# Journal of Materials Chemistry C



# PAPER

Cite this: *J. Mater. Chem. C*, 2022, 10, 17208

# Well-defined electrochemical switching of amphiphilic glycolated poly(3,4-ethylenedioxythiophene)†‡

Renata Rybakiewicz-Sekita, D<sup>\*ab</sup> Maciej Gryszel, D<sup>ac</sup> Gaurav Pathak,<sup>c</sup> Roman Gańczarczyk,<sup>a</sup> Mary Jocelyn Donahue<sup>c</sup> and Eric Daniel Głowacki D<sup>\*ad</sup>

The approach of using polyether, aka glycol, side chains to afford amphiphilicity to conducting polymers has recently emerged as a powerful technique for next-generation materials for bioelectronics and electrochemical devices. Herein we apply this synthetic logic to the archetypical conducting polymer poly(3,4-ethylenedioxythiophene), PEDOT, to generate a glycolated PEDOT analogue, G-PEDOT. We report on the electropolymerization of this material, and its electrochemical properties: including spectroelectrochemistry, electrochemical capacitance, and operation of microelectrodes and electrochemical transistors. While in many respects performing like PEDOT, G-PEDOT has electrochemical switching within lower potentials with complete de-doping at lower potentials, affording transistors with higher on/off ratios than PEDOT, and electrochromic switching within a smaller electrochemical window. Overall, G-PEDOT emerges as a useful, functional alternative to other PEDOT derivatives, and could be a building block in copolymers. PAPER<br>
(a) Check for updates<br>
(a) Check for updates<br>
Consider the material properties of **amphiphilic glycolated**<br>
Consider them c. 2022<br>
2019 Check for updates and the consider of **amphiphilic glycolated**<br>
2019 Check for

Received 8th April 2022, Accepted 20th September 2022

DOI: 10.1039/d2tc01448c

[rsc.li/materials-c](https://rsc.li/materials-c)

# 1. Introduction

Conducting polymers are a class of multifunctional electronic materials possessing hybrid electronic and ionic conductivity, chemical synthetic tunability, and unique electrochemical, catalytic, and optoelectronic properties. Conducting polymers can be divided into two families: hydrophobic and hydrophilic. The former is synthesized and processed from organic solvents such as toluene, chloroform, etc. Thin films of such polymers can be used in many solid-state devices like light-emitting diodes and photovoltaic cells. The other class of conducting polymers are hydrophilic and are processed and often used in aqueous conditions. This class is practically relevant in bioelectronics, biosensors, $1,2$  and electrolytic capacitors.<sup>3</sup> Recently, amphiphilic conducting polymers have emerged, featuring polyether-based side chains commonly referred to as glycol side

chains.4–6 Glycol side chains afford hydrophilicity, but also affinity for organic solvents such as chloroform. The balance between hydrophilicity and hydrophobicity can be therefore tuned using glycol side chains, or combinations of glycol and alkyl side chains. $6,7$  This principle of tailoring the processability (from organic or aqueous solvent systems) and wettability using glycol side chains has been applied to yield next-generation conducting polymers for electrochemical transistors, ECTs,<sup>8</sup> as well as electrochromic devices.<sup>9</sup> Both applications rely on reversible electrochemical redox reactions to modulate the doping of the polymer, and require good ion mobility and efficient exchange of ions between the aqueous surroundings and the polymer film. Side chains play an important role in running wettability and ionic transport. ECT devices are used for biosensing applications, and as transducers for amplifying biopotential signals.<sup>10,11</sup> High-performance implantable neural recording arrays based on ECT pixels are a major area of research.<sup>12</sup> ECTs require fast and reversible electrochemical redox reactions to modulate the conductivity of the polymer, simultaneously, the polymers must maintain good electrical conductivity and robustness with respect to dissolution or delamination. Poly(3,4-ethylenedioxythiophene), PEDOT, has become one of the most widespread and successful conducting polymer materials, and dominates in ECT research and applications. Most commonly it is deployed with the polyelectrolyte poly(styrene sulfonate) acting as the counter ion, to yield the composite PEDOT:PSS. While many glycol-containing homoand heteropolymers have begun to compete with PEDOT in

<sup>a</sup> Warsaw University of Technology, Faculty of Chemistry, Noakowskiego 3, 00-664, Warsaw, Poland. E-mail: eric.glowacki@pw.edu.pl

 $b$  Faculty of Mathematics and Natural Sciences, School of Sciences, Institute of Chemical Sciences, Cardinal Stefan Wyszynski University in Warsaw, Wóycickiego

<sup>1/3, 01-815,</sup> Warsaw, Poland. E-mail: r.rybakiewicz@uksw.edu.pl <sup>c</sup> Laboratory of Organic Electronics, ITN Campus Norrköping, Linköping University,

SE-60174, Norrköping, Sweden

<sup>d</sup> Bioelectronics Materials and Devices Lab, Central European Institute of Technology, Brno University of Technology, Purkyňova 123, 61200, Brno, Czech Republic. E-mail: eric.daniel.glowacki@ceitec.vutbr.cz

<sup>†</sup> This paper is dedicated to Prof. Adam Pron´ on occasion of his 70th birthday.

