Nanoscale Advances

PAPER

Check for updates

Cite this: *Nanoscale Adv.*, 2022, 4, 3909

Received 26th April 2022 Accepted 8th August 2022

DOI: 10.1039/d2na00257d

rsc.li/nanoscale-advances

1 Introduction

For decades, manganese oxides (MnO, Mn₃O₄, Mn₂O₃, and MnO₂) have been considered as promising materials due to their wide range of technological applications, including as catalysts, electrochemical materials, ion-exchange materials, and high-density magnetic storage media. Among the various types of manganese oxides, nanostructured manganese dioxide (MnO₂) exists in various polymorph forms, such as α -, β -, γ -, δ -, ϵ -, and λ -MnO₂, in which all the crystalline structures are composed of octahedral MnO₆ building blocks,¹ with oxygen atoms at the corners of the octahedra and Mn atoms at the center. Further, for the α -MnO₂ structure, its double chains of edge-sharing MnO₆ octahedra form 2 × 2 square-shaped open tunnels with dimensions of 4.6 × 4.6 Å.²

It has been recently reported that the catalytic activity of MnO₂ is related to its structural features. Different polymorphs of MnO₂ were investigated as an electrocatalyst,^{3,4} and α -MnO₂ exhibited a great catalytic activity. A similar sequence of the ORR catalyst activity was found in MnO₂ with different crystal forms: β -MnO₂ < λ -MnO₂ < γ -MnO₂ < α -MnO₂ $\approx \delta$ -MnO₂.³ Here, the α -MnO₂ (Mn₈O₁₆) type often contain cations with 1⁺ or 2⁺ charges that are located within the manganese oxide tunnels where the Mn cations possess mixed 3⁺ and 4⁺ oxidation states.⁵ In general, the α -MnO₂ oxide forms in the isostructural series

A new approach in the one-step synthesis of α -MnO₂ via a modified solution combustion procedure

Mahsa Mohammadi Moqaddam, Mostafa Mirjalili, 🕩 * Jalil Vahdati Khaki and Sahar Mollazadeh Beidokhti

Manganese oxides were synthesized systematically *via* the solution combustion procedure using two kinds of fuels, namely glycine and urea. The influences of the type of fuel and the fuel ratio were deeply investigated to explain the phase evolution and morphology of the product. The synthesized nanostructured powder was characterized by X-ray diffraction, particle size analysis, and FESEM. Furthermore, the thermodynamic aspects of all the synthesis reactions were studied by the calculation of the adiabatic temperature. Various manganese oxides, such as MnO, Mn_3O_4 , Mn_2O_3 , and MnO_2 , were obtained by varying the fuel ratio from 0.15 to 2. It was found that decreasing the fuel ratio promoted the formation of MnO_2 by declining the combustion temperature and reductive conditions of the system. However, α -MnO₂ could be simply achieved by adding KNO₃ in a modified solution combustion process under fuel-lean conditions. Further heat treatment of the product was found to increase the crystallinity of the α -MnO₂ nanoparticles.

> with a general formula, whereby α -MnO₂ can be formed only in the presence of a large ion, such as K⁺, and the general formula is A_{2-y}B_{8-z}X₁₆ (A represents large ions, such as Ba²⁺, Pb²⁺ or K⁺; B is Mn⁴⁺, Fe³⁺, or Mn²⁺; X is O²⁻ or OH⁻; and 0.8 < *y* < 1.3 and 0.1 < *z* < 0.5). The most common form is K_xMn₈O₁₆ (cryptomelane); however, the 2 × 2 tunnels of α -MnO₂ can reversibly host various other cations, such as Ag⁺, NH⁴⁺, and Na⁺.⁶⁻⁸ α -MnO₂ has been used in lithium-ion batteries, supercapacitors, and catalysts because of its high theoretical capacitance (1370 F.g⁻¹), low toxicity, low cost, natural abundance, and environmental friendliness.

> Potassium-containing cryptomelane (K_rMn₈O₁₆) can be synthesized by a variety of methods, including hydrothermal^{9,10} and sol-gel^{11,12} techniques. Among these mentioned synthesis procedures, the hydrothermal method has been mostly used to synthesize α -MnO₂. Moreover, redox sol-gel and acid digestion have been employed to synthesize K_{1.2}Mn₈O₁₆ and K_{1.3}Mn₈O₁₆ for electrocatalytic application, which showed S-shaped discharge curves with voltage plateaus of 3.0 V and 2.45 V.13 Despite their relatively high plateau voltages, they showed modest initial discharge capacities of 160 and 188 mA h g^{-1} , when examined at 0.1 mA cm⁻² and 50 mA g⁻¹, respectively.¹⁴ In another study, cryptomelane-type tunnel-structured manganese dioxides $(K_x Mn_8 O_{16})$ with different K^+ amounts were prepared by a hydrothermal redox reaction. The K^+ content of $K_r Mn_8 O_{16}$ was controlled by altering the reactant ratio of $K_2SO_4/$ $(NH_4)_2SO_4$. The catalytic behavior of $K_xMn_8O_{16}$ with different amounts of K^+ was evaluated using the cyclic voltammetry (CV) technique and the results suggested that K_xMn₈O₁₆ with a lower



View Article Online

View Journal | View Issue

Department of Materials and Metallurgical Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, Ferdowsi University of Mashhad, Mashhad 91775-1111, Iran. E-mail: mirjalili@um. ac.ir

 K^+ content (x = 0.0, 0.32) showed a higher delivered capacity, improved capacity retention, higher discharge voltage, and higher lithium-ion diffusion coefficient (D_{Li^+}) than high K^+ (x = 0.51, 0.70, 0.75)-containing $K_x Mn_8 O_{16}$.⁹ The disadvantage of these methods is that they require high temperatures, expensive precursors, and long processing times for the synthesis, which also often need special and expensive equipment.

Solution combustion synthesis (SCS) is a combustion-based process that involves converting fuel and an oxidant into a foamy crystalline material through rapid exothermic reactions. Research on SCS has attracted extensive attention in recent years, due to its various advantages, including its ability to reach high temperatures, quick heating, short reaction times, and the formation of pure products.¹⁵⁻¹⁸

In the present study, α -MnO₂ powders were synthesized through a solution combustion synthesis method. Considering the fact that MnO₂ is stable at low temperatures while the other forms of manganese oxide are stable at high temperatures, the synthesis of MnO₂ was challenging by the SCS method, as this is a high-temperature process. Moreover, attaining α -MnO₂ makes the process more complicated. Thus, various parameters, such as adiabatic temperature, adding a stabilizer, and varying the fuel/oxidizer ratio and the type of fuel, were controlled in order to produce this unique structure. More importantly, our study highlights the improvement of the physicochemical properties of the as-synthesized α -MnO₂ powders by modifying the morphology and particle size. Furthermore, the impact of K⁺ on stabilizing α -MnO₂ was investigated through the SCS process.

