Fluorescence response	Solvent	λ_{ex} (nm)	λ _{em} (nm)	Metal ion	LOD	Recovery rates (%) \pm RSD (%) in the spiked samples	Mechanism	Application	Ref
112	Turn-on, red- shift	Aqueous medium	350	460	Zn ²⁺	10.4 nM	_	C=N isomerization	Metal ion sensor, bacterial cells, <i>viz.</i> <i>Staphylococcus aur-</i> <i>eus</i> and <i>E. coli</i>	169
113	Turn-on	Methanol– H ₂ O (1/9, v/v)	392	448	Al ³⁺ and Zn ²⁺	0.804 μM	For Al^{3+} , 96.33 and 96.24 in water and suspension, respectively For Zn^{2+} , 98.60 and 95.05 in water and suspension, respectively		Metal ion sensor, drug samples such as Gelucil and Zin- covit, live cell (HeLa cells) imaging	170
14	Turn-on	Methanol- water (2:1, v/v)		442	Pb ²⁺	8 nM	$105 \pm 1.7, 117$ $\pm 1.6, and 93 \pm 1.3$ in tap water, river water and urine samples, respectively	PET process and CHEF effect	Metal ion sensor, BSA medium, environmental samples such as tap water, river water and urine samples	171
115	Turn-on	CH ₃ CN- H ₂ O (1:1, v/v)	360	430	Al ³⁺	50 μM	_	PET process, CHEF effect	Metal ion sensor, BSA medium, live cell (human embryonic kidney (HEK)) imaging	172
116	Turn-on	Methanol– water (2:1, v/v)		373	Al ³⁺	0.903 μM	98.5% to 101.91% in food samples	PET process, C—N isomerization and CHEF effect	Metal ion sensor, BSA medium	173
117 and 118	Turn-off	Aqueous medium	370	540	Cu ²⁺ , Fe ³⁺	_	_	Host–guest interaction	Anticancer	174
119- 124	-	DMSO- H ₂ O (2:8, v/v)		422 nm for 119-121 , and 474 nm for 122-124	_	_	_	Energy dependent, antitumor mecha- nism of oxidation	Anticancer	175
125	Turn-on	Ethanol– H ₂ O (4:6, v/v)	397		Fe ³⁺	0.8 ppb	_	ESIPT	Cancer cells	176
126	Turn-off	DMSO	360	475	Cu ²⁺	_	_	PET process and CHEF effect or due to structural change in the ligand upon complexation	Optical sensor, cat- alytic oxidation, and Lewis acid- base colour indicator	177
127	Turn-off	DMSO- H ₂ O (1:1, v/v)	414	549	Hg ²⁺	0.284 nM		Hg^{2+} interaction with the nitrogen atom of the imine group and with the oxygen atom		178
128 and 129	Turn-on	DMF-H ₂ O (v:v = 1:9)	300 for sensors 128 and 129,	483 and 467 for sensors 128 and 129 , respectively	Al ³⁺	3.2 nM and 29.0 nM with sensors 128 and 129, respectively		PET and ESIPT process, CHEF effect	Metal ion sensor, lake water and tap water	179
130	Turn-off, hypso- chromic shift	DMF/H ₂ O (2/3, v/v)	450	560	Cu ²⁺	28.1 nM	94 to $105 \pm <2$ in tap water, 106 to $117 \pm <2.7$ in river, and 87 to 93 $\pm <2.6$ in simu- lated urine samples	Paramagnetic nat- ure of Cu ²⁺ and CHQF mechanism	Metal ion sensor, real samples such as water and food	180
131	Turn-on	Methanol	401	524	Al ³⁺	7.4 nM	100.96 to 101.84 \pm <0.5 in ultrapure water and 100.0 to 100.10 \pm <1.0 in tap water		Metal ion sensor, ultrapure water, tap water	181

Sensor	Fluorescence response	Solvent	$\lambda_{\mathrm{ex}} (\mathrm{nm})$	$\lambda_{\rm em}$ (nm)	Metal ion	LOD	Recovery rates (%) \pm RSD (%) in the spiked samples	Mechanism	Application	Ref
132	Turn-on	CH ₃ CN	353	487 and 532	Mg ²⁺	19.1 ppb		C—N isomeriza- tion and CHEF effect	Metal ion sensor, tap, ground and lake water	182
133	Turn-off	THF-H ₂ O $(4/1, v/v)$	428	565	Cu ²⁺	16.4 nM	99.10 to 102.90 \pm <2.01 in lake water and 98.49 to 102.37 \pm <2.07 in tap water	ESIPT process, LMCT	Metal ion sensor, running water, artificial lake	183
134	Turn-on	DMF– HEPES (1:1, v/v)	350	531	Al ³⁺	86.5 nM	99.7 to 101.7 \pm <0.5 in yellow river and 99.0 to 103.4 \pm <0.5 in tap water	PET process and CHEF effect	Metal ion sensor, yellow river and tap water	184
135	Turn-on	Tris–HCl buffer (1:1, v/v) solution	350	526	Al ³⁺	0.34 μΜ	100.5 to 102.3 \pm < 0.3 in yellow river and 99.5 to 102.8 \pm < 0.3 in tap water	PET process and CHEF effect	Metal ion sensor, yellow river and tap water	
136	Turn-on	Ethanol– HEPES buffer (95:5, v/v)	273	473 and 499	Al ³⁺	0.108 μM	_	PET process	Metal ion sensor, live cell (HeLa cells) imaging, lake, river and distilled water	
137	Turn-on, blue-shift	THF- H_2O (1:1, v/v) mixture	490	550	Zn ²⁺	38.9 nM	_	ESIPT, PET, non- radiative process and CHEF effect	Metal ion sensor, inkless rewritable paper, self-erasing paper, live cell (SiHa cells) imaging	187
138	Turn-on	_	_	Strong emission band between 550 and 700		_	_	Enol to keto form photoisomerization	Rewritable paper and UV sensor	188
141	Turn-on	CH ₃ CN– H ₂ O mixture	350	463	Cu ²⁺	0.23 μM		CHEF effect	Metal ion sensor, mechanochromic fluorescence	189
143	Turn-off	HEPES buffered methanol– $H_2O(4/1, v/v)$	432	508	Cu ²⁺ and Zn ²⁺	0.212 μ M and 71.9 nM for Cu ²⁺ and Zn ²⁺ , respectively	_	PET process	Multi-metal ion sensor, solid state piezochromic luminescence	190
145	Turn-on	THF-H ₂ O	367	408 and 481	—		_	AIE, ICT	Anti-counterfeiting and printed electronics	191
146 and 147	Turn-on	DMSO	372	504 and 444 for sensors 146 and 147, respectively	Zn ²⁺	_	_	ICT process	Color tunable	192
148- 152	Turn-on	DMSO	365	447, 440, 436, 433, and 430 for complexes 148, 149, 150, 151, and 152, respectively		_	_	Relaxation due to intra-ligand transition	Organic white light emitting devices	193
153	Blue fluorescent	CHCl ₃ , THF, etha- nol, CH ₃ CN, and solid state	345-370	430-460	Zn ²⁺	_	_	Combination of monomer–excimer emission	Blue fluorescent OLED	194

Sensor	Fluorescence response	Solvent	$\lambda_{\mathrm{ex}} (\mathrm{nm})$	$\lambda_{\mathrm{em}} (\mathrm{nm})$	Metal ion	LOD	Recovery rates (%) \pm RSD (%) in the spiked samples	Mechanism	Application	Ref.
154	Turn-on	HEPES buffered ethanol- $H_2O(9:1, v/v)$	375	520	Zn ²⁺	1.59 μΜ	_	PET process, C—N isomerization and CHEF effect	Metal ion sensor, logic gate, TLC supported paper strips, and bioima- ging of intracellular Zn ²⁺ in Vero cells (African green monkey kidney cells)	
155	Turn-on	Methanol– water (1 : 1, v/v)	370	464	Al ³⁺	10 μΜ	_	PET process	Metal ion sensor, secondary sensor for $PO_4^{3^-}$, logic gate, BSA medium, live cell (rat L6 myoblast cells) imaging	196
156 and 157	Turn-on	Methanol	375	465 and 464 for sensors 156 and 157 , respectively	Al ³⁺	0.525 μM and 2.38 μM for sensors 156 and 157 , respectively	_	CHEF effect	Metal ion sensor, logic gate	197
158	Turn-on	Aqueous medium	359	454	Zn ²⁺	0.477 μΜ	_	ESIPT process, C—N isomeriza- tion, and CHEF effect	Metal ion sensor, logic gate, keypad lock, and fluores- cence imaging of Zn^{2+} in rice seedlings	198
159	Turn-on	DMSO- water (9:1, v/v)	482	With Zn^{2+} (545) and Cd^{2+} (560)	Zn ²⁺ and Cd ²⁺	2.7 nM (for Zn ²⁺) and 6.6 nM (for Cd ²⁺)	For Zn ²⁺ , 83 to 91 in drinking water, and for Cd ²⁺ , 103 to 104 in drinking water	CHEF effect	Multi-metal ion sensor, logic gate, TLC plates, and drinking water	199
160	Turn-on	CH ₃ CN	400	542	Ni ²⁺	8.67 nM	89 to 104.7 \pm <0.2 in tap water, 94 to 98.7 \pm <0.2 in river water, and 97.3 to 109.5 \pm <0.2 in bottled water	CHEF effect	Metal ion sensor, logic gate, live cell imaging (HeLa cells), real samples	200
161	Turn-off	CH ₃ CN- H ₂ O (7:3)	344	531	Fe ³⁺	0.81 μΜ	$\begin{array}{l} 98.56 \pm 0.19, 98.81 \\ \pm 0.35, 97.91 \pm \\ 0.21, 98.81 \pm 1.03, \\ 98.46 \pm 0.28, 97.96 \\ \pm 0.23, and 94.51 \pm \\ 0.26 \text{ in tap water,} \\ 1ake, well, mineral \\ water, distilled \\ water and human \\ serum albumin, \\ respectively \\ \end{array}$	C=N	Metal ion sensor, logic gate, test paper, water sam- ples and fluor- escent bioimaging	201

Materials Advances

detection of Cu²⁺.⁷² In H₂O–DMF (1:1, v/v) solution, sensor 1 was weakly fluorescent (Fig. 2 and Table 2). In the presence of Cu²⁺, sensor 1 displayed strong fluorescence enhancement (4.5-fold) at 455 nm (λ_{ex} = 370 nm). The LOD was 35 nM for Cu²⁺. The recognition mechanism was attributed to Cu²⁺ induced self-assembly. TEM and SEM results confirmed the spherical particle morphology and aggregation of sensor 1 in the presence of Cu²⁺.

2.2 Aggregation/disaggregation

Recently, Schiff base sensors with AIE properties have attracted tremendous attention for metal ion detection. Schiff basebased sensors are excellent AIE active molecules in which free rotation around the C—N band is restricted upon coordination with metal ions, leading to emission change. These sensors are weakly emissive in dilute solution but emit strongly upon aggregation assisted by probe-metal ion interaction in solution or in solid-state.

Singh *et al.* developed probe **2** with AIE and ESIPT properties for Cu^{2+} detection in an aqueous medium (Fig. 3A and Table 2).⁷³ On excitation at 390 nm, the fluorescence spectrum of probe **2** displayed green emission at 526 nm, attributed to the AIE + ESIPT process. Upon gradual addition of an increasing concentration of Cu^{2+} , the emission spectrum of probe **2** revealed quenching of emission intensity and saturation was



Fig. 2 The sensing mechanism for Cu²⁺ detection using sensor 1. Reproduced with permission from ref. 72 Copyright 2018, Elsevier.



Fig. 3 (A) The chemical structure of sensor 2. (B) SEM images of (a) sensor 2; (b and c) sensor $2 + Cu^{2+}$; TEM images of (d) sensor 2; (e and f) sensor $2 + Cu^{2+}$; (inset) SAED of aggregates. Reproduced with permission from ref. 73 Copyright 2016, Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim.

achieved after the addition of $1 \mu M \operatorname{Cu}^{2+}$. The limit of detection was 0.261 ppb for Cu^{2+} . The coordination of probe 2 with Cu^{2+} resulted in the disassembly of self-assembled aggregates of probe 2 from self-assembled nanorods into smaller spherical nanostructures (Fig. 3B).

2.3 Photoinduced electron transfer (PET)

PET is a process where electron transfer occurs from the donor to the acceptor in the excited state. The coordination of metal ions with the Schiff base sensor may favor or disrupt the PET process, resulting in emission change.

Chakraborty *et al.* developed pyrene-based Schiff base 3 with AIE properties for fluorescence-based detection of Cu^{2+} (Fig. 4 and Table 2).³² Sensor 3 was found to be selective for Cu^{2+} with an LOD of 2.5 μ M. Sensor 3 was weakly fluorescent because of the PET process between the imine bond and the pyrene ring. The complexation of sensor 3 with Cu^{2+} blocked the PET process and resulted in fluorescence enhancement.

Wang *et al.* developed coumarin-based Schiff base 4 with AIRE (Aggregation induced ratiometric emission) properties and employed it as a turn-off sensor for Cu^{2+} detection (Fig. 5 and Table 2).⁴¹ The complexation of Cu^{2+} with sensor 4 caused activation of the PET process between coumarin and the Cu^{2+} center, resulting in fluorescence quenching.

Dong *et al.* synthesized Schiff base 5 as a turn-on sensor for Zn^{2+} detection.⁷⁴ Sensor 5 showed excellent sensitivity for Zn^{2+} over other tested metal ions. In the off-state, the PET process occurs from the HOMO of the azomethine group to the HOMO of the conjugated aromatic ring, whereas in the on-state the PET process is inhibited due to coordination of Zn^{2+} with the nitrogen atom and oxygen atom of the azomethine group, and electron transfer occurs from the LUMO to the HOMO of the conjugated aromatic ring (Fig. 6A, B and Table 2).

2.4 Intra/intermolecular charge transfer (ICT)

In the ICT process, energy relaxation of the excited molecule occurs *via* the charge transfer phenomenon. Charge transfer

Review



can be intramolecular where redistribution of charge occurs within the excited molecule or intermolecular in which charge is transferred from the excited donor molecule to the neighboring acceptor molecule.

Rout *et al.* designed triazole-based Schiff base **6** as a turn-on fluorescent sensor for Cu²⁺ and Pb²⁺ detection (Fig. 7 and Table 2).⁷⁵ In methanol–tris buffer (1:1) medium, the free sensor displayed weak emission at 440 nm ($\lambda_{ex} = 340$ nm), attributed to the PET process from the triazole and imine moiety to the fluorophore. In the presence of Cu²⁺/Pb²⁺, the fluorescence spectrum of sensor **6** displayed an increase in emission intensity attributed to blockage of the PET process and strengthening of the ICT process. The limit of detection was 1.2 µM and 0.9 µM for Cu²⁺ and Pb²⁺, respectively.

2.5 Metal to ligand charge transfer (MLCT)

Pannipara *et al.* developed an AIE-active Schiff base 7 as a turnoff sensor for Cu^{2+} detection (Fig. 8 and Table 2).⁷⁶ In the THF– H₂O (9:1, v/v) mixture, sensor 7 showed intense emission at 484 nm. Upon addition of Cu^{2+} to the solution of sensor 7, a significant decrease in fluorescence intensity was observed, attributed to metal to ligand charge transfer between Cu^{2+} and sensor 7, resulting in the CHEQ effect.

2.6 Ligand to metal charge transfer (LMCT)

Bhardwaj *et al.* developed chromone-based fluorescent organic nanoparticles (FON-8) for Cu²⁺ and CN⁻ detection in an aqueous medium (Fig. 8 and Table 2).⁷⁷ The fluorescence spectrum of FON-8 showed a gradual decrease in emission intensity at 517 nm ($\lambda_{ex} = 405$ nm) upon the addition of an increasing concentration of Cu²⁺, attributed to ligand to metal charge transfer. The limit of detection was 12.3 nM for Cu²⁺. From the DFT method, for sensor 8, the HOMO was located on the naphthalene moiety and the LUMO was located on the whole sensor 8. However, in the case of the 8-Cu²⁺ complex, the LUMO was shifted toward the metal center, demonstrating ligand to metal charge transfer.

2.7 Förster resonance energy transfer (FRET)

FRET is a non-radiative process where energy transfer occurs from excited donors to acceptor molecules. The metal–Schiff base complexation may inhibit or trigger the FRET process resulting in emission change.







Fig. 6 (A) Sensing mechanism of sensor 5 for Zn^{2+} detection. (B) Orbital energy diagrams showing (a) fluorescence quenching by the PET process; (b) fluorescence enhancement due to the CHEF effect. Reproduced with permission from ref. 74 Copyright 2017, Elsevier.



Fig. 7 ICT- and PET-based sensing mechanism for Pb²⁺ and Cu²⁺ detection with sensor 6. Reproduced with permission from ref. 75 Copyright 2019, Royal Society of Chemistry



Fig. 8 Chemical structure of sensors 7 and 8. Reproduced with permission from ref. 76 Copyright 2017, Elsevier.

Yang et al. developed a novel method for Cu²⁺ detection through the AIE and FRET strategy in an aqueous medium (Fig. 9 and Table 2).⁷⁸ The Schiff base sensor 9 exhibited AIE properties with green emission at 525 nm. In the presence of Cu²⁺, sensor 9 underwent fluorescence quenching and the limit of detection was 0.132 µM. However, through the sensor 9-NiR FRET system, the LOD for Cu²⁺ was reduced to 9.12 nM upon monitoring emission intensity at 620 nm.

2.8 Excited-state intramolecular proton transfer (ESIPT)

In the ESIPT process, generally, the transfer of protons occurs from the donor (NH, OH, etc.) to the acceptor (carbonyl, nitrogen, etc.). ESIPT based sensors generally show two emission bands, one due to the enol-form, and the other due to the tautomeric keto-form. Additionally, ESIPT based sensors show a large Stokes shift, high quantum yield, a low energy gap, etc. Usually, salicylimine type Schiff bases exhibit the ESIPT phenomenon because of keto-enol tautomerization.48,49



Fig. 9 FRET-based sensing mechanism for Cu²⁺ detection with sensor 9 Reproduced with permission from ref. 78 Copyright 2019, Elsevier.

Puri et al. developed Schiff base sensor 10 with AIE properties for fluorescence-based detection of Al³⁺ in methanol solution.⁷⁹ On excitation at 360 nm, sensor **10** displayed emission at 548 nm accompanied by a large Stokes shift (188 nm), attributed to the ESIPT phenomena. Upon addition of Al³⁺ to the solution of sensor 10, further enhancement in emission intensity was observed with emission maxima at 476 nm and a Stokes shift of 116 nm, attributed to metal-template aggregation (Fig. 10 and Table 2).

2.9 Inner filter effect (IFE)

The inner filter effect is a radiative process where the excitation and/or emission energy of the probe is absorbed by the absorber in the sensing system. Earlier, it was considered as a source of error in fluorescence measurements. Nowadays, researchers have shown more interest in developing IFE-based sensors because (i) this approach is simple and straightforward, *i.e.* it does not require sensor-analyte interaction, and (ii) absorber's absorbance change transforms exponentially into emission change, resulting in increased sensitivity. The basic requirement for IFE to act is spectral overlap between the absorber's absorbance band and the excitation and/or emission band of the sensor.204

Abbasi and Shakir et al. developed IFE-based Schiff base sensor 11 for Cr (VI) detection in an aqueous medium (Fig. 11A and Table 2).80 On excitation at 350 nm, sensor 11 showed emission maxima at 438 nm. Upon addition of Cr(vi), the fluorescence of sensor 11 was adequately quenched. The sensing mechanism was attributed to IFE, based on the good overlap between the absorption band of Cr(vi) (centered at 260 nm, 350 nm, and 440 nm) and the excitation band (at 350 nm) and/or emission band (at 438 nm) of sensor 11 (Fig. 11B). The limit of detection for $Cr(v_1)$ was 0.175 μ M.

2.10 Metal-induced chemical change and C=N isomerization

Sometimes, the interaction of metal ions with the sensor results in some chemical reaction that changes the chemical structure of the ligand, resulting in emission change.

Hu et al. developed fluorene-naphthalene-based Schiff base sensor 12 for Al^{3+} and Cr^{3+} detection.⁸¹ In $CH_3CN-H_2O(7:3, v/v)$ solution, free sensor 12 was weakly emissive ($\lambda_{ex} = 305$ nm). In the presence of Al^{3+} or Cr^{3+} , sensor 12 underwent fluorescence enhancement 722 times and 923 times, respectively. The



Metal Template-AIE

Fig. 10 ESIPT based sensing mechanism for Al³⁺ detection with sensor **10**. Reproduced with permission from ref. 79 Copyright 2018, Royal Society of Chemistry.



Fig. 11 (A) Chemical structure of sensor 11. (B) (a) Absorbance spectrum of Cr(v); normalized fluorescence (b) excitation and (c) emission spectrum of sensor 11. Reproduced with permission from ref. 80 Copyright 2018, Royal Society of Chemistry.



Fig. 12 Sensing mechanism of sensor 12 with Al³⁺. Reproduced with permission from ref. 81 Copyright 2021, Elsevier.

limit of detection was 0.887 nM and 0.631 nM for Al³⁺ and Cr³⁺, respectively. The recognition mechanism was a reaction-based process, wherein first oxidation of the C—N group of sensor **12**

C=N to O = C-NH occurred in the presence of Al^{3+} or Cr^{3+} , and then coordination, which resulted in inhibition of C=N isomerization and in strong emission (Fig. 12 and Table 2).

8

3. Applications

3.1 Metal ion sensors

Some metal ions are very crucial for life such as Fe^{3+} , Zn^{2+} , K^+ , *etc.*, whereas others such as Hg^{2+} , Pb^{2+} , Cd^{2+} , *etc.*, may cause serious environmental and health issues.¹ Accordingly, detection of metal ions present in trace amounts is important. Recently, fluorescent Schiff base sensors for metal ion detection have grabbed significant attention because of their simplicity, selectivity, sensitivity, real-time detection, fast response time, and non-destructive properties. In addition, Schiff bases are eminent hard bases and have electron-rich oxygen and nitrogen donor atoms for coordination with metal ions.

3.1.1 Mg^{2+} sensor. Magnesium is an essential mineral for human beings, playing several important functions such as blood pressure regulation, bone development, muscle contraction, immune system improvement, catalysis of many enzymedriven biochemical reactions, acting as a cofactor for many enzymes, *etc.*²⁰⁵ However, both excess intake or deficiency of magnesium ions results in serious diseases such as Alzheimer's disease, hypertension (due to excess intake of magnesium), and hypocalcemia, diabetes, migraine (due to deficiency of magnesium).^{206,207} Thus, the detection of magnesium using fluorescent chemosensors is an interesting area of research.

Wang *et al.* developed Schiff base **13** as a turn-on sensor for fluorescence-based detection of Mg^{2+} (Fig. 13 and Table 2).⁸² In



Fig. 13 Chemical structure of sensors 13-28.

ethanol solution, sensor **13** displayed weak emission at wavelength 547 nm (λ_{ex} = 491 nm). Upon addition of Mg²⁺, sensor **13** displayed a 70-fold increase in fluorescence intensity at 547 nm. The detection limit was 0.516 µM for Mg²⁺. Job's plot showed **1:1** (**13**/Mg²⁺) stoichiometry and the association constant was 3.33 × 10⁴ M⁻¹ as calculated using the Benesi–Hildebrand equation. The chelation of sensor **13** with Mg²⁺ through oxygen and nitrogen atoms resulted in blockage of the PET process, causing fluorescence enhancement through the CHEF effect.

3.1.2 Ca²⁺ sensor. Calcium ions play key roles in human biology, for example, signal transduction, muscle contraction, bone formation, *etc.* However, the abnormal concentration of calcium ions in the body is an indicator of serious health problems.²⁰⁸ Excess calcium ion concentration (hypocalcaemia) in the body can cause hyperparathyroidism, sarcoidosis, and cardiac arrhythmias,²⁰⁹ whereas its deficiency (hypocalcaemia) may lead to osteoporosis, vitamin D deficiency, and hypoparathyroidism.²¹⁰ Therefore, the use of fluorescent sensors for Ca²⁺ detection is a topic of immense interest for health monitoring and diagnostic purpose.

Saleh *et al.* reported Schiff base sensor **14** as a ratiometric fluorescent sensor for Ca^{2+} (Fig. 13 and Table 2).⁸³ In HEPES buffer solution (pH = 7.2), the free sensor showed a broad band with emission maxima at 545 nm ($\lambda_{ex} = 370$ nm). The fluorescence titration with Ca^{2+} revealed ratiometric fluorescence change with the simultaneous increase in emission intensity at 483 nm and decrease in emission intensity at 545 nm. The limit of detection was 0.3 μ M Ca²⁺ and the stoichiometry of the complex was 1:1 (**14**:Ca²⁺). The binding of Ca²⁺ with sensor **14** at the coordination sites, *i.e.*, OH, C==N, SH, decreases the ICT process present in the sensor and results in ratiometric fluorescence change.

3.1.3 Al^{3^+} sensor^{96,97,211–216}. Aluminum is the third most abundant element (8.3%) in the earth crust after oxygen and silicon. While aluminum has no biological role, over-exposure to aluminum may lead to neurotoxicity and Alzheimer's disease.^{217,218} Al^{3^+} is a hard acid and coordinates well with hard bases such as oxygen and nitrogen atoms of the Schiff base ligand.

Wang *et al.* synthesized an imidazo[2,1-*b*]thiazole-based Schiff base (**15**) as a turn-on sensor for Al³⁺ detection (Fig. 13 and Table 2).⁸⁴ In HEPES buffer solution, sensor **15** was almost non-fluorescent. Upon addition of Al³⁺, the fluorescence spectrum of sensor **15** displayed emission enhancement at 488 nm (λ_{ex} = 365 nm) along with a color change of the solution from colorless to bluish-green (Fig. 14). The complexation stoichiometry was **1**:1 (**15**/Al³⁺). The limit of detection and the association constant for Al³⁺ were 15.0 nM and 7.42 × 10⁴ M⁻¹, respectively. The sensing mechanism could be attributed to inhibition of the PET process and the CHEF effect.

Chang *et al.* synthesized dansyl-based sensor **16** for fluorescence-based detection of Al^{3+} (Fig. 13 and Table 2).⁸⁵ In methanol solution, sensor **16** exhibited weak emission at 550 nm (λ_{ex} = 316 nm). Fluorescence titration with Al^{3+} revealed a prominent increase in emission intensity accompanied by a blue shift of the emission maxima from 550 nm to 448 nm



Fig. 14 Fluorescence spectrum of sensor 15 in the presence of different metal ions. Inset: photographs of 15 (L) and 15 + Al^{3+} solution under UV lamp illumination. Reproduced with permission from ref. 84 Copyright 2021, Wiley-VCH GmbH.

 $(\Delta \lambda_{shift} = 102 \text{ nm})$. Job's plot revealed the 1:1 (**16**:Al³⁺) stoichiometry of the complex (Fig. 15A). The association constant was 9.40 × 10⁶ M⁻¹ and the limit of detection was 14 nM for Al³⁺. In the ¹H NMR titration experiment, the presence of a broad peak at 9.9 ppm and two singlets of the imine protons suggested Al³⁺ coordination at the imine site, thus inhibiting C=N isomerization, causing the CHEF effect (Fig. 15B).

Hossain *et al.* developed coumarin-based Schiff base chemosensor 17 for Al^{3+} detection (Fig. 13 and Table 2).⁸⁶ Sensor 17 exhibited high selectivity for Al^{3+} among various other metal ions in DMF-H₂O (v/v, 9:1). Upon addition of Al^{3+} , sensor 17 underwent a ~34-fold increase in fluorescence intensity at 490 nm (λ_{ex} = 390 nm). The stoichiometry of the complex was 1:1 (17:Al³⁺) and the detection limit was 6.7 µM for Al³⁺. The turn-on fluorescence response of sensor 17 with Al³⁺ was associated with the combined effect of ICT, CHEF, and C=N isomerization.

