

Cite this: *Mater. Adv.*, 2022, **3**, 8250

# Vapor-phase hydrothermal construction of defective MoS<sub>2</sub> for highly selective electrocatalytic hydrogenation of cinnamaldehyde†

Tianxing Wu \*<sup>a</sup> and Miaomiao Han \*<sup>b</sup>

Electrocatalytic selective hydrogenation of  $\alpha,\beta$ -unsaturated aldehydes with water as the hydrogen donor is of great significance to produce fine chemicals. Here, we propose a facile vapor-phase hydrothermal (VPH) method to directly grow defective MoS<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles on commercial carbon fiber cloth (MoS<sub>2</sub>/CFC) for electrocatalytic selective hydrogenation of cinnamaldehyde (CAL). The as-prepared MoS<sub>2</sub>/CFC exhibited the highest CAL conversion of 88.8% with a maximum TOF value of 12.8 mmol mmol<sub>MoS<sub>2</sub></sub><sup>-1</sup> h<sup>-1</sup> at -0.7 V vs. RHE. The high selectivity of CAL hydrogenation could be realized by adjusting the applied potentials, C=O hydrogenation product cinnamyl alcohol (COL) was easier to form under lower applied potentials, whereas C=C hydrogenation product hydrocinnamaldehyde (HCAL) was easier to achieve under higher applied potentials. Density functional theory (DFT) calculation findings demonstrated that the Mo vacancies in the defective MoS<sub>2</sub>/CFC facilitated the adsorption of hydrogen atoms, which benefited the generation of H<sub>ads</sub> and the proceeding of electrocatalytic hydrogenation. Additionally, the existence of Mo vacancies made the C=O group more active than the C=C group, and reduced the reaction energy of CAL hydrogenation toward COL. Combined with the experimental results and calculation data, we speculate that the real catalytic active center of the defective MoS<sub>2</sub>/CFC is the defective configuration of Mo vacancies. Furthermore, the high conversion and selectivity of furfural and benzaldehyde hydrogenation confirmed the universality of the defective MoS<sub>2</sub>/CFC catalyst in electrocatalytic hydrogenation reduction of unsaturated and saturated aldehydes.

Received 22nd July 2022,  
Accepted 27th August 2022

DOI: 10.1039/d2ma00842d


rsc.li/materials-advances

## 1. Introduction

As an old and rich discipline, electrocatalysis has been shown to be a powerful method to perform organic synthesis reactions under green, mild and safe conditions.<sup>1</sup> Among which, electrocatalytic hydrogenation (ECH) is an economical and environmentally friendly approach to realize the hydrogenation of organics containing unsaturated chemical bonds using water as the hydrogen donor.<sup>2–4</sup> ECH utilizes chemisorbed hydrogen (H<sub>ads</sub>) via proton or water reduction,<sup>5,6</sup> which avoids the difficult activation of low-soluble H<sub>2</sub> in solvents, and thereby the elevated pressure and temperature that are usually desired in thermocatalysis.<sup>7,8</sup>

The selective hydrogenation of  $\alpha,\beta$ -unsaturated aldehydes into the corresponding unsaturated alcohols is significant for

the production of fine chemicals.<sup>9</sup> As a classical model of  $\alpha,\beta$ -unsaturated aldehydes, the hydrogenation of cinnamaldehyde (CAL) has been widely investigated.<sup>10–14</sup> As shown in Scheme 1, the CAL hydrogenation products include not only the desired half-hydrogenated cinnamyl alcohol (COL), but also half-hydrogenated hydrocinnamaldehyde (HCAL) and full-hydrogenated hydrocinnamyl alcohol (HCOL). However, the two adjacent unsaturated C=C and C=O bonds of CAL make the



Scheme 1 The hydrogenation pathways of cinnamaldehyde (CAL).

<sup>a</sup> Northwest Institute for Non-ferrous Metal Research, Xi'an, 710016, P. R. China.

E-mail: mybestteacher@163.com

<sup>b</sup> School of Science, Huzhou University, Huzhou, 313000, P. R. China.

E-mail: mmhan@zjhu.edu.cn

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: Additional SEM images and electrochemical. See DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1039/d2ma00842d>

hydrogenation reaction complex and uncontrollable.<sup>15</sup> From the perspective of thermodynamics, chemical reactions tend to occur on the C=C bond because the C=C bond energy ( $615 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ ) is lower than the C=O bond energy ( $715 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ ), and the change of free energy enthalpy of C=C bond hydrogenation is  $35 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$  smaller than that of C=O bond hydrogenation. Therefore, achieving highly selective hydrogenation of the C=O bond is extremely challenging.<sup>16,17</sup> Recently, Zhang and co-workers developed a facile strategy to synthesize hollow  $\text{CoS}_2$  and  $\text{CoS}_{2-x}$  nanocapsules for electrocatalytic selective transfer hydrogenation of CAL with water to synthesize HCAL and HCOL.<sup>9</sup> Unfortunately, this report did not realize the high selectivity of C=O hydrogenation. Wei and co-workers reported the highly selective electrochemical hydrogenation of CAL to COL on the  $\text{RuO}_2\text{-SnO}_2\text{-TiO}_2/\text{Ti}$  electrode.<sup>18</sup> However, a fly in the ointment is the introduction of the precious metal Ru, which limits the large-scale production and application of electrocatalysts.

ECH is challenging due to the existence of the competing reaction hydrogen evolution reaction (HER),<sup>2</sup> which consumes  $H_{\text{ads}}$  and reduces the faradaic efficiency of ECH.<sup>19</sup> Hence, electrocatalysts that afford active and selective ECH but prohibit the HER are highly desirable.<sup>20,21</sup> Enhancing the adsorption of carbonyl is a widely used strategy to improve C=O hydrogenation.<sup>18</sup> Precious metals (*e.g.*, Ag, Pd, Pt, *etc.*) display the active ECH of carbonyl with a low onset potential,<sup>19,22</sup> however, they suffer from poor faradaic efficiency, high cost, and low earth-abundance.<sup>23</sup> Thus, the development of efficient, high-performance, and non-precious electrocatalysts is urgently required.

Molybdenum disulfide ( $\text{MoS}_2$ ), a conventional HER electrocatalyst,<sup>24–26</sup> has strengthened surface chemisorption that is expected to stabilize  $H_{\text{ads}}$  toward hydrogenation rather than self-recombination to  $\text{H}_2$ . Furthermore,  $\text{MoS}_2$  can effectively activate unsaturated bonds (*e.g.*, C=O), enabling a rapid elementary step on the catalyst surface.<sup>23</sup> For  $\text{MoS}_2$ , the preferentially exposed basal plane is the thermodynamically stable (002) plane rather than the active edge planes.<sup>27,28</sup> To circumvent this disadvantage, the engineering defect structure on the basal planes can be expected to increase the exposure of active edge sites by forming cracks on the surfaces,<sup>29</sup> which may dramatically improve the electrocatalytic performance.