<sup>‡</sup> Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. See DOI: [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1039/d2tc01448c)

[<sup>10.1039/</sup>d2tc01448c](https://doi.org/10.1039/d2tc01448c)

ECT and other bioelectronics applications,  $7,13-15$  surprisingly a glycolated derivative of PEDOT itself has not been explored in these contexts. The synthesis of a glycolated PEDOT was reported in 2000, with a preliminary evaluation of electrochemical properties.16 This encouraged us to revisit this concept in detail, and perform measurements relevant to modern applications. The motivation of this work was to synthesize a simple glycol side-chain bearing PEDOT and test its electrochemical, spectroelectrochemical, and electrical properties in microelectrode and transistor geometry, in order to inform the growing body of knowledge about amphiphilic conducting polymers. We successfully demonstrate electropolymerization to produce useful G-PEDOT layers and devices. We also test the possibility of solution processing from organic solvents, finding negative results. What emerges is that G-PEDOT behaves much like the well-known PEDOT, but with advantages of more defined electrochemical doping/dedoping within a smaller electrochemical window. Published on 09 November 2022. Experimental distance are the solution of the creative of the solution of the s

## 2. Experimental section

#### 2.1. Materials

2,3-Dihydrothieno[3,4-b][1,4]dioxin-2-yl methanol (hydroxymethyl EDOT), copper(1) iodide, 1-(2-bromoethoxy)-2-(2-methoxyethoxy)ethane, potassium tert-butoxide, dry pyridine, poly(3,4-ethylenedioxythiophene)–poly(styrenesulfonate) (3–4 wt% dispersion in

H<sub>2</sub>O), iron(III) chloride anhydrous, acetonitrile, ammonia, hydrazine, dimethylformamide were purchased from Aldrich. Preparative column chromatography was performed on a glass column with Merck silica gel, 230–400 mesh.

#### 2.2. Synthesis

The investigated EDOT derivative containing oligo(oxyethylene) substituent, abbreviated as G-EDOT was prepared by modified Ullmann coupling<sup>17</sup> between 2,3-dihydrothieno[3,4-b]-l,4-dioxin-2-yl methanol (HO-EDOT) and 1-(2-bromoethoxy)-2-(2-methoxyethoxy) ethane, using a modification of the procedure previously reported.<sup>18</sup> (see Fig. 1a). HO-EDOT can be prepared starting from inexpensive thiodiglycolic acid<sup>19</sup> but recently it has become commercially available. The detailed synthetic protocol for G-EDOT, containing spectroscopic characterization data, can be found below.

Synthesis of 2-(2,5,8,11-tetraoxadodecan-1-yl)-2,3-dihydrothieno- [3,4-b][1,4]dioxine (G-EDOT). A solution of hydroxymethyl EDOT (OH-EDOT) (2.13 g, 12.37 mmol) and CuI (0.47 g, 2.47 mmol) in dry pyridine (40 mL) was purged with argon for 20 min. The 1-(2-bromoethoxy)-2-(2-methoxyethoxy)ethane (4.21 g, 18.54 mmol) and t-BuOK (2.36 g, 21.03 mmol) were added and the mixture was stirred for 20 hours at 100 °C under an argon atmosphere. After cooling down, water (20 mL) was added and the layers were separated. The aqueous phase was extracted three times with dichloromethane. The combined organic layers were dried over



Fig. 1 (a) Synthetic route for the preparation of G-EDOT and G-PEDOT. (b) Photographs of 1 cm<sup>2</sup> areas of G-PEDOT polymerized on ITO and goldcoated PET substrates. (c) Potentiodynamic electropolymerization of G-EDOT on a platinum electrode immersed in 0.1 M TBAPF6/CH3CN electrolyte containing 5  $\times$  10<sup>-3</sup> M of the monomer, first scan is red. Scan rate 100 mV s<sup>-1</sup>. (d) CV scan of a thin film of G-PEDOT electropolymerized on a Pt electrode. Electrolyte 0.1 M TBAPF<sub>6</sub>/CH<sub>3</sub>CN, scan rate 100 mV s<sup>-1</sup>.

MgSO4 and the solvents were removed via rotary evaporation. Finally, the crude compounds were purified by column chromatography (silica gel; eluent: hexane/ethyl acetate 2/1 v/v) and obtained as a yellowish oil (1.31 g, 33% reaction yield).

 $^{1}$ H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  6.33–6.31 (m, 2H), 4.35–4.29  $(m, 1H)$ , 4.25 (dd, J = 11.7, 2.3 Hz, 1H), 4.06 (dd, J = 11.7, 7.5 Hz, 1H), 3.77 (dd,  $J = 10.6$ , 5.1 Hz, 1H), 3.71-3.63 (m, 11H), 3.56-3.52 (m, 2H), 3.37 (s, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  141.72, 141.64, 99.80, 99.70, 72.77, 72.07, 71.35, 70.79, 70.77, 70.70, 70.69, 69.78, 66.28, 59.19. UV-Vis (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>):  $\lambda_{\text{max}} = 258 \text{ nm}$ .