Li *et al.* designed and synthesized chromone-based sensor **18** as a turn-on sensor for Al^{3+} (Fig. 13 and Table 2).⁸⁷ In ethanol solution, sensor **18** showed weak emission in the range of 440–690 nm. Among various metal ions, sensor **18** underwent 171-fold fluorescence enhancement with Al^{3+} at 502 nm ($\lambda_{ex} = 428$ nm) and to a lesser extent with Mg²⁺ and Zn²⁺, whereas other metal ions had an insignificant effect on the fluorescence spectrum of sensor **18**. The limit of detection was 38.7 nM for Al^{3+} . The binding constant was 1.74×10^7 M⁻¹ and the stoichiometry of the complex was 1:1 (**18**:Al³⁺). The turn-on mechanism could be ascribed to the deactivation of the PET phenomenon upon chelation of Al^{3+} with sensor **18**, forming a rigid system, and causing the CHEF effect.

Li *et al.* prepared pyrazine-based sensor **19** for fluorescencebased detection of Al³⁺ (Fig. 13 and Table 2).⁸⁸ Sensor **19** was weakly fluorescent with emission at 391 nm ($\lambda_{ex} = 350$ nm) in ethanol solution. Upon addition of various metal ions, *viz.* Al³⁺, Ca²⁺, Ba²⁺, Mg²⁺, Fe³⁺, Cr³⁺, Co²⁺, Cu²⁺, Ni²⁺, Pb²⁺, Hg²⁺, Cd²⁺ and Zn²⁺, sensor **19** showed a drastic increase in fluorescence intensity at 488 nm with Al³⁺ and to a lesser extent with Fe³⁺



Fig. 15 (A) Fluorescence titration of sensor **16** with the increasing concentration of Al^{3+} in CH_3OH . (B) ¹H NMR titration of sensor **16** with the increasing concentration of Al^{3+} in CD_3OH . Reproduced with permission from ref. 85 Copyright 2015, Elsevier.

and Cr³⁺ ions, whereas other metal ions had an insignificant effect. The binding constant was $1.35 \times 10^4 \text{ M}^{-1}$ as calculated using the Benesi–Hildebrand equation. The detection limit was 0.1 μ M. Job's plot revealed the 1:1 (19:Al³⁺) stoichiometry of the complex. The complexation of Al³⁺ with sensor 19 caused the deactivation of the PET process and resulted in turn-on emission through the CHEF effect.

Liu *et al.* synthesized chromone-based Schiff base sensor **20** and evaluated it for Al^{3+} detection (Fig. 13 and Table 2).⁸⁹ In ethanol solution, sensor **20** ($\lambda_{ex} = 410$ nm) was non-fluorescent. On addition of various metal ions to the solution of sensor **20**, only Al^{3+} caused significant (~500-fold) fluorescence enhancement at 480 nm. The association constant of the complex was 1.21×10^4 M⁻¹ as calculated using the Benesi–Hildebrand equation. The detection limit was 31.9 nM for Al^{3+} . Job's plot and ESI mass spectra revealed the 1:1 (**20**: Al^{3+}) stoichiometry of the complex. The coordination of Al^{3+} caused inhibition of the PET phenomenon and resulted in turn-on fluorescence through the CHEF effect.

Liu and Yang further synthesized chromone-based Schiff base sensor **21** for Al³⁺ detection (Fig. 13 and Table 2).⁹⁰ Sensor **21** exhibited high selectivity and sensitivity for Al³⁺ detection among various other metal ions. In ethanol-H₂O (v/v, 3:1), upon addition of Al³⁺, sensor **21** underwent a drastic increase in fluorescence intensity at 475 nm and 508 nm ($\lambda_{ex} = 420$ nm). The detection limit was 0.182 µM for Al³⁺. Job's plot revealed 2:1 (**21**:Al³⁺) stoichiometry and the association constant was 9.24 × 10³ M⁻¹. Sensor **21** coordinates with Al³⁺ through two nitrogen atoms of azomethine groups forming a 2:1 (**21**:Al³⁺) complex, which inhibits the PET process and results in a turn-on fluorescence response. Sensor **21** also found potential application in the detection of Al³⁺ in solid-state.

Qin and Yang prepared bis-Schiff base sensor **22** for Al^{3+} detection (Fig. 13 and Table 2).⁹¹ In an aqueous solution, free sensor **22** was weakly fluorescent with emission maxima at 506 nm (λ_{ex} = 389 nm). Among various metal ions, sensor **22** displayed strong fluorescence enhancement only with Al^{3+} and to a lesser extent with Zn^{2+} . Fluorescence titration with Al^{3+} showed an increase in fluorescence intensity with a blue shift of

the emission maxima from 506 nm to 460 nm ($\Delta \lambda_{\text{shift}} = 40$ nm). The detection limit was 0.69 μ M for Al³⁺. Job's plot revealed 1 : 1 (22 : Al³⁺) stoichiometry and the binding constant was 1.67 × 10⁴ M⁻¹. The turn-on fluorescence response could be attributed to the inhibition of the ICT phenomenon due to the chelation of sensor 22 with Al³⁺ through imine nitrogen, the oxygen atom of the carboxylate group, and the oxygen atom of the phenolic hydroxyl group.

Qin *et al.* designed Schiff base sensor **23** for fluorescencebased detection of Al³⁺ (Fig. 13 and Table 2).⁹² In ethanol solution, the fluorometric behavior of sensor **23** toward various metal ions revealed high selectivity only for Al³⁺. The detection limit was 0.2 μ M. The complexation stoichiometry was 1:1 (**23**:Al³⁺) and the binding constant was 7.54 M⁻¹. In the presence of Al³⁺, sensor **23** underwent a drastic increase in emission intensity at 420 nm (λ_{ex} = 363 nm). The sensing mechanism could be attributed to the inhibition of the PET phenomenon due to the chelation of the –C—N– group nitrogen atom with Al³⁺, causing the CHEF effect.

Ruo et al. synthesized 5,5'-methylenebis(salicylaldehyde)based Schiff base sensors 24 and 25 for Al³⁺ detection (Fig. 13 and Table 2).93 In DMSO-H₂O solution, both sensors 24 and 25 are weakly fluorescent with emission at 491 nm and 473 nm, respectively. Among various metal ions, sensors 24 and 25 displayed a 70-fold and 40-fold increase in fluorescence intensity at 493 nm and 476 nm, respectively, only with Al³⁺. The binding constants of sensors 24 and 25 with $\mathrm{Al^{3^+}}$ were 2.01 imes 10^4 M^{-1} and 5.46 \times 10^5 M^{-1} , respectively, and the stoichiometry of the complex was $1:1 (24/25:Al^{3+})$. The detection limit of sensors 24 and 25 for Al³⁺ was 14.9 nM and 15.1 nM, respectively. The ¹H NMR titration experiment revealed that sensors 24 and 25 chelated with Al³⁺ through the nitrogen atom of the imine moiety and the oxygen atom of the hydroxyl group, which resulted in deactivation of the PET process and fluorescence enhancement through the CHEF effect.

Shoora *et al.* synthesized Schiff base sensors **26** and **27** for fluorescence-based detection of Al³⁺ (Fig. 13 and Table 2).⁹⁴ Both sensors **26** and **27** are weakly fluorescent in methanol solution. Among various metal ions, both sensors **26** and **27**

Review

showed strong fluorescence enhancement with Al³⁺ and to a lesser extent with Zn²⁺ and Cr³⁺, whereas other metal ions had an insignificant effect. Fluorescence titration of sensors 26 and 27 with Al³⁺ revealed an increase in emission intensity at 506 nm (λ_{ex} = 410 nm) and 489 nm (λ_{ex} = 410 nm), respectively. The increase in fluorescence intensity was associated with a change in the color of the solution from colorless to blue, which can be detected by the naked eve under UV lamp illumination. The detection limit of sensors 26 and 27 for Al³⁺ was 47.9 nM and 82.8 nM, respectively. Job's plot indicated 1:1 (26/27:Al³⁺) complex stoichiometry and the stability constant was 1.41 \times $10^4~M^{-1}$ and 1.59×10^4 M⁻¹ for sensors 26 and 27, respectively. The mechanism of sensing could be ascribed to the CHEF process.

Zhang et al. synthesized Schiff base sensor 28 as a fluorescence turn-on sensor for Al³⁺ (Fig. 13 and Table 2).⁹⁵ In ethanol solution, free sensor 28 was weakly fluorescent with emission at 478 nm (λ_{ex} = 394 nm). Among various metal ions, sensor 28 showed 200-fold fluorescence enhancement at 478 nm only with Al³⁺, whereas other metal ions had an insignificant effect. The fluorescence change was associated with a change in the color of the solution from colorless to blue, which can be detected by the naked eye under UV lamp illumination. The limit of detection was 4.2 ppm for Al³⁺. The association constant was 1.4 \times 10⁷ M⁻¹ and the complex stoichiometry was 1:1 (28:Al³⁺). The coordination of Al³⁺ with sensor 28 caused the CHEF effect.

Kumar et al. prepared 4-(N,N-diethylamino)salicylaldehydebased Schiff base sensor 29 for Al³⁺ detection in DMF solution.⁹⁶ Upon the addition of Al³⁺, sensor 29 displayed a turn-on fluorescence response (cyan color, λ_{em} = 481 nm) toward Al³⁺ over other tested metal ions. Sensor 29 binds with Al³⁺ in a 2:1 manner, forming a six coordinated Al³⁺-complex, and resulting in inhibition of the ICT process and leading to turn-on emission through the CHEF effect (Fig. 16, 17 and Table 2).

Wang et al. synthesized tetrastyrene based sensor 30 (AIEactive) for fluorescence-based detection of Al³⁺ in methanol- $H_2O(9:1, v/v)$ solution (Fig. 18, Table 2).⁹⁷ The free sensor 30 was weakly fluorescent. With the addition of Al³⁺, the fluorescence spectrum of sensor 30 displayed an increase in emission intensity at 521 nm (λ_{ex} = 334 nm) and a good linear relationship (0–1.8 \times 10⁻⁵ M). The binding ratio and the binding

Fig. 16 Sensing mechanism for Al³⁺ detection with sensor 29. Reproduced with permission from ref.96 Copyright 2022, Elsevier.

complex strong fluorescence

no fluorescence



Fig. 17 Photographs of sensor 29 solution in the presence of different metal ions under 364 nm UV light illumination. Reproduced with permission from ref. 96 Copyright 2022, Elsevier.



Fig. 18 Sensing mechanism for Al³⁺ detection with sensor **30**. Reproduced with permission from ref. 97 Copyright 2021, Elsevier.

constant were 1:1 (30:Al³⁺) and 2.24 \times 10⁴ M⁻¹, respectively. The sensing mechanism was attributed to inhibition of the PET process and the CHEF effect. From the ¹H NMR titration experiment, with the addition of Al³⁺, the disappearance of proton signals at 10.97 ppm (-OH), a slight shift of proton signals at 12.17 ppm (-CH=N-), and splitting of proton signals at 9.10 ppm (-CH=N-) indicated chelation of Al³⁺ with the nitrogen atom of the imine group, the oxygen atom of the phenol hydroxyl group and the oxygen atom of aldehyde in sensor 30.

3.1.4 Sn²⁺ sensor. Sn(π) is an essential trace mineral for the human body.²¹⁹ In our daily life, stannous chloride (SnCl₂) is widely used in food manufacturing and processing, and to conserve soft drinks. According to the World Health Organization, the maximum limit of tin in canned food is 250 µg per kg. However, tin consumption above 200 µg per kg can damage living cells and can lead to serious gastrointestinal problems.2,220

Kolcu et al. designed and synthesized sensor 31 as a turn-on sensor for Sn(π) (Fig. 19 and Table 2).⁹⁸ In DMSO-H₂O (1:1, v/v) solution, sensor 31 was non-fluorescent due to C=N isomerization and the PET process. However, in the presence of Sn²⁺, the fluorescence spectrum of sensor 31 displayed a strong emission band at 460 nm (λ_{ex} = 320 nm) along with a color change of the solution from yellow to deep blue under a UV lamp. The chelation of Sn²⁺ with sensor **31** resulted in inhibition of the PET process and C=N isomerization, resulting in fluorescence enhancement through the CHEF effect. The complexation stoichiometry was 1:2 (31/Sn²⁺) and the binding constant was 6.8 \times 10⁹ M⁻². The limit of detection was 0.314 μ M for Sn²⁺.

3.1.5 Cr^{3+} sensor. Cr^{3+} is an essential micronutrient of our balanced diet. In the human body, Cr³⁺ is involved in various



Fig. 19 Chemical structure of sensors 31-45.

processes such as maintaining insulin balance, metabolism of carbohydrates, proteins and fats, *etc.* However, excess concentration of Cr^{3^+} leads to genotoxic diseases, whereas deficiency of Cr^{3^+} causes cardiovascular diseases and diabetes.²²¹ Therefore, the development of a fluorescent sensor for Cr^{3^+} detection is an interesting field of research.

Chalmardi *et al.* utilized Schiff base sensor **32** for Cr^{3+} detection (Fig. 19 and Table 2).⁹⁹ In acetonitrile–water (95/5%), sensor **32** displayed high selectivity for Cr^{3+} over other

metal ions. In the presence of Cr^{3^+} , sensor 32 underwent fluorescence enhancement at 537 nm (λ_{ex} = 273 nm). The complex stoichiometry was 1:1 (32:Cr^{3^+}). The binding constant was 8.77 \times 10⁴ M⁻¹ as calculated using the Benesi-Hildebrand equation. The limit of detection was 0.22 μM for Cr^{3^+}. The sensing mechanism could be ascribed to the blockage of the PET process due to coordination of Cr^{3^+} with the imine moiety and the phenolic hydroxyl group of sensor 32, causing fluorescence enhancement through the CHEF effect.

Review

The same group further reported another Schiff base sensor 33 for Cr³⁺ detection (Fig. 19 and Table 2).¹⁰⁰ In acetonitrile–water (95/5%), free sensor 33 was weakly fluorescent. In the presence of Cr³⁺, sensor 33 showed a rapid turn-on fluorescence response at 663 nm (λ_{ex} = 360 nm). The stoichiometry of the complex was 1:1 (33:Cr³⁺) and the association constant was 2.28 × 10⁵ M⁻¹. The detection limit was 0.13 µM for Cr³⁺. The mechanism of detection was inhibition of the PET phenomenon because of Cr³⁺ coordination with sensor 33, causing turn-on fluorescence through the CHEF effect.

3.1.6 Fe^{2+}/Fe^{3+} sensor²²²⁻²²⁴. Iron is one of the essential elements and it plays a very significant role in living systems such as in oxygen uptake, oxygen metabolism, the electron transfer process, and as a cofactor in various enzymatic reactions. However, an unbalanced concentration of iron in living systems is connected with serious diseases such as anaemia, heart failure, cell damage, liver damage, *etc.* Therefore, detection of iron is important for monitoring health problems and illness diagnosis. Though iron exists in Fe²⁺ and Fe³⁺ forms, comparatively Fe³⁺ is more stable and highly studied as an analyte based on the fluorescent sensor.²²⁵

He *et al.* designed carbazole-based Schiff base 34 as an onoff sensor for Fe³⁺ detection (Fig. 19 and Table 2).¹⁰¹ Upon excitation at 280 nm, the fluorescence spectrum of 34 displayed emission in the range of 330–520 nm in DMF solution. Sensor 34 exhibited high selectivity for Fe³⁺ among various other metal ions. 60% quenching in fluorescence intensity of sensor 34 was observed with 10 equivalents of Fe³⁺. Job's plot revealed $1:1 (34/Fe^{3+})$ complex stoichiometry. The binding constant was 7.98×10^{-6} M⁻¹ and the limit of detection was 37.5 nM. The mechanism of interaction could be attributed to the charge transfer process (LMCT) from sensor 34 to Fe³⁺.

Li *et al.* developed quinoline-based sensor **35** for fluorescence-based detection of Fe³⁺ (Fig. 19 and Table 2).¹⁰² In an aqueous solution, free sensor **35** was non-fluorescent. Upon addition of Fe³⁺, sensor **35** underwent a 44-fold increase in emission intensity at 505 nm ($\lambda_{ex} = 256$ nm). Various other tested metal ions had insignificant effects on the fluorescence spectrum of sensor **35**. Job's plot indicated 1:1 (**35**/Fe³⁺) binding stoichiometry. The binding constant was 7.22×10^4 M⁻¹ and the detection limit was 4.8×10^{-8} M for Fe³⁺. The coordination of Fe³⁺ with sensor **35** resulted in blockage of the PET process and turn-on emission through the CHEF effect.

Santhoshkumar *et al.* reported naphthalene pyridine-based Schiff base **36** as a fluorescent sensor for Fe²⁺ detection (Fig. 19 and Table 2).¹⁰³ Sensor **36** was weakly fluorescent in acetonitrile–H₂O (1:1, v/v) solution. In the presence of Fe²⁺ (λ_{ex} = 300 nm), sensor **36** underwent fluorescence enhancement along with red-shift in emission wavelength from 345 nm to 370 nm. In comparison with other metal ions, sensor **36** exhibited selective fluorescence response with Fe²⁺ only. Job's plot indicated 2:1 (**36**/Fe²⁺) binding stoichiometry and the binding constant was 5.02 × 10⁴ M⁻¹. The limit of detection was 0.15 µM for Fe²⁺. The coordination of Fe²⁺ with sensor **36** through the imine moiety inhibited the ICT process present in free sensor **36** and caused fluorescence enhancement through the CHEF effect. Sensor **36** found potential application in Fe^{2+} detection in real samples.

Zhao et al. designed phenanthro[9,10-d]imidazolecoumarin-based Schiff bases 37 and 38 as fluorescent sensors for Fe³⁺ detection (Fig. 19 and Table 2).¹⁰⁴ In DMF/HEPES buffer (7:3, v/v) solution, the fluorescence spectrum of free sensors 37 and 38 displayed emission maxima at 446 nm (λ_{ex} = 318 nm) and 426 nm (λ_{ex} = 304 nm), respectively. Among various metal ions, both sensors 37 and 38 underwent fluorescence quenching only with Fe³⁺. The detection limit of sensors 37 and 38 was 4.28 µM and 0.83 µM, respectively, for Fe³⁺. The binding constant of sensors 37 and 38 with Fe³⁺ was 1.52×10^5 M⁻¹ and 7.69×10^4 M⁻¹, respectively. The binding stoichiometry was $1:1 (37/38: Fe^{3+})$. The observed fluorescence quenching could be attributed to electron/energy transfer from Fe³⁺ to sensors 37 and 38 because of the paramagnetic nature of Fe³⁺. Both sensors 37 and 38 found potential application in Fe³⁺ detection in real water samples.

3.1.7 Co²⁺ sensor. Co²⁺ is an essential trace element in the human body. It is an active center of vitamin B₁₂ and enzymes. It is also used in lithium-ion batteries, alloys, *etc.* Co-60 is an important radioisotope used for gamma ray production and in radioactive tracer studies.^{226,227} However, an increase/decrease in the concentration of cobalt ions from normal in humans and animals causes adverse effects on the respiratory system and central nervous system, kidney failure, anaemia, *etc.*²²⁸ Therefore research on the development of fluorescent sensors which can selectively and sensitively coordinate with Co²⁺ is of immense interest.²²⁹

Zhang *et al.* synthesized salicylal derived Schiff base sensor **39** for fluorescence-based detection of Co²⁺ (Fig. 19 and Table 2).¹⁰⁵ Among various metal ions, sensor **39** showed high selectivity only for Co²⁺ in ethanol solution (Fig. 20). Fluorescence titration of sensor **39** with Co²⁺ revealed an increase in emission intensity at 350 nm ($\lambda_{ex} = 298$ nm). The plot of fluorescence intensity *vs* the concentration of Co²⁺ was linear in the range of 8.0 × 10⁻⁷ to 2.0 × 10⁻⁶ M. The limit of detection was 0.782 µM for Co²⁺. Job's plot revealed the 1:4



Fig. 20 Fluorescence response of sensor **39** with different metal ions in ethanol solution. Reproduced with permission from ref. 105 Copyright 2017, Elsevier.

 $(39: Co^{2^+})$ stoichiometry of the complex. ¹H NMR studies in the absence and presence of Co²⁺ suggested the involvement of the nitrogen atom of the N=C-H group and the phenolic O-H group in coordination with Co^{2+} . Sensor 39 could be used for Co²⁺ monitoring in environmental systems.

3.1.8 Cu^{2+} sensor^{108,110,114,230–236}. Cu^{2+} is an essential trace dietary element in the human body and participates in various physiological processes, for example in normal functioning of the immune system, nervous system and cardiovascular system.^{237,238} The unbalanced concentration of Cu²⁺ is associated with diseases such as Wilson's diseases, hypoglycemia, liver damage, etc. Furthermore, due to excessive use of copper in industry and agriculture, copper is a major pollutant of the environment.²³⁹ Thus, development of selective and sensitive methods for Cu^{2+} detection is a challenging area of research.

Dalbera et al. developed pyrene-based Schiff base sensor 40 for Cu²⁺ detection (Fig. 19 and Table 2).¹⁰⁶ In CH₃CN-H₂O (9/1, v/v), the fluorescence experiment revealed the high selectivity of sensor 40 towards Cu²⁺ over other metal ions, viz. K⁺, Na⁺, Ni²⁺, Pb²⁺, Hg²⁺, Zn²⁺, Al³⁺, Co²⁺, and Fe³⁺. Upon gradual addition of Cu²⁺, sensor 40 underwent a 93% decrease in emission intensity at 429 nm (λ_{ex} = 384 nm). The fluorescence quenching could be ascribed to the reduction of π -conjugation in sensor 40 upon coordination with Cu²⁺, because of sensor 40 to metal charge transfer (LMCT). Job's plot indicated 2:2 (40:Cu²⁺) stoichiometry and the detection limit was 0.503 μ M for Cu²⁺. The quenching constant as calculated using the Stern-Volmer equation was 1.38×10^5 M⁻¹. The binding constant as obtained using the Benesi-Hildebrand equation was $5.65 \times 10^5 \text{ M}^{-1}$. Sensor **40** also found potential application in Cu²⁺ detection in real water samples such as tap water and drinking water.

Carlos et al. prepared fluorene-based Schiff base sensor 41 and utilized it for metal ion detection in DMSO solutions (Fig. 19 and Table 2).¹⁰⁷ Among various metal ions, sensor 41 exhibited significant fluorescence quenching at 307 nm (λ_{ex} = 278 nm) with Cu²⁺. The stoichiometry of the complex was 2:1 (Cu²⁺/41). The Stern-Volmer constant (K_{sv}) and the binding constant of sensor 41 with Cu^{2+} were 2.26 \times 10^{12} M^{-1} and 1.74 \times 10¹² M⁻¹, respectively. The detection limit was 0.037 μ M for Cu²⁺. The coordination of one Cu²⁺ through the imine group as well as the nitrogen atom of the amide group and the second Cu²⁺ through the pyridine ring nitrogen atom as well as oxygen atoms from solvent molecules facilitated electron transfer from Cu²⁺ to sensor **41** and resulted in fluorescence quenching.

Fu et al. synthesized Schiff base sensor 42 using diarylethene and the 1,8-naphthalimide unit (Fig. 19 and Table 2).108 In acetonitrile solution, sensor 42 showed significant fluorescence and color change with Cu²⁺ in comparison with other metal ions. Sensor 42 underwent fluorescence quenching at 542 nm $(\lambda_{ex} = 296 \text{ nm})$ with Cu²⁺ and the color of the solution changed from bright green to dark. Job's plot and the mass spectrum revealed 1:1 (42/Cu2+) stoichiometry. The binding constant was $3.13 \times 10^4 \text{ M}^{-1}$ as calculated using the modified Benesi-Hildebrand equation. The limit of detection was 2.4 µM.

Kumar et al. reported naphthylamine and benzyl-derived sensor 43 for Cu²⁺ detection (Fig. 19 and Table 2).¹⁰⁹ In CH₃CN/

 $H_2O(1:1, v/v)$ solution, the fluorescence spectrum of sensor 43 displayed emission at 435 nm (λ_{ex} = 310 nm). Upon addition of Cu^{2+} , sensor 43 underwent a decrease in fluorescence intensity associated with the blue shift of the emission maxima from 435 nm to 410 nm. The plot of fluorescence intensity ratio vs the concentration of Cu^{2+} was linear in the range of 5×10^{-5} to 3 \times 10⁻⁴ M. The detection limit was 10⁻⁵ M. The Stern–Volmer constant (K_{sv}) was 1.1×10^4 M⁻¹. The binding constant was log β = 6.4. The stoichiometry of the complex was $1:2(43/Cu^{2+})$. One Cu^{2+} coordinates with the nitrogen atom of the imine moiety and the second Cu^{2+} binds to benzyl rings through cation- π interaction.

Moghadam et al. designed fluorene-based Schiff base sensor 44 and utilized it for fluorescence-based detection of Cu^{2+} (Fig. 19 and Table 2).¹¹⁰ Sensor 44 was non-fluorescent in acetonitrile solution. In the presence of Cu²⁺, sensor 44 showed strong fluorescence enhancement at 369 nm (λ_{ex} = 340 nm). The binding constant was 2.84 \times 10⁵ M⁻¹ as calculated using the Benesi-Hildebrand equation. The limit of detection was 1.54 nM for Cu^{2+} . Job's plot revealed 1:1 (44/Cu²⁺) stoichiometry. The chelation of sensor 44 with Cu²⁺ resulted in the formation of a more rigid system because of blocking of the ICT process and restriction in C=N isomerization, causing turn-on emission through the CHEF effect. Sensor 44 found practical application in the detection of copper in electroplating wastewater.

Torawane et al. prepared Schiff base sensor 45 as a turn-off sensor for Cu²⁺ detection (Fig. 19 and Table 2).¹¹¹ Among various tested metal ions, sensor 45 underwent instant fluorescence quenching only with Cu²⁺ at emission wavelength 554 nm (λ_{ex} = 386 nm) in an aqueous solution. The detection limit of sensor 45 was 0.35 μM for $Cu^{2+}.$ The association constant was 2.67 \times 10⁵ M⁻¹ as determined using the nonlinear curve fitting method and the binding stoichiometry was $1:1 (45/Cu^{2+})$. The mechanism of sensing could be ascribed to the excited state electron transfer from sensor 45 to Cu^{2+} .

Wang et al. synthesized imidazole- and benzimidazole-based Schiff base sensors 46 and 47, respectively, for Cu²⁺ detection (Fig. 22 and Table 2).¹¹² In DMF solution, sensors 46 and 47 displayed emission at wavelength 411 nm and 416 nm, respectively. Both sensors 46 and 47 exhibited specificity for Cu²⁺ over other tested metal ions and underwent fluorescence enhancement with Cu²⁺. The detection limit of Sensor 47 was 1 nM for Cu²⁺. The coordination of Cu²⁺ with sensors **46** and **47** through the -C=N- group and the imidazole ring resulted in the formation of a rigid structure and caused fluorescence enhancement through the CHEF effect. On comparison, sensor 47 was found to be more selective than sensor 46 for Cu²⁺ because of its large conjugated rigid structure.

