Materials Advances

PAPER

Check for updates

Cite this: *Mater. Adv.*, 2022, **3**, 5882

Received 7th February 2022, Accepted 31st May 2022

DOI: 10.1039/d2ma00133k

rsc.li/materials-advances

1. Introduction

The release of pollutants into the environment as a result of industrialization and rapid development of agriculture and urbanization is becoming a great concern to humans.^{1,2} Heavy metals such as lead, cadmium, chromium, zinc and mercury, which are non-degradable and the most persistent pollutants in the environment, are the most critical since they seriously affect human health.^{3–5} Heavy metals can accumulate not only in the soil environment but also in plants and groundwater, which may cause adverse effects to the ecosystem safety and pose a threat to animals, plants, and humans.^{4,5}

Heavy metals can enter living organisms and the human body *via* the food chain leading to the slowing of the progression of physical, muscular, and neurological, degenerative processes and incremental of chronic diseases such as cancer.^{5,6} Contaminated air, ground water, soil, house dust, metal ores, industrial processes, contaminated food, herbal product food *via* food chain and inhalation are among numerous sources of heavy metal poisoning in humans.^{6,7}

Lead and cadmium are among the most toxic and hazardous heavy metals which cause adverse health effects including the dysfunction of different body organs such as the kidneys, brain, reproductive organs, intelligence and mental retardation in

Electrochemical detection of Pb(II) and Cd(II) using bismuth ferrite nanoparticle modified carbon paste electrodes

Yonas Beyene, 🕑 * Zelalem Bitew ២ and Fasika Fekade

This study presents bismuth ferrite nanoparticle (BFO) modified carbon paste electrodes (BFO/CPEs) for the determination of lead and cadmium. XRD and FT-IR spectroscopy were used to characterize the bismuth ferrite nanoparticles synthesized following the procedure described in the Experimental section. Cyclic voltammetry was used to evaluate the electrochemical behavior of the two metals at the modified electrode relative to the unmodified electrode. In contrast to the unmodified carbon paste electrode, the BFO/CPE within the potential range of -1.2 V to 0.0 V vs. Ag/AgCl revealed distinct and undistorted peaks, with a much enhanced peak current showing the electrocatalytic properties of films that may be accounted for the increased conductivity and surface area of the BFO. Under optimized conditions, the differential pulse stripping voltammetric peak current response of the BFO/CPE showed a linear dependence on the concentration in the range of 2–200 μ M with limits of detection of 0.03 μ M and 0.05 μ M for Pb(II) and Cd(II), respectively. Spike recovery results of 90.4–102.4% for Pb(II) validated the applicability of the method for the determination of Pb(II) and Cd(III) in tap water samples.

> humans.^{7,8} Various sources of lead exposure for pollution of the environment are anthropogenic activities including industrial emissions, lead paints, manufacturing of lead batteries, ceramic glazes, emissions of automobiles, mining processes, fertilizers, pesticides, and contaminated foods.^{7–9} Foods like fruits, vegetables, grains and wine may contain lead if the food plants grow on the contaminated land or with contaminated water.^{8,10}

> Cadmium is a toxic transition metal with several sources of human exposure including metal industries, production of batteries, stabilizers for plastics, coatings and platings, fertilizers and consumption of tobacco products.^{11,12} Cadmium, which accumulates primarily in the liver and kidneys and can enter our body by smoking, inhaling air, and through food or drink, has been designated as a human carcinogen according to the World Health Organization's International Agency for Research on Cancer and the United States National Toxicology Program.^{6,8,11} Cadmium exposure leads to glutathione depletion, inhibition of antioxidant enzymatic activity, osteoporosis, behavioral change, DNA damage, fertility disorders and occurrence of different cancers.9,12 The Itai-Itai disease, which softens the bones because of renal tubular dysfunction, is a well-known health hazard induced by chronic cadmium poisoning.¹³ Hence, a cheap, sensitive, selective, and environmentally friendly method to monitor the amount of lead and cadmium in different matrices related to human beings is crucial.



View Article Online

Department of Chemistry, College of Science, Bahir Dar University, Bahir Dar, Ethiopia. E-mail: yonasb94@gmail.com

Several analytical methods including atomic absorption spectroscopy,14,15 inductively coupled plasma-atomic emission spectrometry,^{16,17} and inductively coupled plasma-optical emission spectrometry^{18,19} are among the reported methods for the determination of lead and cadmium in various sample matrices. However, these methods require laborious sample preparation, advanced expertise, high operational costs and long analysis time.^{20,21} In contrast to these methods, electrochemical methods based on chemically modified electrodes have recently gained attention due to their advantages including higher sensitivity, higher selectivity, low cost, short response time, suitability for on-site detection and friendly to the environment.²²⁻²⁵ Among various electrochemical techniques, stripping voltammetric analysis has been widely applied for the quantification of heavy metal ions owing to its efficient accumulation step and measurements of pre-concentrated target ions in the stripping step.²⁶

In recent years, chemically modified electrodes have been used strategically to improve the conductivity, selectivity, sensitivity, stability and surface area of the working electrode.^{21,27–29} Carbon paste electrodes (CPEs) have recently attracted a lot of attention due to their benefits such as low background current, easy preparation, quick surface renewal and bulk modification capability.³⁰ Chemically modified CPEs have been used in stripping analyses to improve electrochemical responses because of these advantages.²¹

Different chemically modified electrodes based on polyamidoamine dendrimer functionalized iron oxide nanoparticles/ CPE,²¹ graphene/gold nanoparticles/modified L-cysteine nanocomposite/GCE,²³ Fe₃O₄/Bi₂O₃/C₃N₄ nanocomposite/GCE,²⁷ engineered MWCNTs/GCE,³¹ Bi/MWCNT-emeraldine base polyaniline-Nafion composite/GCE,³² BiOCl/MWNT/GCE,³³ and bismuth nanoparticles/GCE³⁴ are among the electrode materials reported for the simultaneous determination of lead and cadmium in different matrices.