Chemical preparation of G-PEDOT. In this work, G-EDOT was employed for one-step chemical oxidative polymerization, according to a modified synthetic strategy.20 The monomer (G-EDOT) and oxidant (anhydrous FeCl<sub>3</sub>) were used in a 1:4 molar ratio during the overnight room temperature polymerization in acetonitrile. Finally, the G-PEDOT film was rinsed with methanol several times to remove the oxidative agent and dried. Subsequently, the G-PEDOT was soaked in three different solvent mixtures for 48 hours at room temperature: DMF, methanol– ammonia (5 : 1), and methanol–hydrazine (5 : 1). Nevertheless, the attempts of chemical reduction followed by solution uptake did not allow for solution processing effectively enough for the next step characterization. Due to the very low solubility of this chemically polymerized and reduced polymer, the results provided in this work have been limited to the electropolymerized G-PEDOT films.

#### 2.3. Characterization techniques

<sup>1</sup>HNMR and <sup>13</sup>CNMR spectra were recorded in deuterated chloroform  $(CDCl_3)$  on a Bruker 400 MHz Instrument spectrometer. UV-Vis absorption spectra of the obtained compounds dissolved in dichloromethane, as well as of the polymer thin films deposited on an ITO electrode, were recorded on a Varian Cary 5000. Electrochemical measurements were conducted using an Ivium Vertex One potentiostat. For electrochemical polymerization, the monomer concentration was  $5 \times 10^{-3}$  M in an electrolyte consisting of 0.1 M TBAPF $_6$  dissolved in deoxygenated CH<sub>3</sub>CN. The electropolymerization was performed in a single-compartment, three-electrode electrochemical cell with a platinum counter electrode and an Ag/AgCl pseudoreference electrode. Thin films of G-PEDOT and PEDOT (electropolymerization products of G-EDOT and EDOT, respectively) were deposited either on a platinum disk (for CV) or on an ITO (indium–tin oxide) electrode (for UV-vis-NIR spectroelectrochemical investigations), or gold-coated PET or glass. Whereas CV scans for PEDOT:PSS were performed for layers deposited on glass/ITO by drop casting of its water solution  $(2 \mu L)$ of  $\sim$  0.5 wt% dispersion in water on glass/ITO area of 0.05 cm<sup>2</sup>). The spectroelectrochemical experiments were carried out in an anhydrous, degassed solution of 0.1 M TBAPF $_6$  in CH<sub>3</sub>CN and in a deoxygenated 0.1 M KCl aqueous solution as the supporting electrolyte with platinum and Ag/AgCl as counter and pseudoreference electrodes, respectively.

#### 2.4. Deposition of G-PEDOT for capacitance determination

To calculate electrochemical capacitance, all G-PEDOT depositions and electrochemical characterizations were done with

Ivium technologies Vertex One potentiostat and a PEC 15 mL double-sided electrochemical cell (Redox.me). To facilitate deposition of layers of linearly increasing thickness, galvanostatic electropolymerization (2 electrode arrangement, with Pt coil as a counter electrode) was used, with a constant current of 10 µA (0.2 mA  $cm^{-2}$ ) and varying deposition time (75, 150, 225 or 300 s). The electrolyte was composed of 3.14 mM  $(1 \text{ mg } \text{mL}^{-1})$  of the monomer and 100 mM HClO<sub>4</sub>, dissolved in deionized water. ITO coated glass (Kintec, 15 Ohm  $sq^{-1}$ ,  $2\times 2$  cm pieces) was used as a substrate, after cleaning by sequential sonication in acetone, isopropanol, and DI water and limiting the active area to  $0.05 \text{ cm}^2$  by application of a selfadhesive PVC foil with a 2.5 mm  $\varnothing$  opening. Electrical contact to the working electrode terminal was provided by copper tape. Thickness of layers was measured with a Dektak XT Bruker profilometer. The capacitance calculation was based on the integrals of cyclic voltammograms, recorded in deoxygenated 0.1 M KCl water solution with the scan rate of 100 mV  $s^{-1}$  and a scanning range of  $-0.1$  V to  $+0.3$  V vs. Ag/AgCl reference electrode. A Pt coil was used as a counter electrode. **Journal of Materials Chemistry C**<br>
Mago, and the constraint of the comparation constraint of common and on denoted of electromechy into denoted by the common common and into the common access the common common access Art

### 2.5. Fabrication and measurement of PEDOT and G-PEDOT microelectrode arrays (6 pieces, 50  $\times$  50  $\mu$ m sized electrodes) and preparation of vertical electrochemical transistors (vECT)

Devices were based on  $3 \times 1$ " glass slides, cleaned by sequential sonication in acetone, isopropanol, 2% Hellmanex, and deionized water. The surface was treated with oxygen plasma (200 W, 600 s, Diener electronic GmbH) before deposition of a base layer of 2  $\mu$ m parylene-c by CVD (Diener electronic GmbH). Then, 3 nm Pd sticking layer and 100 nm Au layer were deposited by sputtering (Vaksis 3M sputtering system) using a DC magnetron for Au and a RF magnetron for Pd (13.56 MHz), with 100 W power and 3.8–4.0 mTorr pressure of pure argon. The conductive leads between the contact pads and the microelectrodes were patterned using Microposit S1818 G2 photoresist, MF-319 developer and a  $K I/I_2$  wet etchant that removed both the Au and the Pd layers. Later, samples were encapsulated with a 2  $\mu$ m thick layer of parylene-c with 3-(trimethoxysilyl)propyl methacrylate present in the CVD chamber as an adhesion promoter. The openings for microelectrodes and contact pads were patterned by AZ 10XT photoresist, AZ developer and RIE (150 W,  $O_2/CF_4$  500/100 sccm). The residual resist was removed by acetone, followed by quick rinsing with isopropanol and deionized water yielding the final substrates shown in Fig. S3 (ESI‡).

