



Cite this: *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2022, 10, 19881

Phase transition of metal–organic frameworks for the encapsulation of enzymes†

Zhen Ren,^a Weiqiang Zhou,^b Jiena Weng,^c Ziyue Qin,^a Liwei Liu,^d Ning Ji,^a Cheng Chen,^a Haohao Shi,^a Wenxiong Shi,^e Xinglong Zhang,^a Islam E. Khalil,^a Bing Zheng,^a Jiansheng Wu,^a Weina Zhang^{id}*^a and Fengwei Huo^{id}*^a

Through encapsulating functional materials, metal–organic framework (MOF) composites show extraordinary potential in various fields due to the excellent synergistic effects between the host and guests. However, many attractive functional species, such as enzymes, could be easily damaged during the synthesis of MOF composites. Herein we report a new strategy, namely pressure-induced-stimulated-aging (PISA), in which crystalline MOFs were pressure-treated to induce partial disorder in MOF structures, followed by a recrystallization process to encapsulate enzymes into MOF crystals. The encapsulated enzymes were trapped in the overlap between MOF particles or on the surface of MOFs. Such reversible phase transition avoided high temperature, high ionic strength, strong acid/base conditions, etc., which was suitable for many types of enzymes. Different enzymes can not only be trapped into ZIF-8, but also into other stable MOFs such as Mg-MOF-74, UiO-66, UiO-66-NH₂, MIL-53(Al) and MIL-53(Fe). After optimizing the experimental conditions, Glucose Oxidase (GOx)/UiO-66-WV exhibited similar activity compared to free enzymes. In addition, GOx/UiO-66-WV and GOx/MIL-53(Fe)-WV composites can retain more than 90% of the enzymatic activity after treatment with protease. This strategy will pave a new way for designing MOF composites and exploring further applications in various areas.

Received 17th March 2022
Accepted 7th May 2022

DOI: 10.1039/d2ta02070j

rsc.li/materials-a

^aKey Laboratory of Flexible Electronics (KLOFE), Institute of Advanced Materials (IAM), Nanjing Tech University (Nanjing Tech), Nanjing 211816, China. E-mail: iamfwhuo@njtech.edu.cn; Tel: +8613814051684


^bInstitute of Green Chemistry & Chemical Technology, School of Chemistry & Chemical Engineering, Jiangsu University, Zhenjiang 212013, China

^cShaanxi Institute of Flexible Electronics (SIFE), Northwestern Polytechnical University (NPU), 127 West Youyi Road, Xi'an 710072, China

^dCollege of Science, Northeastern University (NEU), 11 Wenhua Road, Shenyang 100819, China

^eSeparation Membranes and Membrane Processes, School of Materials Science and Engineering, Tianjin Polytechnical University (TJPU), 399 Binshuixi Road, Tianjin 300387, China

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. See <https://doi.org/10.1039/d2ta02070j>



Dr Weina Zhang is currently a Professor of the Institute of Advanced Materials, Nanjing Tech University. She received her B.S. and M.S. degrees from Xi'an University of Technology and Xi'an Jiaotong University in China in 2007 and 2011, respectively. She subsequently completed her PhD at Nanyang Technological University in Singapore in 2014. Then she joined the Institute of Advanced Materials, Nanjing Tech University as a researcher in 2015 and was promoted to professor in 2018. Her current research focuses on metal–organic framework materials in traditional catalysis, enzyme catalysis and microbial catalysis.

Introduction

Metal–organic frameworks (MOFs) have shown potential applications in gas separation and storage due to their regular microporous structures.^{1,2} The rich and adjustable pore environment of MOFs has expanded their applications in catalysis,³ biomedicines,^{4,5} sensors,^{6–8} *etc.* Additionally, through the combination of functional guest materials, MOF composites can obtain new properties that MOFs or guest materials do not have.^{9–11} In recent years, the encapsulation of functional materials into MOFs has become a sophisticated approach to obtain multifunctional MOF composites.^{12–14} For example, owing to the synergy between the catalytic capability of platinum nanoparticles (Pt NPs) and size-selectivity of the ZIF-8 shell, the hybrid Pt/ZIF-8 catalysts exhibited excellent size-selectivity for hydrogenation of olefins.¹⁵ The prepared MIL-101(Fe³⁺, Cr³⁺)@Pt@MIL-101(Fe³⁺, Cr³⁺) with “sandwich” structures encapsulated Pt NPs in MOF interlayers and showed increased selectivity for the hydroconversion of citronellal to citronellol, mainly due to the combination of the catalytic sites on Pt NPs and high selective adsorption of C=O double bonds on metal sites from MOFs.¹⁶ A series of antitumoral and retroviral drugs enclosed into iron(III) based MOFs have shown potential applications in bio-imaging.¹⁷ These studies mentioned above showed an improvement in MOF properties after combination with functional materials.

Recently, enzyme@MOFs has gained extensive attention due to the attractive combination of stereoselective catalytic sites of enzymes and accessible porosity of MOFs.^{18–20} Traditional methods for preparing MOF composites usually involve high ionic strength and high temperature, and are prone to lose part or most of the enzyme activity during the synthesis of MOF composites.^{15,21–23} Ma's group used the infiltration encapsulation strategy to restrict enzymes in MOF structures.²⁴ They focused on the loading of enzymes into mesoporous MOFs to boost the recyclability of enzymes. However, this strategy required the cage of MOFs to perfectly match the size of enzymes.¹⁸ To overcome this challenge, the biomimetic mineralization method^{25,26} and coprecipitation method^{27,28} have been explored to coat enzymes with MOF shells during the synthesis of MOF composites, which could encapsulate enzymes with different sizes. However, this strategy is only suitable for ZIF-based MOFs and usually involves a specific concentration of metal ions and organic ligands, which may negatively impact the biological activity of enzymes.²⁹ Recently, a fast ball-milling strategy³⁰ of encapsulating β -glucosidase, invertase, β -galactosidase and catalase into stable MOFs such as UiO-66-NH₂ has been developed by Tsung's group. The synthesized composites maintained the enzyme activity after treatment with protease in an acidic environment. Undesirably, this method involved a trace of organic solvents and organic ligands, which may affect the activity of enzymes during the synthetic process. Although there are many reports about the fabrication of enzyme@MOFs composites, two main challenges which cannot be overlooked have been listed here. The first one is how to achieve a balance between high actively immobilized enzymes and various types

of MOF substrates by a simple method. The second one is to understand the interactions between enzymes and MOFs after enzyme encapsulation, which is critical for the development of enzyme/MOF composites.

