RSC Advances

PAPER

Cite this: RSC Adv., 2022, 12, 1416

Received 15th December 2021 Accepted 17th December 2021

DOI: 10.1039/d1ra09087a

rsc.li/rsc-advances

Introduction

The widespread use of polymers has revolutionised almost every aspect of modern life. However, the proliferation of these materials has come with serious ecological implications.¹⁻³ Reducing our reliance on the fossil fuel feedstocks which are necessary for producing nearly all commercial plastics is imperative due to the catastrophic environmental impact of extracting and processing these finite resources.⁴ The use of renewable feedstocks to create new polymers or to replace hydrocarbon components of existing products is therefore one of the most important scientific challenges of the $21st$ century.⁵⁻⁸

Poly(lactic acid) (PLA) is an important bio-renewable polymer that is sourced from starch-rich materials and is amenable to enzymatic degradation or chemical recycling.^{9,10} It is established as a packaging material and has also found use in the agricultural industry and the bio-medical industry where biocompatible materials are required.^{9,11-14} PLA is typically produced through the ring-opening polymerization (ROP) of lactide initiated by a metal complex. Industrially, $Sn(Oct)_2$ is used but there are toxicity issues associated with tin residues and so the current focus is to achieve industrially relevant

c Department of Chemistry, Cardiff University, Park Place, Cardiff, CF10 3AT, UK

Simple $Zn(u)$ complexes for the production and degradation of polyesters†

Jack [Stew](http://orcid.org/0000-0002-7186-1399)art,^a Martin Fuchs,^b Jack Payne,^a Oliver Dr[isco](http://orcid.org/0000-0002-4354-4353)ll,^a Gabrielle Kociok-Köhn[,](http://orcid.org/0000-0003-1406-5940) \blacksquare \blacksquare \blacksquare ^a Benjamin D. Ward, \blacksquare ^c Sonja Herres-Pawlis \blacksquare ^b and Matthew D. Jones $\blacksquare^{\star a}$

Nine new complexes based on thioether appended iminophenolate (ONS) ligands have been prepared and fully characterized in solution by NMR spectroscopy. Solid-state structures were also obtained for seven complexes. In solution, all complexes were monomeric. The complexes were highly active for the polymerization of purified rac-lactide $([M] : [Zn] : [BnOH] = 10000 : 1 : 30$ at 180 °C) reaching TOF values up to 250 000 h⁻¹. The kinetics of the polymerization have been probed by in situ Raman spectroscopy. The rate of reaction was dramatically reduced using technical grade rac-lactide with increased initiator loading. To move towards a circular economy, it is vital that catalysts are developed to facilitate chemical recycling of commodity and emerging polymeric materials. In this vein, the complexes have been assessed for their ability to break down poly(lactic acid) and poly(ethylene terephthalate). The results from both the polymerization and degradation reactions are discussed in terms of ligand functionality. **PAPER**
 **Consider the School and degradation of polyesters¹ Jack Payne,² Olive Dristopher and degradation of polyesters²

Consider the School and degradation of polyesters² Jack Payne,² Oliver Driscoll,² Gabr**

activity with environmentally benign metal initiators. Poly(Llactide) dominates the PLA market due to the ease of L-lactic acid biosynthesis. However, the stereoselective ROP of rac-lactide can improve the material properties of the polymer and thus it is often studied in academic research. A diverse range of metals has been applied to lactide ROP including $Mg(\text{II})$,^{15,16} group IV,¹⁷⁻²⁴ Fe(π / π),²⁵⁻³² Al(π),³³⁻⁴⁹ and In(π).⁵⁰⁻⁵²

Initiators based on zinc (n) have consistently shown high activity under solvent-free conditions and, in some cases, stereocontrol is observed. Coates and co-workers published a bdiiminate zinc complex that produced heterotactic PLA $(P_r =$ 0.94) at ambient conditions.⁵³ The most isoselective zinc initiator was reported by Ma and co-workers ($P_r = 0.08$) using an aminophenolate complex in toluene at -20 °C.⁵⁴ However, the low temperature required for high selectivity reduced the activity (TOF = 117 h^{-1}) and high stereoselectivity was not maintained under solvent-free conditions ($P_r = 0.19$ –0.20). A dizinc bis(imino)diphenylamido initiator reported by Williams and co-workers remains the most active solution-based zinc initiator for lactide ROP (TOF = $60\,000\,h^{-1}$). Using high temperature $(130-180\text{ °C})$, solvent-free, industrial conditions, Jones et al. reported simple ethylenediamine monophenolate complexes that polymerised L-lactide with TOF values in excess of 100 000 h^{-1} .⁵⁵ Further work by the same group demonstrated the introduction of a propyl linker which increased the activity at 180 \degree C with L-lactide giving high conversion after just 1 minute at low initiator loading $(10\ 000:1:33).$ ⁵⁶ The most active zinc initiator to date for lactide ROP was reported by Herres-Pawlis and co-workers using a bisguanidine complex which significantly outperformed $Sn(Oct)₂$ and gave highly

a Department of Chemistry, University of Bath, Claverton Down, Bath BA27AY, UK. E-mail: mj205@bath.ac.uk

^bLehrstuhl für Bioanorganische Chemie, Institut für Anorganische Chemie, RWTH Aachen University, Landoltweg 1, 52074 Aachen, Germany

[†] Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. CCDC 2121321–2121327. For ESI and crystallographic data in CIF or other electronic format see DOI: 10.1039/d1ra09087a

crystalline PLLA whilst also being active for technical grade raclactide.⁵⁷ Further work using similar complexes from Pellecchia and co-workers further demonstrated their effectiveness at industrial conditions whilst also achieving lactide copolymerisation with ε -caprolactone.⁵⁸

Most oil-based commodity plastics do not readily decompose in the environment and end up in landfill or accumulate in the oceans where plastic microparticles are devastating to marine ecosystems.⁵⁹ Mechanical recycling can be effective in some cases but leads to material downcycling over time and this limits the number of potential cycles.⁶⁰ Products must ultimately be repurposed and so this is a short-term solution to retaining value in the polymer economy. Conversely, chemical recycling to monomer or value-added products offers a much more long-term solution to value retention either through depolymerisation to virgin monomer or degradation to valueadded products.⁶¹ Both offer an intrinsic economic incentive to industry either through a potentially infinite number of monomer to polymer cycles or through the upgrading of waste polymer to value-added and industrially relevant chemicals in the case of degradation.⁶² This approach has huge potential to offer a more sustainable approach to plastic usage and is crucial to attaining a circular economy for polymers. Paper
 Conservation Simular PLA whilat also being strive for technical practices.