Bismuth modified electrodes have been developed as a successful replacement for toxic mercury electrodes in the determination of trace heavy metals, due to their simple preparation, high sensitivity, longer deposition time, and the very low toxicity.^{25,32–34} To date, the research on bismuth-based electrodes is mainly focused on bismuth film coated electrodes including Nafion^{26,35,36} and multiwall carbon nanotube composites^{32,34} which have exhibited improved sensitivity, and reproducibility in the electrochemical determination of heavy metals. However, these materials are expensive for routine sample analysis. To overcome this limitation, the BFOCPE, which exhibits a new and cheaper approach, has been developed for the determination of heavy metal ions including lead and cadmium.

The introduction of nanoparticles onto the electrode surface led to the improved electrochemical performance of the electrode due to their increased surface area coupled with the enhanced mass transfer effect.^{34,37,38} Bismuth ferrite, BiFeO₃ (BFO), which shows strong ferroelectric and antiferromagnetic properties simultaneously, has attracted considerable attention in recent years.^{39–41} In this work, the synthesis of bismuth ferrite (Bi₂₄Fe₂O₃₉) nanoparticles, fabrication of the BFO/CPE, and characterization and electrochemical sensor application of the BFO/CPE for the electrochemical determination of lead and cadmium are reported. To the best of our knowledge, no work about such an electrode for electrochemical determination of lead and cadmium has been reported to date. The characterization of bismuth ferrite nanoparticles was studied by X-ray diffraction (XRD) and Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) spectroscopy analysis. The electrochemical behaviors of lead and cadmium at the BFO/CPE were studied by cyclic voltammetry. Finally, the electrochemical application of the sensor was verified by the analysis of lead and cadmium using differential pulse stripping voltammetry in water samples.

Materials and methods

2.1. Chemicals and apparatus

Bi(NO₃)₃·5H₂O, Fe(NO₃)₃·9H₂O (99%, Trust), ethylene glycol (99%, Alpha), citric acid (99.9%, SELAB), graphite powder (\geq 99.0%, Blulux laboratories (Pvt) Ltd), Pb(NO₃)₂ (99.5%, BDH), Cd(NO₃)₂·4H₂O (99.5%, BDH), glacial acetic acid (99.5%, Blulux laboratories (Pvt) Ltd), sodium acetate (98%, Blulux laboratories (Pvt) Ltd), sodium hydroxide (97%, Blulux laboratories (Pvt) Ltd), hydrogen chloride HCl (37%, Blulux laboratories (Pvt) Ltd) and distilled water were among the chemicals used. All the chemicals and reagents were of analytical grade and hence used without further purification.

The CHI 760E potentiostat (Austin, Texas, USA), Fourier transform infrared spectrometer (JASCO FT/IR-6600, Japan), X-ray diffractometer (MAXIma7000, SHIMADZU Corporation, Japan), pH meter (Adwa, Hungary), analytical electronic balance (Nimbus, ADAM equipment, USA), heating mantle (Hoven Labs, Korea), mortar and pestle, magnetic stirrer and hot plate (Daihan scientific, Korea) were among the equipment/ instruments used.

2.2. Procedures

2.2.1. Synthesis of bismuth ferrite nanoparticles (BFO). The sol-gel method, which is among the wet chemical methods, was used for the preparation of bismuth ferrite nanoparticles. 4.0386 g of $Bi(NO_3)_3 \cdot 5H_2O$ and 4.8499 g of $Fe(NO_3)_3 \cdot 5H_2O$ 9H₂O were initially dissolved in 400 ml of deionized water. Then, the solution was stirred for about half an hour to obtain a clear solution. Citric acid (3.882 g), which is used as the chelating agent, was added to the solution to complex the metal cations. The solution was then stirred and heated at 70-75 °C for 8-10 hours to form a transparent blackish-red sol; afterward, 100 ml of ethylene glycol was added to the solution as the polymerization agent. The resultant solution was heated at 70-75 °C to initiate the polymerization reaction and a few minutes later a gel was formed with vigorous boiling and fuming. The gel was dried at 100 °C for 24 hours in a functional oven to obtain a fluffy green xerogel. The xerogel sample was then calcined at 300 °C for 2 hours in a furnace. Finally, the powder was ground to obtain $Bi_{24}Fe_2O_{39}$ nanoparticles.

2.2.2. Preparation of the BFO/CPE. The unmodified carbon paste electrode was prepared following the reported procedure.⁴² Briefly, 1.0 g of graphite powder and 0.429 g of paraffin oil in a ratio of 70:30 (w/w) were mixed and homogenized thoroughly with a mortar and pestle for 40 min. The homogenized paste was packed into a Teflon tube and a copper wire was inserted on the opposite side of a Teflon tube to provide electrical contact. The BFO modified CPE was prepared by varying the mass of BFO added (15, 20, and 30 mg of BFO) and keeping the amount of the graphite powder and paraffin oil constant (1.0 and 0.429 g, respectively). The mixtures of graphite powder, paraffin, and varied amounts of BFO were homogenized for 40 min and left for further 24 h. Thus, three carbon paste electrodes modified with various amounts of BFO were prepared.