2 Experimental procedure

2.1 Synthesis of α -MnO₂

Manganese(II) nitrate tetrahydrate (Mn $(NO_3)_2 \cdot 4H_2O > 99\%$, Merck, Germany), potassium nitrate (KNO₃ > 99%, Merck, Germany)m and urea (CH₄N₂O > 99%, Neutron, Iran) were utilized as precursors.

The synthesis procedure was carried out according to the following five systems.

Stoichiometric system using glycine and urea as fuels with the ratio of the total reducing valences to total oxidizing valences being unity ($\varphi = 1$) for the production of MnO₂. This system was applied to study the effect of the fuel type on the physicochemical properties of the final product (samples G-1 and U-1).

Non-stoichiometric system with various φ ratios ($\varphi = 0.15$, 0.25, 0.5, 1, and 2) using glycine: This system was used to investigate the effect of the φ value on the physicochemical properties of the final product (samples G-0.15, G-0.25, G-0.5, G-1, and G-2).

Non-stoichiometric system with various φ ratios ($\varphi = 0.15$, 0.25, 0.4, 0.5, 0.6, 0.8, 1, and 2) using urea: This system was used to investigate the effect of the φ value on the physicochemical properties of the final product (samples U-0.15, U-0.25, U-0.4, U-0.5, U-0.6, U-0.8, U-1, and U-2).

System using urea ($\varphi = 0.25$) with KCl as the stabilizer of α -MnO₂ with various K/Mn ratios of 0.006, 0.030, 0.059, and 0.259: This system was used to study the effect of KCl on the

physicochemical properties of the final product (samples U-KCl-0.006, U-KCl-0.030, U-KCl-0.059, and U-KCl-0.295).

System using urea with KNO₃ as the stabilizer of α -MnO₂ with various φ ratios ($\varphi = 0.8, 0.85, 0.9, \text{ and } 1$): This system was used to investigate the effect of KNO₃ on the physicochemical properties of the final product (samples U–K-0.8, U–K-0.85, U–K-0.9, and U–K-1). For this purpose, the mass ratio of KNO₃ to Mn(NO₃)₂·4H₂O was considered to be 0.8. The as-synthesized sample U–K-0.8 was also heat treated at 380 °C for 48 h to investigate the effect of annealing on the crystallinity of the nanoparticles (sample U–K-0.8-Ht).

Table 1 presents the balanced reactions between the nitrates, fuels, and stabilizer at various φ values (system 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5). In the synthesis procedure, the desired amounts of precursors were first dissolved in 10 mL of deionized water according to the equations listed in Table 1. The solution was then magnetically stirred to achieve a homogenous solution. The obtained clear solution was poured into an alumina crucible and placed on a hotplate. The solution was heated until the extra water was evaporated and a gel formed. In this step, the temperature increased and once it exceeded the ignition temperature of the reaction, combustion occurred. Then the combustion reaction was ignited in a flaming manner, resulting in the formation of crystalline nanopowders. Afterwards, the product was filtered and rinsed with deionized water several times. Finally, the powder was placed in an oven and dried at 60 °C for 1 h.

2.2 Characterization

The phase composition of the synthesized samples was analyzed using an Explorer GNR X-ray diffraction (XRD) system using Cu-K_α radiation ($\lambda = 0.15418$ nm) with step-scanning over the range of 12° to 80° and a step size of 0.02°. All the XRD patterns were investigated by X'Pert High Score Plus software. The particle-size distribution of combusted powders was estimated using a Cordonuan Vasco3 particle-size analyzer (PSA). The morphology and microstructure of the optimum samples were investigated on a Tescan Brno-Mira3 LMU field-emission scanning electron microscopy (FE-SEM) system.

3 Results and discussion

3.1 Thermodynamic aspects

The adiabatic temperature, T_{ad} , of all the experimental systems was calculated on the basis of eqn (1) (ref. 17) by using HSC Chemistry 6.0 software. It should be noted that all the calculations were applied for Mn(NO₃)₂·6H₂O, since the thermodynamic data for Mn(NO₃)₂·4H₂O, which was used as the precursor in our experiments, were not available.

$$-\Delta H_{\rm f} = \int_{298}^{T_{\rm ad}} \sum C_{\rm p(products)} dT \tag{1}$$

where C_p and ΔH_f^0 are the molar heat capacity at constant pressure and standard enthalpy of the reaction, respectively. Fig. 1 indicates the dependency of the adiabatic temperature on the φ values for glycine and urea fuels. The adiabatic temperature for the stoichiometric reactions of MnO₂, Mn₂O₃, Mn₃O₄,

Table 1	Chemical	reactions for	r the	applied	systems	in	the	MnO_2	synthesis
---------	----------	---------------	-------	---------	---------	----	-----	---------	-----------

