Nanoscale Advances

View Article Online

View Journal | View Issue

Check for updates

Cite this: Nanoscale Adv., 2022, 4, 26

Received 12th October 2021 Accepted 13th November 2021

DOI: 10.1039/d1na00740h

rsc.li/nanoscale-advances

Enhancing the activity and stability of carbonsupported platinum-gadolinium nanoalloys towards the oxygen reduction reaction[†]

C. A. Campos-Roldán, ^[b] ^a F. Pailloux,^b P.-Y. Blanchard, ^[b] ^a D. J. Jones,^a J. Rozière^a and S. Cavaliere ^[b] *^{ac}

The activity/stability towards the ORR of Pt_xGd/C nanoalloys has been enhanced by controlling the atmosphere during the dealloying process. By minimising the formation of porous nanoarchitectures, the ORR activity is increased, and is accompanied by higher activity retention and attenuation of metal dissolution on cycling to high voltage.

Platinum-rare earth metal (Pt-REM) alloys have been reported as being some of the most active and stable electrocatalysts towards the oxygen reduction reaction (ORR), in particular the Pt-Gd system.1,2 From previous studies on Pt-Gd sputtercleaned polycrystalline surfaces1,3 and non-supported nanoparticles (NPs)² it can be concluded that the ORR enhancement is related to the formation of a strained Pt overlayer due to the lattice contraction caused by the Pt-Gd alloy core,⁴ that weakens the binding energy between Pt and oxygen. Moreover, their long-term stability towards the ORR was linked to the Pt-Gd alloy formation energy, as well as the protective Pt overlayer.¹ However, the desirable production of actual Pt-Gd NPs dispersed over high surface-area supports is the bottleneck for the implementation of these systems in proton exchange membrane fuel cell (PEMFC) technologies,⁵⁻⁸ since the reduction potential of Gd is far beyond the stability range of water.9 Thus, the Pt-Gd nanoalloy formation represents a big challenge. Recently, Hu et al.9 have developed a solid-state synthesis approach to produce carbon-supported Pt-REM nanostructures directly. Taking the Pt-Gd/C system as model,10 the authors have reported a high active ORR electrocatalyst; however, its stability did not match the expected behaviour. This feature is

related to the crystalline phase (cubic Pt_2Gd) and the formation of porous nanoarchitectures which are easily degraded under the harsh ORR conditions (nanoalloy segregation, metal dissolution, morphology transitions, *etc.*),¹¹ resulting in significant performance decay. The formation of such sponge-like structures has been also reported for nanoparticulate systems such as Pt–Ni¹² and Pt–Co,¹³ where they compromise the activity/stability benefits of the alloyed systems. Therefore, the stability enhancement of the novel nanostructured Pt–Gd/C system is of paramount importance for its implementation in PEMFC. Herein, we have produced Pt_xGd/C nanostructures using a solid-state synthesis method and provide evidence that the formation of the porous structures is minimised (but not suppressed) though a N₂-atmosphere dealloying process, leading to ORR activity/stability enhancement.

Following our previous contribution,11 in which we have identified the optimal Pt:Gd composition, PtrGd NPs dispersed over conventional carbon Vulcan XC-72 were prepared. After the synthesis reaction (see details in the ESI[†]), the XRD pattern of the as-prepared material, Fig. S1,[†] shows the Pt₂Gd and Pt₃Gd reflections, along with GdO_x and cyanamide crystalline complexes. Such reaction sub-products might be removed via an acidic wash.¹⁰ Simultaneously, the dealloying process, *i.e.* the selective dissolution of Gd, takes place, leading to the formation of a Pt shell around the Pt_xGd alloy core. The gaseous environment in which the dealloy protocol is performed has a great impact on the free corrosion potential (or open circuit potential, OCP) of a corroding system:¹⁴ the presence of oxygen creates a complex mixed OCP, which affects the dealloying kinetics.¹⁴ With this knowledge, we have produced and compared the electrocatalysts obtained by the acid wash under air- vs. N2atmosphere (see detail in the ESI⁺). Fig. 1 reveals that the remaining sub-products are successfully removed after both leaching protocols, confirming the formation of Pt-Gd alloy (Pt₂Gd and Pt₃Gd reflections). The XPS spectra, cf. Fig. 1b, support formation of the Pt-Gd alloy with features characteristic of the metallic states of both elements.² Besides, the binding energy of the Pt signals is downshifted with respect to

^eICGM, Université de Montpellier, CNRS, ENSCM, 34095 Montpellier Cédex 5, France. E-mail: sara.cavaliere@umontpellier.fr

