Chemical Science

EDGE ARTICLE



Cite this: Chem. Sci., 2022, 13, 13160

All publication charges for this article have been paid for by the Royal Society of Chemistry

Received 2nd September 2022 Accepted 17th October 2022

DOI: 10.1039/d2sc04898a

rsc.li/chemical-science

1. Introduction

Metal–organic frameworks (MOFs) are a class of materials assembled from SBUs and organic ligands according to a certain topological structure.^{1,2} Owing to their nature of large specific surface area, penetrating pore structures, abundant and atomically dispersed coordination unsaturated active sites (CUSs), flexible tunability of the composition and structure, *etc.*, these materials have been extensively studied in molecular storage/sieving,³⁻⁷ catalysis,⁸⁻¹⁰ sensors,¹¹⁻¹³ batteries,^{14,15} and phase transitions.¹⁶⁻¹⁸ During the past six years, over 100 000 MOFs have been collected in the Cambridge Structural

Electrostatic potential-derived charge: a universal OER performance descriptor for MOFs⁺

Xiangdong Xue, ^(D)^a Hongyi Gao, ^(D)*^a Jiangtao Liu,^c Ming Yang,^d Shihao Feng,^a Zhimeng Liu,^a Jing Lin, ^(D)^a Jitti Kasemchainan,^e Linmeng Wang,^a Qilu Jia^a and Ge Wang*^{ab}

Metal–organic frameworks (MOFs) provide opportunities for the design of high-efficiency catalysts attributed to their high compositional and structural tunability. Meanwhile, the huge number of MOFs poses a great challenge to experimental-intensive development of high-performance functional applications. By taking the computationally feasible and structurally representative trigonal prismatic secondary building units (SBUs) of MOFs as the entry point, we introduce a descriptor-based approach for designing high-performance MOFs for the oxygen evolution reaction (OER). The electrostatic potential-derived charge (ESPC) is identified as a robust and universal OER performance descriptor of MOFs, showing a distinct linear relationship with the onset potentials of OER elemental steps. Importantly, we establish an ESPC-based physical pattern of active site–intermediate binding strength, which interprets the rationality of ESPC as an OER performance descriptor. We further reveal that the SBUs with Ni/Cu as active site atoms while Mn/Fe/Co/Ni as spectator atoms have excellent OER activity through the variation pattern of ESPC along with metal composition. The universal correlation between ESPC and OER activity provides a rational rule for designing high-performance MOF-based OER electrocatalysts and can be easily extended to design functional MOFs for a rich variety of catalytic applications.

Database (CSD)^{19,20} and the number keeps on increasing dramatically. This, however, makes it challenging for conventional trial-and-error experiments to identify promising MOFs for high-performance functional applications.

The development of high-throughput computational methods has provided a solution to screen high-performance MOFs efficiently and effectively.21-31 Many studies have focused on the screening of gas storage/sieving,28-30 using computationally cheap characterization for the relevant geometry (pore size distributions, pore diameters, surface area, pore volume, etc.) and molecular-level Grand Canonical Monte Carlo (GCMC)/molecular dynamics (MD) simulations. These efforts have greatly contributed to the development of high-performance MOFs by screening promising materials from tens of thousands or even half a million MOF structures. However, high-throughput computational screening is also called "bruteforce screening,"32 meaning that it can only handle low-cost molecular-level simulations. Even with high-performance supercomputers, it is still difficult to deal with expensive electronic-level calculations. There are few electronic-level screening efforts involving specific catalytic reaction such as photocatalysis²⁷ or thermocatalysis.²²⁻²⁶ Due to the costly quantum mechanics-based computational approach, the electronic structure or reaction barrier can only be calculated for



View Article Online

View Journal | View Issue

[&]quot;Beijing Advanced Innovation Center for Materials Genome Engineering, Beijing Key Laboratory of Function Materials for Molecule & Structure Construction, School of Materials Science and Engineering, University of Science and Technology Beijing, Beijing, 100083, PR China. E-mail: hygao@ustb.edu.cn; gewang@ustb.edu.cn

^bShunde Graduate School, University of Science and Technology Beijing, Shunde, 528399, PR China

State Key Laboratory of Advanced Chemical Power Sources, Guizhou Meiling Power Sources Co., Ltd., Zunyi, Guizhou 563003, PR China

^dDepartment of Applied Physics, The Hong Kong Polytechnic University, Hung Hom, Hong Kong SAR, China

^eDepartment of Chemical Technology, Chulalongkorn University, Bangkok 10330, Thailand

[†] Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. See DOI: https://doi.org/10.1039/d2sc04898a

a few tens to hundreds of MOFs even using an optimized workflow.

Herein, in order to break the barrier of traditional "bruteforce screening" and achieve rational design of MOFs with little computational cost, we develop a promising descriptor-based pathway. Specifically, the pivotal reaction driving the transformation of energy structure, like the OER, was chosen as the target reaction, and the secondary building units (SBUs) that play a decisive role in catalytic performance were selected as a rational simplified scheme for MOFs. In particular, we selected the widespread trigonal prismatic SBUs possessing a small number of atoms as a case, whose characteristics such as universal atomic 5-coordination configuration and 3-metal coupling endow them with excellent transferability and compositional tunability, making them an ideal platform for mining descriptive models. With monometallic SBUs, we identify ESPC as a highly accurate, instructive, transferable, and readily available OER performance descriptor. The descriptor was then employed to predict the OER activity of SBUs with bimetallic composition, and the accuracy of the descriptive model was improved using DFT-derived data. Furthermore, by correlating ESPC with OER performance of SBUs with different structures and compositions, a universal linear scaling relationship between ESPC and OER performance was identified, illustrating the universality of ESPC as an OER performance descriptor. For the purpose of using ESPC to guide the actual experimental synthesis, we deeply analyzed the relationship between ESPC and bond order as well as band structure, established an ESPC-based physical pattern of active siteintermediate binding strength. Moreover, the variation regularity of ESPC with metal composition was revealed, which demonstrated that the MOFs with Ni/Cu as active sites and Mn/ Fe/Co/Ni as spectator atoms have excellent OER activity.