2.2.3. Preparation of the supporting electrolyte. Acetate buffer solution (ABS) was prepared by mixing an appropriate volume of equimolar (0.1 M) sodium acetate and acetic acid solution in deionized water. The required pH of the ABS was adjusted by the addition of 0.1 M HCl and NaOH solution.

2.2.4. Preparation of standard solutions. 10 mM Stock solutions of Cd(II) and Pb(II) were prepared separately by dissolving an appropriate mass of Cd(NO₃)₂·4H₂O and Pb(NO₃)₂ in pH 4.5 ABS. 1.0 mM Working solutions of Cd(II) and Pb(II) in pH 4.5 ABS were prepared from the stock solution by serial dilution. Moreover, three sets of the calibration standard solution of the analyzed metals (Cd(II) and Pb(II); both parallel varying concentrations (2–200 μ M), Cd(II) varying between 2 and 200 μ M while Pb(II) is kept at 40 μ M, and Pb(II) varying between 2 and 200 μ M while Cd(II) is kept at 40 μ M) were prepared from the stock solution using pH 4.5 ABS.

2.2.5. Real sample preparation. Tap water samples were collected from Bahir Dar University, Electroanalytical Chemistry Laboratory, Bahir Dar, Ethiopia. Lake Tana water samples were taken from Lake Tana, Bahir Dar, Ethiopia. All the water samples were analyzed directly without pretreatment. The tap and Lake Tana water samples were spiked with 5 or $10 \ \mu$ M Pb(π) for applicability evaluation. Before electrochemical measurements, the spiked tap and Lake Tana water sample solutions for Cd(π) and Pb(π) were diluted nine times with pH 4.5 ABS.

2.3. Electrochemical measurements

A three electrode system with Ag/AgCl (3.0 M KCl) as a reference electrode, Pt coil as a counter electrode, and an unmodified CPE or BFO/CPE as a working electrode was used for electrochemical measurements. X-ray diffraction and Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy were used to characterize the BFO thin film, whereas cyclic voltammetry was used to investigate the electrochemical behaviors of Cd(π) and Pb(π) at the surface of the BFO modified CPE. Furthermore, differential pulse stripping voltammetry was employed for the determination of Cd(π) and Pb(π) in tap water samples.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Characterization of BFO thin films

X-Ray diffraction (XRD) and Fourier transform infrared (FT-IR) spectroscopy were applied to characterize the BFO thin film synthesized following the procedure described in the Experimental section.

3.1.1. XRD analysis. The crystallographic structure of the BFO thin film was analyzed by X-ray diffraction with a SHI-MADZU D7000 diffractometer using CuK α radiation. Fig. 1 presents the well crystallized XRD pattern of the as-prepared BFO nanoparticles. Except for small peaks at around 25.4°, 31.5° and 37.7° which are attributed to the BiFeO₃ impurity secondary phase, the diffractive peaks at 28.4°, 33.1°, 46.1°, 47.0°, 56.0°, 58.3°, and 74.5° can be indexed to the (201), (220), (222), (400), (202), (421), (402), (421), and (423) facets of the tetragonal lattice of the Bi₂₄Fe₂O₃₉ phase, respectively.^{43,44} The spacing between the parallel planes of the synthesized crystalline structure of the bismuth ferrite nanoparticle was calculated using the Bragg's equation (eqn (1)) and was found to be in the range of 0.161–0.280 nm.

$$n_{\lambda} = 2d\sin\theta \tag{1}$$

The crystallite size was also calculated by the Debye–Scherer formula (eqn (2)) according to the (201) diffractive peak.

$$D = \frac{0.9\lambda}{\text{FWHM cos }\theta} \tag{2}$$

where *D* is the crystalline size, λ is the wavelength of the X-ray radiation, and the FWHM is the full width at half maximum in radians. Taking $\lambda = 0.15418$ nm, the average crystalline size of the sol–gel synthesized Bi₂₄Fe₂O₃₉ nanoparticles was calculated to be 30.78 nm.

3.1.2. FT-IR analysis. Further confirmation on the crystallographic structure of the BFO thin film was obtained from its FT-IR spectra. Fig. 2 shows the FT-IR spectra of the $Bi_{24}Fe_2O_{39}$



Fig. 1 XRD patterns of the synthesized ${\rm Bi}_{24}{\rm Fe}_2{\rm O}_{39}$ using the sol-gel route.



precursor formed by citric acid. As can be seen from Fig. 2, the appearance of a very intense and broad band at a frequency of 3450 cm⁻¹ confirmed the O-H stretching due to the -OH functional group corresponding to citric acid that serves as a complexing agent for the reaction. While a sharp and medium absorption band of C=O stretching at a frequency of 1637 cm⁻¹ signified the presence of the carbonyl functional group, a sharp strong absorption peak at 1386 cm⁻¹ assigned to the C-H bending and a medium broad band that is positioned at 1111 cm⁻¹ confirmed the C-O stretching.⁴⁵ Furthermore, a weak absorption peak at 877 cm⁻¹ due to the Bi-O stretching vibration and a strong sharp peak positioned at a frequency of 614 cm⁻¹ corresponds to the O-Fe-O stretching. Specifically, strong absorption peaks at 400–600 cm⁻¹ are attributed to the Fe-O stretching and bending which revealed the formation of BFO.⁶ Hence, the appearance of new peaks or peaks with different features in the mixture and precursor added could be taken as the confirmation of the formation of a new substance, Bi₂₄Fe₂O₃₉.

