RSC Advances



View Article Online

View Journal | View Issue

PAPER

Check for updates

Cite this: RSC Adv., 2022, 12, 29852

Received 19th July 2022 Accepted 5th October 2022

DOI: 10.1039/d2ra04448j

rsc.li/rsc-advances

1 Introduction

The success of a drilling operation is inextricably associated with the suitable utilization of drilling fluids. As the indispensable part of drilling operations, the drilling fluid has long been expected to perform multifunctional roles in stabilizing the borehole, suspending the cuttings, cooling and lubricating the drill bit.^{1,2} It is worth mentioning that drilling fluids can be broadly divided into water-based drilling fluids (WBDFs), oilbased drilling fluids (OBDFs), and foam drilling fluids.^{3,4} Among these drilling fluids, WBDFs are considered to be the most widely recommended owing to their desirable properties such as lower cost, ease of preparation, variable rheology, and eco-friendly nature.⁵⁻⁸ To minimize formation damage and stabilize the borehole, satisfactory rheological and filtration performance in WBDFs should be given priority during circulation.

In general, bentonite is a fundamental component of WBDFs, which exhibits outstanding swelling capacity, gel formation and shear thinning properties after full dispersion

Sodium alginate as an eco-friendly rheology modifier and salt-tolerant fluid loss additive in water-based drilling fluids

Zhaojie Wei, ^{ab} Maosen Wang,^{ab} Ying Li,^{ab} Yinghui An,^{ab} Kaijun Li,^{ab} Kun Bo^{ab} and Mingyi Guo ^b*^{ab}

The rheological and filtration performance of drilling fluids greatly depends on the additives used. To address the negative impact on the drilling fluid performance stemming from electrolyte contamination, a sustainable sodium alginate (SA) biopolymer was employed as an additive in water-based drilling fluids to overcome the performance deterioration caused by the polyelectrolyte effect under salt contamination. The results demonstrated that SA performs better than sodium carboxymethyl cellulose (Na-CMC) and polyanionic cellulose (PAC-LV), the widely used drilling fluid additives. Although exposed to highly concentrated salt contamination, the addition of SA can mitigate viscosity variation and maintain a lower filtration volume of a base fluid (BF), whereas an advanced variation in CMC/BF and PAC/BF was observed. The possible rheology and filtration mechanism of SA under highly concentrated salt contamination were investigated through zeta potential, particle size distribution, and scanning electron microscopy (SEM). The results revealed that the anchoring groups on the SA molecular chain enable them to strongly adsorb on the negatively charged bentonite surface *via* hydrogen and ionic bond interactions, leading to a significant improvement in both rheological and filtration performance. Therefore, SA with excellent salt tolerance and sustainability confers practical applicability that could extend to the preparation of saltwater-based and other inhibitive drilling fluids.

and hydration. However, owing to the intrinsic swelling characteristics and crystalline structure of bentonite, flocculation and aggregation from salt contamination usually cause serious irreversible challenges such as borehole instability and the invasion of the formation by drilling fluid filtrate, thereby increasing drilling time and costs significantly.9-12 In comparison with OBDFs, one of the critical issues of WBDFs that still needs to be tackled is shale hydration and dispersion. Inorganic salts feature excellent hydration inhibition characteristics, are low cost and easily available, and have been widely used in WBDFs to weaken shale hydration via ion exchange. Nevertheless, as WBDFs are subjected to high concentration electrolytes, the flocculation of the bentonite, which leads to the deterioration of the filtration capability and significant viscosity decline, becomes the prevailing issue. Therefore, the design of a multifunctional WBDF that simultaneously achieves stable rheological and filtration performance after the invasion of highly concentrated salinity remains a challenge.

To circumvent these challenges, academics and petroleum practitioners have attempted to develop different additives, including microparticles, synthetic polymers, and their hybrid nanocomposites.¹³⁻¹⁵ Among these additives, synthetic polymers have gained more attention owing to their targeted properties *via* chemical modification of functional groups, as well as the regulatable molecular weight.¹⁶ Recently, a large

[&]quot;College of Construction Engineering, Jilin University, Changchun 130021, China. E-mail: guomingyi@jlu.edu.cn

^bKey Laboratory of Drilling and Exploitation Technology in Complex Conditions, Ministry of Natural Resources, Jilin University, Changchun 130021, China

Paper

variety of synthetic polymers have been successfully applied in drilling fluid systems such as acrylamide-based polymers,¹⁷⁻¹⁹ polyacrylates, polyvinyl alcohol^{20,21} and functionalized cellulose nanoparticles.^{22,23} Despite these polymers resulting in effective improvement in the performance of WBDFs, the large-scale application of conventional synthetic polymers at low cost remains difficult, and environmentally unacceptable issues mainly related to drilling waste disposal also restrict their application.²⁴

In order to diminish the adverse effects on the environment and reduce preparation costs at drilling sites, degradable biopolymers such as xanthan gum (XG), sodium carboxymethyl cellulose (Na-CMC) and guar gum have been widely developed.^{25–28} These additives have been proven to improve the performance of drilling fluids to meet the function requirements, including appropriate rheology and filtration properties.^{28,29} Nevertheless, owing to the polyelectrolyte effect and shrinkage of biopolymers under salinity conditions, the application of natural polymers that possess groundwater intrusion resistance and superior anti-salt contamination performance warrants further exploration.

Sodium alginate (SA) is a linear anionic polysaccharide extracted from the cell walls of different kinds of brown algae (pseudomonas and azotobacter). The polymer chain of SA contains α-L-guluronic acid (G-block) and β-D-mannuronic acid (M-block) linked by 1,4-β-D-glucosidic bonds.³⁰ As the most abundant carbohydrate in brown algae, approximately 50 000 tons of alginate are produced annually in the world.³¹ Owing to its biodegradability, renewability and easy availability, SA has been proven to play an active role in water treatment,³² biomedical engineering,^{33–36} the food industry,^{37,38} drug delivery,39 and 3D printing.40,41 Moreover, the high solubility, tailorable rheological properties, excellent flocculability and adsorption characteristic of sodium alginate account for its application in gel formation to enhance hydrogel strength.^{42,43} Meanwhile, owing to the spatial network structure of SA in water,44,45 its thixotropy and unique shear-thinning behavior make it an alternative additive that could be used in drilling fluid. While there is a vast amount of bibliographic literature regarding the application of SA, salt-tolerant rheological and filtration loss properties in water-based drilling fluid have not been reported; although it has been combined with graphene oxide to reduce the filtration loss, the detailed mechanism has not been systematically analysed.46 The introduction of SA proposed in this article enriches the variety of salt resistant biodegradable polymers used in the drilling industry.