Herein, we report a vapor-phase hydrothermal (VPH) approach to *in situ* grow defective  $\text{MoS}_2$  nanoparticles using commercial carbon fiber cloth (CFC) with controllably adsorbed  $\text{Mo}^{6+}$  as the substrate and excess thiourea as the sulfur source at  $180^\circ\text{C}$  for 24 h ( $\text{MoS}_2/\text{CFC}$ ). The as-prepared  $\text{MoS}_2/\text{CFC}$  without further treatment was directly used as the electrode for ECH in 0.1 M phosphate buffer electrolyte (pH = 7.0), and exhibited highly selective hydrogenation of CAL to HCAL and COL. The high selectivity of CAL hydrogenation could be realized by adjusting the applied potentials, the hydrogenation of CAL to COL was easier to achieve under lower applied potential, whereas the hydrogenation of CAL to HCAL was easier to achieve under higher applied potential. DFT calculations demonstrated that the Mo vacancies in the defective  $\text{MoS}_2$  facilitated the adsorption of hydrogen atoms, which benefited the generation

of  $H_{\text{ads}}$  and the proceeding electrocatalytic hydrogenation. Besides, the Mo vacancies made the C=O group more active than the C=C group, and reduced the reaction energy of CAL hydrogenation toward COL. Furthermore, the high conversion and selectivity of other unsaturated aldehyde such as FAL and saturated aldehyde such as benzaldehyde hydrogenation confirmed the universality of the defective  $\text{MoS}_2/\text{CFC}$  catalyst in the electrocatalytic hydrogenation reduction of aldehydes.

## 2. Experimental section

### 2.1 Materials

Sodium molybdate ( $\text{Na}_2\text{MoO}_4 \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$ ), thiourea, sodium hydrogen phosphate ( $\text{Na}_2\text{HPO}_4 \cdot 12\text{H}_2\text{O}$ ), sodium dihydrogen phosphate ( $\text{NaH}_2\text{PO}_4 \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$ ), tetrahydrofuran and anhydrous ethanol were purchased from Sinopharm Chemical Reagent Co., Ltd (Shanghai, China). Cinnamaldehyde (CAL), furfural (FAL) and benzaldehyde were purchased from Aladdin Ltd (Shanghai, China). All the chemicals were used as received without further purification. Commercial carbon fiber cloth (CFC) was purchased from Shanghai Hesun Electric Co., Ltd, which was washed with deionized water and anhydrous ethanol several times, respectively, then dried in air and cut into different sizes for further use.

### 2.2 Vapor-phase hydrothermal construction of defective $\text{MoS}_2$ on CFC

In a typical synthesis, 0.50 g  $\text{Na}_2\text{MoO}_4 \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$  powders were fully dissolved in 50 ml deionized water, and then a piece of cleaned CFC substrate ( $3.0 \text{ cm} \times 3.0 \text{ cm}$ ) was immersed in the above solution for 24 h to adequately adsorb  $\text{Mo}^{6+}$ . Subsequently, the  $\text{Mo}^{6+}$ -adsorbed CFC was dried at room temperature and then placed on a Teflon holder. The VPH reaction was performed in a Teflon-lined stainless steel autoclave (100 ml) with  $\text{Mo}^{6+}$ -adsorbed CFC located above 20 ml thiourea solution (0.35 g thiourea/20 ml deionized water).<sup>30–32</sup> The VPH reaction was performed at  $180^\circ\text{C}$  for 24 h. After the autoclave was cooled down to room temperature, the product was collected and immersed into 0.1 M HCl for 2 h to remove surface adsorbed ammonia generated from the decomposition of thiourea, then rinsed with deionized water several times, and dried at  $60^\circ\text{C}$  under vacuum. The obtained product was denoted as  $\text{MoS}_2/\text{CFC}$ . The loading amount of  $\text{MoS}_2$  on the CFC substrate was determined by inductively coupled plasma atomic emission spectroscopy (ICP-AES, 6300, Thermo Fisher Scientific, USA) after digestion by aqua regia through hydrothermal reaction under  $180^\circ\text{C}$  for 48 h, and revealed a  $\text{MoS}_2$  loading of  $0.56 \text{ mg cm}^{-2}$ .

For comparison, bulk  $\text{MoS}_2$  was synthesized through a liquid-phase hydrothermal reaction. 0.50 g  $\text{Na}_2\text{MoO}_4 \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$  and 0.35 g thiourea were fully dissolved in 20 ml deionized water. The hydrothermal reaction was performed at  $180^\circ\text{C}$  for 24 h.

### 2.3 Characterizations

The morphological properties of the samples were investigated using a field emission scanning electron microscope (FESEM,



Hitachi SU8020) operated at an accelerating voltage of 3.0 kV. The microstructures of the samples were examined by a high-resolution transmission electron microscope (HRTEM, FEI Tecnai G2 F30 S-TWIN) with an acceleration voltage of 300 kV. X-Ray diffraction (XRD) patterns of the samples were recorded on a Philips X-Pert Pro X-ray diffractometer with Cu K $\alpha$  radiation ( $\lambda_{K\alpha1} = 1.5418 \text{ \AA}$ ). XPS analysis of the samples was performed on an ESCALAB 250 X-ray photoelectron spectrometer (Thermo, America) equipped with Al K $\alpha_{1,2}$  monochromatized radiation at 1486.6 eV X-ray source. ICP measurements were performed by inductively coupled plasma atomic emission spectroscopy (ICP-AES, 6300, Thermo Fisher Scientific, USA).

#### 2.4 Electrochemical measurements

The electrochemical measurements were performed with a CHI 760E electrochemical workstation (CH Instruments, Inc., Shanghai, China), and a typical three-electrode divided H-type cell was used. The cathodic compartment and the anodic compartment were divided by a piece of Nafion 115 proton exchange membrane. Both the compartments contained 20 ml 0.1 M phosphate buffer electrolyte (pH = 7.0). If without extra statement, the electrolyte in the cathodic compartment maintained continuous stirring during all the electrochemical measurements. An Ag/AgCl electrode as the reference electrode was placed in the cathodic compartment, and a piece of pre-cleaned CFC (2.0 cm  $\times$  3.0 cm) as the counter electrode was placed in the anodic compartment. The as-prepared MoS<sub>2</sub>/CFC (1.0 cm  $\times$  2.0 cm) was directly used as the working electrode, and the effective geometric surface area of the working electrode was maintained at 1.0 cm  $\times$  1.0 cm. For bulk MoS<sub>2</sub> powders, the working electrodes were prepared as follows: 6.0 mg as-prepared MoS<sub>2</sub> powders were dispersed in 1000  $\mu$ l of the mixed solution comprising 500  $\mu$ l of deionized water, 490  $\mu$ l of ethanol, and 10  $\mu$ l of 5 wt% Nafion solution. The suspension was sonicated for 20 min to obtain a homogeneous ink. Then, 100  $\mu$ l of MoS<sub>2</sub> ink was dropped onto a cleaned CFC (1.0  $\times$  2.0 cm, the MoS<sub>2</sub> covering geometric area was 1.0  $\times$  1.0 cm<sup>2</sup>) and then dried at room temperature. The areal loading mass of MoS<sub>2</sub> on the CFC was about 0.60 mg.

Electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) measurements were carried out in a frequency range of 100 kHz to 0.01 Hz at an open circuit voltage with an amplitude of 5 mV. Linear sweep voltammetry (LSV) curves were obtained at a scan rate of 5.0 mV s<sup>-1</sup>.