Previous research studies have shown that mechanical force can cause phase transformations of MOFs.^{31–34} If functional materials are not pressure sensitive, they might be trapped in MOF matrices during the phase transformation of MOFs without any damage.³⁵ Herein, we propose a strategy to encapsulate enzymes into MOF structures through pressure-induced MOF phase transition and post-stimulated crystalline aging (Scheme 1), named pressure-induced-stimulated-aging (PISA). Enzymes were premixed with MOFs by applying a certain amount of mechanical pressure to transform MOFs into partially disordered MOFs. Then, by exposing them to water vapor, the enzymes/partially disordered MOFs could be converted to the enzyme/MOF composites. 5 min ball-milling was employed on MOFs to activate the surface of MOF particles.^{36,37} PISA has three advantages. Firstly, the overall encapsulation process occurred during the reversible phase transition of MOFs without involving any harsh conditions and the water vapor stimulated aging process is applicable to many sorts of enzymes. Secondly, nanoparticles were used to replace enzymes to explore the encapsulation mechanisms and figure out the possible position of enzymes encapsulated in MOFs. Combined with molecular dynamics simulations and control experiments, the interactions between enzymes and MOFs were clearer, which provided a research model for the regulation of the activity of enzymes. Thirdly, enzyme@MOFs composites prepared by PISA can preserve the activity of enzymes after treatment with protease, which may have potential application in bio-therapy, biological cascade reaction and biosensing.


Experimental section

Materials and measurements

Commercial ZIF-8 was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (ACS grade). MIL-53(Al) and MIL-53(Fe) were purchased from HWRK. Glucose oxidase (GOx) from *Aspergillus niger* was bought from J&K Chemical. Peroxidase from horseradish (reagent grade) (HRP) was purchased from Solarbio. Cytochrome C (Cyt C) was purchased from Konosience. Bradford solutions were purchased from Beyotime Biotechnology. Phosphate buffered saline (1 \times) was purchased from Shanghai Yuanye Biotechnology Co., Ltd. Glucose was purchased from Sinopharm Chemical Reagent Co., Ltd. 2,2'-azino-bis(3-ethyl benzothiazoline-6-sulfonic acid) diammonium salt (ABTS) was bought from MyBioScience. All the other reagents were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich and used without further purification.

Fabrication of enzyme/MOF composites

Preparation of GOx/MOF composites. 5 mg GOx and 95 mg of 5 min premilled MOFs (ZIF-8 or UiO-66 or MIL-53(Fe) or MIL-53(Al)) were mixed thoroughly and ground. 20 mg of GOx/MOFs was pressed using a T69YP-15A tablet machine. The dry



Scheme 1 Schematic illustration of the pressure-induced-stimulated-aging (PISA) process of preparing MOF composites.

powders were subject to an average pressure of 91 MPa (9 tons, 13 mm diameter pellet die, the pressure used to prepare GOx/ZIF-8-WV was 273 MPa) for 5 min. GOx/MOFs-WV was prepared by exposing pellets to water vapor at room temperature for 72 h.

Enzymatic activity of GOx/MOF composites. 1 mg of GOx/ZIF-8-WV or 0.2 mg of GOx/UiO-66-WV or 0.2 mg MIL-53 (Al) or 0.2 mg MIL-53 (Fe) was put into pH 7.4 PBS of 0.5 mM 2,2'-diazobis-3-ethyl benzothiazoline-6-sulfonic acid (ABTS) with 10 μL of HRP (1 mg mL⁻¹). 10 μL of glucose (1 M) was added to ensure that the concentration of glucose was 100 mM. The activity of samples was measured using a microplate reader at 415 nm and compared with that of the free enzymes. For the enzymatic activity of free enzymes, the experimental procedures were the same as those above except that the amount of free enzymes was 10 μg .

Michaelis–Menten constants of GOx, GOx/UiO-66-WV and GOx/MIL-53(Fe). 0.2 mg of GOx/UiO-66-WV or 0.2 mg of GOx/MIL-53 (Fe)-WV or 10 μg of GOx was put into pH 7.4 PBS of 0.5 mM 2,2'-diazobis-3-ethyl benzothiazoline-6-sulfonic acid (ABTS) with 10 μL of HRP (1 mg mL⁻¹). 10 μL of glucose with different concentrations was added to ensure that the concentration of glucose was 1, 2, 5, 10, 50, and 100 mM. The activity of samples was measured using a microplate reader at 415 nm. The Michaelis–Menten constant was calculated by non-linear fitting of the initial reaction rate with substrate concentration according to the Michaelis–Menten equation.

Preparation of BGL/UiO-66 composites. 3 mg of BGL and 20 mg of UiO-66 premilled for 5 min were mixed thoroughly and ground. The mixture was pressed using a T69YP-15A tablet machine. The dry powder was subject to an average pressure of 91 MPa (9 tons, 13 mm diameter pellet die) for 5 min. BGL/UiO-66-WV was prepared by exposing pellets to water vapor at room temperature for 72 h.

Enzymatic activity of BGL/UiO-66 composites. 0.2 mg of BGL/UiO-66-WV was put into 100 μL pH 6.0 citric acid buffer (20 mM). Then, 100 μL of 4-nitrophenyl β -D-glucopyranoside

(pNPG, $\geq 98\%$) (4 mM) was added to ensure that the concentration of pNPG was 2 mM. The activity of samples was measured using a microplate reader at 405 nm and compared with that of the free enzymes. For the enzymatic activity of free enzymes, the experimental procedures were the same as those above except that the amount of free enzymes was 10 μg .

Enzymatic activity of GOx/MOFs-WV after 24 h treatment with protease. 1 mg of GOx/ZIF-8-WV or 0.2 mg of GOx/UiO-66-WV or 0.2 mg of MIL-53 (Al) or 0.2 mg of MIL-53 (Fe) was treated with 1 mg mL⁻¹ protease at 40 °C for 24 h, with the rotation speed of the shaker being 120 rpm. After reaction, the solution was then poured out and 10 μL of glucose (1 M), ABTS and 10 μL of HRP (1 mg mL⁻¹) was added to ensure that the concentration of glucose was 100 mM. The activity of samples was measured using a microplate reader at 415 nm and compared with that of the free enzymes. For the enzymatic activity of free enzymes, the experimental procedures were the same as those above except that the amount of free enzymes was 10 μg .

