# Environmental Science: **Atmospheres**

# PAPER

Cite this: Environ. Sci.: Atmos., 2023, 3, 143

Received 12th May 2022 Accepted 8th November 2022

DOI: 10.1039/d2ea00053a

rsc.li/esatmospheres

#### Environmental significance

## Photolysis of nitrophenols in gas phase and aqueous environment: a potential daytime source for atmospheric nitrous acid (HONO)†

Sha[o](http://orcid.org/0000-0002-6873-7178)xun Guo  $\mathbf{\mathbb{D}}$  and Hu[i](http://orcid.org/0000-0002-1725-6796) Li $\mathbf{\mathbb{D}}^*$ 

The daytime atmospheric nitrous acid (HONO) source has attracted considerable attention due to its important role in determining the concentrations of hydroxyl radicals (OH'),  $O<sub>3</sub>$ , and secondary organic aerosols (SOAs). The widespread nitrophenols (NPs) are potential HONO sources; however, the relevant reaction mechanism has not been explored yet. We employ quantum mechanical calculations combined with the statistical RRKM rate theory to explore the photochemical reaction paths of two common NPs (2-NP and 4-NP) to form HONO in the gas and aqueous phases. It is revealed that both NPs have strong sunlight absorption, and photolysis occurs on the excited  $T<sub>1</sub>$  state. Both NPs can easily dissociate under light in both gas and aqueous phases, where OH<sup>t</sup> and NO are the major products rather than HONO. Due to the higher solubility, 4-NP is found to more easily generate HONO in aqueous solution, which experiences the intermolecular excited state hydrogen transfer with vicinal water molecules. Kinetics shows that the relative humidity (RH) hardly promotes the gas-phase photolysis, and the temperature slightly affects the reaction rates. However, as a minor product, HONO generations from gas-phase 2- NP and aqueous 4-NP have high photolysis frequencies of 5.73  $\times$  10<sup>-5</sup> and 5.25  $\times$  10<sup>-6</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>, respectively, indicating that the hydrolysis of NPs can be important sources for atmospheric HONO. PAPER<br>
(a) Check for updates<br> **CALIC CONSULTISTS AND AND CONSULTS AND AND CONSULTS AND AND CONSULTS CONSULTS AND CONSULTS AND CONSULTS AND CONSULTS AND CONSULTS (SEE AND CONSULTS AND CONSULTS AND CONSULTS ARE CONSULTS AND** 

HONO has a great impact on atmospheric chemistry, and its origins have received intensive attention. As one of the abundant components in the heavily polluted atmosphere, nitrophenols are considered as a potential photochemical source of HONO. Using quantum mechanical calculations combined with the statistical rate theory, we unravel the possible photochemical pathway of nitrophenols, especially for HONO formation, which provides significant implications for understanding the atmospheric photochemical processes.

### 1. Introduction

Nitrous acid (HONO) plays a signicant role in atmospheric chemistry, $1-3$  which can produce 20-80% of hydroxyl radicals (OH<sup>c</sup>) in tropospheric reactions during the heavily polluted daytime. $4-8$  The produced OH $^{\circ}$  are important oxidants in the atmosphere and have a great impact on the formation of secondary organic aerosols (SOAs).<sup>9</sup> The sources of HONO in the atmosphere are rather diverse, and nitrogen oxides  $\mathrm{[NO_3^{-}, NO_2,}$ etc.) are commonly accepted.<sup>10-19</sup> In recent years, researchers have observed HONO generated from atmospheric organic compounds (AOCs) in particulate matters.<sup>20</sup> However, AOCs as the source of HONO is still not clear. As one of the typical AOCs, the aromatic compounds containing nitro groups, which widely

exist in forest, rural, urban, and marine areas, have been considered as one of the important potential sources of HONO.<sup>21</sup>–<sup>24</sup> Such compounds are also known to be harmful to living organisms.<sup>25-27</sup>

As the simplest nitro-aromatic compounds, nitrophenols (NPs), which can be formed from the oxidation of phenols under high  $NO<sub>x</sub>$  conditions, as well as from the combustions of fossil fuel and biomass, have received intensive research attention due to their great contributions to the formation of SOAs.21,28–<sup>32</sup> NPs also show highly photo-absorption properties to solar radiation, which accounts for most of the visible part (50–80%,  $\lambda$  > 400 nm) and ~4% of the ultraviolet part ( $\lambda$  = ~370 nm), and contribute to photochemical reactions.<sup>33-36</sup>

A high concentration of NPs has been observed in both gas phase (0.2–52 ng m<sup>-3</sup>) and particle phase (0.08–768 ng m<sup>-3</sup>).<sup>23,37–41</sup> Furthermore, the concentration of NPs in winter is higher than it in summer.39,42,43 The nitro group of NP molecules is commonly located at the ortho- or para-position to the hydroxyl group, corresponding to 2-nitrophenol (2-NP) and 4-nitrophenol (4-NP), respectively. The concentration of 4-NP is slightly greater than



Beijing Advanced Innovation Center for Soft Matter Science and Engineering, Beijing University of Chemical Technology, Beijing 100029, China. E-mail: hli@mail.buct. edu.cn

<sup>†</sup> Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. See DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1039/d2ea00053a>

that of 2-NP in the atmosphere.<sup>44,45</sup> Pioneer studies have given strong evidence that 2-NP could be a photochemical source for HONO and OH'. For example, Sangwan et al. found that 2-NP has strong absorption in the range of near-UV ( $\lambda = 295-400$  nm),<sup>46,47</sup> indicating that the direct photolysis of 2-NP by sunlight is possible.<sup>48</sup> Bejan et al. detected ∼100 pptv HONO formation within an hour in the presence of  $\sim$ 1.0 ppbv of 2-NP in the gas phase under irradiation ( $\lambda = 300$ –500 nm),<sup>49</sup> and Nitta *et al.* used time-resolved photoelectron spectroscopy with 29.5 eV probe pulses to find that 2-NP can split off HONO in 0.5-1 ps.<sup>50</sup> On the other hand, 4-NP can also be a potential photochemical source for HONO formation. Some experimental research studies have proved that 4-NP can generate HONO in the condensed phase, e.g., acidic aqueous solution and the environment with high relative humidity (RH).51,52 Since 4-NP can form an intermolecular hydrogen bond with a water molecule, it may interact with water vapor to promote the HONO formation.

Although previous observations have given evidence on HONO production from the photochemical reaction of  $NPs,47,49,51,52$  details of the reaction mechanism, such as the reaction pathway, the rate-determining steps (RDSs), the role of water vapor in the reaction, and whether the reaction can happen in the gas phase or aqueous solution are still unclear. In recent theoretical studies, researchers have agreed that NPs easily generate triplet states after photoexcitation. As a result, the photolysis reactions and HONO formation have a high possibility of occurring in the triplet manifolds (especially the  $T_1$  state).<sup>53,54</sup> Here, we employ quantum mechanical calculations to comprehensively reveal the possible photoreaction pathways of the two typical NPs (2-NP and 4-NP), especially for HONO generation, as well as evaluate its reaction rates in both unimolecular reaction and the reaction with the participation of water molecules (mimicking the high moist atmosphere and aqueous solution). The obtained results provide important novel mechanistic insight into the originality of atmospheric HONO. Environmental science Atmospheres<br>
On the distribution of 240 in the distribution of 2022. The controller and the photosphere are completely information and the photosphere and the photosphere and the photosphere and the

### 2. Computational methods

#### 2.1 Electronic structure calculations

The electronic energies on the unimolecular reaction paths on the  $S_1$  states are obtained by the relaxed scanning technique at the state-averaged complete active space self-consistent field (CASSCF) level of theory, in which the equal weights are used, and then followed by the single-point energy calculations of two lowest singlets and two lowest triplet states with the complete active space second-order perturbation theory (CASPT2).<sup>55,56</sup> The active spaces for 2-NP and 4-NP are (10e, 10o) and (12e, 11o), respectively, as shown in Fig. S1, ESI,† and the rationality of such active spaces was proved by previous works.<sup>57-59</sup> In addition, the ionization potential-electron affinity (IPEA) shift is set to zero;<sup>60</sup> the imaginary shift technique  $(0.2 \text{ a.u.})$  is employed to avoid the intruder-state issue in the CASPT2 calculation.<sup>61</sup> The def2-TZVPP basis sets<sup>62</sup> are used in the CAS calculations. All of the CASPT2 and CASSCF calculations are carried out with ORCA 4.2.1 package.<sup>63,64</sup>

Besides the unimolecular reactions, we also explore the more complex photochemical reactions of NPs, such as water-assisted reactions. To reduce the computational cost, density functional theory (DFT) is carried out to compute the reaction pathways in the ground state  $(S_0)$  and lowest triplet excited state  $(T_1)$ , including optimizing the molecular geometries for the equilibrium states (ESs), transition states (TSs), and minimum energy crossing points (MECPs) between the  $S_0$  and  $T_1$  states. The TSs and MECPs are checked to connect the reactants and products using the intrinsic reaction coordinate (IRC) calculations, and the TSs are recognized to have only one imaginary frequency. Optimization of geometries for MECP between the  $T_1$ and  $S_0$  states is carried out by the sobMECP program,<sup>65</sup> which is the modified version of the Harvey's MECP code.<sup>66</sup> In all the DFT calculations, the B3LYP/6-311++G(d,p) level of theory<sup>67-73</sup> is used for all the geometry optimization and energies computation, which is implemented using the Gaussian 09 program.<sup>74</sup>

