Nanoscale Advances



PAPER

View Article Online
View Journal | View Issue



Cite this: Nanoscale Adv., 2022, 4, 5089

Composite Mn—Co electrode materials for supercapacitors: why the precursor's morphology matters!†

Ronan Invernizzi, ^{ab} Alexia Lemoine, ^c Lénaïc Madec, ^{bc} François Weill, ^{ab} Marie-Anne Dourges, ^d Céline Tang, ^{abe} Domitille Giaume, ^{be} Isabelle Baraille, ^{bc} Pierre Louis Taberna, ^{bfg} Delphine Flahaut, ^{bc} Jacob Olchowka ^{bc} *abf and Liliane Guerlou-Demourgues*abf

In the energy storage field, an electrode material must possess both good ionic and electronic conductivities to perform well, especially when high power is needed. In this context, the development of composite electrode materials combining an electrochemically active and good ionic conductor phase with an electronic conductor appears as a perfectly adapted approach to generate a synergetic effect and optimize the energy storage performance. In this work, three layered MnO₂ phases with various morphologies (veils, nanoplatelets and microplatelets) were combined with electronic conductor cobalt oxyhydroxides with different platelet sizes (~20 nm vs. 70 nm wide), to synthesize 6 different composites by exfoliation and restacking processes. The influence of precursors' morphology on the distribution of the Mn and Co objects within the composites was carefully investigated and correlated with the electrochemical performance of the final restacked material. Overall, the best performing restacked composite was obtained by combining MnO₂ possessing a veil morphology with the smallest cobalt oxyhydroxide nanoplatelets, leading to the most homogeneous distribution of the Mn and Co objects at the nanoscale. More generally, the aim of this work is to understand how the size and morphology of the precursor building blocks influence their distribution homogeneity within the final composite and to find the most compatible building blocks to reach a homogeneous distribution at the nanoscale

Received 9th September 2022 Accepted 6th October 2022

DOI: 10.1039/d2na00616b

rsc.li/nanoscale-advances

1 Introduction

Driven by the transition towards sustainable but intermittent energy production along with the emergence of electric vehicles, the development of safe, reliable and high-performance energy storage systems turns into one of the most important challenges

^fALISTORE-ERI European Research Institute, FR CNRS #3104, Amiens, F-80039 Cedex 1. France

*CIRIMAT, Université de Toulouse, CNRS, Université Toulouse 3 – Paul Sabatier, 118 Route de Narbonne, 31062 Toulouse, Cedex 9, France

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. See DOI: https://doi.org/10.1039/d2na00616b

facing the scientific community. ^{1,2} For instance, many efforts are currently done to increase the energy density of batteries with the perspective of boosting the driving range of electric vehicles. ³ Nevertheless, these high energy density batteries are not well-adapted to high power applications such as for energy recovery during braking or grid power buffering, due to the diffusion limited processes characteristic of these systems. Electrochemical double-layer capacitors (EDLC), thanks to their capacitive storage, are able to meet the needs in terms of time response for these power applications. However their capacity is too low for many practical purposes. ^{4,5}

Thus, pseudocapacitive systems appear as an ideal alternative to achieve at the same time high power and suitable energy density. Their fast and reversible near-surface faradaic reactions to store charges allow surpassing the capacity limitation of EDLCs while keeping fast time responses. Among the various pseudocapacitive electrode materials, MnO₂ is one of the most studied thanks to its abundance, high theoretical capacity, stability in aqueous electrolytes and low price. Nonetheless, the manganese oxide phases possess a poor electronic conductivity that, in practice, strongly limits their rate capability. 13,14

[&]quot;Université Bordeaux, CNRS, Bordeaux INP, ICMCB UMR 5026, F-33600 Pessac, France. E-mail: liliane.guerlou-demourgues@enscbp.fr; jacob.olchowka@icmcb.cnrs. fr

^bRS2E, Réseau Français sur le Stockage Electrochimique de l'Energie, FR CNRS #3459, F-80039 Amiens Cedex 1, France

CNRS/Univ. Pau & Pays Adour/E2S UPPA, Institut des Sciences Analytiques et de Physicochimie pour l'Environnement et les Matériaux – UMR 5254, 64000 Pau, France Institut des Sciences Molaires, Univ. Bordeaux, UMR 5255, F-33405 Talence, France Chimie-ParisTech, PSL Research University, CNRS Institut de Recherche de Chimie-Paris (IRCP), 75005 Paris, France

This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 3.0 Unported Licence.

pen Access Article. Published on 07 October 2022. Downloaded on 7/24/2025 3:12:42 AM.

To counterbalance this limiting aspect, two ways are mainly investigated in the literature. The first one targets the nanostructuration of MnO2 to increase both the specific surface area and thus, the number of surface redox active sites and to shorten the electron pathways to improve the kinetics. ¹⁵ To do so, plenty of different synthesis approaches have been reported in the last few years such as coprecipitation, template assisted synthesis, hydro/solvothermal synthesis and chemical vapor deposition leading to original morphologies and often enhanced performance.16-21 The second approach widely studied consists in combining MnO2 with an electronic conductive material leading to hybrid or composite electrode materials.22,23 The development of hybrid compounds made of MnO2 with an electronic conductive carbon material such as graphene, carbon nanotubes or nanofibers or with a conductive polymer such as PEDOT or PPy appears as a well-adapted method as they are easy to synthesize, exhibit excellent chemical and electrochemical stability, and offer the possibility to obtain self-supported electrodes. 21,24 Another efficient approach to boost the power ability is to synthesize MnO₂ - conductive metal composites such as composites with transition metal oxides/carbides or with metallic nanoparticles.25-27 Following this idea, Tang et al. recently developed an original approach to combine lamellar manganese oxides (birnessite δ-MnO₂) with layered non-stoichiometric cobalt oxyhydroxides (β3-CoOOH) by exfoliation/restacking processes.²⁸ This cobalt phase displays indeed a very high electronic conductivity ($\sim 1 \text{ S cm}^{-1}$ at room temperature) due to the presence of Co⁴⁺ in the CoO₂ slabs.^{29,30} The authors investigated the optimal molar ratio between the pseudocapacitive birnessite MnO2 and the electronic conductive β3-CoOOH phase to reach the best capacity retention at high rates. It was found that a Mn: Co ratio of 3:1 exhibits the greatest synergetic effect between both phases leading to a composite with better rate performance than simple manganese oxide or MnO2 mixed with carbon black.31 Based on these very promising results, we decided to study in more detail the distribution scale of MnO₂ and β3-CoOOH within the composite as well as the influence of precursors' morphology on the homogeneity and electrochemical performance of the final restacked materials. More generally, the aim of this work is to understand how the size and morphology of the precursor building blocks influence their distribution homogeneity within the final composite and to find the most compatible building blocks to reach a homogeneous distribution at the nanoscale.

To do so, we synthesize birnessite precursors with three different morphologies (veils, big platelets and small platelets) and two cobalt oxyhydroxide precursors with platelets of different sizes (around 70 nm wide and 20 nm wide) to finally obtain 6 different composites with the same optimal Mn: Co ratio of 3:1. The distribution of the Mn and Co objects within the composites was thoroughly investigated by nitrogen adsorption, nano-Auger spectroscopy, and transmission electron microscopy and correlated with the specific surface area of the composites as well as their energy storage performance at high rates.