In this study, we propose sodium alginate as a water based drilling fluid additive and investigate its rheological and filtration loss properties upon NaCl and KCl contamination. Additionally, the salt tolerance mechanism of SA/WBDF was comprehensively studied through particle size distribution (PSD), zeta potential, and scanning electron microscopy (SEM). It is revealed that SA could bond closely with clay particles through hydrogen bond and ionic bond interactions, resulting in a dense mesh-like structure and thus imparting high viscosity to the WBDF. Meanwhile, due to the noncovalent interactions, SA could screen the salt-sensitive bentonite particle sites and prevent the absorption of external cations from the susceptible ion sites of bentonite particles. In addition, a hot rolling test was used to evaluate the thermal stability of drilling fluids with different biodegradable additives. Owing to the desirable properties and excellent performance in water based drilling fluids, the findings from this study can expand the application of SA in environment friendly drilling fluids and contribute to the development and progression of brine muds.

2 Materials and methods

2.1 Materials

For comparison, commercially available additives were used for the water-based drilling fluids. Sodium carboxymethyl cellulose (Na-CMC) was obtained from Yousuo Technology Co., Ltd., China. Low-viscosity polyanionic cellulose (PAC-LV) was purchased from Xingzheng Chemical Co., Ltd. (Shenyang, China). Sodium alginate (SA) (viscosity 200 \pm 20 mPa s) was obtained as a commercial product from Shanghai Aladdin Biochemical Technology Co., China. Commercial sodium bentonite was purchased from Rongchang Mining Co., Ltd., P. R. China. The bentonite used to prepare water based drilling fluids followed the American Petroleum Institute (API) standard. Sodium chloride (purity \geq 99%, NaCl) and potassium chloride (purity \geq 99%, KCl) were obtained from Fuchen Chemical Reagent Co., Ltd. Deionized water was prepared in our own laboratory. All the reagents were not purified further.

2.2 Methods

2.2.1 Preparation of drilling fluids. A base fluid (BF) was obtained by adding 16 g of bentonite powders to 400 ml deionized water and stirring at a speed of 8000 rpm for 1 h. The suspension was then sealed and maintained at ambient temperature for 24 h prior to use. For comparison, the bentonite concentration was fixed at 4.0 wt% for all samples. Additives (PAC-LV, Na-CMC, and SA) with specific concentrations were added slowly to the above BF at 8000 rpm and stirred for 30 min. To find out the influence of salt contamination, the content of the additives was fixed at 0.3 wt%, and inorganic salts (NaCl and KCl) were added to form suspensions with different concentrations.

2.2.2 Filtration measurement. A ZNS 6A filtration instrument (Shande, Qingdao, China) was used to measure the filtration loss properties. The measurement was carried out at 690 kPa at ambient temperature for 30 min in accordance with American Petroleum Institute (API) standards. The filtration volume was recorded at various intervals (2.5 min, 5 min, 7.5 min, 15 min, and 30 min). Once the test was complete, fresh filter cakes formed during filtration, which were removed from the apparatus carefully. Then, photographs of the API filter cakes were taken immediately, and the thickness of the filter cakes was measured using a ruler.

2.2.3 Scanning electron microscope analysis. After the filtration measurement, the fresh filter cakes were dried at ambient temperature. To verify how the SA might improve the filter cake quality and its ability to enhance filtration properties,

scanning electron microscopy (S-4800, Hitachi Limited, Tokyo, Japan) was performed to observe the microstructure of the dry filter cake at 3.0 kV. For superior quality images, each sample was spray-coated with gold to enhance conductivity.

2.2.4 Zeta potential and particle size distribution. The zeta potential of different formulations of the drilling fluid was measured using an NS-90Z zeta potential analyzer (Omec Instrument Co., Ltd, China). To ensure the accuracy of the measurement, the count rate was restricted to between 200 and 300 kcps. Particle size distribution analysis was performed using an LS-909 laser granularity analyzer (Omec Instrument Co., Ltd, China). First, the sample injector was filled with distilled water. Then, a small amount of suspension was slowly dropped into the sample injector under high speed stirring and ultrasound conditions until the shading rate was between 10% and 20%. To achieve a high accuracy in particle size distribution, the measurements were conducted in triplicate.

2.2.5 Rheology measurement. The rheology properties of the drilling fluids were measured according to the American Petroleum Institute (API) standard by using a twelve-speed rotary viscometer (MK-12ST, Meike Instrument, Shandong, China). The rheological parameters of shear stress *versus* shear rate were determined for shear rates ranging from 1024 s⁻¹ to 5.11 s⁻¹. The apparent viscosity (AV), plastic viscosity (PV), gel strength (10 s and 10 min) and yield point (YP) were calculated using the following equations:

$$\tau = 0.511 \times \varphi_N \tag{1}$$

$$\gamma$$
 (s⁻¹) = 1.703 × N (rotation speed, rpm) (2)

$$AV = \frac{\varphi_{600}}{2} (mPa s)$$
(3)

$$PV = \varphi_{600} - \varphi_{300} \text{ (mPa s)}$$
(4)

$$YP = 0.511 \times (2 \times \varphi_{300} - \varphi_{600}) (Pa)$$
 (5)

where φ_N is the dial reading of the twelve-speed rotary viscometer at *N* rpm, and φ_{600} and φ_{300} denote the dial readings at 600 and 300 rpm. In addition, to determine the gel strength, the drilling fluid was stirred at 600 rpm for 10 s and then left to stand for 10 s and 10 min, and the maximum dial readings were recorded at 3 rpm.

2.2.6 Hot rolling treatment. The thermal stability of the drilling fluids with various additives was investigated in a high temperature roller oven (Qingdao, China) for 16 h at 80, 100 and 120 °C. After cooling to room temperature, the rheological and filtration performance was measured by the above mentioned methods.

2.2.7 Rheological modeling. The functions of drilling fluids, such as water jack rock breaking, cleaning the bottom of the well, suspending cuttings and transmitting hydraulic power are closely related to their rheology behavior. In addition, some rheological properties such as shear-thinning behavior and the relationship between shear stress and shear rate are also directly used in the hydraulic calculation.^{47,48} To further estimate the rheological properties of the suspension in the presence of various additives,

the Bingham plastic, power-law and Herschel–Bulkley models were used to describe the rheological properties.

2.2.7.1 Bingham plastic model. The rheological properties of plastic fluids were initially evaluated using the Bingham plastic model, which has two parameters: yield point and plastic viscosity. The mathematical model was expressed using the following form (eqn (6)):

$$\tau = \tau_0 + \mu_p \gamma \tag{6}$$

where τ , τ_0 (Pa), μ_p (Pa s), and γ (s⁻¹) are the shear stress, yield point, plastic viscosity and shear rate, respectively.

2.2.7.2 Power Law Model. Pseudoplastic fluids are representative of a class of solutions containing long-chain macromolecular polymers and high-solid drilling fluids. The concaveconvex rheological curve of pseudoplastic fluids can be characterized using the power law model, and it can be expressed as eqn (7):

$$\tau = K\gamma^n \tag{7}$$

where *K* (Pa s^{*n*}) is the consistency coefficient and *n* is the liquidity index. The liquidity index *n* represents the non-Newtonian degree of the tested fluid; when n < 1, the fluid behaves like a pseudoplastic fluid, and when n > 1, the fluid exhibits dilatancy.