Linder-Couring transformation conservation in the presentation of the property of the property in the property in the property of the pr

Simple metal salts have been reported for PLA methanolysis and can give good methyl lactate yields $(Y_{\text{Me-LA}} = 87\% \text{ for } \text{FeCl}_3)$ but require high temperatures (130-180 °C).⁶³⁻⁶⁵ The first example of a zinc complex for PLA methanolysis was reported by Avilés et al. with a dizinc NHC complex capable of producing methyl lactate over 24 hours at ambient temperature.⁶⁶ More recently, Jones and co-workers have reported several homoleptic zinc monophenolate complexes that are active for the degradation of PLA into alkyl lactates at mild conditions.^{56,67-71} An imine-monophenolate complex with a propylene diamine linker was able to achieve a high yield of methyl lactate ($Y_{\text{Me-La}} = 89\%$) after just 30 minutes at 50 $^{\circ}$ C.⁵⁶ This far exceeds the activity shown by the ethylenediamine-based analogues which required several hours to reach high conversion at comparable temperatures.⁷⁰ The most effective propylenediamine catalyst was tested for the degradation of post-consumer PLA products into ethyl lactate.⁶⁷ Although activity was lower than with the clear PLA cup used for the methanolysis experiments, good yields of ethyl lactate were observed and the variation in activity was attributed to additives and the ease of dissolution of each product. More recent work has demonstrated an ethylene diamine-based ligand with a catam (N–H) moiety that far exceeds the activity of the direct imine-based counterpart, achieving 85% Me-LA yields after 30 minutes at 50 °C.^{70,72} The aforementioned ligand is a building block of the tetradentate catalen system, zinc complexes of which were also active for PLA degradation to methyl lactate at moderate yields after 8 hours at 80 °C.⁷¹ Recent examples have also achieved PLA alcoholysis at ambient conditions and with no solvent, in some cases demonstrating full PLA conversion after 1 hour.^{73,74} Organocatalysts, such as DMAP,⁷⁵ TBD,⁷⁶ TMC⁷⁷ and ionic liquids,⁷⁸⁻⁸⁰ have also been reported but typically require high catalyst loading and can be limited by issues relating to corrosivity, toxicity and high cost.

Herein, we report a series of nine Schiff base ligands each bearing a thioether motif where the phenolate substituents, thioether substituent and linking group have been varied systematically (Scheme 1). The homoleptic zinc (II) complexes were applied to lactide ROP under solvent-free conditions as well as the methanolysis of commercial PLLA and the glycolysis of PET.

Results and discussion

Synthesis and characterisation of complexes

Ligands 1–9H were prepared via an imine condensation reaction between the relevant amine and salicylaldehyde derivative, with purity and structure confirmed through $^1\mathrm{H}$ NMR, $^{13}\mathrm{C}{{\{{^{1}\mathrm{H}\}}\}$ NMR and ESI-MS (Scheme 1 and Fig. SI1–SI18†). Complexation was performed under air-sensitive conditions in anhydrous toluene and the products were crystallised from varying mixtures of hexane and toluene. In all cases, the ¹H NMR spectra were consistent with homoleptic complexes showing no evidence of ethyl groups remaining at the zinc centre (Fig. SI19– SI36†). This was supported by CHN analysis for all complexes.

Solid state structures were obtained for seven complexes of which five, $\text{Zn}(1, 2, 4, 5, 7)_2$, were shown to be four-coordinate with no Zn–S bonding (Table 1). Of all complexes, $\text{Zn}(1)_2$ (R₁ $=$ ^tBu, R₂ = CH₃, X = -C₂H₄-) was closest to tetrahedral geometry ($\tau'_{4} = 0.84$) with coordination angles relatively close to the ideal tetrahedral angle of 109.5° $\{95.98(10)^{\circ}$ –121.50 $(10)^{\circ}\}.$ The other ethylene-bridged structure, $\text{Zn}(2)_2$ $(R_1 = {}^t\text{Bu}, R_2 =$ CH_3 , $X = -C_2H_4$ –) (Fig. 1), deviates further from the tetrahedral ideal ($\tau'_{4} = 0.70$) with a large coordination angle between the two nitrogen donors and the zinc centre $\{N(1)-Zn-N(2)\}$ 136.49(13)}. For both $\text{Zn}(1)_2$ and $\text{Zn}(2)_2$, the average interatomic Zn–S distance is large: 4.4 A and 4.5 A respectively. These are greater than the sum of the van der Waals radii (Zn: 2.39 A, S: 1.89 ⁸¹ and thus it is reasonable to assume that there is no bonding interaction. The geometry of $\text{Zn}(4)_2$ deviates further from tetrahedral ($\tau'_{4} = 0.64$), probably due to the rigid phenylene linker constraining chelation. This is also true for $Zn(5)_2$ where two crystallographic structures were present in the sample, both with a relatively low geometry index $\{Zn(5)_2A,$ $\tau'_{4} = 0.48;$ Zn(5)₂B, $\tau'_{4} = 0.55$ }. The average distance between zinc and sulphur atoms is considerably shorter than with the ethylene-bridged analogues, with values below the sum of van der Waals radii $\{Zn(4)_2, 3.1 \text{ Å}; Zn(5)_2\text{A}, 3.1 \text{ Å}; Zn(5)_2\text{B}, 3.2 \text{ Å}\}\$ suggesting that there could be a degree of bonding interaction. Again, this is likely a consequence of the more rigid structure forcing the sulphur to approach the metal centre more closely. Modification of the thioether substituent to $CF_3 \{Zn(7)_2: R_1 =$ ^tBu, R₂ = CF₃, X = -C₆H₄-} (Fig. 1) caused the geometry to become more tetrahedral ($\tau'_{4} = 0.68$), and caused the average Zn–S distance to increase to 3.4 A. This reflects a combination of the modification in electron density at the potential sulphur donor atom and the steric difference between the substituents. The solid-state structure of $Zn(6)_2$ indicates a five-coordinate geometry with one sulphur atom formally bonded to zinc (Fig. 1). The geometry index for a five-coordinate complex, τ_5 , was calculated as 0.02, indicating an almost perfectly square

Scheme 1 Ligands and complexes used in this study

pyramidal geometry. Considering the similarity of the Zn–S bond lengths $\{Zn(1)-S(1)=2.8032(18) \text{ Å}, Zn(1)-S(2)=2.9 \text{ Å}\}\$, an octahedral geometry is a more feasible description of the structure. This is supported by Bader's quantum theory of atoms in molecules (QTAIM) analysis, in which a bond critical point (BCP) was located between Zn(1) and S(2) with $\rho = 0.145$ e \AA^{-3} (cf. BCP from Zn(1) to S(1) has $\rho = 0.185$ e \AA^{-3}). Assuming an octahedral geometry, the ligands wrap in a mer–mer orientation with the thioether and phenoxy donors of the respective ligands adopting a pseudo axial position ${O(1)}$ –Zn–S $(1) = 157.45(3)^\circ$, O(2)–Zn–S(2) = 153.02° }. The complex based on ligand 9H gave a dimeric structure of the form $\text{Zn}_2(\text{9})_4$ with two five coordinate zinc centres (Fig. 2). Zn(1) tended slightly towards trigonal bipyramidal ($\tau_5 = 0.54$) and Zn(2) was much closer to square pyramidal (τ ₅ = 0.33). There are a range of Zn–S interatomic distances with the closest $(Zn-S = 3.4 \text{ Å})$ situated trans to the apical Zn(2)–N(4) bond. As with Zn(6)₂, some degree of Zn–S interaction could be influencing the geometry at $Zn(2)$. DOSY NMR was used to assess whether the dimeric structure is maintained in solution through comparison with $\text{Zn}(4)_{2}$ and $Zn(7)$. One species was observed for each complex. Comparison between the diffusion constant of the complex and the solvent (Table SI2†) was used to calculate the hydrodynamic radii of the

complexes. All were sufficiently similar to indicate a consistent structure $\{rZn(4)_2 = 7.25 \text{ Å}, rZn(7)_2 = 6.30 \text{ Å}, rZn(9)_2 = 7.13 \text{ Å}\}.$ Based on an approximate measurement of the complex diameter from the solid-state structures, it is likely that the monomeric homoleptic complex is present exclusively in solution.