In addition, linear response time-dependent density functional theory  $(LR-TDDFT)^{75}$  is employed to predict the spectroscopic properties of NPs, such as the vertical excitation energies (VEEs) and spin–orbit coupling (SOC) integrals. The TD-B3LYP/ 6-311++G(d,p) level of theory,<sup>67-73</sup> which gives the most accurate excitation energy of NPs among several testing functionals (compared in Table S1, ESI†), is employed for these computations. The SOC integrals calculation is implemented in the PySOC program.<sup>76</sup>

#### 2.2 Reaction rate constant calculation

Rice–Ramsperger–Kassel–Marcus (RRKM) theory is used to model the kinetics for the unimolecular photolysis channels of NPs on the  $T_1$  surface, including the photolysis of NPs and water-NP hydrated complexes. RRKM theory assumes that a transition state for passing from the reactant to the product, and rapid intramolecular vibrational energy redistribution among the degrees of freedom of a molecule. The RRKM microcanonical rate constant,  $k(E)$ , which represents the statistical rate under collision-free conditions, $77-80$  is given by

$$
k(E) = \frac{\sigma N^*(E - E_0)}{h\rho(E)}\tag{1}
$$

where  $\sigma$  is the reaction path degeneracy, h is Planck's constant, and  $N^*$  is the sum of states at the equilibrium configuration with  $E_0$ , which represents the relative energy of this configuration with respect to the reactant whose rovibrational density of states is  $\rho(E)$ .<sup>81</sup> By neglecting the rotational motion and calculating the sum and density of states classically,<sup>80</sup> eqn  $(1)$  is simplified by

$$
k(E) = \sigma \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{s} \nu_i}{\prod_{i=1}^{s-1} \nu_i^*} \left(\frac{E - E_0}{E}\right)^{s-1}
$$
(2)

where  $v_i$  and  $v_i^{\dagger}$  are the vibrational frequencies of the reactants and TSs, respectively.

To further study the temperature effect of the photolysis reaction, the canonical rate constant,  $k(T)$ , which assumes the energy levels are populated according to the thermal equilibrium, is followed by<sup>80</sup>

$$
k(T) = \int_{E_0}^{\infty} k(E)P(E) \mathrm{d}E = \sigma \frac{k_{\rm B}T}{h} \frac{Q^{\dagger}}{Q} \exp\left(-\frac{E_0}{k_{\rm B}T}\right) \tag{3}
$$

where  $k_B$  is the Boltzmann's constant,  $P(E)$  is the probability in the Boltzmann distribution, and  $Q^{\ddagger}$  and Q are vibrational– rotational partition functions for the activated complex and the reactant, respectively. Eqn  $(3)$  is often referred to as the unimolecular rate constant in the high-pressure limit. Similar to eqn (2), the canonical rate constant  $k(T)$  is described as<sup>80</sup>

$$
k(T) = \sigma \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{s} \nu_i}{\prod_{i=1}^{s-1} \nu_i^{\ddagger}} \exp\left(-\frac{E_0}{k_B T}\right)
$$
(4)

The rate constant of intersystem crossing (ISC) is derived from the Fermi's golden rule, $82-86$  which is according to the following formula

$$
k_{\text{ISC}}^{nm} = \frac{2\pi}{\hbar} \left\langle S_n \middle| \hat{H}^{\text{SO}} \middle| T_m \right\rangle^2 \times \text{FCWD}
$$
 (5)

where  $\langle S_1 | \hat{H}^{\text{SO}} | T_n \rangle$  is the SOC integrals between the  $S_n$  and  $T_m$ states, and FCWD is the Franck–Condon (FC) weighted density of states based on the Marcus-Levich-Jortner theory.<sup>86</sup> Since temperature has little effect on the ISC rate constant,<sup>87-89</sup> the vibrational and rotational effect can be ignored. The FCWD can be simplied by the Lorentzian or Gaussian function. Then,  $k_{\rm{ISC}}^{nm}$  can be written in terms of a simplified formula as $^{\mathsf{90}}$ 

$$
k_{\rm{ISC}}^{mn} = \frac{2\pi}{\hbar} \left\langle S_n \middle| \hat{H}^{\rm{SO}} \middle| T_m \right\rangle^2 \times \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{\gamma}{\Delta E_{\rm{ST}}^2 + \gamma^2} \tag{6}
$$

The total (experimental observed) ISC rate constant in state  $S_n$  is the sum of ISC to any state  $T_m$ , which is given by<sup>86</sup>

$$
k_{\rm{ISC}}^n = \sum_m k_{\rm{ISC}}^{nm} \tag{7}
$$

In addition, the bimolecular rate constants for HONO formation from 4-NP in the gas phase are evaluated using the transition state theory (TST) with the Wigner quantum tunneling correction,<sup>91-93</sup>

$$
k(T) = \left(1 + \frac{1}{24} \left(\frac{h \operatorname{Im}(\nu^{\ddagger})}{k_{\mathrm{B}} T}\right)^2\right) \times \frac{k_{\mathrm{B}} T}{h} \exp\left(-\frac{\Delta G^{\ddagger}}{RT}\right) \tag{8}
$$

where R is the gas constant,  $\Delta G^{\ddagger}$  is the Gibbs free energy changes between the reactant and TS, and  $\text{Im}(v^{\dagger})$  is the imaginary frequency of TS nary frequency of TS.

### 3. Results and discussion

#### 3.1 Excited-state properties

To understand the possibility of a photoreaction in the atmosphere and the initial population of NPs after photo-excitation, we first study the light absorption properties of NPs. The previously reported absorption spectra of NPs showed a broad absorption band in the range of  $\lambda = 300-400$  nm, indicating NPs can efficiently absorb sunlight in the lower atmosphere.<sup>46,94</sup> At the level of theory used here (TD-B3LYP/6-311++G(d,p)), the values of VEE for

S<sub>0</sub>  $\rightarrow$  S<sub>1</sub> of 2-NP and 4-NP are predicted to be 79.8 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup> ( $\lambda$  = 358.08 nm) and 87.9 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup> ( $\lambda = 325.62$  nm), respectively, which are consistent with the estimated experimental and theoretical values of ~81.6 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup> ( $\lambda = 350$  nm).<sup>46,94</sup> As listed in Table S2 and Fig. S2 (ESI†), the VEE of 2-NP is signicantly redshifted compared to that of 4-NP. This is because the intramolecular hydrogen bonds between the hydroxyl group and the nitro group of 2-NP lowers the relative energies of the lowest unoccupied molecular orbital (LUMO)  $\pi^*$  and the subsequent transition.<sup>95,96</sup> It is noteworthy to mention that a similar red shift is also observed in the spectra of nitrogen, oxygen, and halogen substituted molecules,<sup>96-98</sup> allowing this class of species to absorb and react at the longer wavelengths of the UV range that are more available in the troposphere. **Paper**<br>  $k(T) = \int_{0}^{\infty} k(E)F(E) \,dE = \sigma \frac{k_1 T}{2} \int_{\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\phi}{\phi} \left( -\frac{E_0}{k_1} \right)$  (1) So a noi and for 20 Real noise of the Relationship of the Relationship of the Relationship of the Relationship of the Relationshi

Water vapors in the atmosphere may also have an impact on the excited state properties of NPs since the oxygen atoms of the NP molecules can be combined with water molecules through hydrogen bonding interaction, as shown in Fig. S3 (ESI†). However, as listed in Table S3 (ESI†), the interaction between NPs and water is relatively weak due to the positive binding free energies, as well as the small changes in VEEs  $(\le 0.2 \text{ kcal mol}^{-1})$ of the hydrated NP complexes to the lowest two excited states  $(T_1$  and  $S_1$ ) at the FC point compared to the isolated molecules. Moreover, it is believed that a thermal fluctuation can further cause the absorption of NPs to respond to a larger wavelength range. Therefore, both the  $S_1$  and the  $T_1$  states of NPs can be efficiently populated by light with a wavelength of >300 nm (corresponding to the energy of 95.3 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup>).

In addition, it is found that the energies of the  $S_1$  and  $T_4$ excited states at the FC points are close ( $\Delta E \le 1.4$  kcal mol<sup>-1</sup>, TD-B3LYP/6-311++G(d,p) level, see Table S2, ESI†) for both 2-NP and 4-NP, leading to large SOC integrals of 10.46  $cm^{-1}$  and 39.41 cm−<sup>1</sup> , respectively (Table S4, ESI†). Such small energy intervals and large SOC integrals indicate a high possibility for the ISC of NPs from the singlet state to triplet state.