2.2.7.3 Herschel–Bulkley model. The Herschel–Bulkley model introduces the yield point based on the power law model. Due to its high precision, the Herschel–Bulkley model is often applied in drilling to describe the rheology of drilling fluids, as given by eqn (8):

$$\tau = \tau_0 + K \gamma^n \tag{8}$$

3 Results and discussion

3.1 Rheological and filtration properties of different fluidloss additives

3.1.1 Effects of additive concentration on rheological parameters and filtration loss. In general, the rheological and filtration loss properties of drilling fluid depend on the concentration of additives added.49-51 To demonstrate the effects of the concentration on rheological parameters and filtration loss, different additives were added into a 4.0 wt% bentonite base fluid. Fig. 1a shows the steady state viscosity versus shear rate for SA/BF slurry as the concentration increased from 0 to 0.5 wt%. The base fluid, without SA, demonstrated the lowest viscosity at the same shear rate. It can be seen that the addition of 0.3 wt% SA could effectively increase the viscosity from 520 to 1300 mPa s at a shear rate of 5.11 s⁻¹. This is probably due to the presence of abundant polar groups (hydroxyl and carboxyl) on the SA chains, and the hydroxyl and carboxyl easily absorbed on the bentonite platelet surface through hydrogen bonds, which resulted in entangled structures in suspension and increased the flow resistance, thereby increasing the viscosity.



Fig. 1 Plots of (a) viscosities and (b) shear stress as a function of shear rate for SA/BF at different SA concentrations. (c) Viscosities and (d) shear stress versus shear rate for SA/BF, CMC/BF and PAC/BF at the same additive concentration of 0.3 wt%.

As is known, drilling fluids are commonly designed as non-Newtonian fluids and shear-thinning behavior is a notable viscosity characteristic of polymer containing suspensions.⁴⁵ It can be observed that the rheology of SA/BF closely followed shear-thinning behavior in a range of shear rates from 5.11 to 1022 s⁻¹, exhibiting high viscosity to suspend cuttings at low shear rates but low viscosity to reduce flow resistance at high shear rates. Meanwhile, one can see that shear thinning behavior is more obvious with increasing concentrations of SA. This marked shear-thinning effect was beneficial for shale cutting transport, borehole cleaning, and drilling rate improvement. Fig. 1b shows the plots of shear stress as a function of shear rate for SA/BF at different SA concentrations. The results were consistent with the viscosity trends; the shear stress also increased with increasing concentration of SA. For instance, the shear stress of SA/BF with 0.1, 0.2, 0.3, 0.4 and 0.5 wt% SA is 27.85, 35.46, 45.99, 57.44 and 61.52 Pa, respectively, at a high shear rate of 1022 s⁻¹.

It is well known that Na-CMC and PAC-LV strongly interact with clay particles, exhibit excellent thickening properties and are widely used to regulate the rheological properties of drilling fluid. To determine the thickening capability of SA, Na-CMC and PAC-LV were used as control additives (see Fig. 1c and d). It can be seen that SA/BF exhibit high viscosity similar to CMC/ BF and PAC/BF, and the shear thinning behavior of SA/BF did not differ significantly from CMC/BF and PAC/BF with the increase in shear rate from 5.11 to 1022 s⁻¹. Such desirable shear thinning behavior in SA/BF contributes to improving bottom hole cleaning efficiency, suspending and settling cuttings.

As inferred, the high viscosity in SA/BF necessarily implied the strong interaction and stable adsorption between polymer chains and clay particles. Therefore, the rheological analysis indicated that the addition of SA enhanced the rheological properties and allowed the BF to provide cutting transport performance for water-based drilling fluids in an effective way. Furthermore, the shear stress of SA/BF increased more rapidly than that of CMC/BF and PAC/BF, which also illustrates the efficiency of SA. The highest shear stress was derived from chemical adsorption among the clay particles and SA chains; thus, this revealed the much better ability of SA to improve the shear stress even at a high shear rate. Moreover, at a shear rate of 5.11 s⁻¹, the shear stress was 4.19, 5.52 and 8.69 Pa for SA/BF, CMC/BF and PAC/BF, respectively. The lower shear stress for SA/ BF at a low shear rate has the benefit of lower swab and surge pressures. Thus, the addition of SA to drilling fluids significantly enhanced the rheological behavior whether at a low or high shear rate.

Fig. 2 shows the effect of the additives (Na-CMC, PAC-LV and SA) on the rheological parameters (AV, PV and YP) and filtration volume of BF with different dosages. SA demonstrated similarly excellent rheological properties compared with CMC at the same concentrations. Apparent viscosity has great importance for cutting transport and well cleaning efficiency. As shown in



Fig. 2 Plot of (a) AV, (b) PV, (c) YP, and (d) API filtration loss of drilling fluids with the addition of different concentrations of SA, Na-CMC, and PAC-LV.

Fig. 2a, SA/BF exhibits slightly superior viscosity to CMC/BF, and much higher viscosity than PAC/BF. When 0.3 wt% additives are added, the AV of SA/BF, CMC/BF and PAC/BF increased from 6.5 mPa s to 45, 41 and 25 mPa s, respectively. Being a fundamental rheological parameter, plastic viscosity (PV) reflects the friction between clay particles and the liquid phase, and internal friction among the clay nanoplatelets. Fig. 2b shows the effect of additives on the PV of BF. As the content of additives increased, the PV of SA/BF was obviously higher than that of the other drilling fluids; the trend was as follows: SA/BF > CMC/BF > PAC/BF. The YP (yield point) is an important indicator in the evaluation of thixotropy, which is defined as the minimum shear stress that must be exerted to induce fluid flow. Similarly, YP was also enhanced with increasing additive concentrations; as shown in Fig. 2c, we observe higher YP in SA/ BF than in PAC/BF and slightly lower YP in SA/BF than in CMC/