Yin et al. designed carbazole-based Schiff base sensor 48 for fluorescence-based detection of Cu2+ in acetonitrile solution (Fig. 22 and Table 2).¹¹³ On excitation at 298 nm, sensor 48 displayed weak emission at wavelength 335 nm and 381 nm. Among various tested metal ions, sensor 48 showed strong fluorescence enhancement only with Cu²⁺. On gradual addition of an increasing concentration of Cu2+, sensor 48 displayed an increase in fluorescence intensity at 450 nm and reached a plateau after the addition of 6 equivalents of Cu²⁺. The binding



Fig. 21 Chemical structure of Schiff base **49** and the sensing mechanism of Cu^{2+} with **49**-PMO. Reproduced with permission from ref.114 Copyright 2021, Wiley.

constant (K_a) was $1.26 \times 10^{-6} \text{ M}^{-1}$ and the binding stoichiometry was 1:1 (48/Cu²⁺). The detection limit was 27.4 nM for Cu²⁺. The sensing mechanism could be ascribed to the coordination of Cu²⁺ with sensor 48 through the diaminomalenonitrile unit, causing inhibition of the ICT process and C—N isomerization, resulting in fluorescence enhancement.

Gao *et al.* incorporated Schiff base-based sensor **49** into the pore of periodic mesoporous organosilica (**49**-PMO) for

fluorescence-based detection of Cu^{2+} (Fig. 21 and Table 2).¹¹⁴ Upon the addition of Cu^{2+} , the fluorescence spectrum of **49**-PMO revealed fluorescence quenching, and the detection limit was 0.67 μ M for Cu^{2+} . The sensing mechanism was attributed to ligand to metal charge transfer (LMCT).

3.1.9 Zn^{2+} sensor^{240–244}. Zinc is an essential trace element, involved in several important functions in the human body such as metabolism of RNA and DNA, cell apoptosis, reproduction, immune system function, protein metabolism, *etc.*²⁴⁵ Deficiency of zinc results in serious diseases such as growth retardation, a weak immune system, Parkinson's disease, Alzheimer's disease, *etc.* On the other hand, excess intake of zinc may lead to health problems.^{246–248} In addition, zinc is an important pollutant of the environment, having toxic effects on aquatic microorganisms, plants, and invertebrates. Therefore, environmental monitoring and detection of zinc in a biological system constitute an interesting and challenging field of research.

Zhu *et al.* developed 2-hydroxy-1-naphthaldehyde and 2benzylthio-ethanamine based Schiff base sensor **50** for Zn²⁺ detection (Fig. 22 and Table 2).¹¹⁵ In ethanol/HEPES buffer (95/5, v/v) solution, sensor **50** hardly displayed detectable fluorescence ($\lambda_{\rm em} = 450$ nm, $\lambda_{\rm ex} = 242$ nm). Among various



Fig. 22 Chemical structure of sensors 46-62.

tested metal ions, sensor **50** displayed superb fluorescence enhancement (19-fold) only with Zn^{2+} . The binding stoichiometric ratio was 2:1 (**50**: Zn^{2+}) and the binding constant was 85.7 M⁻². The detection limit of sensor **50** was 0.5034 μ M. The sensing mechanism could be attributed to (i) restriction in rotation of the C=N group, (ii) blockage of the PET process, and (iii) Zn^{2+} induced disaggregation of sensor **50**.

Kumar *et al.* synthesized diaminobenzidine-based Schiff base sensor **51** for Zn²⁺ detection (Fig. 22 and Table 2).¹¹⁶ In DMF solution, sensor **51** displayed selective fluorescence enhancement only with Zn²⁺ over various other competing metal ions such as Cd²⁺, Ni²⁺, Co²⁺, Cu²⁺, Mn²⁺, Fe³⁺, and Al³⁺. Fluorescence titration of sensor **51** with Zn²⁺ showed a progressive increase in emission intensity at 509 nm (λ_{ex} = 430 nm). The detection limit was 8.6 nM for Zn²⁺. Job's plot revealed 1:1 (**51**/Zn²⁺) binding stoichiometry and the binding constant was 7.8 × 10⁴ M⁻¹. The chelation of sensor **51** with Zn²⁺ and inhibition of -C=N- isomerization upon coordination with Zn²⁺ resulted in a turn-on fluorescence response through the CHEF effect.

Qin *et al.* synthesized coumarin-based Schiff base **52** as a two-photon fluorescent sensor for Zn^{2+} detection (Fig. 22 and Table 2).¹¹⁷ On excitation at 380 nm, sensor **52** displayed weak emission at 500 nm in DMF-H₂O (9:1, v/v) solution. Fluorescence titration of sensor **52** with Zn^{2+} showed a gradual increase in fluorescence intensity at 500 nm which reached a plateau after the addition of 0.5 equivalent of Zn^{2+} . The binding stoichiometry was 2:1 (**52**/Zn²⁺) and the binding constant (log *K*) was 6.04. The limit of detection was 2.59 µM for Zn^{2+} . The sensing mechanism could be ascribed to the inhibition of the PET process and restriction in -C—N- isomerization upon coordination of Zn^{2+} with sensor **52**.

Yan *et al.* developed Schiff base sensor 53 for Zn^{2+} detection in ethanol solution (Fig. 22 and Table 2).¹¹⁸ Among various metal ions under investigation, sensor 53 underwent strong fluorescence enhancement at 498 nm ($\lambda_{ex} = 430$ nm) only with Zn^{2+} . The stoichiometry of the complex was 1:1 (53/Zn²⁺) and the detection limit was 0.173 µM for Zn^{2+} . The association constant (K_a) was 2.0 × 10⁴ M⁻¹ as calculated using the Benesi– Hildebrand equation. The complexation of Zn^{2+} with sensor 53 makes the system more rigid by inhibiting the PET process and C==N isomerization, resulting in fluorescence enhancement through the CHEF effect.

3.1.10 Ag⁺ sensor. Ag⁺ is a heavy metal ion and has been widely utilized in various industrial processes such as photography, batteries, and electrical industries. However, intake of Ag⁺ directly/indirectly from industrial waste has a toxic impact on living beings. Thus, detection of Ag⁺ is important, which is challenging. Recently, thiophene appended cyclotriphosphagene-based sensor 54 has been developed for Ag⁺ detection (Fig. 23 and Table 2).¹¹⁹ Sensor 54 showed a turn-on fluorescence response toward Ag⁺ over other competitive metal ions. The coordination of sensor 54 with Ag⁺ in a 1:1 manner resulted in inhibition of the PET process and the CHEF effect. The LOD was 3.15 μ M for Ag⁺. Interestingly, sensor 54 found practical application in the determination of Ag⁺ in real samples.



Fig. 23 Sensing mechanism of Ag^+ with sensor 54. Reproduced with permission from ref.119 Copyright 2021, Wiley-VCH GmbH, Weinheim.

3.1.11 Hg²⁺ sensor^{249,250}. Among heavy metal ions, mercury has an extremely toxic impact on living beings and the environment. Exposure to Hg²⁺ can lead to liver, kidney, and brain damage, neurological problems, *etc.* The main sources of mercury contamination are industry and mining activities. Therefore, the detection of mercury in biological systems and the environment is important. Because of the high affinity of mercury for sulfur, chelating agents such as 2,3-dimercapto-1-propanesulfonic acid (DMPS) and dimercaptosuccinic acid (DMSA) have been used for treating acute mercury poisoning.²⁵¹ Generally, most of the sensors for mercury ion detection contain sulfur, pyridine, thymine, and quinoline groups as binding sites.

Lei et al. synthesized thiosemicarbazone-based Schiff base 55 as an on-off sensor for Hg²⁺ detection in DMSO-buffered water (9/1, v/v) (Fig. 22 and Table 2).¹²⁰ Among various metal ions, the fluorescence spectrum of sensor 55 displayed dramatic fluorescence quenching only with Hg²⁺ and to a lesser extent with Cu²⁺, whereas other metal ions had an insignificant effect on the fluorescence spectrum of sensor 55. Upon addition of Hg²⁺, the color of the solution of sensor 55 changed from colorless to light yellow and from yellow-green to light red under natural light and UV lamp illumination, respectively. Fluorescence titration of sensor 55 with Hg²⁺ revealed a decrease in emission intensity at 500 nm (λ_{ex} = 365 nm) along with a red-shift of the emission wavelength by 50 nm. The detection limit was 0.907 μ M for Hg²⁺. Job's plot revealed 1:2 $(55/Hg^{2+})$ complex stoichiometry. The complexation constant was 2.51×10^5 M⁻¹ as calculated using the Benesi–Hildebrand equation. The downfield shift of protons of the thiosemicarbazone moiety and the low field shift of protons of the benzoquinoxaline moiety in the ¹H NMR titration experiment suggested the involvement of the thiosemicarbazide group and the imine group in coordination with Hg^{2+} .

Singhal *et al.* designed thiophene-based Schiff base **56** as a turn-on sensor for Hg^{2+} detection (Fig. 22 and Table 2).¹²¹ Sensor **56** was weakly fluorescent in methanol/H₂O (8:2, v/v) solution. On excitation at 365 nm, sensor **56** showed a turn-on fluorescence response at 503 nm with Hg^{2+} , whereas other tested metal ions had an insignificant effect. The complexation of Hg^{2+} with sensor **56** caused fluorescence enhancement through the CHEF effect. The binding constant as calculated

Review

using Hill's method was $\log \beta = 13.36$ and the limit of detection was 20 μ M for Hg²⁺. The job's plot and ¹H NMR titration experiment revealed 2:1 (56/Hg²⁺) binding stoichiometry.

Su *et al.* reported benzophenone and aminobenzenethiol based Schiff base sensor 57 for Hg^{2+} detection (Fig. 22 and Table 2).¹²² In the CH_3CN-H_2O (9/1, v/v) mixture, sensor 57 displayed weak fluorescence at 415 nm ($\lambda_{ex} = 349$ nm). In the presence of Hg^{2+} , sensor 57 showed an increase in fluorescence intensity at 415 nm along with the formation of a new emission band at 473 nm. The sensing mechanism could be attributed to the inhibition of the ESIPT process and C—N isomerization due to the coordination of Hg^{2+} with sensor 57 through the nitrogen atom and the sulfur atom of the imine group and the thiophenol moiety, respectively, forming a five-membered ring structure. The complex stoichiometry was 2:1 (57/Hg²⁺) and the association constant was 4.48×10^5 M⁻¹ as obtained from the Benesi–Hildebrand method. The limit of detection for Hg^{2+} was 22.68 nM.

Tekuri *et al.* synthesized pyrene-based Schiff base chemodosimeters **58**, **59**, and **60** for Hg²⁺ detection in aqueous medium (Fig. 22 and Table 2).¹²³ Among sensors **58**, **59**, and **60**, only sensor **58** displayed high selectivity for Hg²⁺ over other tested metal ions. Fluorescence titration of sensor **58** with Hg²⁺ exhibited an increase in fluorescence intensity at 417 nm (λ_{ex} = 355 nm). The binding constant was 5.8 × 10⁻² M⁻¹ and the complex stoichiometry was 2:1 (58/Hg²⁺). The limit of detection was 0.270 µM for Hg²⁺. The sensing mechanism could be ascribed to the Hg²⁺ induced hydrolysis of sensor **58** resulting in the formation of more fluorescent pyrene carboxyaldehyde molecules.

3.1.12 Cd^{2+} sensor^{35,124,252,253}. Cadmium is an extremely hazardous metal ion that is widely utilized in industrial processes, for example in electroplating, fabrication of batteries, *etc.* Human exposure to Cd^{2+} may occur through industrial discharge and excessive use of fertilizers. Inside the human body, Cd^{2+} may damage the respiratory system, liver, kidney, *etc.*¹¹ Therefore, detection of cadmium ions in biological fluids (such as blood samples and urine samples) and in real samples (such as water and food) is important for early identification of cadmium poisoning.

Wan *et al.* utilized quinoline-based Schiff base sensor **61** for Cd^{2+} detection (Fig. 22 and Table 2).¹²⁴ In 10% methanol solutions, sensor **61** displayed high selectivity only for Cd^{2+} among various other metal ions. In the presence of Cd^{2+} , sensor **61** showed a 40 times increase in fluorescence intensity at 425 nm (λ_{ex} = 246 nm). The limit of detection was 2.7 nM for Cd^{2+} . Job's plot revealed 1:1 (**61**: Cd^{2+}) stoichiometry. ¹H NMR analysis of sensor **61** in the presence and absence of Cd^{2+} demonstrated the participation of -C—N–, quinoline, the nitrogen atom of ethylenediamine, and the oxygen atom of ester in complexation with Cd^{2+} .

Mohanasundaram *et al.* synthesized quinoline-based Schiff base sensor **62** for Cd²⁺ detection (Fig. 22 and Table 2).¹²⁵ In CH₃CN–H₂O (8:2, v/v) solution, free sensor **62** showed weak emission at 510 nm (λ_{ex} = 380 nm). Among various tested metal ions, sensor **62** underwent fluorescence enhancement only with Cd^{2+} (37-fold) and Zn^{2+} (14-fold), whereas other metal ions had an insignificant effect. Sensor **62** also exhibited color change from colorless to yellow under UV light illumination. The binding stoichiometry was 2:1 (**62**/Cd²⁺) and the association constant was 1.77×10^5 M⁻¹. The limit of detection was 14.8 nM for Cd²⁺. The coordination mechanism of sensor **62** with Cd²⁺ could be the combined effect of restriction in C—N isomerization and the CHEF effect. Furthermore, sensor **62** demonstrated potential application in the quantification of Cd²⁺ in different water samples.

3.2 Multi-metal ion sensors^{57,254–264}

Nowadays, the development of sensors with multiple ion recognition sites is a challenging and emerging area of interest, because of the advantages of such systems such as cost reduction and faster analytical processing.

Hammud et al. synthesized Schiff base sensor 63 for fluorescence-based detection of Ag⁺, Cu²⁺, and Fe³⁺ in ethanol solution (Fig. 24 and Table 2).¹²⁶ On excitation at 341 nm, sensor 63 exhibited strong fluorescence at emission wavelength 385 nm. Fluorescence titration of sensor 63 with Ag⁺, Cu²⁺, and Fe³⁺ revealed a linear decrease in fluorescence intensity with the addition of an increasing concentration of these ions. The detection limit was 0.132 µM, 0.088 µM, and 0.037 µM, respectively, for Ag^+ , Cu^{2+} , and Fe^{3+} . The Stern–Volmer constant (K_{sv}) value was 9.575 \times 10 2 M $^{-1}$, 8.273 \times 10 2 M $^{-1}$ and 23.2 \times 10 2 M $^{-1}$ for Ag⁺, Cu²⁺, and Fe³⁺, respectively. The stoichiometry of the complex was 2:1 (63/Ag⁺ or Cu²⁺) and 1:2 (63/Fe³⁺). The Benesi-Hildebrand plot revealed a binding constant value of 1.4062×10^3 $M^{-1},$ 1.111×10^3 M^{-1} and 1.2909×10^4 M^{-1} with Ag⁺, Cu²⁺, and Fe³⁺, respectively. The mechanism of fluorescence quenching could be attributed to the initiation of the PET process upon binding with metal ions. Sensor 63 found analytical application in the detection of Ag⁺, Cu²⁺, and Fe³⁺ in real water samples.

Saleem et al. developed triazole-based Schiff base sensor 64 for fluorescence-based detection of Co2+, Cu2+, and Hg2+ (Fig. 24 and Table 2).¹²⁷ In THF/water (6:4, v/v) solution, sensor **64** displayed emission at 622 nm (λ_{ex} = 259 nm). Among various metal ions, sensor 64 exhibited strong fluorescence quenching only with Hg^{2+} and to a lesser extent with Co^{2+} and Cu^{2+} , whereas other metal ions had an insignificant effect. The quenching constant (K_{sv}) value was 9.25 \times 10⁷, 1.829 \times 10⁷ and $1.14 \times 10^7 \text{ M}^{-1}$ for Co^{2+} , Cu^{2+} , and Hg^{2+} , respectively. The association constant value was 1.52 \times 10^8 $M^{-1},~5.47$ \times 10^{11} M^{-1} , and $1.16 \times 10^8 M^{-1}$ for Co^{2+} , Cu^{2+} , and Hg^{2+} , respectively. The limit of detection was 3.26 nM, 89.1 pM, and 0.387 nM for Co^{2+} , Cu^{2+} , and Hg^{2+} , respectively. The stoichiometry of the complex was 1:1 (64:Co²⁺/Cu²⁺/Hg²⁺). The heavy metal ion effect and paramagnetic behavior triggered non-radiative decay could be responsible for the observed fluorescence quenching.

Sie *et al.* synthesized Schiff base sensor **65** for fluorometric and colorimetric detection of Co²⁺, Cu²⁺, and Hg²⁺ (Fig. 24, 25 and Table 2).¹²⁸ In DMSO–H₂O (9:1, v/v) solution, sensor **65** exhibited emission at wavelength 540 nm (λ_{ex} = 460 nm). The fluorescence titration of sensor **65** with Hg²⁺ showed first a

View Article Online



rig. 24 Chemical structure of sensors 63–76.



Fig. 25 Color change of sensor **65** (host) upon addition of Hg^{2+} , Co^{2+} , and Cu^{2+} (5 equiv.): (A) naked eye detectable color change; (B) under UV light illumination. Reproduced with permission from ref. 128 Copyright 2017, Royal Society of Chemistry.

decrease (4–12 μ M), then an increase (16–40 μ M), and again a gradual decrease (60–800 μ M) in emission intensity with the addition of an increasing concentration of Hg²⁺. Coordination and desulfurization could be the possible mechanism of the observed fluorescence change of sensor **65** with Hg²⁺. Upon addition of an increasing concentration of Co²⁺ or Cu²⁺, sensor **65** showed a decrease in emission intensity at 540 nm. The disruption of the intramolecular H-bond in the presence of

 $\rm Co^{2+}$ or $\rm Cu^{2+}$ could be responsible for the observed fluorescence quenching. The association constant was $3.52 \times 10^6 \, M^{-1}$, 5.69 $\times 10^5 \, M^{-1}$, and $1.85 \times 10^4 \, M^{-1}$, respectively, for $\rm Co^{2+}, \rm Cu^{2+}$, and $\rm Hg^{2+}$. The stoichiometry of the complex was 1:1 (65: $\rm Co^{2+}/\rm Cu^{2+}/\rm Hg^{2+}$). The limit of detection was 0.007 ppb, 0.29 ppb, and 0.20 ppb, respectively, for $\rm Co^{2+}, \, Cu^{2+}$, and $\rm Hg^{2+}$. Sensor 65 showed potential for $\rm Co^{2+}, \, Cu^{2+}$, and $\rm Hg^{2+}$ detection in real water samples.

Simon *et al.* developed pyrene-based Schiff base **66** as an offon sensor for Al³⁺, Cr³⁺, and Fe³⁺ in acetonitrile solution (Fig. 24 and Table 2).¹²⁹ Among various metal ions, sensor **66** showed turn-on fluorescence response only with trivalent metal ions such as Al³⁺, Cr³⁺, and Fe³⁺ along with red-shift of the emission maxima from 417 nm to 500 nm (λ_{ex} = 355 nm). The complexation stoichiometry was 2:1 (**66** : Al³⁺/Cr³⁺/Fe³⁺). The association constant value was 2.26 × 10⁶ M⁻², 2.24 × 10⁶ M⁻² and 2.25 × 10⁶ M⁻² for Al³⁺, Cr³⁺, and Fe³⁺ ions, respectively. The detection limits were 0.117 µM, 0.111 µM, and 0.106 µM for Al³⁺, Cr³⁺, and Fe³⁺, respectively. The turn-on sensing mechanism was explained by means of excimer formation and the PET process. Sensor **66** found application in Al³⁺, Cr³⁺, and Fe³⁺ detection in living cells.

Tang et al. synthesized rhodamine B derivative-based Schiff base sensor 67 for Zn^{2+} , Fe^{3+} , and Cu^{2+} (Fig. 24 and Table 2).¹³⁰ In ethanol solution, sensor 67 displayed fluorescence enhancement (λ_{ex} = 510 nm) at 572 nm (125-fold) and at 580 nm (150fold) along with a change in the fluorescence color of the solution from colorless to orange with Zn²⁺ and from colorless to red with Fe³⁺, respectively, whereas other metal ions had an insignificant effect (Fig. 26A and B). In ethanol-H₂O (1:1, v/v) solution, sensor 67 behaved as a colorimetric sensor for Cu²⁺ with a naked-eye detectable color change of the solution from colorless to pink. Job's plot revealed a complexation ratio of 1:1 $(67/Zn^{2+})$, 2:1 $(67/Fe^{3+})$ and 1:1 $(67/Cu^{2+})$. The binding constant was 1.53 \times 10 3 $M^{-1},$ 4.57 \times 10 4 M^{-1} and 2.62 \times 10 3 M^{-1} for Zn²⁺, Fe³⁺, and Cu²⁺, respectively. The detection limit was 0.664 μ M, 0.212 μ M and 82.7 nM for Zn²⁺, Fe³⁺, and Cu²⁺, respectively. The turn-on response could be attributed to the opening of the lactam ring of sensor 67 upon binding with Zn²⁺ and Fe³⁺, leading to the formation of a more conjugated system. However, in the case of Cu²⁺, the naked-eye detectable color change could be due to electron transfer from Cu²⁺ to sensor 67. Sensor 67 found application in Zn²⁺, Fe³⁺, and Cu²⁺ detection in real water samples and in the fluorescence imaging of Fe³⁺ in biological cells.

Zhang *et al.* developed Schiff base sensor **68** for colorimetric and fluorometric detection of Cu^{2+} , Hg^{2+} , and Ag^+ (Fig. 24 and Table 2).¹³¹ In DMSO–H₂O (1:1, v/v) solution, sensor **68** showed emission maxima at 527 nm (λ_{ex} = 310 nm). In the presence of Cu^{2+} , Hg^{2+} , and Ag^+ , sensor **68** displayed fluorescence quenching along with a red-shift of the emission maxima from 527 to 550 nm. The binding ratio was 1:2 (**68**:Cu²⁺/Hg²⁺/Ag⁺). The plot of fluorescence intensity ratio *vs* the concentration of Cu²⁺, Hg²⁺, and Ag⁺ revealed a good linear range between 0 and 20 μ M. The detection limit was 64.8 μ M, 52.7 μ M, and 63.7 μ M for Cu²⁺, Hg²⁺, and Ag⁺, respectively. The association constant value was 1.64 \times 10⁵ M⁻¹, 9.27 \times 10⁴ M⁻¹, and 1.37 \times 10⁵ M⁻¹ for Cu²⁺, Hg²⁺, and Ag⁺, respectively, as calculated using the Benesi–Hildebrand equation. From the FT-IR spectra of complexes [68–Cu²⁺], [68–Hg²⁺], and [68–Ag⁺], a new band at 3462, 3427, and 3437 cm⁻¹, respectively, and the low-frequency shift of $\nu_{\rm C=N}$ and $\nu_{\rm C-S}$, the high-frequency shift of the $\nu_{\rm C-O}$ stretching band, and disappearance of the $\delta_{\rm O-H}$ band indicated coordination of Cu²⁺, Hg²⁺, and Ag⁺ with sensor 68 through the nitrogen atom of the imine group, the sulfur atom of thiol and the oxygen atom of the phenolic hydroxyl group.

Zhu *et al.* developed Schiff base sensor **69** for Fe^{2+} . Fe^{3+} and Cu²⁺ detection in DMF solution (Fig. 24 and Table 2).¹³² Among various metal ions, sensor 69 showed selectivity only for Fe²⁺, Fe³⁺, and Cu²⁺ and naked eye detectable color change from colorless to yellow with Cu2+ and colorless to light brown with Fe²⁺ and Fe³⁺, and fluorescence color change from purple to colorless with Fe²⁺, Fe³⁺, and Cu²⁺ under UV light. On excitation at 341 nm, sensor 69 displayed emission at wavelength 393 nm. In the presence of Fe^{2+} , Fe^{3+} , and Cu^{2+} , sensor 69 underwent fluorescence quenching (\sim 98% with Fe²⁺ and Fe³⁺ and \sim 92% with Cu^{2+}). The fluorescence quenching mechanism could be attributed to the CHEQ effect of paramagnetic Fe²⁺, Fe³⁺, and Cu2+. The detection limit was 2.06 µM, 2.17 µM, and 2.48 μ M for Fe²⁺, Fe³⁺, and Cu²⁺, respectively. Job's plot revealed 1:1 (69:Fe²⁺/Fe³⁺/Cu²⁺) stoichiometry. The association constant value was $3.61 \times 10^5 \text{ M}^{-1}$, $3.82 \times 10^5 \text{ M}^{-1}$, and $3.93 \times 10^5 \text{ M}^{-1}$ for Fe²⁺, Fe³⁺, and Cu²⁺, respectively.

Xue *et al.* developed rhodamine-chromone-based Schiff base sensor **70** for Zn²⁺ and Fe³⁺ detection (Fig. 24 and Table 2).¹³³ In ethanol-HEPES buffer (9:1, v/v) solution, sensor **70** showed 66fold fluorescence enhancement at 490 nm (λ_{ex} = 420 nm) with Zn²⁺ over other tested metal ions. In contrast, in DMSO–ethanol (2/3, v/v) solution, sensor **70** exhibited 51-fold fluorescence enhancement at 583 nm (λ_{ex} = 520 nm) with Fe³⁺ over other metal ions. The fluorescence responses of sensor **70** with Zn²⁺ could be due to inhibition of the PET process and the CHEF effect. The fluorescence enhancement at 583 nm could be due to the opening of the spirolactam ring of rhodamine upon coordination with Fe³⁺. The complexation stoichiometry was 1:1 (**70**: Zn²⁺/Fe³⁺). The limit of detection was 0.134 μM and



Fig. 26 Fluorescence change of sensor 67 in the presence of various tested metal ions: (A) emission spectrum; (B) visible color change under 365 nm UV lamp irradiation. Reproduced with permission from ref. 130 Copyright 2017, Elsevier.



Fig. 27 Photographs of test strips coated with sensor **70** and after addition of different metal ions under 365 nm UV lamp irradiation. Reproduced with permission from ref. 133 Copyright 2019, Elsevier.

 $0.139~\mu M$ for Zn^{2+} and Fe^{3+} , respectively. The binding constant was $5.82~\times~10^4~M^{-1}$ and $5.85~\times~10^3~M^{-1}$ for Zn^{2+} and Fe^{3+} , respectively. A test strip coated with sensor 70 displayed yellow-green color with Zn^{2+} and pink color with Al^{3+} , demonstrating the application of sensor 70 as a solid-state sensor (Fig. 27).

Lee *et al.* developed salicylaldehyde- and fluorene-based Schiff base sensor **71** for fluorescence-based detection of Zn²⁺ and Ga³⁺ (Fig. 24 and Table 2).¹³⁴ In acetonitrile solution, free sensor **71** was weakly fluorescent. Compared with other tested metal ions, sensor **71** ($\lambda_{ex} = 300$ nm) exhibited fluorescence enhancement with Zn²⁺ and Ga³⁺ at 460 nm and 434 nm, respectively. The binding ratio was 1:1 (**71**:Zn²⁺/Ga³⁺). The binding constant was 7.0 × 10⁴ M⁻¹ and 1.0 × 10⁴ M⁻¹ for Zn²⁺ and Ga³⁺ ions, respectively. The limit of detection was 0.32 μ M and 0.01 μ M for Zn²⁺ and Ga³⁺, respectively. The turn-on fluorescence response of sensor **71** with Zn²⁺ and Ga³⁺ could be due to the suppression of the PET process.