System	Sample code	Chemical reaction	φ
1	G-1	$Mn(NO_3)_2 \cdot 4H_2O + 0.889C_2H_5NO_2 = MnO_2 + 1.778 CO_2 (g) + 6.222H_2O (g) + 1.444 N_2 (g)$	1
	U-1	$Mn(NO_3)_2 \cdot 4H_2O + 1.333 CH_4N_2O = MnO_2 + 1.333 CO_2 (g) + 6.667H_2O (g) + 2.333 N_2 (g)$	1
2	G-0.15	$ \begin{array}{l} Mn(NO_3)_2 \cdot 4H_2O + 0.133C_2H_5NO_2 = 0.150 \ MnO_2 + 0.266 \ CO_2 \ (g) + 0.216 \ N_2 \ (g) + 0.934H_2O \ (g) + 0.850 \ Mn(NO_3)_2 \cdot 4H_2O \end{array} $	0.15
	G-0.25	$ \begin{array}{l} Mn(NO_3)_2 \cdot 4H_2O + 0.222C_2H_5NO_2 = 0.250 \ MnO_2 + 0.444 \ CO_2 \ (g) + 1.555H_2O \ (g) + 0.361 \ N_2 \ (g) + 0.750 \ Mn(NO_3)_2 \cdot 4H_2O \end{array} \right. \label{eq:model}$	0.25
	G-0.5	$ \begin{array}{l} Mn(NO_3)_2 \cdot 4H_2O + 0.444C_2H_5NO_2 = 0.500 \ MnO_2 + 0.888 \ CO_2 \ (g) + 3.111H_2O \ (g) + 0.722 \ N_2 \ (g) + 0.500 \ Mn(NO_3)_2 \cdot 4H_2O \end{array} \right. \label{eq:model}$	0.5
	G-1	$Mn(NO_{3})_{2} \cdot 4H_{2}O + 0.889C_{2}H_{5}NO_{2} = MnO_{2} + 1.778 CO_{2} (g) + 6.222H_{2}O (g) + 1.444 N_{2} (g)$	1
	G-2	$ \begin{aligned} &Mn(NO_3)_2 \cdot 4H_2O + 1.778C_2H_5NO_2 = MnO_2 + 1.778\ CO_2\ (g) + 6.222H_2O\ (g) + 1.444\ N_2\ (g) + 0.889C_2H_5NO_2 \end{aligned} $	2
3	U-0.15	$ \begin{split} &Mn(NO_3)_2 \cdot 4H_2O + 0.200 \ CH_4N_2O = 0.150 \ MnO_2 + 0.200 \ CO_2 \ (g) + 0.350 \ N_2 \ (g) + H_2O \ (g) + 0.850 \\ &Mn(NO_3)_2 \cdot 4H_2O \end{split} $	0.15
	U-0.25	$ \begin{array}{l} Mn(NO_3)_2 \cdot 4H_2O + 0.333 \ CH_4N_2O = 0.250 \ MnO_2 + 0.333 \ CO_2 \ (g) + 0.583 \ N_2 \ (g) + 1.667H_2O \ (g) + 0.750 \ Mn(NO_3)_2 \cdot 4H_2O \end{array} \right. \\ \left. \begin{array}{l} \\ \end{array} \right. \\ \left. \begin{array}{l} \\ \end{array} \right) \left. \left. \begin{array}{l} \\ \end{array} \right) \left. \begin{array}{l} \\ \end{array} \right) \left. \left. \left. \begin{array}{l} \\ \end{array} \right) \left. \left. \begin{array}{l} \\ \end{array} \right) \left. \left. \left. \begin{array}{l} \\ \end{array} \right) \left. \left. \left. \left. \begin{array}{l} \\ \end{array} \right) \left. \left. \left. \left. \begin{array}{l} \\ \end{array} \right) \left. \left. \left. \left. \right\right) \left. \left. \left. \right\right) \left. \left. \left. \left. \right\right) \left. \left. \right\right) \left. \left. \left. \left. \right\right) \left. \left. \left. \right\right) \left. \left. \left. \right\right) \left. \left. \left. \left. \right\right) \left. \left. \left. \right\right) \left. \left. \left. \left. \right\right) \left. \left. \left. \right\right) \left. \left. \left. \left. \right\right) \left. \left. \left. \left. \right\right) \left. \left. \right\right) \left. \left. \left. \left. \right\right) \left. \left. \left. \right\right) \left. \left. \left. \right\right) \left. \left. \left. \right\right) \left. \left. \left. \left. \right\right) \left. \left. \left. \left. \right\right) \left. \left. \left. \right\right) \left. \left. \left. \left. \right\right) \left. \left. \left. \left. \right\right) \left. \left. \left. \right\right) \left. \left. \left. \right\right) \left. \left. \left. \left. \right\right) \left. \left. \left. \right\right) \left. \left. \left. \left. \right\right) \right\right) \left. \left. \left. \left. \left. \right\right) \left. \left. \left. \left. \left. \right\right) \left. \left. \left. \right\right) \left. \left. \left. \right\right) \left. \left. \left. \left. \right\right) \right\right) \left. \left. \left. \left. \left. \left. \right\right) \left. \left. \left. \right\right) \left. \left. \left. \left. \right\right) \left. \left. \left. \left. \right\right) \left. \left. \left. \right\right) \left. \right\right) \left. \left. \left. \left. \left. \right\right) \left. \left. \left. \left. \right\right) \right\right. \right\right) \left. \left. \left. \left. \left. \left. \left. \right\right) \left. \left. \left. \left. \right\right) \left. \left. \left. \left. \right\right) \left. \left. \left. \left. \left. \left. \right\right) \right\right) \right\right. \right\right) \left. \left. \left. \left. \left. \left. \left. \right\right) \left. \left. \left. \left. \right\right) \left. \left. \left. \left. \left. \left. \right\right\right) \right\right. \right\right. \right\right) \left. \left. \left. \left. \left. \left. \left. \right\right$	0.25
	U-0.4	$ \begin{array}{l} Mn(NO_3)_2 \cdot 4H_2O + 0.533 \ CH_4N_2O = 0.400 \ MnO_2 + 0.533 \ CO_2 \ (g) + 2.667H_2O \ (g) + 0.933 \ N_2 \ (g) + 0.600 \ Mn(NO_3)_2 \cdot 4H_2O \end{array} \right. \\ \left. \begin{array}{l} \\ \end{array} \right. \\ \left. \begin{array}{l} \\ \end{array} \right) \left. \left. \begin{array}{l} \\ \end{array} \right) \left. \begin{array}{l} \\ \end{array} \right) \left. \left. \left. \left. \begin{array}{l} \\ \end{array} \right) \left. \left. \left. \left. \right\right) \left. \left. \left. \left. \left. \right\right) \left. \left. \left. \left. \right\right) \right\right) \left. \left. \left. \left. \right\right) \left. \left. \left. \left. \right\right) \left. \left. \left. \left. \right\right) \right\right) \left. \left. \left. \left. \left. \right\right) \left. \left. \left. \left. \right\right) \left. \left. \right\right) \left. \left. \left. \left. \right\right) \left. \left. \left. \right\right) \left. \left. \left. \right\right) \left. \left. \left. \left. \right\right) \left. \left. \left. \right\right) \left. \left. \left. \right\right) \left. \left. \left. \right\right) \left. \left. \left. \left. \right\right) \left. \left. \left. \right\right) \left. \left. \left. \right\right) \left. \left. \right\right) \left. \left. \left. \right\right) \left. \left. \left. \left. \right\right) \left. \left. \left. \right\right) \left. \left. \left. \right\right) \left. \left. \left. \left. \right\right) \left. \left. \left. \left. \left. \right\right) \left. \left. \left. \right\right) \left. \left. \left. \left. \right\right) \left. \left. \left. \left. \right\right) \right\right) \left. \left. \left. \left. \left\right) \left. \left. \left. \right\right) \left. \left. \left. \left. \left. \right\right) \left. \left. \left. \left. \left(\left. \right\right) \right\right) \right. \right) \left. \left. \left. \left. \left. \left(\left. \left. \right\right) \right\right) \left. \left. \left. \left(\left. \left. \right\right) \right\right) \left. \left. \left. \left. \left(\left. \left. \right\right) \right\right) \left. \left. \left. \left(\left. \left. \right\right) \right\right) \left. \right) \left. \left. \left. \left(\left. \left. \right\right) \left. \left. \left(\left. \right) \left. \left(\left. \left. \right\right) \right\right) \right\right) \left. \right) \left. \left. \left. \left(\left. \left. \right\right) \left. \left. \left(\left. \right\right) \right\right) \right\right) \left. \left. \left(\left. \left. \left. \right\right) \left. \left(\left. \left. \right\right) \right\right) \right\right) \left. \left. \left. \left(\left. \left. \right\right) \left. \right\right) \left. \left. \left(\left. \left. \right\right) \right$	0.4