		SA concentration (wt%)				Na-CMO (wt%)	C concentrat	tion	PAC-LV concentration (wt%)		
Models		0	0.10	0.20	0.30	0.10	0.20	0.30	0.10	0.20	0.30
BP	τ_0 (Pa)	2.64	7.26	8.67	14.21	8.81	9.41	14.74	6.45	10.91	12.8
	μ (Pa s)	0.01	0.02	0.04	0.05	0.02	0.03	0.05	0.01	0.01	0.02
	R^2	0.99	0.98	0.98	0.98	0.91	0.95	0.93	0.99	0.99	0.99
	RMSE	0.14	1.09	1.72	2.44	2.09	2.62	4.88	0.60	0.45	0.80
PL	п	0.23	0.44	0.58	0.50	0.33	0.49	0.47	0.35	0.39	0.23
	Κ	1.21	1.30	0.60	1.92	2.55	1.40	2.39	1.70	1.71	5.77
	R^2	0.77	0.95	0.98	0.96	0.97	0.99	0.99	0.91	0.95	0.85
	RMSE	0.66	1.65	2.10	3.35	1.24	1.20	1.16	1.53	0.88	2.64
НВ	τ_0 (Pa)	2.55	5.28	5.69	9.87	4.29	4.01	4.09	5.36	8.58	11.64
	n	0.92	0.73	0.76	0.74	0.50	0.50	0.54	0.77	0.76	0.80
	k (Pa s ⁿ)	0.01	0.15	0.20	0.31	0.09	0.12	0.23	0.07	0.09	0.08
	R^2	0.99	0.99	0.99	0.99	0.98	0.98	0.99	0.99	0.99	0.99
	RMSE	0.12	0.37	0.50	0.65	0.89	0.89	0.22	0.06	0.58	0.46



Fig. 3 Plot of (a) initial gel strength and (b) final gel strength for drilling fluids at various SA, CMC, and PAC-LV concentrations

BF. The YP of BF is 3.1 Pa, and it increased to 14.2, 15.6 and 9.2 Pa with 0.3 wt% SA, CMC and PAC, respectively; the YP of SA/BF was inferior to that of CMC/BF by only 9.8%. The suitable YP for SA/BF was directly correlated with the flow resistance owing to the entangled structure in suspension.

An accurate and suitable rheological model plays a vital role in forecasting viscosity properties and flow behavior in a quantitative manner. In this work, to describe rheological properties and estimate the relationship between shear stress and shear rate, three widely applied constitutive rheological models (the Bingham plastic, power law and Herschel–Bulkley models) were used to fit the rheological data. Comparing the fitting curve parameters, it is found that the Herschel–Bulkley model is qualitatively consistent with the experimental rheological data in different drilling fluids due to the smallest value of root mean squared error (RMSE) and coefficient determination R^2 close to 1 (Table 1). Furthermore, flow index *n*, consistency index *k*, and yield stress τ_0 were calculated by fitting the data to the Herschel–Bulkley model.

It can be seen that SA/BF with 0.1, 0.3 and 0.5 wt% of SA had a consistency index of 0.15, 0.2, and 0.31 in the Herschel– Bulkley model, respectively; whereas CMC/BF with 0.1, 0.3 and 0.5 wt% of CMC had a consistency index of 0.09, 0.12 and 0.23, respectively, and the corresponding concentrations of PAC in BF had a consistency index of 0.07, 0.09, and 0.08. In comparison with Na-CMC and PAC-LV, SA has a consistently higher consistency index in favor of shale cutting transport. The curve fitting results remained consistent with those observed experimentally. Meanwhile, as the concentration of SA increased, we speculated that the increased consistency index may be related to the strengthened interactions of hydrogen bonds in SA molecules.

Effective control of lost circulation has long been recognized as the dominant strategy to maintain borehole stability. Fig. 2d depicts the filtration loss as a function of additive concentration. As expected, compared with BF, the filtration loss decreased with the increasing content of additives added to BF. Among these three additives, SA exhibits the highest filtrate volume reduction. At the concentration of 0.3 wt%, compared with BF, about 51% reduction (11.1 ml/30 min) in SA/BF, 41.3% in CMC/BF (13.2 ml/30 min), and 22.2% in PAC/BF (17.5 ml/30 min) can be obtained, respectively. We deduced that the carboxyl and hydroxyl groups in the molecular chain of SA were easily adsorbed on the surface of clay particles, thus maintaining the dispersibility of clay platelets and forming a low permeability filter cake (discussed in section 3.2.3). Based on the above observation, it can therefore be concluded that the addition of SA to BF not only can improve the rheological properties but also enhance the filtration performance.

Gel strength, for the specified time periods of 10 s and 10 min, is the commonly used indicator to assess the rate of gel formation, and the strength of the gels that formed under static conditions of the drilling fluid. A higher gel strength helps to suspend and transport the shale cutting and other solid phases; however, excessive final gel strength (10 min) easily induces pressure fluctuation when the circulation is restored. Fig. 3 shows the gel strength of BF with the addition of different concentrations of SA, CMC and PAC-LV. When 0.3 wt% filtration additives were added, the initial gel strengths (10 s) of CMC/BF, PAC/BF, and SA/BF were 6.13, 7.67 and 9.71 Pa, respectively. The final gel strength (10 min) was 11.24, 11.24, and 10.22 Pa, which represented an increase of 83.4%, 46.5% and 5.3% compared with initial gel strength, respectively. In comparison to CMC/BF and PAC/BF, SA/BF showed the highest increase in initial gel strength (10 s) and the minimum increase in final gel strength (10 min). The SA induced increase in the gel strength in a short time was related to various factors such as the interaction between nanoplatelets and polysaccharides, enhanced hydrogen bonding, and the changes in the SAinduced microstructure.52,53 Moreover, stronger electrostatic repulsion resulted from the more highly negatively charged SA chains, which reduced the crosslinking density and the formation of large complex coacervates, leading to a lower increase in final gel strength. This indicates that SA can form a reasonable network under static conditions, which is not only conducive to suspending cuttings but also avoids the generation of higher pump pressures when the pump restarts.

3.1.2 Salt contamination resistance. To date, most natural polymers used in drilling fluids are susceptible to salt contamination, which negatively impacts rheological behavior and filtration loss properties.⁵⁴ In addition, the invasion by electrolyte cations suppresses the electrical double layer of





Fig. 4 Rheological parameters of base drilling fluids: (a), (b) AV; (c), (d) PV; (e), (f) YP with a fixed additive concentration of 0.3 wt% in different concentrations of NaCl or KCl.

bentonite particles and reduces the electrostatic repulsion, resulting in the flocculation and aggregation of negatively charged bentonite particles.¹⁹ The influence of electrolytes including NaCl and KCl on the rheological properties of BF, SA/BF, CMC/BF and PAC/BF is shown in Fig. 4.

The AV and PV of SA/BF remained at a high level even when exposed to high concentrations of electrolytes, whereas those of CMC/BF and PAC/BF decreased greatly. For instance, SA/BF, CMC/BF and PAC/BF, respectively, had AV values of 38, 23.5 and 14 mPa s when contaminated with 20 wt% NaCl. Similar results were manifested in 20 wt% KCl solution, where the AV of SA/BF only decreased by 11.1% and that of CMC/BF and PAC/BF decreased by 36.6% and 44.0%. It is concluded that the electrolytes had a negative effect on the conformation of Na-CMC and PAC through interactions among K⁺ and Na⁺. The stable AV and PV of SA/BF could be attributed to the strong interaction between the surface of clay particles and SA chains *via* hydrogen bonding and electrostatic forces, hence shielding the adsorption sites of positive ions and maintaining the stretched conformation of SA even under highly concentrated electrolytes. Fig. 4e and f show the effect of electrolyte contamination on YP. When the NaCl or KCl concentration increased to 20 wt%, the YP of CMC/BF and PAC/BF increased slightly. Specifically, when the NaCl concentration increased from 0 to 20 wt%, the YP of CMC/BF and PAC/BF gradually increased from 15.6 to 17.9 Pa and from 9.2 to 10.2 Pa, respectively. This implied that the invading positive ions showed a strong electrostatic attraction with bentonite particles, flocculating the clay particles.