Dong *et al.* developed quinoline-based Schiff base 72 as a turn-on sensor for Zn^{2+} and Hg^{2+} (Fig. 24 and Table 2).¹³⁵ In the DMSO–H₂O (1:99, v/v) mixture, sensor 72 showed weak emission at 473 nm (λ_{ex} = 350 nm). Among various metal ions, sensor 72 exhibited turn-on response with red-shift of the emission maxima from 473 to 539 ($\Delta \lambda_{\text{shift}}$ = 57 nm) with Hg²⁺, and from 473 to 565 nm ($\Delta \lambda_{\text{shift}}$ = 92 nm) with Zn²⁺, whereas other metal ions had an insignificant effect. Under UV light, sensor 72 displayed color change from colorless to yellowish-green with Hg²⁺, and from colorless to intense yellow with Zn²⁺ (Fig. 28A and B). Job's plot indicated 1:1 (72:Hg²⁺/Zn²⁺) stoichiometry. The limit of detection was 0.040 µM and

 $0.011~\mu M$ for Hg^{2+} and Zn^{2+} , respectively. The association constant was $1.41~\times~10^5~M^{-1}$ and $1.52~\times~10^5~M^{-1}$ for Hg^{2+} and Zn^{2+} , respectively. The sensing mechanism could be attributed to the lowering of the energy gap between HOMO and LUMO of sensor 72 as well as increased structural rigidity upon complexation with Hg^{2+} and Zn^{2+} .

Tajbakhsh *et al.* developed fluorene-based Schiff base 73 as a turn-on sensor for Al³⁺ and Cr³⁺ (Fig. 24 and Table 2).¹³⁶ In acetonitrile solution, sensor 73 exhibited emission at 536 nm ($\lambda_{ex} = 333$ nm). In the presence of Al³⁺ or Cr³⁺, sensor 73 displayed fluorescence enhancement at 536 nm. The binding ratio was 2:1 (73:Al³⁺/Cr³⁺) and the binding constant was 5.44 \times 10⁴ M⁻¹ and 8.33 \times 10⁴ M⁻¹ for Al³⁺ and Cr³⁺ ions, respectively. The detection limit was 0.31 µM and 0.25 µM for Al³⁺ and Cr³⁺ resulted in inhibition of C—N isomerization and the ESIPT process, causing fluorescence enhancement (Fig. 29).

Zhang et al. developed phenylamine-oligothiophene-based Schiff base sensor 74 for fluorescence-based detection of Cu²⁺ and Zn^{2+} (Fig. 24 and Table 2). 137 In THF-H_2O (7:3, v/v) solution, sensor 74 displayed emission at 537 nm (λ_{ex} = 385 nm). Compared with other metal ions, sensor 74 exhibited a turn-off response with Cu^{2+} and a turn-on response with Hg^{2+} . Under 365 nm UV light, the fluorescence color of sensor 74 changed from light green to dark green (with Cu²⁺), and to bright yellow-green (with Hg²⁺). The binding stoichiometry was 1:1 (74:Cu²⁺/Hg²⁺). The association constant was 9.40 \times 10⁴ M⁻¹ and 8.69 \times 10⁴ M⁻¹ for Cu²⁺ and Zn²⁺, respectively. The detection limit was 70.6 nM and 0.116 µM for Cu²⁺ and Zn²⁺, respectively. The turn-on fluorescence response of sensor 74 with Hg²⁺ could be due to inhibition of the PET process upon coordination and the CHEF effect. The turn-off fluorescence response of sensor 74 with Cu²⁺ could be ascribed to paramagnetic characteristics of Cu²⁺ and the ICT process, resulting in the CHEQ effect (Fig. 30).

Zhu *et al.* synthesized carbazole-based Schiff base sensors 75 and 76 for Fe^{3+} and Cr^{3+} detection in acetonitrile solution (Fig. 24 and Table 2).¹³⁸ Among various metal ions, sensor 75 displayed significant fluorescence enhancement selectively



Fig. 28 Fluorescence change of sensor 72 in the absence and presence of different metal ions: (A) emission spectrum; (B) plot of fluorescence intensity change. Inset: Photographs of sensor 72, 72 + Zn^{2+} and 72 + Hg^{2+} under 365 nm UV lamp irradiation. Reproduced with permission from ref. 135 Copyright 2017, Royal Society of Chemistry.



Fig. 29 Sensing mechanism of sensor 73 with Al³⁺. Reproduced with permission from ref. 136 Copyright 2018, Elsevier

with Fe³⁺ and Cr³⁺, credited to inhibition of the ESIPT process and C=N isomerization. Sensor 75, without the o-OH group, lacks selectivity towards tested metal ions. Fluorescence titration of sensor 75 with Fe³⁺ and Cr³⁺ showed a gradual increase in fluorescence intensity with the increasing concentration of Fe^{3+}/Cr^{3+} at 495 nm (λ_{ex} = 380 nm) along with red-shift from 495 nm to 502 nm. The detection limit was 4.57 μM and 2.75 μ M for Fe³⁺ and Cr³⁺, respectively. The binding stoichiometry was 2 : 1 (75 : Fe³⁺/Cr³⁺) and the association constant was 4.67 \times 10^4 M⁻¹ and 5.97 \times 10^4 M⁻¹ for Fe³⁺ and Cr³⁺, respectively.

3.3 Schiff-base-metal ion ensembles as a sequential sensor for ions^{265,266}

Nowadays, researchers are working on the design and synthesis of Schiff-base-metal ion ensembles as a sequential sensor for the detection of various analytes, viz. anions, cations, and metal

ions. Generally, the interaction of the ensemble with metal ions results in recovery of the original fluorescence of the Schiff base, illustrating the reusability of the Schiff base sensor.

Fu et al. developed 8-hydroxyquinoline based Schiff base sensors 77 and 78 for Al³⁺ detection (Fig. 31 and Table 2).¹³⁹ In DMSO-H₂O (1:2, v/v) solution, both sensors 77 (λ_{ex} = 405 nm) and 78 (λ_{ex} = 455 nm) are non-fluorescent. In the presence of Al³⁺, sensors 77 and 78 exhibited a 270-fold and 460-fold increase in emission intensity at 505 nm and 509 nm, respectively. The complexation of sensor 77 or 78 with Al³⁺ inhibited the ESIPT process and C=N isomerization and resulted in fluorescence enhancement through the CHEF effect. The binding constant of sensors 77 and 78 with ${\rm Al}^{3+}$ was 9.40 imes 10⁴ ${
m M}^{-1}$ and the limit of detection was 14.8 nM and 42.3 nM, respectively. The complexation stoichiometry was $1:1 (77/78:Al^{3+})$. Further, the 77-Al³⁺ and 78-Al³⁺ complexes displayed fluorescence quenching selectively with F⁻. The limit of detection of the 77– Al^{3+} and 78– Al^{3+} complexes was 0.164 µM and 35.8 nM for F⁻, respectively. Both sensors 77 and 78 found practical application in Al^{3+} and F^- detection using filter paper and in the bioimaging of Al^{3+} and F^{-} in living cells.

Gomathi et al. synthesized benzoxazole appended Schiff base 79 for fluorescence-based detection of Zn²⁺ and PPi (Fig. 31 and Table 2).¹⁴⁰ In the DMSO-H₂O (1:9, v/v, HEPES buffered) solvent system, sensor 79 showed weak emission maxima at 525 nm (λ_{ex} = 450 nm). On addition of different metal ions to the solution of sensor 79, no significant change in the fluorescence spectrum was observed, except for Zn^{2+} . Fluorescence titration of sensor 79 with Zn²⁺ displayed a gradual increase in fluorescence intensity with the addition of an increasing concentration of Zn²⁺ and saturation was reached after the addition of 50 μ M Zn²⁺. The binding stoichiometry was 1:1 (79/Zn²⁺) and the binding constant was 4.53×10^4 M⁻¹. The detection limit was 0.52 μ M for Zn²⁺. Further the sensor 79–Zn²⁺ ensemble showed significant fluorescence quenching selectively with PPi over other ions. The detection limit of the sensor 79-Zn²⁺ ensemble was 0.58 µM for PPi and the binding ratio was 1:1. Test strips coated with sensor 79 exhibited a greenish-yellow color with Zn²⁺ under UV lamp illumination.



Fig. 30 Sensing mechanism of sensor 74 for Cu²⁺ and Hg²⁺. Reproduced with permission from ref. 137 Copyright 2017, Elsevier.



Sensor **79** was also applied for monitoring intracellular Zn^{2+} in HeLa cells.

Qiu et al. synthesized diphenylacrylonitrile- and pyridinebased Schiff base 80 for fluorescence-based detection of Co²⁺-Hg²⁺-Cu²⁺ sequentially (Fig. 31, 32 and Table 2).¹⁴¹ In the THF-H₂O (1:99) mixture, sensor 80 displayed AIE property and red fluorescence at emission wavelength 620 nm (λ_{ex} = 360 nm). Compared with various other tested metal ions, sensor 80 exhibited fluorescence quenching with Co²⁺ and Cu²⁺, and a slight blue-shift with Ag⁺ and Hg²⁺, whereas other metal ions had an insignificant effect. From the interference experiment, the quenched fluorescence of sensor $80 + Co^{2+}$ could be recovered with Hg²⁺ addition and quenched again by the addition of Cu2+. The limit of detection was 0.0937 µM, 0.0221 μ M, and 0.0988 μ M for Co²⁺, Hg²⁺ and Cu²⁺, respectively. The binding stoichiometry ratio was $2:1 (80: Co^{2+}/Hg^{2+}/Cu^{2+})$. The binding constant value was 4.06 \times 10^{11} $M^{-1}\!,\,2.23$ \times 10^{13} $M^{-1},$ and 2.87 \times $10^{13}\,M^{-1}$ for $\mathrm{Co}^{2+},$ Hg^{2+} and $\mathrm{Cu}^{2+},$ respectively. In the ¹H NMR spectra of sensor $80 + Cu^{2+}$, the low field shift of CH=N protons and the disappearance of OH group protons suggested the complexation of Cu²⁺ with sensor 80 through nitrogen and oxygen atoms. Sensor 80 found application in test paper detection and bio-imaging of living cells.



Fig. 32 Photographs of sensor **80** solutions showing sequential detection of $Co^{2+}-Hg^{2+}-Cu^{2+}$ under 365 nm UV light irradiation. Reproduced with permission from ref. 141 Copyright 2019, Elsevier.

Xu et al. developed imidazo[2,1-b]thiazole-based Schiff base sensor 81 for Zn²⁺ and PPi detection (Fig. 31 and Table 2).¹⁴² In ethanol-H₂O buffered (9:1, v/v) solution, sensor 81 showed turn-on fluorescence only with Zn²⁺ as well as a color change of the solution from colorless to yellow-green under a UV lamp, whereas other metal ions displayed insignificant change. Upon gradual addition of an increasing concentration of Zn²⁺ to the solution of sensor 81, the fluorescence intensity increased gradually with emission maxima at 511 nm (λ_{ex} = 330 nm) and reached a plateau after the addition of 6 \times $10^{-5}~M$ concentration of Zn^{2+} . The binding ratio was 1:1 (81: Zn^{2+}) and the association constant value was 2.2 \times 10⁵ M⁻¹. The lowest detection limit was 1.2 nM for Zn²⁺. The sensing mechanism could be credited to snapping of the PET process and C=N isomerization upon coordination of sensor 81 with Zn²⁺, leading to turn-on fluorescence through the CHEF process. The sensor 81-Zn²⁺ ensemble exhibited fluorescence quenching selectively with PPi over other tested ions. The detection limit of the ensemble was 1.9 nM for PPi. Additionally, INHIBIT type logic gates were constructed using the fluorescence signal of sensor 81 at the molecular level.

Wang *et al.* synthesized coumarin-based Schiff base **82** and utilized it as a fluorometric/colorimetric sensor for Cu²⁺ and glutathione detection (Fig. 31 and Table 2).¹⁴³ In H₂O–CH₃CN (1:1, v/v) PBS buffered solution, sensor **82** exhibited emission maxima at 521 nm (λ_{ex} = 450 nm). Compared with different metal ions, sensor **82** underwent selective fluorescence quenching (89.8% quenching) with Cu²⁺, credited to the paramagnetic nature of Cu²⁺ (Fig. 33A). Further, the **82**–Cu²⁺ ensemble showed 9.2-fold fluorescence enhancement with glutathione through the Cu²⁺ displacement approach (Fig. 33B). The lowest detection limit of sensor **82** for Cu²⁺ and the **82**–Cu²⁺ ensemble for glutathione was 24.0 nM and 0.129 µM, respectively. The binding constant was 2.63 × 10⁵ M⁻¹ for the 2:1 (**82**/Cu²⁺)



Fig. 33 Fluorescence titration spectrum of (A) sensor 82 with Cu²⁺; (B) 82 + Cu²⁺ system with GSH. Reproduced with permission from ref. 143 Copyright 2020, Elsevier.

complex. Sensor 82 and the 82– Cu^{2+} ensemble found application in the bio-imaging of Cu^{2+} and glutathione, respectively, in living cells and zebrafish.

Patra *et al.* synthesized hydroxycoumarin-pyridylthioetherbased Schiff base **83** for fluorescence-based detection of Zn^{2+} and ATP (Fig. 31 and Table 2).¹⁴⁴ In methanol–water (3:1, v/v) solution, sensor **83** exhibited poor yellow emission at 555 nm ($\lambda_{ex} = 315$ nm), assigned to activation of the ESIPT and PET processes. In the presence of Zn^{2+} , sensor **83** displayed ~240 times enhancement in fluorescence along with the blue shift of the emission maxima from 555 nm to 512 nm, credited to inhibition of the ESIPT and PET processes and the CHEF effect. The binding constant was 6.49×10^4 M⁻¹ for 1:1 (**83**/ Zn²⁺) stoichiometry. Additionally, the **83**–Zn²⁺ ensemble displayed fluorescence quenching selectively with ATP, compared with other anions. The detection limit of sensor **83** and the **83**-Zn²⁺ ensemble for Zn²⁺ and ATP was 0.078 μ M and 6.6 μ M, respectively. Sensor **83** demonstrated application in bio-imaging and intracellular Zn²⁺ detection in RAW 264.7 cells.

Mehta et al. synthesized rhodamine-based Schiff base 84 as a fluorometric sensor for Al^{3+} detection in an aqueous medium (Fig. 34 and Table 2).¹⁴⁵ Upon addition of various metal ions to the solution of sensor 84, turn-on emission with emission maxima at 588 nm (λ_{ex} = 350 nm) was observed only with Al³⁺ along with the color change of the solution from colorless to bright pink under UV light. Further, the addition of cyanide ions into the solution of the 84-Al³⁺ ensemble resulted in fluorescence quenching at 588 nm. The limit of detection of sensor 84 and the 84-Al³⁺ ensemble for Al³⁺ and CN⁻ was 1.68 μ M and 0.815 μ M, respectively. The binding constant was 3.98 mM⁻¹ for a 1:1 (84/Al³⁺) binding ratio. The sensing mechanism could be attributed to Al3+ induced hydrolysis of sensor 84, forming the free -CHO group, and the further nucleophilic attack of CN⁻ on the free -CHO group of the ensemble resulting in the removal of Al³⁺ and closing of the spirolactam ring by the charge transfer process. Sensor 84 found application in Al³⁺ detection in A549 cells.

Kaur *et al.* synthesized Schiff base sensor **85** for fluorescence-based detection of Cu^{2+} and PO_4^{3-} *via* the complexation/decomplexation approach (Fig. 35 and Table 2).¹⁴⁶ In



Fig. 34 The binding mechanism of Al^{3+} and CN^- to sensor 84 and the 84- Al^{3+} complex, respectively. Reproduced with permission from ref. 145 Copyright 2019, Elsevier.



Fig. 35 The sensing mechanism of sensor 85 for the sequential detection of Cu^{2+} and PO_4^{3-} . Reproduced with permission from ref. 146 Copyright 2018, Elsevier.



Fig. 36 Photographs of paper strips showing fluorescence change of sensor **85** for the sequential detection of Cu^{2+} and PO_4^{3-} under 365 nm UV light illumination. Reproduced with permission from ref. 146 Copyright 2018, Elsevier.

DMSO-HEPES buffer (4:6, v/v) solution, sensor 85 showed fluorescence quenching only with Cu²⁺ over other tested metal ions. Fluorescence titration of sensor 85 with Cu²⁺ $(\lambda_{ex} = 338 \text{ nm})$ revealed a continuous decrease in fluorescence intensity with the addition of an increasing concentration of Cu^{2+} , which reached stabilization after the addition of 73.0 μ M Cu²⁺. The sensing mechanism could be attributed to Cu²⁺ ion-induced hydrolysis of the imine functionality, forming the sensor 85-Cu²⁺ complex. Furthermore, the addition of PO_4^{3-} to the 85-Cu²⁺ ensemble solution resulted in turn-on emission at 422 nm via the decomplexation process. The detection limit of sensor 85 and the 85-Cu²⁺ ensemble for Cu²⁺ and PO₄³⁻ was 2.11 ppb and 31.6 ppb, respectively. Sensor 85 demonstrated practical application in Cu²⁺ detection and subsequently PO_4^{3-} detection using paper strips (Fig. 36).

Sheet et al. synthesized coumarin-based Schiff base 86 as a light-up probe for Al³⁺ detection (Fig. 37 and Table 2).¹⁴⁷ In 90% aqueous methanol solution, sensor 86 exhibited weak emission at 520 nm (λ_{ex} = 410 nm). Among various metal ions, sensor 86 showed \sim 7-fold fluorescence enhancement only with Al³⁺ along with the color change from colorless to bright green under a UV lamp. Fluorescence titration of sensor 86 with Al³⁺ revealed a gradual increase in fluorescence intensity along with the blue shift of the emission maxima from 520 nm to 510 nm (Fig. 38). The binding constant was $(9.9 \pm 0.04) \times 10^3$ M⁻¹ for the 1:1 (86/Al³⁺) complex. The lowest detection limit was 1.62 μ M for Al³⁺. The complexation of Al³⁺ with sensor **86** makes the system more rigid by inhibiting C=N isomerization, leading to fluorescence enhancement through the CHEF effect. Additionally, the 86-Al³⁺ ensemble acted like an on-off type fluorescent sensor for biologically important nucleotides and phosphate

ions. Sensor **86** also found application in exogenous Al³⁺ detection in living cells.

3.4 Biomedical applications

3.4.1 Fluorescence imaging^{267,268}. Fluorescence imaging permits monitoring of various processes in cells, tissues, and organelles in real-time *via* fluorescence microscopy. In the literature, the various instrumental methods for metal ion detection such as atomic absorption spectrometry, inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry, electrochemical voltammetry, *etc.* when applied in live-cell imaging have resulted in cell damage.^{269,270} However, fluorescent sensors proved to be an effective tool for live-cell imaging because of their selective, sensitive, and rapid operation and ability to provide non-damaging images of living cells.

Mu *et al.* developed Schiff base sensor **87** based on carbazole and the triphenylamine unit for Al^{3+} detection (Fig. 39 and Table 2).¹⁴⁸ In ethanol solution, sensor **87** displayed weak fluorescence at 455 nm ($\lambda_{ex} = 400$ nm). Upon gradual addition of an increasing concentration of Al^{3+} , sensor **87** underwent an increase in fluorescence intensity along with a red-shift of the emission maxima from 455 nm to 500 nm. The limit of detection was 63.0 nM for Al^{3+} . The stoichiometry of the complex was 2 : 1 (**87**/Al³⁺). In ¹H NMR titration, the appearance of a new peak at 9.83 ppm upon addition of Al^{3+} to the solution of sensor **87** suggested Schiff base hydrolysis and fluorescence enhancement through the CHEF effect. Sensor **87** found potential application in live-cell imaging in living HeLa cells.

Salarvand *et al.* designed and synthesized Schiff base sensor **88** based on 2-hydroxynaphthalene as an off–on sensor for Al³⁺ detection (Fig. 39 and Table 2).¹⁴⁹ In methanol/water (9:1, v/v) solution, sensor **88** displayed weak emission at 343 nm ($\lambda_{ex} =$ 310 nm). Fluorescence titration of sensor **88** with Al³⁺ showed a gradual increase in fluorescence intensity which reached a plateau after the addition of one equivalent of Al³⁺. The binding stoichiometry was 1:1 (**88**/Al³⁺) and the binding constant was 1.546 × 10⁵ M⁻¹ as calculated using the Benesi-Hildebrand equation. The detection limit was 0.64 μ M for Al³⁺. The chelation of sensor **88** with Al³⁺ inhibited the ICT process and C—N isomerization, resulting in fluorescence enhancement through the CHEF effect. Sensor **88** showed no cytotoxicity to living cells as evaluated using MTT assay on MCF-7 cell lines and found potential application in imaging and tracing Al³⁺ in living cells.



Fig. 37 The binding mechanism of Al^{3+} and $H_2PO_4^-/PPi$ to sensor **86** and **86** $-Al^{3+}$, respectively. Reproduced with permission from ref. 147 Copyright 2017, Elsevier.



Fig. 38 (A) Fluorescence spectrum of sensor **86** in the absence and presence of different metal ions. Inset: Visible color change of sensor **86** in the absence and presence of Al^{3+} . (B) Fluorescence spectrum of the sensor **86**– Al^{3+} ensemble in the absence (left) and presence (right) of different anions. Inset: visible color change of the sensor **86**– Al^{3+} ensemble in the absence (left) and presence of PPi ions (right). Reproduced with permission from ref. 147 Copyright 2017, Elsevier.

Saravanan et al. synthesized pyrene-based sensor 89 and utilized it for fluorescence-based detection of Cu2+ inside living cells (Fig. 39 and Table 2).¹⁵⁰ In DMSO-water (1:1, v/v) solution, sensor 89 displayed weak emission at 463 nm $(\lambda_{ex} = 393 \text{ nm})$. Upon addition of an increasing concentration of Cu²⁺, sensor **89** underwent fluorescence enhancement along with red-shift of the emission maxima from 463 nm to 467 nm. Job's plot revealed 1:1 (89/Cu²⁺) stoichiometry and the association constant was 1.16 \times 10⁴ M⁻¹. The detection limit was 0.26 μ M for Cu²⁺. From the DFT calculation, the huge energy difference (-44637.828 eV) between sensor 89 and the sensor **89**-Cu²⁺ (1:1) complex suggested strong coordination of sensor 89 with Cu²⁺. The bioimaging study of sensor 89 in living RAW 264.7 cells showed the good biocompatibility and cell permeability of sensor 89 and proved it to be an excellent biosensor for Cu^{2+} detection inside living RAW 264.7 cells.

Bhanja *et al.* developed vanillinyl-based Schiff base **90** and utilized it for fluorescence-based detection of Zn^{2+} (Fig. 39 and Table 2).¹⁵¹ In DMSO-water (3/7, v/v) solution, sensor **90** exhibited turn-on fluorescence response only with Zn^{2+} among various other metal ions studied. The fluorescence titration of sensor **90** with Zn^{2+} displayed a significant increase in fluorescence intensity at 472 nm ($\lambda_{ex} = 346$ nm), which became saturated after the addition of ~1.1 equivalent of Zn^{2+} . The binding stoichiometry was 1:1 (**90**/Zn²⁺) and the binding constant was 13.22×10^4 M⁻¹. The detection limit was 0.018 μ M for Zn²⁺. The sensing mechanism could be attributed to Zn²⁺ induced deprotonation and the CHEF effect. Sensor **90** found potential application in the examination of Zn²⁺ in living cells (African Monkey Vero cells) by a fluorescence-based cell imaging procedure.

Chen *et al.* synthesized rhodamine-based Schiff base sensors **91**, **92**, and **93** for fluorescence-based detection of Fe^{3+} (Fig. 39 and Table 2).¹⁵² In ethanol-water (1/1, v/v) solution, all the

three sensors 91, 92, and 93 underwent fluorescence enhancement selectively with Fe³⁺ over various tested metal ions. Among sensors 91, 92, and 93, sensor 91 displayed 1.5-fold higher fluorescence enhancement with Fe³⁺ in comparison with sensors 92 and 93. The fluorescence titration of sensor 91 with Fe³⁺ displayed a gradual increase in fluorescence intensity at 584 nm (λ_{ex} = 545 nm). The complexation stoichiometry was 1:1 (91/Fe³⁺) and the binding constant was 7.66 \times 10^4 M⁻¹. The limit of detection was 28.1 nM for Fe³⁺. The sensing mechanism could be attributed to the opening of the spirolactam ring upon coordination of sensor 91 with Fe³⁺ through the oxygen and nitrogen atom of benzoylhydrazine and the oxygen atom of the oxole unit. Sensor 91 found potential application in Fe³⁺ detection in living cells. SMMC-7721 cells incubated with sensor 91 displayed bright red fluorescence (λ_{ex} = 545 nm) upon the addition of Fe³⁺ (Fig. 40a and b).

Feng *et al.* synthesized coumarin based sensor **94** for Cu²⁺ detection in CH₃CN/PBS (1:1, v/v) medium (Fig. 39 and Table 2).¹⁵³ On excitation at 450 nm, sensor **94** displayed strong emission at wavelength 540 nm. On gradual addition of an increasing concentration of Cu²⁺, sensor **94** underwent fluorescence quenching and reached a plateau after the addition of 5 equivalents of Cu²⁺. In the mass spectrum, the intense peak at m/z = 454.05 due to $[94 + Cu^{2+}-H]^+$ suggested 1:1 binding stoichiometry. Sensor **94** showed good biocompatibility and low cytotoxicity to MCF cells and A549 cells as determined by the CCK8 assay. Sensor **94** found potential application in Cu²⁺ detection in real water samples, and in living cells through confocal microscopy. The A549 cells and MCF-7 cells incubated with sensor **94** displayed strong fluorescence (Fig. 41).

Hu *et al.* reported Schiff base **95** as a two-photon fluorescent sensor for Cu^{2+} detection (Fig. 39 and Table 2).¹⁵⁴ In HEPES



Fig. 39



buffer solution, the one-photon fluorescence spectrum of sensor **95** displayed emission at wavelength 516 nm (λ_{ex} = 418 nm). Fluorescence titration of sensor **95** with Cu²⁺ revealed a decrease in emission intensity upon the addition of an increasing concentration of Cu²⁺. The detection limit was 0.16 µM for Cu²⁺. The binding stoichiometry was 2:1 (**95**/Cu²⁺) and the binding constant was 1.02×10^{-5} M⁻¹. The coordination of sensor **95** with Cu²⁺ through the nitrogen atom of the imine group and the oxygen atom of the phenolic hydroxyl moiety in a 2:1 (**95**:Cu²⁺) manner resulted in fluorescence quenching as confirmed from ¹H NMR titration, mass spectra, and DFT calculations. Sensor **95** found practical application in the two-photon fluorescence imaging of Cu²⁺ in cellular mitochondria and in the liver and intestine of larval zebrafish (Fig. 42).

Huang *et al.* developed isoquinoline-based Schiff base **96** as a turn-on sensor for Al^{3+} detection (Fig. 39 and Table 2).¹⁵⁵ In ethanol solution, sensor **96** displayed a gradual increase in

fluorescence intensity at 458 nm (λ_{ex} = 400 nm) with the addition of an increasing concentration of Al^{3+} . The limit of detection was 1.11 nM for Al^{3+} . The binding constant was 2.78 \times 10⁶ M $^{-1}$ and the binding stoichiometry was 2:1 (96/Al $^{3+}$). The sensing mechanism could be attributed to inhibition of the ESIPT process upon coordination of Al^{3+} with sensor 96. Sensor 96 found potential application in the examination of intracellular Al^{3+} concentration in cells.