	U-0.5	$ \begin{array}{l} Mn(NO_3)_2 \cdot 4H_2O + 0.667 \ CH_4N_2O = 0.500 \ MnO_2 + 0.667 \ CO_2 \ (g) + 3.333H_2O \ (g) + 1.166 \ N_2 \ (g) + 0.500 \ Mn(NO_3)_2 \cdot 4H_2O \end{array} \right. \\ \left. \begin{array}{l} \\ \end{array} \right. \\ \left. \begin{array}{l} \\ \end{array} \right) \left. \left(\begin{array}{l} \\ \end{array} \right) \left. \begin{array}{l} \\ \end{array} \right) \left. \begin{array}{l} \\ \end{array} \right) \left. \left. \left. \begin{array}{l} \\ \end{array} \right) \left. \left. \left. \begin{array}{l} \\ \end{array} \right) \left. \left. \left. \left. \right\right) \left. \left. \left. \left. \right\right) \left. \left. \right\right) \left. \left. \left. \left. \right\right) \left. \left. \left. \right\right) \left. \left. \left. \right\right) \left. \left. \left. \right\right) \left. \left. \right\right) \left. \left. \left. \left. \right\right) \left. \left. \left. \left. \right\right) \left. \left. \left. \right\right) \left. \left. \left. \left. \right\right) \left. \left. \left. \right\right) \left. \left. \left. \right\right) \left. \left. \left. \left. \right\right) \left. \left. \left. \left. \right\right) \left. \left. \left. \right\right) \left. \left. \left. \left. \right\right) \left. \left. \left. \left. \right\right) \left. \left. \left. \right\right) \left. \left. \left. \left. \right\right) \left. \left. \left. \left. \right\right) \left. \left. \left. \right\right) \left. \left. \left. \left. \right\right) \left. \left. \left. \right\right) \left. \left. \left. \left. \right\right) \left. \left. \left. \right\right) \left. \left. \left. \right\right) \left. \left. \left. \right\right) \left. \left. \left. \left. \left(\left. \right\right) \right\right) \left. \left. \left. \left. \right\right) \left. \left. \left. \left(\left. \right\right) \right\right) \left. \left. \left. \left(\left. \right\right) \left. \left. \left. \right\right) \left. \left. \left. \left. \right\right) \right\right) \right. \right) \left. \left. \left. \left. \left. \left. \left. \left. \right\right) \left. \left. \left. \left. \right\right) \left. \left. \left. \left. \right\right) \left. \left. \left. \left. \left. \left. \right\right) \left. \right\right) \left. \left. \left. \left. \right\right) \left. \left. \left. \left. \left. \right\right) \right\right. \right\right) \left. \left. \left. \left. \left. \left. \left. \right\right) \left. \left. \left. \right\right) \left. \left. \left. \left. \left. \left. \right\right) \left. \left. \left. \left. \right\right) \left. \right\right) \left. \left. \left. \left. \left. \left. \right\right) \left. \left. \left. \left. \right\right) \left. \left. $	0.5
	U-0.6	$ \begin{array}{l} Mn(NO_3)_2 \cdot 4H_2O + 0.800 \ CH_4N_2O = 0.600 \ MnO_2 + 0.800 \ CO_2 \ (g) + 4.000H_2O \ (g) + 1.400 \ N_2 \ (g) + 0.400 \ Mn(NO_3)_2 \cdot 4H_2O \end{array} \right. \\ \left. \begin{array}{l} \\ \end{array} \right. \\ \left. \begin{array}{l} \\ \end{array} \right) \left. \left. \end{array} \right) \left. \left. \left. \begin{array}{l} \\ \end{array} \right) \left. \left. \left. \begin{array}{l} \\ \end{array} \right) \left. \left. \left. \begin{array}{l} \\ \end{array} \right) \left. \left. \left. \left. \right) \left. \left. \left. \left. \right\right) \left. \right\right) \left. \left. \left. \left. \left. \right\right) \left. \left. \left. \right\right) \left. \left. \left. \right\right) \left. \left. \left. \right\right) \left. \left. \left. \left. \right\right) \left. \left. \left. \right\right) \left. \left. \left. \right\right) \left. \left. \left. \left. \right\right) \left. \left. \left. \right\right) \left. \left. \right\right) \left. \left. \left. \right\right) \left. \left. \left. \left. \right\right) \left. \left. \right\right) \left. \left. \left. \left. \right\right) \left. \left. \left. \right\right) \left. \left. \left. \left. \right\right) \left. \left. \left. \right\right) \left. \left. \left. \right\right) \left. \left. \left. \left. \right\right) \left. \left. \left. \right\right) \left. \left. \right\right) \left. \left. \left. \right\right) \left. \left. \left. \left. \right\right) \left. \left. \left. \right\right) \left. \left. \left. \right\right) \left. \left. \left. \left. \right\right) \left. \left. \left. \left. \right\right) \left. \left. \left. \right\right) \left. \left. \left. \left. \right\right) \left. \left. \left. \left. \right\right) \left. \left. \left. \right\right) \left. \left. \left. \left. \right\right) \left. \left. \left. \left. \right\right) \left. \left. \left. \left. \left. \right\right) \left. \left. \left. \right\right) \left. \left. \left. \left. \right\right) \left. \left. \left. \right\right) \left. \left. \left. \left. \right\right) \left. \left. \left. \left. \left. \right\right) \left. \left. \left. \left. \left. \right\right) \left. \left. \right\right) \left. \left. \left. \left. \right\right) \right\right) \right. \right) \left. \left. \left. \left. \left. \left. \left. \right\right) \left. \left. \left. \left. \right\right) \left. \left. \left. \left. \left. \left. \right\right) \left. \right\right) \left. \left. \left. \left. \left. \right\right) \left. \left. \left. \left. \right\right) \left. \left. \left. \left. \left. \right\right) \left. \left. \left. \right\right) \right\right) \right. \right. \right) \left. \left. \left. \left. \left. \left. \left. \right\right) \left. \left. \left. \left. \left. \right\right) \right\right. \right\right) \right. \right. \right) \left. \left. \left. \left. \left. \left. \left. \left. \right\right) \left. \left. \left. \left. \left. \right\right\right) \left. \left. \left. \left. \left. \left. \right\right\right) \right\right. \right$	0.6
	U-0.8	$ \begin{array}{l} Mn(NO_3)_2 \cdot 4H_2O + 1.066 \ CH_4N_2O = 0.800 \ MnO_2 + 1.066 \ CO_2 \ (g) + 5.332H_2O \ (g) + 1.866 \ N_2 \ (g) + 0.200 \ Mn(NO_3)_2 \cdot 4H_2O \end{array} $	0.8
	U-1	$Mn(NO_3)_2 \cdot 4H_2O + 1.333 CH_4N_2O = MnO_2 + 1.333 CO_2 (g) + 6.667H_2O (g) + 2.333 N_2 (g)$	1
	U-2	$\begin{array}{l} Mn(NO_3)_2 \cdot 4H_2O + 2.667 \ CH_4N_2O = MnO_2 + 1.333 \ CO_2 \ (g) + 6.667H_2O \ (g) + 2.333 \ N_2 \ (g) + 1.333 \ CH_4N_2O \end{array}$	2
4	U-KCl-0.006	$ \begin{array}{l} Mn(NO_3)_2 \cdot 4H_2O + 0.333 \ CH_4N_2O + 0.006 \ KCl = 0.250 \ MnO_2 + 0.333 \ CO_2 \ (g) + 0.583 \ N_2 \ (g) + 1.667H_2O \ (g) + 0.750 \ Mn(NO_3)_2 \cdot 4H_2O + 0.006 \ KCl \end{array} $	0.25
	U-KCl-0.030	$ \begin{array}{l} Mn \ (NO_3)_2 \cdot 4H_2O + 0.333 \ CH_4N_2O + 0.030 \ KCl = 0.250 \ MnO_2 + 0.333 \ CO_2 \ (g) + 0.583 \ N_2 \ (g) + 1.667H_2O \ (g) + 0.750 \ Mn(NO_3)_2 \cdot 4H_2O + 0.030 \ KCl \end{array} $	0.25
	U-KCl-0.059	$ \begin{array}{l} Mn(NO_3)_2 \cdot 4H_2O + 0.333 \ CH_4N_2O + 0.059 \ KCl = 0.250 \ MnO_2 + 0.333 \ CO_2 \ (g) + 0.583 \ N_2 \ (g) + 1.667H_2O \ (g) + 0.750 \ Mn(NO_3)_2 \cdot 4H_2O + 0.059 \ KCl \end{array} $	0.25
	U-KCl-0.295	$Mn(NO_3)_2 \cdot 4H_2O + 0.333 \text{ CH}_4N_2O + 0.295 \text{ KCl} = 0.250 \text{ MnO}_2 + 0.333 \text{ CO}_2 (g) + 0.583 \text{ N}_2 (g) + 1.667H_2O (g) + 0.750 \text{ Mn}(NO_3)_2 \cdot 4H_2O + 0.295 \text{ KCl}$	0.25
5	U-K-0.8	$Mn(NO_3)_2 \cdot 4H_2O + 2.4 \text{ CH}_4N_2O + 2 \text{ KNO}_3 = MnO_2 + 0.64 \text{ K}_2O + 2.4 \text{ CO}_2(g) + 8.8H_2O(g) + 4.04 \text{ N}_2$ (g) + 0.72 KNO ₃	0.8
	U-K-0.85	$Mn(NO_3)_2 \cdot 4H_2O + 2.55 CH_4N_2O + 2 KNO_3 = MnO_2 + 0.73 K_2O + 2.55 CO_2 (g) + 9.1H_2O (g) + 4.28 N_2 (g) + 0.54 KNO_3$	0.85
	U-K-0.9	$Mn(NO_3)_2 \cdot 4H_2O + 2.7 \text{ CH}_4N_2O + 2 \text{ KNO}_3 = MnO_2 + 0.82 \text{ K}_2O + 2.7 \text{ CO}_2(g) + 9.4H_2O(g) + 4.52 \text{ N}_2$ (g) + 0.36 KNO ₃	0.9
	U-K-1	$Mn(NO_3)_2 \cdot 4H_2O + 3 CH_4N_2O + 2 KNO_3 = MnO_2 + K_2O + 3 CO_2 (g) + 10H_2O (g) + 3.4 N_2 (g)$	1