Since the C–N fission could be a key step for the photolysis of NPs, the corresponding potential energy surfaces (PESs) on the S1 states of 2-NP and 4-NP are computed at the CASPT2//CASSCF level, as shown in Fig. 1. Due to the large dissociation barriers (>30 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup>) on the S<sub>1</sub> state, NPs can hardly react on the S<sub>1</sub> state, followed by a rapid ISC. In addition, a barrierless process from 2-NP to a hydrogen-shifted 2-NP in the  $S_1$  state (namely aci-2-NP) is found, as well as the large  $S_0-T_1$  gaps (∼80 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup> for 2-NP and 4-NP;  $\sim$ 30 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup> for the aci-2-NP<sup>\*</sup>, CASPT2 level), indicating that the excited-state hydrogen transfer (ESHT) is coupled to ISC for 2-NP. Such result can be supported by the recent experiments and simulation observations, which showed the ISC of NPs and ESHT of 2-NP can occur within a picosecond.<sup>50,58,59,99</sup> Besides, the aci-2-NP has two isomers on the  $S_0$ state and three isomers on the  $T_1$  state based on the geometry optimization at the B3LYP/6-311++G(d,p) level (see Fig. S4, ESI†). In addition, this barrierless ESHT only occurs intramolecularly, so that the vicinal water molecule does not affect this process (see Fig. S5, ESI†). Thus, water vapors should have little influence on the photochemical reactions (both the ESHT and C–N bond fission) of NPs due to the weak water–NP interaction.



Fig. 1 Computed energy profiles (in kcal mol<sup>-1</sup>) for the reaction pathways of (A) 2-NP, and (B) 4-NP in the lowest two singlet and triplet excited states (relaxed scan in the S<sub>1</sub> state at CASPT2//CASSCF/def2-TZVPP level). See text for discussion

### 3.2 Photochemical reactions pathways of 2-NP

Both pioneer research studies and the above discussions have shown that 2-NP is favored for ISC coupled with the ESHT under the light condition. Such process can occur within a picosecond; therefore, the photoreaction of 2-NP can occur on the  $T_1$ state.<sup>50,58,59,99</sup> As shown in Fig. 2, the energy gap between the  $S_1$ and  $T_4$  states is only ~0.06 eV, corresponding to the ISC rate of 3.92  $\times$  10 $^{10}$  s $^{-1}$ , which is close to the calculated total observable ISC rate  $k_{\text{ISC1}}(\text{obs})$ . This result illustrates that the S<sub>1</sub> state of 2-NP should firstly transit to  $T_4$ , and then hop back to the  $T_1$  state. Since the hopping process from  $T_4$  to  $T_1$  is fast, we consider that the photochemical reactions mainly take place on the lowest

triplet state  $(T_1)$ . In previous studies, 2-NP has the ability to produce both HONO and OH'.<sup>46,47,49,50,53</sup> Thus, we separately study the photochemical reactions from the aci-2-NP isomers initiated by the  $C-N$  or  $O-N$  bond fission, and determine the possible reaction pathways in view of the energetic and kinetics aspect.

In the experimental research, HONO formation from 2-NP is a pure gas-phase experiment without water.<sup>49</sup> Therefore, the photolysis of 2-NP can be a unimolecular reaction, as shown in Fig. 2, which is examined by the intrinsic reaction coordinate (IRC) methodology. It is believed that the ESHT easily occurs. Thus, the photolysis reaction starts from the aci-2-NP isomer.



Fig. 2 Computed photolysis pathways (at B3LYP/6-311++G(d,p) level) of the 2-NP molecule in the gas phase for the formation of HONO and OH". Key parameters for the ISC of 2-NP at the FC point are shown in the bottom right corner, including the SOC integrals (in cm<sup>−1</sup>), ∆E<sub>ST</sub> (in eV), ISC rate constant  $k_{\text{ISC}}(i)$  (in s<sup>-1</sup>) between the lowest singlet state (S<sub>1</sub>) and lowest four triplet states (T<sub>n</sub>, n = 1, 2, 3, 4), as well as the observed ISC rates k<sub>ISC1</sub>(obs) (in s<sup>−1</sup>), which are the sums of each ISC channel. The zero-point energy (ZPE) correction is included in the energy values of ΔE. See text for discussions.

For unimolecular decomposition, both  $S_0$  and  $T_1$  states are able to generate HONO, as shown in Fig. S7 and S8.† However, although the IRC calculation proves a connection between 2-NP (or aci-2-NP) and HONO, it still cannot represent the real reaction pathway because the IRC neglects the vibrational and kinetic effects. To illustrate the rationality of the reaction pathway, we analyze the dynamical trajectories accomplished by the Born–Oppenheimer molecular dynamics (BOMD) simulation starting from the structure of the transition state. For each transition state, 25 trajectories are simulated within 400 fs. The results show that among the four isomers (2-NP, 2-NP-HT1, 2- NP-HT2, 2-NP-HT3), only 2-NP-HT2 and 2-NP-HT3 can form HONO on the  $T_1$  state, and the rest of the trajectories all roll back to the reactant, as shown in Fig. S7 and S8.† Thus, the HONO formation can only appear on the  $T_1$  state, although there is still some possibility for hopping back to the  $S_0$  state. The  $S_0$  state is found to hardly contribute to the HONO formation. Paper<br>
For unimalecular decomposition, both s, and T, stars are fragments At opposite decompositions where the access Article Poisson<br>
(or note-2021) on 11/26/2 and Solutions Articles. This article is licensed unit of Comm

Then, we consider the unimolecular reaction starting from aci-2-NP, and find that only aci-2-NP on the  $T_1$  state can form HONO via C-N bond fission under UV light irradiation with the energy of TS being 73.4, 81.4, and 81.0 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup> for 2-NP-HT1, 2-NP-HT2 and 2-NP-HT3, respectively (Fig. 2). This energy barrier of the TS is close to the  $S_0 \rightarrow S_1$  vertical excitation energy (79.8 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup>). After C-N bond decomposition, a van der Waals complex between two fragments is formed at first, and then the HONO molecule is released. Surprisingly, the energy of the isolated A1 radical and trans-HONO is still higher than that of TS3a, which illustrates that the 2-NP-HT1 $(T_1)$  can hardly release HONO into the atmosphere, and only the other two isomers are available. Therefore, the 2-NP-HT1 $(T_1)$  isomer is not likely to generate HONO, which is consistent with the BOMD results. After releasing HONO, as shown in Fig. S9,<sup>†</sup> biradical

fragments A1 (open-shell electronic structure) may rearrange into a five-membered-ring molecule  $(P2)$ , but this process is not very easy (with a barrier of <sup>∼</sup>14.0 kcal mol−<sup>1</sup> ). Since the ground state of A1 is a triplet state, a bimolecular hydrolysis reaction may occur. With the presence of a water molecule, A1 can be easily converted to catechol (P1) with a small energy barrier of  $\sim$ 4.0 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup>.

Another photochemical pathway is the OH' formation path. Among all the TSs in the dissociation pathways shown in Fig. 2, the OH' formation pathways have lower energies of transition states than the HONO formation paths, which illustrate that 2- NP is more likely to produce OH' instead of HONO through gas phase photolysis. After producing OH', A2/A3 radicals (isomers) may further react with water, as shown in Fig. S10.† This reaction pathway has the chance to release NO and further react with OH', which was previously produced to generate HONO. However, this process seems not likely to happen due to the large energy barrier for the NO release (>38 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup>).

Water vapor plays a crucial role in atmospheric chemistry, thus we further study the photolysis channels for HONO and OH' formation in the presence of water as shown in Fig. 3. We find that water vapor can lower the energy of TS ( $\Delta E$  < 5 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup>) in generating HONO and OH' compared to the unimolecular reaction. However, the kinetics results show that the participation of water vapor does not have a promoting effect on the kinetics of photolysis for 2-NP, even leading to 1–3 orders of magnitude lower reaction rate constant, as listed in Table 1. Thus, although the energy of transition state is lowered, the reaction is not accelerated by the presence of water vapor. Furthermore, the binding free energies of the 2-NP and aci-2-NP isomers with water is positive, which shows that it is difficult to combine the reactant with water. We also find that the reaction rate constants are increased with excitation wavelength, and the



Fig. 3 Computed photolysis pathways (at B3LYP/6-311++G(d,p) level) of the 2-NP hydrated complex for HONO and OH' formation. The binding free energies between the 2-NP isomers with a water molecule on the  $S_0$  and  $T_1$  states are shown in the bottom right corner. The ZPE correction is included in the values of  $\Delta E$ . See text for discussions.