0.5 ml tetrahydrofuran containing 33  $\mu$ l (0.25 mmol) cinnamaldehyde was added into the cathodic compartment and stirred for 10 min until the electrolyte was emulsified. LSV and EIS measurements followed. All the potentials were converted to the reversible hydrogen electrode (RHE) scale through calibration with the following Nernst equation:  $E (V \text{ vs. RHE}) = E (V \text{ vs. Ag/AgCl}) + 0.059 \times \text{pH} + 0.197$ . The hydrogenation process was evaluated by chronoamperometry under different constant potentials for 5 h.

Another two aldehyde molecules, furfural (FAL) and benzaldehyde, were also investigated. For furfural, 21  $\mu$ l (0.25 mmol) furfural was directly added into the cathodic compartment.

For benzaldehyde, 0.5 ml tetrahydrofuran containing 26  $\mu$ l (0.25 mmol) benzaldehyde was added into the cathodic compartment. Tetrahydrofuran was used because of its excellent solubility toward organic compounds and good stability during electrolysis.

#### 2.5 Product analysis

After electrolysis reactions, 5.0 ml electrolyte solution was taken from the cathodic compartment and 3.0 ml ethyl acetate was added to extract the organics. The extracted organics were subsequently analyzed by gas chromatography-mass spectrometry (GC-MS, Agilent GC7890 + MS5977B). For cinnamaldehyde and benzaldehyde, the initial GC temperature was 100  $^{\circ}$ C for 2 min, and then increased at 10  $^{\circ}$ C min<sup>-1</sup> to 300  $^{\circ}$ C where it was held for 2 min. For furfural, the initial GC temperature was 30  $^{\circ}$ C for 2 min, and then increased at 5  $^{\circ}$ C min<sup>-1</sup> to 300  $^{\circ}$ C where it was held for 2 min.

The conversion (%) of the reactant was calculated based on the following equation:

$$\text{Conversion}(\%) = \frac{\text{Mole of reactant converted}}{\text{Mole of initial reactant}} \times 100\% \quad (1)$$

The product selectivity (%) was calculated by the following equation:

$$\text{Selectivity}(\%) = \frac{\text{Mole of target product formed}}{\text{Mole of all products formed}} \times 100\% \quad (2)$$

The faradaic efficiency (FE, %) of products formation was calculated using the following equation:

$$\text{FE}(\%) = \frac{\text{Mole of products formed}}{\text{Total charge passed}/(n \times F)} \times 100\% \quad (3)$$

where  $n$  is the number of electrons transferred for products formation (here  $n = 2$ ), and  $F$  is the Faraday constant (96 485 C mol<sup>-1</sup>).

The turnover frequency (TOF) values of MoS<sub>2</sub>/CFC catalysts in electrocatalytic hydrogenation were calculated with the following equation while assuming all MoS<sub>2</sub> components are available active sites and involved in the electrocatalytic hydrogenation process:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{TOF}(\text{mmol mmol}_{\text{MoS}_2}^{-1} \text{ h}^{-1}) \\ = \frac{\text{Mole of reactant converted (mmol)}}{\frac{m_{\text{MoS}_2}(\text{mg})}{M_{\text{MoS}_2}(\text{mg mmol}^{-1})} \times t(\text{h})} \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

where  $m_{\text{MoS}_2}$  is the MoS<sub>2</sub> loading amount of 0.56 mg,  $M_{\text{MoS}_2}$  is the relative molecular mass of MoS<sub>2</sub> (160 mg mmol<sup>-1</sup>), and  $t$  is the electrolysis reaction time (5 h).

#### 2.6 Theoretical calculations

All the first-principle calculations were performed within the framework of DFT as implemented in the Vienna *Ab initio* Simulation Package (VASP).<sup>33–35</sup> The projector augmented wave (PAW) method has been used to describe the inert core electrons.<sup>36</sup> A cut off energy of 400 eV was used for the



expansion of the wave functions. The electronic exchange–correlation effects were described with Perdew–Burke–Ernzerhof generalized gradient approximation (PBE–GGA) functional.<sup>37</sup> The basic carbon model was built using a periodic graphene structure containing 72 C atoms. A slab of MoS<sub>2</sub>(002) surface without defects, with Mo vacancies or Mo/S vacancies was built for the adsorption calculations, respectively. A vacuum of 20 Å in the z-direction is used. The gamma ( $\Gamma$ ) centered  $2 \times 2 \times 1$  Monkhorst–Pack  $k$ -point sampling was used throughout.<sup>38</sup> The Fermi level was slightly broadened using a Fermi–Dirac smearing of 50 meV. All relaxations were carried out until the force of the system converges to 0.05 eV Å<sup>-1</sup>. The van der Waals interactions were considered using the DFT–D3 empirical correction.

### 3. Results and discussion

In this work, commercial carbon fiber cloth (Fig. S1, ESI<sup>†</sup>) with controllably adsorbed Mo<sup>6+</sup> was used as the substrate for VPH growth of MoS<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles (MoS<sub>2</sub>/CFC). After the VPH reaction at 180 °C for 24 h (Fig. S2, ESI<sup>†</sup>), the obtained products still exhibited the configuration from the original CFC framework (Fig. S3, ESI<sup>†</sup>). Fig. 1a shows a single fiber in which the growth occurs on the surface, and the high-magnification image (Fig. 1b) confirms the presence of irregularly shaped Mo-based nanoparticles with an average diameter of less than 50 nm uniformly grown on the surface of the CFC. The microstructure of Mo-based species was further investigated by TEM characterization (Fig. 1c). The nanoparticle morphology is not clear in the TEM image since the Mo-based nanoparticles were ultrasonically dispersed in ethanol during the TEM sample preparation, which caused the morphological destruction. The high-resolution TEM (HRTEM) images shown in Fig. 1d and e indicate that the

lattice fringe spacings are 2.7 Å and 6.3 Å, respectively. Interplanar spacing of 2.7 Å is consistent with the d spacing of (100) planes of hexagonal MoS<sub>2</sub>, while, the interlayer spacing of 6.3 Å observed from the curled edge is slightly larger than the layer-to-layer spacing of 6.15 Å in bulk MoS<sub>2</sub>. It is worth noting that many crystal fringes along the curled edge are discontinuous, which can be attributed to the existence of rich defects,<sup>25</sup> as indexed in the white dotted circular area. The defect-rich structure resulted from the excess thiourea, which can be adsorbed on the surface of primary nanocrystallites, partially hindering the oriented crystal growth and leading to the formation of a defect-rich structure with quasiperiodic configuration.<sup>25</sup> The high-angle annular dark-field scanning transmission electron microscopy (HAADF–STEM) analysis (Fig. 1f) and the corresponding elemental mapping images (Fig. 1g–i) show the presence and uniform distribution of Mo and S elements, corresponding to the obtained MoS<sub>2</sub>. Possibly owing to the low content and poor crystallinity of the formed MoS<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles, only diffraction peaks at 24.7° and 43.5° of hexagonal graphite carbon (JCPDS No. 13-0148) in the XRD patterns (Fig. S4, ESI<sup>†</sup>) can be observed for the MoS<sub>2</sub>/CFC.