3.2. Electrochemical behavior of Pb(n) and Cd(n) at the BFO/CPE

The electrochemical behavior of Pb(II) and Cd(II) ions was evaluated using cyclic voltammetry at the surface of the unmodified CPE and BFO/CPE. To evaluate the sensitivity and selectivity of the BFO/CPE over the unmodified CPE towards the electrochemical reaction of both the analytes, the cyclic voltammograms of the 1.0 mM mixture of Cd(II) and Pb(II) in pH 4.5 ABS (Fig. 3) were recorded. As it can be seen from the figure (red curve), the redox couple peak current responses using the BFO/CPE within the potential range of -1.2 V to 0.0 V electrode *vs.* Ag/AgCl for both metals were distinct and welldefined peaks, indicating that the bismuth ferrite nanoparticles are responsible for the attractive electroanalytical detection behavior. On the other hand, for both metal analytes, small and weak peaks are observed at the bare CPE (black curve). The observed significant peak current enhancement



Fig. 3 Cyclic voltammograms of a mixture of 1.0 mM Cd(\parallel) and Pb(\parallel) in pH 4.5 ABS at the unmodified (black line) and BFO modified CPE (red line).

(*ca.* 4 times) and a significant decrease in over potential (*ca.* 193 mV) at the BFO/CPE indicated the electrocatalytic properties of the modifier that may be accounted for the increased conductivity and surface area of the BFO.

3.3. Effect of the scan rate on the peak potential and peak current

In order to investigate the reversibility and the types of reaction kinetics each metal undergoes at the modified carbon paste electrode, the influence of the scan rate (ν) on the oxidation peak current (I_{pa}) was evaluated. As illustrated in Fig. 4(a), both the oxidation and reduction peaks showed potential shifts for each metal with a scan rate indicating the irreversibility of the redox process. Moreover, a better correlation coefficient for the dependence of the peak current on the square root of the scan rate $(R^2 = 0.997 \text{ (Fig. 4(c))})$ than that on the scan rate $(R^2 = 0.975)$ (Fig. 4(b)) confirmed that the oxidation reaction of both metals at the BFO modified CPE was controlled by mass transfer.⁶ The plot of the log of the oxidative peak current versus the log of rate (Fig. 4(d)) with a slope value of 0.45 being close to the ideal value of a diffusion controlled reaction (0.5) confirmed the diffusion mass transport oxidation of both Pb²⁺ and Cd²⁺ at the BFO modified CPE.

3.4. Optimization of the amount of the BFO film in the carbon paste

The amount of BFO in the carbon paste had a significant influence on the voltammetric response of the modified electrode. Thus, the effect of the amount of the BFO film on the peak current response of the modified CPE for both the studied metals was checked. Three different carbon paste electrodes with various proportions of the BFO modifier (15, 20, and 30 mg) were prepared. Fig. 5 presents the cyclic voltammograms of a mixture of 1.0 mM Cd(π) and Pb(π) at the BFO/CPE loaded with various amounts of BFO. As illustrated in the inset



Fig. 4 (a) CVs of the BFO/CPE in pH 4.5 ABS containing a mixture of 1.0 mM Pb(μ) and Cd(μ) at various scan rates (10, 20, 40, 60, 80, 100, 125, 150, 200, 250 and 300 mV s⁻¹, respectively). Plots of the anodic peak current of lead as a function of (b) scan rate, (c) square root of the scan rate and (d) plot of log I_{pa} versus log rate.



Fig. 5 CVs of a 1.0 mM mixture of Pb(μ) and Cd(μ) in pH 4.5 ABS at the BFO/CPE with BFO of various amounts (15, 20 and 30 mg) per electrode. Inset: Plot of the anodic peak current vs. the BFO modifier.

of Fig. 5, the electrode modified with 15 mg of BFO revealed maximum anodic peaks for both the analytes. Hence, an

electrode containing 15 mg of BFO was applied for all the subsequent experiments.

3.5. Differential pulse stripping voltammetry for the determination of Pb(n) and Cd(n)

Differential pulse stripping voltammetry (DPSV) was applied for the simultaneous determination of Pb(π) and Cd(π) in the tap water sample because of its remarkably high sensitivity.⁴⁶

3.5.1. Optimization of deposition parameters

3.5.1.1. Deposition potential. As the method which was selected for the determination of the two metals in the effluent sample is stripping, the effects of deposition potential and accumulation time on the magnitude of the peak current were investigated.

The effect of deposition potential (E_{acc}) on the peak current was investigated by changing the potential from -0.9 V to -1.4 V keeping the deposition time at 60 s and the results are illustrated in Fig. 6a. As shown in Fig. 6a, the anodic peak current for both metal ions increase from an E_{acc} of -0.9 to -1.2 V and then decreased at a deposition potential beyond -1.2 V. Thus, the deposition potential, -1.2 V, was selected as the optimum potential for further experiments.



Fig. 6 Effects of (a) deposition potential and (b) deposition time on the DPSV peak current of a mixture of 1.0 mM Pb(μ) and Cd(μ) in pH 4.5 ABS at the BFO/CPE.

