RSC Advances

PAPER

Cite this: RSC Adv., 2022, 12, 33160

Received 20th September 2022 Accepted 3rd November 2022

DOI: 10.1039/d2ra05938

rsc.li/rsc-advances

1. Introduction

The development of modern industrialization has led to the release of large amounts of heavy metals into the water bodies, causing serious damage to the environment and public health.¹ Especially mercury, as one of the most toxic heavy metals, has non-biodegradable, persistent and bioaccumulative characteristics.²

A large number of studies have shown that inorganic mercury in water (mainly divalent mercury $Hg(\theta)$) can easily be converted into more deadly methylmercury under the action of certain bacteria. Methylmercury is a highly effective neurotoxin that can cause a series of diseases, such as kidney failure, brain damage and endocrine disorders.³ Therefore, how to remove mercury ions from water environment has become a major problem.⁴

In recent decades, methods such as chemical precipitation, membrane separation, ion exchange and adsorption have been

Selective adsorption of Hg(II) with diatomite-based mesoporous materials functionalized by pyrrole– thiophene copolymers: condition optimization, application and mechanism†

Yu Zhou, \ddagger ^a Zheng Zeng, \ddagger ^a Yongfu Guo **b**^{*ab} and Xinyu Zheng^{*a}

A novel diatomite-based mesoporous material of MCM-41/co-(PPy-Tp) was prepared with MCM-41 as carrier and functionalized with the copolymer of pyrrole and thiophene. The physicochemical characteristics of the as-prepared materials were characterized by various characterization means. The removal behaviour of Hg(II) was adequately investigated via series of single factor experiments and some vital influence factors were optimized via response surface methodology method. The results exhibit that diatomite-based materials MCM-41/co-(PPy-Tp) has an optimal adsorption capability of 537.15 mg g^{-1} towards Hg(ii) at $pH = 7.1$. The removal process of Hg(ii) onto MCM-41/co-(PPy-Tp) is controlled by monolayer chemisorption based on the fitting results of pseudo-second-order kinetic and Langmuir models. In addition, the adsorption of Hq(ii) ions onto MCM-41/co-(PPy-Tp) is mainly completed through forming a stable complex with N or S atoms in MCM-41/co-(PPy-Tp) by electrostatic attraction and chelation. The as-developed MCM-41/co-(PPy-Tp) displays excellent recyclability and stabilization, has obviously selective adsorption for Hg(II) in the treatment of actual electroplating wastewater. Diatomitebased mesoporous material functionalized by the copolymer of pyrrole and thiophene exhibits promising application prospect. PAPER

(a) Check for updates

(a) Check for updates
 $\frac{1}{2}$ Check for updates

Check for updates
 $\frac{1}{2}$ Check for updates
 $\frac{1}{2}$ Check for λ , 202, 12, 3368

Check for λ , 202, 12, 3368
 λ Check λ , 20

commonly used to reduce $Hg(II)$ concentration in water.⁵⁻⁷ Among these methods, adsorption technology is widely acknowledged as one of the most promising methods for mercury removal in terms of its low cost, easy operation and design simplicity. With the rapid development of adsorbents, conventional adsorption materials, such as metal organic framework, carbon nanotube, layered double hydroxides, zeolite and clays, $8-12$ have been widely applied to remove heavy metals. Mesoporous materials are used as new adsorbents to remove organic pollutants and heavy metals in water owing to their large BET surface area, pore size, pore volume, regular pore structure and good hydrothermal stability.¹³

However, based on the previous reports, mesoporous materials are mainly prepared using tetraethylorthosilicate (TEOS) as silica source.^{14,15} It is worth noting that TEOS as commercial reagents are expensive and toxic, 16 and the commonly used TEOS contains only 28 wt% $SiO₂$. Therefore, searching alternative materials is also one of the research directions of this material. Diatomite, as a natural clay mineral with rich source, easy availability, low price and rich in $SiO₂$, can be used as a desired raw material to synthesize molecular sieves, such as MCM-41 and SBA-15.^{17,18} Owing to the limited hydroxyl functions on mesoporous molecular sieves, resulting in relatively low adsorption capacity for heavy metal ions, especially $Hg(II)$ with large atomic radius.¹⁹ Hence, to the best of our knowledge,

a Department of Municipal Engineering, Suzhou University of Science and Technology, Suzhou, 215009, China. E-mail: yongfuguo@163.com; xinyuzheng@mail.usts.edu.cn b Jiangsu Collaborative Innovation Center of Technology and Material of Water Treatment, Suzhou, 215009, Jiangsu, China

[†] Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. See DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1039/d2ra05938j>

[‡] These authors contributed equally to this work.

surface modification of mesoporous molecular sieves is a greatly effective method to improve their adsorption performance. Furthermore, mesoporous molecular sieve can be used as a good carrier for adsorption and separation reaction owing to its high specific surface area and regular pore structure.

Based on the hard–soft acid–base (HSAB) theory, some conductive polymers that are rich in oxygen, nitrogen and sulphur heteroatoms, such as poly pyrrole and poly thiophene, display strong affinity to heavy metal ions.²⁰ It is beneficial to the removal of heavy metal ions. Moreover, the copolymers of pyrrole (Py) and thiophene (Tp) can offer two kinds of heteroatoms, providing an opportunity for the polymer molecular sieve composites to be adsorbents with multiform functionalities. Therefore, the mesoporous material MCM-41 is modified by copolymer. In organic functionalized mesoporous materials, inorganic components guarantee the basic structure and stability of the material, while organic group components give the material surface unique functions.²¹ Hence, it is greatly significant to explore a valuable technology to obtain highperformance adsorbents via the combination of mesoporous molecular sieves and di-heteroatom polymers. Paper

Paper Rockdotennes are denoted to any other hand to any other access Article diamente (DMTs) sas died neurally a
 a stead field to any other hand the slower point of the salid diamente (DMTs) sas died neurally as

In the present work, an advanced adsorbent MCM-41/co- (PPy-Tp) was constructed with the copolymer of co-(PPy-Tp) through copolymerizing pyrrole monomer (Py) and thiophene (Tp) onto the MCM-41 and used to remove the mercury ions. Furthermore, reaction conditions were optimized with response surface methodology (RSM) method. The kinetics, isotherms, thermodynamics, regeneration performance and adsorption mechanism were deeply explored. Meanwhile, the as-prepared adsorbents were applied to separate $Hg(\pi)$ from an actual electroplating wastewater containing various heavy metal ions.

2. Materials and methods

2.1 Materials

Cetyltrimethyl ammonium bromide (CTAB), sodium dodecyl sulphate (SDS), ferric chloride (FeCl₃), sodium hydroxide (NaOH), pyrrole monomer (Py), thiophene (Tp) and diatomite were purchased from Aladdin (China). Hydrogen peroxide $(H₂O₂$, 30 wt%) was purchased from Sinopharm Chemical Reagent Co., Ltd. All of the chemicals are analytical reagent.