In order to analyze the surface chemical and oxidation states of the Mo<sup>6+</sup>-adsorbed CFC and as-prepared defective MoS<sub>2</sub>/CFC, X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) was carried out. The peaks related to Mo in the survey spectrum (Fig. S5a, ESI<sup>†</sup>) indicate the adsorption of Mo<sup>6+</sup> ions on the CFC substrate. The high resolution Mo 3d spectrum (Fig. S5b, ESI<sup>†</sup>) shows two characteristic peaks at the binding energies of 231.9 and 235.1 eV, which can be attributed to the orbitals of Mo<sup>VI</sup> 3d<sub>5/2</sub> and Mo<sup>VI</sup> 3d<sub>3/2</sub>, respectively. Fig. S5c (ESI<sup>†</sup>) shows the high resolution S 2p spectrum, confirming no S-related species in the Mo<sup>6+</sup>-adsorbed CFC. As shown in Fig. S5d (ESI<sup>†</sup>), the O 1s peak located at 530.0 eV corresponds to the binding energy of Mo–O bonds,<sup>39</sup> which comes from the original reagent Na<sub>2</sub>MoO<sub>4</sub>. The peaks located at 532.3 eV and 534.6 eV can be attributed to C–O and H–O bonds. After the VPH reaction, the signal of S 2p was observed from the survey spectrum (Fig. 2a) of the obtained MoS<sub>2</sub>/CFC. Detailed compositional analysis (Table S1, ESI<sup>†</sup>) reveals that the atomic ratio of Mo:S is 1:2.94, giving the direct evidence that the existence of unsaturated sulfur atoms in the defective MoS<sub>2</sub>/CFC. As shown in Fig. 2b, two characteristic peaks arising from Mo 3d<sub>5/2</sub> and Mo 3d<sub>3/2</sub> orbitals are located at 229.0 and 232.3 eV, respectively, which corresponds to the +4 oxidation state, suggesting the dominance of Mo<sup>IV</sup> in the product.<sup>40</sup> A small S 2s peak is centered at 226.2 eV, whereas the S 2p region (Fig. 2c) exhibits primarily a single doublet with the 2p<sub>3/2</sub> peak at 161.8 eV, which is consistent with the –2 oxidation state of sulfur.<sup>40</sup> Two peaks of 163.5 and 164.4 eV represent the exposed sulfur atoms.<sup>41</sup> The high resolution O 1s peak located at 530.0 eV disappeared in the obtained MoS<sub>2</sub>/CFC (Fig. 2d), which can be attributed to the breaking of Mo–O bonds. In conclusion, the XPS measurement results show that the unsaturated sulfur atoms in the defective MoS<sub>2</sub>/CFC produce a large number of Mo vacancies.

CAL was first selected as a classical model to evaluate the selective hydrogenation performance of  $\alpha,\beta$ -unsaturated aldehydes over the as-prepared defective MoS<sub>2</sub>/CFC. As shown




Fig. 1 MoS<sub>2</sub>/CFC: (a) low-magnification SEM image; (b) high-magnification SEM image; (c) TEM image; (d) HRTEM image; (e) high-angle annular dark-field scanning transmission electron microscopy (HAADF–STEM) and (f–i) corresponding elemental mapping images.






Fig. 2 (a) Surface survey XPS spectrum of MoS<sub>2</sub>/CFC. High-resolution XPS spectra of (b) Mo 3d, (c) S 2p and (d) O 1s.




Fig. 3 (a) LSV curves and (b) EIS spectra of MoS<sub>2</sub>/CFC in 0.1 M PBS electrolyte (pH = 7.0) with and without CAL; Potential-dependent performance of CAL hydrogenation over MoS<sub>2</sub>/CFC: (c) conversion, (d) TOF and FE, (e) and (f) selectivity.

in the LSV curves (Fig. 3a), the addition of CAL into the electrolyte slightly decreased the current density, which was because the electrocatalytic hydrogenation of CAL was more sluggish than hydrogen evolution by water decomposition, and the occupation of active sites by CAL molecules hindered hydrogen adsorption and evolution.<sup>18</sup> In the EIS spectra (Fig. 3b), the high-frequency intercept on the real axis represents the Ohmic series resistance ( $R_s$ ), and the semicircle in the high-frequency region corresponds to the charge-transfer resistance ( $R_{ct}$ ) of the electrolyte/electrode interface, which strongly influences the activity

of an electrocatalyst.<sup>31</sup> Clearly, the  $R_s$  and  $R_{ct}$  values after the addition of the CAL precursor were smaller than that for pure water decomposition, indicating the higher electrocatalytic hydrogenation activity of the defective MoS<sub>2</sub>/CFC toward the CAL precursor.

Subsequently, the potential-dependent results showed excellent CAL conversion yield, turnover frequency, faradaic efficiency and product selectivity over the defective MoS<sub>2</sub>/CFC (Fig. 3c–f, Fig. S6, S7 and Table S2, ESI<sup>†</sup>). As shown in Fig. 3c, the conversion of CAL increased with the increase of applied potentials, and reached a maximum value of 88.8% at  $-0.7$  V vs. RHE. However, when the potential became more negative, the conversion decreased, mainly due to the competitive HER process. When considering the intrinsic activity of electrocatalysts, the most important figure of merit is the turnover frequency (TOF), which is the number of moles of products generated per unit time per active site.<sup>42</sup> As shown in Fig. 3d, the variation tendency of TOF was consistent with the conversion in Fig. 3c, and reached a maximum value of 12.8 mmol mol<sub>MoS<sub>2</sub></sub><sup>-1</sup> h<sup>-1</sup> at  $-0.7$  V vs. RHE. The FE values of CAL hydrogenation decreased with the increase of applied potentials (Fig. 3d), attributing to the enhanced HER activity. The detailed FE values for COL, HCAL and HCOL are shown in Fig. S7 (ESI<sup>†</sup>). Fig. 3e and f are the histogram and the corresponding point plot of product selectivity with voltage change, respectively. From which it can be seen clearly that, with the increase of applied potentials from  $-0.2$  V to  $-0.9$  V vs. RHE, the selectivity of COL gradually decreased from 70.6% to 19.1%, whereas the selectivity of HCAL gradually increased from 22.0% to 58.8%. In other words, the hydrogenation of CAL to COL was easier to achieve under lower applied potentials, whereas the hydrogenation of CAL to HCAL was easier to achieve under higher applied potentials. Meanwhile, the selectivity of HCOL also increased with the increase of applied potential, which was because the HCAL and COL were more easily transformed into fully hydrogenated HCOL under higher applied potentials. As we know, obtaining a high COL yield under low voltage is challenging because the C=O bond is thermodynamically and kinetically more difficult to be hydrogenated than the C=C bond. In this work, the high selectivity of CAL hydrogenation can be achieved by adjusting the applied potentials. Compared with other representative reports based on electrocatalytic CAL selective hydrogenation, the defective MoS<sub>2</sub>/CFC showed an excellent performance (Table S3, ESI<sup>†</sup>).

