RSC Advances

PAPER

Cite this: RSC Adv., 2022, 12, 23263

Construction of a binary S-scheme S-g- C_3N_4/C_0 -ZF heterojunction with enhanced spatial charge separation for sunlight-driven photocatalytic performance†

Ali Bahadur[,](http://orcid.org/0000-0001-8495-2890)^a Shahid Iqbal, \mathbb{D}^{*b} Mohsin Javed,^c Syeda Saba Hassan,^c Sohail Nadeem,^c Ali Akbar[,](http://orcid.org/0000-0002-2546-8035) \mathbf{D}^d Rami M. Alzhrani, ^e Murefah Mana Al-Anazy, \mathbf{D}^f Eslam B. Elkaeed, \mathbf{D}^g Nasser S. Awwad,^h Hala A. Ibrahium^{ij} and Ayesha Mohyuddin^c

A step-scheme (S-scheme) photocatalyst made of sulfurized graphitic carbon nitride/cobalt doped zinc ferrite $(S-q-C_3N_4/Co-ZF)$ was constructed using a hydrothermal process because the building of Sscheme systems might increase the lifespan of highly reactive charge carriers. Utilizing cutting-edge methods, the hybrid photocatalyst was evaluated by employing TEM, XPS, XRD, BET, FTIR, transient photo-response, UV-vis, EIS and ESR signals. In order to create a variety of binary nanocomposites (NCs), nanoparticles (NPs) of 6% cobalt doped zinc ferrite (Co-ZF) were mixed with $S-g-C_3N_4$ at various concentrations, ranging from 10 to 80 wt%. For photocatalytic dye removal, a particular binary NC constructed between $S-g-C_3N_4$ and $Co-ZF$ produces a huge amount of catalytic active sites. The findings showed that loading of S-q-C₃N₄ on 6% Co-ZF NPs serves as a good heterointerface for e^{-}/h^{+} separation and transportation through the S-scheme $S-q-C_3N_4/Co-ZF$ heterojunction. By boosting the hybrid system's BET surface area for the photocatalytic process, the addition of 6% Co-ZF improves the system's ability to absorb more sunlight and boosts its photocatalytic activity. The highest photo-removal effectiveness (98%), which is around 2.45 times higher than that of its competitors, was achieved by the hybrid photocatalyst system with an ideal loading of 48% Co-ZF. Furthermore, the trapping studies showed that the primary species involved in the MB aqueous photo-degradation were \cdot OH $^-$ and h⁺. **PAPER**
 **Construction of a binary S-scheme S-g-C₃N₄/Construction and the particle of a binary S-scheme S-g-C₃N₄/Construction with enhanced spatial charge

Separation for sunlight-driven photocatalytic

Separation**

Received 21st November 2021 Accepted 8th August 2022

DOI: 10.1039/d1ra08525e

rsc.li/rsc-advances

Introduction

a Department of Chemistry, College of Science and Technology, Wenzhou-Kean University, Wenzhou, China

b Department of Chemistry, School of Natural Sciences (SNS), National University of Science and Technology (NUST), H-12, Islamabad, 46000, Pakistan. E-mail: shahidiqbal.chem@sns.nust.edu.pk

c Department of Chemistry, School of Science, University of Management and Technology, Lahore, Pakistan

^aDepartment of Physics, University of Agriculture Faisalabad (UAF), Faisalabad, Punjab, 38000, Pakistan

e Department of Pharmaceutics and Industrial Pharmacy, College of Pharmacy, Taif University, P.O. Box 11099, Taif 21944, Saudi Arabia

f Department of Chemistry, College of Science, Princess Nourah bint Abdulrahman University, P.O. Box 84428, Riyadh 11671, Saudi Arabia

g Department of Pharmaceutical Sciences, College of Pharmacy, AlMaarefa University, Riyadh 13713, Saudi Arabia

h Chemistry Department, Faculty of Science, King Khalid University, P.O. Box 9004, Abha 61413, Saudi Arabia

i Biology Department, Faculty of Science, King Khalid University, P.O. Box 9004, Abha 61413, Saudi Arabia

j Department of Semi Pilot Plant, Nuclear Materials Authority, P.O. Box 530, El Maadi, Egypt

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. See <https://doi.org/10.1039/d1ra08525e>

The need for clean water sources has spurred interest on a global scale as a result of the world population's ongoing rise as well as the quickening pace of industrialization and urbanization.¹–³ Several textile businesses release byproducts that include water during this fast growth, especially colors like methyl orange (MO) and methylene blue (MB), both of which are very lethal and toxic.⁴⁻⁶ Mixing dirty water with pure water results in considerable environmental pollution.⁷⁻⁹ Degrading incompatible organic molecules and purifying the water are therefore important to save the ecology.¹⁰⁻¹² Organic dye molecules are challenging to spontaneously decay due to their bulky and complicated chemical structure.¹³⁻¹⁵

Organic pollutant elimination is a well-established potential of photon-initiated oxidation processes, notably photocatalysis.¹⁶–²⁰ This method's notable benefits include the utilization of inexpensive photons, mild working temperatures, nontoxic photocatalysts, and full mineralization.²¹⁻²⁶ Light absorption, photocatalytic redox interactions with reactive radicals, and the separation and transport of the photogenerated electron–hole pair are generally the three key components of the photocatalytic process.²⁷⁻³⁰

Due to its distinctive qualities, such as environmental friendliness, affordability, a moderate working temperature, and high oxidation power, semiconductor-based photocatalysts have been extensively used to remove environmental contaminants from water.³¹⁻³³ It is a drawback because $TiO₂$ has a significant bandgap (3.22 eV), which implies that only 4% of the solar spectrum is captured and the rest is lost. There have lately been several novel photocatalytic semiconducting materials that destroy organic complex compounds by reacting to visible light. Physiochemical characteristics, non-toxicity, and outstanding photocatalytic efficiency of spinel ferrites have made them popular photocatalysts in environmental cleanup.³⁴⁻³⁶ Zinc ferrite $(ZnFe₂O₄)$ is a spinel-type $(AB₂O₄)$ material that is stable because $Zn(\pi)$ ions dominate the tetrahedral A-site and $Fe(m)$ ions reside in the octahedral B-sites.³⁴ It has been used in several applications because of its optoelectronic qualities, photochemical stability and narrow bandgap (1.9 eV), including magnetic materials, gas sensors, pigment, hydrogen production, solar energy active photocatalyst for the photo-removal of organic pollutants and as an anode material in batteries.6,37 **PSC** Advances Courses Articles. Such as environmental **Experimental**