Condition optimization for the preparation of GOx/MOF composites and activity testing. 1 mg GOx and 19 mg UiO-66 were mixed thoroughly and ground. GOx/UiO-66-W was prepared by adding 50 μL water to accelerate the aging of pressed enzyme/MOF composites and kept at 4 °C for 24 h. GOx/MIL-53(Fe)-W was prepared by a similar method. The best way to prepare GOx/UiO-66-WV is to put the pressed samples on the mould with a cover (such as an umbrella) to avoid water contact. The loadings of GOx in GOx/UiO-66-W, GOx/MIL-53(Fe) and GOx/UiO-66-WV (best conditions) were 4.1%, 3.5% and 4%, respectively. 0.25 mg of GOx/UiO-66-WV (best conditions) or 0.25 mg of GOx/UiO-66-W or 0.29 mg of GOx/MIL-53(Fe)-W was put into 100 μL of pH 7.4 PBS of 0.5 mM 2,2'-diazobis-3-ethyl benzothiazoline-6-sulfonic acid (ABTS) with 10 μL of HRP (1 mg mL⁻¹). 10 μL of glucose (0.5 mM) was added to ensure that the concentration of glucose was 100 mM. The activity of samples was measured using a microplate reader at 415 nm and compared with that of the free enzymes. The enzymatic activity was measured as the slope of time *versus* absorption.

Recycling experiments for GOx/UiO-66-WV. 0.25 mg of GOx/UiO-66-WV (best conditions) was put into 100 μL of pH 7.4 PBS of 0.5 mM 2,2'-diazo-bis-3-ethyl benzothiazoline-6-sulfonic acid (ABTS) with 10 μL of HRP (1 mg mL⁻¹). 10 μL of glucose (0.5 mM) was added to ensure that the concentration of glucose was 100 mM. The activity of samples was measured using a microplate reader at 415 nm and compared with that of the free enzymes. The enzymatic activity was measured by the slope of time *versus* absorption. After completion of the reaction, the catalysts were centrifuged rapidly and washed with water several times. Then the samples were used to continue another cycle.

Results and discussion

In order to demonstrate the concept of PISA, the processes of pressure-induced disorder^{32,38} and solvent-stimulated aging of MOFs³⁶ were studied first. Different kinds of MOFs, such as ZIF-8, ZIF-67(Co), HKUST-1 and Mg-MOF-74, can be transformed into partially disordered MOFs by mechanical pressure or

assisted by ball-milling. All the disordered MOFs can be recrystallized into crystalline structures stimulated by water vapor or organic solvents (Fig. S1–S14†). The study of this process served as a basis for enzyme encapsulation.

As a proof of concept, the procedure of the PISA for pristine MOFs was demonstrated by ZIF-8 nanocrystals. First, 10 mg of activated ZIF-8 was ground and transferred into a 13 mm pellet die, and then a certain amount of mechanical pressure (0–1364 MPa) was applied. The effect of compression stress on the crystal structure has been systematically studied. The crystal structure, morphology and porosity of ZIF-8 were characterized by powder X-ray diffraction (PXRD), scanning electron microscopy (SEM) and Brunauer–Emmett–Teller (BET), respectively (Fig. 1a–d and S1–S5†). The characteristic diffraction peaks of ZIF-8 merged into a broad peak at 1364 MPa (Fig. 1a). The loss of crystallinity and porosity for pressed ZIF-8 has been determined by electron paramagnetic resonance (EPR)³⁸ and BET, which was around 75% and 70%, separately (Fig. 1b and S5 and S6†). With increasing pressure, the packing density of the pressed ZIF-8 was also increased with few vacancies (Fig. 1c and




Fig. 1 (a) PXRD patterns of simulated ZIF-8, commercial ZIF-8, and ZIF-8 under high pressure (1364 MPa), before and after recovery (water vapor or water at 25 °C for 3 days). (b) Pore volume analysis of commercial ZIF-8, and ZIF-8 under high pressure (1364 MPa), and after recovery (water vapor or water at 25 °C for 3 days). (c) SEM image of ZIF-8 at low pressure (91 MPa). (d) SEM image of ZIF-8 at high pressure (1364 MPa). (e) SEM image of disordered ZIF-8 recovered in water vapor. (f) SEM image of disordered ZIF-8 recovered in water (scale bars: 2 μm).

d and S2†). Low compression loading only induced partial assembly of ZIF-8 NPs (Fig. 1c), while high compression loading resulted in the formation of tightly packed disordered ZIF-8 particles (Fig. 1d). All of this confirms that most of the ZIF-8 has been transformed into partially disordered ZIF-8 with compression loading of 1364 MPa.

Pressed ZIF-8 can be recrystallized in different solvents, such as water and methanol. Accordingly, water was chosen as the recovery solvent to gradually repair partially disordered MOFs. The prepared pressed ZIF-8 pellets (1364 MPa) were individually exposed to saturated water vapor or immersed in water for 3 days at 25 °C to recover the crystal structure. As expected, the crystallinity of ZIF-8 was reproduced as indicated by PXRD and SEM measurements. The characteristic and sharp Bragg peaks of the two samples centered at 12.7° and 18.07° appeared again after recovery, which could be ascribed to the (211) and (222) facets of ZIF-8 (Fig. 1a). Another four weak Bragg peaks centered at 14.7° (220), 16.5° (310), 24.5° (332) and 26.7° (510) also emerged. The Bragg peaks of both recovered ZIF-8 pellets were broader than but identical to those of the pristine ZIF-8 nanocrystals, indicating that partially disordered ZIF-8 was recrystallized under both treatments. Nevertheless, long time water treatment may induce the pressed ZIF-8 to transform into non-porous diamondoid (dia)-ZIF and ZIF-CO₃-1 structures^{39–41} as reflected by PXRD (Fig. 1a and S7†), BET (Fig. 1b and S8†) and SEM (Fig. 1f and S9†). To further accelerate the conversion of disordered ZIF-8 into the crystalline one, 2-methyl imidazole/aqueous solvent was utilized because it could stabilize the structure of ZIF-8 and avoid further phase transition of ZIF-8 into other ZIF structures (Fig. S7 and S9†). In addition, methanol showed better performance in the recovery of disordered ZIF-8 structures (Fig. S7 and S10–S12†).

A significant surface morphology change was observed between the pressed and recrystallized ZIF-8 (Fig. 1c–f, S9, S11 and S12†). As compared to the disordered sample, polyhedral nanocrystals with varied sizes were found in both recovered samples. The surface area of pressed ZIF-8 and ZIF-8 aged in water or water vapor showed negligible differences (Fig. S8†). However, the pore volume of pressed ZIF-8 after water (water vapor) treatment increased from 0.42 cm³ g⁻¹ nm⁻¹ to 0.60 cm³ g⁻¹ nm⁻¹ (Fig. 1b). By treating pressed ZIF-8 with methanol solvent, around 76.3% of the porosity was recovered (Fig. S10†). The above experimental results jointly prove that the phase transition of disordered ZIF-8 can be triggered under the stimulation of different solvents.