^bInstitut P', CNRS, Université de Poitiers, ISAE, ENSMA, UPR 3346, 11 Boulevard Marie et Pierre Curie, Site du Futuroscope, TSA 41123, 86073 Poitiers Cédex 9, France ^cInstitut Universitaire de France (IUF), 1 Rue Descartes, 75231 Paris Cedex 05, France † Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: Experimental details, materials synthesis and characterisation, and complementary XRD, TEM, STEM and electrochemical results. See DOI: 10.1039/d1na00740h



Fig. 1 (a) Powder XRD patterns (b) Gd 4d and Pt 4f photoemission lines; and (c) high-angle annular dark field STEM micrographs of the Pt_xGd/C catalysts washed under air- or N_2 -atmosphere. 40% Pt/C from Johnson-Matthey is used as reference in (a) and (b).

the Pt/C reference material, suggesting a modification of the Pt electronic structure.^{15,16}

The metal content and Pt : Gd ratio, in the bulk and at the near-surface level, were estimated using ICP-MS and XPS, Table 1, and a slightly higher Pt: Gd ratio was observed after the leaching under N₂-atmosphere. Moreover, the TEM micrographs shown in Fig. S2[†] reveal that, regardless of the leaching treatment, a bimodal particle size distribution is observed, mean values of which have the same magnitude (Table 1). The STEM micrographs depicted in Fig. 1c, however, show that porous nanoarchitectures are formed through the leaching under air atmosphere. We have reported that the nanopore formation initiates where Gd is relatively abundant in the particle,¹¹ and its dissolution can proceed until Pt is sufficiently available to form a protective layer and stabilise the structure. This effect is pronounced in NPs with sizes> 10 nm.¹¹ Although the population of these structures is attenuated with the wash under N2-atmosphere, in some regions we have observed porous NPs (Fig. S3[†]). Therefore, the acid wash under N₂atmosphere substantially modulates (but does not impede) the formation of porous NPs. Gan et al.14 have demonstrated that for dealloyed PtNi3 NPs, the absence of oxygen decreases the dissolution rate of the less noble metal; thus, the Pt surface diffusion is fast enough to stabilise the structure and prevent the formation of nanoporosity.

On the other hand, DFT screenings have suggested that the presence of oxygen induces non-precious metal surface segregation in Pt-based alloys.¹⁷ Our results reflect such an effect.

The surface electrochemistry was examined in 0.1 M HClO₄ at the beginning of the accelerated degradation test (BoT), *cf.* Fig. 2a and S4,[†] when the typical Pt signals in acid electrolyte were acquired. The H_{upd} region of the Pt_xGd/C materials present different shapes with respect to Pt/C. This observation supports the change in the Pt–H interactions coming from the alloying effect.¹⁸ While such Pt signals seem to be unaltered at the end of the test (EoT) for the Pt_xGd/C materials, the voltammogram of Pt/C shows that this catalyst underwent evident degradation (Fig. S4[†]).

The ECSA values were determined by the CO-stripping method (Fig. S5†). One can notice that, for both Pt_xGd/C materials at the BoT and EoT, there are two CO-oxidation processes, which are related to the mix of agglomerated particles and small NPs.¹⁹ The TEM analysis shown in Fig. S2† supports this argument. Moreover, the relationship between the integrated charge (Q) for the H_{upd} desorption and the CO-stripping process has been considered as an indicator for the surface structural and electronic properties.¹⁸ Table 2 reports this parameter for the electrocatalysts under comparison. For Pt/C, the $Q_{CO}/2Q_{H_{upd}}$ is close to 1, in concordance with previous studies.¹⁸ In the case of both Pt_xGd/C materials, the higher Q_{CO}

Table 1 Chemical composition and mean particle size of the Pt_xGd/C catalysts dealloyed under air- or N₂-atmosphere

Sample	Metal content (% wt)	Pt : Gd ratio (ICP-MS)	Pt : Gd ratio (XPS)	Mean particle size (nm)
Leaching@air	Pt: 27.2	Pt _{4.7} Gd	Pt _{8.3} Gd	$\textbf{7.8} \pm \textbf{1.2}$
	Gd: 4.2			14.1 ± 2.6
Leaching@N ₂	Pt: 26.5	Pt _{4.5} Gd	Pt _{7.8} Gd	7.6 ± 1.4
	Gd: 4.6			14.9 ± 2.8



Fig. 2 (a) Cyclic voltammograms (N₂-saturated 0.1 M HClO₄ at 20 mV s⁻¹); (b) ORR polarisation curves (O₂-saturated 0.1 M HClO₄ at 20 mV s⁻¹) and 1600 rpm) at BoT and EoT (the inset shows the *iR*-free electrode potential *vs.* mass-corrected kinetic current normalized by Pt surface); (c) ECSA values at BoT and EoT; (d) specific activity at 0.9 V at BoT and EoT; and (e) dissolved Pt and Gd in the electrolyte of the Pt_xGd/C catalysts washed under air- or N₂-atmosphere. 40% Pt/C from Johnson-Matthey is used as reference in (c)–(e).