2.2 Synthesis of purified diatomite (DMTs)

Typically, 10.00 g diatomite and 100 mL of 5 M hydrochloric acid (HCl) were together mixed and magnetically stirred for 4 h at 378 K. Diatomite was separated through centrifugation and

then washed with deionized water until the pH was close to neutral. The acidified diatomite (DMTs) was dried overnight at 333 K and then stored.

2.3 Preparation of mesoporous silica (MCM-41)

 0.90 g of the as-prepared DMTs was poured in a flask containing sodium hydroxide (0.34 g) and deionized water (8.16 mL) at room temperature, and then the mixture was sonicated for 10 min. Afterwards, a certain amount of deionized water (17.00 g) containing CTAB (1.02 g) was added. Sulphur acid $(H_2SO_4, 2)$ M) was used to adjust the solution pH to 10. The solution was continued to be stirred for 0.5 h. After that, the mixture was shifted to a 50 mL autoclave and kept at 373 K for 12 h and then was filtered, rinsed with deionized water and desiccated overnight at 378 K. Finally, the surfactant was purified by calcining the above materials in a muffle furnace at 823 K with a heating rate of 5 $\mathrm{^{\circ}C}$ min⁻¹ for 6 h.

2.4 Fabrication of MCM-41/co-Ppy-TP

For the synthesis of MCM-41/co-(PPy-Tp), 0.60 g MCM-41 powders, 60 mL of 0.05 M HCl solution, and 30.00 mg SDS were added into a round-bottomed flask with a capacity of 250 mL. SDS acts as an activator in the synthesis to activate the groups on the molecular sieve. The mixture was sonicated at room temperature for 15 min, and then stirred in a nitrogen atmosphere for 30 min. Then 0.5 mL pyrrole and 0.58 mL thiophene were added followed by magnetically agitation for 1 h. Subsequently, 15 mL of 0.48 M FeCl₃ solution was slowly supplemented followed by dropwise addition of 10 mL H_2O_2 (addition rate: 30 min for each drop). Both FeCl₃ and H_2O_2 were used as oxidants in the synthesis.

After 2 h of polymerization reaction at room temperature, 10 mL of H_2O_2 was continued to be supplemented. The reaction was conducted at room temperature for 4 h at N_2 atmosphere and magnetic agitation. Eventually, the expected material was separated, rinsed, desiccated at 333 K. The synthesized schematic of MCM-41/co-(PPy-Tp) was presented in Fig. 1.

2.5 Batch adsorption experiments

Adsorption tests were performed to research the influences of pH, reaction time (adsorption kinetics), initial concentration (adsorption isotherm), temperature (thermodynamics) and dosage on the capacity of MCM-41/co-(PPy-Tp) to $Hg(n)$. For this purpose, a certain amount of MCM-41/co-(PPy-Tp) was added to an Erlenmeyer flask containing 100 mL of $Hg(n)$ solution. The solution pH was adjusted with 0.1 M HCl and 0.1 M NaOH, and

oscillated at a speed of 160 rpm. The solution was filtered with a 0.45 um filter and analyzed with a cold atomic absorption spectrophotometry after reaction 9 h.²² All tests were carried out three times, and the average data of the tests were recorded.²³

2.6 Characterizations

The obtained samples were characterized via Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM, Helios nanolab600i, FEI, USA), Transmission Electron Microscope (TEM, JEM-2100, JEOL, Japan), X-ray Diffraction (XRD, D8 Advance, Bruker, Germany), Fourier Transform Infrared (FT-IR, Nicolet 6700, Thermo Scientific, USA), X-ray Photoelectron Spectroscopy (XPS, Escalab250xi, Thermo Scientific, USA) and N_2 adsorption–desorption instrument (Autosorb-IQ2-MP, Boynton Beach, USA). Zeta potential analyzer (Zeta PALS, Brookhaven, USA) was employed to confirm the charge property on the surface of materials.

3. Results and discussion

3.1 Characterizations

3.1.1 SEM and TEM. SEM images of DMTs, MCM-41 and MCM-41/co-(PPy-Tp) are shown in Fig. S1 (in the ESI†). TEM is the most intuitive means to characterize the pore structure of mesoporous materials.²⁴ TEM micrographs of MCM-41/co-(PPy-Tp) are presented in Fig. 2. From Fig. $S1(a)$, the surface of acidied diatomite is smooth and tidy with uniform pore structure.²⁵ After CTAB modification and calcination, the acidic diatomite was transformed into a curved sheet-like mesoporous molecular sieve MCM-41 (Fig. $S1(b)$) with a huge specific surface area (BET, 779.6 m² g⁻¹).²⁶

As exhibited in Fig. $S1(c)$,† after polymerization and modification with pyrrole and thiophene, the surface of MCM-41 is covered with a layer of dense flocculent particles. Fig. $2(a)$ and (c) are TEM images of MCM-41/co-(PPy-Tp). It is obvious that the smaller size MCM-41 after ultrasonic peeling is covered by a dense polymer layer, which proves that the polymers of pyrrole and thiophene were successfully loaded on the surface of MCM-41. In Fig. 2(b) and (d), it can clearly see the regular stripes of MCM-41/co-(PPy-Tp), which proves that the as-prepared sample has a relatively regular structure and a long-range ordered structure with one-dimensional channels.¹⁷ Moreover, the pore size distribution of the as-prepared material is uniform and large, about 1.5–4 nm, which is consistent with results of the N_2 physical adsorption and desorption test. RSC Advances Control and the solution was filtered with molecular since November 2022. Published on the solution of the Creative intervention of the Creative Commons are the common and molecular since the Creative Commons

3.1.2 XRD study. Fig. 3(a) and (b) depict the XRD analysis of DMTs, MCM-41 and MCM-41/co-(PPy-Tp). From Fig. 3(a), it can

Fig. 3 XRD images of DMTs, MCM-41 and MCM-41/co-(PPy-Tp).

Fig. 2 TEM images of MCM-41/co-(PPy-Tp).

be seen that DMTs has an obvious broad peak at 20–30°.²⁷ In addition, there is a sharp peak at 2θ of 26.6°, indicating the presence of a small amount of crystalline silica.²⁸ In Fig. $3(b)$, three characteristic peaks in MCM-41 at 2.4°, 4.3° and 4.8° can be found and belong to (100) , (110) and (200) reflections, which are the diffraction peaks of typical MCM-41.²⁹

Moreover, the peak of MCM-41/co-(PPy-Tp) still exist in view of the amorphous nature of conducting polymers compared with MCM-41, indicating that the polymerization reaction did not change the phase composition.