Formula	Salt conditions	Bingham plastic model			Power law model			Herschel–Bulkley model						
		τ_0 (Pa)	μ (Pa s)	R^2	RMSE	n	k	R^2	RMSE	τ_0 (Pa)	n	k (Pa s ⁿ)	R^2	RMSE
CMC/BF	20 wt% NaCl	5.90	0.02	0.87	2.63	0.47	0.09	0.90	2.33	2.98	0.63	0.28	0.91	2.14
	20 wt% KCl	7.01	0.02	0.87	2.94	0.46	1.14	0.91	2.46	2.69	0.60	0.38	0.93	2.23
PAC/BF	20 wt% NaCl	5.65	0.01	0.76	1.97	0.29	1.89	0.99	0.43	2.54	0.62	0.30	0.99	0.43
	20 wt% KCl	8.27	0.01	0.75	1.41	0.16	4.59	0.99	0.25	1.95	0.58	0.45	0.99	0.23
SA/BF	20 wt% NaCl	10.97	0.03	0.99	1.18	0.40	2.27	0.93	2.70	8.10	0.60	0.41	0.99	0.63
	20 wt% KCl	9.38	0.03	0.93	3.04	0.47	1.55	0.99	1.21	2.87	0.55	0.83	0.99	0.92

 Table 2
 Rheological model parameters with various additives after the invasion by electrolytes

Meanwhile, owing to the polyelectrolyte effect, the polymer conformation changed from entangled to coiled and thus increased the YP. However, only a slight decrease in the YP of SA/BF was observed when exposed to 20 wt% NaCl; the YP of SA/BF with and without 20 wt% NaCl was 13.3 Pa and 14.2 Pa, respectively. The weak variations in the YP of SA/BF indicate that SA might interact with nanoplatelets *via* hydrogen-bonding interactions, carrying more negative charges, and thus improving the colloidal stability under high salinity conditions. In addition, as shown in Table 2, the Herschel–Bulkley model was the most accurate equation for predicting the rheological behavior of different drilling fluids under different salt conditions. The data showed that flow index n < 1 for all drilling



Fig. 5 Filtration loss of BF with different additives in various concentrations of (a) NaCl and (b) KCl.

fluids, which still behaved as non-Newtonian fluids after the invasion by 20 wt% cations (Na⁺ or K⁺). It is worth noting that SA/BF had a higher yield stress than CMC/BF and PAC/BF, which is beneficial for cutting carrying capacity. Additionally, it can be seen that SA/BF with 20 wt% NaCl had a consistency index (k) value of 0.41, whereas CMC/BF and PAC/BF had values of 0.28 and 0.30, respectively. Similarly, the same trend was observed after the invasion by KCl. The higher consistency index in SA/BF contributes to enhancing the viscosity and improving the bottom hole cleaning capacity and drilling efficiency.⁵⁵

We further checked the filtration loss of the suspensions with different additives in various concentrations of NaCl and KCl. As shown in Fig. 5a, the API filtration volume of CMC/BF and PAC/BF increased from 11.1 to 28 ml and 17.5 to 108 ml in NaCl at 20 wt%, respectively. However, the filtration volume of SA/BF was only 14.1 ml in 20 wt% NaCl solution. A similar result was observed in the SA/BF contaminated with 20 wt% KCl, where the filtration loss of BF with 0.3 wt% SA was only 22.5 ml, which is lower than that of CMC/BF (39.4 ml) and PAC/BF (135 ml). Therefore, the electrolyte contamination had a negligible effect on the filtration loss of SA/BF, which implies that SA is a promising additive for maintaining lower drilling fluid leakage.

3.1.3 Thermal stability of the drilling fluids. The molecular structure of nature polymers undergoes thermal decomposition and significant performance degradation at high temperatures. As previously reported, SA decomposes when the temperature is above 100 °C.^{56,57} To investigate the thermal stability of the drilling fluids, different formulas were aged at 80, 100 and 120 °C for 16 h. As shown in Table 3, the filtration and rheological parameters (AV, PV, YP and gel strength) of all formulations remained after hot rolling at 80 °C for 16 h, where 0.3 wt% SA increased the AV of BF from 4.0 to 42 mPa s, and increased the YP from 0.5 to 15.3 Pa, while the FL was greatly reduced from 50 to 12 ml. Likewise, PV and gel strength exhibit weak variations due to the stable molecular conformation, which is stabilized by a large number of intra- and intermolecular H-bonds.

However, as the aging temperature increased, the rheological and filtration performance of all formulations exhibited a marked decline. High temperature disrupted the molecular structure of SA, preventing the molecular chains from maintaining a stretched state.⁵⁶ The weakened interaction resulted in the reduction of electrostatic repulsion among particles, and

Table 3 Rheological and filtration parameters of BF, SA/BF, CMC/BF and PAC/BF before and after aging at 80 °C, 100 °C and 120 °C

Formulation	Aging temperature (°C)	AV (mPa s)	PV (mPa s)	YP (Pa)	FL (ml)	$GS_{10 \ s}$ (Pa)	$GS_{10 \min}$ (Pa)
BF	25	6.5	3.4	3.1	22.5	0.9	1.3
	80	4.0	4.0	0.5	50.0	1.5	1.0
	100	3.0	3.0	0.5	68.0	1.0	0.5
	120	2.5	3.0	0.5	90.0	1.0	0.5
SA/BF	25	45.0	31.1	14.2	11.1	9.7	10.2
	80	42.0	27.0	15.3	12.0	5.6	6.6
	100	25.5	14.0	11.8	14.5	1.6	5.0
	120	15.0	5.0	10.2	32.0	1.5	2.0
CMC/BF	25	41	25.7	15.6	13.2	6.1	11.2
	80	35.0	21.0	14.3	14.0	5.1	6.1
	100	28.0	22.0	6.1	15.0	1.5	2.6
	120	11.5	9.0	2.6	31.0	1.5	2.0
PAC/BF	25	25.0	16.0	9.2	17.5	7.6	11.2
	80	25.5	15.0	7.7	10.7	1.5	4.6
	100	20.0	12.0	2.2	8.2	2.0	2.6
	120	12.0	10.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.6

van der Waals forces occupied a dominant position, leading to agglomeration and flocculation of clay particles.⁵⁷ Thus, SA is expected to be applied as a multifunctional additive for drilling operations where the borehole temperature is lower than 80 °C.