In many reports, enzymes are relatively stable upon application of high mechanical pressure, which is also confirmed by our experiments. After applying the highest axial pressure for 5 min, the pellet machine can provide 5 mg GOx, and the enzyme activity remained almost the same as that of the free enzymes (Fig. S15†). Similar experimental results were also obtained for horseradish peroxidase (HRP) and cytochrome C (Cyt C) (Fig. S15†). The circular dichroism (CD) spectra of enzymes showed that the conformation of enzymes was maintained after the application of mechanical pressure (Fig. S16†). The results implied that such mechanical pressure had little impact on the activity of enzymes and may be a useful tool for

the encapsulation of enzymes. Hence, in the process of PISA for the encapsulation of enzymes in MOFs, enzymes were mixed uniformly with MOFs. After compressing them together under a certain pressure, the enzymes were entrapped in the gaps between the MOF particles and the crystal structures of MOFs were destroyed partially due to mechanical pressure. These pressed composites were then recovered with water vapor at 25 °C, in which enzymes were trapped in the overlap of MOF particles or close to the surface of MOF particles during the dynamic coordination bond rearrangement and self-healing process of MOFs.

To further optimize and deeply understand the whole PISA encapsulation process, several key factors should be considered that may influence the encapsulation of enzymes into MOFs. Taking commercial ZIF-8 encapsulating GOx as an example, one factor should be the interactions between enzymes and MOFs. Commercial ZIF-8 (19.33 mV) was positively charged, while GOx was negatively charged (−10.91 mV). The enzyme and MOFs might be adsorbed together due to electrostatic interaction.²⁹ While in the first step of PISA mixing process, the enzyme was fully adsorbed on the surface of MOFs and therefore favored the package of enzymes (Fig. S17†).

As we know, it is not easy for commercial ZIF-8 to disperse in water, which means the hydrophobic surface of commercial ZIF-8 will impede the adsorption of enzymes and lead to the low loading of enzymes. To enhance the interactions between enzymes and ZIF-8, ball-milling strategy was adopted to induce some defects on the surface of ZIF-8.^{37,42,43} After mixing 19 mg ZIF-8 that was premilled for 5 min with 1 mg GOx, the loading of GOx increased from 0 to 0.64% after washing with a large amount of water (the highest theoretical loading of GOx was 5%) (Fig. 2a). By pressing the mixers (grinding 19 mg ZIF-8 that was premilled for 5 min with 1 mg GOx) under 91 MPa and aging them into 1 mL water for 24 h, around 1.24% GOx was trapped in ZIF-8. In contrast, only 0.89% of GOx was loaded on ZIF-8 when the premilled process was not involved (Fig. 2a). The loadings of enzymes were determined by Bradford method (Fig. S18†). All of these prove that the 5 min milling (zeta potential for ZIF-8 premilled 5 min was 18.81 mV) can facilitate the interactions between ZIF-8 and GOx, thus enhancing the loading of enzymes in ZIF-8 by PISA strategy.

Secondly, mechanical pressure also plays a significant role in the process of encapsulation. Mechanical pressure provided higher internal stress, which accelerated the assembly and aging of ZIF-8 particles. To make our method milder, water vapor (WV) replaced water to finish the following experiments. After milling ZIF-8 for 5 min first, different pressure (91 MPa, 273 MPa and 1364 MPa) was introduced to analyze the effect of pressure on encapsulation. Under low pressure (91 MPa) or high pressure (1364 MPa), 20 mg of the mixture were recovered to obtain restored products, GOx/ZIF-8-WV. Unfortunately, the loading of enzymes was quite low as the high pressure blocked the solvents to infiltrate into the inner side of ZIF-8 pellets (0% for 1364 MPa) and the low pressure was not strong enough to assemble ZIF-8 particles and enzymes (0.47% for 91 MPa) (Fig. 2b). Through constant fine-tuning of pressure, we gladly found that the loading of enzymes was improved to around




Fig. 2 Exploration of the several factors influencing the encapsulation of enzymes. (a) Loadings of enzymes adsorbed on ZIF-8 with or without premilling treatment. The loadings of enzymes adsorbed on ZIF-8 with or without premilling through PISA after soaking in water for 24 h. (b) Loadings of enzymes in ZIF-8 through PISA with different pressures. (c) Loadings of enzymes in ZIF-8 through PISA with different stimulated solvents. (d) Loadings of enzymes in ZIF-8 through PISA with different premilling time.

1.05% at 273 MPa (Fig. 2b). This process unravels that only suitable pressure (not only suitable for encapsulation but also conducive to the penetration of solvent molecules) will benefit the encapsulation of enzymes.

Thirdly, stimulated solvents are also significant to the encapsulation of enzymes. Water or various organic solvents (methanol, DMF, *etc.*) have been used to synthesize MOFs⁴⁴ and recover MOF crystal structures. During the encapsulation of enzymes, organic solvents and organic salts may negatively impact the activity of enzymes according to previous work.^{29,42–47} By contrast, water is mild and gentle for enzymes. In order to protect enzymes' active sites, water vapor recovery strategy was employed to recover the MOF crystal structures. After pressing the GOx and premilled ZIF-8 at 273 MPa, GOx/ZIF-8-X (X = WV, methanol solvents (MS), 2-methyl imidazole/water (MW)) was prepared. The loading of GOx in ZIF-8 recovered by water vapor was 1.05% (Fig. 2c), much higher than the GOx/ZIF-8 recovered under other conditions (0% for GOx/ZIF-8-MS and 0.1% for

GOx/ZIF-MW). The superior loading was brought about by the infiltration of water molecules into ZIF-8 pellets and the acceleration of enzymes coordinating with unsaturated Zn clusters,³⁷ which greatly enhanced the interactions between enzymes and ZIF-8. However, the methanol solvents and 2-methyl imidazole solution tended to accelerate the dissolution and regrowth of ZIF-8 particles themselves³⁶ (Fig. S19†) instead of growing around enzymes, resulting in the unsatisfactory loadings. As a result, water vapor was supposed to be the best solvent to stimulate the aging of MOF particles for the enzyme encapsulation. Compared with traditional strategy, PISA strategy is quite mild for it avoids the use of organic solvents, large amounts of metal ions and organic ligands during enzyme encapsulation in MOFs.