2. Methods

Spin-polarized density functional theory (DFT) calculations were performed by using the linear combination of atomic orbitals (LCAO) method embedded in DMol³. A polarized basis set of double numerical polarization (DNP)33 was employed to ensure the computational accuracy as well as the descriptions of the hydrogen bond. The electronic exchange-correlation effect was described by the Perdew-Burke-Ernzerhof (PBE)³⁴ functional of general gradient approximation (GGA) level. The large orbital cutoff of 6 Å was chosen for good convergence, and TS35 method was adopted for a long-range van der Waals (vdW) correction. For description of the electron-ion interaction, the DFT-based relativistic semi-core pseudopotentials (DSPPs)³⁶ were adopted. For reliable adsorption energy, the basis set superposition error (BSSE) correction³⁷ was adopted, by specifying the catalysts as Counterpoise 1 and adsorbed O-contained species as Counterpoise 2. During the geometric optimizations, all atoms were allowed to relax until the models reach the ground state without any imaginary frequency. The convergence criteria for self-consistent field (SCF) iteration and the max force on each atom were 10^{-8} Ha and 0.001 Ha Å⁻¹, respectively.

The method for bond order (BO) calculation used in this work was given by Mayer in 1986:³⁸

$$\mathrm{BO}_{AB} = 2 \sum_{\mu \in A} \sum_{\nu \in B} \left[(P^{\alpha} S)_{\mu\nu} (P^{\alpha} S)_{\nu\mu} + (P^{\beta} S)_{\mu\nu} (P^{\beta} S)_{\nu\mu} \right]$$
(1)

where BO_{AB} is the bond order between atom *A* and atom *B*, P^{α} and P^{β} are the density matrices for spin α and β , and *S* is the overlap matrix. The BOs obtained by this method are close to the corresponding classical values, and unlike Mulliken BOs, Mayer quantities are less dependent on the basis set choice and they are transferable, so they can be used to describe similar systems.

The widely studied four-electron mechanism is used to simulate the entire OER process:^{39,40}

* + OH⁻
$$\rightarrow$$
 HO* + e⁻, ΔG_1
HO* + OH⁻ \rightarrow O* + H₂O + e⁻, ΔG_2
O* + OH⁻ \rightarrow HOO* + e⁻, ΔG_3
HOO* + OH⁻ \rightarrow * + H₂O + O₂ + e⁻, ΔG_4

where the * represents the bare catalyst while the HO*, O*, and HOO* denote different intermediates adsorbed on the catalyst, respectively. For each elemental step, ΔG_i (i = 1, 2, 3, 4) is the change of Gibbs energy and can be expressed as follows:⁴¹

H

$$\Delta G = \Delta E + \Delta Z P E - T \Delta S$$

where ΔE is the reaction energy calculated by DFT, ΔZPE represents the change of zero-point energy under the harmonic approximation, and $T\Delta S$ represents the entropy correction. The computational hydrogen electrode (CHE) model ($G(H^+ + e^-) = 1/2G(H_2)$) proposed by Nørskov⁴²⁻⁴⁴ was adopted to calculate the Gibbs energy of proton–electron pairs ($H^+ + e^-$). We used the $G(O_2) = 4.92 + 2G(H_2O) - 2G(H_2)$ to derive the free energy of triplet oxygen molecule since the energy calculated by DFT is inaccurate.⁴⁵

Based on the above Gibbs energy results, the Gibbs energy difference of the potential-limiting step is defined as follows:

$$\Delta G_{\text{MAX}} = \max\{\Delta G_1, \Delta G_2, \Delta G_3, \Delta G_4\}$$

3. Results and discussion

3.1 Construction of an ESPC-based OER model

Due to the limitations imposed by the large number of atoms in MOFs for periodic DFT calculations, SBUs, which play a decisive role in the catalytic process, are usually selected as an alternative to MOFs for calculation.^{46,47} Among them, trigonal prismatic SBUs are a common class of SBUs (Fig. 1), whose few atoms make themselves feasible to be implemented in high-throughput calculations. In addition, the strong interaction of three metal atoms connected by a μ_3 oxygen atom facilitates the fine-tuning of electronic structure by component



Fig. 1 The model of the trigonal prismatic secondary building unit used in the DFT calculations, where $M^a = V$, Cr, Mn, Fe, Co, and Ni; $M^b = V$, Cr, Mn, Fe, Co, Ni, and Cu.

orthogonalization. Moreover, the 6-coordinated metal atoms can readily desorb solvent molecules upon activation to form 5coordinated unsaturated active sites that are widely present in MOFs, making the calculation-derived conclusions of such SBUs highly transferable. Therefore, we chose the trigonal prismatic SBUs as an entry point to study the general principles for the design of MOFs with high OER performance. In this study, the SBUs with monometallic components are labeled as M^a ($M^a = V$, Cr, Mn, Fe, Co, and Ni), and the SBUs with bimetallic components are labeled as $M^a 2M^b 1$ ($M^a = V$, Cr, Mn, Fe, Co and Ni; $M^b = V$, Cr, Mn, Fe, Co, Ni, and Cu). It is important to emphasize that we do not conduct specific screening, but rather pay attention to the descriptors of the OER onset potential by a proper amount of calculations. An adequate linear fitting requires data points with large variability, so we use M^b as the active site here since in $M^a 2M^b 1$ systems, the ESP-derived charge (ESPC) of M^b is expected to be more variable than that of M^a relative to the monometallic counterpart (due to the relatively low contents of M^b , more like the dopant).