3.5.1.2. Accumulation time. The effect of the accumulation time (t_{acc}) on the oxidation peak current at the BFO/CPE was also investigated over the range of 30 s to 270 s keeping an accumulation potential at -1.2 V (Fig. 6b). As can be seen from the figure, the peak current increased with the increase of the pre-concentration time when the accumulation time was varied from 30 to 270 s. There was a rapid increment in the peak current from 30 to 180 s but a further increase of accumulation time results in a steady state accumulation level of the metal ions at the electrode surface. Therefore, 180 s was selected as the optimal deposition time for further analysis.

3.5.2. Calibration curve and method detection limit. The dependence of the peak current on the concentrations of $Cd(\pi)$ and $Pb(\pi)$ was evaluated under the optimized conditions by considering three different conditions: the variable concentration of both metal ions, $Cd(\pi)$ is varied by keeping the $Pb(\pi)$ constant, and $Pb(\pi)$ is varied by keeping the $Cd(\pi)$ constant to observe the presence of one on the determination of the other.

3.5.2.1. Both variable concentration calibration. The dependence of the peak current on the concentrations of $Cd(\pi)$ and





200

I (JuA)

Fig. 7 (a) Corrected curves for the blank DPSV of the BFO/CPE in PH 4.5 ABS containing different equimolar mixtures of Pb(II) and Cd(II) (2, 5, 10, 20, 40, 80, 120, 160, and 200 μ M, respectively) at E_{acc} –1.2 V and t_{acc} 180 s. (b) Calibration plot of the concentrations of Pb(II) (red line) and Cd(II) (blue line) vs. the peak current.

Pb(II) and the inherited sensitivity of the method was investigated under optimized conditions. Fig. 7a shows the back ground corrected DPSV curves of the equimolar various concentrations of Cd(II) and Pb(II) in pH 4.5 ABS at the BFO/CPE. As can be seen from Fig. 7b, the anodic peak current of both analytes showed a linear dependence on the concentration in the studied concentration range (2–200 μ M) with correlation coefficients (R^2) of 0.994 and 0.999 for Pb(II) and Cd(II), respectively. Moreover, detection limits for the simultaneous determination of Pb(II) and Cd(II) were found to be 0.03 μ M and 0.05 μ M, respectively.

3.5.2.2. Calibration curve of Pb(n) at a constant concentration of Cd(n). The influence of the presence of the constant amount of Cd(n) on the linear dependence of the anodic peak current of Pb(n) on its concentration was investigated. Fig. 8a presents the DPSV curves of various concentrations of Pb(n) while the concentration of Cd(n) was kept constant under the optimized conditions. The anodic peak current for Pb(n) showed a linear



Fig. 8 (a) Corrected curves for the blank DPSV of the BFO/CPE in pH 4.5 ABS containing 40 μ M Cd(II) and various concentrations of Pb(II) (2, 5, 10, 20, 40, 80, 120, 160, and 200 μ M, respectively) at an $E_{\rm acc}$ of -1.2 V and a $t_{\rm acc}$ of 180 s. (b) Calibration curve of Pb(II).

dependence in the range of 2–200 μ M with a LOD and R^2 of 0.03 μ M and 0.996, respectively (Fig. 8b). It is worth nothing that the values of the LOD of Pb(π) in the simultaneous and individual determination are similar. This result indicated the possibility for the determination of Pb(π) even in the presence of Cd(π) in real samples including the tap water.

3.5.2.3. Calibration curve of Cd(u) at a constant concentration of Pb(u). In order to investigate the effect of the presence of Pb(u) on the determination of Cd(u), the dependence of the anodic peak current response of the modified electrode on the concentration of Cd(u) in the presence of a fixed concentration of Pb(u) was recorded (Fig. 9a). The anodic peak current of Cd(u) increased linearly with concentration ($R^2 = 0.995$) whereas the anodic peak current for the constant concentration of Pb(u) remained constant. The LOD of Cd(u) is 0.06 µM, which is very close to that obtained in simultaneous determination confirming the possibility of determining Cd(u) even in the presence of Pb(u) in a sample like tap water (Fig. 9b).



Fig. 9 (a) Corrected curves for the blank DPSV of the BFO/CPE in pH 4.5 ABS containing 40 μ M Pb(μ) and various concentrations of Cd(μ) (2, 5, 10, 20, 40, 80, 120, 160, and 200 μ M, respectively) at an $E_{\rm acc}$ of -1.2 V and a $t_{\rm acc}$ of 180 s. (b) Calibration plot of Cd(μ).

3.6. Electrochemical mechanism for sensing $Pb(\pi)$ and $Cd(\pi)$

In the simultaneous detection of the metal ions, using DPSV, the electrochemical mechanism could be based on several occurrences. In the process, at the surface of bismuth ferrite, the modified CPE adsorption of metal ions *via* electrostatic attraction will occur. Certainly, with the role of the electronic vacancies of Pb(π) and Cd(π), a dative covalent bond with a tetragonal crystal structure⁴⁷ of Bi₂₄Fe₂O₃₉ can form. With a spacing distance between 0.161 and 0.280 nm of the synthesized nanoparticle, Pb(π) and Cd(π) having ionic radii of 0.15 nm and 0.095 nm,⁴⁸ respectively, will intercalate during the accumulation period. Later, during the voltammetric analysis, the metal ions will be released again to the electrolytic cell after stripping the metals.