3.1.3 FT-IR study. Fig. 4 shows the FT-IR images of DMTs, MCM-41 and MCM-41/co-(PPy-Tp). In the case of DMTs, the characteristic peaks at 1095 cm⁻¹ and 793 cm⁻¹ are related to the stretching vibration of Si–OH.³⁰ Compared with DMTs, the positions of several main characteristic peaks of mesoporous molecular sieve MCM-41 have not been changed significantly.

For the MCM-41/co-(PPy-Tp), the peaks at around 1701 cm^{-1} and 1180 cm⁻¹ are attributed to the C=O and C-OH bonds. indicating the existence of carbonyls and hydroxyls. Moreover, the four characteristic peaks at 1564 cm^{-1} , 1469 cm^{-1} , 968 cm^{-1} and 936 cm^{-1} can be assigned to C–S and C–N bending vibration of the co-(PPy-Tp) (copolymer of pyrrole monomer (Py) and thiophene (Tp)). All of the results confirm that pyrrole and thiophene were successfully introduced onto the surface of MCM-41.

3.1.4 BET study. Generally, the adsorption capacity of an adsorbent is related to the surface functionality and structural parameters.^{31,32} The typical nitrogen adsorption-desorption isotherms of three materials are presented in Fig. 5.

It is observed that the as-prepared materials can be classified as type II, and their pore size distributions are 3–10 nm based on the Barret–Joyner–Halenda (BJH) model (Table 1) and the definition from International Union of Pure and Applied Chemistry (IUPAC). Hence, the synthesized three materials are mesoporous. However, MCM-41 prepared with purified diatomite exhibits a typical type IV. The isotherm adsorption can be

Fig. 5 Adsorption isotherms of DMTs (a), MCM-41 (b) and MCM-41/co-(PPy-Tp) (c).

Table 1 Isothermal data of as-prepared materials

Adsorbents	BET $(m^2 g^{-1})$	Pore volume $\rm (cm^3 \, g^{-1})$	Pore size (nm)
DMTs	81.36	0.027	7.01
MCM-41	779.60	0.455	3.14
$MCM-41/co-PPy-Tp$	328.35	0.171	6.36

divided into three steps: in the first step, N_2 absorption increases at low P/P_0 due to monolayer formation. After monolayer formation, capillary condensation leads to a sharp increase in N_2 absorption. At high P/P_0 , there is a clear hysteresis ring, indicating the presence of mesosphere.³³

After the modification and grafting, MCM-41/co-(PPy-Tp) has a lower hysteresis loops. Moreover, the surface areas and pore volume are obviously decreased as the mesoporous material is constant modified, grafted and cross-linked with pyrrole and thiophene. The reason is that the cross-linked process of diatomite based mesoporous molecular sieve MCM-41 produces new macropores, leading to a sudden increase in the pore size of MCM-41/co-(PPy-Tp). The result is consistent with the data of N2 adsorption–desorption isotherm.

3.1.5 XPS study. To further analyze the surface properties and investigate the valence states of surface elements of MCM-41/co-(PPy-Tp) composite, XPS spectra were utilized and depicted in Fig. 6. From Fig. 6(a), the appearance of N 1s, S 2s and S

Fig. 6 XPS survey scan (a); high-resolution scan of C 1s (b), O 1s (c), Si 2p (d), N 1s (e), and S 2p (f) of MCM-41/co-(PPy-Tp).

2p peaks reflect that pyrrole and thiophene were successfully introduced onto the surface of MCM-41.

Additionally, the increase of the C 1s peak shows that the surface of as-synthesized materials is surrounded by crosslinked polymers of pyrrole and thiophene. Combined with the EDS results shown in Fig. S2,† the successful introduction of polymers on MCM-41 was proved.

Fig. 6(b) exhibits four major peaks at around 287.8 eV, 285.78 eV, 284.85 eV, and 284.04 eV, attributed to C–O, C–S, $C=C$ and N– $C=O$ bonds, severally. Based on the O 1s spectra in Fig. 6(c), the peaks at 531.38 eV, 532.42 eV and 533.4 eV are related to Fe–O, $S=O/C-O$ and Si–O, severally.

Combined with the binding energy of O 1s, it can be known that the binding energy of Si 2p at 103.49 eV in Fig. 6(d) is attributed to Si–O in the MCM-41. As noted in Fig. 6(e), the N 1s component peaks at 399.26 eV, 399.79 eV, 400.39 eV and 401.9 eV are attributed to C=N, N–H, C–N⁺ polaron and C=N⁺ bipolaron, respectively. In Fig. 6(f), the S 2p spectrum contain three peaks at 163.54, 164.65 and 168.05 eV: the former two can be attributed to S $2p_{3/2}$ and S $2p_{1/2}$ of thiophene ring; the latter is attributed to oxysulfide, which is responsible for the shifting of S $2p_{1/2}$ to a high position.

3.1.6 Zeta potential study. Zeta potential is often used to evaluate the influence of solution pH over the surface change.³⁴ Fig. 7 shows the zeta potential of MCM-41/co-(PPy-Tp) under

Fig. 7 Changes of zeta potential of MCM-41/co-(PPy-Tp).

various pH values. It is not difficult to find that the zeta potential values of the MCM-41/co-(PPy-Tp) are negative at pH of 2–8. The negative charges on the material surface are resulted from the dissociation of functional groups on the particle surface. Although the H^+ concentration is high at low pH, the measurement result shows that the material surface is negatively charged. The result indicates that the material surface does not being completely protonated at the low pH. With the increase of solution pH, the zeta potential of the material decreases gradually due to deprotonation, resulted in the increasing amount of negative charges on the material surface. Paper

22 peaks reflect that provide and highelen were successfully various private. It is not differed in the school
since in the school since the schoo

Hence, it can be concluded that the surface of MCM-41/co- (PPy-Tp) is very favourable for the removal of $Hg(\Pi)$ ions. In addition, the electronegativity on the surface of MCM-41/co- (PPy-Tp) continues to increase with the increasing pH. Under the action of electrostatic attraction, the adsorbent has further affinity to $Hg(n)$.

3.2 Adsorption Performance

3.2.1 Effect of pH. The morphologies of $Hg(n)$ at various pH varying of 2–10 are simulated with Visual MINTEQ 3.0 and the simulated results are represented in Fig. $8(a)$.³⁵ From Fig. $8(a)$, it can be seen that $Hg(n)$ has various existence morphologies at different pH conditions. If the solution pH is below 5, the main morphology of Hg (n) in water is Hg (n) . However, the amount of $Hg(\pi)$ substantially decrease with the increasing pH due to the processes of hydrolysis and precipitation. Hence, solution pH is a crucial parameter for the removal of Hg(π).