Experimental

2.1 Preparation of cobalt oxyhydroxide precursors

Method 1: the $\beta(III)$ cobalt oxyhydroxide phase is prepared by precipitation at constant pH 14 (reverse precipitation). First, 3.18 g of Co(NO₃)₂·6H₂O (Sigma Aldrich) are dissolved in 300 mL of distilled water. Then, the nitrate solution is slowly added into 11 mL of 2 M NaOH under stirring. Immediately after the addition of the nitrate solution, a blue $(\alpha\text{-Co}(OH)_2)$ precipitate appears, it quickly turns pink $(\beta(\Pi)-Co(OH)_2)$ and finally turns brown (partially oxidized cobalt hydroxide). To speed up the oxidation process of the freshly made cobalt hydroxide, 7.5 mL (3 times the required volume) of NaClO (48 °C l) are added dropwise to the solution that becomes black. The final solution is stirred during 36 hours at room temperature, centrifuged several times at 4000 rpm until neutral pH, and dried at 45 °C for one day.

Method 2: the $\beta(m)$ cobalt oxyhydroxide phase is synthesized by forward precipitation at increasing pH (the pH value increases from 6 to 10 during the precipitation): 11 mL of 2 M NaOH solution is slowly added into the nitrate solution (3.18 g in 300 mL of distilled water) before the same oxidation, centrifugation and drying steps as seen for method 1.29

The pure $\beta(m)$ cobalt oxyhydroxides prepared by method 1 and by method 2 are denoted as β3-pH₁₄ and β3-pH₂ respectively.

2.2 Preparation of manganese precursors

2.2.1 Platelet-shaped and veil-shaped manganese oxides. First, 1.69 g of MnSO₄·H₂O (Sigma Aldrich) is dissolved in 30 mL of distilled water under stirring. 30 mL of 6 M NaOH/ KOH (Sigma Aldrich) are then added into the previous solution; the solution turns immediately orange/light brown, which corresponds to the formation of Mn(OH)2. To oxidize the Mn ions to an approximate oxidation degree of 3.7, 1.89 g of K₂S₂O₈ (Sigma Aldrich) is added over a period of 50 minutes. The precipitate slowly turns dark brown. The suspension is then aged under stirring for 30 minutes and washed/centrifuged few times until neutral pH. The recovered powder is dried at 50 °C for two days. The synthesis leads to P-MnO2 (platelets) when NaOH was used as the precipitating agent and V-MnO2 (veils) when using KOH.

2.2.2 High temperature manganese oxide. 1 g of KMnO₄ (Sigma Aldrich) is placed into an alumina boat and heated in an oven for 5 hours at 800 °C with heating and cooling ramp rates of 5 °C min⁻¹. After thermal decomposition, the powder is washed with deionized water to remove the two impurity phases K₂MnO₄ and K₃MnO₄ that pass into solution (blue/green solution).32 The resulting sample is dried for two days at 50 °C. It is denoted as HT-MnO₂ (high temperature).

2.2.3 Protonation of manganese oxides. In order to be easily exfoliated, the manganese oxides need to be protonated, which is performed through an exchange of initial sodium/ potassium ions by protons.33 For that purpose, 0.5 g of the powder is suspended in a solution of 0.1 M HCl during 3 days under stirring, to replace the majority of the alkali ions by protons. The powders are recovered by centrifugation and
The specific s

protons. The powders are recovered by centrifugation and washed few times until neutral pH of the supernatant. The protonation of V- MnO_2 , P- MnO_2 and HT- MnO_2 leads to V_H - MnO_2 , P_H - MnO_2 and HT_H - MnO_2 respectively.

2.3 Exfoliation

Paper

The protonated Mn and Co oxides are separately suspended in a solution of water and tetrabutylammonium hydroxide (TBAOH). The TBAOH solution is added to obtain a H⁺: TBA⁺ molar ratio of 1:10 for manganese phases and 1:2 for cobalt ones. The suspensions are sonicated 3 times during 1 hour (with 0.5 s/0.5 s (pulse in/off)) and aged under stirring for 20–30 days. They are finally centrifuged at 4000 rpm during 5 minutes. As the outcome of the treatment, part of the material flocculates at the bottom of the recipient whereas the other part remains suspended in the supernatant part. The latter is recovered and the resulting colloidal suspension will be further used for the restacking step.

2.4 Synthesis of restacked materials

The molar ratio between the manganese and cobalt suspensions is set to 3, which according to the previous work of Tang et al., should be the optimal ratio for high rate performance.28 Each colloidal suspension of manganese and cobalt is adjusted to pH = 4.5 by gradual addition of nitric acid. The volume of the two suspensions is adjusted to 200 mL before the latter are slowly transferred with a peristaltic pump into a solution of 20 mL of nitric acid at pH = 4.5. When they come into contact, the different nano-objects should flocculate on top of each other considering that at pH = 4.5, the surface of Mn objects is negatively charged whereas that of Co particles is positively charged. The optimal pH conditions for the restacking step were determined by zeta potential measurements, as detailed in ESI Fig. S1.† Finally, the restacked materials are recovered by centrifugation after being washed several times until neutral pH.

2.5 X-ray diffraction

The X-ray diffraction patterns of all samples were recorded on a Philips PANalytical X'Pert Pro equipped with a copper source $(\lambda_{K\alpha 1} = 0.1540 \text{ nm} \text{ and } \lambda_{K\alpha 2} = 0.1544 \text{ nm})$. The powder diffraction patterns were recorded for about 2 h in the $10-80^{\circ}$ (2θ) angular range, with a 0.02° (2θ) step size and a 2.022° (2θ) active width in the detector. The size of the coherent domains of the different phases was calculated using the Scherrer equation from (00l) reflections. The attribution and the purity of the phases were confirmed by comparison with reference peaks from PDF 00-042-1317 (MnO₂ birnessite) and 07-0169 (H_xCoO₂).

2.6 Specific surface area and porosity

Surface area and pore structure were explored by recording nitrogen adsorption isotherms at 77 K with Micromeritics 3Flex equipment. Before analysis, the samples were degassed at 60 $^{\circ}$ C under vacuum for 15 h to reach a pressure less than 10 μ m Hg.

The specific surface area was obtained using the BET equation applied between 0.01 and 0.25 relative pressure (p/p_0) .³⁴

2.6.1 Restacked material cross-section preparation, scanning electron microscopy (SEM), Auger electron spectroscopy (AES) and scanning Auger microscopy (SAM). To analyze both the surface and the bulk of the restacked materials, the samples were cross-sectioned. For this, the sample powders were mixed with a silver conducting epoxy resin (to ensure a low charge effect during acquisition) and then deposited on a silicon wafer. The wafer and the powder-epoxy mixture were cut with an Ar † ion beam of 6 keV and about 150 μ A at 1 \times 10 $^{-4}$ Pa during 5 hours with a JEOL IB-09010CP cross polisher located in a glove box under a controlled N_2 atmosphere. The cutting depth of the samples is 150 μ m. The cross-sectioned samples were then transferred from the glove box to the Auger spectrometer for analysis using a transfer vessel to avoid any contact with air.