<code>Table 1 Computed</code> RRKM microcanonical rate constants k(E) (in s $^{-1}$ ) as the function of internal energy of 70–100 kcal mol $^{-1}$  (corresponding to wavelength range of 280-410 nm) for each elementary photolysis channel of 2-NP, and its hydrated complexes for HONO and OH<sup>+</sup> formation

	Internal energy (kcal mol <sup>-1</sup> )				
Reaction path	70.0	80.0	90.0	100.0	
$2-NP-HT1 \rightarrow HONO$	$1.07 \times 10^{5}$	$2.91 \times 10^{6}$	$3.15 \times 10^{7}$	$1.91 \times 10^8$	
$2-NP-HT2 \rightarrow HONO$	$1.36 \times 10^{5}$	$7.11 \times 10^{6}$	$1.19 \times 10^{8}$	$9.85 \times 10^8$	
$2-NP-HT3 \rightarrow HONO$	$1.20\times10^5$	$5.29 \times 10^{6}$	$7.90 \times 10^{7}$	$6.04 \times 10^{8}$	
$2-NP-HT1 \rightarrow OH'$	$5.33 \times 10^8$	$4.12 \times 10^{9}$	$1.88\times10^{10}$	$6.09 \times 10^{10}$	
$2-NP-HT3 \rightarrow OH'$	$3.45\times10^{10}$	$1.15 \times 10^{11}$	$2.87\times10^{11}$	$5.88\,\times\,10^{11}$	
$2-NP-HT1 \cdot 1W \rightarrow HONO$	$3.22 \times 10^{3}$	$1.25 \times 10^{5}$	$1.80 \times 10^{6}$	$1.37 \times 10^{7}$	
$2-NP-HT2.1W \rightarrow HONO$	$1.36 \times 10^2$	$2.93 \times 10^{4}$	$1.30 \times 10^6$	$2.20 \times 10^{7}$	
$2-NP-HT3.1W \rightarrow HONO$	$3.44 \times 10^{2}$	$5.78 \times 10^{4}$	$2.18 \times 10^{6}$	$3.30 \times 10^{7}$	
$2-NP-HT1 \cdot 1W \rightarrow OH'$ $2-NP-HT3.1W \rightarrow OH'$	$2.50 \times 10^{7}$ $4.55\times10^8$	$2.67 \times 10^{8}$ $3.65 \times 10^9$	$1.56 \times 10^{9}$ $1.74 \times 10^{10}$	$6.11 \times 10^{9}$ $5.83\times10^{10}$	
in OH' formation with and without water vapor, which agrees with the previous discussions. Therefore, the direct photolysis of 2-NP for HONO and OH' formation experiences a unimolecular reaction. OH' is more likely to be generated than HONO, and all the photolysis reac-			can occur. Except for hydrolysis, the oxygenation of B2 may be more reactive to form hydroquinone and $O_2H$ , but still has the energy barrier of $\sim$ 18 kcal mol <sup>-1</sup> (Fig. S14†). Therefore, only NO <sub>2</sub> and NO could be the major products of the gas-phase		
		photochemistry of 4-NP.	Since 4-NP is soluble due to the intermolecular hydrogen		
			bonding interaction with water, 4-NP can also react in the aqueous phase. In the experiments of solution photochem-		
			istry, <sup>51,52</sup> HONO is formed with a higher rate in the acid envi-		
			ronment, where 4-NP can maintain its neutral form, instead of		
			anion. Unlike the gas-phase reactions, the bimolecular reaction		
tions are nearly irrelevant with the participation of water vapor, which is consistent with the previous experimental results. <sup>49,50</sup> 3.3 Photochemical reactions pathways of 4-NP Unlike 2-NP, 4-NP cannot form intramolecular hydrogen bonds, wherein the HONO-moiety cannot be produced under the light			is also possible due to the large concentration of water mole-		
irradiation. Here, 4-NP stands for a class of molecules with non-			cules. Fig. 5 shows the PES for photochemistry of 4-NP in		
			solution computed by the solvation model based on density		
The gas-phase photochemical reactions of 4-NP also follows			(SMD). As shown in Fig. 5(a), the properties of ISC of 4-NP in		
intermolecular hydrogen bonds. the paths shown in Fig. 4. Similar to the reaction of 2-NP, the			solution is similar to that of the gas phase result, and all the		
reaction of 4-NP starts from releasing $NO2$ or NO. From the energetic view, the energy of TS for NO formation			total ISC rates are $\sim 10^9$ s <sup>-1</sup> , indicating that water molecules		

#### 3.3 Photochemical reactions pathways of 4-NP

The gas-phase photochemical reactions of 4-NP also follows the paths shown in Fig. 4. Similar to the reaction of 2-NP, the reaction of 4-NP starts from releasing  $NO<sub>2</sub>$  or NO. From the energetic view, the energy of TS for NO formation (74.3 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup>) is ~8.0 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup> lower than that of NO<sub>2</sub> formation (82.0 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup>), which can be further reduced by water vapor. The energies of TSs are all below the  $S_0 \rightarrow S_1$ transition energy (87.8 kcal mol $^{-1}$ ). Thus, the reactions are able to occur at this energy of irradiation. ISC is also an important photophysical property for 4-NP. We do not account for the transition to the triplet states above the  $S_1$  state. Therefore, there are only three ISC channels for the gas-phase 4-NP with the total ISC rate of 8.68  $\times$  10 $^9$  s<sup>-1</sup>, which is shown in Fig. 4(c).

After  $NO<sub>2</sub>$  release,  $H<sub>2</sub>O$  vapor can react with B1 to generate phenol and OH $^{\circ}$ , then the oxidation of phenol by OH $^{\circ}$  and NO<sub>2</sub> further generate HONO (Fig. 4(b) and S13†). This reaction pathway may lead to HONO formation from 4-NP in the gas phase, but may not be highly probable to occur due to the small concentration of water in the atmosphere and the positive binding free energy between 4-NP and water molecules. Furthermore, although  $O<sub>2</sub>$  is abundant and reactive in the atmosphere, it does not react with B1 due to the larger barrier than that in the hydrolysis of B1 (Fig. 4(b)). Moreover, as shown in Fig. S14,<sup>†</sup> in the presence of  $H_2O$  and  $HO-C_6H_4$  (B2) fragments, NO can be further transferred into HON, but not efficiently (with a barrier of  $\sim$ 30 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup>). By contrast, the

Since 4-NP is soluble due to the intermolecular hydrogen bonding interaction with water, 4-NP can also react in the aqueous phase. In the experiments of solution photochemistry,<sup>51,52</sup> HONO is formed with a higher rate in the acid environment, where 4-NP can maintain its neutral form, instead of anion. Unlike the gas-phase reactions, the bimolecular reaction is also possible due to the large concentration of water molecules. Fig. 5 shows the PES for photochemistry of 4-NP in solution computed by the solvation model based on density (SMD). As shown in Fig. 5(a), the properties of ISC of 4-NP in solution is similar to that of the gas phase result, and all the total ISC rates are  $\sim 10^9$  s<sup>-1</sup>, indicating that water molecules have little affect on the photophysical properties of 4-NPs. The results of the potential energy surfaces (Fig. 5(b)) indicate that the energy of TS for bimolecular reaction is greatly reduced  $(\Delta E)$  $=$  3–13 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup>) compared to that of the unimolecular paths, and all paths can efficiently occur under the  $70-100$  kcal mol<sup>-1</sup>  $(\lambda = 280 - 410 \text{ nm})$  irradiation. Due to the large concentration of water molecules in solution, the dissolved 4-NP molecules may undergo intermolecular ESHT. It is found that at least three water molecules are needed to realize this process due to the large separation distance between the hydroxyl and nitro groups in 4-NP molecules. Here, the ISC of molecules after photoexcitation occurs in the FC region, and the subsequent reaction takes place on the  $T_1$  state. As shown in Fig. 5(c), the unimolecular ESHT of the 4-NP $\cdot$ 3W hydrated complex has a large reaction energy barrier (>10 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup>) on all excited state energy surfaces, so the previous ISC hypothesis is reasonable. As shown in Fig. 5(c) and (d), the energy barriers for the intermolecular ESHT of 4-NP in solution are  $\sim$ 10 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup> (unimolecular reaction) and 1.1 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup> (bimolecular reaction), which indicates that 4-NP can easily transfer a hydrogen atom to generate aci-4-NP in solution. Then, we consider the dissociation of aci-4-NP on the  $T_1$  state. It is found that the dissociation



Fig. 4 Photochemical mechanism of 4-NP in the gas phase. (A) Computed photolysis pathways (at B3LYP/6-311++G(d,p) level) of 4-NP for NO<sub>2</sub> and NO formation in the gas phase. (B) Computed gas-phase reaction pathways (at B3LYP/6-311++G(d,p) level) for HONO formation in the presence of O<sub>2</sub> or water vapor from the B1 radical intermediate, which is generated from the C–N bond fission of 4-NP (C) Key parameters for ISC of 4-NP (gas) at the FC point, including SOC integrals (in cm<sup>−1</sup>), ∆E<sub>ST</sub> (in eV), ISC rate constant k<sub>ISC</sub>(i) (in s<sup>−1</sup>) between the lowest singlet state (S<sub>1</sub>) and lowest four triplet states (T<sub>n</sub>, n = 1, 2, 3, 4), as well as the observed ISC rates k<sub>ISC5</sub>(obs) (in s<sup>−1</sup>), which are the sums of the ISC channels. (D) The IRC of the singlet and triplet states for hydrogen transfer between B5a and NO<sub>2</sub> fragments. The ZPE correction is included in the energy values of  $\Delta E$ . See text for discussions.

of the N–O bond of this molecule is very difficult since the energy barriers of the unimolecular and hydrated complex are  $\sim$ 33 and  $\sim$ 35 kcal mol $^{-1}$ , respectively. However, it is very easy to generate HONO through dissociating the C–N bond with the energy barrier of only  $\sim$ 14 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup>, which is less than the barriers in the bimolecular generation of HONO and unimolecular formation of  $NO<sub>2</sub>$  and NO. Thus, we can conclude that the dissociation of aci-4-NP on the  $T_1$  state is the main source of HONO generation from 4-NP.