Fig. 8(b) presents the results of pH on the removal of $Hg(II)$ by MCM-41, co-(PPy-Tp) and MCM-41/co-(PPy-Tp). It can be seen that the MCM-41 material has almost no mercury absorption properties and the polymer of co-(PPy-Tp) has a high adsorption capacity of 229.4 mg g^{-1} . After copolymerizing pyrrole monomer (Py) and thiophene (Tp) onto the MCM-41, the adsorption capacity of MCM-41/co-(PPy-Tp) towards $Hg(\pi)$ is significantly increased in the optimal pH range. Simultaneously, it also can find that adsorption capacity of Hg(π) is the lowest at pH = 2 due to the H^+ competition for adsorption sites.^{36,37}

However, as the solution pH increases continuously, the concentration of H^+ ions in the solution gradually decreases, and the H^+ in the –NH– group in the polymer molecular chain is

Fig. 8 Morphologies of Hg(II) at various pH (a) and pH effects over Hg(II) removal with MCM-41, co-(PPy-Tp) and MCM-41/co-(PPy-Tp) (b) (dosage = 0.05 g L⁻¹, $C_0 = 50$ mg L⁻¹, t = 9 h, T = 298 K).

Table 2 Fitting results of four models

RSC Advances						
						Paper
Source	Table 2 Fitting results of four models Std. dev	\mathbb{R}^2	Adjusted R^2	Predicted R^2	Press	
Linear	49.45	0.6452	0.5884	0.5290	81 150	
2FI	50.73	0.7162	0.5669	0.5260	81674	
Quadratic	2.50	0.9995	0.9990	0.9972	474	Suggested
Cubic	1.85	0.9999	0.9994	0.9923	1329	Aliased
	dissociated. Meanwhile, the $Hg(\pi)$ ions in the solution is exactly shared with the N atom in the -N=C- group lone pair of elec- trons, thereby forming a new stable complex with $Hg(n)$ ions. Ultimately, a highly effective removal of $Hg(n)$ ions is achieved and the optimal adsorption capacities of MCM-41/co-(PPy-Tp) for Hg(π) achieve 463.11 mg g ⁻¹ at pH = 7. 3.2.2 RSM design. To optimize the conditions and factors of the experiments, the inherent relationship among the adsorption capacity and the four factors of pH (A), temperature $T(B)$, initial concentration of $C_0(C)$ and dosage (D) was in-depth investigated. Design-Expert 11 was applied to a Central Composite Design (CCD) matrix under the conditions of $pH =$	5-9, $T = 25$ °C-45 °C, $C_0 = 20$ -60 mg L ⁻¹ and dosage of 0.040.08 $g L^{-1}$. The test was implemented with 29 runs and the		when the solution pH is low, the high level of H^+ concentration in the solution competes with $Hg(\pi)$ ions for adsorption sites. Meanwhile, the influence of temperature on the adsorption capacity is far less than that of solution pH. Moreover, it is not difficult to find that the <i>F</i> value of model <i>AB</i> (pH \sim <i>T</i>) is 669.3 from Table $S2$, \dagger and the <i>P</i> -value is less than 0.0001, indicating that the influence of solution pH and temperature over the removal of $Hg(\pi)$ is both remarkable. The combined effect of model AC (pH $\sim C_0$) on q_e was investigated at $T = 35$ °C and dosage of 0.06 g L ⁻¹ . In Fig. 9(b), when solution pH is 7-9 and C_0 is 37-47 mg L ⁻¹ , MCM-41/co- (PPy-Tp) exhibits the best adsorption performance. The reason is that the adsorption capacity of MCM-41/co-(PPy-Tp) can reach		

ANOVA data³⁸ was used to reflect the correlation degree among the independent variables and q_e and is expressed in quadratic form, as follows:

 $q_e = 469.67 + 63.96 \times A + 13.54 \times B + 17.62 \times C + 7.46 \times D +$ $15.31 \times AB + 16.81 \times AC - 10.56 \times AD - 6.94 \times BC - 9.31 \times$ $BD - 1.06 \times CD - 37.07 \times A^2 - 9.95 \times B^2 - 20.32 \times C^2 - 18.70$
 $\times D^2$ (1) $\times D^2$ (1)

 $q_e = -3323.83 + 471.9167 \times pH + 25.85 \times T + 11.74583 \times C_0 +$ 37520.93 Dosage + 3.0625 \times pH \times T + 1.68125 \times pH \times C₀ – $1056.25 \times pH \times Dosage - 0.13875 \times T \times C_0 - 186.25 \times T \times$ Dosage – 10.625 × C_0 × Dosage – 37.07292 × pH² – 0.397917

× T^2 –0.203229 × C_0^2 – 186979 × Dosage² (2) $\times T^2$ –0.203229 $\times C_0^2$ – 186979 \times Dosage² (2)

Fig. 9(a) is the 3D contour map between pH and temperature at $C_0 = 40 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$ and dosage of 0.06 g L^{-1} . It can be seen that when pH is in the range of 7.0–8.5, and the temperature is changed from 35 °C to 45 °C, the adsorption performance of MCM-41/co-(PPy-Tp) gets better. Conversely, when the pH of the solution is less than 6.5 and the solution temperature is less than 30 \degree C, the adsorption capacity of MCM-41/co-(PPy-Tp) is relatively small. The results can be assigned to the fact that

The combined effect of model $BC(T \sim C_0)$ on the q_e at pH = 7 and dosage = 0.06 g L⁻¹ is displayed in Fig. 9(d). From the contour map, it is not difficult to find that the q_e of MCM-41/co-(PPy-Tp) for mercury ions also increases with the increasing temperature, which implies that the adsorption process is endothermic.

Fig. 9(e) expresses the joint effect of model *BD* ($T \sim$ dosage) on q_e at pH = 7 and $C_0 = 40$ mg L^{-1} . The findings reveal that low temperature and low dosage are not favourable for the removal of Hg(π) ions. The F-value (247.53) and low P-value (<0.0001) indicate that the model BD is momentous, and the results are presented in Table S2.†

The dependence of q_e on the effect of model CD (C₀ \sim dosage) towards pH = 7 and $T = 35$ °C is shown in Fig. 9(f). When C_0 is 35–53 mg L⁻¹, and the adsorbent dosage is in the region of 0.058–0.07 $\rm g\,L^{-1},$ the performance of MCM-41/co-(PPy-Tp) is better. In Table S2,† both the ^F-value (3.22) and ^P-value (0.0928) suggest that the combination of model CD on the performance of the MCM-41/co-(PPy-Tp) is insignificant.

To summarize, MCM-41/co-(PPy-Tp) has an optimal adsorption capacity q_e of 537.15 mg g⁻¹ at pH = 7.1, T = 37.9 °C, $C_0 = 45.1$ mg L⁻¹ and dosage of 0.064 g L⁻¹.