An Auger JEOL JAMP 9500F spectrometer was used for Scanning electron microscopy (SEM), Auger electron spectroscopy (AES) and scanning Auger microscopy (SAM). The SEM images of restacked materials were recorded under ultra high vacuum conditions ($<2 \times 10^{-7}$ Pa). An acceleration voltage of 20 kV and a current beam of 3 or 4 nA were used for both SEM and AES/SAM. Accordingly, the probe size is about 20 nm (which corresponds to a spatial resolution for SAM of about 30 nm) and the probing depth is about 3 nm. The analysis was performed at 30° tilt to prevent the charging effect.

The AES survey spectra were recorded between 25 and 1000 eV with a step of 1 eV. SAM images (elemental 2D distribution) have been represented using "peak minus background" (P - B) of the Auger intensity for a transition (dE/E = 0.5%).

For AES survey spectra and SAM images, an "auto probe tracking" was used to control any potential drift during analyzes.

2.6.2 Transmission electron microscopy (TEM). The samples were placed on a formvar/carbon supported film on a copper grid. Images of each sample were obtained with a JEOL 2100 transmission electron microscope (JEOL, Tokyo, Japan).

2.7 Electrochemistry

The active material was mixed with carbon black and polytetrafluoroethylene in a weight ratio of 80/15/5 to prepare the working electrodes. The electrode was then pressed at 5 bars on a stainless steel grid for one minute. The mass loading of the electrodes is $\sim \! 10$ mg cm $^{-2}$. Electrochemical measurements were carried out in a solution of 0.5 M $\rm K_2SO_4$ in a 3-electrode configuration at 25 °C. The reference electrode was an Ag/AgCl electrode and as the counter electrode, a platinum wire was used.

2.8 EIS measurements

For EIS measurements, the electrodes were pressed on a stainless steel current collector which was gold coated-sputtering in order to suppress/minimize the contact impedance between the current collector and the active material. The EIS measurements of the composites were performed at potentials of 0.2, 0.3, 0.4, 0.5 and 0.6 V ν s. Ag/AgCl, in 0.5 M κ_2 SO₄. For the

measurements, a perturbation of 5 mV was used in a frequency range from 10 kHz down to 10 mHz.

3 Results and discussion

3.1 Structural characterization of the restacked composites compared to the cobalt and manganese precursors

3.1.1 Structural characterization of the precursors. The X-ray diffraction patterns of the initial precursors and the corresponding protonated phases are shown in Fig. 1 whereas their accurate chemical compositions are listed in Table S1.† The diffractograms of all samples can be indexed with a hexagonal cell (except for V-MnO₂ and P-MnO₂ which display a monoclinic distortion) and are typical of lamellar materials; the interreticular distance corresponding to the diffraction peak at the lowest angle gives the interslab distance (between two (Mn/Co) O₂ slabs) while the distance of the (110) reflection is equal to half the metal–metal distance (cell-parameter " $a_{\rm hex}$ ") in the hexagonal slab.

The diagrams of the two cobalt oxyhydroxides $\beta3\text{-pH}_{14}$ and $\beta3\text{-pH}_{2}$ show the signature of pure $\beta3\text{-CoOOH}$ phases. However, the XRD pattern of $\beta3\text{-pH}_{2}$ reveals smaller coherent domains as compared with $\beta3\text{-pH}_{14}$ due to broader and less defined diffraction peaks. The size of the coherent domains is estimated along the [00l] direction to be around 10 nm for $\beta3\text{-pH}_{14}$ against 3 nm for $\beta3\text{-pH}_{2}$.

V-MnO₂, P-MnO₂ and HT-MnO₂ exhibit similar X-ray diffraction patterns that correspond to birnessite phase δ-MnO₂. However, V-MnO₂ shows less defined and larger diffraction peaks than the other two birnessite phases, suggesting a crystallinity loss. Indeed, V-MnO₂ displays coherent domains of 12 nm, whereas those of P-MnO₂ and HT-MnO₂ are estimated with the (001) reflection to be around 58 nm and




Fig. 1 X-ray diffraction patterns of the cobalt and manganese precursors: β 3-pH₁₄ (black), β 3-pH₂ (red), V-MnO₂ (blue), V_H-MnO₂ (green), HT-MnO₂ (purple), HT_H-MnO₂ (yellow), P-MnO₂ (cyan) and P_H-MnO₂ (brown).


119 nm respectively. The asymmetric profile of the (10*l*) and (11*l*) diffraction peaks for V-MnO₂ is characteristic of a turbostratic stacking or the presence of several stacking polytypes as it is commonly observed in birnessite materials.³⁶ The sodium birnessite P-MnO₂ exhibits a larger interlayer distance than the two potassium birnessites (7.13 Å *vs.* 7.08 Å for HT-MnO₂ and V-MnO₂).^{32,37} Considering the ionic radius of sodium and potassium ions (0.99 Å and 1.51 Å respectively), this could first appear as counter intuitive.³⁸ However, the higher polarizing effect of Na⁺ *versus* K⁺ leads to a larger hydration shell for Na⁺, even for alkaline ions in the confined interlayer of birnessite, which thus, induces a higher interlayer space for P-MnO₂.^{39,40} This is supported by the larger quantity of intercalated water molecules for P-MnO₂ compared to the other two manganese oxides (Table S1†).

A comparison of the birnessite phases before and after protonation shows that the protonation step induces (i) a shift of the (00l) reflections towards lower angles, revealing an increase of the interlayer spacing (Fig. 1) and (ii) a drastic drop of alkaline concentration in parallel to an increase of the proton content (Table S1†). These evolutions confirm the successful exchange of alkaline ions for protons. The protonation step does not influence the coherent domains of HT-MnO2 and V-MnO2, which remain around 119 nm and 12 nm respectively. On the other hand, the size of the coherent domains decreases by more than a factor of 2 after protonation for P-MnO2 (from 58 nm to 25 nm), which suggests that the crystallites of P-MnO₂ are already exfoliated during the protonation step, since the coherent domain calculated along the [00l] direction is directly related to the number of stacked metal oxide slabs in a crystallite.

3.1.2 Structural characterization of the restacked composites. By combining three different protonated birnessites (V_{H^-} MnO₂, P_{H^-} MnO₂ and HT_{H^-} MnO₂) and the two cobalt oxyhydroxides (β 3-pH₁₄ and β 3-pH₂), six restacked materials were obtained. It should be noticed that all of them exhibit the targeted molar ratio Mn: Co of 3:1, as confirmed by ICP-OES measurements (Table S2†). The XRD patterns of the final restacked materials (purple, yellow and cyan curves) are shown in Fig. 2 along with the corresponding cobalt (black curve) and protonated manganese precursors (red, green and blue curves). Fig. 2a and b show restacked materials obtained with β 3-pH₁₄ and β 3-pH₂ respectively.