The hydrogen article of the published on 16 August 2022. The contrast Article is limited with the same of the same of the same of the same of the same

There are several ways to make zinc ferrite (ZF), including solvothermal, co-precipitation, hydrothermal, microemulsion, sol–gel, the reverse micelle technique, solid-state processes and combustion.38,39 Due to its simplicity, ability to produce crystals with the required morphology, size, and form, high productivity, and improved solubility, the hydrothermal process is more often used than other synthetic techniques.⁴⁰⁻⁴² Additionally, a lot of crystals may be produced using this technique without altering the composition, and materials with high vapor pressure close to their melting point can also be formed. $ZnFe₂O₄$ nanocrystals were created by Fan et al. via a hydrothermal technique, and they were then utilized to degrade acid orange II.⁴³ In addition, Sun et al. and Han et al. examined the photocatalytic efficiency of ZnFe_2O_4 nanoplates and octahedral ZnFe_2O_4 produced by hydrothermal techniques against RhB.^{44,45} However, because of insufficient e^- and h^+ pair separation and substantial recombination of photoinduced e^{-}/h^{+} , the photocatalytic efficiency of zinc ferrite is severely constrained.

As a consequence, many areas are being concentrated on increasing the effectiveness of the photocatalytic procedure, involving expanding the light-harvesting spectrum range and boosting the transfer and separation of photoinduced e^- and h^+ sets.⁴⁶–⁴⁸ The use of 2D layered materials and metal-doping are typical methods for achieving these objectives. Numerous investigations have shown that non-local-conjugated structures in conductive polymers improve charge carrier transport and boost photocatalytic performance. Co-ZnFe₂O₄,⁴⁹ ZnFe₂O₄/g- C_3N_4 ⁵⁰ ZnFe₂O₄/graphene,⁵¹ and ZnFe₂O₄/Fe₂O₃ (ref. 52) are cited in earlier papers as materials that have shown exceptional performance in the elimination of contaminants.

The cobalt-doped ZnFe_2O_4 (Co-ZF) NPs used in this study have varying percentages of cobalt $(2, 4, 6, 8, \text{ and } 10 \text{ wt})$ and a 6% cobalt-doped ZnFe₂O₄ composite with varying percentages $(12, 24, 48, 60,$ and 80 wt%) of S-g-C₃N₄ photodegraded using these synthetic photocatalysts and a suggested mechanism for MB degradation was made.

Experimental

Materials

Ferric nitrate nonahydrate $[Fe(NO₃)₃ \cdot 9H₂O, 99% Alfa Aesar)$, distilled water, $\text{Zn}(\text{NO}_3)_3 \cdot 6\text{H}_2\text{O}$, 97% Sinopharm, sodium hydroxide (NaOH, 99% Alfa Aesar), polyethylene glycol (PEG, 97% DAEJUNG), MB (C₁₆H₁₈ClN₃S, 99% Simpsons), cobalt nitrate hexahydrate $(Co(NO₃)₂·6H₂O, 97% DAEJUNG)$ and thiourea (CH_4N_2S , 99% Sinopharm).

Synthesis of ZnFe_2O_4 NPs

 ZnFe_2O_4 NPs were created using the hydrothermal technique. In a nutshell, 2.98 g of $\text{Zn}(\text{NO}_3)_3 \cdot 6\text{H}_2\text{O}$ and 8.09 g of Fe(NO₃)₃- \cdot 9H₂O were dissolved in 31 mL of distilled water before being combined with 11 mL of polyethylene glycol (PEG) and rapidly agitated for 22 minutes. To keep the mixture's pH at 11, a dropwise addition of a 7 M NaOH solution was made with constant stirring. For hydrothermal treatment at 182 $^{\circ}$ C for 17 hours, this suspension was vacuum sealed in a 100 mL Teflon autoclave. The temperature was then raised to the normal range. The item underwent many rounds of filtering and distilled water washings before being dried in the oven for three hours at 85 \degree C. A fine powder was created once the product was finished.

Synthesis of Co-ZF NPs

By adjusting the cobalt content of ZnFe_2O_4 (2, 4, 6, 8, and 10 wt%), cobalt doped ZnFe_2O_4 (Co-ZF) NPs were also produced hydrothermally. To make 2% Co-ZF, 0.08 g of $Co(NO₃)₂·6H₂O$, 8.09 g of Fe(NO₃)₃.9H₂O and 2.91 g of Zn(NO₃)₃.6H₂O were dispersed in 31 mL of distilled H_2O . The mixture was then given a further addition of 11 mL of PEG and aggressively agitated for 22 minutes. When the combination's pH reached 11, a dropwise addition of a 7 M solution of NaOH was made while the mixture was continually stirred. For hydrothermal treatment at 182 $^{\circ}$ C for 17 hours, this suspension was vacuum sealed in a 100 mL Teflon autoclave. Then, it was let cool to room temperature. The precipitates underwent filtration, washing, and three hours of drying at 85 \degree C. The substance was then milled into a fine powder after drying. The same process was also used to create Co-ZF in a sequence with wt% of 4, 6, 8, and 10. Table 1 lists the different compositions and testing circumstances.

Construction of $S-g-C_3N_4$

Utilizing thiourea and the thermal polycondensation technique, $S-g-C_3N_4$ was created. This process included placing thiourea in the crucible and heating it for three hours at a temperature of 555 °C at a pace of 6 °C min $^{-1}$. After being milled into a fine powder, the resulting yellow color agglomerates were produced.