The fourth important factor is the degree of disordered MOFs. Amorphous ZIF-8 (aZIF-8) was prepared by milling ZIF-8 under 30 Hz for 99 min. Through mixing aZIF-8 with GOx, pressing (273 MPa) and recovering it in water vapor, the loading

of enzymes in ZIF-8 after aging in water vapor was 0% (Fig. 2d). By replacing aZIF-8 with ZIF-8 premilled for 10 min, the loading amount was improved to around 0.52%. The same data witnessed a further increase to around 1.05% when decreasing the milling time to 5 min (Fig. 2d), exhibiting 10.9% activity of free enzymes. This was probably caused by the mismatching of zeta potential between aZIF-8 (-1.42 mV) and GOx (-10.91 mV). As for ZIF-8 premilled for different lengths of time, ZIF-8 premilled for 5 min had a positive zeta potential (18.81 mV) while ZIF-8 premilled for 10 min had a negative zeta potential (-0.07 mV), which might improve the loading of enzymes encapsulated in ZIF-8. In addition, aMg-MOF-74 was also chosen to mix with GOx, and encapsulate it under pressure. After three days of water vapor treatment, the loading amount of GOx was about 2.05% with no enzymatic activity being observed (Fig. S20†). However, when using PISA, the loading of the encapsulated GOx was 3.66% and the activity of GOx was retained (6.9% activity of free enzymes) in GOx/Mg-MOF-74-WV. The comparison suggested that aMOFs were unfavorable for the encapsulation of certain enzymes (Fig. S20†). During the process of water vapor recovery, aMg-MOF-74 could release a large number of

uncoordinated metal ions and ligands, resulting in enzyme inactivation. According to the above results, the process of using aMOFs and repairing them with water vapor is unfavorable for the encapsulation of GOx.

Based on the above study, the encapsulation of GOx in ZIF-8 can be improved by combining rapid ball milling with low pressure assisted water vapor stimulated recovery. The phase transition, and encapsulation process of GOx/ZIF-8-WV through the PISA strategy can be demonstrated by SEM, transmission electron microscopy (TEM), PXRD and laser confocal microscopy. The SEM images of pressed GOx/ZIF-8 showed the morphology of assembled ZIF-8 particles. Compared to the pressed GOx/ZIF-8, polyhedral nanocrystals with varied sizes were found in GOx/ZIF-8-WV samples, which indicated the aging of ZIF-8 particles (Fig. 3a–d). The crystal phase of GOx/ZIF-8-WV was changed as indicated by PXRD, which featured a mixed phase structure involving $\sim 94\%$ phases of ZIF- CO_3 -1 and $\sim 6\%$ ZIF-8 (ref. 39–41) (Fig. S19†). In order to prove the successful encapsulation of GOx, fluorescein isothiocyanate (FITC)-labeled GOx was prepared and found to evenly distribute in the ZIF-8 matrix after PISA treatment while the mixture of




Fig. 3 Characterization of GOx/ZIF-8-WV.⁵⁴ (a) SEM image of pressed GOx/ZIF-8 (scale bar: 1 μm). (b) SEM image of GOx/ZIF-8-WV (scale bar: 1 μm). (c) TEM image of pressed GOx/ZIF-8 (scale bar: 100 nm). (d) TEM image of GOx/ZIF-8-WV (scale bar: 100 nm). (e) Laser confocal fluorescence microscopy imaging of the FITC-GOx/ZIF-8-WV (scale bar: 50 μm). (f) 3D reconstruction of laser confocal fluorescence microscopy imaging of the FITC-GOx/ZIF-8-WV. (g) Laser confocal fluorescence microscopy imaging of the FITC-GOx/ZIF-8-WV (scale bar: 5 μm). (h) Laser confocal fluorescence microscopy imaging of the FITC-GOx-on-ZIF-8 (scale bar: 10 μm).

ZIF-8 particles with FITC-labeled GOx was observed to adsorb on the surface of ZIF-8 (Fig. 3h), which also corresponded to the results of the three-dimensional (3D) reconstruction confocal microscope graph (Fig. 3e–g). According to the above experimental results, GOx was encapsulated inside the crystalline ZIF-8 matrix through the PISA strategy.

The PISA strategy can be used to encapsulate not only GOx but also other enzymes such as HRP and Cyt C in ZIF-8. Owing to the hydrophobic environment of ZIF-8, it may perturb the tertiary structure of enzymes and inhibit the transport of the substrate.⁴⁸ During this study, we found that HRP/ZIF-8-WV exhibited 0.99% loading capacity and preserved 27.6% of the activity of the free enzymes when it was stored in a trace amount of aqueous solution (Fig. 4a). Compared with Cyt C, after the encapsulation by PISA, Cyt C/ZIF-8-WV had a high loading capacity of about 4.78%, and 79% of the free enzyme activity remained after being encapsulated into ZIF-8 (Fig. 4a). Partial crystals of HRP/ZIF-8-WV and Cyt C/ZIF-8-WV were transformed from ZIF-8 to ZIF-CO₃-1 (Fig. S19†). The above experiments confirm that PISA is a general strategy for the loading of different enzymes into MOFs.

The PISA strategy can also be applied to other types of MOFs and realize the encapsulation and protection of enzymes. UiO-66, MIL-53(Al), and MIL-53(Fe) all have larger apertures compared to ZIF-8, which promise them to be desirable hosts

for substrates' transportation. GOx was then encapsulated into UiO-66, MIL-53(Al) and MIL-53(Fe) by PISA and the loadings of enzymes were much higher than the loading of enzymes in ZIF-8 (Fig. 4b). For most MOFs, premilling treatment for 5 min boosted their loadings of enzymes while preserving their crystal structures (Fig. S21†). The loadings of enzymes in UiO-66, MIL-53(Al) and MIL-53(Fe) were around 4.86%, 4.7% and 4.55%, respectively (Fig. 4b). The crystal phases of UiO-66, MIL-53(Al) and MIL-53(Fe) changed a little due to crystal coarsening after the loading of enzymes, as reflected by the PXRD patterns and SEM images (Fig. S22 and S23†). It was found that the activity of MOFs loaded with GOx was lower than that of the free enzymes (22.7% and 26.9% that of the free enzymes for GOx/UiO-66-WV and GOx/MIL-53(Fe)-WV (Fig. 4c)). The activity difference between GOx/MOF composites may be ascribed to the effects of the metal-cluster in MOFs⁴⁹ and MOF topologies. The Michaelis–Menten constants of GOx, GOx/UiO-66-WV and GOx/MIL-53(Fe)-WV were determined. Compared to the K_m of free enzymes (1.72 mM), GOx/UiO-66-WV and GOx/MIL-53(Fe)-WV showed higher K_m (11.82 mM and 5.99 mM), suggesting that the mass transfer was affected by the encapsulation (Fig. S24–S26†).