Lactide polymerisation

Complexes $\text{Zn}(1-9)$ ₂ were all active for solvent-free, rac-lactide polymerisation at relatively high initiator loading $([LA]: [I]: [BnOH] = 300:1:1]$ (Table 2). The complexes with ethylene linkers, $\text{Zn}(1-3)_2$, were less active than their more rigid, phenylene bridged counterparts at this loading. The introduction of an electron withdrawing CF_3 group, $Zn(7-9)_2$, had minimal effect on the activity giving broadly similar results to the CH₃ substituted complexes, $Zn(4-6)_2$. Interestingly, the complexes with chloro-phenolate substitution were the least active in each series, despite the electron withdrawing properties which might be expected to increase the Lewis acidity at the zinc centre and hence the activity. Dispersities ranged from 1.21–1.88 with the unsubstituted complexes, $\text{Zn}(2, 5, 8)_{2}$, giving the highest dispersities in their respective series, as may be expected from the lack of steric hindrance. The PLA produced by Zn(1–9)₂ was essentially atactic ($P_r = 0.51$ –0.60) and this is in

^a Calculated from the two largest coordination angles. $\frac{b}{b}$ Average of Zn–S interatomic distance.

Fig. 1 Solid-state structures of Zn(2)₂ (left), Zn(7)₂ (right) and Zn(6)₂ (top). Ellipsoids shown at the 30% probability level. H atoms and ^tBu groups have been removed for clarity.

keeping with other zinc monophenolate complexes under these conditions.56,69,71 When the lactide to initiator ratio was increased, $([LA] : [I] : [BnOH] = 3000 : 1 : 10)$ the activity differences between the complexes became more apparent (Table 3). Ethylene bridge complexes, $Zn(1-3)_2$, took much longer to reach high conversion ($t = 30-60$ min) than the phenylene bridge equivalents. The chlorinated analogues were the least active in each series whilst also showing a slight increase in heterotacticity compared to the other initiators. This reflects an alteration of the coordination sphere, facilitated by the chloride substituents, that increases steric crowding of the zinc centre. The octahedral structure of $\text{Zn}(6)_2$ is evidence of this effect, which could also apply to $Zn(3)_2$. There is no significant difference in activity between the $-CH_3$ and $-CF_3$ substituted complexes, except in the case of $\text{Zn}(9)_2$, where the CF₃ group appears to partially negate the drop in activity that is associated with the chloride substituents. Phenylene bridged complexes, where $R_1 = H$ or ^tBu [Zn(4, 5, 7, 8)], were clearly the most active

Fig. 2 Solid-state structure of Zn(9)₂ {Zn₂(9)₄}. Ellipsoids are shown at the 50% probability level. H atoms have been removed for clarity.

Table 2 Solvent-free polymerisation of rac -lactide at 130 °C using $Zn(1-9)_2$, [LA]/[Zn]/[BnOH] = 300 : 1 : 1^a

	Init. Time/min Conv. ^b % P_r^c M_n^e M_n calc. ^d D^e TOF/h ^{-1f}					
$\text{Zn}(1)_2$ 3		59		0.55 25 600 25 600		1.32 3550
	$\text{Zn}(2)_{2}$ 7	73	0.55 24 450 31 650			1.34 1900
$Zn(3)_2$ 25		60	0.60 22 400 26 050		1.26 450	
$\text{Zn}(4)_{2}$ 2		81	0.57 22 500 35 100			1.59 7300
$\text{Zn}(5)_{2}$ <1		56	0.57 21 050 24 300			1.88 10 100
$\text{Zn}(6)_2$ 3		61	0.57 31 350 26 500			1.21 3650
$\text{Zn}(7)_{2}$ 1		72	0.59 24 950 31 250			1.52 12 950
$Zn(8)$ ₂ 2		72	0.51 25 500 31 250			1.66 6500
$Zn(9)$ ₂ 10		46	0.58 17 950 26 600		1.27 850	

^{*a*} Conditions: *rac*-LA (1 g), [LA]/[Zn]/[BnOH] = 300 : 1 : 1, solvent free.
^{*b*} Determined by ¹H NMR spectroscopy. ^{*c*} Probability of racemic enchainment, determined by ${}^{1}\text{H}{}_{1}{}^{1}\text{H}{}_{2}$ NMR spectroscopy. d Theoretical molecular weight calculated from conversion (rounded to the nearest 50): {(conversion \times 3 \times M_n [LA]) + M_n [BnOH]}. ^e Determined from GPC (in tetrahydrofuran) referenced against polystyrene standards \times 0.58. f TOF = $[LA]_{t}/([Zn] \times t)$.

initiators converting sufficient lactide after less than 5 minutes to stop stirring. Reasonable molecular weight control was maintained throughout and there was a narrowing of dispersity, $(D = 1.08 - 1.48)$ that is often associated with increasing the monomer to initiator ratio. The differences in activity between the complexes show some correlation with the measured Zn–S interatomic distance (Table 1) in a volcano-style relationship (Fig. SI47†). Ethylene bridge complexes, $Zn(1, 2)_2$, where the Zn– S distance is large $(4.4-4.5 \text{ Å})$, and there is presumably no interaction, are comparatively slow. Conversely, the direct phenylene-bridged analogues $\{Zn(4, 5, 7)_2\}$ have a smaller average Zn–S distance $(3.1-3.4 \text{ Å})$ and are the most active for lactide polymerisation. $Zn(9)_2$ has an average Zn-S distance of 3.9 A, intermediate to the two aforementioned groups of complexes, and this is reflected in the activity. The zinc centre in $\text{Zn}(6)_2$ is considerably closer to the two sulphur atoms (Zn–S = 2.8–2.9 A). The activity is similar to the ethylene-bridged Paper Reaction Common Com

Table 3 Solvent-free polymerisation of rac -lactide at 130 $^{\circ}$ C using $Zn(1-9)$ ₂, [LA]/[Zn]/[BnOH] = 3000 : 1 : 10^a

Init.	Time/min Conv. ^b % P_m^c M_n^e				M_p calc. ^d D^e	$TOF/h^{-1}f$
$\text{Zn}(1)_{2}$ 45		52		0.56 20 100	22 600	1.17 2080
$\text{Zn}(2)_{2}$ 30		73	0.58	11 150 31 650		1.14 4380
$\text{Zn}(3)_2$ 60		40		0.62 4750	5850	1.08 1200
$\text{Zn}(4)$ ₂ 4		71		0.60 23 750 30 800		1.23 31 950
$\text{Zn}(5)_2$ 1		69	0.57	25 150 29 950		1.28 124 200
$\text{Zn}(6)_{2}$ 40		53		0.61 22 250 23 050		1.16 2385
$Zn(7)_{2}$ 2		73		0.57 16 950 31 650		1.48 65 700
$\text{Zn}(8)_{2}$ 4		70		0.56 27 800 30 400		1.38 31 500
$\text{Zn}(9)_{2}$ 12		64	0.60		20 200 27 800	1.36 9600