value with respect to $2Q_{\rm H_{upd}}$ indicates the well-known changed adsorption properties for Pt alloys.^{3,18}

Besides, the $Q_{\rm CO}/2Q_{\rm H_{upd}}$ values for both Pt_xGd/C materials suggest the formation of the Pt-skeleton structure (0.94 < $Q_{\rm CO}/2Q_{\rm H_{upd}}$ < 1.5),²⁰ which is maintained at the EoT. We have previously reported the formation of the Pt overlayer in this system, which supports this observation.¹¹

Notably, the ORR polarisation curves reveal a higher activity retention of the Pt_xGd/C acid-washed under N_2 -atmosphere, *cf.* Fig. 2b and S4.† The ECSA and specific-surface activity, at the BoT and at EoT, are shown in Fig. 2c and d. The Pt and Gd dissolution occurring during the degradation protocol was estimated by *ex situ* ICP-MS measurements of the electrolyte at the EoT, *cf.* Fig. 2d. Such kinetic parameters are shown in Table 2. In terms of ECSA, the higher value of the air-leached material reflects the higher population of porous nanoarchitectures which, at the EoT, *experience* a considerable degradation as

confirmed by the higher concentration of Gd dissolved into the electrolyte at EoT.

This undesirable degradation is suppressed in the solid NPs produced by the N₂-atmosphere leaching. In terms of the ORR intrinsic activity, *i.e.*, the specific activity I_s evaluated at 0.9 V, both Pt_xGd/C electrocatalysts outperform the reference Pt/C material. However, the N₂-leached material is characterised by a higher I_s , demonstrating that the solid NPs present higher intrinsic activity. Such an effect could be related to the higher Gd concentration at the near-surface region, which induces a stronger strain/ligand effects on the Pt overlayer, boosting the ORR kinetics.

At the EoT, the N₂-leached Pt_xGd/C retained *ca.* 85% of its initial I_s , whereas the air-leached Pt_xGd/C retained *ca.* 67%. The higher Gd dissolution could weaken the strain/ligand effect for the air-leached Pt_xGd/C; thus, the solid NPs confer higher intrinsic ORR activity and stability.

Table 2 ORR kinetic parameters of the Pt_xGd/C catalysts leached under air- or N₂-atmosphere (* at EoT)

Sample	$Q_{ m CO}/2Q_{ m H_{upd}}$	$\text{ECSA}_{\text{CO}}\left(m^2 \; {g_{\text{Pt}}}^{-1}\right)$	$I_{\rm s} = 0.9 \text{ V} (\text{mA cm}_{\rm Pt}^{-2})$	$I_{\rm m}$ (a) 0.9 V (A mg _{Pt} ⁻¹)	Dissolved Pt $(ng L^{-1})$	Dissolved Gd $(ng L^{-1})$
Leaching@air	1.09	52.01	1.31	0.68	9.45*	95.24*
	1.06*	40.18*	0.89*	0.36*		
Leaching@N ₂	1.12	37.70	1.96	0.72	4.51*	50.07*
	1.10*	33.75*	1.67*	0.59*		

Communication

Conclusions

The activity/stability of carbon-supported Pt_xGd nanoalloys has been improved by minimising the formation of porous nanoarchitectures. This feature was achieved by performing the dealloying process under N₂-atmosphere in order to avoid the O₂-induced Gd segregation, and favour the stabilisation of solid NPs. Such NPs present higher intrinsic ORR activity and, more important, a notably higher stability. The results in this work might be a baseline to produce high active/stable Pt–REM/C nanoalloys.

Author contributions

The manuscript was written through contributions of all authors. All authors have given approval to the final version of the manuscript. C. A. Campos-Roldán, investigation, methodology, data curation, writing – original draft. F. Pailloux HAADF-STEM experiments, writing – review & editing. D. J. Jones, funding acquisition, conceptualization, writing – review & editing. P.-Y. Blanchard, supervision, writing – review & editing. J. Rozière, conceptualization, writing–review & editing. S. Cavaliere, funding acquisition, conceptualization, supervision, writing – review & editing.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgements

The research leading to these results has received funding from the European Research Council under the European Union's Seventh Framework Programme/ERC Grant Agreement SPINAM no. 306682 and from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme, Fuel Cells and Hydrogen 2 Joint Undertaking under the GAIA project, Grant Agreement No. 826097. This Joint Undertaking receives support from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme, Hydrogen Europe and Hydrogen Europe Research. SC acknowledges IUF for financial support.