To obtain an accurate OER descriptive model, we comprehensively considered three most promising descriptors. They are electrostatic potential-derived charge (ESPC), density of states (DOS), and bond order (BO), which represents the spatial distribution of electrons, the energy distribution of electrons, and the chemical bond strength, respectively. Among them, we calculated the d-band center from the DOS for the convenience



Fig. 2 Correlation between the Gibbs energy difference and (a) ESPC, (b) d-band center and (c) bond order. Regression fittings are provided. For (a) and (b), the color blocks \blacksquare , \blacklozenge , \blacktriangle , and \triangledown represent ΔG_1 , ΔG_2 , ΔG_3 , and ΔG_4 , respectively. For (c), the color blocks \bigstar , \diamondsuit , and \bullet represent the M–OH bond, M–O bond, and M–OOH bond, respectively.

of quantification. For simplicity, we consider only one descriptor to correlate OER onset potentials. Additionally, we focus on the accuracy of the descriptors, while taking into account transferability, instructiveness, and computational simplicity. By correlating the Gibbs energy difference of the four primitive steps (target quantities) with the above candidates, we find that both ESPC and d-band center exhibit a linear scaling relationship with the target quantities with different accuracy (Fig. 2a and b). However, the BO shows an exponential scaling relationship with the target quantities, causing its predictive capacity drop sharply at larger values (Fig. 2c and S1[†]). Furthermore, the BO describing the binding strength of the adsorbed molecule to the active site cannot indicate the intrinsic properties of the material, leading to its poor transferability and instructiveness. Therefore, the BO was first excluded. For the d-band center, it requires expensive DOS calculation and may not be generalized well for MOFs possessing both metallic and semiconducting nature due to the common underestimation of the bandgap,48 and thus, it is not satisfactory in terms of transferability and computational simplicity. In contrast, ESPC can be obtained by fitting the charge distribution near the atom, which is computationally feasible. In addition, it is a physical quantity describing the properties of the atom with desirable transferability and instructiveness. Therefore, ESPC was finally chosen as the OER performance descriptor, and the ESPC-based OER descriptive model derived from six monometallic trigonal prismatic SBUs (Fig. 2a) is as follows:

> $\Delta G_1 = -3.19 \text{ESPC} + 4.81$ $\Delta G_2 = -3.44 \text{ESPC} + 5.07$ $\Delta G_3 = 4.14 \text{ESPC} - 3.12$ $\Delta G_4 = 2.48 \text{ESPC} - 1.84$

where ΔG_i (i = 1, 2, 3, 4) is the Gibbs energy difference of the elemental step in unit eV, and ESPC is the value of electrostatic potential-derived charge in unit *e*.

3.2 Model testing and optimization

The OER performance of the SBUs with bimetallic composition was predicted by the proposed model and the results were validated by DFT calculations. The minor difference between the predicted values and the validated ones indicates the great accuracy ($R^2 \approx 0.87$) of the descriptive model (Fig. 3a). Furthermore, the improved model shows similar predictive ability ($R^2 \approx 0.90$) after being fed with the DFT data of SBUs with bimetallic composition (Fig. 3b), proving the effectiveness of fitting scaling relations using monometallic SBUs. In addition, the ESPC provides an excellent description (average $R^2 > R^2$ 0.90) of the OER performance in the case of changing the active atoms while keeping the spectator atoms consistent (Fig. 4), which is of great significance for studying the doping and active site implanting systems. Moreover, the ESPC could also rationalise the experimentally tested OER activity well (Fig. S3 and S4[†]). The correlations between them are similar to the predictive model raised here, indicating the potential for practical applications.

Based on the proposed model, the strong linear scaling relationships between ΔG_i (i = 1, 2, 3, 4) and the ESPC are shown in Fig. 5 and Table S1,[†] where ΔG_1 and ΔG_2 are negatively correlated with the ESPC, while ΔG_3 and ΔG_4 show the opposite trends. This means that there is an onset potential minimum near the intersection of the four prediction lines. When the ESPC is less than 1.1, the height of the nearly coincident ΔG_1 and ΔG_2 prediction lines is higher than that of ΔG_3 and ΔG_4 , indicating that the potential limiting step (PLS) is step 1 or 2 (* + H₂O \rightarrow HO* + H⁺ + e⁻ or HO* + H₂O \rightarrow O* + H⁺ + e⁻), and when the ESPC is larger than 1.1, the height of the prediction line of ΔG_3 is the highest, implying that the potential limiting step is step 3 (O* + H₂O \rightarrow HOO* + H⁺ + e⁻).



Fig. 3 The predictive ability of the proposed model (a) before and (b) after being fed with the DFT data of SBUs with bimetallic composition. The color blocks \blacksquare , \bigcirc , \blacktriangle , and \bigtriangledown represent ΔG_1 , ΔG_2 , ΔG_3 , and ΔG_4 , respectively. The shaded red region represents a 95% prediction interval for the linear model.



Fig. 4 The linear scaling relationship between the ΔG_i (i = 1, 2, 3, 4) and the ESPC of the active site. (a) V2M^b1, (b) Cr2M^b1, (c) Mn2M^b1, (d) Fe2M^b1, (e) Co2M^b1, and (f) Ni2M^b1. The M^b = V, Cr, Mn, Fe, Co, Ni, and Cu. Regression fittings are provided. The four colored symbols denote $\Box: \Delta G_1, \bullet: \Delta G_2, A : \Delta G_3, \nabla: \Delta G_4$, respectively.