For fair comparison of properties of PEDOT and G-PEDOT, deposition of layers was performed by galvanostatic electropolymerization (2-electrode arrangement) with the same  $t$  and  $I$ for both monomers. Two sets of samples were prepared, with electrodeposition charge of 2 µC (100 s, 20 nA = 0.8 mA cm<sup>-2</sup>) and 4  $\mu$ C (80 s, 50 nA = 2 mA cm<sup>-2</sup>). The electrolyte (5 mM of a monomer and 100 mM  $LiClO<sub>4</sub>$  in acetonitrile) was loaded to a modified 1 mL plastic syringe (with a small Pt plate confined inside to serve as a counter electrode) by adjusting the pressure with another syringe connected by a flexible tubing. The sample was precisely positioned under the syringe tip to achieve the electrolytic contact without any mechanical connection. The electrical contact to the working electrode terminal was carefully established with a needle probe placed on the contact pad.

Electrochemical impedance of microelectrodes was measured in a similar way, with a syringe (designated for waterbased solutions) confining a platinum plate as a counter electrode and a Ag/AgCl pseudoreference electrode, filled with the electrolyte (Phosphate buffered saline (10 mM phosphate; 138 mM NaCl, 2.7 mM KCl) of pH 7.4) by adjusting the pressure with another syringe connected by a flexible tubing. EIS spectra were recorded within 100 kHz to 1 Hz range (with 20 points per decade) using a sine probing AC voltage of 30 mV amplitude at a DC bias of 0 V vs. the reference electrode.

Fabrication of vECTs was done using the same procedures for parylene deposition and metal patterning as for the metal electrodes, with the additional step of depositing a parylene interlayer. This interlayer has a thickness of  $1 \mu m$  and defines the vECT channel length, L. The final  $W/L = 250 \mu m/1 \mu m$ . For vECTs, the active polymer layer was deposited by electropolymerization by short circuiting the source and drain electrodes together to function as the working electrode. A platinum mesh and an Ag/AgCl pellet were used as the counter and reference electrodes, respectively. The electrolyte of 10 mM monomer in 100 mM tetrabutyl ammonium perchlorate was confined in a glass well over the source/drain electrode area. Electropolymerization was done using CV, with a scan rate of 100 mV  $s^{-1}$  and a voltage range of  $-300$  mV to  $+1000$  mV. A total of three cycles were used to complete the polymer channel.

## 3. Results and discussion

#### 3.1 Electropolymerization and spectroelectrochemistry

For preliminary CV characterization G-PEDOT layers were deposited on a platinum disk. In the investigated potential range during the first scan, red colored in Fig. 1c, the irreversible peak is observed at 1.57 V and one reduction wave at 0.02 V in the reverse scan. This voltammogram was very similar to that reported for  $EDOT<sub>1</sub><sup>21</sup>$  however, its anodic peak maximum is shifted towards higher potentials reflecting slightly more difficult oxidation of G-EDOT. A representative cyclic voltammogram of G-PEDOT on the platinum electrode (Fig. 1d), prepared by potential scanning, consists of the principle oxidative peak in the anodic part at  $-0.14$  V. In the cathodic part of the voltammogram the broad reduction peak is observed at  $-0.04$  V. The introduction of the oligo(oxyethylene) chain on the poly(3,4 ethylenedioxythiophene) backbone leads to CV shape changes compared to the ones reported for  $PEDOT.$ <sup>22,23</sup> In particular for G-PEDOT, at cathodic potentials  $<$  0 V currents become very low, indicating it is electrochemically depleted, while PEDOT retains significant currents in this potential region.<sup>16</sup> This comparison will become more apparent in aqueous electrochemistry differences seen during ECT characterization. Consistent with this electrochemical switching, G-PEDOT shows marked electrochromic color changes of the deposited polymer film of G-PEDOT cycled between reduced and oxidized forms,



Fig. 2 CV scans and UV-vis-NIR spectra of thin films of G-PEDOT deposited on an ITO substrate, recorded for increasing electrode potential vs. Ag/AgCl pseudo-reference wire, under an inert atmosphere of argon. (a) Electrolyte: 0.1 M TBAPF<sub>6</sub>/CH<sub>3</sub>CN; (b) electrolyte: 0.1 M KCl/H<sub>2</sub>O.

from deep-blue (reduced state) to transparent (oxidized state) (Fig. 2).