To clarify the mechanism of the high selectivity of CAL hydrogenation over the defective MoS<sub>2</sub>/CFC, we explored the adsorption of reactants and products over defective MoS<sub>2</sub> and graphite carbon substrate by means of DFT calculation, where bulk MoS<sub>2</sub> without defects acted as a reference for a better understanding of defective MoS<sub>2</sub>. As mentioned above, excess thiourea was a prerequisite for the formation of the defect-rich structure. Therefore, we only considered the following two defective configurations: Mo vacancies and Mo/S vacancies with a stoichiometric atomic ratio of 1:2. The adsorption of hydrogen was investigated firstly. The adsorption configurations and energies of hydrogen atom over graphite carbon,



MoS<sub>2</sub>(002) surface, and MoS<sub>2</sub>(002) surface with Mo vacancies or Mo/S vacancies were compared. As shown in Fig. S8 (ESI†), the corresponding adsorption energies of the hydrogen atom were calculated as 147.92, 174.98, -62.40 and -70.40 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. Clearly, the adsorption of hydrogen atom onto the (002) surface of MoS<sub>2</sub> with Mo vacancies or Mo/S vacancies was easier than that of graphite carbon and bulk MoS<sub>2</sub>(002) surface without defects, which benefited the generation of H<sub>ads</sub> and the proceeding of electrocatalytic hydrogenation.

Subsequently, the adsorption of a CAL molecule and its hydrogenation products HCAL and COL over the Mo-vacancy MoS<sub>2</sub>(002) surface was explored. After optimizing the most stable adsorption configurations, a CAL molecule was adsorbed on the MoS<sub>2</sub>(002) surface through a parallel configuration with an adsorption energy of -90.43 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup> (Fig. 4a). In detail, a CAL molecule was bound to the MoS<sub>2</sub>(002) surface through a phenyl group, C=C bond, and C=O bond. After the C=C bond was fully hydrogenated with H<sub>ads</sub>, the resulting HCAL was interacted with the MoS<sub>2</sub>(002) surface through the phenyl group and the C=O bond with an adsorption energy of -56.14 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup> (Fig. 4b). On the other hand, when the C=O bond was fully hydrogenated with H<sub>ads</sub>, the obtained COL was attracted onto the MoS<sub>2</sub>(002) surface *via* the phenyl group, C=C bond and -OH group with an adsorption energy of -93.22 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup> (Fig. 4c). If assuming the binding energy ( $E_b$ ) of the same functional group remains constant in different compounds,  $E_{b,C=C}$  and  $E_{b,C=O}$  can be roughly estimated from the calculated adsorption energy ( $\Delta E_{ads}$ ) of CAL, HCAL, COL, and POL (*n*-propanol, adsorbed mainly through -OH group, Fig. 4d) by the following equations:<sup>18</sup>

$$E_{b,C=C} = \Delta E_{ads,CAL} - \Delta E_{ads,HCAL} \quad (5)$$

$$E_{b,C=O} = \Delta E_{ads,CAL} - \Delta E_{ads,COL} + \Delta E_{ads,POL} \quad (6)$$

As listed in Table 1, the calculated binding energy of the C=O group of CAL ( $E_{b,C=O} = -39.39$  kJ mol<sup>-1</sup>) was more negative than that of the C=C group ( $E_{b,C=C} = -34.29$  kJ mol<sup>-1</sup>), suggesting the C=O group was more active than the C=C

group in CAL hydrogenation to generate COL over Mo-vacancy MoS<sub>2</sub>.

Based on the most stable adsorption configurations of CAL, HCAL and COL on the Mo-vacancy MoS<sub>2</sub>(002) surface, we further calculated the reaction energies for the hydrogenation of C=C (from CAL to HCAL) and C=O (from CAL to COL). As shown in Fig. 5 and Table 1, the reaction energy change from CAL to COL ( $\Delta G = -83.88$  kJ mol<sup>-1</sup>) was more negative than that from CAL to HCAL ( $\Delta G = -75.79$  kJ mol<sup>-1</sup>), indicating the hydrogenation from CAL to COL was easier than that from CAL to HCAL. In other words, during the hydrogenation of CAL over Mo-vacancy MoS<sub>2</sub>, COL was easier to form under lower applied potentials, and HCAL was easier to form under higher applied potentials to overcome the reaction energy, according with our experimental results (Fig. 3e and f).

Besides, the adsorption energies of different reacting species, the binding energies of C=C and C=O, and the reaction energies for the hydrogenation of C=C and C=O over Mo/S vacancies MoS<sub>2</sub>(002) surface were calculated. As shown in Fig. S9 (ESI†), Fig. 5 and Table 1, although the calculated binding energy of the C=O group of CAL ( $E_{b,C=O} = -42.47$  kJ mol<sup>-1</sup>) was much more negative than that of the C=C group ( $E_{b,C=C} = -21.09$  kJ mol<sup>-1</sup>), the reaction energy change from CAL to HCAL ( $\Delta G = -88.88$  kJ mol<sup>-1</sup>) was more negative than that from CAL to COL ( $\Delta G = -80.12$  kJ mol<sup>-1</sup>), indicating the hydrogenation from CAL to HCAL was easier than that from CAL to COL. The calculation data was not according with our experimental results, suggesting that Mo/S vacancies were not the real catalytic active centers of the defective MoS<sub>2</sub>/CFC in this work.

Meanwhile, the adsorption energies of different reacting species, the binding energies of C=C and C=O, and the reaction energies for the hydrogenation of C=C and C=O over the bulk MoS<sub>2</sub>(002) surface without defects were calculated. As shown in Fig. S10 (ESI†), Fig. 5 and Table 1, although the calculated binding energy of the C=O group of CAL ( $E_{b,C=O} = -39.68$  kJ mol<sup>-1</sup>) was more negative than that of C=C group ( $E_{b,C=C} = -32.36$  kJ mol<sup>-1</sup>), the reaction energy changes from CAL to COL ( $\Delta G = -78.20$  kJ mol<sup>-1</sup>) and from CAL to HCAL ( $\Delta G = -77.62$  kJ mol<sup>-1</sup>) were almost identical, suggesting no significant difference in product selectivity of CAL hydrogenation.




Fig. 4 Adsorption configurations and energies of different reacting species on the Mo-vacancy MoS<sub>2</sub>(002) surface (brown sphere: C, white sphere: H, red sphere: O, yellow sphere: S, and purple sphere: Mo).




**Table 1** Adsorption energy of different species, binding energy of different groups, and reaction energy of CAL hydrogenation over graphite carbon, bulk MoS<sub>2</sub>(002) surface, MoS<sub>2</sub>(002) surface with Mo vacancies or Mo/S vacancies

Catalyst	$\Delta E_{\text{ads}}$ (kJ mol <sup>-1</sup> )					$E_{\text{b}}$ (kJ mol <sup>-1</sup> )		Reaction energy (kJ mol <sup>-1</sup> )	
	H	CAL	HCAL	COL	POL	C=C	C=O	CAL → AHCAL	CAL → COL
Carbon <sup>a</sup>	147.92	-54.02	-45.74	-82.91	-9.63	-8.38	19.26	-101.69	-104.39
MoS <sub>2</sub> <sup>b</sup>	174.98	-90.91	-58.55	-93.60	-42.37	-32.36	-39.68	-77.62	-78.20
MoS <sub>2</sub> -MoVac <sup>c</sup>	-62.40	-90.43	-56.14	-93.22	-42.18	-34.29	-39.39	-75.79	-83.88
MoS <sub>2</sub> -MoSVac <sup>d</sup>	-70.40	-85.32	-64.23	-89.94	-47.09	-21.09	-42.47	-88.88	-80.12

<sup>a</sup> Carbon: graphite carbon substrate CFC. <sup>b</sup> MoS<sub>2</sub>: bulk MoS<sub>2</sub>(002) surface without defects. <sup>c</sup> MoS<sub>2</sub>-MoVac: MoS<sub>2</sub>(002) surface with Mo vacancies.