3.2 Mechanism analysis of filtration

3.2.1 Particle size distribution. In general, the particle size distribution of the solid phase in drilling fluid has an effect on the permeability of the filter cake and filtration loss. But the invasion by electrolytes always leads to compression of the diffusion double layer, and further coalescence of the clay platelets in a larger diameter. To specify the relationship between particle size and filtration loss in the presence of electrolytes, the size distribution of SA/BF suspensions was examined. As shown in Fig. 6, the mean particle size (D50) of BF is about 14.5 µm; when 20 wt% electrolytes are added, D50 increases from 14.5 to 19.4 (NaCl) and 25.6 µm (KCl), which indicates the aggregation of clay platelets. It is notable that compared to Na⁺, the particle size obviously shifts to larger size under the invasion by K⁺. This phenomenon can be attributed to the cation exchange effect between the clay and cations; the hydration diameter of K⁺ is closer to the interlamellar spacing of bentonite, and this facilitates the entry of K⁺ into the interlayer, resulting in more positive charges accumulating around clay particles compared to Na⁺. This observation could also be confirmed by zeta potential analysis. Therefore, the foreign K^+ ions drastically weakened the electrostatic repulsion among particles, resulting in larger agglomeration and increasing the mean particle size significantly compared to Na⁺.58-60

After the addition of 0.3 wt% SA to BF, the mean diameter was reduced from 14.5 to 8.9 μ m. Moreover, in the presence of SA, the D50 of BF decreased from 19.4 to 13.1 μ m in 20 wt% NaCl solution and from 25.6 to 17.0 μ m in 20 wt% KCl solution. Meanwhile, the particle size distribution curve slightly changed from unimodal to bimodal and moved to the left compared to BF with electrolytes. It can be concluded that although the electrolytes induced aggregation of clay platelets in SA/BF with



Fig. 6 Particle size distribution of BF and SA/BF in various concentrations of (a) NaCl and (b) KCl.

Paper

diameter larger than 100 µm, most of the clay particles remained highly dispersible with diameter smaller than 10 µm. The relatively narrow size distribution with high numbers of large sized particles in BF makes it difficult to prevent the penetration of water. The filtration volume of BF increased from 22.5 to 116 and 166 ml as the D50 increased from 14.5 to 19.4 (NaCl) and 25.6 (KCl) µm, whereas that of SA/BF was only 22.5 and 39.4 ml with D50 of 13.1 and 17.0 µm. The reasonable particle size distribution was conducive to plugging pores, in which the large sized particles play a key bridging role, whereas smaller sized particles enter into the internal voids and fill the pores between the large particles without percolation.⁶¹⁻⁶³ It is reasonable that SA is able to maintain a wide particle size distribution, which effectively prevents water from penetrating pores in the formation and improves the integrity of the borehole.

3.2.2 Zeta potential analysis. To explore the stability of the additive containing suspensions, we monitored the zeta



Fig. 7 Zeta potential of BF, SA/BF, CMC/BF, and PAC/BF in different concentrations of (a) NaCl and (b) KCl.

potential of the particles in the BF suspensions with different additives when exposed to NaCl and KCl. In bentonite-based drilling fluid, the zeta potential of stable colloidal particles is usually more negative than -30 mV (negatively charged).64 As shown in Fig. 7, the addition of various additives makes the zeta potential of the clay platelets become more negative compared to the base fluid. The zeta potential of the original BF was -37.4 mV, which changed to -40.5 mV and -43 mV after adding 0.3 wt% Na-CMC and 0.3 wt% PAC-LV, respectively. Notably, the zeta potential significantly decreased to -48 mV with the addition of SA, which indicates that adsorption of anionic SA on clay particles could enhance platelet electrostatic repulsion, and thus the stability of the SA/BF suspension. Furthermore, in the presence of electrolytes, as presented in Fig. 7a, in 20 wt% NaCl solution, the zeta potential of BF, CMC/ BF, and PAC/BF increased to -25.3 mV, -31.2 mV, and -21.2 mV, respectively. Similar results can be observed in KCl solution. However, the zeta potential of SA/BF was more negative than -35 mV even in high concentrations of NaCl or KCl, implying the excellent stability of the SA containing suspensions. It is further confirmed that less agglomeration of clay particles in SA containing suspensions with NaCl or KCl could be due to higher electrostatic repulsion between negatively charged platelets in SA/BF. And yet lower electrostatic repulsion, owing to the high ionic strength, induced flocculation and agglomeration of clay particles in Na-CMC or PAC-LV containing suspensions.

3.2.3 Filter cake analysis. It is suggested that a low permeability, compact, and thin filter cake is favorable for filtration performance, and could therefore effectively prevent water leakage into the formation and subsequently avoid adverse influence on borehole stability. Fig. 8 presents digital photographs of filter cakes; the thickness of the filter cake without additives reached 5.1 mm, while the thickness decreased to 3.4, 3.9 and 2.1 mm upon addition of Na-CMC, PAC and SA into BF, respectively.

Under high salinity conditions, the thickness of the filter cake obviously increased; loose and open porous surfaces were observed on the filter cakes of BF, CMC/BF and PAC/BF, which



Fig. 8 Digital photographs of API filter cakes at different saline conditions: (a) BF, (b) PAC/BF, (c) CMC/BF, (d) SA/BF; (e) BF, (f) PAC/BF, (g) CMC/BF, (h) SA/BF in 20 wt% NaCl; (i) BF, (j) PAC/BF, (k) CMC/BF, (l) SA/BF in 20 wt% KCl.



Fig. 9 SEM images of filter cakes under different salt conditions. (a) BF; (b) BF in 20 wt% NaCl; (c) BF in 20 wt% KCl; (d) SA/BF; (e) SA/BF in 20 wt% NaCl; (f) SA/BF in 20 wt% KCl.

could be attributed to the clay particle flocculation. In contrast, as shown in Fig. 8d, h and i, it was clearly observed that, after adding 0.3 wt% SA, a denser and smooth filter cake was formed, even at high salt concentration. Meanwhile, the thickness of SA/BF decreased from 16.3 mm (NaCl) and 21.2 mm (KCl) to 3.2 and 4.3 mm compared to BF, significantly thinner than CMC/BF and PAC/BF. This may be due to the broad size distribution, and larger sized particles in the SA/BF suspension could bridge and connect the smaller particles to form a thin and dense filter cake.