Jiao *et al.* synthesized Schiff base sensor **97** and utilized it as a dual emissive ratiometric fluorescent sensor for Hg^{2+} detection (Fig. 39 and Table 2).¹⁵⁶ In the acetonitrile–water (8:2) mixture, sensor **97** was weakly emissive with emission at 530 nm (λ_{ex} = 440 nm) because of the PET process. The fluorescence titration of sensor **97** with Hg^{2+} showed a 20-fold increase in fluorescence intensity (530 nm to 490 nm) upon excitation at 440 nm, and 60-fold fluorescence enhancement (530 nm to 415 nm) upon excitation at 315 nm. The emission



Fig. 40 Confocal fluorescence images of SMMC-7721 cells incubated with sensor **91** in the (a) absence and (b) presence of Fe^{3+} . Reproduced with permission from ref. 152 Copyright 2018, Elsevier.

maxima at 490 nm and 415 nm could be attributed to inhibition of the PET process and hydrolysis of the C—N group following the addition of Hg^{2+} . The detection limit was 1 nM for Hg^{2+} . Sensor 97 displayed potential for Hg^{2+} determination in living cells at nano-molar concentration through confocal microscopy. As shown in Fig. 43, MCF-cells stained with sensor 97 when exposed to Hg^{2+} demonstrated green fluorescence (410–470 nm) and red fluorescence (490–590 nm).

Li *et al.* reported Schiff base **98** as a turn-on sensor for Zn²⁺ (Fig. 39 and Table 2).¹⁵⁷ In buffer solution (ethanol/Tris–HCl, v/v, 4/1), sensor **98** underwent fluorescence enhancement at 458 nm ($\lambda_{ex} = 380$ nm) with the addition of an increasing concentration of Zn²⁺ and reached a plateau after the addition of 4 equivalents of Zn²⁺. The complex stoichiometry was 1:1 (**98**/Zn²⁺) and the binding constant was 4.457 × 10⁵ M⁻¹ as calculated using the Benesi–Hildebrand equation. The limit of detection was 1.37 μ M for Zn²⁺. The coordination of Zn²⁺ with

sensor **98** through imine nitrogen and the oxygen atom of the phenolic group makes the system more rigid by inhibiting C—N isomerization and the PET process, causing turn-on fluorescence through the CHEF effect. Sensor **98** found potential application in Zn^{2+} detection in living cells. The lung cancer A549 cells treated with sensor **98** exhibited a significant fluorescence response to Zn^{2+} .

Liu et al. synthesized naphthol-based sensors 99, 100, and 101 for Al³⁺ detection (Fig. 39 and Table 2).¹⁵⁸ Among sensors 99, 100, and 101, sensor 101 proved to be the best for Al³⁺ detection over other tested metal ions in CH₃OH/HEPES buffer (3/7, v/v). Fluorescence titration of sensor **101** with Al³⁺ revealed 140-fold fluorescence enhancement at emission wavelength 435 nm (λ_{ex} = 350 nm) upon addition of 1.0 equivalent of Al^{3+} . The stoichiometry of the complex was 1:1 (101/ Al^{3+}) and the binding constant was $1.01 \times 10^{6.5}$ M⁻¹. The detection limit was 0.05 μ M for Al³⁺. The sensing mechanism could be attributed to inhibition of C=N isomerization upon coordination of Al^{3+} with sensor **101** through the nitrogen atom of the imine group and the oxygen atom of the -OH group, forming two saturated 5-membered rings and two unsaturated 6-membered rings, resulting in fluorescence enhancement through the CHEF effect. Sensor 101 showed potential for imaging intracellular Al³⁺ in living cells. The colon cancer SW480 cells stained with sensor 101 exhibited bright blue fluorescence with intracellular Al³⁺.

Naskar *et al.* developed benzophenone-derived Schiff base sensor **102** for Al³⁺ detection by a fluorometric method (Fig. 39 and Table 2).¹⁵⁹ In ethanol (0.01%)–HEPES buffer (50 mM) solution, sensor **102** ($\Phi_{\rm F}$ = 0.067) exhibited weak fluorescence at 450 nm ($\lambda_{\rm ex}$ = 321 nm). In the presence of Al³⁺, sensor **102** underwent fluorescence enhancement ($\Phi_{\rm F}$ = 0.237) at 450 nm. Job's plot showed 1 : 2 (Al³⁺/**102**) stoichiometry and the binding constant was 10.188 × 10³ M⁻¹. The limit of detection was 10⁻⁷ M for Al³⁺. The complexation of sensor **102** with Al³⁺ resulted in the formation of sensor **102**–Al³⁺ aggregates, which in turn caused inhibition of C=N isomerization and the ESIPT



Fig. 41 Confocal fluorescence images of A549 cells incubated with sensor **94** (probe) in the (a1) absence and (a2–a4) presence of Cu^{2+} . Confocal fluorescence images of MCF-7 cells incubated with sensor **94** in the (b1) absence and (b2–b4) presence of Cu^{2+} . Reproduced with permission from ref. 153 Copyright 2019, Elsevier.


Fig. 42 Practical application of sensor 95 in two-photon fluorescence imaging of Cu²⁺ in cellular mitochondria and in the liver and intestine of larval zebrafish. Reproduced with permission from ref. 154 Copyright 2017, Elsevier.



Fig. 43 Confocal microscope images of MCF-cells incubated with sensor 97 (a) under bright field; (b) green channel; (c) red channel; and further incubated with Hg²⁺ (d) under bright field; (e) green channel; (f) red channel. Reproduced with permission from ref. 156 Copyright 2017, Elsevier

process, leading to the CHEF effect. Sensor 102 displayed no cytotoxicity up to 40 µM as analyzed by MTT assay. The nonfluorescent HepG2 cells stained with sensor 102 exhibited bright blue fluorescence upon binding with intracellular Al³⁺.

Patra et al. reported vanillinyl thioether-based Schiff base 103 as a turn-on sensor for Zn²⁺ detection (Fig. 39 and Table 2).¹⁶⁰ On excitation at 346 nm, sensor **103** ($\Phi_{\rm F}$ = 0.0051) displayed weak emission at 411 nm in DMSO/H2O (HEPES buffer, v/v = 3/7) solution. In the presence of Zn^{2+} , sensor 103 exhibited new emission at 555 nm and its intensity gradually increased with the increasing concentration of Zn²⁺ from 0 to ~1 mol equiv. ($\Phi_{\rm F}$ = 0.0399). The complexation of sensor 103 with Zn²⁺ caused blockage of the PET process, inhibition of the ESIPT process through deprotonation of the phenolic hydroxyl group and turn-on emission through the CHEF effect. The complexation stoichiometry was 1:1 (103/Zn²⁺) and the binding constant was $3.9 \times 10^4 \text{ M}^{-1}$. The detection limit was 60 nM for Zn²⁺. Vero cells treated with sensor 103 exhibited strong fluorescence when exposed to Zn²⁺, demonstrating the potential of sensor 103 for imaging intracellular Zn²⁺ in living cells (Fig. 44).

Shellaiah et al. synthesized pyrene-based Schiff base 104 and utilized it as a turn-on sensor for Hg²⁺ detection by a fluorometric method (Fig. 39 and Table 2).¹⁶¹ In DMSO-H₂O (7 : 3, v/v) solution, sensor 104 showed selective turn-on fluorescence response with Hg²⁺ over other metal ions. Fluorescence titration of sensor 104 with Hg²⁺ displayed a 31-fold increase in fluorescence intensity along with a red-shift of the emission maxima from 427 nm to 445 nm (λ_{ex} = 347 nm). The stoichiometry of the complex was 2:1 (104/Hg²⁺) and the association constant was 7.36 \times 10⁴ $M^{-1}.$ The limit of detection was 2.82 μ M for Hg²⁺. The turn-on sensing mechanism could be attributed to excimer formation (104-104*) and the CHEF effect (Fig. 45A). Sensor **104** displayed potential for imaging Hg^{2+} in living cells using a confocal microscope. The non-fluorescent HeLa cells incubated with sensor 104 exhibited bright blue fluorescence upon treatment with Hg^{2+} (Fig. 45B).



Fig. 44 Confocal microscope images of (a) Vero cells; (b) cells stained with sensor 103; (c) cells stained with Zn^{2+} and then exposed to sensor 103 for 10 minutes. Reproduced with permission from ref. 160 Copyright 2016, Elsevier.



Fig. 45 (A) HOMOs, LUMOs and the energy gap of sensor **104** and **104** + Hg^{2+} (**104–104***) complexes. (B) Confocal microscope images of HeLa cells treated with (a) sensor **104** and (b) sensor **104** + Hg^{2+} . Reproduced with permission from ref. 161 Copyright 2015, Royal Society of Chemistry.

Simon et al. developed anthracene- and pyridine-derived Schiff base 105 as an off-on sensor for Cu²⁺ detection (Fig. 39 and Table 2).¹⁶² On excitation at 350 nm, sensor 105 displayed 23-fold fluorescence enhancement at 430 nm selectively with Cu²⁺ over other tested metal ions in acetonitrile solution. The stoichiometry of the complex was $1:1 (105/Cu^{2+})$ and the association constant was $2.12 \times 10^6 \text{ M}^{-2}$. The detection limit was 86.3 nM for Cu2+. The sensing mechanism could be ascribed to suppression of the PET process upon Cu²⁺ coordination with sensor 105, leading to the formation of the 5membered stable chelate ring and turn-on emission through the CHEF effect. Sensor 105 showed excellent cell permeability, no cytotoxicity up to 40 µM concentration, and potential for fluorescence imaging of sub-cellular Cu²⁺. The non-fluorescent Raw264.7 cells incubated with sensor 105 exhibited bright blue fluorescence upon treatment with Cu2+ under a confocal microscope.

Tian *et al.* synthesized quinoline-based Schiff base sensor **106** and utilized it as a turn-on sensor for Al^{3+} detection (Fig. 39 and Table 2).¹⁶³ In methanol solution, the complexation behavior of sensor **106** towards various metal ions revealed selective 38-fold fluorescence enhancement at 517 nm ($\lambda_{ex} = 373$ nm) only with Al^{3+} . Job's plot revealed a 1 : 1 (**106**/Al^{3+}) complexation and the association constant as determined using the Benesi–Hildebrand equation was 2.4 × 10⁴ M⁻¹. The detection limit was 0.12 µM for Al^{3+} . The turn-on sensing mechanism could be credited to suppression of the PET process upon Al^{3+} coordination with sensor **106**. HeLa cells incubated with different

concentrations of Al^{3+} displayed fluorescence emission when exposed to sensor **106**, demonstrating the potential of sensor **106** for imaging Al^{3+} in living cells.

Tian *et al.* developed 2-hydroxynaphthalene-based Schiff base sensor **107** for Al³⁺ detection (Fig. 39 and Table 2).¹⁶⁴ In methanol medium, free sensor **107** was non-fluorescent. Upon addition of Al³⁺, sensor **107** underwent a significant increase in fluorescence intensity (150-fold) at 430 nm ($\lambda_{ex} = 307$ nm). The binding constant was 3.01×10^4 M⁻¹ and the limit of detection was 0.137μ M for Al³⁺. ¹H NMR and Job's plot analysis indicated inhibition of the ESIPT process upon coordination of Al³⁺ with sensor **107** through the nitrogen atom of imine, secondary amine, and the naphthol hydroxyl group in 1:1 stoichiometry. HeLa cells incubated with Al³⁺ showed blue fluorescence when exposed to sensor **107** under a confocal microscope, demonstrating the potential of sensor **107** for fluorescence imaging of Al³⁺ in living cells. Sensor **107** also found application in the *in vivo* bioimaging of Al³⁺ in zebrafish larvae (Fig. 46A and B).

Wang et al. developed boron-dipyrromethene-based Schiff base 108 for fluorescence-based detection of Au³⁺ (Fig. 39 and Table 2).¹⁶⁵ In phosphate buffer-ethanol (7:3, v/v) solution, sensor 108 was weakly fluorescent. Among various metal ions, significant enhancement in fluorescence intensity was observed only with Au³⁺ (Fig. 47A). Upon gradual addition of Au^{3+} to the solution of sensor 108, the fluorescence intensity increased linearly with concentration from 0 to 50 µM at 516 nm (λ_{ex} = 480 nm) and reached a plateau after the addition of 6 equvi. of Au³⁺. The limit of detection was 60 nM for Au³⁺. The sensing mechanism was a reaction-based process, wherein the reaction of sensor **108** with Au³⁺ resulted in the conversion of the C=N bond into aldehyde and formation of a new borondipyrromethene derivative (bright green fluorescent). Sensor **108** found potential application in monitoring Au³⁺ in living cells and in zebrafish. As shown in Fig. 47C, PC12 cells incubated with sensor 108 displayed bright fluorescence when exposed to Au³⁺. Fig. 47B shows an increase in fluorescence intensity with the increasing concentration of Au³⁺ ions in zebrafish.

Rahman *et al.* developed methionine-based Schiff base **109** as a turn-on sensor for Zn^{2+} detection (Fig. 39 and Table 2).¹⁶⁶ In buffered acetonitrile–water (1:1) solution, sensor **109** displayed significant fluorescence enhancement at 450 nm (λ_{ex} = 370 nm) only with Zn^{2+} over other metal ions. The binding constant was 5.3×10^6 M⁻¹ and the detection limit was 0.5μ M for Zn^{2+} . The sensing mechanism could be attributed to the complexation of Zn^{2+} with the oxygen, nitrogen, and sulfur atom of sensor **109** in a 1:1 ratio as confirmed from ¹H NMR titration. Breast cancer cells incubated with sensor **109** and then with Zn^{2+} displayed strong fluorescence under a confocal microscope, demonstrating the potential of sensor **109** for monitoring intracellular Zn^{2+} .

Yang *et al.* developed biphenyl- and benzohydrazide-based Schiff base **110** for Cu²⁺ detection (Fig. 48 and Table 2).¹⁶⁷ In buffered ethanol–water (1:1, v/v) solution, sensor **110** exhibited fluorescence at 566 nm (λ_{ex} = 400 nm). Fluorescence titration of sensor **110** with Cu²⁺ revealed a gradual decrease in



Fig. 46 Confocal microscopic images of HeLa cells incubated with (i) sensor **107** and (ii) sensor **107** and further with Al^{3+} . (b) Confocal microscopic images of zebrafish larvae treated with (i) sensor **107** and (ii, iii) sensor **107** and further with Al^{3+} . Reproduced with permission from ref. 164 Copyright 2019, Elsevier.



Fig. 47 (A) Fluorescence spectrum of sensor **108** in the presence of different metal ions. (Inset:) Visible color change of sensor **108** in the presence of Au³⁺. (B) Confocal microscopic images of (a) zebrafish incubated with sensor **108**; (b–f) zebrafish incubated with different concentrations of Au³⁺ followed by the addition of sensor **108**. (C) Confocal microscopic images of PC12 cells (a₁, b₁, c₁) incubated with sensor **108**; (a₂, b₂, c₂) incubated with sensor **108** and further incubated with Au³⁺. Reproduced with permission from ref. 165 Copyright 2016, Elsevier.

fluorescence intensity, which reached saturation after the addition of 4.0 equivalents of Cu^{2+} . The association constant was $13.87 \times 10^4 \text{ M}^{-1}$ and the binding stoichiometry was 1:1 (110/ Cu^{2+}). The limit of detection was 15.4 nM for Cu^{2+} . The coordination of Cu^{2+} with sensor 110 through two oxygen atoms of the hydroxyl group and two nitrogen atoms of the

imine group resulted in excited state energy/electron transfer from sensor **110** to Cu^{2+} , causing fluorescence quenching. The bright fluorescence of HeLa cells incubated with sensor **110** diminished after treatment with Cu^{2+} , demonstrating the potential application of sensor **110** in biological systems.

Zhu *et al.* developed Schiff base **111** as an on–off sensor for Al^{3+} detection in DMSO–H₂O (9:1, v/v) solution (Fig. 48 and Table 2).¹⁶⁸ On excitation at 377 nm, sensor **111** exhibited a weak emission band at 479 nm. In the presence of Al^{3+} , sensor **111** displayed a more than 700-fold increase in fluorescence intensity at 479 nm. The detection limit was 9.79 nM for Al^{3+} . The complexation stoichiometry was 2:1 (**111**/Al³⁺). The chelation of sensor **111** with Al^{3+} inhibited the PET process and resulted in fluorescence enhancement through the CHEF effect. The Benesi–Hildebrand equation revealed an association constant value of 7.106 $\times 10^{10}$ M⁻². HeLa cells incubated with

sensor **111** displayed insignificant fluorescence. Upon further incubation with Al³⁺, remarkable bright blue fluorescence was observed under a confocal microscope, demonstrating the application potential of sensor **111** in biological systems.

3.4.2 Bacterial detection. Pathogenic bacteria cause serious diseases such as typhoid fever, tetanus, tuberculosis, pneumonia, *etc.*, globally. Therefore, for safety purposes, detection of bacteria is important. In the literature, various methods such as PCR-based assays, immunological methods, colony counting and culturing, *etc.* have been used for the detection of bacteria. However, these methods suffer from complicated



Fig. 48 Chemical structure of sensors 110-136.

procedures, time-consuming operations, and costly apparatus. Fluorescent biosensors offer the advantages of high selectivity, sensitivity, and fast response time in bacterial detection.

Subha *et al.* developed Schiff base **112** as a turn-on sensor for Zn^{2+} (Fig. 48 and Table 2).¹⁶⁹ In an aqueous medium, sensor **112** exhibited weak emission at 458 nm ($\lambda_{ex} = 350$ nm). Sensor **112** showed selective fluorescence enhancement only with Zn^{2+} over other metal ions. Fluorescence titration of sensor **112** with Zn^{2+} revealed ~ 40-fold fluorescence enhancement at 460 nm along with a slight red-shift, attributed to inhibition of C=N isomerization. The stoichiometry of the complex was $1:1 (112/Zn^{2+})$ and the binding constant was 1.57×10^5 M⁻¹. The limit of detection was 10.4 nM for Zn^{2+} . Sensor **112** exhibited potential application in sensing bacterial cells, *viz. Staphylococcus aureus* and *E. coli*. The turn-on fluorescence enhancement with bacterial cells could be credited to the formation of an amide or ester bond with the cell wall of bacteria (Fig. 49).

3.4.3 Drug sample analysis. The improvement in the field of analytical chemistry is supported by the use of fluorescent materials,¹⁷⁰ biomaterials,^{271,272} and nanomaterials^{273–275} in the analysis of samples. Fluorescent materials offer various advantages such as fast analysis time, high sensitivity and selectivity, low detection limit, and *in vivo* and *in vitro* investigation facility.

Kundu *et al.* reported Schiff base sensor **113** for Al^{3+} and Zn^{2+} detection in methanol–H₂O (1/9, v/v) solution (Fig. 48 and Table 2).¹⁷⁰ On excitation at 254 nm, sensor **113** exhibited weak emission with maxima at 355 nm. In the presence of Al^{3+} or Zn^{2+} , sensor **113** underwent fluorescence enhancement with emission maxima centred at 495 nm ($\lambda_{ex} = 392$ nm) for Al^{3+} and



Fig. 49 Fluorescence photographs of (a) *Streptococcus* cells; (b) *E. coli* cells; (c) *Streptococcus* cells incubated with sensor **112**; (d) *E. coli* cells incubated with sensor **112**. Reproduced with permission from ref. 169 Copyright 2016, Elsevier.

with emission maxima at 448 nm ($\lambda_{ex} = 384$ nm) for Zn²⁺ (Fig. 50a and b). Job's plot proved a 1:1 (113:Al³⁺/Zn²⁺) complexation. The binding constant value was 1.66 × 10⁴ M⁻¹ and 0.6 × 10⁴ M⁻¹ for Al³⁺ and Zn²⁺, respectively. The detection limit was 0.804 μ M and 0.795 μ M for Al³⁺ and Zn²⁺, respectively. The complexation of sensor 113 with Al³⁺/Zn²⁺ provides rigidity to the system by inhibiting the ESIPT process, the PET process, and C=N isomerization, causing fluorescence enhancement through the CHEF effect. Sensor 113 found application in Al³⁺ and Zn²⁺ analysis in drug samples such as Gelucil and Zincovit, as well as in bioimaging of Al³⁺ and Zn²⁺ in HeLa cells.

3.4.4 Biological sample analysis²⁷⁶. Bovine serum albumin is a large globular protein composed of 582 amino acid residues. BSA is most abundant in plasma protein (0.38 mL^{-1}). BSA performs important functions in our circulatory system such as transport of endogenous and exogenous compounds such as drugs, *etc.*^{277,278} Thus, quantification of BSA is important. Recently, researchers are developing fluorescent biosensors for metal ion detection using BSA as a medium, since it provides rapid, highly selective, and sensitive detection under physiological conditions.

Ghorai et al. developed sensor 114 based on azino bis-Schiff base for fluorescence-based detection of Pb2+ (Fig. 48 and Table 2).¹⁷¹ In methanol-water (2:1, v/v) solution, sensor 114 exhibited weak emission at 429 nm (λ_{ex} = 340 nm). Among various metal ions, sensor 114 displayed 34-fold fluorescence enhancement at 442 nm (λ_{ex} = 340 nm) only with Pb²⁺. The limit of detection was 8 nM for Pb²⁺. The binding stoichiometry was 2:1 (114:Pb²⁺). The coordination of Pb²⁺ with sensor 114 resulted in blockage of the PET process along with the formation of a rigid system, causing fluorescence enhancement through the CHEF effect. Fluorescence titration of sensor 114 with Pb^{2+} in BSA medium displayed a ~32-fold increase in emission intensity, illustrating the potential application of sensor 114 in biological samples. In addition, sensor 114 found application in Pb²⁺ determination in environmental samples such as tap water, river water, and urine samples.

Kumar et al. developed Schiff base sensor 115 for fluorescence-based detection of Al³⁺ by turn-on mode (Fig. 48 and Table 2).¹⁷² In CH₃CN-H₂O (1:1, v/v) solution, sensor 115 exhibited emission maxima at 430 nm (λ_{ex} = 360 nm). Fluorescence titration of sensor 115 with Al³⁺ revealed a 42-fold increase in fluorescence intensity. The binding constant was $0.4 \times 10^{6} \text{ M}^{-1}$ and the binding stoichiometry ratio was 3:1 (115 : Al^{3+}). The limit of detection was 50 μ M for Al^{3+} . The off-on fluorescence behavior could be attributed to inhibition of the PET process and enhanced electron delocalization upon coordination of Al³⁺ with sensor **115**. The fluorescence titration of sensor **115** with Al³⁺ in BSA aqueous medium showed 30 times fluorescence enhancement in comparison to that of the initial one, demonstrating the application of sensor 115 in biological samples. In addition, sensor 115 found application in Al³⁺ detection in living cells (human embryonic kidney (HEK)).

Ghorai *et al.* developed Schiff base sensor **116** for fluorescence-based detection of Al^{3+} and HSO_3^{-} in methanol-water (2:1, v/v) solution (Fig. 48 and Table 2).¹⁷³ Fluorescence



Fig. 50 The emission spectrum of sensor **113** in the absence and presence of different metal ions: (a) fluorescence response on excitation at 392 nm; (b) fluorescence response on excitation at 384 nm. Reproduced with permission from ref. 170 Copyright 2019, Elsevier.



Fig. 51 Fluorescence titration of (A) sensor **116** with Al^{3+} in methanol–water (2 : 1, v/v) solution; (B) sensor **116** with Al^{3+} in bovine serum albumin medium. Reproduced with permission from ref. 173 Copyright 2015, Royal Society of Chemistry.

titration of sensor **116** with Al^{3+} showed a gradual increase in fluorescence intensity at 373 nm ($\lambda_{ex} = 310$ nm) upon addition of an increasing concentration of Al^{3+} along with the blue-shift of the emission maxima by 28 nm (Fig. 51A). The interaction of Al^{3+} with sensor **116** resulted in inhibition of the PET process and C=N isomerization, causing fluorescence enhancement through the CHEF effect. The stoichiometry of the complex was 1:2 (**116**/Al³⁺) and the association constant was 1.26×10^5 M⁻¹. The limit of detection was 0.903 μ M for Al³⁺. Sensor **116** showed remarkable detection over a wide pH range of 4–11 and found application in Al³⁺ detection in the aqueous solution of bovine serum albumin protein (Fig. 51B).

3.4.5 Anticancer activity^{174–176,279}. Nowadays cancer is a very serious disease, and looking into the present situation of drugs for cancer treatment, WHO predicted that more than 13.1 million people may die by 2030 due to cancer. Currently, platinum-based complexes are used as antitumor drugs in the clinic worldwide. However, these drugs have shown multiple

side effects such as drug resistance, tissue damage, *etc.* This necessitates the development of an efficient drug for cancer treatment.

Liu *et al.* synthesized Schiff base complexes **117** and **118** for fluorescence-based detection of Cu^{2+} and Fe^{3+} in an aqueous medium (Fig. 52 and Table 2).¹⁷⁴ Among various metal ions, sensor **117** underwent fluorescence quenching selectively with



Fig. 52 Chemical structure of complexes 117 and 118.



Cu²⁺ and Fe³⁺ only. The sensing mechanism could be attributed to host-guest interaction, metal-ion exchange, or competition between the metal ions and complexes for absorption and excitation energy. Furthermore, complex **118** demonstrated excellent anticancer activity against four human tumor cell lines, namely, MDA-MB-231 (human breast cancer), HT29 (human colon cancer), HeLa (human cervical cancer), and PC-3 (human prostate cancer).

Considering the important applications of coumarin derivatives such as antifungal, anticoagulant, and anticancer, Liu *et al.* synthesized Schiff base-Ir(m) complexes (**119–124**) as an antitumor agent (Fig. 53 and Table 2).¹⁷⁵ All the six complexes exhibited excellent biological stability, and their anticancer activity can be tuned by slight adjustment in the number of phenyl groups and electron donor ability of the Schiff base. In DMSO-H₂O (2:8, v/v), the fluorescence spectrum of complexes **119–121** showed maxima at 422 nm and this was red-shifted to 474 nm in complexes **122–124**. Further, these complexes successfully targeted lysosomes and mitochondria, could induce apoptosis, and displayed potential application as antitumor agents.

Kim *et al.* provided a naphthalene-based donor-acceptor type platform for fluorescence-based detection of Fe^{3+} (Fig. 54 and Table 2).¹⁷⁶ The fluorescence spectrum of sensor **125** showed enhancement in emission intensity at 550 nm upon the addition of Fe^{3+} , credited to inhibition of the ESIPT based quenching effect. Further, the sensor displayed application in the fluorescence imaging of Fe^{3+} in HeLa cells and cancer cell lines. The yellow emission of HeLa cells following incubation with exogenous Fe^{3+} was more intense than that of the control group incubated with sensor **125**.



Fig. 54 Sensing mechanism of Fe³⁺ with sensor 125

3.5 Environmental monitoring

3.5.1 Catalytic applications. Over the years, numerous Schiff base-metal complexes have been developed and efficiently used as catalysts in organic transformation reactions.^{280,281} Thus, discussion of the catalytic applications of fluorescent Schiff base-metal complexes constitutes an interesting topic of research.

Salih *et al.* prepared fluorescent Schiff base ligand **126** using 2-(1-piperazinyl)ethylamine and 2-hydroxy-5-nitrobenzaldehyde, and the corresponding Cu(II)-complexes (Fig. 55 and Table 2).¹⁷⁷ In DMSO solution, the emission spectrum of the Schiff base ligand **126** revealed turn-off response upon complexation with Cu(II) (λ_{ex} = 360 nm, λ_{em} = 475 nm), could be attributed to the PET process and the CHEF effect or to structural change in the ligand upon complexation. Further under mild catalytic conditions for oxidation and in the presence of H₂O₂ (green oxidant), the Schiff base–Cu(II) complex successfully catalyzed the benzyl alcohol to benzaldehyde oxidation reaction.