<sup>d</sup> MoS<sub>2</sub>-MoSVac: MoS<sub>2</sub>(002) surface with Mo/S vacancies.



**Fig. 5** Reaction pathway and energy diagram of CAL hydrogenation that proceeded over graphite carbon (denoted as carbon), bulk MoS<sub>2</sub>(002) surface (denoted as MoS<sub>2</sub>), Mo-vacancy MoS<sub>2</sub>(002) surface (denoted as MoS<sub>2</sub>-MoVac), and Mo/S-vacancy MoS<sub>2</sub>(002) surface (denoted as MoS<sub>2</sub>-MoSVac).

To confirm the calculation results, bulk MoS<sub>2</sub> without defects was synthesized using stoichiometric Mo and S sources. As shown in Fig. S11a (ESI<sup>†</sup>), the obtained bulk MoS<sub>2</sub> are nanosheets with a uniform lateral size of about 200 nm. Two peaks in the low-angle region (9.2° and 17.8°) in the XRD pattern (Fig. S11b, ESI<sup>†</sup>) correspond to the oxygen-incorporated MoS<sub>2</sub>, which could be further proved by the enlarged interlayer spacing of 9.5 Å and the uniform distribution of O element (Fig. S11c-h, ESI<sup>†</sup>).<sup>24</sup> The XPS measurement results show that the atom ratio of Mo : S in the bulk MoS<sub>2</sub> is 1 : 2.01 (Table S1 and Fig. S12, ESI<sup>†</sup>). The electrocatalytic hydrogenation performance of CAL over the bulk MoS<sub>2</sub> was also investigated. As shown in Fig. S13 (ESI<sup>†</sup>), the conversion of CAL increased with the increase of applied potentials. However, the selectivity between COL and HCAL remained almost identical, which corresponded to the calculation results.

In order to explore the catalytic effect of CFC substrate in the defective MoS<sub>2</sub>/CFC in electrocatalytic CAL hydrogenation, contrast experiments were carried out. Bare CFC without MoS<sub>2</sub> loading was used as an electrode directly to catalyze CAL hydrogenation under -0.7 V vs. RHE, exhibiting an 60.0% conversion of CAL and an 100.0% selectivity of HCAL. By contrast, the defective MoS<sub>2</sub>/CFC displayed an 88.8% conversion of CAL, an 45.7% selectivity of HCAL and an 48.3% selectivity of COL under the identical conditions, respectively.

These results clearly indicated that the hydrogenation of C=O was more active on the defective MoS<sub>2</sub>, whereas the hydrogenation of C=C was more active on graphite carbon. The calculation results (Fig. S14, ESI<sup>†</sup>, Fig. 5 and Table 1) indicated that although the reaction energy change from CAL to COL ( $\Delta G = -104.39$  kJ mol<sup>-1</sup>) was more negative than that from CAL to HCAL ( $\Delta G = -101.69$  kJ mol<sup>-1</sup>) on graphite carbon, the calculated binding energy of the C=C group of CAL ( $E_{\text{b,C=C}} = -8.38$  kJ mol<sup>-1</sup>) was much more negative than that of the C=O group ( $E_{\text{b,C=O}} = 19.26$  kJ mol<sup>-1</sup>). Therefore, C=C was more active in CAL hydrogenation to generate HCAL.

The changes in the structure and composition of the defective MoS<sub>2</sub>/CFC after ECH measurements were investigated to evaluate the stability of the catalyst. The XRD pattern (Fig. S15, ESI<sup>†</sup>) only displayed two main diffraction peaks of graphite carbon, attributed to the low content and poor crystallinity of the loaded MoS<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles. The SEM images (Fig. S16a and b, ESI<sup>†</sup>) showed no obvious changes, which can be further confirmed by TEM characterization (Fig. S16c-i, ESI<sup>†</sup>). Fig. S17 (ESI<sup>†</sup>) showed the XPS spectra of MoS<sub>2</sub>/CFC after ECH. Compared to the initial MoS<sub>2</sub>/CFC (Fig. 2), both Mo 3d, S 2s and S 2p peaks shifted to higher binding energies, indicating that both Mo and S gave electrons to other components during the ECH process. Here, CAL molecules captured electrons to proceed the hydrogenation reduction reaction, suggesting that Mo and S served as “electron donors”, CAL served as an “electron acceptor”, respectively, and the electrons transferred from Mo and S to CAL during the ECH process. In addition, MoS<sub>2</sub>/CFC exhibited high stability for the electrocatalytic hydrogenation of CAL (Fig. S18, ESI<sup>†</sup>).

Furthermore, other typical unsaturated aldehydes such as furfural (FAL) were selected to evaluate the selective hydrogenation performance over the as-prepared defective MoS<sub>2</sub>/CFC. As shown in Fig. S19 (ESI<sup>†</sup>), Fig. 6 and Table S4 (ESI<sup>†</sup>), furfuryl alcohol (FOL) was the only hydrogenation product in a wide potential range from -0.2 to -0.9 V vs. RHE, indicating 100.0% hydrogenation selectivity. The conversion of FAL and TOF value increased with the increase of applied potentials, and reached a maximum value of 92.6% and 13.3 mmol mmol<sub>MoS<sub>2</sub></sub><sup>-1</sup> h<sup>-1</sup> at -0.8 V vs. RHE. The corresponding FE values of FAL hydrogenation generally decreased with the increase of applied potentials, attributing to the enhanced HER activity.

In addition, the hydrogenation performance of typical saturated aldehyde such as benzaldehyde over the as-prepared






Fig. 6 (a) The hydrogenation pathways of furfural (FAL), (b) LSV curves and (c) EIS spectra of  $\text{MoS}_2/\text{CFC}$  in 0.1 M PBS electrolyte (pH = 7.0) with and without FAL; potential-dependent performance of FAL hydrogenation over  $\text{MoS}_2/\text{CFC}$ : (d) conversion and selectivity, (e) TOF and FE.

defective  $\text{MoS}_2/\text{CFC}$  was also explored. As shown in Fig. S20 (ESI<sup>†</sup>), Fig. 7 and Table S5 (ESI<sup>†</sup>), benzyl alcohol was the only hydrogenation product in a wide potential range from  $-0.3$  to  $-1.0$  V vs. RHE with a 100.0% hydrogenation selectivity. The conversion and TOF values increased with the increase of applied potentials, and the corresponding FE values gradually decreased with the increase of applied potentials, which was due to the enhanced competitive HER activity. These results




Fig. 7 (a) The hydrogenation pathways of benzaldehyde, (b) LSV curves and (c) EIS spectra of  $\text{MoS}_2/\text{CFC}$  in 0.1 M PBS electrolyte (pH = 7.0) with and without benzaldehyde; potential-dependent performance of benzaldehyde hydrogenation over  $\text{MoS}_2/\text{CFC}$ : (d) conversion and selectivity, (e) TOF and FE.

confirmed the universality of the defective  $\text{MoS}_2/\text{CFC}$  catalyst in the electrocatalytic hydrogenation reduction of aldehydes.