The XRD patterns show that every restacked material is composed of two phases: a cobalt oxyhydroxide and a protonated manganese oxide. In order to better understand the phenomena that happened during the experimental procedure, the coherent domain sizes of cobalt and manganese phases were compared before and after the exfoliation/restacking step. Generally speaking, a decrease of coherent domains of a phase along the [00*l*] direction in the final material means that the number of stacked layers within a crystallite is reduced, which suggests that an exfoliation has occurred. If, by contrast, the sizes of coherent domains remain unchanged between the precursors and the restacked materials, it is highly likely that no exfoliation happened and that the crystallites and/or the primary particles might be just separated or dis-agglomerated.

Paper






Fig. 2 X-ray diffraction patterns of (a) β3-pH₁₄ (black), V_H-MnO₂ (red), HT_H-MnO₂ (blue), P_H-MnO₂ (green), V_H-MnO₂/β3-pH₁₄ (purple), HT_H-MnO₂ (prediction patterns of (a) β3-pH₁₄ (purple), HT_H-MnO₂ (prediction patterns of (blue), V_H-MnO₂ (prediction patterns of (blue)), V_H-MnO₂ (prediction pattern $MnO_2/\beta3-pH_{14}$ (yellow), and $P_H-MnO_2/\beta3-pH_{14}$ (cyan) and (b) $\beta3-pH_2$ (black), V_H-MnO_2 (red), HT_H-MnO_2 (blue), P_H-MnO_2 (green), $V_H-MnO_2/\beta3-pH_{14}$ (cyan) and $P_H-MnO_2/\beta3-pH_{14}$ (cyan) and $P_H-MnO_2/\beta3-pH_2$ β 3-pH $_{z}$ (purple), HT_H-MnO₂/ β 3-pH $_{z}$ (yellow), and P_H-MnO₂/ β 3-pH $_{z}$ (cyan).

Table 1 Size of the coherent domains calculated with the Scherrer equation on the (001) reflection peaks for Mn oxide and Co oxyhydroxide inside the restacked materials and in brackets for the precursors

Sample	Size of the coherent domains of Mn oxide calculated from the $(00l)$ reflection peak (nm)	Size of the coherent domains of Co oxyhydroxide calculated from the (00 <i>l</i>) reflection peak (nm)
V_H -MnO ₂ / β 3-pH ₁₄	8-(12)	9–(10)
P_H -MnO ₂ / β 3-pH ₁₄	25–(25)	10-(10)
HT_H -MnO ₂ / β 3-pH ₁₄	28-(119)	9–(10)
V_H -MnO ₂ / β 3-pH $_{\sim}$	8–(12)	3-(3)
P _H -MnO ₂ /β3-pH ₂	25-(25)	3–(3)
HT_H -MnO ₂ / β 3-pH	37–(119)	3-(3)

According to the coherent domain values given in Table 1, whatever the restacked material, there is no significant change in the size of crystallites along the [00l] direction for the cobalt phases, meaning that the cobalt phases are probably not exfoliated. For manganese objects there are two types of behavior. The long-term stirring of P_H-MnO₂ in the TBAOH solution followed by a restacking step do not induce further exfoliation, and the coherent domains remain unchanged around 25 nm (Table 1). On the other hand, V_H-MnO₂ and HT_H-MnO₂ exhibit smaller coherent domains in the final restacked materials (12 nm and 119 nm for the precursors versus 8 nm and 28 or 37 nm after the restacking, respectively), meaning that in this case there is a real exfoliation of MnO2 crystallites.

3.2 Specific surface area of the precursors and the restacked composites

These conclusions are supported by the evolution of materials' specific surface area (SSA) obtained from BET measurements (Fig. 3 and S2†). The increase of the SSA of restacked materials containing V_H-MnO₂ and HT_H-MnO₂ (V_H-MnO₂/β3-pH₁₄, V_H- $MnO_2/\beta 3-pH_{\nearrow}$, $HT_H-MnO_2/\beta 3-pH_{14}$ and $HT_H-MnO_2/\beta 3-pH_{\nearrow}$) as compared to the SSA of initial precursors confirms the exfoliation of these two manganese precursors during the synthesis (Fig. 3). For instance, the SSA of V_H-MnO₂/β3-pH₁₄ reaches 121

m² g⁻¹ whereas those of V_H-MnO₂ and β3-pH₁₄ are only 85 m² g⁻¹ and 77 m² g⁻¹ respectively. Similarly, the restacked HT_H- $MnO_2/\beta 3$ -pH $_{\sim}$ composite displays a SSA of 106 m² g⁻¹ whereas




Fig. 3 A comparison of the specific surface area of the six different composites (in beige) with their manganese and cobalt precursors (in red and green respectively).




Fig. 4 SEM images of the various manganese oxides (a) V-MnO₂, (b) V_H -MnO₂, (c) HT-MnO₂, (d) HT_H-MnO₂, (e) P-MnO₂, and (f) P_H-MnO₂ and cobalt oxyhydroxide precursors (g) β 3-pH₁₄, and (h) β 3-pH₂.

a simple mixing of both precursors with a ratio $HT_{H^-}MnO_2$: $\beta3$ -pH $_{\!\!\!/}$ of 3:1 would theoretically give a composite with SSA of only 53.5 m² g $^{-1}$ (3_4 \times 18 + 4_4 \times 160 = 53.5 m² g $^{-1}$). On the other hand, there is no significant and unexpected change in the specific surface area between $P_{H^-}MnO_2$ and the restacked $P_{H^-}MnO_2/\beta3$ -pH $_{_{\!\!\!\!-}}4$ and $P_{H^-}MnO_2/\beta3$ -pH $_{\!\!\!-}6$ (Fig. 3) which supports

that P_H -MnO $_2$ is not exfoliated. The larger SSA for P_H -MnO $_2/\beta$ 3-pH $_{\sim}$ compared to P_H -MnO $_2$ (82 m 2 g $^{-1}$ vs. 60 m 2 g $^{-1}$) is due to the high specific surface area of the β 3-pH $_{\sim}$ cobalt oxyhydroxide, present with a molar ratio of 25% in the composite material. Finally, by comparing the SSA of the different composites, it clearly appears that those built with manganese




Fig. 5 TEM images of the various restacked materials (a) $V_H-MnO_2/\beta3-pH_{14}$, (b) $V_H-MnO_2/\beta3-pH_{>}$, (c) $HT_H-MnO_2/\beta3-pH_{14}$, (d) $HT_H-MnO_2/\beta3-pH_{>}$, $P_H-MnO_2/\beta3-pH_{14}$, and (f) $P_H-MnO_2/\beta3-pH_{>}$.

oxides possessing a veil morphology, V_{H} -MnO₂, exhibit the largest specific surface areas within the series and present higher mesoporosity (Fig. S3 \dagger).

3.3 Morphology of the restacked materials compared to their precursors

In parallel to the structural investigation, a microscopic study was performed to characterize the particle shape and size of all the manganese and cobalt precursors (Fig. 4) and evaluate the impact of the different exfoliation/restacking processes on the obtained composites (Fig. 5).

The SEM image of V-MnO₂ (Fig. 4a) shows that the material is composed of randomly aggregated veils with a size estimated

around few micrometers wide and a thickness of several tens of nanometers. After protonation, the veil morphology is preserved for $V_{H}\text{-}MnO_2$ but its size is reduced down to 1 μm (Fig. 4b). The acidic treatment probably leads to breakage and/ or dispersion of the veils, which consequently decrease their size.