Designing of S-g-C3N4/Co-ZF heterostructures

By combining different amounts (12, 24, 48, 60, and 80 wt%) of S-g-C₃N₄ with Co-ZF, a series of 6% S-g-C₃N₄/Co-ZF NCs were made. Solution A was created by dissolving 8.09 g of

Table 1 Co-ZF NPs' chemical make-up and an evaluation of their photocatalytic potential

S. no.	Doping in wt%	$Co(NO3)2·6H2O$ (g)	$Zn(NO3)3·6H2O$ (g)	$Fe(NO3)3·9H2O$ (g)	pН	MB dye degradation (%)
1	Pure ZF	$\mathbf{0}$	2.99	8.09	11	37
$\mathbf{2}$	2% Co-ZF	0.08	2.91	8.09	11	46
3	4% Co-ZF	0.16	2.83	8.09	11	54
4	6% Co-ZF	0.24	2.75	8.09	11	60
5	8% Co-ZF	0.32	2.67	8.09	11	52
6	10% Co-ZF	0.40	2.59	8.09	11	44
S. no. 1	Wt% of 6% Co-ZF in NCs 12%	S-g-C ₃ N ₄ (g) 0.26	MB dye removal (%) 69	suspension was moved, and it was heated for 17 hours at 182 °C. The temperature was then lowered to ambient. The sample underwent three hours of drying at 85 °C after being filtered and		stirring the mixture continuously. In a Teflon autoclave, the
$\overline{2}$ 3 4 5	24% 48% 60% 80%	0.53 1.06 1.32 1.76	86 98 86 75	many times in distilled water. The item was then crushed into a fine powder when it had dried. The same process was also used to create a series of NCs of $S-g-C_3N_4$ with 6% Co-ZF (24, 48, 60, and 80 wt%). For their synthesis, Table 2 lists the experi-		
	Fe(NO ₃) ₂ .9H ₂ O, 2.75 g of Zn(NO ₃) ₂ .6H ₂ O and 0.24 g of $Co(NO3)2·6H2O$ in 27 mL of distilled water for the synthesis of 12% NCs of $S-g-C_3N_4$ with 6% Co-ZF. To create solution B,			mental conditions and $S-g-C_3N_4$ dosage. On the other hand, the ESI ⁺ includes the material characterizations and photocatalytic activity data. Results and discussion		
	0.217 g of $S-g-C_3N_4$ were mixed with 16 mL of distilled water. Following that, solution A and solution B were combined, and			Fig. 1 shows the crystallinity and structure of $S - S_3N_4$ NSs, 6%		

Table 2 The progression of the photocatalytic efficacies of the synthesized heterostructures and their composition

Results and discussion

Fig. 1 XRD pattern of zinc ferrite, S-g-C₃N₄, 6% Co-ZF and 48% S-g-C₃N₄/6% Co-ZF.

 $C_3N_4/6\%$ Co-ZF NCs is shown by their XRD patterns. Fig. 1 shows that the crystalline form of spinal ZF is signicantly associated with (JCPDS no. 22.1012) each of the diffraction peaks. The peaks at 2θ of 35.3° , 30.1° , 42.1° , 52.1° , 62.3° and 56.5 $^{\circ}$ are recognized in the (311), (220), (400), (422), (440) and (511) crystal facets of zinc ferrite. The XRD of 6% Co-ZF is also shown in Fig. 1 for your viewing pleasure. Given that cobalt has a smaller ionic radius (0.57 Å) than zinc, the pattern shows that adding 6% of cobalt to ZF marginally enhances the broadness of the peaks (0.59 Å) . Therefore, a smaller crystallite results from the replacement of Co in ZF. The rise in peak widening is proof that Co was successfully doped in ZF. The $S-g-C_3N_4$ diffraction pattern is also seen in Fig. 1. The 002 facets of the crystal are assigned a separate peak in this pattern at 27.37° , and this pattern closely resembles (JCPDS 00-087-1526) the pattern of S $g - C_3N_4$ that has been described. The intense peaks in the XRD pattern of 48% S-g- $C_3N_4/6\%$ Co-ZF NCs are in strong agreement with the existence of pure ZF. This suggests that adding 48% S $g - C_3N_4$ to 6% Co-ZF cannot cause a phase shift, and the fact that

there is one peak of SCN at 27.37° indicates that S-g-C₃N₄ was successfully loaded into 6% Co-ZF.

As shown in Fig. 2, TEM images were used to assess the surface morphologies of manufactured photocatalysts in addition to their crystal structures. Curiously, TEM investigation of $S-S₃N₄$ revealed that the produced $S-S₃N₄$ exhibit almost translucent and stretchable 2D homogeneous NSs constructions with bendy shapes (Fig. 2a). Spherical NPs are collected in erratic formations in the ZF TEM picture shown in Fig. 2b, with the size of the particles varying from 22 to 38 nm. This morphology is known as a 0D morphology. However, the Co-ZF with dimensions between 27 and 49 nm was also shown to have a 0D-like shape (Fig. 2c). The TEM picture (Fig. 2d) for the 48% S-g-C3N4/6% Co-ZF NCs demonstrates an equal distribution of S -g- C_3N_4 across the Co-ZF NPs. Co-ZF is evenly dispersed as NPs throughout the $S-g-C_3N_4$ segment, which is really a 2D layered structure. The manufacture of 6% Co-ZF NPs on $S-g-C_3N_4$ NSs produced the most clearly characterized heterojunction between these two materials.

Fig. 2 TEM images of (a) S-g-C₃N₄, (b) ZF, (c) 6% Co-ZF, and (d) 48% S-g-C₃N₄/6% Co-ZF.

Additionally, XPS was used to identify the valence state and elemental conformation and of NCs that were 48% S-g-C₃N₄/6% Co-ZF. According to Fig. S1a, \dagger the Zn 2p_{1/2} and Zn 2p_{3/2} particles are responsible for the peaks that occurred at 1044.73 eV and 1021.72 eV in the Zn 2p spectra of S-g-C₃N₄/6% Co-ZF.^{53,54} Two unique peaks identified at 529.91 and 531.22 eV that may be linked with Fe–O and Zn–O, correspondingly, are validated by the deconvoluted O 1s observations (Fig. S1b†) of S-g-C₃N₄/6% Co-ZF.⁵⁵ Two major peaks of the measurements of Fe 2p were used to determine the oxidation states of Fe^{3+} in the synthesized photocatalyst (Fig. S1c†), Fe $2p_{1/2}$ (722.13) and Fe $2p_{3/2}$ (708.43).⁵⁶ As shown in Fig. S1d,† the deconvoluted spectra of Co 2p exhibit two distinct peaks that may be assigned to the Co $2p_{1/2}$ and Co $2p_{3/2}$ at BEs of 793.94 and 778.93 eV. The acquired findings are in line with the prior work for the Co-ZF NPs. Three unique peaks seen in the C 1s spectra of $S-g-C_3N_4/6\%$ Co-ZF NCs may be assigned to the C–C, $C=O$, and C–O, individually. These peaks are seen at 283.19, 287.16, and 284.71 eV.57,58 In Fig. S1e,† the C 1s spectrum is shown. Similarly, three distinct peaks at 397.89, 400.67, and 399.79 eV in the N 1s high-resolution spectra are attributed to the respective N–C–N, N–H, and C– (C) ₃ functions (Fig. S1f†).¹⁴ The successful synthesis of hybrid S $g - C_3N_4/6\%$ Co-ZF NCs was shown by these XPS experimental results. The XPS findings demonstrated the close contact between Co-ZF and $S-g-C_3N_4$, resulting in the formation of the Public Common and Common and Creative Common articles. Public Common articles are exposured to the Creative Common and Creative Common articles. The common article is licensed to the common a creative Common and Creative C

nanoscale hybrid system with a content of 48% S-g-C₃N₄ and 6% Co-ZF.