Open Access Article. Published on 09 August 2022. Downloaded on 6/16/2025 7:27:54 PM.

MnO, and Mn are illustrated by dashed lines. Accordingly, the region between two lines shows the φ range for the stability of the mixed products, which are labeled on both lines. Obviously, for φ values less than 1.16, there was an excess amount of manganese nitrate that remained in product and acted as a diluent to reduce the adiabatic temperature. Similarly, for φ values more than 1.5, some fuel remained in the product and decreased the adiabatic temperature. As indicated in Fig. 1, the adiabatic temperature decreased with deviating the φ ratio from the value related to the production of Mn₃O₄ (φ = 1.16). Comparing Fig. 1(a) and (b) shows that the adiabatic temperature of the reactions was generally lower when urea was used as the fuel.

The equilibrium oxygen pressure ranges for the stability of MnO_2 , Mn_2O_3 , Mn_3O_4 , MnO, and Mn are shown *versus* the temperature in Fig. 2, which were calculated by HSC Chemistry

software. As indicated, at ambient atmosphere ($P_{O_2} = 0.21$ atm), MnO₂ was stable until the temperature reached 755 K; while the stability temperature ranges of Mn₂O₃ and Mn₃O₄ were 755–1172 K and 1172–1853 K, respectively. Accordingly, the enhanced temperatures obtained during the synthesis were not favorable for the production of MnO₂. Thus, φ values less than 1, which lead to lower adiabatic temperatures, are more desirable for the synthesis of MnO₂.

3.2 X-Ray diffraction results

Fig. 3 presents the XRD patterns of the synthesized powders according to the reactions mentioned for system 1 in Table 1 (samples G-1 and U-1). The purpose of this system was to assess the impact of the fuel type (glycine and urea) on the physico-chemical properties of the synthesized manganese oxides. As indicated in Fig. 3, sample G-1 dominantly consisted of Mn_3O_4



ig. 1 Adiabatic temperatures of the reactions versus the ϕ value considering (a) glycine, and (b) urea as fuel.



Fig. 2 Stability regions of MnO_2 , Mn_2O_3 , Mn_3O_4 , MnO, and Mn in terms of the temperature and oxygen pressure.

(ICDD 24-0734) with traces of MnO (ICDD 075-0626); whereas, sample U-1 mostly showed Mn₃O₄ with insignificant amounts of Mn₂O₃ (ICDD 041-1442). In contrast with the theoretical expectations for system 1 (Table 1), MnO₂ was not formed in these samples. This may be due to the decomposition of manganese nitrate, which is probable from the low temperatures. Therefore, a part of the manganese nitrate may have been decomposed during heating and combustion, which would have disturbed the initial stoichiometric ratio of the fuel and nitrate. Thus, there was an excess amount of fuel, which caused a more reductive condition and consequently led to the formation of Mn₂O₃, Mn₃O₄, and MnO instead of MnO₂. Moreover, since the combustion temperature was higher than the stability temperature of MnO₂ (which was less than 755 K, according to Fig. 2), the likely formed MnO₂ particles could deform to give the oxides with lower valences.