The other two birnessites $HT\text{-MnO}_2$ and $P\text{-MnO}_2$ are composed of platelets. $HT\text{-MnO}_2$ is characterized by a 3D network of interconnected platelets that are 1–2 μm thick and 10 μm wide, leading to large cavities within the material (Fig. 4c). The protonation does not influence the platelet's size or morphology. On the other hand, the 3D network disappears and the platelets are found disconnected and isolated from each other (Fig. 4d). Finally, the SEM image of P-MnO $_2$ (Fig. 4e)

displays a stacking of platelets that are globally smaller and thinner than for HT-MnO $_2$ and measuring around 1 μm wide. After the protonation step, the platelets of P_H -MnO $_2$ (Fig. 4f) appear smaller both in width and in thickness, which is in good agreement with the exfoliation deduced previously from the decrease of coherent domain sizes and the possible particle erosion in the acid medium.

The two cobalt oxyhydroxide precursors β 3-pH₁₄ and β 3-pH $_{\nearrow}$ possess a platelet morphology but a different particle size. β 3-pH₁₄ is characterized by randomly aggregated and well-defined hexagonal platelets measuring 60–100 nm wide (Fig. 4g) whereas they are less defined and around 20–30 nm for β 3-pH $_{\nearrow}$ (Fig. 4h).

After the exfoliation/restacking processes, transmission electron microscopy (TEM) images clearly demonstrate that all the composites are characterized by a mixture of particles presenting similar morphologies to those of their corresponding protonated precursors (Fig. 5). TEM images of the three composites obtained with $\beta 3\text{-pH}_{14}$ (Fig. 5a, c and e) clearly display the hexagonal platelets of $\beta 3\text{-pH}_{14}$ (surrounded in green) measuring approximately 60–90 nm. In parallel, clusters of small platelets measuring around 20–30 nm and characteristic of $\beta 3\text{-pH}_{\nearrow}$ can also be identified (green color) for the three other composites in Fig. 5b, d and f. In Fig. 5a and b, veils of V_{H^-} MnO $_2$ can be distinguished but the evaluation of their size is difficult especially because the veils are rolling up on

themselves and are heterogeneous in size (from tens to several hundreds of nanometers wide). Nevertheless, the presence of very small and thin particles after restacking suggests that V_H-MnO₂ was exfoliated as previously mentioned.

Finally, platelet-shaped particles of HT_H-MnO₂ and P_H-MnO₂ precursors can be identified in HT_H-MnO₂/β3-pH₁₄//HT_H- $MnO_2/\beta 3$ -pH $_{\nearrow}$ (Fig. 5c and d) and P_H -MnO $_2/\beta 3$ -pH $_{14}//P_H$ -MnO $_2/\beta 3$ β3-pH (Fig. 5e and f) composites respectively. However, the primary particles of HT_H-MnO₂ appear thinner and smaller in the restacked composites compared to those observed for HT_H-MnO₂ before restacking (Fig. 4d), supporting that an exfoliation occurred. On the other hand, the platelets of P_H-MnO₂, which measure 500-600 nm wide, maintain the same size. To summarize, the morphology of the cobalt and manganese primary particle precursors is maintained within the restacked materials and the evolution of the particle size is in good agreement with the observations made from the evolution of coherent domains and specific surface areas. HT_H-MnO₂ and V_H-MnO₂ were exfoliated during the synthesis of composites whereas the primary platelets of P_H-MnO₂, β3-pH₁₄ and β3-pH₂ were just dispersed.

3.4 Study of the distribution of the Mn and Co objects within the restacked composites using Auger spectroscopy

After evaluating the size and shape of primary particles before and after restacking, nano-Auger analyses were performed to get




Fig. 6 SEM image and SAM image (Mn in red and Co in green) of (a) V_H -MnO₂/ β 3-pH₁₄, (b) V_H -MnO₂/ β 3-pH $_{>}$, (c) HT_H-MnO₂/ β 3-pH₁₄, (d) HT_H-MnO₂/ β 3-pH $_{>}$, (e) P_H -MnO₂/ β 3-pH $_{>}$, (e)

Paper Nanoscale Advances

information on the distribution scale of the Mn and Co objects within the aggregates. For that, SEM images, AES spectra and SAM images (elemental 2D distribution) were acquired on cross sections of the composites as prepared by ion milling and are presented in Fig. 6 and S4-S6.† The nanometric probe size for AES (20 nm wide and 3 nm deep, i.e. about 30 nm spatial resolution for SAM images) gives the possibility to determine if the composites are assembled at the nanometric scale by the simultaneous presence of Mn and Co transitions in the Auger

For the two composites based on the V_H-MnO₂ material (Fig. 6), the SEM images show a very different structure in the bulk of the aggregates. For the restacked V_H-MnO₂/β3-pH₁₄ material (Fig. 6a), two shades of grey are observed while for the V_H-MnO₂/β3-pH z material (Fig. 6b) the bulk structure is more uniform. For both samples, the porosity seems low and relatively homogeneous while the manganese or cobalt particles are not visible.

For V_H -MnO₂/ β 3-pH₁₄ (Fig. 6a), the analysis points performed in the brighter areas (points 1 and 2) show the presence of the Mn LMM and the Co LMM transitions (Fig. S4†). On the other hand, for the analysis point 3, there is only the Mn LMM transition. The SAM image (Fig. 6a) confirms the results obtained with the Auger spectra by the presence of Mn rich domains in red and Co rich domains in green. Each domain measures few micrometers and Mn-rich areas appear larger than Co ones due to the higher amount of manganese in the composite (molar ratio Mn : Co = 3). For V_H -MnO₂/ β 3-pH $_Z$, the Auger spectra show the simultaneous presence of the Mn LMM and Co LMM transitions (points 1 and 2) (Fig. S4†). Concerning the SAM image (Fig. 6b), there is no visible domain and the totality of the image is yellow, which corresponds to the mixture of red (Mn) and green (Co) suggesting a very homogeneous distribution at the nanoscale.

For the two composites obtained with HT_H-MnO₂, HT_H- $MnO_2/\beta 3-pH_{14}$ (Fig. 6c) and $HT_H-MnO_2/\beta 3-pH_{\nearrow}$ (Fig. 6d), the manganese oxide platelets of several micrometers wide are clearly visible in the SEM images. Probably due to the huge difference in the particle size between Co and Mn objects leading to less compact stacking, the SEM images suggest a higher macroporosity within the composites than for those with V_H-MnO₂ (Fig. 6a and b). Auger spectra obtained for the HT_H-MnO₂/β3-pH₁₄ composite on brighter areas/platelets at points 1 and 2 show the presence of mainly Mn LMM transitions while Co LMM transitions are barely visible or even absent (Fig. S5†). On the other hand, the analysis at point 3, performed on a dark grey area that looks like the β3-pH₁₄ material, shows the simultaneous presence of Mn LMM and Co LMM transitions. Considering the analysis depth of 3 nm, it means that manganese oxide platelets can be probed below β 3-pH₁₄. The same observations/conclusions are obtained for the HT_H-MnO₂/ β3-pH₂ composite from the SEM image and Auger spectra (Fig. S5†). SAM images for both composites clearly expose HT_H-MnO₂ platelets in red or with some yellow part corresponding to the presence of both manganese and cobalt (Fig. 6c and d). The cobalt represented by green color is also detected rather homogeneously on the whole samples.