In order to further verify the universality and moderation of our strategy, β -glucosidase (BGL) has been encapsulated into UiO-66 by PISA. The results were analytically compared with




Fig. 4 Universality of PISA for the encapsulation of enzymes. (a) Comparison of enzymatic activity between free enzymes, enzymes/ZIF-8-WV and BGL/UiO-66-WV. (b) Comparison of enzyme loadings in different MOFs. (c) Comparison of enzymatic activity between free GOx and GOx/MOFs-WV. (d) Biological activity of GOx and GOx/MOFs-WV before and after treatment with 1 mg mL⁻¹ protease at 40 °C for 24 h.

those from the ball milling encapsulation strategy.³⁰ The loading of BGL in UiO-66 was around 4.56% and the crystal structures were preserved well after the loading of enzymes (Fig. S22†). About 25.5% of the activity from BGL/UiO-66-WV was well maintained after encapsulation (Fig. 4a and S27†). While BGL was packaged by ball milling, it could only maintain about 5% ($5 \times 10^{-4} \text{ s}^{-1}$ for BGL@UiO-66-NH₂ and $1.0 \times 10^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$ for free BGL) of the enzymatic activity compared with that of the free enzyme. This proves that our method is milder and universal for enzyme encapsulation. To make our method more repeatable and efficient, several factors such as particle sizes of MOFs, pressure for the synthesis of enzyme/MOF composites, aging time, preparation process and electrostatic properties matching for the MOFs and enzymes have all been researched (Fig. S28–S32 and Movie S1†). The recovery time can be shortened to 24 h by adding 50 μL water to the pressed enzymes/MOFs (Fig. S32†), which can accelerate the aging process. It was surprising that GOx/UiO-66-WV exhibited 95% relative activity compared to native GOx at the same protein concentration when it was aged in a top covered mould to avoid coming into contact with condensed water (Fig. S32†). This means that the recovery condition is the most important for preparing enzyme/MOF composites with high activity. In addition, GOx/

UiO-66-WV can still maintain $\sim 50\%$ relative activity after 3 cycles which proved the stability of GOx/MOF composites prepared by PISA (Fig. S32†).

To investigate whether MOF structures could prevent the enzymes from being attacked by enzyme inhibitors, free GOx and GOx/MOFs-WV were immersed in 1 mg mL^{-1} protease solution for 24 h at 40°C , respectively. For most GOx/MOFs-WV, their enzyme activity slightly decreased after poisoning, probably due to the adsorption of a small number of enzymes on the surface. Meanwhile, most of them maintained more than 70% of the original activity except GOx/ZIF-8-WV (40% of the original activity after poisoning) (Fig. 4d). In particular, GOx/UiO-66-WV and GOx/MIL-53(Fe)-WV barely lost their activity after protease treatment (99% and 94%). For free enzymes, the activity they retained was only 22.7% of their original value. The results strongly evidence that the PISA strategy can not only maintain high activity of enzymes but also protect enzymes reliably.

In the process of enzyme encapsulation through PISA, we not only focus on the encapsulation of enzymes, but also pay more attention to the encapsulation mechanisms. How do MOFs encapsulate the enzymes in this system and realize the protective effect? Based on previous experiments, two packaging mechanisms have been predicted as below. The first




Fig. 5 HAADF STEM and 3D reconstruction TEM images of 13 nm Au/ZIF-8-WV. (a–c) Representative HAADF-STEM images (-55° , 0° and 64°) captured from the video with a series of tilting angles for the Au/ZIF-8-WV sample taken with a 1° tilt increment step from -55° to 64° . The scale bar on the images is 250 nm. (d–f) Representative 3D reconstruction HRTEM images (0° , 90° and 180°) captured from the video for Au/ZIF-8-WV and (g–i) position of Au NPs; the scale bar in the images is 250 nm for (d), (f), (g), and (i), and 500 nm for (e) and (h).




Fig. 6 Schematic illustration of the possible position of GOx after being encapsulated into MOF structures and the interactions between MOFs and residual groups on GOx.

assumption is that MOFs function as glue. Specifically, the glue here is the repair of the MOF dynamic coordination bond with no external substances (metal ions/organic solvents). The second one is that the existence of trace water is conducive to the dissociation and precipitation of local metal ions and organic ligands, especially at the defects, and tends to trap the enzyme more closely to the surface of MOF structures.^{50,51}

To validate the two analytical predictions above, metal nanoparticles (MNPs) were used to replace enzymes to explore the encapsulation mechanisms through the technology of 3D TEM image reconstruction, cross-sectional images, control experiments and molecular dynamics simulations. Firstly, ~ 13 nm-diameter Au NPs and ~ 3 nm-diameter Pt NPs were used to replace enzymes and to be encapsulated into commercial ZIF-8 and UiO-66-NH₂ through PISA, mainly because the enzymes were hardly observed from TEM. A series of 3D high angle annular dark-field scanning transmission electron microscope (HAADF-STEM) images of Au/ZIF-8-WV at consecutive tilt angles from -55° to 60° with a 1° tilt increment were taken (Fig. 5a–c and Movies S2 and S3†). As shown in the 3D reconstruction images, many Au NPs were embedded on ZIF-8 structures from the side direction of Au/ZIF-8-WV (Fig. 5d–f). This was consistent with the results of nanoparticle reconstruction alone (Fig. 5g–i). In addition, the merged Pt/UiO-66-NH₂-WV samples were cut apart and Pt NPs inside were observed clearly by the change of contrast compared with Pt/UiO-66-NH₂-WV samples (Fig. S33†). The 3D TEM image reconstruction and the Pt NPs exposed inside after cutting Pt/UiO-66-NH₂-WV samples prove that the enzymes are trapped between the interlayer of MOF particles during the coalescence of MOF particles.

Moreover, partial enzymes may be encapsulated close to the surface of MOFs. To prove this prediction, control experiments have also been conducted. When mixing UiO-66 with GOx and aging them for 3 days, the GOx/UiO-66 showed 12.6% activity of free enzymes after being treated with protease (Fig. S34†). By mixing UiO-66 (premilled for 5 min) or UiO-66 (premilled for 10 min) with GOx and aging for 3 days, 50% of the enzymatic activity was retained (Fig. S34†) after being treated with protease, suggesting that defects were created on the MOF

surface by ball milling and trapped enzymes during the dynamic coordination of MOFs. For all the samples, their crystal structures showed negligible change after the loading of GOx (Fig. S35†). Molecular dynamics simulations for the interactions between truncated MOFs and enzymes have been calculated, which proved that enzymes tended to adsorb on the defects of MOFs (Fig. S36 and S37, and Movies S4 and S5†). Based on the results above, low mechanical pressure combined with milling may create some defects on the surface of MOFs and lead to the encapsulation of enzymes close to the surface of MOFs. According to all of the results we obtained, enzymes should be trapped on the surface of MOFs or in the gaps between MOFs (Fig. 6).