Keen to verify our conjecture, we observed the surface micromorphology of dried filter cakes using SEM. As seen in Fig. 9a and d, the filter cake surface of SA/BF was dense and compact, while that of BF showed micro sized pores and fractures. Moreover, at high salt concentration, strong agglomeration of clay particles was observed on the surface of the filter cake in BF, leading to massive noticeable pores and fractures following the invasion by 20 wt% NaCl or KCl. Once SA was added, a dense and smooth surface suggested that the pores and fractures were successfully sealed, and no obvious flocculation and aggregation could be detected. In summary, the quality of the filter cake is well maintained after the invasion by highly concentrated electrolytes, demonstrating the excellent filtration performance of SA towards salt contamination. As schematically illustrated in Fig. 10, although high concentrations of electrolyte contaminants may cause the aggregation of bentonite particles by reducing electrostatic repulsion and leading to polymer molecular chain collapse due to the polyelectrolyte effect, SA can effectively maintain the network structure and promote the hydration effect, forming a dense and compact filter cake, leading to a significant improvement in the filtration properties.



Fig. 10 Schematic mechanism to illustrate the filtration control mechanism.

4 Conclusions

Paper

In this study, sodium alginate biopolymer was utilized to improve the rheological properties and filtration performance of WBDF. The results proved that a small quantity of SA (0.3 wt%) greatly enhanced the rheological properties and effectively improved the filtration performance compared to commercial Na-CMC and PAC-LV. Moreover, SA/BF had a higher consistency index, which favored improving the cutting carrying ability. Under highly concentrated salinity, the rheological and filtration properties of SA/BF were better than those of PAC/BF and CMC/BF, demonstrating the superior salt tolerance of SA. Meanwhile, the thickness and permeability of the filter cake under electrolyte contamination were significantly decreased owing to the addition of SA. Zeta potential, particle size distribution, and SEM validated that the enhanced rheological properties and filtration loss reduction performance could be ascribed to the adsorption of SA on the clay surface, which shields the adsorption sites of positive ions and increases electrostatic repulsion, thereby maintaining the stretched conformation of SA/clay particles. Moreover, the introduction of SA also shed significant light on the design of eco-friendly drilling fluids and expanded the scope of the application of salt-water and inhibitive drilling fluids.

Author contributions

Zhaojie Wei: conceptualization, methodology, software, writing – original draft, formal analysis, writing – review & editing. Maosen Wang: conceptualization, supervision. Ying Li: conceptualization, supervision. Yinghui An: supervision, validation, investigation. Kaijun Li: supervision, data curation. Kun Bo: supervision, data curation. Mingyi Guo: conceptualization, methodology, supervision, validation, formal analysis, funding acquisition.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts of interest to declare.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (NSFC, No. 42072338), the Cooperative Project between Universities and Jilin Province, China (No. SXGJSF2017-5), and the open fund from SinoProbe Laboratory (No. Sinoprobe Lab 202220).

References

- 1 J. Sun, X. Chang, K. Lv, J. Wang, F. Zhang, X. Zhou and J. Zhao, *J. Mol. Liq.*, 2020, **319**, 114345.
- 2 Q. Chu and L. Lin, RSC Adv., 2019, 9, 8608-8619.
- 3 L. B. Pereira, C. M. S. Sad, E. V. R. Castro, P. R. Filgueiras and V. Lacerda, *Fuel*, 2022, **310**, 122301.
- 4 A. Nasiri, M. Ameri Shahrabi and M. Keshavarz Moraveji, *RSC Adv.*, 2018, **8**, 9685–9696.

- 5 C. Ma, R. Wen, F. Zhou, H. Zhao, X. Bao, A. Evelina, W. Long,
 Z. Wei, L. Ma, J. Liu and S. Chen, *Arabian J. Chem.*, 2022, 15, 103610.
- 6 J. Qiu, Y. Bai, J. Sun, L. Dai, S. Lei and F. Liu, *J. Appl. Polym. Sci.*, 2021, **139**, 51763.
- 7 P. Xu, M. Xu, Z. Tao, Z. Wang and T. Huang, *R. Soc. Open Sci.*, 2018, 5, 180358.
- 8 I. Ali, M. Ahmad and T. Ganat, *J. Pet. Sci. Eng.*, 2022, 210, 110021.
- 9 Y. Tian, X. Liu, P. Luo, J. Huang, J. Xiong, L. Liang and W. Li, *ACS Omega*, 2021, **6**, 15448–15459.
- 10 S. Gulraiz and K. E. Gray, Geothermics, 2021, 96, 102214.
- 11 R. K. Rodrigues, S. d. F. C. Martins, M. F. Naccache and P. R. de Souza Mendes, *J. Non-Newtonian Fluid Mech.*, 2020, **286**, 104397.
- 12 Y. An, G. Jiang, Y. Qi, Q. Ge and L. Zhang, *RSC Adv.*, 2016, **6**, 17246–17255.
- 13 D. Tingji, W. Ruihe, X. Jiafang, M. Jiayue, W. Xiaohui, X. Jiawen and Y. Xiaolong, *Colloids Surf.*, A, 2022, 644, 128855.
- 14 H. M. Ahmad, T. Iqbal, M. A. Al Harthi and M. S. Kamal, *J. Pet. Sci. Eng.*, 2021, **205**, 108763.
- 15 M. A. A. Alvi, M. Belayneh, S. Bandyopadhyay and M. W. Minde, *Energies*, 2020, **13**, 6718.
- 16 A. Kariman Moghaddam, S. Davoodi, A. Ramazani S and K. M. Minaev, J. Mol. Liq., 2022, 347, 117950.
- 17 J. Cao, L. Meng, Y. Yang, Y. Zhu, X. Wang, C. Yao, M. Sun and H. Zhong, *Energy Fuels*, 2017, **31**, 11963–11970.
- 18 F. Liu, G. Jiang, S. Peng, Y. He and J. Wang, *Energy Fuels*, 2016, **30**, 7221–7228.
- 19 M.-C. Li, Q. Wu, J. Han, C. Mei, T. Lei, S.-y. Lee and J. Gwon, *ACS Sustainable Chem. Eng.*, 2020, **8**, 11569–11578.
- 20 Y. Wu, Z. Wang, Z. Yan, T. Zhang, Y. Bai, P. Wang, P. Luo, S. Gou and Q. Guo, *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.*, 2017, 56, 168–174.
- 21 X. Yang, Z. Shang, H. Liu, J. Cai and G. Jiang, *J. Pet. Sci. Eng.*, 2017, **156**, 408–418.
- 22 M.-C. Li, Q. Wu, K. Song, C. F. De Hoop, S. Lee, Y. Qing and Y. Wu, *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.*, 2016, **55**, 133–143.
- 23 K. Song, Q. Wu, M. Li, S. Ren, L. Dong, X. Zhang, T. Lei and Y. Kojima, *Colloids Surf.*, *A*, 2016, **507**, 58–66.
- 24 B. Xie, A. P. Tchameni, M. Luo and J. Wen, *Mater. Lett.*, 2021, **284**, 128914.
- 25 J. Li, Z. Qiu, H. Zhong, X. Zhao, Z. Liu and W. Huang, *J. Pet. Sci. Eng.*, 2022, **208**, 109704.
- 26 D. F. S. Petri, J. Appl. Polym. Sci., 2015, 132, 42035.
- 27 K. Sehly, H.-L. Chiew, H. Li, A. Song, Y.-K. Leong and W. Huang, *Appl. Clay Sci.*, 2015, **104**, 309–317.
- 28 A. M. A. Hasan and M. E. Abdel-Raouf, *Egypt. J. Pet.*, 2018, 27, 1043–1050.
- 29 S. Shanmugam, G. Ross, C. Y. Mbuncha and A. Santra, *Polym. Chem.*, 2021, **12**, 6705–6713.
- 30 F. G. Fischer and H. Dörfel, Die Polyuronsäuren der Braunalgen (Kohlenhydrate der Algen I), Jahresband, vol. 302, 1955, pp. 186–203.
- 31 S. Li, N. He and L. Wang, Mar. Drugs, 2019, 17, 540.
- 32 Z. Tian, L. Zhang and C. Ni, *Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res. Int.*, 2019, **26**, 32397–32406.