Transferability is another important criterion for evaluating a descriptive model, especially for MOFs with diverse compositions and structures, as designing material often involves adjusting composition and structure. Therefore, the ESPC was further employed to predict the OER performance of the SBUs with typical 3-, 4-, and 5-coordinated configurations and representative metals (Fig. 6a–c). Excitingly, the ESPC presents



Fig. 5 The strong linear scaling relationships between ΔG_i (i = 1, 2, 3, and 4) and the ESPC. The dashed lines provide guidance for eyes, and the shaded region represents the appropriate numerical interval of ΔG_i (i = 1, 2, 3, and 4) and the corresponding ESPC. The four colored symbols denote **a**: ΔG_1 , **b**: ΔG_2 , **b**: ΔG_3 , **v**: ΔG_4 , respectively.

a near-perfect prediction ($R^2 = 0.97$) of the OER performance of the catalysts (Fig. 6d and Table S2†), demonstrating the general applicability of the ESPC-based descriptive model. It is pointed out that the prediction accuracy of ESPC for OER activity of typical SBUs appears to be higher than that of trigonal prismatic SBUs. This is because we introduced component orthogonality in trigonal prismatic SBUs to accurately capture the effect of bimetallic coupling on the OER activity. And as can be seen in Fig. 4, in the case of fixed M^a metals, the prediction accuracy of the model for OER activity of the trigonal prismatic SBUs is similar to that of the typical SBUs.

In addition, our goal is to find an optimal solution over a vast material space. To validate the proposed model, a 3D volcano plot of OER activity is constructed (Fig. 7, for more details see Fig. S2†), which shows the evolution trend of the OER performance under the ESPC guidance (blue arrows). It can be clearly seen that the predicted line just passes the top of the mountain, indicating that tuning the ESPC is a desirable option for designing MOFs with high OER performance.

3.3 Correlation analysis of ESPC and metal combination

Uncovering the relationship between ESPC of the active site and metal composition is essential to fully utilize the descriptive model to guide the synthesis of high-performance materials. In view of this, we mapped the ESPC of active site onto the metal composition matrix (Fig. 8 and Table S3†). The result shows that the ESPC varies in a wide range of 1.0–1.9. When keeping the M^a metal unchanged, the ESPC varies with the M^b metals as following sequence V > Cr > Mn > Fe > Co > Ni > Cu. In addition, when M^b is fixed, the ESPC changes slightly with the difference of M^a metals, and the overall trend shifts towards larger values



Fig. 6 (a-c) Structures and compositions of typical SBUs. (d) Comparison between ΔG_i (i = 1, 2, 3, 4) predicted using the proposed model and ΔG_i (i = 1, 2, 3, 4) calculated using DFT for typical SBUs. The four colored symbols denote \blacksquare : ΔG_1 , \bullet : ΔG_2 , \blacktriangle : ΔG_3 , ∇ : ΔG_4 , respectively.

with the increase of the effective nuclear charge of M^a. The matrix shows that the ESPC is in the best interval when the spectator atoms are Mn/Fe/Co/Ni, and the active site atom is Cu or Ni. Among them, Ni atoms have been widely reported as OER

active sites in various catalytic materials,^{49–51} such as carbonbased materials, transition metal sulfides and MOFs. This phenomenon is consistent with the finding in this study. While very few studies report Cu as the active site for OER, thus,



Fig. 7 Three-dimensional volcano plot of the OER activity. The PLS on each region (divided by dashed lines) of the volcano plots is indicated. The line consisting of blue arrows represents the optimization route of OER performance guided by ESPC. The black star represents the best catalyst predicted.



Fig. 8 Mapping of ESPCs on the composition matrix of SBUs.

results in this study may shed light on the design of future Cubased OER catalysts. More importantly, by analyzing the related experimental studies,^{52–58} we obtained the OER performance ranking for MOFs containing different elements (*i.e.*, Ni > Co > Fe, Fe > V, Fe > Mn), which is consistent with the findings of our results, demonstrating that the model proposed our work can capture the variation patterns of OER performance along with metal compositions.

The variation trend of ESPC with metal composition can be explained by the difference of the element's electronegativity. For atoms in the same row of the periodic table, the distance between the valence electrons and the nucleus is similar. A bigger effective nuclear charge leads to stronger attraction to valence electrons, and the atom is less likely to lose electrons.^{59,60} In the single-metal systems, metals with larger electronegativity have higher electron retention and smaller ESPC (Fig. 9a and c). In bimetallic composition systems, the valence electrons of three metal atoms are redistributed through the μ_3 -O, and the electrons will flow to the atoms with larger

electronegativity. For example, for atoms with less effective nuclear charge (like V), when they are combined with atoms with more effective nuclear charge (like Fe), their valence electrons tend to migrate to the counterpart, making the ESPC of Fe atoms in V2Fe1 less than that of Fe in the SBU with single Fe composition. In contrast, the ESPC of V atoms in V2Fe1 is larger than that of the V in the SBU with single V composition (Fig. 9b). It should be noted that this phenomenon is not distinct for systems in which Co, Ni, and Cu as M^b, probably due to their "harder" electron cloud stemming from relatively larger electronegativity.