Fig. 9 3D contour between the variable and q_e : pH $\sim T$ (a); pH $\sim C_0$ (b); pH \sim dosage (c); $T \sim C_0$ (d); $T \sim$ dosage (e); $C_0 \sim$ dosage (f)

3.3 Adsorption kinetics

Fig. 10(a) shows the relationship between reaction time and the removal of Hg (n) by MCM-41/co-(PPy-Tp) under selected conditions. The data were fitted with nonlinear pseudo-firstorder (PFO), pseudo-second-order (PSO), intra-particle diffusion (IPD), Elovich and two constant equation (TCE) models [eqn (S1)–(S5)†]. The corresponding results can be found in Fig. $10(b)-10(d)$ and Table 3.

From Fig. 10(a), the adsorption is very fast in the initial 60 min, and the adsorption equilibrium with a maximum adsorption capacity of 330.5 mg g^{-1} is reached after about 300 min. MCM-41/co-(PPy-Tp) provides a large number of active sites during the initial adsorption stage, resulted in rapid electrostatic attraction and coordination chelation between divalent mercury and adsorbent. However, as the adsorption process goes on, the adsorption rate decreases slowly. The reason is that a large number of active sites are occupied. $Hg(u)$ ions slowly migrate to the surface of the mesoporous molecular sieve through intragranular diffusion, and then slowly adsorb with the O[−] ionized by hydroxyl.

With the increase of adsorption time, both the concentration of divalent mercury in the solution and adsorption efficiency decreases continuously. Then the adsorption is slowly adsorbed until the adsorption equilibrium is reached at about 540 min. The obtained adsorption capacity is 463.11 mg g^{-1} .

As illustrated in Fig. 10(b) and (c) and Table 3, the PSO model is more suitable to characterize the removal behaviour of $Hg(n)$ by MCM-41/co-(PPy-Tp) compared with other four adsorption kinetic models (PFO, Elovich and TCE), and the theoretical adsorption capacity of q_e is 495.63 mg g^{-1} . The results demonstrate that the adsorption of mercury on MCM-41/co- (PPy-Tp) is a chemical process.

Fig. $10(d)$ is the fitting result of IPD model. The entire process includes three stages: (i) large pore diffusion: matching with rapid adsorption and large K_{d1} value; (ii) microporous diffusion: matching with medium adsorption and K_{d2} value; (iii) equilibrium adsorption: matching with slow adsorption and minimal K_{d3} value. Based on the values of K_{di} at each stage, the right conclusion is that the adsorption rate gradually decreases with the progress of reaction, suggesting that the adsorption behaviour is mainly controlled by the first two stages.

3.4 Adsorption isotherms

The relationship between the equilibrium concentration $(C_e$, mg L⁻¹) and the q_e can be characterized with essential isothermal models, including Langmuir, Freundlich, Temkin and Dubinin–Radushkevich (D–R) models [eqn (S6)–(S9)†].

From Fig. 11(a), the value of q_e increase with the increasing solution temperature, suggesting that increasing temperature favours the adsorption. From Fig. 11(b) and Table 4, it can be found that the R^2 fitted with Langmuir model is the highest in the four kinetic models, illustrating that the adsorption process of $Hg(\pi)$ on MCM-41/co-(PPy-Tp) involves chemical adsorption (a single-layer adsorption), and the result is in accord with the kinetic data. Additionally, the maximum adsorption capacity (Q_m) of MCM-41/co-(PPy-Tp) towards Hg(II) reaches 533.57 mg

Fig. 10 q_t vs. reaction time (a); PFO and PSO kinetics (b); elovich and TCE kinetics (c); IPD kinetics (d) (dosage = 0.05 g L⁻¹, pH = 7, C₀ = 50 mg L⁻¹, T = 298 K).

 g^{-1} at 298 K, which is close the optimized results (537.15 mg) $\rm g^{-1})$ of RSM and higher than the adsorption capacities of other composites and adsorbents alone in the literature (Table 5).

From Fig. 11(c) and Table 4, it can be known that the value of $1/n$ in Freundlich model is less than 1, indicating a favourable adsorption. Meanwhile, the values of R^2 and K_T in Temkin model (Fig. 11(d)) are high, revealing a strong interaction between MCM-41/co-(PPy-Tp) and $Hg(\pi)$ ions. And the result is in accord with the conclusion of the pH research. Increasing b_T value implies the increase of q_e with ascending temperature. The result also verifies that the higher the temperature, the more favourable the adsorption of $Hg(\pi)$, which verifies the correctness and rationality of RSM optimization.

In D–R model, average adsorption energy per mole $(E, kJ \text{ mol}^{-1})$ is an essential index to distinguish physical adsorption or chemical adsorption. All of the E values are significantly greater than 16 kJ mol $^{-1}$, so the adsorption process is attributed to chemical adsorption.

Fig. 11 Isotherm curves of Hg(II) on MCM-41/co-(PPy-Tp) (a), Langmuir (b), Freundlich (c), Temkin (d) and D–R models (e) (dosage = 0.05 g L⁻¹, t $=$ 9 h, pH $=$ 7, $C_0 = 20-60$ mg L⁻¹).

3.5 Thermodynamics

Temperature is one of the most vital parameters for materials to adsorb heavy metals.⁵² The thermodynamic adsorption behaviours of MCM-41/co-(PPy-Tp) for $Hg(n)$ were investigated at 298 K, 308 K and 318 K. Thermodynamic parameters including standard enthalpy (ΔH^0) , standard entropy (ΔS^0) and Gibbs free energy (ΔG^0) were calculated with eqn (S10) and (S11),† and the
calculated results are listed in Table 6. calculated results are listed in Table 6.