- Open Access Article. Published on 19 October 2022. Downloaded on 7/19/2025 11:02:37 AM.
- 33 X. Chen, L.-x. Lu, X. Qiu and Y. Tang, *Food Control*, 2017, 73, 1275–1284.
- 34 L. Yuan, Y. Wu, J. Fang, X. Wei, Q. Gu, H. El-Hamshary, S. S. Al-Deyab, Y. Morsi and X. Mo, *Artif. Cells, Nanomed.*, *Biotechnol.*, 2017, 45, 76–83.
- 35 L. Yuan, Y. Wu, Q. S. Gu, H. El-Hamshary, M. El-Newehy and X. Mo, *Int. J. Biol. Macromol.*, 2017, 96, 569–577.
- 36 Q. S. Zhao, Q. X. Ji, K. Xing, X. Y. Li, C. S. Liu and X. G. Chen, *Carbohydr. Polym.*, 2009, **76**, 410–416.
- 37 K. Saravanakumar, A. Sathiyaseelan, A. V. A. Mariadoss,
 H. Xiaowen and M. H. Wang, *Int. J. Biol. Macromol.*, 2020, 153, 207–214.
- 38 D. Alves, M. A. Cerqueira, L. M. Pastrana and S. Sillankorva, *Food Res. Int.*, 2020, **128**, 108791.
- 39 G. Luo, J. Wang, Y. Wang, B. Feng and J. Weng, J. Microencapsulation, 2015, 32, 129–136.
- 40 A. A. Egorov, A. Y. Fedotov, A. V. Mironov, V. S. Komlev,
 V. K. Popov and Y. V. Zobkov, *Beilstein J. Nanotechnol.*,
 2016, 7, 1794–1799.
- 41 S. Fu, X. Du, M. Zhu, Z. Tian, D. Wei and Y. Zhu, *Biomed. Mater.*, 2019, 14, 065011.
- 42 L. Du, A. GhavamiNejad, Z. C. Yan, C. S. Biswas and F. J. Stadler, *Carbohydr. Polym.*, 2018, **199**, 58–67.
- 43 J. Yang, L. Liu and S. Han, *Colloids Surf.*, A, 2017, 529, 320– 327.
- 44 A. García, M. Culebras, M. N. Collins and J. J. Leahy, *J. Appl. Polym. Sci.*, 2018, **135**, 46217.
- 45 C. Toigo, M. Kracalik, E. Bradt, K. H. Pettinger and C. Arbizzani, *Polymers*, 2021, **13**, 3582.
- 46 S. M. Lalji, S. I. Ali, H. Sohail, A. R. Misbah, K. Azam and N. Navaid, *Chem. Pap.*, 2022, **76**, 6461–6473.
- 47 A. Kariman Moghaddam and A. Ramazani Saadatabadi, J. Pet. Sci. Eng., 2020, 189, 107028.
- 48 H. Movahedi and S. Jamshidi, J. Pet. Sci. Eng., 2021, 198, 108224.

- 49 A. Das, E. L. Gilmer, S. Biria and M. J. Bortner, ACS Appl. Polym. Mater., 2021, 3, 1218–1249.
- 50 Y. Jiang, J. A. De La Cruz, L. Ding, B. Wang, X. Feng, Z. Mao, H. Xu and X. Sui, *Int. J. Biol. Macromol.*, 2020, **148**, 811–816.
- 51 X. Gao, H.-Y. Zhong, X.-B. Zhang, A.-L. Chen, Z.-S. Qiu and W.-A. Huang, *Pet. Sci.*, 2021, **18**, 1163–1181.
- 52 L. C. Sow, N. Z. Y. Toh, C. W. Wong and H. Yang, *Food Hydrocolloids*, 2019, **94**, 459–467.
- 53 J. S. Kim, D. H. Kim and Y. S. Lee, Polymers, 2021, 13, 663.
- 54 A. V. Walter, L. N. Jimenez, J. Dinic, V. Sharma and K. A. Erk, *Rheol. Acta*, 2019, **58**, 145–157.
- 55 G. L. R. Leal, A. I. C. Garnica, R. R. Silva, L. R. Viana, A. C. B. Júnior, J. C. O. Freitas and F. D. S. Curbelo, *J. Pet. Sci. Eng.*, 2022, 215, 110562.
- 56 F. Guerretta, G. Magnacca, F. Franzoso, P. Ivanchenko and R. Nisticò, *Mater. Lett.*, 2019, **234**, 339–342.
- 57 D. Gao, X. Li, Y. Cheng, B. Lyu and J. Ma, Int. J. Biol. Macromol., 2022, 200, 557–565.
- 58 E. U. Akpan, G. C. Enyi, G. Nasr, A. A. Yahaya, A. A. Ahmadu and B. Saidu, *J. Pet. Sci. Eng.*, 2019, **175**, 1028–1038.
- 59 F. Liu, C. Zhang, X. Li, Z. Zhang, X. Wang, X. Dai, M. Zhou and Q. Liu, *Colloids Surf.*, *A*, 2022, **636**, 128099.
- 60 J. Su, M. Liu, L. Lin, X. Pu, C. Ge, T. Zhang and G. Liu, *J. Pet. Sci. Eng.*, 2022, 208, 109618.
- 61 D. R. Nascimento, B. R. Oliveira, V. G. P. Saide, S. C. Magalhães, C. M. Scheid and L. A. Calçada, *J. Pet. Sci. Eng.*, 2019, **182**, 106275.
- 62 T. Ma, N. Peng and P. Chen, J. Nat. Gas Sci. Eng., 2020, 79, 103350.
- 63 X. Zhao, D. Li, H. Zhu, J. Ma and Y. An, *RSC Adv.*, 2022, **12**, 22853–22868.
- 64 H. Jia, P. Huang, Q. Wang, Y. Han, S. Wang, F. Zhang, W. Pan and K. Lv, *Fuel*, 2019, **244**, 403–411.