3.4 Interpretability of ESPC as an OER activity descriptor

Since the ΔG_{total} of the OER is a constant (4.92 eV), the ΔG_i (i = 1, 2, 3, 4) actually has a trade-off relationship. Taking V, Co2Cu1 and Mn2Cu1 as examples (Fig. 10), high bonding strength of V atoms with OH and O species induces excessive bonding energy, even making ΔG_1 and ΔG_2 become negative values. This strong adsorption leads to little activity of O, so the thermodynamic barrier between the intermediate states of V–O and V–OOH is very high, leading to an ultra-high overpotential (4.27 eV). In contrast, for Co2Cu1, the lower bonding of OH on Cu–O, which makes ΔG_1 and ΔG_2 larger and is not desirable for the entire OER process. However, for Mn2Cu1, the adsorption strength of Cu atoms with three oxygen species is moderate, and the balanced thermodynamic barrier is beneficial for obtaining excellent OER performance.

The relationship between ESPC and the adsorption strength of oxygen-containing intermediates can be revealed by BO. As shown in Fig. 11, with the increment of ESPC, the variation of BO_{M-OH} and BO_{M-OOH} is smaller than that of $BO_{M=O}$. This could be due to the fact that M–OH and M–OOH are always single bonds, whereas the M=O bond can be either a double or a single bond with a radical character, *i.e.*, M–oxyl species. In fact, Fig. 11 shows that the bond order in M=O can adopt values ranging between *ca.* 1 and 2. The influence of the radical character in the M–O bond in OER catalysis has also been recently studied by García-Melchor *et al.*, who also proposed



Fig. 9 Schematic diagram of the regulation of ESPC by effective nuclear charge, taking (a) V, (b) V2Fe1, and (c) Fe as samples. HCOO fragments are omitted for clarity, in which the metals with larger effective nuclear charge, the metals with smaller effective nuclear charge and the O atoms are represented by yellow, blue and red spheres, respectively. The value of ESPCs is labeled on the models.



Reaction coordinate

Fig. 10 Gibbs energy diagram for V, Co2Cu1 and Mn2Cu1 systems calculated at 0 V vs. RHE. The color-filled rectangles denote the PLS.

different scaling relations for molecular systems exhibiting M– oxo or M–oxyl characters.⁶¹ In addition, the BOs between active site and three oxygen-containing intermediates exist saturation values, reaching the theoretical limitation (1 for M–OH and M– OOH, and 2 for M=O) when ESPC is beyond 1.5. When ESPC is about 1.1, the BO difference among the metal-oxygen species is constant (about 0.25), which reflects the equilibrium adsorption of intermediates. When ESPC is less than 1.1, the $BO_M=_O$



Fig. 11 Correlation between bond order and ESPC, the dashed lines provide guidance for eyes, the color blocks \blacksquare , \bullet , and \blacktriangle represent the M–OH bond, M–O bond, and M–OOH bond, respectively.



Fig. 12 The projected density of states (PDOS) for the d-band of the active site in trigonal prismatic SBUs. The vertical dashed lines correspond to the Fermi level, which is shifted to zero and the solid lines denote the d-band centers. The occupied orbitals are filled with color.

decreases rapidly, which indicates that the adsorption strength of the O intermediate is weak, and the PLS prefers to occur at the first or second elemental step. When ESPC is more than 1.1, the adsorption of the O intermediate is strong, and thus, the PLS occurs at the 3rd or 4th elemental step.

The relationship between ESPC and the adsorption strength of oxygen-containing intermediates can be understood more deeply from the perspective of band structure. As the decrease of ESPC, the d-band center becomes progressively lower relative to the Fermi level (Fig. 12), reducing the energy of the antibonding state formed between the adsorbed species and the catalyst. As a result, electrons flow more easily into the antibonding state, leading to an increase in the total energy and weakening the binding strength of intermediates on the catalyst. This weakening of the binding strength is particularly pronounced for O intermediates since the double bond nature of M=O is accompanied by more electron transfer during the adsorption. In addition, with the decrease of ESPC (the active site is from V to Cu, in the order of increasing atomic number), the overall energy of the orbitals that overlapping between the metal 3d orbital and the oxygen 2p orbital (green area in Fig. 13a-g) decreases, which improves the electron filling degree of the overlapping orbitals (Fig. 13h). The stability of the metals is gradually enhanced, indicating that the "bonding ability" of the metals is weakened and leads to the reduced adsorption strength of the OER intermediate on the metals. Thus, the ESPC can be used as a simple indicator to quantify the information of the band structure, which is directly related to the OER performance.

4. Conclusions

In summary, we unravel that ESPC, a universal descriptor, can describe the OER activity of MOFs containing diverse SBUs very well. By analyzing the variation of ESPC with combinations of different metals, a general design principle was further put forward to enhance the OER activity of MOFs, that is, the active sites should be Ni/Cu while the spectator atoms are Mn/Fe/Co/Ni. This principle is physically meaningful since ESPC indeed is



Fig. 13 (a–g) The projected density of states (PDOS) for the 3d-orbital of the active site and the 2p-orbital of related coordinated oxygen atoms in trigonal prismatic SBUs, the vertical dashed lines correspond to the Fermi level, which is shifted to zero and the overlapping orbitals are filled with green. (h) Correlation between $-\Delta G_{Max}$ and -unoccupied electrons/occupied electrons, the dashed lines provide guidance for eyes.

(3)

Edge Article

a reflection of the bonding strength between metal and oxygencontaining intermediates. The appropriate metal configuration can optimize the d-electron distribution of the active site, which reduces the height of the anti-bonding state of the metaloxygen species and weakens the bonding strength between them as well. All these effects synergistically contribute to the high-efficiency OER process. Our work demonstrates that the regulation of metal components of SBUs is a promising strategy for developing MOF-based energy conversion devices.