^{*a*} Conditions: *rac*-LA (1.5 g), [LA]/[Zn]/[BnOH] = 3000 : 1 : 10, solvent free. ^{*b*} Determined by ¹H NMR spectroscopy. ^{*c*} Probability of racemic enchainment, determined by ${}^{1}H{^{1}H}$ NMR spectroscopy. d Theoretical molecular weight calculated from conversion (rounded to the nearest 50): {(conversion \times 3 \times M_n [LA]) + M_n [BnOH]}. ^e Determined from GPC (in tetrahydrofuran) referenced against polystyrene standards \times 0.58 . f TOF = [LA]_t/([Zn] $\times t$).

complexes. This trend can be rationalised by considering the coordination of lactide to the saturated zinc centre. When the Zn–S distance is small, the complexes tend to distort further from tetrahedral geometry (*e.g.* $\text{Zn}(1)_2$: $\tau'_4 = 0.84$, TOF = 2080 h⁻¹; Zn(1)₂: $\tau'_{4} = 0.48/0.55$, TOF = 124 200 h⁻¹). The displacement of weakly bound sulphur by lactide is easier than coordination to an undistorted tetrahedral centre. This explains the increase in activity up to a Zn–S distance of around 3 Å , after which the sulphur becomes more difficult to displace and the activity drops sharply.

The polymer produced by $\text{Zn}(3)_2$ at this loading was suitable for MALDI-ToF analysis (Fig. SI43†). The repeat unit of the main series is 144 g mol $^{-1}$, and the spectrum is centred around $4457.9 \text{ g mol}^{-1}$; similar to that measured by GPC and to the theoretical molecular weight ($M_{\rm n~GPC} = 4750~{\rm g~mol^{-1}}, M_{\rm n~calc.} =$ 5850 g mol $^{-1}$). At low molecular weight there is evidence of ionisation by incidental potassium and a small amount of transesterified PLA.

The most active initiators, $\text{Zn}(4, 5, 7, 8, 9)_2$, were tested for rac-lactide polymerisation at very low catalyst loading $([LA] : [I] : [BnOH] = 10000 : 1 : 30)$; similar to the concentrations employed in an industrial setting with $Sn(Oct)_2$ (Table 4).⁸² Under these conditions, $\text{Zn}(5)_2$ (R₁ = H, R₂ = CH₃, X = C₆H₄) and $\text{Zn}(7)_2$ $\text{(R}_1 = {}^t\text{Bu}, \text{R}_2 = \text{CF}_3, \text{X} = \text{C}_6\text{H}_4)$ were clearly the most active initiators achieving 68% and 51% conversion in 3 and 9 minutes respectively. These were selected for further kinetic analysis. With the exception of $\text{Zn}(5)_2$, conversion was limited to around 50%, suggesting some initiator decomposition is taking place. The molecular weight control was maintained at this loading and the dispersities remained consistent $(D = 1.20 -$ 1.44). To further probe the effectiveness of these complexes at industrial conditions, the most active complexes, Zn(4, 5, 7, 8, **9**) $_2$, were tested at 180 $^{\circ}$ C (Table 5). All the initiators tested were sufficiently robust to show activity at this temperature and were consistently more active than at 130 °C ($t = 2$ –12 min, conver $sion = 56-83\%$, due both to the increase in temperature and the decreased viscosity allowing for better mixing at higher conversions. As might be expected at elevated temperatures, the dispersities were broader ($D = 1.45$ –1.68) although reasonable molecular weight control was maintained. $\text{Zn}(5)_2$ and $\text{Zn}(7)_2$

^a Conditions: *rac*-LA (3 g), [LA]/[Zn]/[BnOH] = 10 000 : 1 : 30, solvent free. ^b Determined by ¹H NMR spectroscopy. ^c Probability of racemic enchainment, determined by ${}^{1}H{^{1}}H$ } NMR spectroscopy. ${}^{\tilde{a}}$ Theoretical molecular weight calculated from conversion (rounded to the nearest 50): {(conversion \times 3 \times M_n [LA]) + M_n [BnOH]}. ^e Determined from GPC (in tetrahydrofuran) referenced against polystyrene standards \times 0.58. f TOF = [LA]_t/([Zn] \times *t*).

were also tested for the polymerisation of L-lactide, the most commonly used industrial monomer. There was a small reduction in activity with L-lactide for both initiators, although the activity was still high. The reaction was very well controlled with comparatively narrow dispersities $(Zn(5)_2, D = 1.16; Zn(7)_2,$ $D = 1.15$) and excellent molecular weight control $(Zn(5)₂, M_n =$ 32 050 g mol⁻¹, M_{n} _(theo.) = 35 750 g mol⁻¹; Zn(7)₂, M_{n} = 28 050 g mol⁻¹, M_{n} _(theo.) = 27 050 g mol⁻¹). DSC analysis showed that the melting point of PLLA produced by $\text{Zn}(5)_2$ and $\rm Zn(7)_2$ was 162 °C and 167 °C respectively (Fig. SI45 and SI46†). This suggests that there is limited epimerisation and the presence of a single methine peak in the ${}^{1}H{^{1}H}$ NMR spectrum supports this conclusion. Despite the yellow colouring of the initiators, white PLA was produced at the highest ratios employed and this is desirable from a commercial standpoint (Fig. SI44†). The performance of $\text{Zn}(5)_2$ and $\text{Zn}(7)_2$ with L-lactide provides a direct comparison with analogous zinc ONN complexes. Ethylene-bridged complexes reported by Jones and co-workers gave comparable results at similar conditions $(180 °C, [LA]: [I]: [BnOH] = 10 000:1:33)$ taking 3 minutes to achieve a higher conversion of 94%.⁵⁵ RSC Advances Constrained on De minimized by considering the were also tests for the polymeristion of clustering the momentum of the same of the same

In order to probe the polymerisation mechanism and the role of the co-initiator, a stoichiometric reaction was carried out. A 1 : 1 solution of rac-lactide and $\text{Zn}(7)_2$ in CDCl₃ was heated to 50 $^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 90 min and monitored by NMR spectroscopy (Fig. SI48†). Resonances similar to those of opened lactide increased significantly upon addition of BnOH to 60% in ten minutes at room temperature and 94% conversion after a further 90 min at 50 $^{\circ}$ C. This shows that a co-initiator is required for high activity but that a degree of activity might be observed in its absence. This could be explained through initiation by impurities in rac-lactide or through a coordinationinsertion mechanism facilitated by a dissociated ligand as observed by McKeown et al. with zinc ONN complexes.⁵⁵ Some evidence of ligand dissociation was observed in the methyl region of the ¹H NMR spectrum where two minor resonances corresponding to t-butyl groups steadily increased throughout the reaction (Fig. SI49†). Furthermore, a new signal was present in the 19 F NMR spectrum at the end of the reaction (Fig. SI50†). DOSY NMR analysis of the final reaction mixture showed that the ring-opened lactide had a distinctly different diffusion constant to the metal complex (Fig. SI51†). This suggests an activated monomer mechanism wherein the components of the reaction are never fully bonded to the metal and the co-initiator is exogenous. Therefore, the best explanation for the conversion observed prior to BnOH addition is through initiation by impurities in the monomer. The observation of additional resonances in the ${}^{1}H$ and ${}^{19}F$ NMR spectra is evidence of complex decomposition and could account for the limited conversion achieved by these systems.