We further compute the rate constants of each elementary photolysis channel in both gas and aqueous phases by RRKM theory with the excitation/internal energy of 70–100 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup> (corresponding to light irradiation of 280–410 nm), as listed in Table 2. For gas-phase reactions, the unimolecular photolysis to form  $NO_2$  and  $NO$  have the rate constants of  $10^6$ – $10^8$  s<sup>-1</sup> and  $10^8 - 10^9$  s<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. Meanwhile, in the presence of water molecules, the rate constants of NO<sub>2</sub> and NO formation are  $10^4\text{-}$  $10^7$  s<sup>-1</sup> and  $10^7$ - $10^8$  s<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. From this issue, NO is more prone to generate from the photolysis of 4-NP, and water molecules have no apparent influence on the photolysis rates. For the photolysis in solution, as a comparison,  $NO<sub>2</sub>$  and  $NO<sub>2</sub>$ formation have the rate constants of  $10^5$ – $10^8$  s<sup>-1</sup> and  $10^7$ – $10^9$ s<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. The photolysis from the hydrated complex is also unfavorable compared to the unimolecular reaction. Therefore,  $NO<sub>2</sub>$  and NO are only favorable to generate in the gas phase rather than aqueous phase.

Then, we consider the rate constants of several possible reaction channels for the formation of HONO in solution. For the bimolecular reaction, the rate constant of HONO formation is  $10^3$ - $10^4$  s<sup>-1</sup>. However, when an extra water molecule is added, its rate constant decreases to  $10^{0}$ – $10^{1}$  s<sup>-1</sup>. It can be concluded that the additional water molecule does not have advantage to the reaction. In addition, the rate constant of intermolecular ESHT of 4-NP with the existence of at least three water molecules is  $10^6$ - $10^7$  s<sup>-1</sup>. Meanwhile, the rate constant of the dissociation of aci-4-NP to form HONO is  $10^3\text{--}10^7\,\text{s}^{-1}$ , and to generate OH' is  $10^9\text{--}10^{11}$  $s^{-1}$ . With a water molecule present, the rate constant for HONO and OH<sup>t</sup> formation is  $10^5$ – $10^8$  s<sup>-1</sup> and  $10^9$ – $10^{10}$  s<sup>-1</sup>. Here, we have found that water molecules can promote the dissociation of aci-4-NP, which leads to HONO formation in solution. That is to say, the formation of HONO from 4-NP in solution does not present a bimolecular reaction, but is similar to 2-NP molecule, i.e., carries out an ESHT. This is then followed by unimolecular photolysis, which is contrary with the experimental suggestions.51,52 To summarize, these results indicate that the photochemical reaction of 4-NP preferably generates  $NO<sub>2</sub>$  and NO in gas phase, while it generates HONO in aqueous solution. Environmental science Atmospheres<br>
of the X-b bond of this molecula is very difficulat sinus-article. Then, we consider the interaction article is equilible interaction and the interaction of the New Article is equilible

#### 3.4 Kinetics and atmospheric implications for HONO formation

To evaluate the contribution to HONO formation of NPs in the real atmosphere, we analyze the reaction kinetics of NPs to release



Fig. 5 Photochemical mechanism of 4-NP in aqueous phase. (A) Key parameters for ISC of 4-NP (aq) at the FC point, including SOC integrals (in cm<sup>−1</sup>), ∆E<sub>ST</sub> (in eV), ISC rate constant k<sub>ISC</sub>(i) (in s<sup>−1</sup>) between the lowest singlet state (S<sub>1</sub>) and lowest four triplet states (T<sub>n</sub>, n = 1, 2, 3, 4), as well as the observed ISC rates k<sub>ISC6</sub>(obs) (in s<sup>−1</sup>), which are the sums of the ISC channels. (B) Computed photolysis pathways (at B3LYP/6-311++G(d,p) level) of 4-NP for NO<sub>2</sub>, NO and bimolecular HONO formation in aqueous phase. (C) Computed intermolecular ESHT pathway (at TD-B3LYP// B3LYP/6-311++G(d,p) level) of 4-NP in aqueous phase. Each geometry in the potential energy surfaces are obtained by the linear-interpolated internal coordinates (LIIC) technique. (D) Computed photolysis pathways (at B3LYP/6-311++G(d,p) level) of aci-4-NP in water solution initiated by intermolecular ESHT. The ZPE correction is included in the energy values of  $\Delta E$ . All of the energies are calculated with the SMD solvation model. See text for discussions.

HONO via the photochemical reactions. The typical concentrations of NPs in the atmosphere are  $\sim$ 2.0 ng m<sup>-3</sup> (8.60 × 10<sup>6</sup>) molecules per cm<sup>3</sup>) for 2-NP and ~5.0 ng m<sup>-3</sup> (2.16 × 10<sup>7</sup> molecules per cm<sup>3</sup>) for 4-NP.<sup>45</sup> It is notable that the concentration of 4-NP can be up to  $\sim$ 768 ng m<sup>-3</sup> in heavily polluted weather.<sup>100,101</sup> The concentration of water vapor is a function of temperature and RH (see ESI† for details). Here, we regard the aqueous phase as water vapor with the concentration at 300 K and 100% RH. All of the rate constants of each elementary reaction are calculated using RRKM theory under the collision-free condition.

The computational details for the time evolution of the photolysis products using the micro-kinetic analysis and related results are summarized in Section S1, ESI.† Firstly, we study the photolysis kinetics under the excitation energy of 70–100 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup> (corresponding to the wavelength range of 280–410 nm) for 2-NP and 4-NP, as well as the reactions involving water. Almost all of the concentrations and reaction rates of the photolysis products are increased with the increase of the excitation energy (Fig. S16, S17 and S19†). For the gas-phase photolysis of 2-NP, as shown in Table S11,<sup>†</sup> the yield of OH' is much higher than that of HONO with and without water, demonstrating the branching ratio of  $\sim 1:10^{-4}$ . Thus, OH' is the main product of the gas-phase photolysis of 2-NP. The relative populations of OH' and HONO from the water-assisted reactions are 10 $^{-6}$  to 10 $^{-4}$  and 10 $^{-10}$  to 10 $^{-6},$  respectively. These values are much lower than the relative populations in the unimolecular reactions of 0.89-0.99 and  $10^{-6}$  to  $10^{-4}$ , respectively, which should be attributed to the low binding free energy between 2-NP and water (Tables S3 and S10, ESI†). In addition, based on the micro-kinetics, we extract the rate constants for OH' and HONO formation from the unimolecular reactions (OH': 10<sup>7</sup>–10 $^9$  s $^{-1}$ ; HONO: 10<sup>4</sup>–10 $^6$  s $^{-1}$ ), which are 1–2 orders of magnitude higher than those in the water-assisted reactions. **Paper**<br>
HOXO view the host considerations. The typical concentration and channel of the photople of 2-NP should be<br>
under on <sup>13</sup>/26/2024 and a consideration as color and the commonstration and the common of the common o

Thus, the main channel of the photolysis of 2-NP should be a unimolecular reaction, which does not rely on the humidity of the atmosphere.

The photolysis of 4-NP in the gas phase has a similar situation (results are listed in Table S12†), where the water vapors do not promote the reaction and NO is the major product with the formation rate of  $10^{7}$ – $10^{8}$  s<sup>-1</sup> (NO<sub>2</sub>:  $10^{5}$ – $10^{7}$  s<sup>-1</sup>). Considering the following hydrolysis of the B1 fragment, as listed in Table 3, the final relative populations (under an excitation energy of 80 kcal mol $^{-1})$  of NO<sub>2</sub>, NO, and HONO are 2.16  $\times$  10 $^{-2}$ , 0.6, and  $1.8 \times 10^{-17}$ , respectively, which shows that almost no HONO can be produced from the photolysis of 4-NP in the gas phase. On the other hand, when 4-NP is dissolved in aqueous solution, the relative populations of the products are significantly changed. The final relative populations (under an excitation energy of 80 kcal mol $^{-1})$  of NO $_2$ , NO, and HONO become 2.59  $\times$  $10^{-3}$ , 5.85 ×  $10^{-2}$ , and 8.50 ×  $10^{-5}$ , respectively (Table 3), in which the content of HONO is remarkably increased, although it is still a minor product. Comparing the final relative populations of HONO formed by the aqueous bimolecular reaction of 4-NP and dissociation of aci-4-NP (Table S14, ESI†), the latter reaction path produces more HONO, because the intermolecular ESHT of 4-NP is more favorable than the bimolecular reaction. In aqueous solution, the formation rates of  $NO<sub>2</sub>$ , NO and HONO are found to be  $10^5$ – $10^8$ ,  $10^7$ – $10^8$ , and  $10^2$ – $10^5$  s<sup>-1</sup>, respectively (Table S14†).