## 4. Conclusions

In summary, defective  $\text{MoS}_2$  nanoparticles were successfully grown on commercial carbon fiber cloth ( $\text{MoS}_2/\text{CFC}$ ) via a simple vapor-phase hydrothermal approach and directly used as the electrode electrocatalyst without further treatment for ECH in 0.1 M phosphate buffer electrolyte (pH = 7.0). The experimental results suggested that the as-prepared  $\text{MoS}_2/\text{CFC}$  exhibited the highest CAL conversion of 88.8% with a maximum TOF value of  $12.8 \text{ mmol mmol}_{\text{MoS}_2}^{-1} \text{ h}^{-1}$  at  $-0.7$  V vs. RHE. With the increase of applied potentials from  $-0.2$  V to  $-0.9$  V vs. RHE, the selectivity of COL gradually decreased from 70.6% to 19.1%, whereas the selectivity of HCAL gradually increased from 22.0% to 58.8%, indicating that the hydrogenation of CAL to COL was easier to achieve under a lower applied potential, whereas the hydrogenation of CAL to HCAL was easier to achieve under a higher applied potential. DFT calculations proved that the real catalytic active center was the Mo vacancies in the defective  $\text{MoS}_2/\text{CFC}$ , which facilitated the adsorption of hydrogen atoms, benefiting the generation of  $\text{H}_{\text{ads}}$  and the proceeding electrocatalytic hydrogenation. Furthermore, the ECH performance of the defective  $\text{MoS}_2/\text{CFC}$  for other unsaturated aldehyde such as FAL and saturated aldehyde such as benzaldehyde hydrogenation was also explored, exhibiting the universality in ECH of unsaturated and saturated aldehydes. This is inspirational for electrocatalyst design through defect engineering in electrocatalytic organic synthesis, especially in electrocatalytic hydrogenation of unsaturated or saturated aldehydes and ketones to produce the corresponding alcohols.

## Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts of interest to declare.

## Acknowledgements

This work was financially supported by the Key Research and Development Projects of Shaanxi Province (Grant No. 2021GY-126), the Key Science and Technology Project of Northwest Institute for Non-ferrous Metal Research (Grant No. YK2020-6), and the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 61804154 and 12147219). We thank the LvLiang Cloud Computing Centre of China, TianHe-2 and Hefei advanced computing center for theoretical calculations and the C3S2 computing center in Huzhou University for calculation support.

## Notes and references

- 1 M. C. Leech and K. Lam, A practical guide to electrosynthesis, *Nat. Rev. Chem.*, 2022, **6**, 275–286.