To quantify optical changes in detail, in situ spectroelectrochemistry was applied. Spectroelectrochemical measurements of the polymer film deposited on indium tin oxide (ITO) are shown in Fig. 2a and b, for organic and aqueous electrolyte conditions, respectively. UV-Vis-NIR spectral changes of G-PEDOT in acetonitrile using 0.1 M TBAPF $_6$  as an electrolyte and in 0.1 M KCl aqueous solution, induced by the oxidation process, are compared (see Table 1). In the first case, the polymer film was biased at different potentials from  $E = -0.5$  V to  $E = +0.5$  V vs. Ag/AgCl. As seen in Fig. 2a, G-PEDOT film exhibit a dual band with a maximum of 634 nm and 683 nm in the reduced form  $(E = -0.5 \text{ V})$ . Upon electrochemical oxidation, the intensity of these peaks is gradually lost, while a new broad band at about 1028 nm at  $E = -0.1$  V indicating the formation of charge carriers starts to appear and intensify. At the potential of  $E = +0.5$  V, the featureless absorption tail can be observed, extending towards the NIR part of the spectrum.

Table 1 UV-Vis-NIR spectroscopic data and optical contrast values for the G-PEDOT and PEDOT in their reduced and oxidized states in 0.1 M TBAPF<sub>6</sub> in CH<sub>3</sub>CN and 0.1 M KCl aqueous solutions, at potentials versus Ag/AgCl

Polymer/electrolyte $\lambda_{\text{max}}$ [nm]	Reduced form	Oxidized form $\lambda_{\max}$ [nm]	$\Lambda T\%$ at $\lambda$ = 600 nm
G-PEDOT in ACN G-PEDOT in H <sub>2</sub> O PEDOT in ACN PEDOT in $H_2O$	621 $(-0.6 V)$ 619 $(-0.9 V)$	634, 683 $(-0.5 \text{ V})$ 610, 1028 $(-0.1 \text{ V})$ 627, 680 $(-0.5 \text{ V})$ 601, 1012 $(+0.1 \text{ V})$ 607, 927 $(+0.1 V)$ 599, 925 (+0.1 V)	64.8 49.1 47.3 37.5

Similar to the organic electrolyte, the oxidation process of the G-PEDOT film in an aqueous solution (Fig. 2b) gives rise to spectral changes characteristic of conducting polymer oxidative doping, $^{24}$  consistent with its cyclic voltammogram. However, the position of the registered peaks is bathochromically shifted with respect to the corresponding band in Fig. 2a. Its oxidative doping in aqueous solution starts at  $E = -0.5$  V. The increase of the potential results in an apparent decrease in intensity of the dual band located at 627 nm and 680 nm with the simultaneous growth of one broad band with a maximum at 1012 nm at  $E = +0.1$  V. The spectral features during oxidation/reduction of the G-PEDOT are very similar to what has been published previously for poly(3,4-ethylenedioxythiophene) derivatives and can be ascribed to radical cationic and dicationic forms of the polymer chain i.e. in terms of the solid-state physics to positive polarons and bipolarons.25–28 However, in contrast to PEDOT, the G-PEDOT is dedoped in a smaller voltage window. To confirm this, we did control experiments with PEDOT films electropolymerized and measured in the same way (Fig. S1, ESI‡). G-PEDOT rapidly dedopes at negative polarizations in aqueous electrolyte, and by  $-0.5$  V is completely dedoped, evidenced by the saturation of the peaks 627–680 nm (absorption of the neutral form). This absorption in PEDOT, in contrast, does not saturate until  $-0.9$  V. Journal of Materials Chemistry C<br>
The 1 store spectrome can access are considerated and the significant and the effect on 12/26/2024 and 2022. The common access Article is license and the effect on the three commons and t

The doped and dedoped forms of both polymers are strongly coupled to their coloring and bleaching states. Therefore, in this study, the optical contrast  $(\Delta T\%)^{29}$  of the produced layers, defined as the change in the percentage of transmittance between the oxidized and reduced states of G-PEDOT and PEDOT, was determined. A comparison of the optical contrast of the layers

at 600 nm (Fig. S2, ESI‡) showed that the presence of the glycol side chain in the G-PEDOT structure had a larger effect on the optical contrast of the film (Table 1). The G-PEDOT had around 18% and 12% more optical contrast than the PEDOT layers in organic and aqueous solvents, respectively. Such an improvement was attributed to the loose packing of the G-PEDOT chains because of the glycol chain presence, leading to more accessible doping sites and the facile ion movement during the redox switching. Simultaneously, this implies that PEDOT layers are opaquer, and this makes it difficult to effectively change the color between the oxidized and reduced states. Finally, the apparent smaller difference in the potential window needed to reduce and de-dope G-PEDOT versus PEDOT will be shown to be consequential in the on/off voltages for ECTs, discussed in Section 3.3.