From Table 6 and Fig. 12, ΔH^0 are all positive at three C₀, implying that the adsorption reaction of $Hg(II)$ onto MCM-41/co-(PPy-Tp) is endothermic. The ΔS^0 values are also positive, which implies the increase of randomness at the interface between $Hg(\Pi)$ and the adsorbent surface. Meanwhile, as temperature rises, the ΔG^0 value decreases and the spontaneity of the reaction increases, indicating that the rise in temperature promotes the progress of the reaction. In addition, combined with the values of average adsorption energy E and Gibbs free energy ΔG

Table 4 Fitting results of isotherm models

T(K) 298 308 318	$Q_{\rm m}$ (mg g^{-1}) 533.57	$K_{\rm L}$ (L mg ⁻¹) 0.097	R^2	SSE	RMSE						
						C_0 (mg L^{-1})	ΔH^0 (kJ $mol-1$	ΔS^0 (J $\mathrm{mol}^{-1} \mathrm{K}^{-1}$	298 K	308 K	318 K
	577.26 593.77	0.263 0.607	0.9922 0.9341 0.9943	7.444 65.009 6.053	1.220 3.606 1.100	40 50 60	15.60 8.80 8.64	77.59 52.54 50.03	-7.52 -6.86 -6.27	-8.29 -7.38 -6.77	-9.07 -7.91 -7.27
Freundlich T(K) 298 308 318	$K_{\rm F}$ $(L^n$ mg ⁿ⁻¹ g ⁻¹) 157.75 239.48 274.84	$1/n_F$ 0.299 0.204 0.193	R^2 0.9468 0.8957 0.9752	SSE 70.892 152.099 39.54	RMSE 3.765 5.515 2.812		3.5				
Temkin T(K) 298 308 318	$b_{\rm T}$ $K_{\rm T}$ 1.789 3.216 4.616	R^2 1.467 6.733 21.410	0.9749 0.9276 0.9881	SSE 33.632 105.508 18.641	RMSE 2.594 4.594 1.931	$\mathop{\rm ln}\nolimits_{K_{\rm d}}$	3.0		$C_0 \rtimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{PQ}}_{\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{L}}}}$ $C_{\!o}\!\!\approx\!\!50\,m_{\!g\!f\!f}$		
$D-R$ T(K) 298 308 318	$Q_{\rm m}$ (mg g^{-1}) 473.902 477.570 494.546	E (kJ mol ⁻¹) 294.985 445.236 607.533	R^2 0.906 0.619 0.962	SSE 75.535 458.538 54.924	RMSE 3.887 9.576 3.314		2.5 0.0031	0.0032 1/T Fig. 12 Thermodynamic results with MCM-41/co-(PPy-Tp) (dosage =	$C_0 \approx 60$ mg/L 0.0033	0.0034	

Table 6 Adsorption thermodynamic parameters

			ΔG^0 (kJ mol ⁻¹)		
C_0 (mg L^{-1})	ΔH^0 (kJ	ΔS^0 (J mol^{-1}) $mol^{-1} K^{-1}$)	298 K	308 K	318 K
40	15.60	77.59	-7.52	-8.29	-9.07
50	8.80	52.54	-6.86	-7.38	-7.91
60	8.64	50.03	-6.27	-6.77	-7.27

Fig. 12 Thermodynamic results with MCM-41/co-(PPy-Tp) (dosage $=$ 0.05 g L⁻¹, pH = 7, t = 9 h, C₀ = 40–60 mg L⁻¹).

3.6 Reusability evaluation

The reusability is an important index to judge the performance of synthesized adsorbents.⁵³ According to the research by Lawrence A^{54} et at., five eluents including 0.1 M HCl, 5 wt% EDTA, 5 wt% EDTA + 0.1 M HCl, 5 wt% thiourea and 5 wt% thiourea +

0.1 M HCl were adopted as the desorption solvent to recover $Hg(II)$ from the used adsorbents.

Fig. 13 Influence of different desorbents on MCM-41/co-(PPy-Tp) (a), regeneration cycle of MCM-41/co-(PPy-Tp) (b) (dosage = 0.05 g L⁻¹, pH $=$ 7, t $=$ 9 h, $C_0 = 50$ mg L⁻¹, T $=$ 298 K).

can reach 98.76%. The reason is that the strong acid desorption solution can release large amounts of $H⁺$ that can compete with $Hg(\pi)$ for the adsorption sites on the adsorbent. Meanwhile, $Hg(\pi)$ can closely chelate with the thiourea, leading to a greatly increases of desorption.

As depicted in Fig. $13(b)$, five cycles of adsorption-desorption experiments of $Hg(II)$ on MCM-41/co-(PPy-Tp) were carried out. The $Hg(n)$ ions adsorption capacity using MCM-41/co-(PPy-Tp) still maintain over 86.97% in the fifth cycle. Taking into account the characteristics of high adsorption capacity and easy regeneration, MCM-41/co-(PPy-Tp) is expected to be an adsorbent with practical application prospects.

3.7 Application evaluation

To in depth explore the application possibility in the treatment of actual wastewater, the as-prepared MCM-41/co-(PPy-Tp) was applied to treat the actual pre-treated electroplating effluent. The wastewater sample was obtained from an electroplating enterprise in Kunshan city of Jiangsu Province.

The heavy metal ions in the employed electroplating wastewater mainly involve Cr(v1) (8.6 mg L^{−1}), Cd(11) (12.2 mg L^{−1}),

Fig. 14 Application of MCM-41/co-(PPy-Tp) in actual electroplating wastewater (dosage = 0.05 g L $^{-1}$, pH = 7.2, t = 12 h, T = 298 K, MCM-41/co-(PPy-Tp)).

Ni(п) (11.8 mg L $^{-1}$), Cu(п) (8.7 mg L $^{-1}$), Zn(п) (5.5 mg L $^{-1}$) and Hg(π) (7.3 mg L⁻¹). The Total Oxygen Demand (TOD) is 55.1 mg L⁻¹. The adopted dosage was 0.05 g L⁻¹. And the removal results of $Hg(\pi)$ are presented in Fig. 14.

From Fig. 14, the removal efficiency of $Hg(II)$ achieves 99.3% and the content of $Hg(II)$ after treatment with MCM-41/co-(PPy-Tp) is below 0.1 mg $\text{L}^{-1},$ which is absolutely met the criterion of "Emission Standard of Pollutants for Electroplating" (GB 21900- 2008). The result indicates that the diatomite-based mesoporous materials of MCM-41/co-(PPy-Tp) has highly selective adsorption for $Hg(II)$ in the actual application and is a quite promising adsorbent.

3.8 Mechanism speculation

According to the results of kinetics and isotherms, the efficient adsorption of mercury ions on MCM-41/co-(PPy-Tp) belongs to chemical adsorption and monolayer adsorption. As depicted in Fig. $15(a)$, after adsorbing mercury ions, the characteristic peaks of C=O, C=N and N–H at 1701 $\rm cm^{-1}$, 1469 $\rm cm^{-1}$ and 968 $\rm cm^{-1}$ almost disappear and meanwhile the characteristic peak of C–S is shifted to some extent. The fact implies that $Hg(\pi)$ has a strong interaction with the above chemical groups.

Fig. $15(b)$ is the XPS survey of the adsorbent before and after adsorption. Obviously, the peaks of O 1s, N 1s and S 2p are all weakened and some new peaks such as Hg $4f_{5/2}$ (105.28 eV) and Hg $4f_{7/2}$ (101.3 eV) (Fig. 15(c)) appear after adsorption, demonstrating that $Hg(n)$ is successfully adsorbed onto MCM-41/co-(PPy-Tp).