Data availability

The structures and calculated data supporting the findings of this study are available in the ESI.†

Author contributions

Xiangdong Xue: conceptualization, methodology, software, formal analysis, investigation, data curation, writing-original draft, and visualization. Hongyi Gao: methodology, validation, writing-review & editing, supervision, project administration, and funding acquisition. Jiangtao Liu: validation and formal analysis. Ming Yang: validation and formal analysis. Shihao Feng: validation and formal analysis. Zhimeng Liu: validation and formal analysis. Jing Lin: validation and formal analysis. Jitti Kasemchainan: validation and formal analysis. Linmeng Wang: validation and formal analysis. Qilu Jia: validation and formal analysis. Ge Wang: conceptualization, resources, writing-review & editing, supervision, project administration, and funding acquisition.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (no. 51972024), Natural Science Foundation of Guangdong Province of China (2022A1515010185), the State Key Laboratory of Advanced Chemical Power Sources, the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities (QNXM20210012 and FRF-IDRY-20-004) and Scientific and Technological Innovation Foundation of Shunde Graduate School, University of Science and Technology Beijing (no. BK20AE003).

Notes and references

- 1 M. Eddaoudi, D. B. Moler, H. Li, B. Chen, T. M. Reineke, M. O'keeffe and O. M. Yaghi, Modular chemistry: secondary building units as a basis for the design of highly porous and robust metal-organic carboxylate frameworks, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2001, **34**, 319–330.
- 2 O. M. Yaghi, M. O'Keeffe, N. W. Ockwig, H. K. Chae, M. Eddaoudi and J. Kim, Reticular synthesis and the design of new materials, *Nature*, 2003, **423**, 705–714.

- 3 A. Ahmed, S. Seth, J. Purewal, A. G. Wong-Foy, M. Veenstra, A. J. Matzger and D. J. Siegel, Exceptional hydrogen storage achieved by screening nearly half a million metal–organic frameworks, *Nat. Commun.*, 2019, **10**, 1–9.
- 4 N. Hanikel, M. S. Prévot and O. M. Yaghi, MOF water harvesters, *Nat. Nanotechnol.*, 2020, **15**, 348–355.
- 5 T. Tian, Z. Zeng, D. Vulpe, M. E. Casco, G. Divitini, P. A. Midgley, J. Silvestre-Albero, J.-C. Tan, P. Z. Moghadam and D. Fairen-Jimenez, A sol-gel monolithic metal-organic framework with enhanced methane uptake, *Nat. Mater.*, 2018, **17**, 174–179.
- 6 G. Liu, V. Chernikova, Y. Liu, K. Zhang, Y. Belmabkhout, O. Shekhah, C. Zhang, S. Yi, M. Eddaoudi and W. J. Koros, Mixed matrix formulations with MOF molecular sieving for key energy-intensive separations, *Nat. Mater.*, 2018, 17, 283–289.
- 7 Y. Belmabkhout, P. M. Bhatt, K. Adil, R. S. Pillai, A. Cadiau,
 A. Shkurenko, G. Maurin, G. Liu, W. J. Koros and
 M. Eddaoudi, Natural gas upgrading using a fluorinated
 MOF with tuned H₂S and CO₂ adsorption selectivity, *Nat. Energy*, 2018, 3, 1059–1066.
- 8 T. Wang, L. Gao, J. Hou, S. J. Herou, J. T. Griffiths, W. Li, J. Dong, S. Gao, M.-M. Titirici and R. V. Kumar, Rational approach to guest confinement inside MOF cavities for low-temperature catalysis, *Nat. Commun.*, 2019, **10**, 1–9.
- 9 M. Mon, R. Bruno, S. Sanz-Navarro, C. Negro, J. Ferrando-Soria, L. Bartella, L. Di Donna, M. Prejanò, T. Marino and A. Leyva-Pérez, Hydrolase-like catalysis and structural resolution of natural products by a metal-organic framework, *Nat. Commun.*, 2020, **11**, 1–9.
- 10 C. S. Diercks, Y. Liu, K. E. Cordova and O. M. Yaghi, The role of reticular chemistry in the design of CO₂ reduction catalysts, *Nat. Mater.*, 2018, **17**, 301–307.
- 11 M. Tchalala, P. Bhatt, K. Chappanda, S. Tavares, K. Adil, Y. Belmabkhout, A. Shkurenko, A. Cadiau, N. Heymans and G. De Weireld, Fluorinated MOF platform for selective removal and sensing of SO₂ from flue gas and air, *Nat. Commun.*, 2019, **10**, 1–10.
- 12 S. Wu, H. Min, W. Shi and P. Cheng, Multicenter metalorganic framework-based ratiometric fluorescent sensors, *Adv. Mater.*, 2020, **32**, 1805871.
- 13 H.-Y. Li, S.-N. Zhao, S.-Q. Zang and J. Li, Functional metalorganic frameworks as effective sensors of gases and volatile compounds, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2020, **49**, 6364–6401.
- 14 S. Bi, H. Banda, M. Chen, L. Niu, M. Chen, T. Wu, J. Wang, R. Wang, J. Feng and T. Chen, Molecular understanding of charge storage and charging dynamics in supercapacitors with MOF electrodes and ionic liquid electrolytes, *Nat. Mater.*, 2020, **19**, 552–558.
- 15 S. Bai, X. Liu, K. Zhu, S. Wu and H. Zhou, Metal-organic framework-based separator for lithium-sulfur batteries, *Nat. Energy*, 2016, **1**, 1–6.
- 16 D. G. Atinafu, S. J. Chang, K.-H. Kim, W. Dong and S. Kim, A novel enhancement of shape/thermal stability and energystorage capacity of phase change materials through the formation of composites with 3D porous (3, 6)-connected metal-organic framework, *Chem. Eng. J.*, 2020, **389**, 124430.