Raman kinetics

To further probe the effectiveness of these complexes at industrial conditions, the kinetics were investigated using in situ Raman spectroscopy. In these experiments $Zn(5)_2$ and $Zn(7)_2$ were taken forward, initially testing at 150 °C at a *rac*-

Table 5 Solvent-free polymerisation of rac-lactide at 180 °C using Zn(4, 5, 7, 8, 9)₂. [LA]/[Zn]/[BnOH] = 10 000 : 1 : 30^a

Init.	Time/min	Conv. $^{b}\%$	$P_{\rm m}^{\ \ c}$	$M_{n}^{\ e}$	$M_{\rm n}$ calc. ^{<i>d</i>}	D^e	TOF/h^{-1h}
$\text{Zn}(4)_2$	3	74	0.57	28 100	35 750	1.62	148 000
$Zn(5)_2$	2	83	0.57	31 350	40 050	1.53	249 000
$\text{Zn}(5)_2^f$	4	74	0.00 ^g	32 050	35 750	1.16	111 000
$Zn(7)_2$	6	67	0.58	26 850	32 350	1.45	67 000
$\text{Zn}(7)_{2}^{f}$	6	56	0.00 ^g	28 050	27 050	1.15	56 000
$Zn(8)_2$	3	69	0.58	27 150	33 300	1.60	138 000
$Zn(9)_2$	12	65	0.62	24 400	31 400	1.68	32 500

^a Conditions: rac-LA (3 g), [LA]/[Zn]/[BnOH] = 10 000 : 1 : 30, solvent free. ^b Determined by ¹H NMR spectroscopy. ^c Probability of racemic enchainment, determined by $^1H_1^1H_2^1$ NMR spectroscopy. d Theoretical molecular weight calculated from conversion (rounded to the nearest 50): {(conversion \times 3 \times *M*_n [LA]) + *M*_n [BnOH]}. ^e Determined from GPC (in tetrahydrofuran) referenced against polystyrene standards \times 0.58. ^f L-
Lactide used. ^g One peak present in ¹H{¹H} NMR spectrum

LA : Zn ratio of 2500 : 1 using technical grade lactide, as a direct comparison to previous systems reported by Herres-Pawlis.57,83,84 Results were disappointing with low conversion (ca. 15-40%) being achieved after 3 h. This may indicate that the complexes herein are less stable to impurities in the monomer. More promising results were achieved using recrystallised L-LA and 4-methylbenzyl alcohol in a $3000 : 1 : 10$ ([LA] : [Zn] : [4-MeBnOH]) ratio (Fig. SI53†). Zn(5)₂ proved more active with a conversion of 48% ($M_{\rm n} = 17\ 900\ {\rm g\ mol}^{-1}, D = 1.06$ after 3 h), and a $k_{\rm app} = 1.3 \times 10^{-3} \text{ s}^{-1}$. The corresponding value for Zn(7)₂ was $k_{\text{app}} = 1.7 \times 10^{-4} \text{ s}^{-1}$. The process was modified to mimic that of the smaller scale testing with $Zn(7)_2$ and benzyl alcohol as a co-initiator (Fig. SI55†). A marked increase in activity was observed $(k_{app} = 2.2 \times 10^{-3} \text{ s}^{-1})$ and a conversion of 75% was achieved after 45 min ($M_n = 31\ 200\ \text{g mol}^{-1}$, $D = 1.22$). Zn(7)₂ was further tested at a ratio of 10 000 : 1 : 100 ([LA] : [Zn] : BnOH) achieving 34% after 6 hours $(k_{\rm app} = 9.95 \times 10^{-5} \text{ s}^{-1})$ (Fig. SI57†).

Polyester degradation

Zn $(1-9)_2$ were investigated for PLA methanolysis at 80 $^{\circ}{\rm C}$ in THF. The product, Me–LA, can be converted to lactide for the production of virgin PLA and is also an emerging green solvent.⁶² Furthermore, Me–LA can replace lactic acid in many transformations so has potential as a platform chemical.⁸⁵ Waste polymer from a commercial source (0.25 g, PLLA cup, M_n $= 45 510 \text{ g mol}^{-1}$) and catalyst (20 mg, 8 wt%) were added in a glovebox and subsequently dissolved in THF. When the polymer was fully solubilised, methanol was added to initiate the degradation reaction.

This reaction has been shown to proceed via a two-step mechanism with PLA initially broken down into oligomers before being converted to the product.⁷⁰ Analysis of the methine region (*ca.* $\delta = 4.2$ –5.2 ppm) of the ¹H NMR spectrum gives three key parameters: internal methine conversion (X_{int}) , Me–LA yield $(Y_{\text{Me-LA}})$ and the selectivity to Me–LA $(S_{\text{Me-LA}})$.

All complexes were active for the methanolysis of PLA (Table 6). Of the ethylene bridge complexes, $Zn(1-3)_2$, the highest activity was recorded for $\text{Zn}(2)$ ₂ ($X_{\text{int}} = 87\%$) and this complex produced the most Me–LA of all the catalysts that were tested $(S_{\text{Me-LA}}$ = 72%, $Y_{\text{Me-LA}}$ = 63%). This contrasts with the

polymerisation studies where $Zn(2)_2$ significantly underperformed most of the phenylene-bridged analogues. This can be rationalised by considering the equilibrium between oligomer and methyl lactate where the backward reaction (k_{-2}) will be slower with a less effective polymerisation catalyst thus driving the reaction towards the product. The most active catalysts were $\text{Zn}(4)_2$ $\text{(R}_1 = {}^t\text{Bu}, \text{R}_2 = \text{CH}_3, \text{X} = \text{C}_6\text{H}_4)$ and $\text{Zn}(4)_2$ $(R_1 = H, R_2 = CH_3, X = C_6H_4)$ which gave internal methine conversions of 94% and 96% respectively. The latter also gave high selectivity and yield of Me–LA ($Y_{\text{Me-LA}} = 59\%$, $S_{\text{Me-LA}} =$ 62%). As observed during polymerisation, $\text{Zn}(6)_2$ was the slowest in this series ($R_1 = Cl$, $R_2 = CH_3$, $X = C_6H_4$) presumably resulting from steric crowding from the pseudo-octahedral structure. Interestingly, the introduction of a CF_3 group at the $R₂$ position resulted in a sharp decline in activity, particularly for the non-halogenated complexes $Zn(7-8)_2$, both of which converted 39% of internal methine after 8 hours with correspondingly low Me–LA yields. However, $\text{Zn}(9)_2$ (R₁ = Cl, R₂ = CF_3 , $X = C_6H_4$) achieved good activity and selectivity (X_{int} = 81%, $S_{\text{Me-LA}} = 50\%$, $Y_{\text{Me-LA}} = 41\%$ under the same conditions. **Paper Fact** Schem-live excyneristics of tac-lacticle at 20 °C using $2r(4, 5, 7, 8, 9)$. Lavillanti/Rochl - 10 000 11, 33²
 $\frac{m\left(4\right)}{2}$
 $\frac{m\left(4\right)}{2}$
 $\frac{m\left(4\right)}{2}$
 $\frac{m\left(4\right)}{2}$
 $\frac{m\left(4\right)}{2}$
 $\$

The most active catalysts [Zn(2, 4, 5, 9) $_2$ were tested at 50 $^{\circ} \mathrm C$ for 18 hours (Table 7). There was a reduction in activity and selectivity compared with the 80 \degree C reactions and this is consistent with literature examples.^{69,86} Performance particularly decreased for Zn(4)₂ ($X_{int} = 57\%$, $S_{Me-LA} = 21\%$, $Y_{Me-LA} =$

^a Reaction conditions: 0.25 g of PLLA cup $(M_n = 45\,510\, g\, mol^{-1}),$ V_{THF} : $V_{\text{MeOH}} = 4:1$, n_{MeOH} : $n_{\text{ester}} = 7:1$, 8 wt% cat. loading (1.3– 2.1 mol% relative to ester linkages). $Y_{\text{Me-LA}}$, $S_{\text{Me-LA}}$ and X_{int} determined by ¹H NMR upon solvent removal.