In order to identify the functional group in prepared samples, FTIR spectroscopy is a crucial method. In the 650– 4000 cm^{-1} range, FTIR spectroscopy was used to study samples of 6% Co-ZF, ZF, S-g-C₃N₄ and S-g-C₃N₄/6% Co-ZF. Their FTIR spectra are shown in Fig. 3a. The tetrahedral site's M–O bond is responsible for the distinctive peaks in all FTIR spectra that are in the 849–898 nm range. Our ability to observe the M–O bond's peaks at octahedral positions is limited by our instrumentation. Peaks at 842 and 782 cm^{-1} are caused by triazine units, whereas peaks in the area of 1201–702 cm^{-1} are caused by C–N and C=N stretching in the spectra for $S-g-C_3N_4$ and 48% $S-g-C_3N_4/6\%$ Co-ZF.

As shown in Fig. 3b, the isotherms of $N₂$ desorption– absorption for each of the generated samples of ZF , $S-g-C_3N_4$, 6% Co-ZF and a 48% S-g-C₃N₄/6% Co-ZF heterostructure are all simulated. The isotherm of a 48% S-g- $C_3N_4/6\%$ Co-ZF has been reported to be well suited to mesoporous structures and the standard isotherm pattern of the IUPAC.⁵⁹ ZF, S-g-C₃N₄, 6% Co-ZF, and 48% S-g- $C_3N_4/6\%$ Co-ZF heterojunction all had BET surface areas computed as 7.98, 29.98, 13.74, and 68.73 $\mathrm{m^2\,g^{-1}}$, correspondingly. In comparison to the ZF, $S-g-C_3N_4$, 6% Co-ZF, and the 48% S-g- $C_3N_4/6\%$ Co-ZF have a greater surface area. This is most likely the outcome of the phenomena of

Fig. 3 (a) FT-IR assessments, (b) ZF, S-g-C₃N₄, 6% Co-ZF, and 48% S-g-C₃N₄/6% Co-ZF NCs N₂ adsorption–desorption are used to compute the BET surface area isotherms, (c) UV-vis absorption ranges and (d) Tauc's plots of ZF, S-g-C₃N₄, 6% Co-ZF, and 48% S-g-C₃N₄/6% Co-ZF heterostructure.

arrangement and building of numerous integrated components, which not only promotes the well-defined fabrication but also makes 48% S-g- $C_3N_4/6\%$ Co-ZF more active by generating additional active positions for photocatalysis. High photocatalytic activity is provided by photocatalysts made of 48% S-g- $C_3N_4/6\%$ Co-ZF due to the well-defined manufacturing of heterointerface and enhanced surface area. However, the mesoporous 48% S-g-C₃N₄/6% Co-ZF exhibits an extraordinary capacity to suppress the photogenerated e^{-}/h^{+} pairs recombination processes, which subsequently helps to fine-tune the photocatalytic abilities of the 48% S-g-C₃N₄/6% Co-ZF photocatalyst.

Following that, the light-absorption of the synthesized photocatalysts S-g-C₃N₄, Co-ZF NPs, ZF NPs and 48% S-g-C₃N₄/6% Co-ZF were explored by UV-vis spectra. Fig. 3c shows the aggregate collection of UV-vis evaluations with wavelengths between 255 and 755 nm. When the UV-vis measurements of Co-ZF and ZF NPs are compared, a consistent variation in absorption (redshift) is seen. Associating the 48% S-g-C₃N₄/6% Co-ZF light absorption spectrum to all other samples, including ZF , S-g-C₃N₄ and Co-ZF NPs spectra, it is notable that the light cultivation is enriched from 255 nm to 755 nm. This increase in absorption is mostly the result of the integration of the 6% Co-ZF with $S - S_3N_4$, which also contributes to enhancing the photocatalytic efficacies of the heterostructures made up of 48% $S-g-C_3N_4/6\%$ Co-ZF. Additionally, a significant improvement has

been made in the light-harvesting capacity in the 505–755 nm region, which is important for photocatalytic efficiency.

By creating the Tauc's plot of the UV-vis measurements, shown in Fig. 3c, the energy bandgap values of these produced photocatalysts were evaluated. The computed bandgap values were found to be 2.02 eV, 1.94 eV, and 2.71 eV for the 6% Co-ZF, ZF and 48% S-g-C₃N₄/6% Co-ZF, correspondingly, as shown in Fig. 3c. Because cobalt is doped into the ZF NPs, which most likely leads to the creation of new energy regions below the conduction band, the energy bandgap of 6% Co-ZF may be lowered. The sample with a cobalt concentration of 6% had the lowest energy bandgap out of all the designed samples of Co-ZF (2, 4, 8 and 10%). Similar results were obtained when the energy bandgap of the Co-ZF equated to the $S-g-C_3N_4$ and the 48% S-g- $C_3N_4/6\%$ Co-ZF fell from 2.71 eV for S-g- C_3N_4 to 2.23 eV for 48% S-g-C₃N₄/6% Co-ZF. The effective surface fusion of both components may be to blame for this drop in bandgap values, which significantly increases the photocatalytic abilities of the binary photocatalyst. RSC Advances Article of nuncross integrated composite in the Right-havening capacity in the 503-755 memos, which is equivalent in the selection of proposition of the proposition-non-
anticlear components are commonly in t

Fig. 4 compares the photocatalytic efficiency of a sequence of NCs and ZF with MB. The NCs had Co contents of 2, 4, 6, 8 and 10 wt%. Fig. 4a demonstrates that 6% Co-ZF may degrade MB at a faster rate than other samples, eliminating 60% of it in under 86 min. Later, a nanocomposite of 6% Co-ZF is made with various concentrations of S-g-C₃N₄ (12, 24, 48, 60 and 80 wt%), and MB degradation is conducted to further improve the photocatalytic efficacy of the nanocomposite. To examine the

Fig. 4 MB deterioration in visible light illumination measurements for (a) ZF, Co-ZF (2, 4, 6, 8 and 10%) NRs, and (b) 48% S-g-C₃N₄/6% Co-ZF. (c) MB's photodegradation rate and (d) dye kinetic pseudo-first-order graphs, 6% Co-ZF, ZF, and Co-ZF/S-g-C₃N₄ (12, 24, 48, 60 & 80 wt%) NCs.