#### 3.2 Electrochemical capacitance

Many applications of conducting polymers rely on high electrochemical capacitance. For these, the figures of merit are areal and volumetric capacitance. To test capacitance, we electropolymerized different thicknesses of G-PEDOT on ITO, an electrochemically inert substrate<sup>30</sup> with low electrochemical capacitance. The film thickness was verified by profilometry and then capacitance was calculated from cyclic voltammetry curves in a nonfaradaic potential window of  $-0.1$  to 0.3 V versus Ag/AgCl in 0.1 M KCl electrolyte (Fig. 3). The areal capacitance increases linearly with thickness, which is a characteristic property of a volumetric capacitor (Fig. 3a, thickness as a function of polymerization time is plotted in Fig. S3, ESI‡). The values of areal capacitance  $\mu$ F cm<sup>-2</sup> and volumetric capacitance  $\mu$ F cm<sup>-3</sup> are summarized in Table 2. Volumetric capacitance is similar to reported values for PEDOT:PSS formulations.<sup>31</sup>

#### 3.3 Microelectrodes and electrochemical transistors – comparison with PEDOT

Applications in bioelectronics devices for sensing and neural recording are one of the major focuses of research in PEDOT and new conducting polymers. Therefore, we compared electrochemically polymerized PEDOT with G-PEDOT in microelectrodes, as well as ECTs. Gold microelectrodes  $50 \times 50 \ \mu m$ in size were used as the substrate for galvanostatic electropolymerization (photomicrograph in Fig. S4, ESI‡), to have



Fig. 3 Electrochemical capacitance of G-PEDOT. (a) Linear dependence of areal capacitance on the layer thickness. (b) Cyclic voltammograms (0.1 M KCl, 100 mV  $s^{-1}$ ) used for calculation of the capacitance.

Table 2 Summary of the electrochemical capacitance determination for G-PEDOT, deposited onto ITO (active area of 0.05 cm<sup>2</sup>) by galvanostatic electropolymerization from aqueous solution (3.14 mM of monomer, 0.1 M HClO4)

Deposition time(s)	(nm)	$(\mu F \text{ cm}^{-2})$	Thickness Areal capacitance Volumetric capacitance $(F cm^{-3})$
$0$ (= bare ITO)	0.0	10	N/A
75	38.5	181	47.2
150	72.6	351	48.3
225	114	588	51.5
300	157	815	52.0

comparable films synthesized with the same passed charge (samples were prepared with 2 or 4  $\mu$ C total passed charge). Cyclic voltammetry of the resultant microelectrodes shows essentially the same behavior and electrochemical capacity for both PEDOT and G-PEDOT (Fig. 4a and b). The microelectrodes demonstrate stability over 4000 cycles. Electrochemical impedance of the microelectrodes (Fig. 4c and d) reveals that modification with electropolymers significantly reduces impedance with respect to the metal microelectrode, and that the impedance traces for both PEDOT and G-PEDOT are essentially the same. An impedance around 4 kOhm @ 1 kHz is a relatively competitive value for a microelectrode of this size, $32$  and compares favorably with other examples of PEDOT-coated microelectrodes.<sup>33</sup> The addition of the glycol chain therefore does not significantly affect the performance in this application with respect to the unfunctionalized PEDOT. All samples were subjected to an accelerated aging test at 60 °C over 41 days, and

PEDOT and G-PEDOT remained comparably stable. ESI‡ Fig. S5 and S6 show the measurements from more days during the same aging test shown in Fig. 4, and results from thinner films prepared with  $2 \mu C$  total passed charge.

Next, ECTs were fabricated according to the vertical geometry introduced by Donahue et al.,<sup>34</sup> featuring a channel length of 1  $\mu$ m. This vertical geometry is beneficial for deposition by electropolymerization as the insulating distance between source and drain contacts is minimized compared to planar geometries. This makes it easier for an electropolymer to ''bridge'' the source–drain channel distance. This design is convenient for comparing electropolymerized active polymers, but it should be said that due to relatively large, exposed source–drain contact regions the parasitic capacitance makes their modulation speed limited. To deposit PEDOT or G-PEDOT by electrochemical polymerization, both source and drain electrodes were shorted together as a working electrode and a constant amount of current was passed in each case. We found better and more reproducible results with respect to good adhesion and channel forming, using  $ClO<sub>4</sub><sup>-</sup>$  as a counter ion as opposed to  $PF_6^-$ . The resultant ECTs were characterized using an AgCl pellet gate electrode (pseudo-reference electrode), and the transfer curves are plotted in Fig. 5, including gate currents and transconductance values. Both polymers provide similar ''ON'' currents, indicating that the conductivity of both polymers in their doped forms is similar. However, the depletion mode switching off is different. While scanning to positive gate voltages electrochemically de-dopes both materials, by +800 mV the PEDOT channel conductivity **Paper**<br>
Take 2 Summary of the distribution control constructed on 00 November 2022. Downloaded on 12/2 PM. The state of the common control of the state of the common control of the state of the common control of the stat



Fig. 4 Electrochemistry of PEDOT and G-PEDOT microelectrodes (50  $\times$  50  $\mu$ m) prepared by galvanostatic electropolymerization (2  $\mu$ C: 20 nA, 100 s) (a and b): selected cyclic voltammograms (scan rate = 100 mV s $^{-1}$ ) over 4000 cycles (electrochemical stability test); (c and d): electrochemical impedance spectra (bode plots, averaged over 2-3 different specimens) as prepared and after 41 days of accelerated aging test (60 °C, PBS solution).