Comparison of the results obtained by glycine and urea showed that glycine caused a more reductive condition, yielding the formation of Mn_3O_4 and MnO, while in the synthesis by urea, Mn_3O_4 and Mn_2O_3 were formed. This can be attributed to



Fig. 3 XRD patterns of the synthesized powders in the stoichiometric system using glycine and urea with $\varphi = 1$.

the lower boiling point of urea (135 °C) rather than glycine (241 °C). Therefore, during the heating up till the ignition of the synthesis, greater amounts of urea can probably evaporate; thus, the synthesis condition by glycine seems to be more reductive in the empirical condition. Moreover, the higher adiabatic temperature of the synthesis by glycine provides the proper condition for stability of the oxides with lower valences, as discussed in Fig. 2. Besides, it is obvious that the synthesis by glycine produced a lesser amount of gases (Table 1, system 1), which reduces the heat loss and consequently decreases the combustion temperature drop. Therefore, it could be concluded that urea is a better fuel for the synthesis of MnO_2 due to its lower combustion temperature.

Fig. 4 indicates the XRD patterns of the samples of system 2. In this system, non-stoichiometric reactions with various φ ratios ($\varphi = 0.15, 0.25, 0.5, 1, \text{ and } 2$) using glycine were assessed to study the effect of the φ value on the types of produced manganese oxides (samples G-0.15, G-0.25, G-0.5, G-1, and G-2).



Fig. 4 XRD patterns of the synthesized powders in the non-stoichiometric system with various φ ratios ($\varphi = 0.15, 0.25, 0.5, 1$, and 2) using glycine.

As can be seen, sample G-2 consisted of Mn_3O_4 and MnO oxides.¹⁹ However, the thermodynamic calculations shown in Fig. 1 suggest that metallic Mn could be expected at $\varphi = 2$. This can be attributed to the fact that the stability temperature of Mn, which is indicated in Fig. 2, is higher than the adiabatic temperature of the system. Although, if some Mn is formed, it would be oxidized by the oxygen in the atmosphere during the synthesis.

According to Fig. 1, it could be anticipated that MnO₂ would form in the samples with $\varphi = 1$ and less (*i.e.*, samples G-0.15, G-0.25, G-0.5, and G-1). However, the XRD patterns showed Mn₃O₄ as the dominant oxide in sample G-1, and Mn₂O₃ as the dominant phase in samples G-0.15, G-0.25, and G-0.5. This could be attributed to the decomposition of manganese nitrate during heating and synthesis which increased the fuel to oxidizer ratio and provided a more reductive condition. As shown in Fig. 4, decreasing the φ value from 1 to 0.15 gradually led to the formation of Mn₂O₃ due to less reductive condition and the lower adiabatic temperature of the system. Despite the low φ value and adiabatic temperature, which provide proper conditions for the formation of MnO₂, no evidence of MnO₂ was observed in the XRD patterns, even for sample G-0.15. Accordingly, further attempts were followed using urea as a fuel (systems 3, 4, and 5), as described in Table 1.

The XRD patterns of the products attained by system 3 are shown in Fig. 5. In this system non-stoichiometric reactions with various φ ratios ($\varphi = 0.15, 0.25, 0.4, 0.5, 0.6, 0.8, 1, \text{ and } 2$) using urea were investigated (samples U-0.15, U-0.25, U-0.4, U-0.5, U-0.6, U-0.8, U-1, and U-2). As indicated, sample U-2 did not show Mn formation because the temperature during the synthesis did not reach the stability temperature of Mn. This sample was mostly formed of Mn₃O₄ and MnO oxides.¹⁹

The XRD pattern of sample U-1 showed Mn_2O_3 as the dominant oxide. However, MnO_2 was expected to form at $\varphi = 1$ and less. It returned to the decomposition of manganese nitrate



Fig. 5 XRD patterns of the synthesized powders in the non-stoichiometric system with various φ ratios ($\varphi = 0.15$, 0.25,0.4, 0.5, 0.6, 0.8, 1, and 2) using urea.

during heating, which increases the real fuel to oxidizer ratio, resulting in a more reductive condition. Besides, the high combustion temperature of this sample was not appropriate for the formation of MnO_2 which is stable at low temperatures.

Although it was anticipated that manganese oxides with higher valences, such as MnO_2 and Mn_2O_3 , would form with decreasing the φ ratio to values less than 1, an abnormal behavior was observed in the samples U-0.5, U-0.6, and U-0.8, which revealed Mn_3O_4 . This could be attributed to the dissimilar conditions of urea evaporation during the heating and gel formation, which led to the unexpected behavior. This behavior was not observed in the samples synthesized by glycine because of the higher boiling point of glycine.

In order to assess the impact of the φ value on the temperature and duration of the pre-combustion step, the temperature was measured and plotted versus time during the synthesis of the third system. Fig. 6 displays the thermal profiles of the samples U-0.15, U-0.5, and U-1, which were obtained using a Ktype thermocouple inserted in the synthesis environment and a data acquisition system for recording the temperature with time. A schematic of the main steps is illustrated in Table 2, including boiling, pre-combustion step (gel formation), combustion, and cooling. In the first step, the solution is heated to reach boiling point. During the boiling step, the temperature remains constant. Concentration of the solution gradually increases, leading to gel formation (pre-combustion step), which is accompanied by an enhancement of the temperature. Since the evaporation of fuel occurs rapidly in the precombustion step, the duration of this step has a considerable effect on the real φ value and reductive condition of the system. Elongation of this step yields more fuel loss and a less reductive condition. At the end of this step, ignition occurs, which is followed by smoldering. Finally, the products form and cool down to room temperature.





As depicted in Fig. 6, at $\varphi = 0.5$ (sample U-0.5), the precombustion time and the ignition temperature, which represents the final temperature of the pre-combustion step, were



Fig. 7 XRD patterns of the synthesized powders in the system using urea ($\varphi = 0.25$) with the addition of KCl in various K/Mn ratios of 0.006, 0.030, 0.059, and 0.259.

both lower than for the sample with $\varphi = 1$ (sample U-1). Thus, less urea evaporation occurred in sample U-0.5, resulting in a more reductive environment. Therefore, as shown in Fig. 5, Mn_3O_4 would be more likely to form at $\varphi = 0.5$ compared to at φ = 1. Accordingly, the unexpected formation of Mn_3O_4 by reducing the φ value from 1 to 0.8, 0.6, and 0.5 can be explained by the different pre-combustion duration and temperature.

Further decreasing the φ value (*e.g.*, $\varphi = 0.15$) led to a prolonged pre-combustion time, as demonstrated in Fig. 6, which caused a higher loss of urea and thus a more oxidizing environment, which yielded manganese oxides with higher valences. Returning to Fig. 5, it could be found that reducing the φ value from 0.5 to 0.4, 0.25, and 0.15, which declined the reductive condition and the adiabatic temperature of the system, gradually led to the formation of oxides with higher

Table 2 Schematics and top views of the main steps of the solution combustion synthesis process



valances, such as Mn_2O_3 and MnO_2 . Accordingly, in samples U-0.15 and U-0.25, the predominant amount of MnO_2 was formed in two types: β -MnO₂ (ICDD 24-0735) and α -MnO₂ (ICDD 44-0141) polymorphs. However, the formation of α -MnO₂ in these samples was insignificant and most of the MnO_2 was revealed to be in the β structure.