Fig. 5 (a) Cyclic stability of a 48% S-q-C₃N₄/6% Co-ZF NCs photocatalyst for six subsequent experiments on MB photoremoval. (b) Transient photocurrent results of ZF, 6% Co-ZF, S-g-C₃N₄, and 48% S-g-C₃N₄/6% Co-ZF under visible-light irradiation (>420 nm). (c) EIS Nyquist plots of ZF, 6% Co-ZF, S-g-C₃N₄ and 48% S-g-C₃N₄/6% Co-ZF NCs. (d) Scavengers' impact on the 48% S-g-C₃N₄/6% Co-ZF NCs photocatalytic activity.

photocatalytic characteristics of generated NCs with various Co-ZF (12, 24, 48, 60, and 80 wt%) NPs concentrations, visible light illumination of MB was utilized (Fig. 4b). Our investigation of the photodegradation of organic pollutants over a 48% S-g- $C_3N_4/6\%$ Co-ZF revealed that MB degradation may be sped up by raising the Co-ZF content in the 48% $S-g-C_3N_4/6\%$ Co-ZF (Table 2). The highest photodegradation yield of 98% that was observed with this concentration under visible-light irradiation proves that the optimal amount of Co-ZF NPs is 50 wt%. For example, when $S-g-C_3N_4/Co-ZF$ with 80 wt% of Co-ZF content were used as photocatalysts for MB degradation, the yield was reduced to 75%, suggesting that the yield would be lower with further increasing the Co-ZF NPs content. Even after six-hour runs, the $S-g-C_3N_4/Co-ZF$ exhibits the highest photodegradation efficiency and chemical stability (Fig. 5a).

Fig. 4c and d illustrate the results of computing the photoremoval rate of dye by photocatalysts using the formula, ln $C_{\rm o}$ $\mathcal{C}_{0}^{(n)}$ \setminus K_t , where C_0 and C represent the dye content at zero and t minutes, correspondingly, and K represents the reaction rate. According to this figure, the photo-removal rate of MB is 8.99 \times 10⁻⁴ min⁻¹. The photolysis rate of 6% Co-ZF (2.58 \times 10^{-3} min⁻¹) is greater than the photocatalysis rate of MB utilizing ZF, which is $1.67 \text{ } 10^{-3} \text{ } \text{min}^{-1}$ then by creating a composite of 6% Co-ZF and 48% S-g-C₃N₄, the rate was further elevated and reached 0.42×10^{-3} min⁻¹ as a consequence of the synergistic interaction between Co-ZF and S-g-C₃N₄. Then, it is shown from estimated results that NCs of 48% S-g-C₃N₄/6% Co-ZF showed the greatest 98% photo-removal of dye after 56 min, whereas with 6% Co-ZF and ZF the degradation of dye was 60% and 37%, correspondingly. Additionally, as demonstrated in Table 3, 48% S-g-C₃N₄/6% Co-ZF photocatalytic efficiency is practically greater than that of past studies.

Since it is generally known that the chemical constancy is a key factor in a catalyst's ability to be extensively utilized, the 48% S-g-C₃N₄/6% Co-ZF heterostructures that were created were assessed for their potential to be employed up to six times in the reaction of dye photo-removal under solar light. The catalyst's impressive chemical stability and availability for routine experimental usage were shown by the fact that there was no visible decline in its efficiency even after 6 runs (Fig. 5a). Photocurrent studies have been performed with all of the available catalysts to dive further into the underlying factors that contribute to the exceptional photocatalytic efficacy of 48% $S-g-C_3N_4/6\%$ Co-ZF for the MB mineralization. This is accomplished by creating a proportional association of photocurrent responses for 48% S-g-C3N4/6% Co-ZF, along with all other catalysts ZF NPs, $S-g-C_3N_4$, and 6% Co-ZF NPs, which provides a useful perspective on the transportation of photogenerated electron/hole pairs. The photocurrent response for 6% Co-ZF, ZF, S-g-C₃N₄, and 48% S-g-C₃N₄/6% Co-ZF was explored in a 0.5 M Na₂SO₄ solution under chopping radiance (Fig. 5b). The photocurrent response of the 48% S-g- $C_3N_4/6\%$ Co-ZF was by far the greatest when compared to all other produced catalysts,

Table 3 48% S-g-C₃N₄/6% Co-ZF photocatalytic effectiveness is compared to that of various previously reported photocatalysts

S. no.	Photocatalyst	Dyes	Light source	Irradiation time (min)	% degradation	Ref.
$\mathbf{1}$	$Zn_{1-x}Dy_xFe_2O_4$	MB	Mercury lamp	75	97.3	34
$\boldsymbol{2}$	ZnFe_2O_4	MB	Xenon lamp	141	76.2	60
3	$CeO2/ZnFe2O4$	MB	Sunlight	60	90.48	31
4	$ZF-RGO$	Fulvic acid	Solar light	220	80.1	61
5	rGO/ZnFe ₂ O ₄	MB	Sunlight	180	99.8	62
6	$SnFe2O4/ZnFe2O4$	MB	Visible light	120	93.2	63
$\overline{7}$	$\text{ZnFe}_2\text{O}_4/\text{rGO}$	MB	Visible light	121	99.99	64
8	ZnFe_2O_4 @rGO	MВ	Xenon lamp	142	92.5	60
	ZnFe ₂ O ₄ /Ag/AgBr	RhB	Visible light	80	88	65
9 10	$S-g-C_3N_4/CO-ZF$ demonstrating once again how effectively charges are trans- ferred and consumed in the binary catalyst. On the other hand, high photocurrent responses provided well-defined hetero- interfaces, excellent electron-hole pair separation, and efficient transfer of electron charge carriers in the very advantageous	MВ	Sunlight	56	98 were produced. According to this research, the heterointerface contact of the binary heterostructure S-g-C ₃ N ₄ /Co-ZF may greatly promote electron transport and increase electron consumption, enhancing photocatalytic performance. The transitory photocurrent responses and the EIS data accord quite	Present work