- 17 T. Hu, S. Chang, H. Wu, L. Li and W. Yuan, Construction of high thermal conductivity MOFs composite phase change materials with reinforced light-to-thermal conversion, *Sol. Energy Mater. Sol. Cells*, 2021, 232, 111339.
- 18 J. Li, J. Yu, Z. Sun, H. Liu and X. Wang, Innovative Integration of Phase-Change Microcapsules with Metal-Organic Frameworks into an Intelligent Biosensing System for Enhancing Dopamine Detection, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2021, 13, 41753–41772.
- 19 C. R. Groom, I. J. Bruno, M. P. Lightfoot and S. C. Ward, The Cambridge structural database, *Acta Crystallogr., Sect. B: Struct. Sci., Cryst. Eng. Mater.*, 2016, 72, 171–179.
- 20 P. Z. Moghadam, A. Li, S. B. Wiggin, A. Tao, A. G. Maloney, P. A. Wood, S. C. Ward and D. Fairen-Jimenez, Development of a Cambridge Structural Database subset: a collection of metal-organic frameworks for past, present, and future, *Chem. Mater.*, 2017, **29**, 2618–2625.
- 21 Y. J. Colón and R. Q. Snurr, High-throughput computational screening of metal-organic frameworks, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2014, 43, 5735–5749.
- 22 A. S. Rosen, J. M. Notestein and R. Q. Snurr, Identifying promising metal-organic frameworks for heterogeneous catalysis via high-throughput periodic density functional theory, *J. Comput. Chem.*, 2019, **40**, 1305–1318.
- 23 K. D. Vogiatzis, E. Haldoupis, D. J. Xiao, J. R. Long, J. I. Siepmann and L. Gagliardi, Accelerated computational analysis of metal–organic frameworks for oxidation catalysis, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2016, **120**, 18707–18712.
- P. Liao, R. B. Getman and R. Q. Snurr, Optimizing Open Iron Sites in Metal–Organic Frameworks for Ethane Oxidation: A First-Principles Study, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2017, 9, 33484–33492.
- 25 D. R. Pahls, M. A. Ortuño, P. H. Winegar, C. J. Cramer and L. Gagliardi, Computational screening of bimetalfunctionalized Zr₆O₈ MOF nodes for methane C-H bond activation, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2017, **56**, 8739–8743.
- 26 M. C. Simons, M. A. Ortuño, V. Bernales, C. A. Gaggioli, C. J. Cramer, A. Bhan and L. Gagliardi, C-H Bond Activation on Bimetallic Two-Atom Co-M Oxide Clusters Deposited on Zr-Based MOF Nodes: Effects of Doping at the Molecular Level, ACS Catal., 2018, 8, 2864–2869.
- 27 M. Fumanal, G. Capano, S. Barthel, B. Smit and I. Tavernelli, Energy-based descriptors for photo-catalytically active metal–organic framework discovery, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2020, **8**, 4473–4482.
- 28 F.-X. Coudert and A. H. Fuchs, Computational characterization and prediction of metal–organic framework properties, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 2016, **307**, 211–236.
- 29 H. Daglar and S. Keskin, Recent advances, opportunities, and challenges in high-throughput computational screening of MOFs for gas separations, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 2020, **422**, 213470.
- 30 A. H. Farmahini, S. Krishnamurthy, D. Friedrich, S. Brandani and L. Sarkisov, Performance-based screening of porous materials for carbon capture, *Chem. Rev.*, 2021, 121, 10666–10741.

- 31 T. Yang, J. Zhou, T. T. Song, L. Shen, Y. P. Feng and M. Yang, High-throughput identification of exfoliable twodimensional materials with active basal planes for hydrogen evolution, *ACS Energy Lett.*, 2020, **5**, 2313–2321.
- 32 S. Lee, B. Kim, H. Cho, H. Lee, S. Y. Lee, E. S. Cho and J. Kim, Computational Screening of Trillions of Metal–Organic Frameworks for High-Performance Methane Storage, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2021, **13**, 23647–23654.
- 33 P. Liu and J. A. Rodriguez, Catalysts for hydrogen evolution from the [NiFe] hydrogenase to the Ni₂P (001) surface: the importance of ensemble effect, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2005, 127, 14871–14878.
- 34 J. P. Perdew, K. Burke and M. Ernzerhof, Generalized gradient approximation made simple, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 1996, 77, 3865.
- 35 A. Tkatchenko and M. Scheffler, Accurate molecular van der Waals interactions from ground-state electron density and free-atom reference data, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 2009, **102**, 073005.
- 36 B. Delley, Hardness conserving semilocal pseudopotentials, *Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys.*, 2002, **66**, 155125.
- 37 F. B. Van Duijneveldt, J. G. van Duijneveldt-van de Rijdt and J. H. van Lenthe, State of the art in counterpoise theory, *Chem. Rev.*, 1994, 94, 1873–1885.
- 38 I. Mayer, Bond orders and valences from ab initio wave functions, *Int. J. Quantum Chem.*, 1986, **29**, 477–483.
- 39 S. H. Ye, Z. X. Shi, J. X. Feng, Y. X. Tong and G. R. Li, Activating CoOOH porous nanosheet arrays by partial iron substitution for efficient oxygen evolution reaction, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2018, **57**, 2672–2676.
- 40 T. Ouyang, Y. Ye, C. Wu, K. Xiao and Z. Liu, Heterostructures comprised of Co/β-Mo₂C-encapsulated N-doped carbon nanotubes as bifunctional electrodes for water splitting, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2019, **58**, 4923–4928.
- 41 X. R. Wang, J. Y. Liu, Z. W. Liu, W. C. Wang, J. Luo, X. P. Han, X. W. Du, S. Z. Qiao and J. Yang, Identifying the key role of pyridinic-N–Co bonding in synergistic electrocatalysis for reversible ORR/OER, *Adv. Mater.*, 2018, **30**, 1800005.
- 42 J. K. Nørskov, J. Rossmeisl, A. Logadottir, L. Lindqvist, J. R. Kitchin, T. Bligaard and H. Jonsson, Origin of the overpotential for oxygen reduction at a fuel-cell cathode, *J. Phys. Chem. B*, 2004, **108**, 17886–17892.
- 43 A. A. Peterson, F. Abild-Pedersen, F. Studt, J. Rossmeisl and J. K. Nørskov, How copper catalyzes the electroreduction of carbon dioxide into hydrocarbon fuels, *Energy Environ. Sci.*, 2010, **3**, 1311–1315.
- 44 J. Rossmeisl, A. Logadottir and J. K. Nørskov, Electrolysis of water on (oxidized) metal surfaces, *Chem. Phys.*, 2005, **319**, 178–184.
- 45 Y. Ji, H. Dong, C. Liu and Y. Li, The progress of metal-free catalysts for the oxygen reduction reaction based on theoretical simulations, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2018, **6**, 13489–13508.
- 46 M. Barona and R. Q. Snurr, Exploring the tunability of trimetallic MOF nodes for partial oxidation of methane to methanol, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2020, **12**, 28217–28231.