3.5.2 Adsorption. Fluorescent Schiff base doped polymeric materials have emerged as new candidates for metal ion detection, owing to several advantages offered by fluorescent polymeric materials such as amplified optical properties, high detection limit, *etc.*

Xue *et al.* developed sulfonylhydrazone type Schiff base sensor **127** (AIE-active) for fluorescence-based detection of Hg(II) (Fig. 56 and Table 2).¹⁷⁸ The emission spectrum of sensor **127** showed turn-off response ($\lambda_{ex} = 414 \text{ nm}$, $\lambda_{em} = 549 \text{ nm}$) with the addition of an increasing concentration of Hg²⁺, and a good linear range between 0.1 and 0.6 µM concentration of Hg²⁺. The limit of detection was 0.284 nM, and the response time was 15 seconds for Hg²⁺. Interestingly, polyacrylamide (PAM) doped Schiff base **127** (**127**–PAM polymer) demonstrated high efficiency of Hg²⁺ adsorption, with a 99.9% removal rate.



Fig. 55 (A) Chemical structure of Schiff base ligand 126 and the $126-{\rm Cu}^{2+}$ complex. (B) Benzyl alcohol oxidation reaction catalysed by $126-{\rm Cu}^{2+}$ complex.

Fig. 56 Sensing mechanism of Hg²⁺ with sensor 127.

Additionally, sensor 127 displayed potential for Hg^{2+} detection in real water samples and for logic gate construction.

3.5.3 Real sample analysis^{185,280,282–285}. Although the fluorescent sensors for metal ion detection have shown advantages such as simplicity, selectivity, sensitivity, and rapid response time over other analytical methods, working with some toxic metal ions has always been problematic due to strong hydration, poor coordination, and lack of spectroscopic characteristics. Therefore, researchers are working on the development of fluorescent materials for direct detection of metal ions in real samples such as water, food, *etc.*

Peng et al. synthesized pyridine-based Schiff base sensors 128 and 129 for Al³⁺ detection (Fig. 48 and Table 2).¹⁷⁹ In DMF- $H_2O(v:v = 1:9)$ solution, both sensors 128 and 129 exhibited weak emission centered at 483 nm (λ_{ex} = 360 nm) and 467 nm $(\lambda_{ex} = 300 \text{ nm})$, respectively. However, upon the addition of Al³⁺, sensors 128 and 129 displayed an increase in fluorescence intensity at 483 nm and 467 nm, respectively. The turn-on fluorescence was associated with colorless to aquamarine color change of the solution. The limit of detection for Al³⁺ was 3.2 nM and 0.29 nM with sensors 128 and 129, respectively. Job's plot and HRMS revealed 1:1 (128/129:Al³⁺) stoichiometry of the complex. The mechanism of interaction could be ascribed to the blockage of the PET and ESIPT processes upon addition of Al³⁺ as confirmed from the ¹H NMR titration experiment. Sensors 128 and 129 found potential application in the detection of Al³⁺ in lake water and tap water.

Guo *et al.* synthesized oligothiophene-based Schiff base **130** as a fluorometric probe for Cu²⁺ detection (Fig. 48 and Table 2).¹⁸⁰ In DMF/H₂O (2/3, v/v) solution, free sensor **130** exhibited strong fluorescence with emission maxima at 580 nm ($\lambda_{ex} = 450$ nm). In the presence of Cu²⁺, sensor **130** underwent fluorescence quenching along with the hypsochromic shift of the emission maxima from 580 nm to 560 nm. The binding constant was 2.52×10^4 M⁻¹ for the 1:1 (**130**/Cu²⁺) complex. The limit of detection was 28.1 nM for Cu²⁺. The decrease in the fluorescence intensity of sensor **130** upon complexation with Cu²⁺ could be ascribed to the paramagnetic nature of Cu²⁺ and the CHEQ mechanism. Furthermore, sensor **130** found application in Cu²⁺ detection in real samples such as water and food with excellent accuracy and precision.

Kang *et al.* synthesized naphthalimide functionalized Schiff base **131** as a fluorescent turn-on sensor for Al^{3+} detection (Fig. 48 and Table 2).¹⁸¹ In methanol solution, sensor **131** exhibited weak emission maxima at 524 nm ($\lambda_{ex} = 401$ nm).

Among various tested metal ions, sensor **131** showed significant fluorescence enhancement with Al^{3+} (39-fold), and fluorescence quenching to some extent with Ni^{2+} , Fe^{2+} , Co^{2+} , and Cu^{2+} , whereas other metal ions had an insignificant effect. Fluorescence titration of sensor **131** with Al^{3+} revealed linear enhancement in emission intensity along with the blue shift of the emission maxima from 524 nm to 508 nm, attributed to the combined effect of inhibition of the ICT process and the CHEF effect (Fig. 57A and B). The detection limit was 7.4 nM for Al^{3+} . The association constant was $1.62 \times 10^4 \text{ M}^{-1}$ for the 1:1 (**131**/ Al^{3+}) complex. In addition, the sensor displayed potential application in measuring Al^{3+} concentration in real water samples.

Kao *et al.* synthesized quinoline-derived Schiff base **132** as a fluorescence turn-on sensor for Mg^{2+} detection (Fig. 48 and Table 2).¹⁸² On excitation at 353 nm, free sensor **132** displayed no significant emission in acetonitrile solution. However, in the presence of Mg^{2+} , sensor **132** underwent a significant increase in emission intensity at 487 nm and 532 nm. The association constant was $1.91 \times 10^7 M^{-1}$ for the 1:1 (**132**/Mg²⁺) complex. The detection limit was 19.1 ppb for Mg²⁺. The coordination of sensor **132** with Mg²⁺ resulted in the formation of a rigid system by inhibiting C—N isomerization, leading to fluorescence enhancement through the CHEF effect. In addition, sensor **132** found practical application in the determination of Mg²⁺ in real water samples such as tap, ground, and lake water (Fig. 58).

Zhang *et al.* developed Schiff base **133** with AIEE (Aggregation induced emission enhancement) characteristics as a turnoff fluorescent sensor for Cu²⁺ (Fig. 48 and Table 2).¹⁸³ In THF-H₂O (4/1, v/v) solution, sensor **133** exhibited emission maxima at 565 nm (λ_{ex} = 428 nm). Both UV-Vis and fluorescence spectra of sensor **133** displayed good selectivity only for Cu²⁺ over other tested metal ions. Fluorescence titration of sensor **133** with Cu²⁺ showed a gradual decrease in fluorescence intensity at 565 nm with the addition of an increasing concentration of Cu²⁺. The limit of detection was 16.4 nM for Cu²⁺. The binding



Fig. 57 (A) Fluorescence titration of sensor **131** with Al^{3+} . (B) Plot of fluorescence intensity *vs.* Al^{3+} concentration. Inset: naked eye detectable color change of sensor **131** in the absence (left) and presence of Al^{3+} (right) under 365 nm UV light illumination. Reproduced with permission from ref. 181 Copyright 2017, Elsevier.



Fig. 58 Photographs of Mg²⁺-induced light-up emission of sensor 132 in different media such as CH₃CN, lake water, groundwater, and tap water. Reproduced with permission from ref. 182 Copyright 2016, Elsevier

stoichiometry was 1:2 (133/Cu²⁺) and the binding constant was 1.22×10^3 M⁻¹. The transfer of lone pairs of electrons from the nitrogen and oxygen atoms to the empty orbitals of Cu²⁺ blocked the initial ESIPT process and resulted in fluorescence quenching (Fig. 59). Furthermore, sensor 133 found application in monitoring Cu²⁺ concentration in environmental water samples. The percentage recovery of sensor 133 was 98.49-102.37% in tap water and 99.10-102.90% in lake water.

Peng et al. developed naphthalimide-based Schiff base 134 as a fluorescent turn-on probe for Al³⁺ (Fig. 48 and Table 2).¹⁸⁴ In DMF-HEPES (1:1, v/v) solution, free sensor 134 was weakly fluorescent with emission maxima at 531 nm (λ_{ex} = 350 nm). Upon addition of Al³⁺ into the solution of sensor 134, a significant increase in fluorescence intensity was observed at 524 nm, attributed to inhibition of the PET process and the CHEF effect. The binding constant value was 4.95×10^4 M⁻¹ for the 1:1 (134/Al³⁺) complex. The lowest limit of detection was 86.5 nM for Al³⁺. Furthermore, sensor 134 was found to be useful for Al³⁺ determination in real water samples such as yellow river and tap water.

Further, the same group reported another naphthalimidebased Schiff base 135 for fluorescence-based detection of Al³⁺ (Fig. 48 and Table 2).¹⁸⁵ In Tris-HCl buffer (1:1, v/v) solution, free sensor 135 exhibited weak emission at 526 nm (λ_{ex} = 350 nm). Upon addition of various metal ions to the solution



Fig. 59 Sensing mechanism of sensor 133 for Cu²⁺ detection. Reproduced with permission from ref.183 Copyright 2021, MDPI, Basel, Switzerland

of sensor 135, no significant change in emission intensity was observed except with Al³⁺. In the presence of Al³⁺, sensor 135 showed fluorescence enhancement with emission maxima at 526 nm, attributed to strong coordination between sensor 135 and Al³⁺ resulting in inhibition of the PET process and the CHEF effect. The limit of detection was 0.34 μ M for Al³⁺. The binding constant was 2.6 \times 10⁴ M⁻¹ for the 1:1 (135/Al³⁺) complex. Moreover, sensor 135 demonstrated potential application in monitoring Al³⁺ concentration in real water samples such as yellow river and tap water.

Zhu et al. developed Schiff base 136 as a turn-on fluorescent sensor for Al³⁺ detection (Fig. 48 and Table 2).¹⁸⁶ In ethanol-HEPES buffer (95:5, v/v) solution, sensor 136 showed high selectivity for Al³⁺ over other tested metal ions. On excitation at 273 nm, sensor 136 displayed weak emission at 473 nm and 499 nm. However, upon the addition of Al³⁺, a significant enhancement in emission intensity (63-fold) was observed at 473 nm and 499 nm along with the color change of the solution from green to bright blue under 273 nm UV light illumination. The complexation stoichiometry was 1:1 (136/Al³⁺). The binding constant of the complex was 6.53×10^3 M^{-1} and the detection limit was 0.108 μM for Al^{3+} . The sensing mechanism could be attributed to blockage of the PET process upon complexation of sensor 136 with Al³⁺. Furthermore, sensor **136** displayed potential application in detecting Al³⁺ in living cells and environmental samples.

3.5.4 Rewritable paper. Paper is a versatile material with various uses such as writing, reading, printing, cleaning, etc. Although paper is recyclable, huge energy consumption and chemical discharge during the recycling process are unavoidable. In the present world, the massive use of paper is being continuously alleviated by digital storage devices such as computers, mobile, etc. Nowadays, researchers are focusing on the development of organic photochromic materials due to their potential application as rewritable paper, sensors, data storage systems, molecular switches, etc.^{286–291}

Sun et al. designed tetraphenylethene-based Schiff base 137 as a colorimetric/fluorescent sensor for Zn²⁺ and for inkless rewritable paper (Fig. 60 and Table 2).¹⁸⁷ In the THF-H₂O (1:1, v/v) mixture, sensor 137 showed weak emission maxima at 600 nm, which upon addition of Zn²⁺ resulted in significant fluorescence enhancement along with the blue shift of the emission maxima from 600 nm to 550 nm. Moreover, the emission color of the solution changed from weak red to strong yellow (Fig. 61A). The limit of detection was 38.9 nM for Zn^{2+} . The sensing mechanism could be attributed to the CHEF effect which inhibits the ESIPT, PET, and non-radiative processes, thus resulting in fluorescence enhancement. Further, an inkless rewritable, self-erasing paper was fabricated by utilizing sensor 137 as the imaging layer and H2O as ink, which showed potential application in data storage, information security, and anti-counterfeiting (Fig. 61B and C). Additionally, sensor 137 found application in monitoring Zn²⁺ in SiHa cells.

Sun et al. designed tetraphenylethene-based Schiff base sensor 138 with photochromic properties and employed it as rewritable paper and a UV sensor (Fig. 60 and Table 2).188 Sensor 138 exhibited weak fluorescence in solid-state, which



Fig. 60 Chemical structure of chemosensors 137-161

after grinding with a pestle resulted in the appearance of a strong emission band between 550 nm and 700 nm, longer response time and reduced photochromic efficiency. The photochromic mechanism of sensor **138** resulted from enol to keto form photoisomerization. Further sensor **138** found application as rewritable paper. With violet light at 410 nm, sensor **138** served as a pen, while with white light and 420 nm–590 nm visible light, sensor **138** was used as an eraser. Moreover, sensor **138** also served as a UV sensor for the detection of UV radiation pollution by the naked eye (Fig. 62A–D).

3.6 Optoelectronic applications

3.6.1 Mechanoluminescence^{191,193,194}. The tunable emission properties of mechanochromic materials have grabbed

significant attention because of their potential application in devices, optical recordings, security inks, and sensors.

Yang *et al.* synthesized Schiff base derivatives **139**, **140**, and **141** for fluorescence-based detection of Cu²⁺ (Fig. 60 and Table 2).¹⁸⁹ All the three sensors (**139**, **140**, and **141**) displayed AIE characteristics in the CH₃CN-H₂O mixture with different water fractions. From the fluorescence experiment, sensor **141** was found to be a specific sensor for Cu²⁺, whereas sensors **139** and **140** lacked selectivity and displayed fluorescence enhancement with various metal ions (Fig. 63A and C). The fluorescence titration of sensor **141** with Cu²⁺ in CH₃CN-H₂O (4:1, v/v) solution revealed a linear increase in fluorescence intensity at 463 nm ($\lambda_{ex} = 350$ nm) with the addition of an increasing concentration of Cu²⁺ (0-20 μ M). The limit of detection was 2.3 × 10⁻⁷ M for Cu²⁺ and the stoichiometry of the complex was



Fig. 61 (A) Fluorescence spectrum; (B) absorbance spectrum of sensor **137** in the absence and presence of Zn^{2+} . Inset: Naked eye detectable color change of sensor **137** solution in the absence and presence of Zn^{2+} under (A) 365 nm UV light and (B) ambient light. (C) Sensor **137** application as inkless rewritable paper in information safety. Reproduced with permission from ref. 187 Copyright 2021, Royal Society of Chemistry.

2:1 (141: Cu^{2+}). The turn-on fluorescence response of sensor 141 with Cu^{2+} could be attributed to the CHEF effect. Sensor 141 showed reversible mechanochromic fluorescence behavior with the mechanical stress-induced shift of fluorescence spectra by 21 nm, attributed to transformation between crystalline and amorphous states (Fig. 63B and D).

Yang *et al.* developed anthryl-based Schiff bases **142**, **143**, and **144** for Cu²⁺ and Zn²⁺ detection (Fig. 60 and Table 2).¹⁹⁰ Among various tested metal ions, only sensor **143** ($\lambda_{ex} = 432$ nm) showed on-off type fluorescence response ($\lambda_{em} = 508$ nm) with Cu²⁺ in HEPES buffered methanol-H₂O (4/1, v/v) solution, and off-on type fluorescence response ($\lambda_{em} = 510$ nm) with Zn²⁺ in pure methanol solution. The binding stoichiometry was 2:1 (**143** : Cu²⁺/Zn²⁺), and the binding constant was **1.28** × 10⁸ M⁻¹ and 1.05 × 10⁶ M⁻¹ for Cu²⁺ and Zn²⁺, respectively. The limit of detection was 0.212 µM and 71.9 nM for Cu²⁺ and Zn²⁺, respectively. The different fluorescence responses of sensor

143 with Cu^{2+} and Zn^{2+} could be due to the different electronic configurations of metal ions. For Cu^{2+} , the PET process could be responsible for fluorescence quenching. And for Zn^{2+} , the filled d-orbital and no possibility of d-d transition could be responsible for fluorescence enhancement.

Muthamma *et al.* used fluorene-based Schiff base **145** (AIE-active) for developing environmentally friendly flexographic ink for printed electronics and for security printing applications (Fig. 60 and Table 2).¹⁹¹ The Schiff base **145** writing and fingerprints on UV dull security paper using flexo ink were invisible under daylight but showed bluish green fluorescence under UV light. Further, the emission of Schiff base **145** writing and fingerprints was sensitive to pH, demonstrating the potential application of the Schiff base in anticounterfeiting. Further, the mechanical and electrical measurements of flexo-ink printing on UV dull security paper substrates exhibited p-type semiconductivity, thus signifying the potential



Fig. 62 Application of sensor 138 as rewritable paper: (A) different letter, pattern, and number on rewritable paper; (B) proposed system-based reversible photochromic dragon. (C) Writing and erasing process on rewritable paper. (D) Photographs of sensor 138 under 365 nm UV light and visible light: (1 and 3) pristine sample; (2 and 4) ground sample. Reproduced with permission from ref. 188 Copyright 2019, Elsevier.



Fig. 63 (A) Fluorescence response of sensor 141 in the presence of different metal ions. (B) Normalized fluorescence spectrum of sensor 141 in different solid-states, *viz.* initial sample, ground sample, and fumed sample. (C) Photographs of sensor 141 solutions in the presence of different metal ions under 365 nm UV light. (D) Color change of sensor 141 after grinding and fuming under 365 nm UV light illumination. Reproduced with permission from ref. 189 Copyright 2017, Royal Society of Chemistry.

for application of Schiff base **145** in organic printed transistor devices.

3.6.2 Color tunable. Currently, various fluorescent materials have been fabricated into devices because of their potential

(00)

application as light-emitting components in display devices. The optical properties of fluorescent materials can be tuned by chemical modification, functional group addition or substitution, or by complexation with ions.^{60,292} The diverse application of these fluorescent materials encouraged the researchers to develop materials with improved fluorescence properties.

Gondia and Sharma et al. studied comparative optical properties of sensor 146-Zn²⁺ and sensor 147-Zn²⁺ complexes (Fig. 60 and Table 2).¹⁹² Both sensors **146** and **147** are similar in structure except for the presence of additional phenyl groups in sensor 147. The fluorescence spectrum of sensor 146-Zn²⁺ and sensor 147-Zn²⁺ complexes revealed significant emission intensity peaks at 504 nm and 444 nm (λ_{ex} = 372 nm), respectively. Further, the sensor **146**–Zn²⁺ complex showed nearly two times increased fluorescence as well as blue shift as compared to the emission spectrum of the sensor 147-Zn²⁺ complex, attributed to the presence of an additional phenyl group. The change in emission of sensors 146 and 147 upon complexation with Zn²⁺ through N-azomethine and the oxygen atom of the -OH group could be due to the highest to lowest energy state intraligand transition. The CIE (Commission Internationale de L'Eclairage) chromaticity diagram for 146-Zn²⁺ and 147-Zn²⁺ complexes revealed a bluish-green region for the 146-Zn²⁺ complex and a blue region for the 147-Zn²⁺ complex, suggesting potential application of these complexes in electroluminescent devices (Fig. 64 and Table 3).

Nishal *et al.* presented a series of zinc-Schiff base complexes **148–152** for organic light-emitting device (OLED) application (Fig. 60 and Table 2).¹⁹³ On excitation at 365 nm, Schiff base complexes **148**, **149**, **150**, **151**, and **152** emitted blue with the emission maxima centered at 447, 440, 436, 433, and 430 nm, respectively. The blue luminescence of the Schiff-base



Fig. 64 CIE diagram of 146-Zn²⁺ and 147-Zn²⁺ complexes. Reproduced with permission from ref. 192 Copyright 2019, Elsevier.

 Table 3
 CIE parameter of the complexes and quantum yield. Reproduced with permission from ref. 192 Copyright 2019, Elsevier

			Color coordinates	
Compound	Slope	Quantum yield	x	У
146 147 Standard	6.37 16.59 777.95	0.46 1.21 0.54	0.187 0.168 —	0.355 0.152 —

complexes was assigned to relaxation from higher to lower energy levels because of intra-ligand transition. Notably, as the number of alkyl groups in bridging diamines increased, the emission maxima were more shifted toward the blue region. The CIE chromaticity diagram and color coordinate calculation showed the potential application of Schiff base-zinc complexes in organic light-emitting devices (OLEDs).

Gusev *et al.* presented an ethylenediamine Schiff base-Zn²⁺ complex (**153**) as a promising emissive material for blue fluorescent OLED applications (Fig. 60 and Table 2).¹⁹⁴ The complex **153** emitted strongly both in solution and solid state with maximum emission in the blue region (430–460 nm), assigned to combination of monomer (419 nm)–excimer (433 and 468 nm). Interestingly, complex **153** was fabricated into an organic-light emitting device and demonstrated promising blue fluorescent OLED applications (maximum external quantum efficiency, EQE_{max} = 5%, and maximum brightness 17 000 cd m⁻²).

3.6.3 Logic gate^{175,178,293}. Modern science may benefit from computations based on molecular logic functions. The logic operation at the molecular level is performed using two input signals and one output signal. The Schiff base-based logic gates have a lot of promise for optical sensing, which opens up new possibilities for multidirectional memory device innovation in the future.

Das et al. prepared fluorescein-based Schiff base 154 as a fluorometric turn-on sensor for Zn²⁺ (Fig. 60 and Table 2).¹⁹⁵ In HEPES buffered ethanol-H₂O (9:1, v/v) solution, sensor 154 displayed fluorescence enhancement only with Zn²⁺ over other metal ions. Fluorescence titration of sensor 154 with Zn²⁺ revealed an increase in emission intensity at 520 nm (λ_{ex} = 375 nm) along with color change of the solution from colorless to greenish-yellow under UV light. The complexation of sensor 154 with Zn²⁺ through the imine group and -OH groups inhibits the PET process and C=N isomerization, leading to fluorescence enhancement by the CHEF effect. The binding constant was 2.86 \times 10⁴ M⁻¹ for the 1:1 (154/Zn²⁺) complex. The limit of detection was 1.59 μ M for Zn²⁺. Additionally, an INHIBIT type logic gate was designed using Zn²⁺ and EDTA as inputs and emission intensity at 520 nm as output at the molecular level (Fig. 65). Sensor 154 also found application in Zn²⁺ detection using TLC supported paper strips and in the bioimaging of intracellular Zn²⁺ ions in Vero cells (African green monkey kidney cells).

Das *et al.* constructed Schiff base sensor **155** using ethylenediamine and 2-hydroxyacetophenone and utilized it for Al³⁺ detection by fluorescence off–on mode (Fig. 60 and Table 2).¹⁹⁶



Fig. 65 Truth table and INHIBIT type logic gate representation with Zn^{2+} and EDTA as input and the emission intensity of sensor **154** at 520 nm as output at the molecular level. Reproduced with permission from ref. 195 Copyright 2019, Elsevier.



Fig. 66 Truth table and AND and NOT gate representation with Al³⁺ and EDTA as two inputs and emission intensity as output. Reproduced with permission from ref. 197 Copyright 2015, Elsevier.

In methanol–water (1:1, v/v) solution, sensor **155** exhibited weak emission in the 380 nm to 700 nm range ($\lambda_{ex} = 370$ nm). Upon the addition of Al³⁺, sensor **155** underwent an increase in emission intensity along with red-shift. The binding constant was log $\beta = 5.14$ for 1:1 (**155**:Al³⁺) stoichiometry. The limit of detection was 10 µM for Al³⁺. The off–on fluorescence response could be attributed to inhibition of the PET process upon complexation of Al³⁺ with sensor **155**, resulting in fluorescence enhancement. The **155**–Al³⁺ complex further acted as an on–off type fluorescent sensor for PO₄³⁻. An INHIBIT type logic gate was constructed utilizing Al^{3+} and PO_4^{3-} as two inputs. Sensor 155 found application in Al^{3+} determination in BSA and in rat L6 myoblast cells.

Gupta *et al.* synthesized sensors **156** and **157** for fluorescence-based detection of Al³⁺ (Fig. 60 and Table 2).¹⁹⁷ The fluorescence spectrum of sensors **156** and **157** ($\lambda_{ex} =$ 375 nm) in methanol solution displayed fluorescence enhancement selectively with Al³⁺ over other tested metal ions and the emission maxima were centered at 465 nm and 464 nm for sensors **156** and **157**, respectively. The detection limit of sensors **156** and **157** was 0.525 μ M and 2.38 μ M, respectively for Al³⁺ ions. The binding constant was 6.64 × 10³ M⁻¹ and 7.29 × 10³ M⁻¹ for **156** : Al³⁺ (1:1) and **157** : Al³⁺ (1:1) complexes, respectively. The sensing mechanism could be attributed to the CHEF effect. A combination of AND and NOT gates was developed using Al³⁺ and EDTA as two inputs and emission intensity as output (Fig. 66).

Naskar et al. synthesized Schiff base sensor 158 using 2amino-1-ethanol and salicyladehyde for Zn²⁺ detection in an aqueous medium (Fig. 60 and Table 2).¹⁹⁸ On excitation at 359 nm, sensor 158 exhibited emission maxima at 454 nm. In the presence of various metal ions, sensor 158 displayed strong fluorescence enhancement with Zn²⁺ and moderate response with Al³⁺, whereas other metal ions had an insignificant effect. The limit of detection of sensor 158 for Zn^{2+} was 0.477 μ M. The binding constant was 24.75 \times 10⁴ M⁻¹ for 2:1 (158/Zn²⁺) stoichiometry. The coordination of sensor 158 with Zn²⁺ caused inhibition of the ESIPT process and C=N isomerization, leading to fluorescence enhancement through the CHEF effect. An 'OR' logic gate and a 'Keypad lock' logic network were fabricated using Zn²⁺ and Al³⁺ as input with absorbance at 359 nm and emission at 454 nm as output (Fig. 67A and B). Additionally, sensor 158 found potential application in the fluorescence imaging of Zn^{2+} in rice seedlings.



Fig. 67 (A) Truth table and OR gate representation with Zn²⁺ and Al³⁺ as inputs and absorbance at 359 nm as output. (B) Truth table for fluorescent keypad logic operation. Reproduced with permission from ref. 198 Copyright 2016, Elsevier.



Fig. 68 Truth table and INHIBIT logic gate representation with the Zn^{2+} and Cd^{2+} complex of sensor **159** and EDTA as inputs. Reproduced with permission from ref. 199 Copyright 2018, Royal Society of Chemistry.

Purkait et al. synthesized Schiff base sensor 159 for fluorescence-based detection of Zn²⁺, Cd²⁺, and I⁻ (Fig. 60 and Table 2).¹⁹⁹ In DMSO-water (9:1 v/v) solution, sensor 159 was non-fluorescent (λ_{ex} = 482 nm). Among various tested metal ions, sensor 159 displayed fluorescence enhancement only with Zn^{2+} (λ_{em} = 545 nm) and Cd^{2+} (λ_{em} = 560 nm) along with naked eye detectable color change from colorless to bright yellow (for Zn^{2+}) and from colorless to orange color (for Cd^{2+}) under UV light illumination. The interaction of Zn^{2+}/Cd^{2+} with sensor 159 resulted in fluorescence enhancement due to the CHEF effect. The limit of detection was 2.7 nM (for Zn²⁺) and 6.6 nM (for Cd²⁺). The binding constant was 2.7 \times 10⁴ M⁻¹ (for the 159–Zn²⁺ (1:1) complex) and 0.96 \times 10⁴ M⁻¹ (for the 159– Cd^{2+} (1:1) complex). Upon addition of Na₂EDTA to the solution of the sensor 159-Zn²⁺/Cd²⁺ complex, on-off type fluorescence response was observed, signifying reversibility and reusability of sensor 159. An INHIBIT type logic gate was generated using Zn²⁺/Cd²⁺ and EDTA as input and emission intensity as output (Fig. 68). Sensor 159 also displayed practical application in sensing Zn^{2+} and Cd^{2+} in TLC plates and in drinking water.