- 2 S. A. Akhade, N. Singh, O. Y. Gutierrez, J. Lopez-Ruiz, H. Wang, J. D. Holladay, Y. Liu, A. Karkamkar, R. S. Weber, A. B. Padmaperuma, M. S. Lee, G. A. Whyatt, M. Elliott, J. E. Holladay, J. L. Male, J. A. Lercher, R. Rousseau and V. A. Glezakou, Electrocatalytic hydrogenation of biomass-derived organics: a review, *Chem. Rev.*, 2020, **120**, 11370–11419.
- 3 J. Song, Z. F. Huang, L. Pan, K. Li, X. Zhang, L. Wang and J. J. Zou, Review on selective hydrogenation of nitroarene by catalytic, photocatalytic and electrocatalytic reactions, *Appl. Catal., B*, 2018, **227**, 386–408.
- 4 W. Xu, C. Yu, J. Chen and Z. Liu, Electrochemical hydrogenation of biomass-based furfural in aqueous media by Cu catalyst supported on N-doped hierarchically porous carbon, *Appl. Catal., B*, 2022, **305**, 121062.
- 5 P. Zhang and L. Sun, Electrocatalytic hydrogenation and oxidation in aqueous conditions, *Chin. J. Chem.*, 2020, **38**, 996–1004.
- 6 X. Chong, C. Liu, Y. Huang, C. Huang and B. Zhang, Potential-tuned selective electrosynthesis of azoxy-, azo- and amino-aromatics over a CoP nanosheet cathode, *Natl. Sci. Rev.*, 2019, **7**, 285–295.
- 7 X. H. Chadderdon, D. J. Chadderdon, J. E. Matthiesen, Y. Qiu, J. M. Carraher, J. P. Tessonier and W. Li, Mechanisms of furfural reduction on metal electrodes: distinguishing pathways for selective hydrogenation of bioderived oxygenates, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2017, **139**, 14120–14128.
- 8 K. Li and Y. Sun, Electrocatalytic upgrading of biomass-derived intermediate compounds to value-added products, *Chem. – Eur. J.*, 2018, **24**, 18258–18270.
- 9 S. Han, Y. Shi, C. Wang, C. Liu and B. Zhang, Hollow cobalt sulfide nanocapsules for electrocatalytic selective transfer hydrogenation of cinnamaldehyde with water, *Cell Rep. Phys. Sci.*, 2021, **2**, 100337.
- 10 M. Zhao, K. Yuan, Y. Wang, G. Li, J. Guo, L. Gu, W. Hu, H. Zhao and Z. Tang, Metal-organic frameworks as selectivity regulators for hydrogenation reactions, *Nature*, 2016, **539**, 76–80.
- 11 X. Wang, X. Liang, P. Geng and Q. Li, Recent advances in selective hydrogenation of cinnamaldehyde over supported metal-based catalysts, *ACS Catal.*, 2020, **10**, 2395–2412.
- 12 S. Bai, L. Bu, Q. Shao, X. Zhu and X. Huang, Multicomponent Pt-based zigzag nanowires as selectivity controllers for selective hydrogenation reactions, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2018, **140**, 8384–8387.
- 13 M. J. Torres, P. Sánchez, A. de Lucas-Consuegra and A. R. de la Osa, Electrocatalytic hydrogenation of cinnamaldehyde in a PEM cell: the role of sodium hydroxide and platinum loading, *Mol. Catal.*, 2020, **492**, 110936.
- 14 T. Wu, H. Meng and R. Dang, Amorphous Ta<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>-supported Ru as an efficient electrocatalyst for selective hydrogenation of cinnamaldehyde with water as the hydrogen source, *Inorg. Chem. Front.*, 2021, **8**, 4712–4719.
- 15 H. Wang, S. Bai, Y. Pi, Q. Shao, Y. Tan and X. Huang, A strongly coupled ultrasmall Pt<sub>3</sub>Co nanoparticle-ultrathin Co(OH)<sub>2</sub> nanosheet architecture enhances selective hydrogenation of  $\alpha,\beta$ -unsaturated aldehydes, *ACS Catal.*, 2019, **9**, 154–159.
- 16 X. Lan and T. Wang, Highly selective catalysts for the hydrogenation of unsaturated aldehydes: a review, *ACS Catal.*, 2020, **10**, 2764–2790.
- 17 X. Lan, K. Xue and T. Wang, Combined synergetic and steric effects for highly selective hydrogenation of unsaturated aldehyde, *J. Catal.*, 2019, **372**, 49–60.
- 18 X. Huang, L. Zhang, C. Li, L. Tan and Z. Wei, High selective electrochemical hydrogenation of cinnamaldehyde to cinnamyl alcohol on RuO<sub>2</sub>-SnO<sub>2</sub>-TiO<sub>2</sub>/Ti electrode, *ACS Catal.*, 2019, **9**, 11307–11316.
- 19 Y. Kwon, K. J. P. Schouten, J. C. van der Waal, E. de Jong and M. T. M. Koper, Electrocatalytic conversion of furanic compounds, *ACS Catal.*, 2016, **6**, 6704–6717.
- 20 C. J. Bondue, F. Calle-Vallejo, M. C. Figueiredo and M. T. M. Koper, Structural principles to steer the selectivity of the electrocatalytic reduction of aliphatic ketones on platinum, *Nat. Catal.*, 2019, **2**, 243–250.
- 21 C. J. Bondue and M. T. M. Koper, Electrochemical reduction of the carbonyl functional group: the importance of adsorption geometry, molecular structure, and electrode surface structure, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2019, **141**, 12071–12078.
- 22 Y. Kwon, Y. Y. Birdja, S. Raoufmoghaddam and M. T. M. Koper, Electrocatalytic hydrogenation of 5-hydroxymethylfurfural in acidic solution, *ChemSusChem*, 2015, **8**, 1745–1751.
- 23 J. Tan, W. Zhang, Y. Shu, H. Lu, Y. Tang and Q. Gao, Interlayer engineering of molybdenum disulfide toward efficient electrocatalytic hydrogenation, *Sci. Bull.*, 2021, **66**, 1003–1012.
- 24 J. Xie, J. Zhang, S. Li, F. Grote, X. Zhang, H. Zhang, R. Wang, Y. Lei, B. Pan and Y. Xie, Controllable disorder engineering in oxygen-incorporated MoS<sub>2</sub> ultrathin nanosheets for efficient hydrogen evolution, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2013, **135**, 17881–17888.
- 25 J. Xie, H. Zhang, S. Li, R. Wang, X. Sun, M. Zhou, J. Zhou, X. W. Lou and Y. Xie, Defect-rich MoS<sub>2</sub> ultrathin nanosheets with additional active edge sites for enhanced electrocatalytic hydrogen evolution, *Adv. Mater.*, 2013, **25**, 5807–5813.
- 26 Y. Li, H. Wang, L. Xie, Y. Liang, G. Hong and H. Dai, MoS<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles grown on graphene: an advanced catalyst for the hydrogen evolution reaction, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2011, **133**, 7296–7299.
- 27 J. V. Lauritsen, J. Kibsgaard, S. Helveg, H. Topsøe, B. S. Clausen, E. Lægsgaard and F. Besenbacher, Size-dependent structure of MoS<sub>2</sub> nanocrystals, *Nat. Nanotechnol.*, 2007, **2**, 53–58.
- 28 A. Albu-Yaron, M. Levy, R. Tenne, R. Popovitz-Biro, M. Weidenbach, M. Bar-Sadan, L. Houben, A. N. Enyashin, G. Seifert, D. Feuermann, E. A. Katz and J. M. Gordon, MoS<sub>2</sub> hybrid nanostructures: from octahedral to quasi-spherical shells within individual nanoparticles, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2011, **50**, 1810–1814.
- 29 C. B. Roxlo, H. W. Deckman, J. Gland, S. D. Cameron and R. R. Chianelli, Edge surfaces in lithographically textured molybdenum disulfide, *Science*, 1987, **235**, 1629–1631.
- 30 T. Wu, G. Wang, X. Zhu, P. Liu, X. Zhang, H. Zhang, Y. Zhang and H. Zhao, Growth and in situ transformation



- of TiO<sub>2</sub> and HTiOF<sub>3</sub> crystals on chitosan-polyvinyl alcohol co-polymer substrates under vapor phase hydrothermal conditions, *Nano Res.*, 2016, **9**, 745–754.
- 31 T. Wu, X. Zhu, G. Wang, Y. Zhang, H. Zhang and H. Zhao, Vapor-phase hydrothermal growth of single crystalline NiS<sub>2</sub> nanostructure film on carbon fiber cloth for electrocatalytic oxidation of alcohols to ketones and simultaneous H<sub>2</sub> evolution, *Nano Res.*, 2018, **11**, 1004–1017.
- 32 T. Wu, M. Han, X. Zhu, G. Wang, Y. Zhang, H. Zhang and H. Zhao, Experimental and theoretical understanding on electrochemical activation and inactivation processes of Nb<sub>3</sub>O<sub>7</sub>(OH) for ambient electrosynthesis of NH<sub>3</sub>, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2019, **7**, 16969–16978.
- 33 G. Kresse and J. Furthmüller, Efficient iterative schemes for ab initio total-energy calculations using a plane-wave basis set, *Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys.*, 1996, **54**, 11169–11186.
- 34 G. Kresse and J. Furthmüller, Efficiency of ab-initio total energy calculations for metals and semiconductors using a plane-wave basis set, *Comput. Mater. Sci.*, 1996, **6**, 15–50.
- 35 G. Kresse and J. Hafner, *Ab initio* molecular-dynamics simulation of the liquid-metal-amorphous-semiconductor transition in germanium, *Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys.*, 1994, **49**, 14251–14269.
- 36 P. E. Blöchl, Projector augmented-wave method, *Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys.*, 1994, **50**, 17953–17979.
- 37 J. P. Perdew, K. Burke and M. Ernzerhof, Generalized gradient approximation made simple, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 1996, **77**, 3865–3868.
- 38 H. J. Monkhorst and J. D. Pack, Special points for Brillouin-zone integrations, *Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys.*, 1976, **13**, 5188–5192.
- 39 Y. Sun, X. Hu, W. Luo and Y. Huang, Self-assembled hierarchical MoO<sub>2</sub>/graphene nanoarchitectures and their application as a high-performance anode material for lithium-ion batteries, *ACS Nano*, 2011, **5**, 7100–7107.
- 40 J. Kibsgaard, Z. Chen, B. N. Reinecke and T. F. Jaramillo, Engineering the surface structure of MoS<sub>2</sub> to preferentially expose active edge sites for electrocatalysis, *Nat. Mater.*, 2012, **11**, 963–969.
- 41 W. J. Xie, X. Li and F. J. Zhang, Mo-vacancy induced high performance for photocatalytic hydrogen production over MoS<sub>2</sub> nanosheets cocatalyst, *Chem. Phys. Lett.*, 2020, **746**, 137276.
- 42 J. Masa, C. Andronesco and W. Schuhmann, Electrocatalysis as the nexus for sustainable renewable energy: the gordian knot of activity, stability, and selectivity, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2020, **59**, 15298–15312.