Table 7 Degradation of PLLA cup to Me-LA using $Zn(2, 4, 5, 9)_2$ at 50 C^a

Cat.	Cat. loading/wt% Time/h		$Y_{\rm Me-LA/\%}$	$S_{\text{Me-LA}/\%}$	$X_{\rm int/%}$
$\text{Zn}(2)_2$	18	8	31	41	77
$\text{Zn}(4)_{2}$	18	8	12	21	57
$Zn(5)_2$	18	8	14	25	56
$\text{Zn}(9)_{2}$	18	8	16	26	62

^a Reaction conditions: 0.25 g of PLLA cup $(M_n = 45\,510\, g\, mol^{-1}),$ V_{THF} : $V_{\text{MeOH}} = 4:1$, n_{MeOH} : $n_{\text{ester}} = 7:1$, 8 wt% cat. loading (1.3–
2.1 mol% relative to ester linkages). $Y_{\text{Me-LA}}$, $S_{\text{Me-LA}}$ and X_{int} determined by ¹H NMR upon solvent removal.

12%) and Zn(5)₂ ($X_{int} = 56\%$, $S_{Me-LA} = 25\%$, $Y_{Me-LA} = 14\%$). There was an indication that $\text{Zn}(4-5)$ ₂ are sensitive to residual moisture in the THF and this could lead to deactivation over extended reaction times. Due to the lack of labile alkyl groups around the metal, it is likely that this hydrolytic sensitivity comes from the active species or a reaction intermediate. $\text{Zn}(2)_2$ remained the most selective catalyst and gave reasonable conversion of internal methine $(X_{int} = 77\%)$.

Kinetic analysis of Zn(2, 4, 5, 9)₂ was attempted through taking aliquots every hour for $4-6$ hours with a final point measured after 8 hours. Based on previous literature, pseudo first-order kinetics of PLA consumption were assumed.⁷⁰ Zn(4)₂ and $Zn(5)_2$ were not amenable to sampling and the reactivity was quenched.

To expand the scope of polyester degradation, the most active PLA degradation catalysts were applied to the glycolysis of PET to bis(2-hydroxyethyl) terephthalate (BHET); a useful product that can be polymerised to make virgin PET or can be used to make unsaturated polymer resins.^{87,88} PET is one of the most prevalent commodity plastics accounting for 9% of global plastic demand in 2015.⁸⁹ Although widely mechanically recycled, it is still a major contributor to plastic pollution and is not degradable in the environment.⁹⁰ Zn[2,4,5,9]₂ were all active for the glycolysis of PET, with full dissolution observed after 1.5-4 hours for a standard carbonated drinks bottle (Table 8). Full degradation of PET was assumed at this point and BHET was isolated through recrystallisation from water. Isolated yields between 42% and 55% were observed, and this is consistent with previous literature examples using zinc catalysts.^{71,72} The most active catalyst $\{Zn(2)_2: t = 1.5 \text{ h}, Y_{\text{BHET}} = 0.16 \text{ g } (48\%) \text{ was}$

^a Reaction conditions: 0.25 g of carbonated drinks bottle ($M_n \sim 40000$ g mol⁻¹), 27.5 equivalents of EG (relative to ester linkages), 8 wt% cat. loading $(0.02 \text{ g}, 1.9-3.4 \text{ mol\%}$ relative to ester linkages). b 0.25 g PET thin film.

tested with thin-film PET; a proxy for manufacturing waste. As expected, the reaction time was significantly reduced ($t = 0.75$) h) and a percentage yield of 51% was attained, again in keeping with previous results by Payne et $al.^{71,72}$

In the reaction with $\text{Zn}(2)_2$, colourless BHET was obtained after recrystallisation and this is important when considering the quality of PET if it were repolymerized (Fig. $SI62\dagger$).⁹¹

Conclusions

A series of nine ONS zinc complexes were prepared and characterized through NMR spectroscopy and single-crystal X-ray diffraction. The rapid polymerization of rac-lactide was demonstrated under industrially relevant, solvent-free conditions. Up to 83% conversion was achieved in 2 minutes at 180 $^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with TOF values up to 250 000 $\rm h^{-1} .$ Crystalline PLLA was also produced rapidly with minimal epimerization. Good control of molecular weight and dispersity $(D = 1.08-1.88)$ was maintained throughout. Raman kinetic experiments showed that the activity is significantly reduced when using technical grade raclactide even at relatively high catalyst loading. For the optimised preparation with $\text{Zn}(7)_2$, an apparent rate constant $k_{\text{app}} = 2.23$ \times 10⁻³ s⁻¹ was measured. All complexes were active for the degradation of PLA to methyl lactate under mild conditions. Two waste sources of PET were also degraded to colourless BHET with full PET consumption observed after 0.75-4 hours (Scheme 1). **PSC Advances**

Take 7 Degradation of PLA cap to $b = -14$ using $2r(2, 4, 5, 9/2)$ exacts are preceded in exacts by previous results by paying each through the medicine published on the common size of the medicine in the s

Experimental section

All experimental details are provided in the ESI† with the original data.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank the EPSRC for funding a PhD studentship to JS (EP/L016443/1) and for funding for JP (EP/L016354/1). The University of Bath (OD) and MC^2 are acknowledged for their use of facilities. We thank the EPSRC National Crystallographic Service center for collection of data for $\text{Zn}(2)_2$. This study was partly funded under the Excellence Strategy of the Federal Government and the Länder (G: (DE-82)EXS-PF-KA007).