3.7. Comparison of the present method with previously reported methods

The analytical performance of the proposed method was compared with several methods reported in the literature and the



Fig. 10 Schematic electrochemical mechanism for Pb(II) and Cd(II)ions.

 Table 1
 Comparison of the analytical performance of the proposed electrode (BFO/CPE) with the previously reported methods

		LOD (µM)		
Electrode	Method	Pb(II)	Cd(II)	Ref.
Fe ₃ O ₄ /Bi ₂ O ₃ /C ₃ N ₄ /GCE BiOCl/MWNT-GCE MnFe ₂ O ₄ @Cys/GCE Stainless steel electrode (Type 304) MWCNT/P1,5-DAN/Pt screen-printed bismuth oxide/GCE	SWASV SWASV SWASV SWASV SWASV SWASV	0.003 0.009 0.06 0.033 0.010 0.011	0.001 0.0356 0.221 0.23 0.0284 0.013	27 33 49 50 51 52
BFO/CPE	DPSV	0.03	0.05	This work

results are summarized in Table 1. The result showed that the limit of determination obtained in this study was comparable to those in the other previously reported methods.

3.8. Real sample analysis

The application and validity of the BFO/CPE for the determination of $Pb(\pi)$ and $Cd(\pi)$ were tested by applying it to determine the Pb(II) and Cd(II) contents in the tap water sample collected from the Electroanalytical Chemistry Laboratory, Bahir Dar University, and lake Tana water samples, Bahir Dar, Ethiopia. Tap and lake Tana water samples were prepared following the procedure in the Experimental section. Since the concentration of Pb(II) in these samples is very low, the samples were spiked with 5 or 10 μ M Pb(II) for the applicability evaluation. Here, $Pb(\pi)$ was selected, and the standard addition method was used in tap and lake Tana water samples for the determination of the $Pb(\pi)$ content by spiking with a known amount of $Pb(\pi)$. The DPSV responses of the tap and lake Tana water samples by spiking with a known amount of $Pb(\pi)$ and the corresponding calibration plot of peak currents against the Pb(II) concentration are illustrated in Fig. 11.

Moreover, in order to validate the applicability of the developed method for the determination of the amount of Pb(II), recovery studies were carried out by spiking a known amount of Pb(II) to the tap and lake Tana water samples. It can be seen



Fig. 11 DPSV curves of the BFO/CPE in pH 4.5 ABS containing (a) tap water sample, tap water plus 2 μ M Pb(II), tap water plus 5 μ M Pb(II) and tap water plus 10 μ M Pb(II) and (b) lake Tana sample, lake Tana sample plus 5 μ M Pb(II) and lake Tana sample plus 10 μ M Pb(II).

Table 2 Determination of Pb(n) in spiked different water samples using the BFO/CPE electrode

Sample	Added (µM)	Found ^{<i>a</i>} (μ M)	Recovery (%)
Tap water	5	5.01	100.2
	10	10.2	102
Lake Tana water	5	4.52	90.4
	10	10.24	102.4

^{*a*} Average value of triplicate measurements.

Materials Advances



Fig. 12 DPSV curves of the BFO/CPE in pH 4.5 ABS containing lake Tana sample solutions spiked with 5 μ M Pb(μ) in the presence of 5-fold excess potentially interfering ions, Al³⁺, Fe ²⁺ and Co²⁺.

that the percent recoveries obtained were found to be in the range of 90.4–102.4% for Pb(π) (Table 2). The obtained results confirmed the applicability of the developed method for the determination of Pb(π) and Cd(π) in tap and lake Tana water samples.

3.8.1. Interference study. The selectivity of the method for Pb(π) determination in lake Tana water samples was studied using DPSV measurements in the presence of selected potentially interfering ions (Al³⁺, Fe²⁺, and Co²⁺) in pH 4.5 ABS containing 5 μ M Pb(π) (Fig. 12). The interference study was performed by adding a five-fold higher concentration of potentially interfering ions into lake Tana water samples containing 5 μ M Pb(π) in pH 4.5 ABS. The peak currents of Pb(π) in the absence (I_o) and presence (I_i) of interfering metal ions and the relative signal changes, $\frac{I_i}{I_o} - 1$, are all shown in Table 3. The

Table 3 Summary of the study results of Pb(II) in the presence of potentially interfering ions, Al^{3+} , Fe^{2+} and Co^{2+} , for containing lake Tana sample solutions spiked with 5 μ M Pb(II) in pH 4.5 ABS

Interference ions	Peak current of Pb(II) (mA)	Relative signal change (%)
No interference ions Al ³⁺	0.171 0.176	2.92
Co ²⁺ Fe ²⁺	0.155 0.167	-9.36 -2.34



Fig. 13 Stability study of DPSVs of the BFO/CPE in pH 4.5 ABS containing a 1 μ M mixture of Pb(II) and Cd(II) at an E_{acc} of -1.2 V and a t_{acc} of 180 s. Inset: Nine repetitive DPSV responses of the BFO/CPE.

results in Table 3 showed that the peak currents of Pb(n) in the presence of interfering ions Fe^{2+} and Co^{2+} suffered a little decrease by 2.34 and 9.36%, respectively. This may be attributed as a result of the competition between the analytes Pb(n), and these interfering metal ions on the active sites present on the surface of the modified electrode.⁵³

3.9. Stability studies

The stability of the BFO/CPE was investigated by using DPSV measurements of a 1 μ M of mixture of Pb(π) ions for nine successive measurements under optimized conditions. The relative standard deviation (RSD) was found to be 7.23% and 4.45% for Pb(π) and Cd(π), respectively, indicating that the BFO/CPE exhibits excellent stability and hence the reproducibility of the results in the repeated DPSV detection of heavy metal ions under the optimized experimental conditions (Fig. 13).