Conclusions

In summary, we have developed a PISA strategy to encapsulate enzymes into MOF structures during the phase transition of MOFs by a mechanical pressure and water-vapor stimulated aging process. The PISA strategy is suitable for different enzymes (GOx, HRP, Cyt C and BGL) and can be extended to various MOFs (ZIF-8, UiO-66, UiO-66-NH₂, Mg-MOF-74, MIL-53(Al) and MIL-53(Fe)). GOx/UiO-66-WV prepared under the best conditions showed 95% relative activity compared to free enzymes. The successfully synthesized GOx/MIL-53(Fe)-WV and GOx/UiO-66-WV composites retained more than 90% of the original activity after treatment with protease. Meanwhile, enzymes were trapped in the gaps between MOF particles or on the outer side of MOFs as proved by tilted HAADF-STEM, TEM images of sliced samples, control experiments and molecular dynamics simulations. This strategy serves as a good choice to design new MOF composites with enzymes. Moreover, other functional species such as luminescent molecules^{8,52} and drug molecules^{4,15,17} may also be accommodated into MOFs for preparing photonic MOF composites or dynamic drug delivery systems. The hosts are theoretically versatile to be other structures such as coordination networks⁵³ and coordination compounds.⁵⁴ We believe that the PISA strategy will be a potent toolbox for the synthesis of MOF composites without damaging

the functionality of guest molecules and will also endow MOFs with new properties for a wide range of applications, such as biocatalysis, sensing, biotherapy and so on.

Author contributions

Z. R., W. Q. Z. and J. N. W. designed and performed the experiments, analyzed the results and drafted the manuscript. Z. Y. Q. and L. W. L. assisted with catalytic experiments and manuscript revision. W. Q. Z., J. N. and C. C. were responsible for the PISA characterization process. H. H. S., X. L. Z., I. K., B. Z., and J. S. W. helped revise the manuscript. W. X. S. was responsible for molecular dynamics simulations. F. W. H. and W. N. Z. supervised the project, helped design the experiments and revised the manuscript. All authors contributed to the analysis of this paper.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the National Science Foundation for Distinguished Young Scholars (21625401), the National Natural Science Foundation of China (21727808, 21971114, and 22075139), and the Jiangsu Provincial Funds for Natural Science Foundation (BK20200090). We are thankful for the help from Prof. Matvey V. Fedin and Artem Poryvaev for calculating the ratios of disordered TEMPO@ZIF-8 under 1364 MPa by MATLAB.

Notes and references

- 1 Y. He, W. Zhou, G. Qian and B. Chen, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2014, **43**, 5657–5678.
- 2 X. Zhao, Y. Wang, D.-S. Li, X. Bu and P. Feng, *Adv. Mater.*, 2018, **30**, 1705189.
- 3 Q. Yang, Q. Xu and H.-L. Jiang, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2017, **46**, 4774–4808.
- 4 A. C. McKinlay, R. E. Morris, P. Horcajada, G. Férey, R. Gref, P. Couvreur and C. Serre, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2010, **49**, 6260–6266.
- 5 P. Horcajada, R. Gref, T. Baati, P. K. Allan, G. Maurin, P. Couvreur, G. Férey, R. E. Morris and C. Serre, *Chem. Rev.*, 2012, **112**, 1232–1268.
- 6 P.-L. Wang, L.-H. Xie, E. A. Joseph, J.-R. Li, X.-O. Su and H.-C. Zhou, *Chem. Rev.*, 2019, **119**, 10638–10690.
- 7 Y. Cui, Y. Yue, G. Qian and B. Chen, *Chem. Rev.*, 2012, **112**, 1126–1162.
- 8 Y. Cui, J. Zhang, H. He and G. Qian, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2018, **47**, 5740–5785.
- 9 L. Chen, R. Luque and Y. Li, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2017, **46**, 4614–4630.
- 10 H. Furukawa, K. E. Cordova, M. O’Keeffe and O. M. Yaghi, *Science*, 2013, **341**, 1230444.
- 11 T. Wang, L. Gao, J. Hou, S. J. Herou, J. T. Griffiths, W. Li, J. Dong, S. Gao, M.-M. Titirici and R. V. Kumar, *Nat. Commun.*, 2019, **10**, 1340.
- 12 A. Kirchon, L. Feng, H. F. Drake, E. A. Joseph and H.-C. Zhou, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2018, **47**, 8611–8638.
- 13 P. Falcaro, A. J. Hill, K. M. Nairn, J. Jasieniak, J. I. Mardel, T. J. Bastow, S. C. Mayo, M. Gimona, D. Gomez, H. J. Whitfield, R. Riccò, A. Patelli, B. Marmiroli, H. Amenitsch, T. Colson, L. Villanova and D. Buso, *Nat. Commun.*, 2011, **2**, 237.
- 14 Y. Mao, J. Li, W. Cao, Y. Ying, P. Hu, Y. Liu, L. Sun, H. Wang, C. Jin and X. Peng, *Nat. Commun.*, 2014, **5**, 5532.
- 15 G. Lu, S. Li, Z. Guo, O. K. Farha, B. G. Hauser, X. Qi, Y. Wang, X. Wang, S. Han, X. Liu, J. S. DuChene, H. Zhang, Q. Zhang, X. Chen, J. Ma, S. C. J. Loo, W. D. Wei, Y. Yang, J. T. Hupp and F. Huo, *Nat. Chem.*, 2012, **4**, 310.
- 16 M. Zhao, K. Yuan, Y. Wang, G. Li, J. Guo, L. Gu, W. Hu, H. Zhao and Z. Tang, *Nature*, 2016, **539**, 76.
- 17 P. Horcajada, T. Chalati, C. Serre, B. Gillet, C. Sebrie, T. Baati, J. F. Eubank, D. Heurtaux, P. Clayette and C. Kreuz, *Nat. Mater.*, 2010, **9**, 172.
- 18 X. Lian, Y. Fang, E. Joseph, Q. Wang, J. Li, S. Banerjee, C. Lollar, X. Wang and H.-C. Zhou, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2017, **46**, 3386–3401.
- 19 S. Huang, X. Kou, J. Shen, G. Chen and G. Ouyang, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2020, **59**, 8786–8798.
- 20 W. Liang, P. Wied, F. Carraro, C. J. Sumby, B. Nidetzky, C.-K. Tsung, P. Falcaro and C. J. Doonan, *Chem. Rev.*, 2021, **121**, 1077–1129.
- 21 J. Guan, Y. Hu, Y. Wang, H. Li, Z. Xu, T. Zhang, P. Wu, S. Zhang, G. Xiao, W. Ji, L. Li, M. Zhang, Y. Fan, L. Li, B. Zheng, W. Zhang, W. Huang and F. Huo, *Adv. Mater.*, 2017, **29**, 1606290.
- 22 J. V. Morabito, L.-Y. Chou, Z. Li, C. M. Manna, C. A. Petroff, R. J. Kyada, J. M. Palomba, J. A. Byers and C.-K. Tsung, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2014, **136**, 12540–12543.
- 23 Z. Li, T. M. Rayder, L. Luo, J. A. Byers and C.-K. Tsung, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2018, **140**, 8082–8085.
- 24 V. Lykourinou, Y. Chen, X.-S. Wang, L. Meng, T. Hoang, L.-J. Ming, R. L. Musselman and S. Ma, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2011, **133**, 10382–10385.
- 25 K. Liang, R. Ricco, C. M. Doherty, M. J. Styles, S. Bell, N. Kirby, S. Mudie, D. Haylock, A. J. Hill, C. J. Doonan and P. Falcaro, *Nat. Commun.*, 2015, **6**, 7240.
- 26 W.-H. Chen, M. Vázquez-González, A. Zoabi, R. Abu-Reziq and I. Willner, *Nat. Catal.*, 2018, **1**, 689–695.
- 27 F. Lyu, Y. Zhang, R. N. Zare, J. Ge and Z. Liu, *Nano Lett.*, 2014, **14**, 5761–5765.
- 28 X. Wu, J. Ge, C. Yang, M. Hou and Z. Liu, *Chem. Commun.*, 2015, **51**, 13408–13411.
- 29 G. Chen, X. Kou, S. Huang, L. Tong, Y. Shen, W. Zhu, F. Zhu and G. Ouyang, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2020, **59**, 2867–2874.
- 30 T.-H. Wei, S.-H. Wu, Y.-D. Huang, W.-S. Lo, B. P. Williams, S.-Y. Chen, H.-C. Yang, Y.-S. Hsu, Z.-Y. Lin, X.-H. Chen, P.-E. Kuo, L.-Y. Chou, C.-K. Tsung and F.-K. Shieh, *Nat. Commun.*, 2019, **10**, 5002.