The photolysis frequency  $(J, \text{ in } s^{-1})$  is often regarded as one of the key parameters in atmospheric photochemical reaction research, which is defined as

$$
J = \int \phi(\lambda)\sigma(\lambda)F(\lambda, \Theta)d\lambda
$$
 (9)

<code>Table 2  $\,$  Computed</code> RRKM microcanonical rate constant k(E) (in s $^{-1}$ ) as the function of the internal energy of 70–100 kcal mol $^{-1}$  (corresponding to a wavelength range of 280-410 nm) for each elementary photolysis channel of 4-NP and its hydrated complex to form NO<sub>2</sub>, NO and HONO in the gas and aqueous phases

	Internal energy (kcal mol <sup>-1</sup> )					
Reaction path	70.0	80.0	90.0	100.0		
Gas-phase photolysis						
$4-NP \rightarrow NO_2$	$1.81\times10^6$	$2.72 \times 10^{7}$	$1.97 \times 10^{8}$	$8.97 \times 10^8$		
$4-NP \rightarrow NO$	$1.47\times10^{8}$	$7.55\times10^8$	$2.58 \times 10^{9}$	$6.69\times10^{9}$		
$4-NP \cdot 1W \rightarrow NO_2$	$2.35 \times 10^{4}$	$5.94\times10^{5}$	$6.32 \times 10^{6}$	$3.86 \times 10^{7}$		
$4-NP \cdot 1W \rightarrow NO$	$1.14 \times 10^{7}$	$7.25 \times 10^{7}$	$2.91 \times 10^8$	$8.58\times10^8$		
Aqueous-phase photolysis						
$4-NP \rightarrow NO_2$	$5.87 \times 10^{5}$	$1.03 \times 10^{7}$	$8.37 \times 10^{7}$	$4.12\times10^8$		
$4-NP \rightarrow NO$	$4.30 \times 10^{7}$	$2.33 \times 10^8$	$8.23\times10^8$	$2.20 \times 10^{9}$		
$4-NP \cdot 1W \rightarrow NO_2$	$2.03 \times 10^{4}$	$8.09 \times 10^{5}$	$1.18 \times 10^{7}$	$9.05 \times 10^{7}$		
$4-NP \cdot 1W \rightarrow NO$	$3.62 \times 10^{7}$	$3.79 \times 10^{8}$	$2.18 \times 10^{9}$	$8.42\times{10}^{9}$		
$4-NP \cdot 1W \rightarrow HONO$	$1.55\times10^3$	$4.88 \times 10^{3}$	$1.12 \times 10^{4}$	$2.13 \times 10^{4}$		
$4-NP \cdot 2W \rightarrow HONO$	$3.76 \times 10^{0}$	$1.42\times10^{1}$	$3.73 \times 10^{1}$	$7.79 \times 10^{1}$		
$4-NP \cdot 3W \rightarrow \text{Aci-4-NP} \cdot 3W$	$3.44 \times 10^{6}$	$1.27 \times 10^{7}$	$3.43 \times 10^{7}$	$7.52 \times 10^7$		
$Aci-4-NP \rightarrow HONO$	$3.19 \times 10^{3}$	$2.03 \times 10^{5}$	$3.85\times10^6$	$3.47 \times 10^{7}$		
Aci-4-NP $\rightarrow$ OH'	$8.23 \times 10^{9}$	$2.69 \times 10^{10}$	$6.59 \times 10^{10}$	$1.33 \times 10^{11}$		
$Aci-4-NP \cdot 1W \rightarrow HONO$	$4.31 \times 10^{5}$	$9.97 \times 10^{6}$	$1.00\times10^8$	$5.85\times10^8$		
Aci-4-NP $\cdot$ 1W $\rightarrow$ OH'	$1.58 \times 10^9$	$6.15 \times 10^{9}$	$1.72 \times 10^{10}$	$3.87\times10^{10}$		

Table 3 The relative populations of OH<sup>t</sup>, HONO, NO<sub>2</sub> and NO from the photolysis of 2-NP in the gas phase, as well as 4-NP in both gas and solution phases under the excitation energy of 80 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup> (356 nm)

	Photolysis product				
	OH.	<b>HONO</b>	NO <sub>2</sub>	NO	
$2-NP$ (gas) $4-NP$ (gas) 4-NP (solution)	0.98 N.A. N.A.	$1.00 \times 10^{-3}$ $1.80 \times 10^{-17}$ $8.50\times10^{-5}$	N.A. $2.16 \times 10^{-2}$ $2.59 \times 10^{-3}$	N.A. $6.00 \times 10^{-1}$ $5.85\times10^{-2}$	

where  $\phi(\lambda)$  is the quantum yield of photolysis obtained from the ratio of the reaction rates,  $\sigma(\lambda)$  is the photo-absorption crosssection of a molecule,  $F(\lambda, \Theta)$  is the actinic flux of light source, and  $\Theta$  is the zenith angle. The photo-absorption cross-sections for 2-NP and 4-NP are  $1.03 \times 10^{-17}$  cm<sup>2</sup> per molecules (250 K), 8.86 ×  $10^{-18}$  cm<sup>2</sup> per molecules (300 K); and 3.02 ×  $10^{-18}$  cm<sup>2</sup> per molecules (250 K), 2.80 ×  $10^{-18}$  cm<sup>2</sup> per molecules (300 K), respectively (Fig. S2, ESI†). The actinic flux is  $\sim$ 1 × 10<sup>14</sup> photons per  $\text{cm}^2$  per nm per s) at 358 nm, which is slightly changed with the variation of the zenith angle and wavelength of light.<sup>102,103</sup> In addition, we consider the actinic flux as a constant value in the 280–410 nm irradiation. Then, based on these parameters, the photolysis frequency for HONO formation from 2-NP in the gas phase and 4-NP in aqueous solution can be calculated. As shown in Fig. 6 and listed in Table 4, the rate constants, quantum yields, and photolysis frequency for HONO formation are calculated through the micro-kinetic studies. Under the excitation energy of 70–100 kcal mol−<sup>1</sup> (corresponding to the wavelength of 280–410 nm), the rate constants are 10<sup>4</sup>–10<sup>6</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> and 10<sup>2</sup>–10<sup>6</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> for 2-NP and 4-NP, respectively. 2-NP has a higher HONO formation rate than 4-NP, which finds a local maximum at the excitation energy of 80 kcal mol−<sup>1</sup> (∼356 nm). These results follow a similar law with the photo-absorption cross-sections of NPs. In addition, the quantum yields of 2-NP ( $10^{-5}$  to  $10^{-3}$  s $^{-1})$  are larger than that of 4-NP  $(10^{-4}$  to  $10^{-3})$  in the lower excitation energy region. Photolysis frequencies of 2-NP and 4-NP are  $10^{-8}$  to  $10^{-6}\,{\rm s}^{-1}$  and Environmental Science; Atmospheres<br>
The axplication and the view of the view of the view of the view of the common access are the collaboration by the common access are the collaboration by the common access are the colla

 $10^{-9}$  to  $10^{-6}$  s<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. In the real atmosphere and experimental research, we only focus on the photolysis frequency within an excitation energy range. By summing up each individual value, the total photolysis frequencies under the excitation energy of 70–100 kcal mol $^{-1}$  are 5.73  $\times$  10 $^{-5}$  and 5.25  $\times$  10 $^{-6}$  s $^{-1}$ for 2-NP and 4-NP, respectively, which are in good agreement with the experimentally reported values.<sup>49,52</sup> It can be seen that 2-NP is more favorable in producing HONO than 4-NP in the real atmosphere.

We further consider the temperature effect on the photolysis reactions based on the canonical rate constants, which can be used to describe the ability to overcome the energy barriers between the minimum and transition state, including excitation energies at different temperatures. It is noteworthy that we only use this model to describe the temperature effect qualitatively, rather than provide a real population of each species at different temperatures. As listed in Table S15 (Fig. S16–S19†), a higher temperature is favorable for photolysis reaction. Therefore, more HONO originating from the photolysis of NPs should be observed at higher temperatures  $(i.e.$  summer season). In addition, the energy distribution of the reactant does not shift to higher energy levels with the increase of temperature, as shown in the calculated absorption spectra (Fig. S2†). Therefore, the temperature effect in the photolysis of nitrophenols can only affect the kinetics of the reactions.