4. Conclusions

The BFO/CPE was successfully prepared and used as a sensor based on the BFO/CPE in ABS for the electrochemical determination of Pb(π) and Cd(π) in the tap water samples. The synthesized BFO films and hence the modified electrode were characterized using XRD, FT-IR spectroscopy, and cyclic voltammetric techniques. The DPSV method based on the BFO/ CPE was used for the determination of Pb(π) and Cd(π) in the tap water samples. The applicability of the developed DPSV method using the BFO/CPE for the electrochemical determination of the two metals in tap water samples was validated using its recovery results in the range of 100.2–102%. In addition, the BFO/CPE modified electrode was confirmed to have low detection limit, high stability and wide linear range. This result reveals that the BFO/CPE can be used for the determination of $Pb(\pi)$ and $Cd(\pi)$ and has good potential for the analysis of $Pb(\pi)$ and $Cd(\pi)$ in real samples including tap water samples.

Conflicts of interest

The authors declare that no conflicts of interest could have appeared to influence the reported work in this paper.

Acknowledgements

The authors are grateful to Adama Science and Technology University for providing the XRD facility.

References

This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 Unported Licence.

Dpen Access Article. Published on 01 June 2022. Downloaded on 7/28/2025 9:22:31 PM.

- 1 T. C. Hoang, M. C. Black, S. L. Knuteson and A. P. Roberts, *Environ. Manage.*, 2019, **63**, 433–436.
- 2 N. M. Thanh, N. D. Luyen, T. Thanh Tam Toan, N. Hai Phong and N. Van Hop, J. Anal. Methods Chem., 2019, 1–11.
- 3 G. S. Ustabasi and M. Ozcan, *J. Electrochem. Soc.*, 2021, **168**, 097508.
- 4 J. P. Vareda, A. J. M. Valente and L. Durães, *J. Environ. Manage.*, 2019, **246**, 101–118.
- 5 F. R. Sulaiman and H. A. Hamzah, *Ecol. Processes*, 2018, 7, 1–11.
- 6 M. Amare, A. Worku, A. Kassa and W. Hilluf, *Heliyon*, 2020, **6**, e04401.
- 7 N. Singh, A. Kumar, V. K. Gupta and B. Sharma, *Chem. Res. Toxicol.*, 2018, **31**, 1009–1021.
- 8 T. Oymak, Ş. Tokalıoğlu, V. Yılmaz, Ş. Kartal and D. Aydın, *Food Chem.*, 2009, **113**, 1314–1317.
- 9 S. Bakırdere, T. Yaroğlu, N. Tırık, M. Demiröz, A. K. Fidan, O. Maruldalı and A. Karaca, *J. Spectrosc.*, 2013, 1–7.
- 10 V. A. Lemos and A. L. de Carvalho, *Environ. Monit. Assess.*, 2009, **171**, 255–265.
- 11 M. Waalkes, Mutat. Res., Fundam. Mol. Mech. Mutagen., 2003, 533, 107–120.
- 12 S. Chatterjee, S. Sarkar and S. Bhattacharya, *Chem. Res. Toxicol.*, 2014, **27**, 1887–1900.
- 13 K. Aoshima, Soil Sci. Plant Nutr., 2016, 62, 319-326.
- 14 S. Erarpat, G. Özzeybek, D. S. Chormey and S. Bakırdere, *Chemosphere*, 2017, **189**, 180–185.
- 15 I. C. Ferreira Damin, A. V. Zmozinski, A. R. Borges, M. G. Rodrigues Vale and M. Messias da Silva, Anal. Methods, 2011, 3, 1379.
- 16 M. D. Ioannidou, G. A. Zachariadis, A. N. Anthemidis and J. A. Stratis, *Talanta*, 2005, 65, 92.
- 17 H. Wang, F. Liu, C. Wu, G. Wang, Y. Xu, W. Zhang and J. Zhou, Anal. Methods, 2014, 6, 1936–1940.
- 18 B. Feist and B. Mikula, Food Chem., 2014, 147, 302-306.
- 19 A. M. Massadeh, A. A. Alomary, S. Mir, F. A. Momani,
 H. I. Haddad and Y. A. Hadad, *Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res.*, 2016, 23, 13424–13431.
- 20 M. B. Gumpu, S. Sethuraman, U. M. Krishnan and J. B. B. Rayappan, *Sens. Actuators, B*, 2015, **213**, 515–533.