- 31 K. W. Chapman, G. J. Halder and P. J. Chupas, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2009, **131**, 17546–17547.
- 32 K. W. Chapman, D. F. Sava, G. J. Halder, P. J. Chupas and T. M. Nenoff, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2011, **133**, 18583–18585.
- 33 S. Yuan, X. Sun, J. Pang, C. Lollar, J.-S. Qin, Z. Perry, E. Joseph, X. Wang, Y. Fang, M. Bosch, D. Sun, D. Liu and H.-C. Zhou, *Joule*, 2017, **1**, 806–815.
- 34 T. Friščić, I. Halasz, P. J. Beldon, A. M. Belenguer, F. Adams, S. A. J. Kimber, V. Honkimäki and R. E. Dinnebier, *Nat. Chem.*, 2013, **5**, 66–73.
- 35 R. Monteagudo-Olivan, L. Paseto, G. Potier, P. López-Ramde-Viu and J. Coronas, *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.*, 2019, **2019**, 29–36.
- 36 T. Panda, S. Horike, K. Hagi, N. Ogiwara, K. Kadota, T. Itakura, M. Tsujimoto and S. Kitagawa, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2017, **56**, 2413–2417.
- 37 E. Shearier, P. Cheng, Z. Zhu, J. Bao, Y. H. Hu and F. Zhao, *RSC Adv.*, 2016, **6**, 4128–4135.
- 38 A. S. Poryvaev, D. M. Polyukhov and M. V. Fedin, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2020, **12**, 16655–16661.
- 39 W. Liang, R. Ricco, N. K. Maddigan, R. P. Dickinson, H. Xu, Q. Li, C. J. Sumby, S. G. Bell, P. Falcaro and C. J. Doonan, *Chem. Mater.*, 2018, **30**, 1069–1077.
- 40 F. Carraro, M. d. J. Velásquez-Hernández, E. Astria, W. Liang, L. Twilight, C. Parise, M. Ge, Z. Huang, R. Ricco, X. Zou, L. Villanova, C. O. Kappe, C. Doonan and P. Falcaro, *Chem. Sci.*, 2020, **11**, 3397–3404.
- 41 W. Liang, H. Xu, F. Carraro, N. K. Maddigan, Q. Li, S. G. Bell, D. M. Huang, A. Tarzia, M. B. Solomon, H. Amenitsch, L. Vaccari, C. J. Sumby, P. Falcaro and C. J. Doonan, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2019, **141**, 2348–2355.
- 42 T. D. Bennett, T. K. Todorova, E. F. Baxter, D. G. Reid, C. Gervais, B. Bueken, B. Van de Voorde, D. De Vos, D. A. Keen and C. Mellot-Draznieks, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2016, **18**, 2192–2201.
- 43 B. Szczeniński, S. Borysiuk, J. Choma and M. Jaroniec, *Mater. Horizons*, 2020, **7**, 1457–1473.
- 44 S. Dai, F. Nouar, S. Zhang, A. Tissot and C. Serre, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2021, **60**, 4282–4288.
- 45 T. T. Herskovits, B. Gadegbeku and H. Jaillet, *J. Biol. Chem.*, 1970, **245**, 2588–2598.
- 46 S. Toba and K. M. Merz, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1997, **119**, 9939–9948.
- 47 N. Vasileva and T. Godjevargova, *Mater. Sci. Eng. C*, 2005, **25**, 17–21.
- 48 W. Liang, H. Xu, F. Carraro, N. K. Maddigan, Q. Li, S. G. Bell, D. M. Huang, A. Tarzia, M. B. Solomon, H. Amenitsch, L. Vaccari, C. J. Sumby, P. Falcaro and C. J. Doonan, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2019, **141**, 2348–2355.
- 49 H. An, J. Song, T. Wang, N. Xiao, Z. Zhang, P. Cheng, S. Ma, H. Huang and Y. Chen, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2020, **59**, 16764–16769.
- 50 C. Hu, Y. Bai, M. Hou, Y. Wang, L. Wang, X. Cao, C.-W. Chan, H. Sun, W. Li, J. Ge and K. Ren, *Sci. Adv.*, 2020, **6**, eaax5785.
- 51 S. Gao, J. Hou, Z. Deng, T. Wang, S. Beyer, A. G. Buzanich, J. J. Richardson, A. Rawal, R. Seidel, M. Y. Zulkifli, W. Li, T. D. Bennett, A. K. Cheetham, K. Liang and V. Chen, *Chem*, 2019, **5**, 1597–1608.
- 52 V. Glembockyte, M. Frenette, C. Mottillo, A. M. Durantini, J. Gostick, V. Štrukil, T. Friščić and G. Cosa, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2018, **140**, 16882–16887.
- 53 N. Hosono and S. Kitagawa, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2018, **51**, 2437–2446.
- 54 M. Jin, T. Sumitani, H. Sato, T. Seki and H. Ito, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2018, **140**, 2875–2879.