Moreover, the air–water interface (such as the aerosol surface) is an important place for the reaction to occur. However, we just studied the photochemical reaction of NPs in gas and solution since the reaction channel at the air–water interfaces should not be different from the aqueous phase except for the concentration of water. However, based on the previous discussions, the concentration of water molecules hardly influences the photochemical reaction rates of NPs. Therefore, the reaction kinetics at the air–water interfaces should be similar to the reaction in solution.

To summarize, the kinetics analysis shows that OH' and NO can be efficiently produced by the gas-phase photolysis of 2-NP



Fig. 6 Kinetics for HONO formation. (A) Microcanonical rate constants for HONO formation, and photo-absorption cross-section of 2-NP (gas phase) and 4-NP (aqueous phase); (B) quantum yields (dash lines) and photolysis frequencies (solid lines) for the HONO formation of 2-NP (gas phase) and 4-NP (aqueous phase). These results are obtained from the micro-kinetics simulations based on the RRKM theory as a function of the excitation energy of 70–100 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup> (corresponding to a wavelength range of 280–410 nm). Furthermore, the calculated and experimental measurement of the photolysis frequencies for HONO formation from 2-NP and 4-NP with an excitation energy range of 70–100 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup> is listed.

Table 4 Quantum yields of HONO formation as the function of excitation energy of 70–100 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup> (corresponding to a wavelength range of 280–410 nm) from 2-NP (gas phase) and 4-NP (aqueous phase)

	Excitation energy (kcal mol <sup>-1</sup> )							
	70.0	75.0	80.0	85.0	90.0	95.0	100.0	
$2-NP$ $4-NP$	$2.36 \times 10^{-4}$ $1.02 \times 10^{-5}$	$5.10 \times 10^{-4}$ $3.61 \times 10^{-5}$	$1.02 \times 10^{-3}$ $9.47 \times 10^{-5}$	$1.95 \times 10^{-4}$ $1.97 \times 10^{-4}$	$3.26 \times 10^{-4}$ $3.37 \times 10^{-4}$	$5.06 \times 10^{-4}$ $6.07 \times 10^{-4}$	$7.77 \times 10^{-4}$ $9.85\times10^{-4}$	

and 4-NP, and the temperature has minimal influence on the formation rates. Although as the minor products, the gas-phase photolysis reactions still have some contributions to generate both HONO and  $NO<sub>2</sub>$ . On the other hand, in the condensed aqueous phase, HONO can be efficiently produced from the photolysis of 4-NP with the assistance of water, and a higher temperature apparently benefits the reaction. Based on these results, we can conclude that HONO is more likely to be produced from 2-NP in the gas phase and 4-NP in the aqueous solution. In addition, according to our existing knowledge, a direct experimental comparison of these two molecules in photochemical reactions is still lacking. Therefore, we are looking forward to more precise experimental evidence to compare these two molecules directly on photochemical HONO production. **Paper**<br> **Total** discussion on 10 November 2022. The control of the con

### 4. Conclusion

In conclusion, we employ quantum mechanical methods to predict the photoreaction pathways of HONO generation from two typical atmospheric NPs, e.g., 2-NP and 4-NP. The results show that NPs have high solar absorption efficiency in the wavelength range of 300–400 nm, as well as a high probability of ISC, indicating that the photolysis reactions of these molecules occur in the  $T_1$  state. For 2-NP, the photolysis is more likely to occur in the gas phase, where the ESHT rapidly occurs after light irradiation, and then HONO and OH' are released. The kinetics study shows that 2-NP and 4-NP can both easily dissociate after light irradiation in the gas phase, while OH' and NO are the major products rather than HONO. In addition, since 4-NP can dissolve in water, the photolysis of 4-NP in the condensed aqueous phase is much easier to produce HONO than in the gas phase, which also experiences an ESHT instead of the bimolecular reactions. However, HONO is still a minor product in the aqueous photolysis of 4-NP. These results demonstrate that HONO is a minor product from both water-insoluble NPs (WINP, such as 2-NP) and water-solvable NPs (WSNP, such as 4- NP). However, NP molecules still have considerable contributions to HONO generation in the real atmosphere. Therefore, the photolysis of WINP in the gas phase and WSNP in the aqueous phase can be a significant source for atmospheric HONO, and the gas phase photolysis may be more important.

### Author contributions

The manuscript was written through contributions of all authors. All authors have given approval to the final version of the manuscript.

### Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

### Acknowledgements

The work is supported by the Beijing Natural Science Foundation (Z210016) and National Natural Science Foundation of China (21935001, 91934303). We thank the Beijing University of Chemical Technology (BUCT) for providing the High-Performance Computing (HPC) Platform.