It was previously found that cations such as K^+ can stabilize the structure of α -MnO₂,^{5,20} Consequently, KCl was added to the reaction mixture as a source of K^+ in various K/Mn ratios of 0.006, 0.030, 0.059, and 0.259. Referring to Table 1, system 4, four samples, namely U–KCl-0.006, U–KCl-0.030, U–KCl-0.059, and U–KCl-0.259, were synthesized, and their XRD patterns are indicated in Fig. 7. As shown, the crystallinity of the samples was low and wide peaks appeared. Thus, the phase detection was carried out based only on the major peaks. As can be seen, a mixture of α and β -MnO₂ was formed in the presence of K⁺; however, extra amounts of KCl decreased the intensity of MnO₂



Fig. 8 XRD patterns of the synthesized powders in (a) the system using urea with the addition of KNO₃ in various φ ratios ($\varphi = 0.8$, 0.85, 0.9, and 1), (b) the sample synthesized at $\varphi = 0.8$ and annealed at 380 °C for 48 h.

peaks and led to the formation of $\rm MnO_{10}Cl_8$ (ICDD 30-0821). It could be noted that adding KCl did not have any significant effect on the adiabatic temperature.

Fig. 8(a) presents the XRD patterns related to the specimens synthesized with KNO₃ as the stabilizer of α -MnO₂ in various φ ratios ($\phi = 0.8, 0.85, 0.9, \text{ and } 1$). This system was investigated to study the effect of KNO₃ on the formation of α -MnO₂ in the final product (samples U-K-0.8, U-K-0.85, U-K-0.9, and U-K-1). As indicated, sample U-K-1 mostly consisted of α-MnO₂ with some amounts of Mn₂O₃. As can be seen, the intensity of the peaks related to the Mn₂O₃ phase gradually decreased with reducing the ϕ value; until in sample U–K-0.8, an almost single phase of α -MnO₂ was formed. The broadened peaks of α -MnO₂ were a sign of the fine particle size of the synthesized powder. The stability of α -MnO₂ could be attributed to the presence of K⁺ and the less reductive condition provided by the low φ values. The calculated adiabatic temperature of the reactions related to samples U-K-0.8, U-K-0.85, U-K-0.9, and U-K-1 were 923, 945, 966, and 1008 K, respectively. These values were higher than the relevant adiabatic temperatures calculated for the specimens without KNO₃ (Fig. 1(b)), which was not proper for α -MnO₂ formation. However, the influence of K⁺ overcame this and resulted in the stability of α -MnO₂.

The as-synthesized sample U–K-0.8 was then annealed at 380 °C for 48 h to improve the crystallinity of the nanoparticles (sample U–K-0.8-Ht). As shown in Fig. 8(b), the intensity of the peaks increased due to the heat treatment, which implied a higher crystallinity of the sample.

3.3 Results of the particle-size analysis

In order to investigate the particle size of synthesized powders, PSA was carried out and the averaged results are shown in Fig. 9. As indicated, the samples synthesized by urea had smaller particle sizes than glycine. An explanation for this phenomenon may be related to the greater volume of combustion gas produced during the synthesis with urea. According to Table 1



Fig. 9 PSA results of the powders synthesized by glycine and urea in various φ ratios.



Fig. 10 FESEM micrographs of the as-synthesized samples (a) G-0.25, and (b) G-1.

(system 1), 9.444 mol of combustion gases was released during the synthesis with glycine; however, this amount for the synthesis with urea was 10.333 mol. Thus, a greater dispersion of powder particles occurred when urea was used, which resulted in a reduction of the possibility of the particles adhering and agglomeration.

As can be seen in Fig. 9, the particle size also varied by changing the φ value. Among the samples synthesized by glycine, the sample with $\varphi = 1$ (G-1) showed the minimum

particle size. As discussed in Fig. 4, sample G-1 was mostly composed of Mn_3O_4 , which was accompanied with the highest combustion temperature. When the combustion temperature was high, the rate of combustion increased, causing the products to form more quickly and not to stay at the enhanced temperatures for a long time. Therefore, the sintering and agglomeration of the particles were decreased in sample G-1 and consequently a finer particle size was achieved. Comparison of the samples synthesized by urea in various φ values



Fig. 11 FESEM micrographs of the as-synthesized samples: (a) U-0.15, (b) U-1, and (c) U-2.



Fig. 12 FESEM images of the as-synthesized samples: (a) U-K-0.8, (b) U-K-0.9, and (c) the heat-treated sample U-K-0.8-Ht.

showed that the minimum particle size was attained at $\varphi = 0.5-1$. Referring to Fig. 5, these samples mostly consisted of Mn₃O₄ and Mn₂O₃. Accordingly, the highest combustion temperature and rate occurred in the samples yielding the smallest particle size.

3.4 Field-emission scanning electron microscopy (FESEM)

As proof of evidence, FESEM imaging was carried out to reveal the morphology of the as-synthesized manganese oxides obtained by glycine and urea at various φ ratios. Fig. 10 indicates the morphology of the samples G-0.25 and G-1 in different magnifications. As shown in Fig. 10(a), sample G-0.25 revealed a granular morphology, indicating agglomerates of Mn₂O₃ nanoparticles with a ridged surface. However, sample G-1 (Fig. 10(b)) displayed a lacy morphology. Increasing the φ value led to the formation of Mn₃O₄ and MnO nanoparticles and consequently a higher combustion temperature, resulting in more agglomeration and sintering of the nanoparticles. On the other hand, the amounts of released gases were higher in sample G-1, which obviously caused greater porosity and more cavities.

The FESEM image of sample U-0.15 is presented in Fig. 11(a), which shows the MnO_2 nanoparticles had a cauliflower-like morphology. However, sample U-1 (Fig. 11(b)) exhibited a granular morphology for Mn_2O_3 nanoparticles, as also

revealed for sample G-0.25. Increasing the φ value to 2 (*i.e.*, sample U-2) led to a lacy network morphology, as demonstrated in Fig. 11(c). Similar to sample G-1, this morphology can be attributed to the sintering of Mn₃O₄ and MnO nanoparticles, which was accompanied by the formation of cavities due to the huge volume of released gases.

Fig. 12 illustrates the FESEM micrographs of the samples synthesized by adding KNO₃. A dandelion-like morphology with a needle/flake-like surface was revealed for the α -MnO₂ nanoparticles in these samples. Increasing the φ value from 0.8 (sample U–K-0.8) to 0.9 (sample U–K-0.9) led to a finer morphology. Heat treating the product at 380 °C for 48 h resulted in fracture of the surface needles and ridges due to recrystallization and/or thermal stresses.