Fig. 6 Designing the reaction pathways for the photocatalytic elimination of MB using 48% S-g-C₃N₄/6% Co-ZF utilizing a feasible S-scheme heterojunction.

trapping experiment. This demonstrated that the primary energy species involved in the catalytic dye degradation process are 'OH and 'O $_2$ - Examining the EPR spectra of 48% S-g-C₃N₄/ 6% Co-ZF served as additional proof that functional species 'OH and O_2 ⁻ were present in the photodegradation process (Fig. S2a and b†). However, the signals are not discernible in the dark, indicating that both O_2 ⁻ and O H are constructed through the photolysis reaction practices. The apparent ESR signals are associated with $DMPO^- \cdot O_2^-$ and $DMPO^- \cdot OH$ adducts under sunlight enlightenment. The EPR results not only show that the 48% S-g-C₃N₄/6% Co-ZF heterojunction is produced, but they also show how effective the self-assembled method of $S-g-C_3N_4/Co-ZF$ construction is for pollutant degradation. Fig. 6 shows a schematic representation of a photocatalytic process in the S-scheme under visible light. The MB dye degradation mechanism with the help of 48% S-g-C₃N₄/6% Co-ZF photocatalyst is shown in eqn (I) – (IV) .

The adsorption of MB dye on 48% S-g-C₃N₄/6% Co-ZF photocatalyst surface and the e^{-}/h^{+} pair contribute a significant role in MB degradation. Firstly, the MB molecule was adsorbed on the 48% $S-g-C_3N_4/6\%$ Co-ZF photocatalyst surface. On the illumination of solar light on the $S-g-C_3N_4/6\%$ Co-ZF photocatalyst generate e^{-}/h^{+} pairs. Band gap alignment of Co-ZF with S-g- C_3N_4 minimizes the e^-/h^+ recombination. The holes in the lowest energy state in the conduction band of Co-ZF oxidized the MB dye directly and generate hydroxyl free radicals by reacting with water molecules which further interact with MB dye to oxidize into valuable products. Similarly, electrons in the highest energy state in the valence band of $S-g-C_3N_4$ reduce the adsorbed MB dye into $CO₂$. The degradation of MB is started by either 'OH radicals, 'O $_2^-$ radicals, or direct transfer of holes. A detailed illustration of the photocatalytic mechanism is written below.

(I) Formation of electron–hole pair

 $Co-ZF + hv \rightarrow h^+ (Co-ZF) + e^- (Co-ZF)$ $S-g-C_3N_4 + hv \rightarrow h^+ (S-g-C_3N_4) + e^- (S-g-C_3N_4)$

(II) Formation of 'OH radical

$$
e^-(S-g-C_3N_4) + H_2O_2 \rightarrow 'OH + OH^-
$$

$$
h^+(Co-ZF) + H_2O \rightarrow 'OH + H^+
$$

$$
h^+(Co-ZF) + OH^- \rightarrow 'OH
$$

(III) Generation of other reactive species

$$
e^-
$$
 (S-g-C₃N₄) + O₂ → 'O₂⁻
H⁺ + 'O₂⁻ → 'OOH
2H⁺ + 2'O₂⁻ → 'O₂ + H₂O₂

(IV) MB degradation mechanism

 $MB + R' \rightarrow CO_2 + H_2O$ + other degradation products

where R' = 'OH, 'OOH, h^+ (Co-ZF), e^- (S-g-C₃N₄), 'O₂⁻, 'O₂.

Conclusion

In a nutshell, the goal of the current work was to create a better nanocomposite system by integrating $S-g-C_3N_4$ with a sunlightsensitive photocatalyst with narrow bandgap, Co-ZF, to enhance the photo-responsive window of the material and, in turn, the photo-removal proficiency. The hydrothermal technique was successfully used to create the binary $S-g-C_3N_4/Co-ZF$ photocatalysts. Additionally, the Co-ZF on $S-g-C_3N_4$ were consistently loaded after their first manufacture. Both binary and individual photocatalysts were used to break down MB in water. It was discovered that the binary $S-g-C_3N_4/Co-ZF$ NCs considerably improved the photo-removal of MB and sunshine harvesting. The ideal loading is 48 wt% for the binary $S-g-C_3N_4/C_0-ZF NC_5$. The photolysis rate of dye is 0.42×10^{-3} min⁻¹, which is almost 2.45 times more than that of the individual photocatalysts (ZF, $S-S₃N₄$ and Co-ZF), and Co-ZF demonstrated the greatest photo-removal efficiency with a 98% efficiency. Additionally, the chemical stability experiment of the sample with a 48% S-g- $C_3N_4/6\%$ Co-ZF content showed that the hybrid system is extremely robust and reusable. The current research made a ground-breaking suggestion for a brand-new synthesis method and a distinctive binary photo-catalyst that is active in the sunshine. Paper

Resolutions Article 2022. The control of the primary (D) MB degradation mechanism

new Common C

Author contributions

Ali Bahadur: conception, performed photocatalytic experiments, visualization of data, writing reviewing, and editing. Shahid Iqbal: design of study, performed major experimental works, writing-original draft preparation. Mohsin Javed: conception, design of study, writing-original draft preparation and critical revision, supervision. Syeda Saba Hassan: analysis and/or interpretation of data, performed FTIR analysis. Sohail Nadeem: material synthesis, visualization of data, writing reviewing, and editing. Ali Akbar: methodology, reviewed original manuscript, and critical revision. Rami M. Alzhrani: drafting the revised manuscript, performed XRD analysis and critical revision. Murefah Mana Al-Anazy: reviewed original manuscript, and critical revision. Eslam B. Elkaeed: visualization of data, reviewed the original manuscript and critical revision. Hala A. Ibrahium: analysis and/or interpretation of data, performed FTIR analysis. Ayesha Mohyuddin: conducted XRD analysis, acquisition of data, writing-original dra preparation.