Fig. 5 Vertical electrochemical transistors (vECTs). (a) General schematic of a vECT structure, where the channel length is defined by the thickness of an insulator layer (parylene-c,  $L = 1 \mu m$ ). (b) Top-view photograph of electropolymerized G-PEDOT vECT. (c and d) transfer curves,  $V_{SD} = -0.6$  V. Red traces are the source-drain current (left y-axis), green represents the gate currents (right y-axis). (c) Electropolymerized PEDOT, compared under the same conditions with electropolymerized G-PEDOT. (d) Three CV cycles were used to complete the channel.

declines by roughly a factor of 50. G-PEDOT, in contrast, by +400 mV is clearly completely depleted and conductivity drops to a low value. As a consequence, the on/off ratio of the G-PEDOT ECT is significantly higher (4 orders of magnitude), within a smaller voltage window than PEDOT. This difference in electrochemical doping and dedoping is very evident when comparing the gate current traces. Whereas the G-PEDOT trace features clear, narrow, doping/dedoping peaks, the peaks in the case of PEDOT are wide and poorly defined. This complete depletion of G-PEDOT at relatively low voltages was anticipated already from the CV curve in organic electrolyte shown in Fig. 1d. We verified this difference between PEDOT, PEDOT:PSS and G-PEDOT by measuring CV as well (Fig. S7a and b, ESI‡). The general shape of the recorded voltammograms is very similar and shows a typical pseudo-capacitive response of quasi-rectangular form. On the other hand, finding, the pinch-off mentioned before in G-PEDOT electrochemistry at negative voltages is observed, whereas PEDOT is still doped at these voltages. The G-PEDOT film was fully reduced by applying a potential at least 400 mV less negative than in PEDOT and PEDOT:PSS cases. This correlates with comparing the spectroelectrochemistry between G-PEDOT and PEDOT (see Section 3.1).

## 4. Conclusions

Glycolation is a frequently used tool to make conducting polymers which are synthetically manipulatable in organic solvents, yet still hydrophilic enough to function in applications where ionic exchange from water is necessary. In this study, we have endeavored to critically evaluate the effects of glycolation on the archetypical conducting polymer PEDOT. In many respects, G-PEDOT gives properties, such as electrochemical capacitance, very similar to PEDOT. The most significant difference is that electrochemical switching occurs in a smaller window, which is apparent in the ECT application and also in the electrochromic effect. In effect, G-PEDOT is easier to dedope than PEDOT. The higher on/off ratio and smaller voltage window for G-PEDOT ECTs shows that this derivative could be a better choice for some ECT applications than using PEDOT. Nevertheless, our results have been limited to electropolymerization. We have spent considerable effort to conduct bulk electropolymerization and chemical oxidative polymerization, followed by reduction and rinsing of electrolyte or oxidant, respectively, to then re-uptake the polymer in a suitable solvent for solution processing. While this approach works in principle, it is difficult to implement. Our efforts were hampered by very low solubility of these electro- and chemically synthesized and reduced polymers, therefore pure G-PEDOT may be impossible to solution process in a useful way. However, this finding together with the results on interesting electrochemical properties suggest that the glycolated EDOT unit may be a useful component to incorporate into copolymers.

## Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

# Acknowledgements

The authors are grateful for support from the National Science Centre, Poland, within grant 2019/33/B/ST5/01212; funding from the European Research Council (ERC) under the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation program (Grant agreement No. 949191); funding from the city council of Brno, Czech Republic; and CzechNanoLab Research Infrastructure supported by MEYS CR (LM2018110). Paper Journal of Macricles. Published Common Access 11 P. Matin, 4, D. Paulsen, A. Saves, D. Ohayon, R. Value (Common Access Article is licensed under a published on 2022. Downloaded under the mass Article is licensed unde