References

- 1 J. R. Jambeck, R. Geyer, C. Wilcox, T. R. Siegler, M. Perryman, A. Andrady, R. Narayan and K. L. Law, Science, 2015, 347, 768–771.
- 2 R. Geyer, J. R. Jambeck and K. L. Law, Sci. Adv., 2017, 3, e1700782.
- 3 H. Namazi, BioImpacts, 2017, 7, 73–74.
- 4 R. C. Thompson, C. J. Moore, F. S. V. Saal and S. H. Swan, Philos. Trans. R. Soc., B, 2009, 364, 2153–2166.
- 5 I. Vroman and L. Tighzert, Materials, 2009, 2, 307–344.
- 6 Y. Zhu, C. Romain and C. K. Williams, Nature, 2016, 540, 354–362.
- 7 L. Yu, K. Dean and L. Li, Prog. Polym. Sci., 2006, 31, 576–602.
- 8 A. K. Mohanty, M. Misra and G. Hinrichsen, Macromol. Mater. Eng., 2000, 276–277, 1–24.
- 9 A. K. Urbanek, A. M. Mirończuk, A. García-Martín, A. Saborido, I. de la Mata and M. Arroyo, Biochim. Biophys. Acta, Proteins Proteomics, 2020, 1868, 140315.
- 10 E. Feghali, L. Tauk, P. Ortiz, K. Vanbroekhoven and W. Eevers, Polym. Degrad. Stab., 2020, 179, 109241.
- 11 M. Gumienna and B. Górna, Molecules, 2021, 26, 3735.
- 12 R. Auras, B. Harte and S. Selke, Macromol. Biosci., 2004, 4, 835–864.
- 13 J. E. Oliveira, E. S. Medeiros, L. Cardozo, F. Voll, E. H. Madureira, L. H. C. Mattoso and O. B. G. Assis, Mater. Sci. Eng. C, 2013, 33, 844–849.
- 14 D. K. Gilding and A. M. Reed, Polymer, 1979, 20, 1459–1464.
- 15 M. J. Walton, S. J. Lancaster and C. Redshaw, ChemCatChem, 2014, 6, 1892–1898.
- 16 J. Hu, C. Kan, H. Wang and H. Ma, Macromolecules, 2018, 51, 5304–5312.
- 17 M. Hu, M. Wang, P. Zhang, K. Jin, Y. Chen and L. Sun, Polym. Bull., 2012, 68, 1789–1799.
- 18 A. Stopper, T. Rosen, V. Venditto, I. Goldberg and M. Kol, Chem.–Eur. J., 2017, 23, 11540–11548.
- 19 B. Rajashekhar, S. K. Roymuhury, D. Chakraborty and V. Ramkumar, Dalton Trans., 2015, 44, 16280–16293.
- 20 P. Mckeown, M. G. Davidson, G. Kociok-Kohn and M. D. Jones, Chem. Commun., 2016, 3, 10431–10434.
- 21 Y.-L. Duan, Z.-J. Hu, B.-Q. Yang, F.-F. Ding, W. Wang, Y. Huang and Y. Yang, Dalton Trans., 2017, 46, 11259–11270.
- 22 M. Hu, F. Han, W. Zhang, W. Ma, Q. Deng, W. Song, H. Yan and G. Dong, Catal. Sci. Technol., 2017, 7, 1394–1403.
- 23 M. D. Jones, L. Brady, P. McKeown, A. Buchard, P. M. Schäfer, L. H. Thomas, M. F. Mahon, T. J. Woodman and J. P. Lowe, Chem. Sci., 2015, 6, 5034–5039.
- 24 M. Hu, W. Zhang, W. Ma, F. Han and W. Song, Polymer, 2018, 153, 445–452.
- 25 M. Qi, Q. Dong, D. Wang and J. A. Byers, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2018, 140, 5686–5690.
- 26 P. McKeown, M. D. Jones, O. J. Driscoll, M. F. Mahon and C. K. C. Leung, Eur. J. Inorg. Chem., 2018, 2018, 5129–5135.
- 27 O. J. Driscoll, C. H. Hafford-Tear, P. McKeown, J. A. Stewart, G. Kociok-Köhn, M. F. Mahon and M. D. Jones, Dalton Trans., 2019, 48, 15049–15058.
- 28 R. Duan, C. Hu, X. Li, X. Pang, Z. Sun, X. Chen and X. Wang, Macromolecules, 2017, 50, 9188–9195.
- 29 M. Cozzolino, V. Leo, C. Tedesco, M. Mazzeo and M. Lamberti, Dalton Trans., 2018, 47, 13229–13238.
- 30 P. Marin, M. J.-L. Tschan, F. Isnard, C. Robert, P. Haquette, X. Trivelli, L.-M. Chamoreau, V. Guérineau, I. del Rosal, L. Maron, V. Venditto and C. M. Thomas, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2019, 58, 12585–12589.
- 31 Y. Liang, R. L. Duan, C. Y. Hu, L. L. Li, X. Pang, W. X. Zhang and X. S. Chen, Chin. J. Polym. Sci., 2018, 36, 185–189.
- 32 J. A. Stewart, P. Mckeown, O. J. Driscoll, M. F. Mahon, B. D. Ward and M. D. Jones, Macromolecules, 2019, 52, 5977–5984. Open Access Article. Published on 07 January 2022. Downloaded on 1/26/2025 7:57:19 PM. This article is licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 Unported Licence.](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0/) **[View Article Online](https://doi.org/10.1039/d1ra09087a)**
	- 33 N. Nomura, R. Ishii, Y. Yamamoto and T. Kondo, Chem.–Eur. J., 2007, 13, 4433–4451.
	- 34 E. D. Cross, L. E. N. Allan, A. Decken and M. P. Shaver, J. Polym. Sci., Part A: Polym. Chem., 2013, 51, 1137–1146.
	- 35 M. Wisniewski, A. Le Borgne and N. Spassky, Macromol. Chem. Phys., 1997, 198, 1227–1238.
	- 36 N. Spassky, M. Wisniewski, C. Pluta and A. L. Borgne, Macromol. Chem. Phys., 1996, 197, 2627–2637.
	- 37 P. Hormnirun, E. L. Marshall, V. C. Gibson, A. J. P. White and D. J. Williams, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2004, 126, 2688–2689.
	- 38 H. Du, A. H. Velders, P. J. Dijkstra, J. Sun, Z. Zhong, X. Chen and J. Feijen, Chem.–Eur. J., 2009, 15, 9836–9845.
	- 39 S. Gesslbauer, H. Cheek, A. J. P. White and C. Romain, Dalton Trans., 2018, 47, 10410–10414.
	- 40 S. Gesslbauer, R. Savela, Y. Chen, A. J. P. White and C. Romain, ACS Catal., 2019, 9, 7912–7920.
	- 41 S. Gesslbauer, G. Hutchinson, A. J. P. White, J. Burés and C. Romain, ACS Catal., 2021, 11, 4084–4093.
	- 42 B. Gao, D. Li, Y. Li, Q. Duan, R. Duan and X. Pang, New J. Chem., 2015, 39, 4670–4675.
	- 43 Z. Zhong, P. J. Dijkstra and J. Feijen, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2002, 41, 4510–4513.
	- 44 Y. Cui, D. Li, B. Gao, Y. Zhou, L. Chen, B. Qiu, Y. Li, Q. Duan and N. Hu, J. Coord. Chem., 2016, 69, 656–667.
	- 45 J. Beament, M. F. Mahon, A. Buchard and M. D. Jones, Organometallics, 2018, 37, 1719–1724.