Vinoth Kumar *et al.* synthesized Schiff base **160** as a turn-on sensor for Ni^{2+} (Fig. 60 and Table 2).²⁰⁰ In acetonitrile solution, sensor **160** showed an off-on type fluorescence response with Ni^{2+} , whereas other metal ions had an insignificant effect. Fluorescence titration of sensor **160** with Ni^{2+} revealed a

continuous increase in fluorescence intensity at 542 nm (λ_{ex} = 400 nm) upon addition of an increasing concentration of Ni²⁺ (0–60 μ M), attributed to the CHEF effect. The detection limit was 8.67 nM for Ni²⁺ and the association constant was 4.18 \times 10⁵ M⁻¹ for the 160–Ni²⁺ (1:1) complex. Upon addition of Na₂EDTA to the solution of the sensor 160–Ni²⁺ complex, fluorescence quenching was observed, suggesting reversibility and reusability of sensor 160. Additionally, the molecular logic gate of AND, OR, NOT, and NOR type and truth table were created based on Ni²⁺ and EDTA as input and absorbance and emission intensity at 428 nm and 542 nm as output. Sensor 160 found application in the fluorescence imaging of intracellular Ni²⁺ in HeLa cells.

Jothi *et al.* synthesized naphthalimide-based Schiff base **161** for detection of Fe³⁺ based on a fluorometric method (Fig. 60 and Table 2).²⁰¹ In the acetonitrile–water (7:3) mixture, sensor **161** showed strong emission at 531 nm ($\lambda_{ex} = 344$ nm). The fluorescence titration of sensor **161** with Fe³⁺ revealed a gradual decrease in fluorescence intensity with the addition of an increasing concentration of Fe³⁺. The limit of detection was 0.81 μ M for Fe³⁺. The stoichiometry of the complex was 1:1 (**161**/Fe³⁺) and the binding constant was 2.49 \times 10⁴ M⁻¹. The sensing mechanism could be attributed to blockage of ICT and C—N isomerization upon complexation of sensor **161** with Fe³⁺. Furthermore, NOT gate, OR gate logic circuit, and truth table were constructed using Fe³⁺ and EDTA as input and emission intensity at 531 nm as



Fig. 69 Truth table and logic gate representation with Fe³⁺ and EDTA as inputs. Reproduced with permission from ref. 201 Copyright 2021, Royal Society of Chemistry.

Materials Advances

output (Fig. 69). Additionally, sensor **161** demonstrated potential application in detecting Fe³⁺ in test paper and water samples, and in fluorescent bioimaging.

4. Conclusion and future opportunities

Metal ions are ubiquitous in nature, and up to certain concentrations as fixed by WHO and EPA they are non-toxic. In fact, some metal ions are essential for the normal functioning of living organisms. Nowadays, researchers are using Schiff base metal ion complexes for productive purposes owing to their outstanding applications in optoelectronic systems. However, with the increase in their concentration due to industrialization and urbanization, metal ions have shown toxic effects on human health and the environment. Therefore, their detection is crucial, which is challenging. This review summarized the recent advances in fluorescent Schiff base sensors for metal ion detection in the past six years.

The commonly used mechanisms for metal ion detection include self-assembly/disassembly, aggregation/disaggregation, photo-induced electron transfer (PET), intra/intermolecular charge transfer (ICT), metal to ligand charge transfer (MLCT), ligand to metal charge transfer (LMCT), Förster resonance energy transfer (FRET), excited state intramolecular proton transfer (ESIPT), inner filter effect (IFE), metal-induced chemical change and C=N isomerization. Metal ions upon coordination with Schiff base sensors generally show fluorescence enhancement or quenching leading to the CHEF or CHEQ effect, respectively. However, for a few systems, ratiometric fluorescence change was also observed. Usually, paramagnetic metal ions such as Cu²⁺ and Fe³⁺ act as a fluorescence quencher and show CHEQ effects when bound with chemosensors. However, there are several reports wherein fluorescence enhancement was also observed with these metal ions. Additionally, the ESIPT process was associated with the sensors containing the salicylimine group. Because of the free rotation around the C=N bond, Schiff base-based sensors may show the AIE phenomenon. Schiff bases commonly include hydrazone, acyl hydrazone, salicylimine, azine, and other groups, and provide nitrogen and oxygen atoms for coordination with metal ions.

Due to the global existence of metal ions, environmental monitoring and biomedical application are the most common practical application of Schiff base sensors. Other applications of fluorescent Schiff base sensors include multi-metal ion sensors, a sequential sensor for other ions, and optoelectronic systems. The molecular switching properties of Schiff base sensors have been applied in the construction of molecular keypads and logic gates.

The development of fluorescent Schiff base sensors and their commercial applications will always be of immense interest to researchers. Although existing results are plentiful, future efforts need to be undertaken in the following aspects. First, the main drawbacks of as-developed fluorescent Schiff base

sensors are instability and poor water solubility in an aqueous medium. Except for sensors 2, 8, 11, 22, 35, 45, 58, 84, 112, 117, 118, and 158, the optical properties of Schiff base sensors were studied in either H2O-DMF/DMSO/THF/CH3CN/ethanol/methanol buffered binary mixtures or in CH₃CN, ethanol, methanol solutions (Table 2), thus limiting their application in biological and environmental systems. Second, from Table 2 we can conclude that most of the work has been done on the detection of Al³⁺, Cu²⁺, and Zn²⁺, and insufficient literature is available for other important and toxic metal ions. Third, Schiff base sensors can be applied for fluorescence-based speciation of metal ions such as Fe²⁺/Fe³⁺, As³⁺/As⁵⁺, Cr³⁺/Cr⁴⁺, etc. Fourth, the selectivity and sensitivity of the Schiff base sensor need to be improved. Fifth, although there are several practical applications of Schiff base sensors, so far there have been no available well known commercial applications because of the poor stability (as Schiff bases are prone to hydrolysis, the carbonyl and amine groups might be regenerated on hydrolysis), pH sensitivity, and decrease in fluorescence of Schiff base sensors with time. Therefore, the prototype should be made for the commercialization of these techniques. This will be useful for diagnostic purposes and pollutant detection on-site. Sixth, the majority of the developed sensors are based on one-photon excitation and few reports are available for two-photon fluorescent sensors. In comparison, two-photon fluorescent probes offer several advantages over conventional one-photon fluorescent probes such as decreased photo-damage to biological samples, deeper penetration into cells, tissues, and organelles, and minimization of background interference. Therefore, future efforts should be on the development of two-photon fluorescent sensors.

Although fluorescent-based Schiff base sensors encounter many challenges in terms of both exploratory and practical application, by taking into consideration the above-mentioned aspects in the sensor design and application-oriented research work, we believe that fluorescent Schiff base sensors can be utilized as important materials in the upcoming days and their commercial availability will be expected in the future.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

References

- 1 K. S. Egorova and V. P. Ananikov, *Organometallics*, 2017, **36**, 4071–4090.
- 2 J. K. Fawell, E. Ohanian, M. Giddings, P. Toft, Y. Magara and P. Jackson, *Inorganic Tin in Drinking-water WHO, Guidelines for Drinking-water Quality*, 2004.
- 3 F. S. Al-fartusie and S. N. Mohssan, *Indian J. Adv. Chem. Sci.*, 2017, 5, 127–136.
- 4 A. L. Berhanu, Gaurav, I. Mohiuddin, A. K. Malik, J. S. Aulakh, V. Kumar and K.-H. Kim, *Trends Anal. Chem.*, 2019, **116**, 74–91.

- 5 M. Kumar and A. Puri, *Indian J. Occup. Environ. Med.*, 2012, **16**, 40–44.
- 6 T. Gong, J. Liu, X. Liu, J. Liu, J. Xiang and Y. Wu, Food Chem., 2016, 213, 306-312.
- 7 J. Dalmieda and P. Kruse, Sensors, 2019, 19, 5134.
- 8 Y. Lu, X. Liang, C. Niyungeko, J. Zhou, J. Xu and G. Tian, *Talanta*, 2018, **178**, 324–338.
- 9 L. Cui, J. Wu and H. Ju, *Biosens. Bioelectron.*, 2015, 63, 276–286.
- 10 S. Sikdar and M. Kundu, ChemBioEng Rev., 2018, 5, 18–29.
- 11 M. H. Chua, H. Zhou, Q. Zhu, B. Z. Tang and J. W. Xu, *Mater. Chem. Front*, 2021, 5, 659–708.
- 12 J. Zhang, L. Xu and W. Wong, Coord. Chem. Rev., 2018, 355, 180–198.
- 13 S. Sandhu, R. Kumar, N. Tripathi, H. Singh, P. Singh and S. Kumar, Sens. Actuators, B, 2017, 241, 8–18.
- 14 N. Tripathi, P. Singh and S. Kumar, *New J. Chem.*, 2017, **41**, 8739–8747.
- 15 N. Tripathi and M. K. Goshisht, Aggregation of Luminophores in Supramolecular Systems: From Mechanisms to Applications, CRC Press, Taylor Fr., 2020, pp. 1–220.
- 16 N. Tripathi, R. Kumar, P. Singh and S. Kumar, Sens. Actuators, B, 2017, 246, 1001–1010.
- 17 M. K. Goshisht and N. Tripathi, *J. Mater. Chem. C*, 2021, 9, 9820–9850.
- 18 N. Tripathi, P. Singh, V. Luxami, D. Mahajan and S. Kumar, Sens. Actuators, B, 2018, 270, 552–561.
- 19 N. Tripathi, S. Sandhu, P. Singh, A. Mahajan, M. Kaur and S. Kumar, *Sens. Actuators, B*, 2016, **231**, 79–87.
- 20 P. Sabari and M. Ravikanth, J. Chem. Sci., 2021, 133, 59-67.
- 21 M. Sahu, A. K. Manna and G. K. Patra, *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, 2021, **517**, 120199.
- 22 X. Liu, X. Peng, F. Xu, L. Wang and M. Liu, *Russ. J. Gen. Chem.*, 2021, **91**, 1093–1098.
- 23 S. D. Pradeep, D. Sebastian, A. K. Gopalakrishnan, D. K. Manoharan, D. T. Madhusudhanan and P. V. Mohanan, *J. Fluoresc.*, 2021, **31**, 1113–1123.
- 24 Y. Xing, Z. Liu, B. Li, L. Li, X. Yang and G. Zhang, Sens. Actuators, B, 2021, 347, 130497.
- 25 M. Liu, H. Yang, D. Li, Q. Yao, H. Wang, Z. Zhang and J. Dou, *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, 2021, 522, 120384.
- 26 C. Pan, K. Wang, S. Ji, H. Wang, Z. Li, H. He, Y. Huo and Y. Huo, *RSC Adv.*, 2017, 7, 36007–36014.
- 27 J. Harathi and K. Thenmozhi, *Mater. Chem. Front*, 2020, 4, 1471–1482.
- 28 C. Chen, P. Hung, C. Wan and A. Wu, *Inorg. Chem. Commun.*, 2013, 38, 74–77.
- 29 X. Feng, Y. Li, X. He, H. Liu, Z. Zhao, R. T. K. Kwok, M. R. J. Elsegood, J. W. Y. Lam and B. Z. Tang, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2018, 28, 1802833.
- 30 A. Mukherjee and M. Chakravarty, *New J. Chem.*, 2020, 44, 6173–6181.
- 31 V. Kachwal, P. Alam, H. R. Yadav, S. S. Pasha, A. R. Choudhury and I. R. Laskar, *New J. Chem.*, 2018, 42, 1133–1140.
- 32 N. Chakraborty, A. Chakraborty and S. Das, *J. Lumin.*, 2018, **199**, 302–309.

- 33 J. Xiong, Z. Li, J. Tan, S. Ji, J. Sun, X. Li and Y. Huo, Analyst, 2018, 143, 4870–4886.
- 34 M. Shyamal, S. Maity, A. Maity, R. Maity, S. Roy and A. Misra, *Sens. Actuators*, B, 2018, 263, 347–359.
- 35 W. Chen, H. Xu, L. Ju and H. Lu, *Tetrahedron*, 2021, **88**, 132123.
- 36 J. Qin, T. Li, B. Wang, Z. Yang and L. Fan, Synth. Met., 2014, 195, 141–146.
- 37 R. Azadbakht and S. Rashidi, Spectrochim. Acta, Part A, 2014, 127, 329–334.
- 38 R. Bhaskar and S. Sarveswari, *ChemistrySelect*, 2020, 5, 4050–4057.
- 39 A. Kumar, S. Mondal, K. S. Kayshap, S. K. Hira, P. P. Manna, W. Dehaend and S. Dey, *New J. Chem.*, 2018, 42, 10983–10988.
- 40 Y. Wang, X. Hao, L. Liang, L. Gao, X. Ren, Y. Wu and H. Zhao, *RSC Adv.*, 2020, 10, 6109–6113.
- 41 Y. Wang, H. Wu, W. Wu, S. Li, Z. Xu, Z. Xu, Y. Fan, X. Zhao and B. Liu, *Sens. Actuators, B*, 2018, **260**, 106–115.
- 42 D. Aydin, S. Dinckan, S. Nihan, K. Elmas, T. Savran, F. N. Arslan and I. Yilmaz, *Food Chem.*, 2021, 337, 127659.
- 43 O. Alici and D. Aydin, *J. Photochem. Photobiol., A*, 2021, **404**, 112876.
- 44 H. Yu, J. Zhi, Z. Chang, T. Shen, W. Ding, X. Zhang and J. Wang, *Mater. Chem. Front.*, 2019, 3, 151–160.
- 45 Y. Yang, C. Gao, N. Zhang and D. Dong, *Sens. Actuators, B*, 2016, 222, 741–746.
- 46 H. Fang, G. Cai, Y. Hu and J. Zhang, *Chem. Commun.*, 2018, 54, 3045–3048.
- 47 H. Yao, J. Wang, Q. Zhou, X.-W. Guan, Y.-Q. Fan, Y.-M. Zhang, T.-B. Wei and Q. Lin, *Soft Matter*, 2018, 14, 8390–8394.
- 48 A. C. Sedgwick, L. Wu, H. H. Han, S. D. Bull, X. P. He, T. D. James, J. L. Sessler, B. Z. Tang, H. Tian and J. Yoon, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2018, 47, 8842–8880.
- 49 J. Zhao, S. Ji, Y. Chen, H. Guo and P. Yang, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2012, 14, 8803–8817.
- 50 S. Gupta and M. D. Milton, New J. Chem., 2018, 42, 2838-2849.
- 51 V. Kachwal, I. S. V. Krishna, L. Fageria, J. Chaudhary, R. K. Roy, R. Chowdhury and I. R. Laskar, *Analyst*, 2018, 143, 3741–3748.
- 52 Q. Yu, X. Zhang, S.-T. Wu, H. Chen, Q.-L. Zhang, H. Xu, Y.-L. Huang, B.-X. Zhu and X.-L. Ni, *Chem. Commun.*, 2020, 56, 2304–2307.
- 53 S. Sharma, S. Virk, P. Pradeep and A. Dhir, *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.*, 2017, 2457–2463.
- 54 A. Sen Gupta, K. Paul and V. Luxami, *Sens. Actuators, B*, 2017, **246**, 653–661.
- 55 Y. Jia and J. Li, Chem. Rev., 2015, 115, 1597-1621.
- 56 F. Faridbod, M. R. Ganjali, R. Dinarvand, P. Norouzi and S. Riahi, Sensors, 2008, 8, 1645–1703.
- 57 A. Q. Alorabi, M. Abdelbaset and S. A. Zabin, *Chemosensors*, 2020, **8**, 1.
- 58 M. J. Reimann, D. R. Salmon, J. T. Horton, E. C. Gier and L. R. Jefferies, ACS Omega, 2019, 4, 2874–2882.

- 59 A. Singh and P. Barman, Top. Curr. Chem., 2021, 379, 29.
- 60 W. Liping, J. Shibo, Z. Weifeng, L. I. U. Yunqi and Y. Gui, *Chinese Sci. Bull.*, 2013, 58, 2733–2740.
- 61 İ. Sıdır, Y. G. Sıdır, H. Berber and F. Demiray, J. Mol. Struct., 2019, 1176, 31–46.
- 62 P. H. A. Nayak, H. S. B. Naik, H. B. Teja, B. R. Kirthan, R. Viswanath, P. H. A. Nayak, H. S. B. Naik, H. B. Teja, B. R. Kirthan and R. Viswanath, *Mol. Cryst. Liq. Cryst.*, 2021, 1–7.
- 63 A. Sakthivel, K. Jeyasubramanian, B. Thangagiri and J. D. Raja, *J. Mol. Struct.*, 2020, **1222**, 128885.
- 64 S. K. Patil and D. Das, ChemistrySelect, 2017, 2, 6178-6186.
- 65 M. T. Kaczmarek, M. Zabiszak, M. Nowak and R. Jastrzab, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 2018, **370**, 42–54.
- 66 D. Udhayakumari and V. Inbaraj, J. Fluoresc., 2020, 30, 1203-1223.
- 67 S. Shekhar, A. M. Khan, S. Sharma, B. Sharma and A. Sarkar, *Emergent Mater.*, 2021, DOI: 10.1007/s42247-021-00234-1.
- 68 M. Kaur, S. Kumar, M Yusuf, J. Lee, R. J. C. Brown, K.-H. Kim and A. K. Malika, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 2021, 449, 214214.
- 69 H. Wan, Q. Xu, P. Gu, H. Li, D. Chen, N. Li, J. He and J. Lu, J. Hazard. Mater., 2021, 403, 123656.
- 70 C. C. Vidyasagar, B. M. Muñoz Flores, V. M. Jiménez-Pérez and P. M. Gurubasavaraj, *Mater. Today Chem.*, 2019, 11, 133–155.
- 71 C. Immanuel David, G. Prabakaran and R. Nandhakumar, *Microchem. J.*, 2021, **169**, 106590.
- 72 W.-N. Wu, P.-D. Mao, Y. Wang, X.-J. Mao, Z.-Q. Xu, Z.-H. Xu, X.-L. Zhao, Y.-C. Fan and X.-F. Hou, *Sens. Actuators, B*, 2018, **258**, 393–401.
- 73 P. Singh, H. Singh, V. Vanita and R. Sharma, *ChemistrySelect*, 2016, 1, 6880–6887.
- 74 W. Dong, S. F. Akogun, Y. Zhang, Y. Sun and X. Dong, *Sens. Actuators, B*, 2017, **238**, 723–734.
- 75 K. Rout, A. K. Manna, M. Sahu, J. Mondal, S. K. Singh and G. K. Patra, *RSC Adv.*, 2019, 9, 25919–25931.
- 76 M. Pannipara, A. G. Al-Sehemi, A. Kalam, A. M Asiri and M. N. Arshad, *Spectrochim. Acta, Part A*, 2017, 183, 84–89.
- 77 S. Bhardwaj, N. Maurya and A. K. Singh, Sens. Actuators, B, 2018, 260, 753–762.
- 78 J. Yang, J. Chai, B. Yang and B. Liu, Spectrochim. Acta, Part A, 2019, 211, 272–279.
- 79 P. Puri, G. Kumar, K. Paul and V. Luxami, New J. Chem., 2018, 42, 18550–18558.
- 80 A. Abbasi and M. Shakir, New J. Chem., 2018, 42, 293-300.
- 81 T. Hu, L. Wang, J. Li, Y. Zhao, J. Cheng, W. Li, Z. Chang and C. Sun, *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, 2021, **524**, 120421.
- 82 G. Wang, J. Qin, L. Fan, C. Li and Z. Yang, J. Photochem. Photobiol., A, 2016, 314, 29–34.
- 83 S. M. Saleh and R. F. M. Elshaarawy, RSC Adv., 2016, 6, 68709–68718.
- 84 H. Wang, X. Xu, J. Yin, Z. Zhang and L. Xue, *ChemistrySelect*, 2021, 6, 1–7.
- 85 Y.-J. Chang, S.-S. Wu, C.-H. Hu, C. Cho, M. X. Kao and A.-T. Wu, *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, 2015, 432, 25–31.

- 86 S. M. Hossain, K. Singh, A. Lakma, R. N. Pradhan and A. K. Singh, *Sens. Actuators*, *B*, 2017, 239, 1109–1117.
- 87 C. Li, J. Qin, B. Wang, L. Fan, J. Yan and Z. Yang, J. Fluoresc., 2016, 26, 345–353.
- 88 C. Li, J. Qin, G. Wang, B. Wang, A. Fu and Z. Yang, *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, 2015, **430**, 91–95.
- 89 C. Liu, Z. Yang, L. Fan, X. Jin, J. An, X. Cheng and B. Wang, *J. Lumin.*, 2013, **158**, 172–175.
- 90 L. Liu and Z. Yang, Inorg. Chim. Acta, 2018, 469, 588-592.
- 91 J. Qin and Z. Yang, J. Photochem. Photobiol., A, 2015, 303-304, 99-104.
- 92 J.-C. Qin, X. Cheng, K. Yu, R. Fang, M. Wang and Z. Yang, Anal. Methods, 2015, 7, 6799–6803.
- 93 W. Ruo, J. Guang-qi and L. Xiao-hong, *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, 2017, 455, 247–253.
- 94 S. K. Shoora, A. K. Jain and V. K. Gupta, Sens. Actuators, B, 2015, 216, 86–104.
- 95 S. J. Zhang, H. Li, C. L. Gong, Z. J. Wang, Z. Y. Wu and F. Wang, Synth. Met., 2016, 217, 37–42.
- 96 M. Kumar, A. Kumar, S. Kishor, S. Kumar, N. Manav, A. K. Bhagi, S. Kumar and R. P. John, *J. Mol. Struct.*, 2022, **1247**, 131257.
- 97 M. Wang, L. Lu, W. Song, X. Wang, T. Sun, J. Zhu and J. Wang, J. Lumin., 2021, 233, 117911.
- 98 F. Kolcu, D. Erdener and İ. Kaya, Synth. Met., 2021, 272, 116668.
- 99 G. B. Chalmardi, M. Tajbakhsh, A. Bekhradnia and R. Hosseinzadeh, *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, 2017, **462**, 241–248.
- 100 G. Babaei, M. Tajbakhsh, N. Hasani and A. Bekhradnia, *Tetrahedron*, 2018, **74**, 2251–2260.
- 101 Y. He, J. Yin and G. Wang, Chem. Heterocycl. Compd., 2018, 54, 146–152.
- 102 B. Li, D. Zhang and F. Tian, *Luminescence*, 2017, 32, 1567–1573.
- 103 S. Santhoshkumar, K. Velmurugan, J. Prabhu, G. Radhakrishnan and R. Nandhakumar, *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, 2016, 439, 1–7.
- 104 B. Zhao, T. Liu, Y. Fang, L. Wang, B. Song and Q. Deng, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2016, 57, 4417–4423.
- 105 J. Zhang, Y. Liu, Q. Fei, H. Shan, F. Chen, Q. Liu, G. Chai,
 G. Feng and Y. Huan, *Sens. Actuators, B*, 2017, 239, 203–210.
- 106 S. Dalbera, S. Kulovi and S. Dalai, *ChemistrySelect*, 2018, 3, 6561–6569.
- 107 S. Carlos, M. C. Nunes, L. De Boni, G. S. Machado and F. S. Nunes, *J. Photochem. Photobiol.*, A, 2017, 348, 41–46.
- 108 Y. Fu, C. Fan, G. Liu and S. Pu, *Sens. Actuators, B*, 2017, **239**, 295–303.
- 109 J. Kumar, P. K. Bhattacharyya and D. Kumar, *Spectrochim. Acta, Part A*, 2015, **138**, 99–104.
- 110 F. N. Moghadam, M. Amirnasr, S. Meghdadi, K. Eskandari,
 A. Buchholz and W. Plass, *Spectrochim. Acta, Part A*, 2019,
 207, 6–15.
- 111 P. Torawane, S. K. Sahoo, A. Borse and A. Kuwar, *Luminescence*, 2017, **32**, 1426–1430.
- 112 X. Wang, T. Xu and H. Duan, *Sens. Actuators, B*, 2015, **214**, 138–143.

- 113 J. Yin, Q. Bing, L. Wang and G. Wang, *Spectrochim. Acta, Part A*, 2018, **189**, 495–501.
- 114 M. Gao, C. Xing, X. Jiang, L. Xu, P. Li and C. Der Hsiao, *Luminescence*, 2021, **36**, 951–957.
- 115 J. Zhu, Y. Zhang, Y. Chen, T. Sun, Y. Tang, Y. Huang, Q. Yang, D. Ma, Y. Wang and M. Wang, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2017, **58**, 365–370.
- 116 M. Kumar, A. Kumar, M. K. Singh, S. K. Sahu and R. P. John, *Sens. Actuators, B*, 2017, **241**, 1218–1223.
- 117 C. Liu, Q. Zhou, Y. Li, L. V. Garner, S. P. Watkins, L. J. Carter, J. Smoot, A. C. Gregg, A. D. Daniels, S. Jervey and D. Albaiu, *ACS Cent. Sci.*, 2020, **6**, 315–331.
- 118 J. Yan, L. Fan, J. Qin, C. Li and Z. Yang, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2016, **57**, 2910–2914.
- 119 S. O. Tümay, ChemistrySelect, 2021, 6, 10561-10572.
- 120 F. Lei, W. Shi, J. Ma, Y. Chen, F. Kui, Y. Hui and Z. Xie, Sens. Actuators, B, 2016, 237, 563-569.
- 121 D. Singhal, N. Gupta and A. K. Singh, *RSC Adv.*, 2015, 5, 65731–65738.
- 122 Q. Su, Q. Niu, T. Sun and T. Li, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2016, 57, 4297–4301.
- 123 V. Tekuri, S. K. Sahoo and D. R. Trivedi, *Spectrochim. Acta, Part A*, 2019, **218**, 19–26.
- 124 X. Wan, H. Ke, J. Tang and G. Yang, *Talanta*, 2019, **199**, 8-13.
- 125 D. Mohanasundaram, R. Bhaskar, G. Gangatharan, V. Kumar, J. Rajesh and G. Rajagopal, *Microchem. J.*, 2021, **164**, 106030.
- 126 H. H. Hammud, S. El, G. Sonji, N. Sonji and K. H. Bouhadir, *Spectrochim. Acta, Part A*, 2015, 150, 94–103.
- 127 M. Saleem, C. H. Khang and K. H. Lee, *J. Fluoresc.*, 2016, **26**, 11–22.
- 128 Y. Sie, C. Wan and A. Wu, RSC Adv., 2017, 7, 2460–2465.
- 129 T. Simon, M. Shellaiah, V. Srinivasadesikan, C.-C. Lin, F.-H. Ko, K. W. Sun and M.-C. Lin, *Sens. Actuators, B*, 2016, 231, 18–29.
- 130 X. Tang, J. Han, Y. Wang, L. Ni, X. Bao, L. Wang and W. Zhang, Spectrochim. Acta, Part A, 2017, 173, 721–726.
- 131 S. Zhang, X. Wu, Q. Niu, Z. Guo and T. Li, *J. Fluoresc.*, 2017, 27, 729–737.
- 132 X. Zhu, Y. Duan, P. Li, H. Fan, T. Han and X. Huang, *Anal. Methods*, 2019, **11**, 642–647.
- 133 J. Xue, L. Tian and Z. Yang, *J. Photochem. Photobiol., A*, 2019, **369**, 77–84.
- 134 S. Y. Lee, K. H. Bok, T. G. Jo, S. Y. Kim and C. Kim, *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, 2017, **461**, 127–135.
- 135 Y. Dong, R. Fan, W. Chen, P. Wang and Y. Yang, *Dalton Trans.*, 2017, **46**, 6769–6775.
- M. Tajbakhsh, G. B. Chalmardi, A. Bekhradnia, R. Hosseinzadeh, N. Hasani and M. A. Amiri, *Spectrochim. Acta, Part A*, 2018, 189, 22–31.
- 137 S. Zhang, Q. Niu, L. Lan and T. Li, Sens. Actuators, B, 2017, 240, 793–800.
- 138 W. Zhu, L. Yang, M. Fang, Z. Wu, Q. Zhang and F. Yin, J. Lumin., 2015, 158, 38-43.