For the last two composites obtained with P_H-MnO₂, the bulk structure is very different. P_H-MnO₂/β3-pH₁₄ differs completely from the other restacked materials when focusing on the distribution of the Mn and Co objects that make the composites. The SEM image (Fig. 6e) shows "line shape" domains extending over several micrometers that correspond solely to P_H-MnO₂ or β3-pH₁₄, as highlighted in the mapping with welldefined red and green areas. The Auger spectra support this heterogeneous distribution of Mn and Co objects. Only Co LMM transitions are detected at point 1 whereas the Auger spectra at points 2 and 3 highlight only the presence of Mn LMM transitions (Fig. S6†). SAM (Fig. 6e) reveals the absence of areas containing both Mn and Co objects (yellow color).

On the other hand, such consequent heterogeneities between cobalt and manganese phases are not observed for P_H- $MnO_2/\beta 3$ -pH $_{\nearrow}$ and the SEM image (Fig. 6f) shows only platelets arranged with random orientation. The AES spectra of points 1 and 2 show the simultaneous presence of the Mn LMM and Co LMM transitions with a higher intensity of the Co LMM transitions than for Mn LMM transitions (Fig. S6†). On the other hand, in the spectrum of point 3, only the Mn LMM transitions




Fig. 7 Cyclic voltammetry of various restacked composites in 0.5 M K_2SO_4 at (a) 5 mV s^{-1} and (b) 50 mV s^{-1} : V_H -MnO₂/ β 3-pH₁₄ (black), HT_H- $MnO_2/\beta3-pH_{14} \text{ (red)}, P_H-MnO_2/\beta3-pH_{14} \text{ (blue)}, V_H-MnO_2/\beta3-pH_{7} \text{ (green)}, HT_H-MnO_2/\beta3-pH_{7} \text{ (purple)}, and P_H-MnO_2/\beta3-pH_{7} \text{ (yellow)}.$

are visible. The SAM image of this sample highlights the fact that Mn and Co are not present in the whole sample (Fig. S6†).

To summarize, the six composites obtained and analysed have each a different arrangement between the Co and Mn objects depending on the morphology of the precursors. PH-MnO₂/β3-pH₁₄ clearly shows that the manganese and cobalt objects are not well mixed. It presents the worst distribution homogeneity within the series and is considered as a simple composite. Then, V_H-MnO₂/β3-pH₁₄, P_H-MnO₂/β3-pH₂, HT_H-MnO₂/β3-pH₁₄ and HT_H-MnO₂/β3-pH₂ seem to show similar distribution of the objects at both micro and nano scales. All these composites possess areas where both cobalt and manganese were simultaneously detected and other areas where only manganese is present. These four compounds are thus defined as micro-composites. Finally, V_H-MnO₂/β3-pH₂ definitely reveals the best distribution homogeneity between the Co and Mn objects at the nanoscale and is considered as a nanocomposite. Thus, combining the BET surface area results with the observations made by nano-Auger analysis, V_H-MnO₂/β3pH z stands out from the others due to its distribution of Co

and Mn objects at the nanoscale, and its high mesoporosity and specific surface area. It will be thus very interesting to compare its energy storage performance to the other composites of the series.

3.5 Electrochemical behaviour and performances

To investigate the impact of the precursor's morphology on the energy storage performance of restacked materials, cyclic voltammetry, galvanostatic charge/discharge and impedance spectroscopy measurements were performed on electrodes with an active mass loading of $\sim\!10$ mg cm $^{-2}$. The voltammograms recorded at 5 mV s $^{-1}$ for all composites (Fig. 7a) show a rectangular shape characteristic of a pseudocapacitive behavior, such as for protonated manganese oxides and contrary to the initial MnO₂ compounds that show redox peaks (Fig. S7†), usually attributed to the intercalation/deintercalation of alkali ions. Those redox peaks disappear after protonation because most probably the H $^{+}$ ions, localized in tetragonal sites near from the manganese oxide slabs, are not exchangeable and prevent the intercalation of the alkali ions. 41,42




Fig. 8 (a) Capacitance vs. scan rate of various restacked materials. Comparison of the capacitance at different scan rates for the precursors constituting the restacked material with the theoretical and experimental capacitance of (b) V_H -MnO₂/β3-pH_γ and (c) HT_H-MnO₂/β3-pH₁₄ (d) cyclability of the composites in galvanostatic mode at 1 A g⁻¹ in the potential window 0–0.8 V vs. Ag/AgCl in 0.5 M K₂SO₄ : V_H -MnO₂/β3-pH₁₄ (black), HT_H-MnO₂/β3-pH_γ (green), HT_H-MnO₂/β3-pH_γ (purple), and P_H-MnO₂/β3-pH_γ (yellow).

At 50 mV s⁻¹ (Fig. 7b), V_H -MnO₂/ β 3-pH $_{\nearrow}$ stands out from the other restacked materials by maintaining a very rectangular shape whereas the CV profile of the other composites becomes elliptic, especially for P_H -MnO₂/ β 3-pH $_{\nearrow}$ and P_H -MnO₂/ β 3-pH $_{\nearrow}$. These comparisons suggest that at high sweep rates, the V_H -MnO₂/ β 3-pH $_{\nearrow}$ nano-composite possesses the lowest resistivity among the composite series. This can be correlated with the observations made by microscopy and especially by nano-Auger, which reveal that, contrary to the other restacked materials that are composites or micro composites, only V_H -MnO₂/ β 3-pH $_{\nearrow}$ is a nanocomposite with a homogeneous distribution of Co and Mn objects at the nanoscale. The closer interaction between pseudocapacitive V_H -MnO₂ and electronic conductor β 3-pH $_{\nearrow}$ in V_H -MnO₂/ β 3-pH $_{\nearrow}$ results in a more efficient synergy leading to a less resistive behavior at high scan rates (Fig. 7b).

First, in order to show the interest of making restacked composite materials, it is relevant to compare their performance to those of their precursors. We can clearly see that in K₂SO₄ electrolyte, the electrochemical activity of MnO₂ is much higher than that of H_xCoO₂. Additionally, in all composites MnO₂ is 3 times more than H_xCoO₂. Thus, H_xCoO₂ is mainly likely to bring electrical conductivity while its contribution to charge storage is very limited in the composite. The whole manganese oxide precursors exhibit a similar variation of capacity as a function of rate (Fig. 8a, b and Fig. S7†). At low scan rates, the capacities overcome 100 F g⁻¹ before strongly decreasing beyond 20 mV s⁻¹. Indeed, the faradaic charge storage mechanism, predominant at low rates, is kinetically limited at higher rates, where capacitive storage is favored. Compared to these alkaline manganese oxide precursors, the composites including V_H-MnO₂, as well as for the HT_H-MnO₂/β3 pH_{14} , exhibit at high rate a higher specific capacity (green curve), which justifies the interest in these composites. On the contrary, it is not the case for the composites based on the PH-MnO₂ precursor with platelet morphology, nor for the HT_{HT} $MnO_2/\beta 3$ -pH, material, where all three exhibit a capacity lower than that of the Mn precursors, whatever the rate. Additionally, it is important to note that, whatever the rate, all the composites present better experimental capacities than the theoretical ones, calculated considering the weighted average capacity of Co and Mn precursors (see Fig. S8† for more details). These results clearly prove a real synergy effect between both phases within the composites.