Conflicts of interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Acknowledgements

The authors express their appreciation to the Deanship of Scientific Research at King Khalid University, Saudi Arabia, for funding this work through research groups program under grant of number RGP.2/164/43. Rami M. Alzhrani would like to acknowledge Taif University Researchers Supporting Project number (TURSP-2020/209), Taif University, Taif, Saudi Arabia. This research was funded by Princess Nourah bint Abdulrahman University Researchers Supporting Project number (PNURSP2022R7), Princess Nourah bint Abdulrahman University, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia. **PSC Advances Articles**
 Access Train and the space list is are article in the main of the space are the space are the space are the space of t

References

- 1 T.-B. Nguyen, P.-N.-T. Ho, C.-W. Chen, C. P. Huang, R.-a. Doong and C.-D. Dong, Environ. Sci.: Nano, 2022, 9, 229–242.
- 2 X. Bai, T. Jia, X. Wang, S. Hou, D. Hao and N. Bingjie, Catal. Sci. Technol., 2021, 11, 5432–5447.
- 3 H. A. Abubshait, S. Iqbal, S. A. Abubshait, M. T. Alotaibi, N. Alwadai, N. Alfryyan, H. O. Alsaab, N. S. Awwad and H. A. Ibrahium, RSC Adv., 2022, 12, 3274–3286.
- 4 H. Mao, Q. Zhang, F. Cheng, Z. Feng, Y. Hua, S. Zuo, A. Cui and C. Yao, Ind. Eng. Chem. Res., 2022, 61, 8895–8907.
- 5 S. Iqbal, M. Javed, S. S. Hassan, S. Nadeem, A. Akbar, M. T. Alotaibi, R. M. Alzhrani, N. S. Awwad, H. A. Ibrahium and A. Mohyuddin, Colloids Surf., A, 2022, 636, 128177.
- 6 K. Riaz, S. Nadeem, A. Chrouda, S. Iqbal, A. Mohyuddin, S. U. Hassan, M. Javed, A. BaQais, N. Tamam, K. Aroosh, A. Rauf, M. A. S. Abourehab, M. I. Jamil, E. B. Elkaeed, R. M. Alzhrani, N. S. Awwad and H. A. Ibrahium, Colloids Surf., A, 2022, 649, 129332.
- 7 S. Nadeem, H. H. Khushi, M. Javed, S. Iqbal, H. O. Alsaab, N. S. Awwad, H. A. Ibrahium, T. Akhter, A. Rauf and H. Raza, J. Mol. Struct., 2022, 1252, 132191.
- 8 S. Iqbal, M. Javed, M. A. Qamar, A. Bahadur, M. Fayyaz, A. Akbar, H. O. Alsaab, N. S. Awwad and H. A. Ibrahium, ChemistrySelect, 2022, 7, e202103694.
- 9 M. Fan, Y. Cheng, X. Peng, H. Zhang, J. Wu, H. Tang, B. Li and Y. Zong, ACS Sustainable Chem. Eng., 2022, 10, 7664– 7676.
- 10 M. Javed, M. A. Qamar, S. Shahid, H. O. Alsaab and S. Asif, RSC Adv., 2021, 11, 37254–37267.
- 11 G. Sarp and E. Yilmaz, ACS Omega, 2022, 7, 23223–23233.
- 12 M. Chandra, U. Guharoy and D. Pradhan, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2022, 14, 22122–22137.
- 13 A. Bahadur, S. Iqbal, H. O. Alsaab, N. S. Awwad and H. A. Ibrahium, RSC Adv., 2021, 11, 36518–36527.
- 14 S. Iqbal, A. Bahadur, M. Javed, O. Hakami, R. M. Irfan, Z. Ahmad, A. AlObaid, M. M. Al-Anazy, H. B. Baghdadi, H. S. M. Abd-Rabboh, T. I. Al-Muhimeed, G. Liu and M. Nawaz, Mater. Sci. Eng., B, 2021, 272, 115320.
- 15 S. Iqbal, N. Ahmad, M. Javed, M. A. Qamar, A. Bahadur, S. Ali, Z. Ahmad, R. M. Irfan, G. Liu and M. B. Akbar, J. Environ. Chem. Eng., 2021, 9, 104919.
- 16 J. Ding, L. Song, X. Li, L. Chen, X. Li, J. Sun, X. Zhang, Y. Wang and X. Tian, ACS Appl. Energy Mater., 2022, 5, 8800–8811.
- 17 C. Wang, W. Shi, K. Zhu, X. Luan and P. Yang, Langmuir, 2022, 38, 5934–5942.
- 18 U. Sahoo, S. Pattnayak, S. Choudhury, S. Padhiari, M. Tripathy and G. Hota, Ind. Eng. Chem. Res., 2022, 61, 9703–9716.
- 19 F. Chen, T. Ma, T. Zhang, Y. Zhang and H. Huang, Adv. Mater., 2021, 33, 2005256.
- 20 T. Chen, L. Liu, C. Hu and H. Huang, Chin. J. Catal., 2021, 42, 1413–1438.
- 21 W. Liu, D. Zhang, R. Wang, Z. Zhang and S. Qiu, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2022, 14, 31782–31791.
- 22 M. Jafarzadeh, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2022, 14, 24993– 25024.
- 23 S. Iqbal, A. Bahadur, M. Javed, G. Liu, T. I. Al-Muhimeed, A. A. AlObaid, Z. Ahmad, K. Feng and D. Xiao, J. Alloys Compd., 2022, 892, 162012.
- 24 L.-Z. Wu, Acta Phys.-Chim. Sin., 2020, 36, 2004005.
- 25 S. Shen, J. Pan, W. Zhou, J. Tang, H. Ding, J. Wang, L. Chen, C.-T. Au and S.-F. Yin, 2020, 36, 1905068.
- 26 Z. Jin, Y. Li and X. Hao, Acta Phys.-Chim. Sin., 2021, 37, 1912033.
- 27 L. Jia, X. Tan, T. Yu and J. Ye, Energy Fuels, 2022, DOI: [10.1021/acs.energyfuels.2c01137](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.energyfuels.2c01137).
- 28 C. Coromelci, M. Neamtu, M. Ignat, P. Samoila, M. F. Zaltariov and M. Palamaru, Ceram. Int., 2022, 48, 4829–4840.