Fig. 15(d) is the high-resolution N 1s spectrum. The peak of $C=N⁺$ bipolaron almost disappear and the characteristic peaks of C=N, N–H and C–N⁺ polaron are all shifted, indicating a strong interaction between N atom and $Hg(n)$.

The deconvolution of O 1s of MCM-41/co-(PPy-Tp) and MCM- 41 /co-(PPy-Tp)/Hg(π) are presented in Fig. 15(e). Compared with $MCM-41/co$ -(PPy-Tp), the corresponding peaks of O 1s shift to a low energy position after adsorption, suggesting a forceful interaction between $Hg(II)$ and oxygen atoms.

Fig. 15(f) presents the deconvolution of S 2p spectra before and after adsorption. The peak in C–S bonds (168.25 eV) shifts to a higher binding energy position, implying that a part of C–S

Fig. 15 FT-IR (a) and XPS survey scan (b); high-resolution scan of Hg 4f (c), N 1s (d), O 1s (e) and S 2p (f) of MCM-41/co-(PPy-Tp) before and after adsorption.

bonds transforms to C–S–Hg; The other two binding energies $(164.34 \text{ eV}$ and $163.38 \text{ eV})$ shift to lower binding energy in comparison with before adsorption, indicating that S atoms participate in the chelation process with $Hg(u)$.

In view of the XPS results, all of the three principal heteroatoms (N, O and S) in MCM-41/co-(PPy-Tp) may be involved in the adsorption of Hg(π). In addition, the MCM-41/co-(PPy-Tp)

has excellent stabilization based on the XPS and FT-IR data before and after adsorption.

Taking into account the characterization analysis above, the possible mechanism of $Hg(II)$ adsorption onto MCM-41/co-(PPy-Tp) can be attributed to several multi-interactions, as illustrated in Fig. 16.

Fig. 16 Schematic diagram of Hg(II) adsorption mechanism with MCM-41/co-(PPy-Tp).

In acidic and neutral solutions, mercury ions mainly exist in the form of Hg^{2+} and $Hg(OH)^+$, and they can form stable complexes through complexation with N or S atoms in MCM-41/ co-(PPy-Tp) to achieve adsorption. As the active sites are slowly captured, mercury ions migrate into the surface of diatomitebased mesoporous molecular sieve through intra-particle diffusion, and then combine with the O[−] ionized by the silanol.

4. Conclusions

In the present work, a recyclable diatomite-based mesoporous materials of MCM-41/co-(PPy-Tp) was successfully synthetised by an effortless and green method and shows excellent adsorption performance for aqueous $Hg(\Pi)$. The optimal results via the RSM and CCD method reveal that the optimal adsorption capacity of MCM-41/co-(PPy-Tp) to Hg(π) was 537.15 mg g⁻¹ at pH = 7.1, T = 37.9 °C, C_0 = 45.1 mg L⁻¹ and dosage of 0.064 g L^{-1} . The adsorption process is fitted welled with the Langmuir and pseudo-second-order models, manifesting that monolayer chemisorption is a rate control step. The adsorption of $Hg(II)$ onto MCM-41/co-(PPy-Tp) is mainly associated with electrostatic attraction and surface chelation. Besides, the asdeveloped MCM-41/co-(PPy-Tp) adsorbent displays excellent recyclability and stabilization. The application of MCM-41/co- (PPy-Tp) in the treatment of actual electroplating wastewater exhibits excellent removal ability to $Hg(\pi)$ ions. All the results demonstrate that the as-prepared functionalized diatomitebased mesoporous materials of MCM-41/co-(PPy-Tp) is a promising adsorbent for mitigating mercury pollution in water.

Conflicts of interest

The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 51578354), Postgraduate Research & Practice Innovation Program of Jiangsu Province (KYCX21_3023) and Zhangjiagang Science and Technology Bureau (ZKCXY2113). The authors also appreciate the support from Mr Hongjie Cao (Jiangsu Ruoyan Environmental Design Co., Ltd.) for the characterization of materials.