Open Access Article. Published on 18 October 2022. Downloaded on 8/11/2025 6:33:28 PM.

- 47 P. Lyu and G. Maurin, Mechanistic Insight into the Catalytic NO Oxidation by the MIL-100 MOF Platform: Toward the Prediction of More Efficient Catalysts, *ACS Catal.*, 2020, **10**, 9445–9450.
- 48 P. Borlido, T. Aull, A. W. Huran, F. Tran, M. A. Marques and S. Botti, Large-scale benchmark of exchange-correlation functionals for the determination of electronic band gaps of solids, *J. Chem. Theory Comput.*, 2019, **15**, 5069–5079.
- 49 Y. Li, X. Bao, D. Chen, Z. Wang, N. Dewangan, M. Li, Z. Xu, J. Wang, S. Kawi and Q. Zhong, A Minireview on Nickel-Based Heterogeneous Electrocatalysts for Water Splitting, *ChemCatChem*, 2019, **11**, 5913–5928.
- 50 Y. Chen, K. Rui, J. Zhu, S. X. Dou and W. Sun, Recent Progress on Nickel-Based Oxide/(Oxy)Hydroxide Electrocatalysts for the Oxygen Evolution Reaction, *Chem.– Eur. J.*, 2019, 25, 703–713.
- 51 B. Xu, Y. Zhang, Y. Pi, Q. Shao and X. Huang, Research progress of nickel-based metal–organic frameworks and their derivatives for oxygen evolution catalysis, *Acta Phys.-Chim. Sin.*, 2021, **37**, 2009074.
- 52 W. Li, W. Fang, C. Wu, K. N. Dinh, H. Ren, L. Zhao, C. Liu and Q. Yan, Bimetal–MOF nanosheets as efficient bifunctional electrocatalysts for oxygen evolution and nitrogen reduction reaction, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2020, **8**, 3658–3666.
- 53 M. Xie, Y. Ma, D. Lin, C. Xu, F. Xie and W. Zeng, Bimetalorganic framework MIL-53 (Co–Fe): an efficient and robust electrocatalyst for the oxygen evolution reaction, *Nanoscale*, 2020, **12**, 67–71.
- 54 X. L. Wang, L. Z. Dong, M. Qiao, Y. J. Tang, J. Liu, Y. Li, S. L. Li, J. X. Su and Y. Q. Lan, Exploring the performance

improvement of the oxygen evolution reaction in a stable bimetal-organic framework system, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2018, **57**, 9660–9664.

- 55 H. Guan, N. Wang, X. Feng, S. Bian, W. Li and Y. Chen, FeMn bimetallic MOF directly applicable as an efficient electrocatalyst for overall water splitting, *Colloids Surf.*, A, 2021, 624, 126596.
- 56 L. Han, J. Xu, Y. Huang, W. Dong and X. Jia, Highperformance electrocatalyst of vanadium-iron bimetal organic framework arrays on nickel foam for overall water splitting, *Chin. Chem. Lett.*, 2021, **32**, 2263–2268.
- 57 J. Li, W. Huang, M. Wang, S. Xi, J. Meng, K. Zhao, J. Jin, W. Xu, Z. Wang and X. Liu, Low-crystalline bimetallic metal-organic framework electrocatalysts with rich active sites for oxygen evolution, ACS Energy Lett., 2018, 4, 285–292.
- 58 Z. Xue, Y. Li, Y. Zhang, W. Geng, B. Jia, J. Tang, S. Bao, H. P. Wang, Y. Fan and Z. w. Wei, Modulating electronic structure of metal-organic framework for efficient electrocatalytic oxygen evolution, *Adv. Energy Mater.*, 2018, 8, 1801564.
- 59 E. Babaev, Periodic law in chemistry and other sciences, *Pure Appl. Chem.*, 2019, **91**, 2023–2035.
- 60 A. L. Allred and E. G. Rochow, A scale of electronegativity based on electrostatic force, *J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem.*, 1958, 5, 264–268.
- 61 M. J. Craig and M. García-Melchor, High-throughput screening and rational design to drive discovery in molecular water oxidation catalysis, *Cell Rep. Phys. Sci.*, 2021, 2, 100492.