- 29 A. Aridi, D. Naoufal, H. El-Rassy and R. Awad, Ceram. Int., 2022, DOI: [10.1016/j.ceramint.2022.07.046](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ceramint.2022.07.046).
- 30 S. Iqbal, Appl. Catal., B, 2020, 274, 119097.
- 31 H. A. Al-Shwaiman, C. Akshhayya, A. Syed, A. H. Bahkali, A. M. Elgorban, A. Das, R. S. Varma and S. S. Khan, Mater. Chem. Phys., 2022, 279, 125759.
- 32 S. Anwer, D. H. Anjum, S. Luo, Y. Abbas, B. Li, S. Iqbal and K. Liao, Chem. Eng. J., 2021, 406, 126827.
- 33 C. Akshhayya, M. K. Okla, A. M. Thomas, A. A. Al-ghamdi, M. A. Abdel-Maksoud, B. Almunqedhi, H. AbdElgawad, L. L. Raju and S. S. Khan, Mater. Chem. Phys., 2022, 277, 125464.
- 34 P. A. Vinosha, J. V. A. Vinsla, J. Madhavan, S. Devanesan, M. S. AlSalhi, M. Nicoletti and B. Xavier, Environ. Res., 2022, 203, 111913.
- 35 R. Rajini and A. C. Ferdinand, Chem. Data Collect., 2022, 38, 100825.
- 36 R. Muhammad Irfan, M. Hussain Tahir, M. Maqsood, Y. Lin, T. Bashir, S. Iqbal, J. Zhao, L. Gao and M. Haroon, J. Catal., 2020, 390, 196–205.
- 37 K. Patil, K. Jangam, S. Patange, S. Balgude, A. G. Al-Sehemi, H. Pawar and P. More, J. Phys. Chem. Solids, 2022, 167, 110783.
- 38 R. Belakehal, K. Atacan, N. Güy, A. Megriche and M. Özacar, Appl. Surf. Sci., 2022, 154315, DOI: [10.1016/](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apsusc.2022.154315) [j.apsusc.2022.154315](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apsusc.2022.154315).
- 39 X. Jiang, Z. Wang, M. Zhang, M. Wang, R. Wu, X. Shi, B. Luo, D. Zhang, X. Pu and H. Li, J. Alloys Compd., 2022, 912, 165185.
- 40 H. B. Truong, B. T. Huy, S. K. Ray, G. Gyawali, Y.-I. Lee, J. Cho and J. Hur, Chemosphere, 2022, 299, 134320.
- 41 B. Al-Najar, A. Younis, L. Hazeem, S. Sehar, S. Rashdan, M. N. Shaikh, H. Albuflasa and N. P. Hankins, Chemosphere, 2022, 288, 132525. Published on 16 August 2022. Downloaded on 16 August 2022. Downloaded on 1/15/2025 2022. Downloaded on 1/15/2025 PM. This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-Noncommercial 3.0 August 2022. Downloaded u
	- 42 S. Choudhary, D. Hasina, M. Saini, M. Ranjan and S. Mohapatra, J. Alloys Compd., 2022, 895, 162723.
	- 43 G. Fan, Z. Gu, L. Yang and F. Li, Chem. Eng. J., 2009, 155, 534– 541.
	- 44 Y. Sun, W. Wang, L. Zhang, S. Sun and E. Gao, Mater. Lett., 2013, 98, 124–127.
	- 45 L. Han, X. Zhou, L. Wan, Y. Deng and S. Zhan, J. Environ. Chem. Eng., 2014, 2, 123–130.
	- 46 Y. Shi, L. Li, Z. Xu, H. Sun, S. Amin, F. Guo, W. Shi and Y. Li, Mater. Res. Bull., 2022, 150, 111789.
	- 47 Y. Fang, Q. Liang, Y. Li and H. Luo, Chemosphere, 2022, 302, 134832.
	- 48 S. Gao, D. Feng, F. Chen, H. Shi and Z. Chen, Colloids Surf., A, 2022, 648, 129282.
	- 49 H.-Y. He, Y. Yan, J.-F. Huang and J. Lu, Sep. Purif. Technol., 2014, 136, 36–41.
	- 50 S. Renukadevi and A. P. Jeyakumari, Inorg. Chem. Commun., 2020, 118, 108047.
	- 51 J. Ai, L. Hu, Z. Zhou, L. Cheng, W. Liu, K. Su, R. Zhang, Z. Chen and W. Li, Ceram. Int., 2020, 46, 11786–11798.
	- 52 X. Zhang, B. Lin, X. Li, X. Wang, K. Huang and Z. Chen, Chem. Eng. J., 2022, 430, 132728.
- 53 S. Khosravi-Gandomani, R. Yousefi, F. Jamali-Sheini and N. M. Huang, Ceram. Int., 2014, 40, 7957–7963.
- 54 Z. Zhu, F. Guo, Z. Xu, X. Di and Q. Zhang, RSC Adv., 2020, 10, 11929–11938.
- 55 S.-W. Zhao, M. Zheng, H.-L. Sun, S.-J. Li, Q.-J. Pan and Y.-R. Guo, Dalton Trans., 2020, 49, 3723–3734.
- 56 M. F. Abdel Messih, M. A. Ahmed, A. Soltan and S. S. Anis, J. Phys. Chem. Solids, 2019, 135, 109086.
- 57 S. Iqbal, N. Ahmad, M. Javed, M. A. Qamar, A. Bahadur, S. Ali, Z. Ahmad, R. M. Irfan, G. Liu, M. B. Akbar and M. A. Qayyum, J. Environ. Chem. Eng., 2021, 9, 104919.
- 58 S. Iqbal, A. Bahadur, S. Ali, Z. Ahmad, M. Javed, R. M. Irfan, N. Ahmad, M. A. Qamar, G. Liu, M. B. Akbar and M. Nawaz, J. Alloys Compd., 2021, 858, 158338.
- 59 F. Khurshid, M. Jeyavelan, M. S. L. Hudson and S. Nagarajan, R. Soc. Open Sci., 2019, 6, 181764.
- 60 K. S. Riaz, M. S. Nadeem, V. V. Chrouda, P. A. Iqbal, M. Alsawalha, T. Alomayri and B. Yuan, Colloids Surf., 2021, 125835.
- 61 J. Feng, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2017, 9, 14103–14111.
- 62 Q. Sun, K. Wu, J. Zhang and J. Sheng, Nanotechnology, 2019, 30, 315706.
- 63 J. Wang, Q. Zhang, F. Deng, X. Luo and D. D. Dionysiou, Chem. Eng. J., 2020, 379, 122264.
- 64 G. J. Rani, K. J. Babu, G. G. Kumar and M. A. J. Rajan, J. Alloys Compd., 2016, 688, 500–512.
- 65 M. M. Sabzehmeidani, H. Karimi, M. Ghaedi and V. M. Avargani, Mater. Res. Bull., 2021, 143, 111449.