	- 46 A. Pilone, N. De Maio, K. Press, V. Venditto, D. Pappalardo, M. Mazzeo, C. Pellecchia, M. Kol and M. Lamberti, Dalton Trans., 2015, 44, 2157–2165.
	- 47 A. Pilone, K. Press, I. Goldberg, M. Kol, M. Mazzeo and M. Lamberti, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2014, 136, 2940–2943.
	- 48 S. M. Kirk, G. Kociok-Köhn and M. D. Jones, Organometallics, 2016, 35, 3837–3843.
	- 49 X. Pang, R. Duan, X. Li, C. Hu, X. Wang and X. Chen, Macromolecules, 2018, 51, 906–913.
	- 50 J.-C. Buffet, J. Okuda and P. L. Arnold, Inorg. Chem., 2010, 49, 419–426.
	- 51 M. Normand, E. Kirillov, T. Roisnel and J.-F. Carpentier, Organometallics, 2012, 31, 1448–1457.
	- 52 S. Ghosh, R. R. Gowda, R. Jagan and D. Chakraborty, Dalton Trans., 2015, 44, 10410–10422.
	- 53 M. Cheng, A. B. Attygalle, E. B. Lobkovsky and G. W. Coates, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1999, 121, 11583–11584.
	- 54 C. Kan, J. Hu, Y. Huang, H. Wang and H. Ma, Macromolecules, 2017, 50, 7911–7919.
	- 55 P. McKeown, S. N. McCormick, M. F. Mahon and M. D. Jones, Polym. Chem., 2018, 9, 5339–5347.
	- 56 P. McKeown, L. A. Román-Ramírez, S. Bates, J. Wood and M. D. Jones, ChemSusChem, 2019, 12, 5233–5238.
	- 57 A. Hermann, S. Hill, A. Metz, J. Heck, A. Hoffmann, L. Hartmann and S. Herres-Pawlis, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2020, 59, 21778–21784.
- 58 I. D'Auria, V. Ferrara, C. Tedesco, W. Kretschmer, R. Kempe and C. Pellecchia, ACS Appl. Polym. Mater., 2021, 3, 4035– 4043.
- 59 K. L. Law and R. C. Thompson, Science, 2014, 345, 144–145.
- 60 K. Ragaert, L. Delva and K. Van Geem, Waste Manage., 2017, 69, 24–58.
- 61 A. Rahimi and J. M. Garciá, Nat. Rev. Chem., 2017, 1, 0046.
- 62 V. Piemonte, S. Sabatini and F. Gironi, J. Polym. Environ., 2013, 21, 640–647.
- 63 H. Liu, X. Song, F. Liu, S. Liu and S. Yu, J. Polym. Res., 2015, 22, 135.
- 64 M. Hofmann, C. Alberti, F. Scheliga, R. R. R. Meißner and S. Enthaler, Polym. Chem., 2020, 11, 2625–2629.
- 65 C. Alberti, N. Damps, R. R. R. Meißner, M. Hofmann, D. Rijono and S. Enthaler, Adv. Sustain. Syst., 2020, 4, 1900081.
- 66 C. Fliedel, D. Vila-Viçosa, M. J. Calhorda, S. Dagorne and T. Avilés, ChemCatChem, 2014, 6, 1357-1367.
- 67 L. A. Román-Ramírez, M. Powders, P. McKeown, M. D. Jones and J. Wood, J. Polym. Environ., 2020, 28, 2956–2964.
- 68 L. A. Román-Ramírez, P. McKeown, C. Shah, J. Abraham, M. D. Jones and J. Wood, Ind. Eng. Chem. Res., 2020, 59, 11149–11156.
- 69 J. Payne, P. McKeown, M. F. Mahon, E. A. C. Emanuelsson and M. D. Jones, Polym. Chem., 2020, 11, 2381–2389.
- 70 L. A. Román-Ramírez, P. Mckeown, M. D. Jones and J. Wood, ACS Catal., 2019, 9, 409–416.
- 71 J. Payne, P. McKeown, O. Driscoll, G. Kociok-Köhn, E. A. C. Emanuelsson and M. D. Jones, Polym. Chem., 2021, 12, 1086–1096.
- 72 J. M. Payne, G. Kociok-Köhn, E. A. C. Emanuelsson and M. D. Jones, Macromolecules, 2021, 54, 8453–8469.
- 73 R. Yang, G. Xu, C. Lv, B. Dong, L. Zhou and Q. Wang, ACS Sustain. Chem. Eng., 2020, 8, 18347–18353.
- 74 F. Santulli, M. Lamberti and M. Mazzeo, ChemSusChem, 2021, 14, 5470–5475.
- 75 C. Alberti, N. Damps, R. R. R. Meißner and S. Enthaler, ChemistrySelect, 2019, 4, 6845–6848.
- 76 F. A. Leibfarth, N. Moreno, A. P. Hawker and J. D. Shand, J. Polym. Sci., Part A: Polym. Chem., 2012, 50, 4814–4822.
- 77 P. Mckeown, M. Kamran, M. G. Davidson, M. D. Jones, L. A. Román-Ramírez and J. Wood, Green Chem., 2020, 22, 3721–3726.
- 78 X. Song, H. Wang, X. Zheng, F. Liu and S. Yu, J. Appl. Polym. Sci., 2014, 131, 40817–40823.
- 79 H. Liu, R. Zhao, X. Song, F. Liu, S. Yu, S. Liu and X. Ge, Catal. Lett., 2017, 147, 2298–2305.
- 80 X. Song, Z. Bian, Y. Hui, H. Wang, F. Liu and S. Yu, Polym. Degrad. Stab., 2019, 168, 108937.
- 81 S. Alvarez, Dalton Trans., 2013, 42, 8617–8636.
- 82 K. Masutani and Y. Kimura, in Poly(lactic acid) Science and Technology: Processing, Properties, Additives and Applications, The Royal Society of Chemistry, 2015, pp. 1–36.
- 83 R. D. Rittinghaus, P. M. Schäfer, P. Albrecht, C. Conrads, A. Hoffmann, A. N. Ksiazkiewicz, O. Bienemann, A. Pich and S. Herres-Pawlis, ChemSusChem, 2019, 12, 2161–2165.
- 84 P. M. Schäfer, M. Fuchs, A. Ohligschläger, R. Rittinghaus, P. McKeown, E. Akin, M. Schmidt, A. Hoffmann, M. A. Liauw, M. D. Jones and S. Herres-Pawlis, ChemSusChem, 2017, 10, 3547–3556. **PSC Advances**

St L Drama, C. Tedesco, W. Ketsschmer, R. Kempe 75 C. Albert, R. Downloaded, 2017, A. 465-6 Meisher and S. Entheler

McC Peleter-Win Af S appli rights Article is like the same of the same of the same of the
	- 85 Y. Fan, C. Zhou and X. Zhu, Catal. Rev., 2009, 51, 293–324.
	- 86 R. Petrus, D. Bykowski and P. Sobota, ACS Catal., 2016, 6, 5222–5235.
	- 87 F. M. Lamberti, L. A. Román-Ramírez and J. Wood, *J. Polym.* Environ., 2020, 28, 2551–2571.
	- 88 S. M. Al-Salem, P. Lettieri and J. Baeyens, Waste Manag., 2009, 29, 2625–2643.
	- 89 I. Taniguchi, S. Yoshida, K. Hiraga, K. Miyamoto, Y. Kimura and K. Oda, ACS Catal., 2019, 9, 4089–4105.
	- 90 H. Zhang and Z.-G. Wen, Waste Manag., 2014, 34, 987–998.
	- 91 H. Yao, L. Liu, D. Yan, Q. Zhou, J. Xin, X. Lu and S. Zhang, Chem. Eng. Sci., 2022, 248, 117109.