- 139 J. Fu, B. Li, H. Mei, Y. Chang and K. Xu, *Spectrochim. Acta, Part A*, 2020, **227**, 117678.
- 140 A. Gomathi, P. Viswanathamurthi and K. Natarajan, J. Photochem. Photobiol., A, 2019, **370**, 75–83.
- 141 J. Qiu, S. Jiang, B. Lin, H. Guo and F. Yang, *Dyes Pigm.*, 2019, **170**, 107590.
- 142 Y. Xu, H. Wang, J. Zhao, X. Yang, M. Pei, G. Zhang,
 Y. Zhang and L. Lin, *J. Photochem. Photobiol.*, A, 2019,
 383, 112026.
- 143 Z.-G. Wang, X.-J. Ding, Y.-Y. Huang, X.-J. Yan, B. Ding, Q. Z. Li, C.-Z. Xie and J.-Y. Xu, *Dyes Pigm.*, 2020, 175, 108156.
- 144 C. Patra, C. Sen, A. Das Mahapatra, D. Chattopadhyay,
 A. Mahapatra and C. Sinha, *J. Photochem. Photobiol., A*, 2017, 341, 97–107.
- 145 R. Mehta, P. Kaur, D. Choudhury, K. Paul and V. Luxami, *J. Photochem. Photobiol.*, A, 2019, **380**, 111851.
- 146 I. Kaur, P. Kaur and K. Singh, *Sens. Actuators, B*, 2018, 257, 1083–1092.
- 147 S. K. Sheet, B. Sen, R. Thounaojam, K. Aguan and S. Khatua, J. Photochem. Photobiol., A, 2017, 332, 101–111.
- 148 Y. Mu, C. Zhang, Z. Gao, X. Zhang, Q. Lu, J. Yao and S. Xing, Synth. Met., 2020, 262, 116334.
- 149 Z. Salarvand, M. Amirnasr and S. Meghdadi, *J. Lumin.*, 2019, **207**, 78–84.
- 150 A. Saravanan, G. Subashini, S. Shyamsivappan, T. Suresh,
 K. Kadirvelu, N. Bhuvanesh, R. Nandhakumar and
 P. S. Mohan, *J. Photochem. Photobiol.*, A, 2018, 364, 424–432.
- 151 A. K. Bhanja, C. Patra, S. Mondal, D. Ojha, D. Chattopadhyay and C. Sinha, *RSC Adv.*, 2015, **5**, 48997–49005.
- 152 X. Chen, W. Sun, Y. Bai, F. Zhang, J. Zhao and X. Ding, Spectrochim. Acta, Part A, 2018, **191**, 566–572.
- 153 S. Feng, Q. Gao, X. Gao, J. Yin and Y. Jiao, *Inorg. Chem. Commun.*, 2019, **102**, 51–56.
- 154 L. Hu, H. Wang, B. Fang, Z. Hu, Q. Zhang, X. Tian,
 H. Zhou, J. Wu and Y. Tian, *Sens. Actuators, B*, 2017, 251, 993–1000.
- 155 Y. Huang, F. Wang, S. Mu, X. Sun, Q. Li, C. Xie and H. Liu, *Spectrochim. Acta, Part A*, 2020, **243**, 118754.
- 156 Y. Jiao, X. Liu, L. Zhou, H. He, P. Zhou and C. Duan, *Sens. Actuators, B*, 2017, **247**, 950–956.
- 157 Z. Li, H. Su, K. Zhou, B. Yang, T. Xiao, X. Sun, J. Jiang and L. Wang, *Dyes Pigm.*, 2018, **149**, 921–926.
- 158 B. Liu, P. Wang, J. Chai, X. Hu, T. Gao, J. Chao, T. Chen and B. Yang, *Spectrochim. Acta, Part A*, 2016, **168**, 98–103.
- 159 B. Naskar, R. Modak, Y. Sikdar, D. K. Maiti, A. Bauzá, A. Frontera, A. Katarkar, K. Chaudhuri and S. Goswami, *Sens. Actuators, B*, 2017, 239, 1194–1204.
- 160 C. Patra, A. K. Bhanja, C. Sen, D. Ojha, D. Chattopadhyay, A. Mahapatra and C. Sinha, *Sens. Actuators, B*, 2016, 228, 287–294.
- 161 M. Shellaiah, Y. C. Rajan, P. Balu and A. Murugan, *New J. Chem.*, 2015, **39**, 2523–2531.
- 162 T. Simon, M. Shellaiah, V. Srinivasadesikan, C.-C. Lin, F.-H. Ko, K. W. Sun and M.-C. Lin, *New J. Chem.*, 2016, 40, 6101–6108.

- 163 J. Tian, X. Yan, H. Yang and F. Tian, *RSC Adv.*, 2015, 5, 107012–107019.
- 164 H. Tian, X. Qiao, Z. Zhang, C. Xie, Q. Li and J. Xu, *Spectrochim. Acta, Part A*, 2019, **207**, 31–38.
- 165 E. Wang, L. Pang, Y. Zhou, J. Zhang, F. Yu, H. Qiao and X. Pang, *Biosens. Bioelectron.*, 2016, 77, 812–817.
- 166 F.-Ur-Rahman, A. Ali, R. Guo, J. Tian, H. Wang, Z.-T. Li and D.-W. Zhang, *Sens. Actuators, B*, 2015, **211**, 544–550.
- 167 Y. Yang, S. Ma, Y. Zhang, J. Ru, X. Liu and H. Guo, Spectrochim. Acta, Part A, 2018, 199, 202–208.
- 168 J. Zhu, L. Lu, M. Wang, T. Sun, Y. Huang, C. Wang, W. Bao, M. Wang, F. Zou and Y. Tang, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2020, 61, 151893.
- 169 L. Subha, C. Balakrishnan, S. Natarajan, M. Theetharappan,
 B. Subramanian and M. A. Neelakantan, *Spectrochim. Acta, Part A*, 2016, 153, 249–256.
- 170 B. K. Kundu, P. Mandal, B. G. Mukhopadhyay, R. Tiwari, D. Nayak, R. Ganguly and S. Mukhopadhyay, *Sens. Actuators, B*, 2019, 282, 347–358.
- 171 A. Ghorai, J. Mondal, R. Saha, S. Bhattacharya and G. K. Patra, *Anal. Methods*, 2016, **8**, 2032–2040.
- 172 J. Kumar, M. J. Sarma, P. Phukan and D. K. Das, *Dalton Trans.*, 2015, 44, 4576–4581.
- 173 A. Ghorai, J. Mondal, R. Chandra and G. K. Patra, *Dalton Trans.*, 2015, **44**, 13261–13271.
- 174 M. Liu, H. Yang, D. Li, Q. Yao, H. Wang, Z. Zhang and J. Dou, *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, 2021, **522**, 120384.
- 175 C. Liu, X. Liu, X. Ge, Q. Wang, L. Zhang, W. Shang, Y. Zhang, X. A. Yuan, L. Tian, Z. Liu and J. You, *Dalton Trans.*, 2020, **49**, 5988–5998.
- 176 N. H. Kim, J. Lee, S. Park, J. Jung and D. Kim, *Sensors*, 2019, **19**, 2500.
- 177 K. S. M. Salih, A. M. Shraim, S. R. Al-Mhini, R. E. Al-Soufi and I. Warad, *Emergent Mater.*, 2021, 4, 423–434.
- 178 S. Xue, Z. Xie, Y. Wen, J. He, Y. Liu and W. Shi, *ChemistrySelect*, 2021, **6**, 7123–7129.
- 179 H. Peng, Y. Han, N. Lin and H. Liu, *Opt. Mater.*, 2019, 95, 109210.
- 180 Z. Guo, Q. Niu, T. Li, T. Sun and H. Chi, Spectrochim. Acta, Part A, 2019, 213, 97–103.
- 181 L. Kang, Y.-T. Liu, N.-N. Li, Q.-X. Dang, Z.-Y. Xing, J.-L. Li and Y. Zhang, *J. Lumin.*, 2017, **186**, 48–52.
- 182 M. Kao, T. Chen, Y. Cai, C. Hu, Y. Liu, Y. Jhong and A. Wu, J. Lumin., 2016, 169, 156–160.
- 183 X. Zhang, L. Shen, Q. Zhang, X. Yang, Y. Huang, C. Redshaw and H. Xu, *Molecules*, 2021, 26, 1233.
- 184 H. Peng, K. Shen, S. Mao, X. Shi, Y. Xu, S. O. Aderinto and H. Wu, *J. Fluoresc.*, 2017, 27, 1191–1200.
- 185 K. Shen, S. Mao, X. Shi, F. Wang, Y. Xu, S. O. Aderinto and H. Wu, *Luminescence*, 2018, **33**, 54–63.
- 186 J. Zhu, Y. Zhang, L. Wang, T. Sun, M. Wang, Y. Wang, D. Ma, Q. Yang and Y. Tang, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2016, 57, 3535–3539.
- 187 H. Sun, Y. Jiang, J. Nie, J. Wei, B. Miao, Y. Zhao, L. Zhang and Z. Ni, *Mater. Chem. Front.*, 2021, 5, 347–354.

- 188 H. Sun, J. Li, F. Han, R. Zhang, Y. Zhao, B. Miao and Z. Ni, Dyes Pigm., 2019, 167, 143–150.
- 189 X. Yang, W. Zhang, Z. Yi, H. Xu, J. Wei and L. Hao, New J. Chem., 2017, 41, 11079–11088.
- 190 M. Yang, Y. Zhang, W. Zhu, H. Wang, J. Huang, L. Cheng,
 H. Zhou, J. Wu and Y. Tia, *J. Mater. Chem. C*, 2015, 3, 1994–2002.
- 191 K. Muthamma, D. Sunil, P. Shetty, S. D. Kulkarni,
 P. J. Anand and D. Kekuda, *Prog. Org. Coat.*, 2021,
 161, 106463.
- 192 N. K. Gondia and S. K. Sharma, *Mater. Chem. Phys.*, 2019, 224, 314–319.
- 193 V. Nishal, D. Singh, R. K. Saini, V. Tanwar, S. Kadyan, R. Srivastava and P. S. Kadyan, *Cogent Chem.*, 2015, 1, 1079291.
- 194 A. N. Gusev, M. A. Kiskin, E. V. Braga, M. Chapran,
 G. Wiosna-Salyga, G. V. Baryshnikov, V. A. Minaeva,
 B. F. Minaev, K. Ivaniuk, P. Stakhira, H. Ågren and
 W. Linert, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2019, **123**, 11850–11859.
- 195 B. Das, A. Jana, A. Das, D. Chattopadhyay, A. Dhara, S. Mabhai and S. Dey, Spectrochim. Acta, Part A, 2019, 212, 222–231.
- 196 D. K. Das, J. Kumar, A. Bhowmick, P. K. Bhattacharyya and S. Banu, *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, 2017, **462**, 167–173.
- 197 V. K. Gupta, A. K. Jain and S. K. Shoora, *Sens. Actuators, B*, 2015, **219**, 218–231.
- 198 B. Naskar, R. Modak, Y. Sikdar, D. K. Maiti, A. Banik, T. K. Dangar, S. Mukhopadhyay, D. Mandal and S. Goswami, J. Photochem. Photobiol., A, 2016, 321, 99–109.
- 199 R. Purkait, S. Dey and C. Sinhaa, *New J. Chem.*, 2018, **42**, 16653–16665.
- 200 G. G. V. Kumar, M. P. Kesavan, M. Sankarganesh, K. Sakthipandi, J. Rajesh and G. Sivaraman, New J. Chem., 2018, 42, 2865–2873.
- 201 D. Jothi, S. K. Munusamy, S. Sawminathana and S. K. Iyer, *RSC Adv.*, 2021, **11**, 11338–11346.
- 202 Q. Lin, X. Jiang, X. Ma, J. Liu, H. Yao, Y. Zhang and T. Wei, *Sens. Actuators, B*, 2018, **272**, 139–145.
- 203 X. Ma, Z. Zhang, H. Xie, Y. Ma, C. Liu, S. Liu and M. Liu, *Chem. Commun.*, 2018, **54**, 13674–13677.
- 204 S. K. Panigrahi and A. K. Mishra, J. Photochem. Photobiol., A, 2019, 41, 100318.
- 205 H. Rubin, Arch. Biochem. Biophys., 2007, 458, 16-23.
- 206 R. Y. Walder, B. Yang, J. B. Stokes, P. A. Kirby, X. Cao, P. Shi, C. C. Searby, R. F. Husted and V. C. Sheffield, *Hum. Mol. Genet.*, 2009, **18**, 4367–4375.
- 207 M. Piskacek, L. Zotova, G. Zsurka and R. J. Schweyen, J. Cell. Mol. Med., 2009, 13, 693–700.
- 208 J. Tfelt-Hansen and E. M. Brown, *Crit. Rev. Clin. Lab. Sci.*, 2005, **42**, 35–70.
- 209 S. Minisola, J. Pepe, S. Piemonte and C. Cipriani, *BMJ*, 2015, **350**, 2723.
- 210 J. Fong and A. Khan, Can. Fam. Phys., 2012, 58, 158-62.
- 211 Y. Wang, Z. Y. Ma, D. L. Zhang, J. L. Deng, X. Chen, C. Z. Xie, X. Qiao, Q. Z. Li and J. Y. Xu, *Spectrochim. Acta, Part A*, 2018, **195**, 157–164.

- 212 H. Wang, X. Xu, J. Yin, Z. Zhang and L. Xue, *ChemistrySelect*, 2021, **6**, 6454–6459.
- 213 L. Fan, J. C. Qin, C. R. Li and Z. Y. Yang, *Spectrochim. Acta, Part A*, 2019, **218**, 342–347.
- 214 L. Bai, F. Tao, L. Li, A. Deng, C. Yan, G. Li and L. Wang, *Spectrochim. Acta, Part A*, 2019, **214**, 436–444.
- 215 W. Anbu Durai, A. Ramu and A. Dhakshinamoorthy, *Inorg. Chem. Commun.*, 2020, **121**, 108191.
- 216 L. Bai, G. Li, L. Li, M. Gao, H. Li, F. Tao, A. Deng, S. Wang and L. Wang, *React. Funct. Polym.*, 2019, **139**, 1–8.
- 217 L. Tomljenovic, J. Alzheimer's Dis., 2011, 23, 567-598.
- 218 A. Schiff-base, A. Iii, L. Fan, J. Qin, T. Li, Z. Yang, L. Fan, J. Qin, T. Li, B. Wang and Z. Yang, *J. Lumin.*, 2014, 155, 84–88.
- 219 K. S. Patil, P. G. Mahajan and S. R. Patil, *Spectrochim. Acta, Part A*, 2017, **170**, 131–137.
- 220 C. R. Silva, M. B. N. Oliveira, S. F. Melo, F. J. S. Dantas, J. C. P. De Mattos, R. J. A. C. Bezerra, A. Caldeira-De-Araujo, A. Duatti and M. Bernardo-Filho, *Brain Res. Bull.*, 2002, 59, 213–216.
- 221 R. Mcrae, P. Bagchi, S. Sumalekshmy and C. J. Fahrni, *Chem. Rev.*, 2009, **109**, 4780–4827.
- 222 Y. S. Yang, C. Liang, C. Yang, Y. P. Zhang, B. X. Wang and J. Liu, *Spectrochim. Acta, Part A*, 2020, **237**, 118391.
- 223 G. Singh, J. Sindhu, Manisha, V. Kumar, V. Sharma, S. K. Sharma, S. K. Mehta, M. H. Mahnashi, A. Umar and R. Kataria, *J. Mol. Liq.*, 2019, **296**, 111814.
- 224 R. Kouser, S. Zehra, R. A. Khan, A. Alsalme, F. Arjmand and S. Tabassum, *Spectrochim. Acta, Part A*, 2021, 247, 119156.
- 225 L. Dong, X. Zeng, L. Mu, S. Xue, Z. Tao and J. Zhang, *Sens. Actuators, B*, 2010, **145**, 433–437.
- 226 H. Chen, F. Yuan, J. Xu, Y. Zhang, Y. Wu and L. Wang, Spectrochim. Acta, Part A, 2013, 107, 151–155.
- 227 L. Wang, L. Yang and D. Cao, J. Fluoresc., 2014, 24, 1347–1355.
- 228 W. Bian, J. Ma, Q. Liu, Y. Wei, Y. Li, C. Dong and S. Shuang, *Luminescence*, 2014, **29**, 151–157.
- 229 S. Ramezani, M. Pordel and A. Davoodnia, *Inorg. Chim.* Acta, 2019, **484**, 450–456.
- P. Aussawaponpaisan, P. Nusuwan, P. Tongraung,
 P. Jittangprasert, K. Pumsa-Ard and M. Kuno, *Mater. Today Proc.*, 2017, 4, 6022–6030.
- 231 D. Vashisht, S. Sharma, R. Kumar, V. Saini, V. Saini, A. Ibhadon, S. C. Sahoo, S. Sharma, S. K. Mehta and R. Kataria, *Microchem. J.*, 2020, **155**, 104705.
- 232 X. Zhang, S. T. Wu, X. J. Yang, L. Y. Shen, Y. L. Huang, H. Xu, Q. L. Zhang, T. Sun, C. Redshaw and X. Feng, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2021, **60**, 8581–8591.
- 233 F. Yan, X. Sun, Y. Zhang, Y. Jiang, L. Chen, T. Ma and L. Chen, *J. Photochem. Photobiol.*, *A*, 2021, **407**, 113065.
- 234 S. Wang, K. Cai, Y. Song and Y. Zhu, *ChemistrySelect*, 2021, 6, 3788–3794.
- 235 W. Pan, X. Yang, Y. Wang, L. Wu, N. Liang and L. Zhao, J. Photochem. Photobiol., A, 2021, 420, 113506.
- 236 A. Goel, N. Tomer, V. D. Ghule and R. Malhotra, *Spectrochim. Acta, Part A*, 2021, **249**, 119221.

- 237 H. Li, H. Li, Y. Zhu, B. Shi, W. Qu, Y. Zhang, Q. Lin, H. Yao and T. Wei, *Supramol. Chem.*, 2014, **27**, 471–477.
- 238 M. Liu, T. Wei, Q. Lin and Y. Zhang, *Spectrochim. Acta, Part A*, 2011, **79**, 1837–1842.
- 239 L. M. Gaetke, H. S. Chow and C. K. Chow, Arch. Toxicol., 2014, 88, 1929–1938.
- 240 X. Wang, D. Shi, Y. Xu, S. Yu, F. Zhao, Y. Wang, L. Hu, J. Tian, X. Yu and L. Pu, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2018, 59, 2332–2334.
- 241 S. Ullmann, R. Schnorr, M. Handke, C. Laube, B. Abel, J. Matysik, M. Findeisen, R. Rüger, T. Heine and B. Kersting, *Chem. – Eur. J.*, 2017, 23, 3824–3827.
- 242 S. J. Malthus, S. A. Cameron and S. Brooker, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2018, **57**, 2480–2488.
- 243 K. Rout, A. K. Manna, M. Sahu and G. K. Patra, *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, 2019, **486**, 733–741.
- 244 X. Mu, L. Shi, L. Yan and N. Tang, J. Fluoresc., 2021, 31, 971-979.
- 245 C. T. Chasapis, A. C. Loutsidou, C. A. Spiliopoulou and M. E. Stefanidou, *Arch. Toxicol.*, 2012, **86**, 521–534.
- 246 C. F. Walker and R. E. Black, *Annu. Rev. Nutr.*, 2004, 24, 255–275.
- 247 Z. Yang, C. Yan, Y. Chen, C. Zhu, C. Zhang, X. Dong, W. Yang, Z. Guo, Y. Lub and W. He, *Dalton Trans.*, 2011, 40, 2173–2176.
- 248 M. Hosseini, A. Ghafarlo, M. R. Ganjali, F. Faridbod, P. Norouzi and M. S. Niasari, *Sens. Actuators, B*, 2014, 198, 411–415.
- 249 J. Afshani, A. Badiei, M. Karimi, N. Lashgari and G. Mohammadi Ziarani, *Appl. Organomet. Chem*, 2017, 31, 1–12.
- 250 W. Fang, G. Zhang, J. Chen, L. Kong, L. Yang, H. Bi and J. Yang, *Sens. Actuators, B*, 2016, **229**, 338–346.
- 251 G. N. George, R. C. Prince, G. A. Buttigieg, M. B. Denton, H. H. Harris and I. J. Pickering, *Chem. Res. Toxicol.*, 2004, 17, 999–1006.
- 252 J. Yan, L. Fan, J. C. Qin, C. R. Li and Z. Y. Yang, *J. Fluoresc.*, 2016, 26, 1059–1065.
- 253 D. Mohanasundaram, R. Bhaskar, G. Gangatharan Vinoth Kumar, J. Rajesh and G. Rajagopal, *Microchem. J.*, 2021, 164, 106030.
- 254 B. X. Shen and Y. Qian, ChemistrySelect, 2017, 2, 2406-2413.
- 255 H. Tokunaga, K. Kazama, M. Tsuboi and M. Miyasaka, Luminescence, 2021, 36, 1561-1568.
- 256 B. Li, Z. Liu, L. Li, Y. Xing, Y. Liu, X. Yang, M. Pei and G. Zhang, *New J. Chem.*, 2021, **45**, 6753–6759.
- 257 P. S. Hariharan and S. P. Anthony, *Spectrochim. Acta, Part A*, 2015, **136**, 1658–1665.
- 258 Ö. Şahin, Ü. Ö. Özdemir, N. Seferoğlu, Z. K. Genc, K. Kaya,
 B. Aydıner, S. Tekin and Z. Seferoğlu, *J. Photochem. Photobiol.*, *B*, 2018, 178, 428–439.
- 259 B. Kaur and N. Kaur, J. Coord. Chem., 2019, 72, 2189-2199.
- 260 N. Kaur and B. Kaur, *Inorg. Chem. Commun.*, 2020, **121**, 108239.
- 261 C. Li, L. Xiao, Q. Zhang and X. Cheng, *Spectrochim. Acta, Part A*, 2020, **243**, 118763.

- Review
- 262 E. K. İnal, J. Fluoresc., 2020, 30, 891-900.
- 263 S. Dey, R. Purkait, D. Mallick and C. Sinha, *ChemistrySelect*, 2020, **5**, 8274–8283.
- 264 P. Vijayakumar, E. Dhineshkumar, M. Arockia Doss,
 S. Nargis Negar and R. Renganathan, *Mater. Today Proc.*, 2020, 42, 1050–1064.
- 265 S. Li, D. Cao, X. Meng, Z. Hu, Z. Li, C. Yuan, T. Zhou,
 X. Han and W. Ma, *J. Photochem. Photobiol.*, A, 2020,
 392, 112427.
- 266 B. Li, X. Gu, M. Wang, X. Liu and K. Xu, *Dyes Pigm.*, 2021, 194, 109637.
- 267 S. A. Khan, Q. Ullah, A. S. A. Almalki, S. Kumar, R. J. Obaid, M. A. Alsharif, S. Y. Alfaifi and A. A. Hashmi, *J. Mol. Liq.*, 2021, **328**, 115407.
- 268 H. He, Z. Cheng and L. Zheng, J. Mol. Struct., 2021, 1227, 129522.
- 269 O. A. Urucu and A. Aydin, Anal. Lett., 2015, 48, 1767-1776.
- 270 E. S. Almeida, E. M. Richter and R. A. A. Munoz, *Anal. Chim. Acta*, 2014, **837**, 38-43.
- M. K. Goshisht, L. Moudgil, M. Rani, P. Khullar, G. Singh,
 H. Kumar, N. Singh, G. Kaur and M. S. Bakshi, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2014, 118, 28207–28219.
- 272 A. Mahal, M. K. Goshisht, P. Khullar, H. Kumar, N. Singh,
 G. Kaur and M. S. Bakshi, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2014,
 16, 14257–14270.
- 273 M. K. Goshisht, Adv. Mater. Proc, 2017, 2, 535-546.
- 274 P. Khullar, M. K. Goshisht, L. Moudgil, G. Singh, D. Mandial, H. Kumar, G. K. Ahluwalia and M. S. Bakshi, ACS Sustainable Chem. Eng., 2017, 5, 1082-1093.
- 275 M. K. Goshisht, L. Moudgil, P. Khullar, G. Singh, A. Kaura,
 H. Kumar, G. Kaur and M. S. Bakshi, ACS Sustainable Chem. Eng., 2015, 3, 3175–3187.
- 276 H. Dezhampanah, R. Firouzi, Z. Moradi Shoeili and R. Binazir, *J. Mol. Struct.*, 2020, **1205**, 127557.
- 277 M. Cui, Y. Xin, R. Song, Q. Sun, X. Wang and D. Lu, *Cellulose*, 2020, **27**, 1621–1633.

- 278 X. Zeng, X. Zhang, B. Zhu, H. Jia and J. Xue, *Analyst*, 2011, **136**, 4008–4012.
- 279 Y. Sun, Y. Lu, M. Bian, Z. Yang, X. Ma and W. Liu, *Eur. J. Med. Chem.*, 2021, 211, 113098.
- 280 S. Hazra, B. G. M. Rocha, A. K. M. Fátima, C. Guedes da Silva and A. J. L. Pombeiro, *Inorganics*, 2019, 7, 17.
- 281 A. Soroceanu, M. Cazacu, S. Shova, C. Turta, J. Kožíšek, M. Gall, M. Breza, P. Rapta, T. C. O. Mac Leod, J. T. Armando, J. L. Pombeiro, A. A. Dobrov and V. B. Arion, *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.*, 2013, 1458–1474.
- 282 Y. Wang, Q. Guo, X. Wu, H. Gao, R. Lu and W. Zhou, *Spectrochim. Acta, Part A*, 2022, **265**, 120358.
- 283 J. J. Celestina, P. Tharmaraj, C. D. Sheela and J. Shakina, *J. Lumin.*, 2021, **239**, 118359.
- 284 S. O. Tümay and S. Yeşilot, J. Photochem. Photobiol., A, 2021, 407, 113093.
- 285 D. Aydin, S. Dinckan, S. N. Karuk Elmas, T. Savran, F. N. Arslan and I. Yilmaz, *Food Chem.*, 2021, 337, 127659.
- Z. Li, X. Zhang, S. Wang, Y. Yang, B. Qin, K. Wang, T. Xie,
 Y. Wei and Y. Ji, *Chem. Sci.*, 2016, 7, 4741–4747.
- 287 D. Genovese, A. Aliprandi, E. A. Prasetyanto, M. Mauro, M. Hirtz, H. Fuchs, Y. Fujita, H. Uji-i, S. M. K. Lebedkin and L. De Cola, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2016, 26, 5271–5278.
- 288 C. Ma, B. Xu, G. Xie, J. He, X. Zhou, B. Peng, L. Jiang, B. Xu,
 W. Tian, Z. Chi, S. Liu, Y. Zhang and J. Xu, *Chem. Commun.*,
 2014, 50, 7374–7377.
- 289 B. Yoon, J. Lee, I. S. Park, S. Jeon, J. Lee and J.-M. Kim, J. Mater. Chem. C, 2013, 1, 2388–2403.
- 290 L. Peng, Y. Zheng, X. Wang, A. Tong and Y. Xiang, *Chem. Eur. J.*, 2015, **21**, 4326–4332.
- 291 K. Li, Y. Xiang, X. Wang, J. Li, R. Hu, A. Tong and B. Z. Tang, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2014, 136, 1643–1649.
- 292 Y. Jhong, W. H. Hsieh, J. Chir and A. Wu, *J. Fluoresc.*, 2014, 24, 1723–1726.
- 293 Z. Y. Yin, J. H. Hu, K. Gui, Q. Q. Fu, Y. Yao, F. L. Zhou,
 L. L. Ma and Z. P. Zhang, *J. Photochem. Photobiol.*, A, 2020,
 396, 112542.