## References

- 1 J. Rivnay, R. M. Owens and G. G. Malliaras, Chem. Mater., 2014, 26, 679–685.
- 2 D. T. Simon, E. O. Gabrielsson, K. Tybrandt and M. Berggren, Chem. Rev., 2016, 116, 13009–13041.
- 3 P. Simon and Y. Gogotsi, Nat. Mater., 2008, 7, 845–854.
- 4 A. Giovannitti, D. T. Sbircea, S. Inal, C. B. Nielsen, E. Bandiello, D. A. Hanifi, M. Sessolo, G. G. Malliaras, I. McCulloch and J. Rivnay, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A., 2016, 113, 12017–12022.
- 5 A. Savva, R. Hallani, C. Cendra, J. Surgailis, T. C. Hidalgo, S. Wustoni, R. Sheelamanthula, X. Chen, M. Kirkus, A. Giovannitti, A. Salleo, I. McCulloch and S. Inal, Adv. Funct. Mater., 2020, 30, 1907657.
- 6 D. Moia, A. Giovannitti, A. A. Szumska, I. P. Maria, E. Rezasoltani, M. Sachs, M. Schnurr, P. R. F. Barnes, I. McCulloch and J. Nelson, Energy Environ. Sci., 2019, 12, 1349–1357.
- 7 A. Giovannitti, I. P. Maria, D. Hanifi, M. J. Donahue, D. Bryant, K. J. Barth, B. E. Makdah, A. Savva, D. Moia, M. Zetek, P. R. F. Barnes, O. G. Reid, S. Inal, G. Rumbles, G. G. Malliaras, J. Nelson, J. Rivnay and I. McCulloch, Chem. Mater., 2018, 30, 2945–2953.
- 8 J. Rivnay, S. Inal, A. Salleo, R. M. Owens, M. Berggren and G. G. Malliaras, Nat. Rev. Mater., 2018, 3, 17086.
- 9 R. J. Mortimer, Chem. Soc. Rev., 1997, 26, 147–156.
- 10 D. Khodagholy, J. Rivnay, M. Sessolo, M. Gurfinkel, P. Leleux, L. H. Jimison, E. Stavrinidou, T. Herve, S. Sanaur, R. M. Owens and G. G. Malliaras, Nat. Commun., 2013, 4, 1–6.
- 11 R. B. Rashid, X. Ji and J. Rivnay, Biosens. Bioelectron., 2021, 190, 113461.
- 12 P. Jastrzebska-Perfect, S. Chowdhury, G. D. Spyropoulos, Z. Zhao, C. Cea, J. N. Gelinas, D. Khodagholy, P. Jastrzebska-Perfect, S. Chowdhury, G. D. Spyropoulos, Z. Zhao, C. Cea, J. N. Gelinas and D. Khodagholy, Adv. Funct. Mater., 2020, 30, 1909165.
- 13 X. Chen, A. Marks, B. D. Paulsen, R. Wu, R. B. Rashid, H. Chen, M. Alsufyani, J. Rivnay and I. McCulloch, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2020, 60, 9368.
- 14 I. P. Maria, B. D. Paulsen, A. Savva, D. Ohayon, R. Wu, R. Hallani, A. Basu, W. Du, T. D. Anthopoulos, S. Inal, J. Rivnay, I. Mcculloch and A. Giovannitti, Adv. Funct. Mater., 2021, 31, 2008718.
- 15 R. B. Rashid, W. Du, S. Griggs, I. P. Maria, I. McCulloch and J. Rivnay, Sci. Adv., 2021, 7, 1–10.
- 16 S. Akoudad and J. Roncali, Electrochem. Commun., 2000, 2, 72–76.
- 17 F. Monnier and M. Taillefer, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2009, 48, 6954–6971.
- 18 D. K. Hwang, C. Fuentes-Hernandez, M. Fenoll, M. Yun, J. Park, J. W. Shim, K. A. Knauer, A. Dindar, H. Kim, Y. Kim, J. Kim, H. Cheun, M. M. Payne, S. Graham, S. Im, J. E. Anthony and B. Kippelen, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2014, 6, 3378–3386.
- 19 A. Lima, P. Schottland, S. Sadki and C. Chevrot, Synth. Met., 1998, 93, 33–41.
- 20 Q. Zhao, R. Jamal, L. Zhang, M. Wang and T. Abdiryim, Nanoscale Res. Lett., 2014, 9, 557.
- 21 M. Łapkowski and A. Proń, Synth. Met., 2000, 110, 79.
- 22 M. Dietrich, J. Heinze, G. Heywang and F. Jonas, J. Electroanal. Chem., 1994, 369, 87–92.
- 23 A. Zykwinska, W. Domagala and M. Lapkowski, Electrochem. Commun., 2003, 5, 603–608.
- 24 S. Garreau, J. L. Duvail and G. Louarn, Synth. Met., 2002, 125, 325–329.
- 25 M. Marzocchi, I. Gualandi, M. Calienni, I. Zironi, E. Scavetta, G. Castellani and B. Fraboni, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2015, 7, 17993–18003.
- 26 X. Duan and J. Xu, Int. J. Electrochem. Sci., 2015, 10, 3236–3249.
- 27 N. Massonnet, A. Carella, O. Jaudouin, P. Rannou, G. Laval, C. Celle and J. P. Simonato, J. Mater. Chem. C, 2014, 2, 1278–1283.
- 28 V. David, C. Viñas and F. Teixidor, Polymer, 2006, 47, 4694–4702.
- 29 J.-H. Kang, Y.-J. Oh, S.-M. Paek, S.-J. Hwang and J.-H. Choy, Sol. Energy Mater. Sol. Cells, 2009, 93, 2040–2044.
- 30 J. D. Benck, B. A. Pinaud, Y. Gorlin and T. F. Jaramillo, PLoS One, 2014, 9, e107942.
- 31 C. M. Proctor, J. Rivnay and G. G. Malliaras, J. Polym. Sci., Part B: Polym. Phys., 2016, 54, 1433–1436.
- 32 C. Boehler, S. Carli, L. Fadiga, T. Stieglitz and M. Asplund, Nat. Protoc., 2020, 15, 3557–3578.
- 33 G. Nikiforidis, S. Wustoni, C. Routier, A. Hama, A. Koklu, A. Saleh, N. Steiner, V. Druet, H. Fiumelli and S. Inal, Macromol. Biosci., 2020, 20, 1–9.
- 34 M. J. Donahue, A. Williamson, X. Strakosas, J. T. Friedlein, R. R. McLeod, H. Gleskova and G. G. Malliaras, Adv. Mater., 2018, 30, 1705031.