References

- 1 X. Li, W. Ma, H. Li, Q. Zhang and H. Liu, Coord. Chem. Rev., 2020, 408, 213191.
- 2 L. Fu, S. Wang, G. Lin, L. Zhang, Q. Liu, J. Fang, C. Wei and G. Liu, J. Hazard. Mater., 2019, 368, 42–51.
- 3 Y. Huang, Y. Gong, J. Tang and S. Xia, J. Hazard. Mater., 2019, 366, 130–139.
- 4 C. Long, X. Li, Z. Jiang, P. Zhang, Z. Qing, T. Qing and B. Feng, J. Hazard. Mater., 2021, 413, 125470.
- 5 M. Wang, Y. Li, D. Zhao, L. Zhuang, G. Yang and Y. Gong, Chem. Eng. J., 2020, 381, 122664.
- 6 R. Shrestha, S. Ban, S. Devkota, S. Sharma, R. Joshi, A. P. Tiwari, H. Y. Kim and M. K. Joshi, J. Environ. Chem. Eng., 2021, 9, 105688.
- 7 D. Dai, J. Yang, Y. Wang and Y. W. Yang, Adv. Funct. Mater., 2020, 31, 2006168.
- 8 L. Huang, M. He, B. Chen and B. Hu, J. Mater. Chem. A, 2015, 3, 11587–11595.
- 9 M. H. Sadeghi, M. A. Tofighy and T. Mohammadi, Chemosphere, 2020, 253, 126647.
- 10 S. Li, H. Xu, L. Wang, L. Ji, X. Li, Z. Qu and N. Yan, J. Hazard. Mater., 2021, 403, 123940.
- 11 Y. Kobayashi, F. Ogata, C. Saenjum, T. Nakamura and N. Kawasaki, Sustainability, 2021, 13, 4269.
- 12 J. Kim and S.-Y. Kwak, Chem. Eng. J., 2017, 313, 975–982.
- 13 A. Saad, I. Bakas, J.-Y. Piquemal, S. Nowak, M. Abderrabba and M. M. Chehimi, Appl. Surf. Sci., 2016, 367, 181–189.
- 14 Y. Shen, N. Jiang, S. Liu, C. Zheng, X. Wang, T. Huang, Y. Guo and R. Bai, J. Environ. Chem. Eng., 2018, 6, 5420–5433.
- 15 Y. Fu, C. Yang, Y. Zheng, J. Jiang, Y. Sun, F. Chen and J. Hu, J. Mol. Liq., 2021, 328, 115420.
- 16 Z.-H. Yu, S.-R. Zhai, H. Guo, T.-M. lv, Y. Song, F. Zhang and H.-C. Ma, J. Sol-Gel Sci. Technol., 2018, 88, 541–550.
- 17 Z. Yu, Y. Wang, X. Liu, J. Sun, G. Sha, J. Yang and C. Meng, Mater. Lett., 2014, 119, 150–153.
- 18 J. Jin, J. Ouyang and H. Yang, Appl. Clay Sci., 2014, 99, 246– 253.
- 19 S. Wu, C. Wang, Y. Jin, G. Zhou, L. Zhang, P. Yu and L. Sun, J. Colloid Interface Sci., 2021, 582, 1179–1190.
- 20 H. Tian, J. Guo, Z. Pang, M. Hu and J. He, Nanoscale, 2020, 12, 16543–16555.
- 21 M. Zhu, W. Zhang, Y. Li, L. Gai, J. Zhou and W. Ma, J. Mater. Chem. A, 2016, 4, 19060–19069.
- 22 Y. Guo, J. Deng, J. Zhu, C. Zhou, C. Zhou, X. Zhou and R. Bai, RSC Adv., 2016, 6, 39762–39773.
- 23 K. Xia, Y. Guo, Q. Shao, Q. Zan and R. Bai, Nanomaterials, 2019, 9, 1532.
- 24 M. Ahmad, J. Wang, Z. Yang, Q. Zhang and B. Zhang, Chem. Eng. J., 2020, 389, 124441.
- 25 Y. Wang, Y. Zhang and J. Wang, J. Membr. Sci., 2020, 615, 118559.
- 26 R. M. M. Aboelenin, N. A. Fathy, H. K. Farag and M. A. Sherief, J. Water Proc. Eng., 2017, 19, 112–119.
- 27 Z. Jia, T. Li, Z. Zheng, J. Zhang, J. Liu, R. Li, Y. Wang, X. Zhang, Y. Wang and C. Fan, Chem. Eng. J., 2020, 380, 122422.
- 28 C. Xiong, Q. Ren, S. Chen, X. Liu, Z. Jin and Y. Ding, Mater. Today Commun., 2021, 28, 102695.
- 29 X. Li, T. Lu, Y. Wang and Y. Yang, Chin. Chem. Lett., 2019, 30, 2318–2322.
- 30 Y. Fu, J. Jiang, Z. Chen, S. Ying, J. Wang and J. Hu, J. Mol. Liq., 2019, 286, 110746.
- 31 X. Zhang, Y. Yang, X. Lv, Y. Wang, N. Liu, D. Chen and L. Cui, J. Hazard. Mater., 2019, 366, 140–150.
- 32 W. Xiong, Z. Zeng, G. Zeng, Z. Yang, R. Xiao, X. Li, J. Cao, C. Zhou, H. Chen, M. Jia, Y. Yang, W. Wang and X. Tang, Chem. Eng. J., 2019, 374, 91–99.
- 33 G. Chaudhary and A. P. Singh, Inorg. Chem. Commun., 2021, 133, 108861.
- 34 R. H. Liang, Y. Li, L. Huang, X. D. Wang, X. X. Hu, C. M. Liu, M. S. Chen and J. Chen, Carbohydr. Polym., 2020, 234, 115911.
- 35 X. Wang, Z. Zhang, Y. Zhao, K. Xia, Y. Guo, Z. Qu and R. Bai, Nanomaterials, 2018, 8, 637.
- 36 E. I. Nosike, Z. Jiang, L. Miao, O. U. Akakuru, B. Yuan, S. Wu, Y. Zhang, Y. Zhang and A. Wu, J. Hazard. Mater., 2020, 392, 122288.
- 37 L. Zhang, J. Zhang, X. Li, C. Wang, A. Yu, S. Zhang, G. Ouyang and Y. Cui, Appl. Surf. Sci., 2021, 538, 148054.
- 38 Z. Lin, Z. Pan, Y. Zhao, L. Qian, J. Shen, K. Xia, Y. Guo and Z. Qu, Nanomaterials, 2020, 10, 1370.
- 39 Z. Zhu, X. Yang, L.-N. He and W. Li, RSC Adv., 2012, 2, 1088– 1095.
- 40 W. Liang, M. Li, Z. Zhang, Y. Jiang, M. K. Awasthi, S. Jiang and R. Li, Int. J. Biol. Macromol., 2018, 113, 106–115.
- 41 M. Li, S. Zhang, S. Cui, K. Qin, Y. Zhang, P. Li, Q. Cao, H. Xiao and Q. Zeng, Sep. Purif. Technol., 2021, 277, 119497.
- 42 K. Chen, Z. Zhang, K. Xia, X. Zhou, Y. Guo and T. Huang, ACS Omega, 2019, 4, 8568–8579.
- 43 C. Zhou, H. Zhu, Q. Wang, J. Wang, J. Cheng, Y. Guo, X. Zhou and R. Bai, RSC Adv., 2017, 7, 18466–18479.
- 44 H. Shirzadi and A. Nezamzadeh-Ejhieh, J. Mol. Liq., 2017, 230, 221–229.
- 45 Y. Fu, Y. Huang and J. Hu, R. Soc. Open Sci., 2018, 5, 171927.
- 46 L. Lin and C. Zou, J. Chem. Eng. Data, 2017, 62, 762–772.
- 47 L. Cui, Y. Wang, L. Gao, L. Hu, L. Yan, Q. Wei and B. Du, Chem. Eng. J., 2015, 281, 1–10.
- 48 M. Shafiabadi, A. Dashti and H.-A. Tayebi, Synth. Met., 2016, 212, 154–160.
- 49 N. Safari, K. Ghanemi and F. Buazar, J. Environ. Manage., 2020, 276, 111263.
- 50 B. Geng, Z. Xu, P. Liang, J. Zhang, P. Christie, H. Liu, S. Wu and X. Liu, Int. J. Biol. Macromol., 2021, 190, 170–177.
- 51 M. Naushad, T. Ahamad, G. Sharma, A. a. H. Al-Muhtaseb, A. B. Albadarin, M. M. Alam, Z. A. Alothman, S. M. Alshehri and A. A. Ghfar, Chem. Eng. J., 2016, 300, 306–316. PSC Advances Wexterconds Space (2003) 22 November 2022. Downloaded on 1/24/2023. Downloaded on 1/24/2023. Downloaded on 1/24/2023. Downloaded the interview of Creative Commons, 2022. Although a Creative Commons, 2022. Alth
	- 52 X. Liang, J. Han, Y. Xu, L. Wang, Y. Sun and X. Tan, Appl. Surf. Sci., 2014, 322, 194–201.
	- 53 H. Hamadi, Z. Zanjani and M. Yadollahi, Polyhedron, 2020, 175, 114219.
	- 54 L. A. Limjuco, H. T. Fissaha, H. Kim, G. M. Nisola and W.-J. Chung, ACS Appl. Polym. Mater., 2020, 2, 4677–4689.