4 Conclusion

A new approach for the production of α -MnO₂ nanoparticles was achieved using a modified solution combustion synthesis (SCS), in which α -MnO₂ was synthesized in a one-step procedure with the addition of a stabilizer. To examine the effects of the fuel type, stabilizer, and fuel ratio on the morphology and phase composition of the final product, combustion synthesis was conducted using glycine and urea as the fuel and KCl and KNO₃ as the stabilizer. The production of single-phase α -MnO₂ was attained using urea under lean-fuel conditions (*i.e.*, $\varphi = 0.8$) and in the presence of KNO₃ as the stabilizer. The synthesized α -MnO₂ exhibited a specific nanostructured dandelion-like morphology. Heat treating the synthesized α -MnO₂ at 380 °C led to greater crystallinity.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

References

- 1 F. W. Boyom-Tatchemo, F. Devred, G. Ndiffo-Yemeli, S. Laminsi and E. M. Gaigneaux, Plasma-induced redox reactions synthesis of nanosized α -, γ - and δ -MnO₂ catalysts for dye degradation, *Appl. Catal.*, *B*, 2020, **260**, 118159, DOI: **10.1016/j.apcatb.2019.118159**.
- 2 B. Yin, S. Zhang, H. Jiang, F. Qu and X. Wu, Phase-controlled synthesis of polymorphic MnO₂ structures for electrochemical energy storage, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2015, 3, 5722–5729, DOI: 10.1039/C4TA06943A.
- 3 N. Wang, W. Li, J. Liang, Y. Huang, Q. Cai, M. Hu, Y. Chen and Z. Shi, δ -MnO₂ nanowires supported on carbon black with oxygen-containing functional groups for enhanced electrocatalytic oxygen reduction reaction, *J. Alloys Compd.*, 2020, **846**, 156396, DOI: **10.1016/j.jallcom.2020.156396**.
- 4 A. A. Voskanyan, C. K. Ho and K. Y. Chan, 3D δ-MnO₂ nanostructure with ultralarge mesopores as highperformance lithium-ion battery anode fabricated *via* colloidal solution combustion synthesis, *J. Power Sources*, 2019, **421**, 162–168, DOI: **10.1016/j.jpowsour.2019.03.022**.
- 5 L. M. Housel, L. Wang, A. Abraham, J. Huang, G. D. Renderos, C. D. Quilty, A. B. Brady, A. C. Marschilok, K. J. Takeuchi and E. S. Takeuchi, Investigation of α -MnO₂ tunneled structures as model cation hosts for energy storage, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2018, **51**, 575–582, DOI: **10.1021**/**acs.accounts.7b00478**.
- 6 A. F. Wells, *Structural inorganic chemistry*, Oxford University Press, United Kingdom, 5th edn, 1984.
- 7 Y. Yuan, A. Nie, G. M. Odegard, R. Xu, D. Zhou, S. Santhanagopalan, K. He, H. Asayesh-Ardakani, D. D. Meng, R. F. Klie, C. Johnson, J. Lu and R. Shahbazian-Yassar, Asynchronous crystal cell expansion during lithiation of K⁺-stabilized α-MnO₂, *Nano Lett.*, 2015, 15, 2998–3007, DOI: 10.1021/nl5048913.
- 8 L. Li and D. L. King, Synthesis and characterization of silver hollandite and its application in emission control, *Chem. Mater.*, 2005, **17**, 4335–4343, DOI: **10.1021/cm0506508**.
- 9 A. S. Poyraz, J. Huang, C. J. Pelliccione, X. Tong, S. Cheng, L. Wu, Y. Zhu, A. C. Marschilok, K. J. Takeuchi and

E. S. Takeuchi, Synthesis of cryptomelane type α -MnO₂ (K_xMn₈O₁₆) cathode materials with tunable K⁺ content: the role of tunnel cation concentration on electrochemistry, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2017, **5**, 16914–16928, DOI: **10.1039**/ **C7TA03476H**.

- 10 T. Gao and P. Norby, Frame stability of tunnel-structured cryptomelane nanofibers: the role of tunnel cations, *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.*, 2013, (28), 4948–4957, DOI: 10.1002/ejic.201300602.
- 11 N. Duan, S. L. Suib and C. L. O'Young, Sol-gel synthesis of cryptomelane, an octahedral molecular sieve, *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.*, 1995, (13), 1367–1368, DOI: 10.1039/ C39950001367.
- 12 S. Ching, J. L. Roark, N. Duan and S. L. Suib, Sol-Gel route to the tunneled manganese oxide cryptomelane, *Chem. Mater.*, 1997, 9, 750-754, DOI: 10.1021/cm960460k.
- 13 B. Lan, X. Zheng, G. Cheng, J. Han, W. Li, M. Sun and L. Yu, The art of balance: Engineering of structure defects and electrical conductivity of α -MnO₂ for oxygen reduction reaction, *Electrochim. Acta*, 2018, **283**, 459–466, DOI: **10.1016/j.electacta.2018.06.195**.
- 14 N. Jabeen, Q. Xia, S. v. Savilov, S. M. Aldoshin, Y. Yu and H. Xia, Enhanced pseudocapacitive performance of α-MnO₂ by cation preinsertion, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2016, 8, 33732–33740, DOI: 10.1021/acsami.6b12518.
- 15 A. S. Mukasyan and P. Dinka, Novel approaches to solutioncombustion synthesis of nanomaterials, *Int. J. Self-Propag. High-Temp. Synth.*, 2007, **16**, 23–35.
- 16 S. T. Aruna and A. S. Mukasyan, Combustion synthesis and nanomaterials, *Curr. Opin. Solid State Mater. Sci.*, 2008, 12, 44–50, DOI: 10.1016/j.cossms.2008.12.002.
- 17 A. Varma, A. S. Mukasyan, A. S. Rogachev and K. V. Manukyan, Solution combustion synthesis of nanoscale materials, *Chem. Rev.*, 2016, **116**, 14493–14586, DOI: **10.1021/acs.chemrev.6b00279**.
- 18 J. S. Sherin, J. K. Thomas and J. Suthagar, Combustion synthesis and magnetic studies of hausmannite, Mn₃O₄, nanoparticles, *Int. J. Eng. Res. Develop.*, 2014, **10**, 34-41.
- 19 H. Guo, Z. Zhang, Z. Jiang, M. Chen, H. Einaga and W. Shangguan, Catalytic activity of porous manganese oxides for benzene oxidation improved *via* citric acid solution combustion synthesis, *J. Environ. Sci.*, 2020, **98**, 196–204, DOI: **10.1016/j.jes.2020.06.008**.
- 20 C. Zhou, J. Wang, X. Liu, F. Chen, Y. Di, S. Gao and Q. Shi, Magnetic and thermodynamic properties of α, β, γ and δ-MnO₂, *New J. Chem.*, 2018, 42, 8400–8407, DOI: 10.1039/ C8NJ00896E.