- 21 B. Maleki, M. Baghayeri, M. Ghanei-Motlagh, F. Mohammadi Zonoz, A. Amiri, F. Hajizadeh, A. Hosseinifar and E. Esmaeilnezhad, *Measurement*, 2019, **140**, 81–88.
- 22 Y. Lu, X. Liang, C. Niyungeko, J. Zhou, J. Xu and G. Tian, *Talanta*, 2018, **178**, 324–338.
- 23 T. Priya, N. Dhanalakshmi, S. Thennarasu, V. Karthikeyan and N. Thinakaran, *Chem. Phys. Lett.*, 2019, **731**, 136621.
- 24 S. Chaiyo, E. Mehmeti, K. Žagar, W. Siangproh, O. Chailapakul and K. Kalcher, *Anal. Chim. Acta*, 2016, **918**, 26–34.
- 25 N. M. Thanh, N. Van Hop, N. D. Luyen, N. H. Phong and T. T. Tam Toan, *Adv. Mater. Sci. Eng.*, 2019, 1–11.
- 26 S. Lee, S. Bong, J. Ha, M. Kwak, S.-K. Park and Y. Piao, *Sens. Actuators, B*, 2015, **215**, 62–69.
- 27 Y. Pu, Y. Wu, Z. Yu, L. Lu and X. Wang, *Talanta Open*, 2021, 3, 100024.
- 28 M. Akilarasan, E. Tamilalagan, S. M. Chen, S. Maheshwaran, T. W. Chen, A. M. Al-Mohaimeed, W. A. Al-Onazi and M. S. Elshikh, *Microchim. Acta*, 2021, **188**, 72.
- 29 S. Maheshwaran, M. Akilarasan, T. W. Chen, S. M. Chen, E. Tamilalagan, T. Y. Jiang, E. A. Alabdullkarem and M. Soylak, *Microchim. Acta*, 2021, **188**, 102.
- 30 M. Ghanei-Motlagh and M. A. Taher, *Biosens. Bioelectron.*, 2018, **109**, 279–285.
- 31 X. Li, H. Zhou, C. Fu, F. Wang, Y. Ding and Y. Kuang, *Sens. Actuators, B*, 2016, **236**, 144–152.
- 32 G. Zhao, Y. Yin, H. Wang, G. Liu and Z. Wang, *Electrochim. Acta*, 2016, 220, 267–275.
- S. Cerovac, V. Guzsvány, Z. Kónya, A. M. Ashrafi, I. Švancara, S. Rončević, Á. Kukovecz, B. Dalmacija and K. Vytřas, *Talanta*, 2015, **134**, 640–649.
- 34 D. Yang, L. Wang, Z. Chen, M. Megharaj and R. Naidu, *Microchim. Acta*, 2014, **181**, 1199–1206.
- 35 D. Li, J. Jia and J. Wang, Talanta, 2010, 83, 332-336.
- 36 L. Xiao, H. Xu, S. Zhou, T. Song, H. Wang, S. Li, W. Gan and Q. Yuan, *Electrochim. Acta*, 2014, **143**, 143–151.
- M. Akilarasan, S. Kogularasu, S. M. Chen, T. W. Chen and B. S. Lou, *RSC Adv.*, 2018, 8, 39870–39878.
- 38 S. Kogularasu, M. Akilarasan, S.-M. Chen, E. Elaiyappillai, P. M. Johnson, T.-W. Chen, F. M. A. Al-Hemaid, M. A. Ali and M. S. Elshikh, *Electrochim. Acta*, 2018, **290**, 533.
- 39 Q. Zhang, D. Sando and V. Nagatrajan, J. Mater. Chem. C, 2016, 4(19), 4092–4124.
- 40 H. Wu, P. Xue, Y. Lu and X. Zhu, *J. Alloys Compd.*, 2018, 731, 471–477.
- 41 T. J. Park, Y. Mao and S. S. Wong, *Chem. Commun.*, 2004, 2708–2709.
- 42 H. Wang, D. Qian, X. Xiao, C. Deng, L. Liao, J. Deng and Y. W. Lin, *Bioelectrochemistry*, 2018, **121**, 115–124.
- 43 X. H. Zhu, E. Defaÿ, Y. Lee, B. André, M. Aïd, J. L. Zhu, D. Q. Xiao and J. G. Zhu, *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, 2010, **97**, 232903.
- 44 V. V. Jadhav, M. K. Zate, S. Liu, M. Naushad, R. S. Mane, K. N. Hui and S. H. Han, *Appl. Nanoscience*, 2016, 6, 511–519.
- 45 Y. Hu, L. Fei, Y. Zhang, J. Yuan, Y. Wang and H. Gu, *J. Nanomater.*, 2011, 1–6.
- 46 J. Li, S. Guo, Y. Zhai and E. Wang, Anal. Chim. Acta, 2009, 649, 196–201.

- 47 X. H. Zhu, E. Defaÿ, Y. Lee, B. André, M. Aïd, J. L. Zhu, D. Q. Xiao and J. G. Zhu, *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, 2010, **97**, 232903.
- 48 N. Blaise, H. G. Valéry, R. Maallah, M. Oubaouz, B. T. D. Justin,
 E. A. Ofudje and A. Chtaini, *J. Anal. Methods Chem.*, 2022, 1–9.
- 49 S. F. Zhou, J. J. Wang, L. Gan, X. J. Han, H. L. Fan, L. Y. Mei, J. Huang and Y. Q. Liu, *J. Alloys Compd.*, 2017, **721**, 492–500.
- 50 S. A. Kitte, S. Li, A. Nsabimana, W. Gao, J. Lai, Z. Liu and G. Xu, *Talanta*, 2019, **191**, 485–490.
- 51 H. D. Vu, L. H. Nguyen, T. D. Nguyen, H. B. Nguyen, T. L. Nguyen and D. L. Tran, *Ionics*, 2015, 21(2), 571–578.
- 52 G. H. Hwang, W. K. Han, J. S. Park and S. G. Kang, Sens. Actuators, B, 2008, 135(1), 309-316.
- 53 L. Zhu, L. Xu, B. Huang, N. Jia, L. Tan and S. Yao, *Electrochim. Acta*, 2014, **115**, 471–477.