The comparison of rate capability performance within the series of restacked materials (Fig. 8c) shows that, at the lowest scan rate, the capacities stay in the range 72-94 F g⁻¹; the relative differences between the most performing materials, i.e. the composites based on a Mn precursor with veil morphology and P_H -MnO₂/ β 3-pH₁₄ are not really significant. On the contrary, the behavior of V_H-MnO₂/β3-pH_Z is clearly outstanding at higher sweep rates, with a capacity that is twice that observed for the other composites at 200 mV s⁻¹. In the performance order at high rates, V_H-MnO₂/β3-pH₂ is above V_H-MnO₂/β3-pH₁₄ and the composites containing HT_H-MnO₂ precursors that are comparable and finally the composites based on the P_H-MnO₂ precursor with platelet morphology possess the worst performance. The decreasing order of the capacities seems to follow the decreasing evolution of the specific surface areas with the P_H-MnO₂/β3-pH₁₄ and P_H-MnO₂/ β3-pH → composites exhibiting the lowest SSA with 61 and 82 m² g-1 respectively, and exhibiting the most inhomogeneous distribution of the 2 components. Although the different composites display various rate capabilities, no capacity loss is observed after 5000 cycles for all of them, which reveals that the association of cobalt oxyhydroxide and manganese oxide within a composite via an exfoliation/restacking process leads to stable materials during electrochemical cycling in K2SO4, thanks to a good cohesion between the objects, whose morphologies do not affect the stability (Fig. 8d). It should be noted that, as shown in a previous study reported by Tang et al.,28 a simple mixture between the precursors did not lead to an optimal cohesion, entailing thus a capacity drop from the first 100




Fig. 9 Nyquist plots of the restacked materials (a) V_H -MnO₂/ β 3-pH ₂ and (b) HT_H-MnO₂/ β 3-pH₁₄ at 0.3 V.

cycles, which is completely avoided by using composites prepared by exfoliation/restacking.

It can therefore be concluded that the very homogeneous organization of the Mn and Co objects at the nanoscale, previously evidenced by nano-Auger analysis, its mesoporosity as well as its highest specific surface area allow $V_{H}\text{-MnO}_2/\beta 3\text{-pH}_{\nearrow}$ to reach the best performance among the other studied composites.

In order to confirm the influence of the morphology and of the distribution scale homogeneity of the objects contained in the restacked materials, an electrochemical impedance spectroscopy study has been carried out on the $V_H\text{-}MnO_2/\beta3\text{-}pH_{\nearrow}$ nanocomposite, presenting the rate capability performance, in comparison with the $HT_H\text{-}MnO_2/\beta3\text{-}pH_{14}$ microcomposite, whose capacity is just lower at high rates.

The spectra and fitted data according to the equivalent circuit presented Fig. S9† show similar values for all the working potentials as presented in ESI Fig. S10 and Table S3.† In the manuscript, only the spectra at 0.3 V are presented in Fig. 9 for clarity. The potential variation has no influence on the loop of the spectra at high frequencies (Fig. S10†), which allows the resistance R2 characterized by a semi-circle to be attributed to the electric percolation within the material. This weaker resistance observed for V_H-MnO₂/β3-pH₂ compared to HT_H-MnO₂/ β3-pH₁₄ (3.4 Ohm against 4.2 Ohm) reveals a better percolation between the grains for the former material, as it was expected due to a better homogeneity between Co and Mn objects. Indeed, the veil morphology of the Mn objects is likely to wrap up as much as possible the Co oxyhydroxide platelets, leading therefore to an optimal interaction promoting the electron transfer in V_H -MnO₂/ β 3-pH_{\nearrow 1} Regarding the ionic diffusion, the S2 impedance is also lower for V_H-MnO₂/β3-pH_{2.2}

4 Conclusion

Nanoscale Advances

This work was focused on the influence of the morphology of composites synthesized by exfoliation/restacking of cobalt oxyhydroxides and birnessite manganese dioxides on their electrochemical properties. Starting from birnessite phases with different morphologies (veils, nanoplatelets and microplatelets) and 2 cobalt oxyhydroxides (platelets with different sizes), 6 different composites are obtained, with the same Mn: Co ratio fixed to 3:1.

Nano-Auger analysis turned out to be a key tool to identify the distribution scale of the Mn and Co objects within the restacked composites, depending on the morphology of the precursors and their interaction. The materials that are based on manganese oxide with platelet morphology appear as composites at the micrometric scale, and even beyond, with significant inhomogeneities when the Mn platelets are nanometric. On the other hand, the materials containing the manganese oxide component with veil morphology exhibit a very intimate mixture between the Co and Mn-based objects. The combination of the veil Mn morphology with the smallest nanoplatelets of cobalt oxyhydroxide allows obtaining the best distribution of the objects, leading to a nanocomposite. This material has the highest specific surface area among the

composites ($124 \text{ m}^2 \text{ g}^{-1}$) and leads to the best capacitance, $84 \text{ F} \text{ g}^{-1}$ at $1 \text{ A} \text{ g}^{-1}$ after 5000 cycles for the electrode with 10 mg cm⁻² mass loading. The EIS measurements show a lower resistance and a better inter-grain percolation for this material. The synergy between the conductive cobalt oxyhydroxide platelets and the pseudocapacitive manganese oxide veils is effective in this material.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank AID as well as Bordeaux INP for financial support (PhD funding of R. I. and research fees). The authors also thank Région Nouvelle Aquitaine and the French National Research Agency (STORE-EX Labex Project ANR-10-LABX-76-01) for financial support and fruitful discussions. Many thanks to Catherine Denage, Emmanuel Petit, and Eric Lebraud for their help in the characterization of the samples by ICP, MEB and XRD.