References

- M. Escudero-Escribano, A. Verdaguer-Casadevall, P. Malacrida, U. Gronbjerg, B. P. Knudsen, A. K. Jepsen, J. Rossmeisl, I. E. Stephens and I. Chorkendorff, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2012, 134, 16476–16479.
- 2 A. Velázquez-Palenzuela, F. Masini, A. F. Pedersen, M. Escudero-Escribano, D. Deiana, P. Malacrida, T. W. Hansen, D. Friebel, A. Nilsson, I. E. L. Stephens and I. Chorkendorff, *J. Catal.*, 2015, 328, 297–307.

- 3 M. Escudero-Escribano, P. Malacrida, M. Hansen, U. Vej-Hansen, A. Velázquez-Palenzuela, V. Tripkovic, J. Schiøtz, J. Rossmeisl, I. Stephens and I. Chorkendorff, *Science*, 2018, **352**, 73–76.
- 4 A. F. Pedersen, E. T. Ulrikkeholm, M. Escudero-Escribano, T. P. Johansson, P. Malacrida, C. M. Pedersen, M. H. Hansen, K. D. Jensen, J. Rossmeisl, D. Friebel, A. Nilsson, I. Chorkendorff and I. E. L. Stephens, *Nano Energy*, 2016, 29, 249–260.
- 5 J. N. Schwämmlein, G. S. Harzer, P. Pfändner,
 A. Blankenship, H. A. El-Sayed and H. A. Gasteiger, *J. Electrochem. Soc.*, 2018, 165, J3173–J3185.
- 6 S. G. Peera, T. G. Lee and A. K. Sahu, *Sustainable Energy Fuels*, 2019, **3**, 1866–1891.
- 7 T. Chu, M. Xie, D. Yang, P. Ming, B. Li and C. Zhang, *Int. J. Hydrogen Energy*, 2020, **45**, 27291–27298.
- 8 B. Eriksson, G. Montserrat-Sisó, R. Brown, T. Skála,
 R. Wreland Lindström, G. Lindbergh, B. Wickman and
 C. Lagergren, *Electrochim. Acta*, 2021, 387, 138454.
- 9 Y. Hu, J. O. Jensen, L. N. Cleemann, B. A. Brandes and Q. Li, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2020, **142**, 953–961.
- 10 Y. Hu, J. O. Jensen, P. Norby, L. N. Cleemann, F. Yang and Q. Li, *Chem. Mater.*, 2021, 33, 535–546.
- C. A. Campos-Roldán, F. Pailloux, P.-Y. Blanchard, D. J. Jones, J. Rozière and S. Cavaliere, ACS Catal., 2021, 13519–13529, DOI: 10.1021/acscatal.1c02449.
- 12 C. Baldizzone, L. Gan, N. Hodnik, G. P. Keeley, A. Kostka, M. Heggen, P. Strasser and K. J. J. Mayrhofer, ACS Catal., 2015, 5, 5000–5007.
- 13 A. K. Schuppert, A. Savan, A. Ludwig and K. J. J. Mayrhofer, *Electrochim. Acta*, 2014, **144**, 332–340.
- 14 L. Gan, M. Heggen, R. O'Malley, B. Theobald and P. Strasser, *Nano Lett.*, 2013, **13**, 1131–1138.
- 15 C. A. Campos-Roldán, G. Ramos-Sanchez, R. G. Gonzalez-Huerta, J. R. Vargas Garcia, P. B. Balbuena and N. Alonso-Vante, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2016, **8**, 23260–23269.
- 16 S. J. Hwang, S. K. Kim, J. G. Lee, S. C. Lee, J. H. Jang, P. Kim, T. H. Lim, Y. E. Sung and S. J. Yoo, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2012, 134, 19508–19511.
- 17 M. Sarwar, J. L. Gavartin, A. Martinez Bonastre, S. Garcia Lopez, D. Thompsett, S. C. Ball, A. Krzystala, G. Goldbeck and S. A. French, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2020, **22**, 5902– 5914.
- 18 D. F. van der Vliet, C. Wang, D. Li, A. P. Paulikas, J. Greeley, R. B. Rankin, D. Strmenik, D. Tripkovic, N. M. Markovic and V. R. Stamenkovic, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.*, 2012, 51, 3139–3142.
- 19 C. A. Campos-Roldán and N. Alonso-Vante, J. Solid State Electrochem., 2021, 25, 187–194.
- 20 R. Chattot, I. Martens, M. Scohy, J. Herranz, J. Drnec, F. Maillard and L. Dubau, *ACS Energy Lett.*, 2019, 5, 162–169.