References

- 1 J. Ma, Y. Li, N. S. Grundish, J. B. Goodenough, Y. Chen, L. Guo, Z. Peng, X. Qi, F. Yang, L. Qie, C.-A. Wang, B. Huang, Z. Huang, L. Chen, D. Su, G. Wang, X. Peng, Z. Chen, J. Yang, S. He, X. Zhang, H. Yu, C. Fu, M. Jiang, W. Deng, C.-F. Sun, Q. Pan, Y. Tang, X. Li, X. Ji, F. Wan, Z. Niu, F. Lian, C. Wang, G. G. Wallace, M. Fan, Q. Meng, S. Xin, Y.-G. Guo and L.-J. Wan, *J. Phys. D: Appl. Phys.*, 2021, 54, 183001.
- Amici, P. Asinari, E. Ayerbe, 2 J. P. Barboux, P. Bayle-Guillemaud, R. J. Behm, M. Berecibar, E. Berg, A. Bhowmik, S. Bodoardo, I. E. Castelli, I. Cekic-Laskovic, Christensen, S. Clark, R. Diehm, R. Dominko, Fichtner, A. A. Franco, A. Grimaud, N. Guillet, Hahlin, S. Hartmann, V. Heiries, K. Hermansson, Heuer, S. Jana, L. Jabbour, J. Kallo, A. Latz, H. Lorrmann, O. M. Løvvik, S. Lyonnard, M. Meeus, E. Paillard, S. Perraud, T. Placke, C. Punckt, O. Raccurt, J. Ruhland, E. Sheridan, H. Stein, J. Tarascon, V. Trapp, T. Vegge, M. Weil, W. Wenzel, M. Winter, A. Wolf and K. Edström, Adv. Energy Mater., 2022, 2102785.
- 3 J. Janek and W. G. Zeier, Nat. Energy, 2016, 1, 1-4.
- 4 S. Liu, L. Kang, J. Zhang, S. C. Jun and Y. Yamauchi, *ACS Energy Lett.*, 2021, 6, 4127–4154.
- 5 M. Yassine and D. Fabris, *Energies*, 2017, **10**, 1340–1351.
- 6 Y. Jiang and J. Liu, Energy Environ. Mater., 2019, 2, 30-37.
- 7 Y. Gogotsi and R. M. Penner, ACS Nano, 2018, 12, 2081–2083.
- 8 S. Fleischmann, J. B. Mitchell, R. Wang, C. Zhan, D. Jiang, V. Presser and V. Augustyn, *Chem. Rev.*, 2020, **120**, 6738–6782.
- 9 C. Julien and A. Mauger, Nanomaterials, 2017, 7, 396.
- 10 M. Huang, F. Li, F. Dong, Y. X. Zhang and L. L. Zhang, J. Mater. Chem. A, 2015, 3, 21380–21423.

- 11 T. Brousse, P.-L. Taberna, O. Crosnier, R. Dugas, P. Guillemet, Y. Scudeller, Y. Zhou, F. Favier, D. Bélanger and P. Simon, J. Power Sources, 2007, 173, 633–641.
- 12 A. Boisset, L. Athouël, J. Jacquemin, P. Porion, T. Brousse and M. Anouti, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2013, **117**, 7408–7422.
- 13 S. Zhu, W. Huo, X. Liu and Y. Zhang, *Nanoscale Adv.*, 2020, 2, 37–54.
- 14 S. Liu, L. Kang and S. C. Jun, Adv. Mater., 2021, 33, 1-40.
- 15 E. Pomerantseva, F. Bonaccorso, X. Feng, Y. Cui and Y. Gogotsi, *Science*, 2019, **366**, eaan8285.
- 16 Y. Fu, X. Gao, D. Zha, J. Zhu, X. Ouyang and X. Wang, J. Mater. Chem. A, 2018, 6, 1601–1611.
- 17 E. Eustache, C. Douard, R. Retoux, C. Lethien and T. Brousse, *Adv. Energy Mater.*, 2015, 5, 3–7.
- 18 H. Liu, W. Gu, B. Luo, P. Fan, L. Liao, E. Tian, Y. Niu, J. Fu, Z. Wang, Y. Wu, G. Lv and L. Mei, *Electrochim. Acta*, 2018, 291, 31–40.
- 19 C. C. H. Tran, J. Santos-Peña and C. Damas, J. Phys. Chem. C, 2018, 122, 16–29.
- 20 H. Xia, X. Zhu, J. Liu, Q. Liu, S. Lan, Q. Zhang, X. Liu, J. K. Seo, T. Chen, L. Gu and Y. S. Meng, *Nat. Commun.*, 2018, 9, 5100.
- 21 Z.-H. Huang, Y. Song, D.-Y. Feng, Z. Sun, X. Sun and X.-X. Liu, ACS Nano, 2018, 12, 3557–3567.
- 22 D. Wu, X. Xie, Y. Zhang, D. Zhang, W. Du, X. Zhang and B. Wang, Front. Mater., 2020, 7, 1–16.
- 23 Q.-Z. Zhang, D. Zhang, Z.-C. Miao, X.-L. Zhang and S.-L. Chou, *Small*, 2018, 14, 1702883.
- 24 Z. Su, C. Yang, C. Xu, H. Wu, Z. Zhang, T. Liu, C. Zhang, Q. Yang, B. Li and F. Kang, J. Mater. Chem. A, 2013, 1, 12432.
- 25 J. Kang, A. Hirata, L. Kang, X. Zhang, Y. Hou, L. Chen, C. Li, T. Fujita, K. Akagi and M. Chen, *Angew. Chem.*, *Int. Ed.*, 2013, 52, 1664–1667.
- 26 R. B. Rakhi, B. Ahmed, D. Anjum and H. N. Alshareef, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2016, 8, 18806–18814.

- 27 S. Zhu, Q. Shan, F. Dong, Y. Zhang and L. Zhang, Gold Bull., 2017, 50, 61–68.
- 28 C. Tang, D. Giaume, F. Weill, N. Penin, M.-A. Dourges, H. Saadaoui and L. Guerlou-Demourgues, ACS Appl. Energy Mater., 2019, 2, 7832–7842.
- 29 R. Invernizzi, L. Guerlou-Demourgues, F. Weill, A. Lemoine, M.-A. Dourges, I. Baraille, D. Flahaut and J. Olchowka, *Materials*, 2021, 14, 2325.
- 30 A. Lemoine, R. Invernizzi, G. Salvato Vallverdu, L. Madec, J. Olchowka, L. Guerlou-Demourgues, I. Baraille and D. Flahaut, J. Phys. Chem. C, 2021, 125, 8570–8581.
- 31 C. Tang, PhD thesis, Université de Bordeaux, 2017.
- 32 S. H. Kim, S. J. Kim and S. M. Oh, *Chem. Mater.*, 1999, 11, 557–563.
- 33 Y. Omomo, T. Sasaki, L. Wang and M. Watanabe, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2003, **125**, 3568–3575.
- 34 S. Brunauer, P. H. Emmett and E. Teller, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1938, **60**, 309–319.
- 35 J. Olchowka, T. Tailliez, L. Bourgeois, M. A. Dourges and L. Guerlou-Demourgues, *Nanoscale Adv.*, 2019, **1**, 2240–2249.
- 36 E. Silvester, A. Manceau and V. A. Drits, Am. Mineral., 1997, 82, 962–978.
- 37 A.-C. Gaillot, D. Flot, V. A. Drits, A. Manceau, M. Burghammer and B. Lanson, *Chem. Mater.*, 2003, **15**, 4666–4678.
- 38 R. D. Shannon, Acta Crystallogr., Sect. A: Cryst. Phys., Diffr., Theor. Gen. Crystallogr., 1976, 32, 751–767.
- 39 A. G. Volkov, S. Paula and D. W. Deamer, *Bioelectrochem. Bioenerg.*, 1997, **42**, 153–160.
- 40 S. Boyd, K. Ganeshan, W.-Y. Tsai, T. Wu, S. Saeed, D. Jiang, N. Balke, A. C. T. van Duin and V. Augustyn, *Nat. Mater.*, 2021, 20, 1689–1694.
- 41 A. Manceau, B. Lanson and V. A. Drits, *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta*, 2002, **66**, 2639–2663.
- 42 A.-C. Gaillot, PhD thesis, Université Joseph Fourier Grenoble I, 2002.