### References

- 1 J. Wang, J. Li, J. Ye, J. Zhao, Y. Wu, J. Hu, D. Liu, D. Nie, F. Shen, X. Huang, D. Huang, D. Ji, X. Sun, W. Xu, J. Guo, S. Song, Y. Qin, P. Liu, J. R. Turner, H. C. Lee, S. Hwang, H. Liao, S. T. Martin, Q. Zhang, M. Chen, Y. Sun, X. Ge and D. J. Jacob, Nat. Commun., 2020, 11, 2844.
- 2 W. Zhang, S. Tong, C. Jia, L. Wang, B. Liu, G. Tang, D. Ji, B. Hu, Z. Liu, W. Li, Z. Wang, Y. Liu, Y. Wang and M. Ge, Environ. Sci. Technol., 2020, 54, 12870–12880.
- 3 Y. Liu, Y. Zhang, C. Lian, C. Yan, Z. Feng, F. Zheng, X. Fan, Y. Chen, W. Wang, B. Chu, Y. Wang, J. Cai, W. Du, K. Daellenbach, J. Kangasluoma, F. Bianchi, J. Kujansuu, T. Petäjä, X. Wang, B. Hu, Y. Wang, M. Ge, H. He and M. Kulmala, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 2020, 20, 13023–13040.
- 4 A. Kukui, M. Legrand, S. Preunkert, M. Frey, R. Loisil, J. Roca, B. Jourdain, M. King, J. France and G. Ancellet, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 2014, 14, 12373–12392.
- 5 L. Xue, R. Gu, T. Wang, X. Wang, S. Saunders, D. Blake, P. Louie, C. Luk, I. Simpson, Z. Xu, Z. Wang, Y. Gao, S. Lee, A. Mellouki and W. Wang, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 2016, 16, 9891–9903.
- 6 C. Young, R. Washenfelder, J. Roberts, L. Mielke, H. Osthoff, C. Tsai, O. Pikelnaya, J. Stutz, P. Veres, A. Cochran, T. VandenBoer, J. Flynn, N. Grossberg, C. Haman, B. Lefer, H. Stark, M. Graus, J. Gouw, J. Gilman, W. Kuster and S. Brown, Environ. Sci. Technol., 2012, 46, 10965–10973.
- 7 J. Zheng, X. Shi, Y. Ma, X. Ren, H. Jabbour, Y. Diao, W. Wang, Y. Ge, Y. Zhang and W. Zhu, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 2020, 20, 5457–5475.
- 8 J. Nan, S. Wang, Y. Guo, Y. Xiang and B. Zhou, Atmos. Environ., 2017, 154, 167–178.
- 9 J. Brean, R. Harrison, Z. Shi, D. Beddows, W. Acton, C. Hewitt, F. Squires and J. Lee, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 2019, 19, 14933–14947.
- 10 C. Han, W. Yang, Q. Wu, H. Yang and X. Xue, Environ. Sci. Technol., 2016, 50, 5017–5023.
- 11 M. Monge, B. D'Anna, L. Mazri, A. Giroir-Fendler, M. Ammann, D. Donaldson and C. George, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A., 2010, 107, 6605–6609.
- 12 M. Brigante, D. Cazoir, B. D'Anna, C. George and D. Donaldson, J. Phys. Chem. A, 2008, 112, 9503–9508.
- 13 C. Ye, N. Zhang, H. Gao and X. Zhou, Environ. Sci. Technol., 2017, 51, 6849–6856.
- 14 K. Stemmler, M. Ammann, C. Donders, J. Kleffmann and C. George, Nature, 2006, 440, 195–198.
- 15 R. Ammar, M. Monge, C. George and B. D'Anna, ChemPhysChem, 2010, 11, 3956–3961.
- 16 L. Li, Z. Duan, H. Li, C. Zhu, G. Henkelman, J. Francisco and X. Zeng, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A., 2018, 115, 7236–7241.
- 17 D. Medeiros and A. Pimentel, J. Phys. Chem. A, 2011, 115, 6357–6365.
- 18 M. Martins-Costa, J. Anglada, J. Francisco and M. Ruiz-L´opez, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2020, 142, 20937–20941.
- 19 M. Gen, Z. Liang, R. Zhang, B. Mabato and C. Chan, Environ. Sci.: Atmos., 2022, 2, 111–127.
- 20 F. Bao, M. Li, Y. Zhang, C. Chen and J. Zhao, Environ. Sci. Technol., 2018, 52, 6309–6316.
- 21 Z. Wang, J. Zhang, L. Zhang, Y. Liang and Q. Shi, Atmos. Environ., 2021, 246, 118046.
- 22 M. Mulder, Y. Dumanoglu, C. Efstathiou, P. Kukučka, J. Matejovičová, C. Maurer, P. Přibylová, R. Prokeš, A. Sofuoglu, S. Sofuoglu, J. Wilson, C. Zetzsch, G. Wotawa and G. Lammel, Environ. Sci. Technol., 2019, 53, 8914–8924.
- 23 Y. Wang, M. Hu, Y. Wang, J. Zheng, D. Shang, Y. Yang, Y. Liu, X. Li, R. Tang, W. Zhu, Z. Du, Y. Wu, S. Guo, Z. Wu, S. Lou, M. Hallquist and J. Yu, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 2019, 19, 7649–7665.
- 24 J. Ahad, R. Macdonald, J. Parrott, Z. Yang, Y. Zhang, T. Siddique, A. Kuznetsova, C. Rauert, E. Galarneau, W. Studabaker, M. Evans, M. McMaster and D. Shang, Environ. Pollut., 2020, 266, 114988.
- 25 D. Traversi, R. Degan, R. Marco, G. Gilli, C. Pignata, S. Villani and R. Bono, Environ. Int., 2009, 35, 905–910.
- 26 K. Ju and R. Parales, Microbiol. Mol. Biol. Rev., 2010, 74, 250– 272.
- 27 P. Kovacic and R. Somanathan, J. Appl. Toxicol., 2014, 34, 810–824.
- 28 C. Salvador, R. Tang, M. Priestley, L. Li, E. Tsiligiannis, M. Breton, W. Zhu, L. Zeng, H. Wang, Y. Yu, M. Hu, S. Guo and M. Hallquist, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 2021, 21, 1389–1406.
- 29 A. Kroflič, J. Anders, I. Drventić, P. Mettke, O. Böge, A. Mutzel, J. Kleffmann and H. Herrmann, ACS Earth Space Chem., 2021, 5, 1083–1093.
- 30 Z. Finewax, J. Gouw and P. Ziemann, Environ. Sci. Technol., 2018, 52, 1981–1989.
- 31 M. Harrison, S. Barra, D. Borghesi, D. Vione, C. Arsene and R. Olariu, Atmos. Environ., 2005, 39, 231–248.
- 32 S. Wang and H. Li, Environ. Sci. Technol., 2021, 55, 2899– 2907.
- 33 R. Hems and J. Abbatt, ACS Earth Space Chem., 2018, 2, 225– 234.
- 34 J. Liu, P. Lin, A. Laskin, J. Laskin, S. Kathmann, M. Wise, R. Caylor, F. Imholt, V. Selimovic and J. Shilling, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 2016, 16, 12815–12827.
- 35 C. Mohr, F. Lopez-Hilfiker, P. Zotter, A. Prévot, L. Xu, N. Ng, S. Herndon, L. Williams, J. Franklin, M. Zahniser, D. Worsnop, W. Knighton, A. Aiken, K. Gorkowski, M. Dubey, J. Allan and J. Thornton, Environ. Sci. Technol., 2013, 47, 6316–6324. Equivalent Science Atmospheres Articles. Articles. Articles. Article. Published Access Article is a statistically and the statistically article. Article. Article. Article. Article. Article. Article. Article. Article. Artic
	- 36 P. Lin, N. Bluvshtein, Y. Rudich, S. Nizkorodov, J. Laskin and A. Laskin, Environ. Sci. Technol., 2017, 51, 11561–11570.
	- 37 F. Ikemori, T. Nakayama and H. Hasegawa, Atmos. Environ., 2019, 211, 91–102.
	- 38 M. Claeys, R. Vermeylen, F. Yasmeen, Y. Gómez-González, X. Chi, W. Maenhaut, T. Mészáros and I. Salma, Environ. Chem., 2012, 9, 273–284.
	- 39 M. Li, X. Wang, C. Lu, R. Li, J. Zhang, S. Dong, L. Yang, L. Xue, J. Chen and W. Wang, Sci. Total Environ., 2020, 714, 136760.
	- 40 X. Li, L. Jiang, L. Hoa, Y. Lyu, T. Xu, X. Yang, Y. Iinuma, J. Chen and H. Herrmann, Atmos. Environ., 2016, 145, 115–127.
	- 41 J. Luttke, V. Scheer, K. Levsen, G. Wünsch, J. Cape, K. Hargreaves, R. Storeton-West, K. Acker, W. Wieprecht and B. Jones, Atmos. Environ., 1997, 31, 2637–2648.
	- 42 Y. Zhang, L. Müller, R. Winterhalter, G. Moortgat, T. Hoffmann and U. Pöschl, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 2010, 10, 7859–7873.
	- 43 W. Yuan, R. Huang, L. Yang, T. Wang, J. Duan, J. Guo, H. Ni, Y. Chen, Q. Chen, Y. Li, U. Dusek, C. O'Dowd and T. Hoffmann, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 2021, 21, 3685–3697.
	- 44 M. Harrison, M. Heal and J. Cape, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 2005, 5, 1679–1695.
	- 45 Y. Zhang, L. Muller, R. Winterhalter, G. Moortgat, T. Hoffmann and U. Poschl, Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., 2010, 10, 13253–13286.
	- 46 M. Sangwan and L. Zhu, J. Phys. Chem. A, 2016, 120, 9958– 9967.
	- 47 M. Sangwan and L. Zhu, J. Phys. Chem. A, 2018, 122, 1861– 1872.
	- 48 J. Chen, J. Wenger and D. Venables, J. Phys. Chem. A, 2011, 115, 12235–12242.
	- 49 I. Bejan, Y. Aal, I. Barnes, T. Benter, B. Bohn, P. Wiesen and J. Kleffmann, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys., 2006, 8, 2028–2035.
	- 50 Y. Nitta, O. Schalk, H. Igarashi, S. Wada, T. Tsutsumi, K. Saita, T. Taketsugu and T. Sekikawa, J. Phys. Chem. Lett., 2021, 12, 674–679.
	- 51 F. Barsotti, T. Bartels-Rausch, E. Laurentiis, M. Ammann, M. Brigante, G. Mailhot, V. Maurino, C. Minero and D. Vione, Environ. Sci. Technol., 2017, 51, 7486–7495.
	- 52 W. Yang, D. You, C. Li, C. Han, N. Tang, H. Yang and X. Xue, Environ. Sci. Technol. Lett., 2021, 8, 747–752.
	- 53 S. Cheng, C. Zhou, H. Yin, J. Sun and K. Han, J. Chem. Phys., 2009, 130, 234311.
	- 54 L. Vereecken, H. K. Chakravarty, B. Bohn and J. Lelieveld, Int. J. Chem. Kinet., 2016, 48, 785–795.

# Paper Environmental Science: Atmospheres

- 55 K. Andersson, P. Malmqvist, B. Roos, A. Sadlej and K. Wolinski, J. Phys. Chem., 1990, 94, 5483–5488.
- 56 K. Andersson, P. Malmqvist and B. Roos, J. Chem. Phys., 1992, 96, 1218–1226.
- 57 C. Xu, F. Gu and C. Zhu, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys., 2018, 20, 5606–5616.
- 58 C. Xu, L. Yu, C. Zhu, J. Yu and Z. Cao, Sci. Rep., 2016, 6, 26768.
- 59 C. Xu, L. Yu, C. Zhu and J. Yu, J. Phys. Chem. A, 2015, 119, 10441–10450.
- 60 G. Ghigo, B. Roos and P. Malmqvist, Chem. Phys. Lett., 2004, 396, 142–149.
- 61 N. Forsberg and P. Malmqvist, Chem. Phys. Lett., 1997, 274, 196–204.
- 62 F. Weigend and R. Ahlrichs, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys., 2005, 7, 3297–3305.
- 63 F. Neese, Wiley Interdiscip. Rev.: Comput. Mol. Sci., 2012, 2, 73–78.
- 64 F. Neese, Wiley Interdiscip. Rev.: Comput. Mol. Sci., 2017, 8, e1327.
- 65 T. Lu, The sobMECP Program, 2016, website: [https://](https://sobereva.com/286) [sobereva.com/286](https://sobereva.com/286), date of access: 12/05/2022.
- 66 J. Harvey, M. Aschi, H. Schwarz and W. Koch, Theor. Chem. Acc., 1998, 99, 95–99.
- 67 A. D. Becke, J. Chem. Phys., 1993, 98, 5648–5652.
- 68 C. Lee, W. Yang and R. G. Parr, Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys., 1998, 37, 785–789.
- 69 S. H. Yosko, L. Wilk and M. Nusair, Can. J. Phys., 1980, 58, 1200–1211.
- 70 A. D. McLean and G. S. Chandler, J. Chem. Phys., 1980, 72, 5639–5848.
- 71 K. Raghavachari, J. S. Binkley, R. Seeger and J. A. Pople, J. Chem. Phys., 1980, 72, 650–654.
- 72 T. Clark, J. Chandrasekhar, G. W. Spitznagel and P. v. R. Schleyer, J. Comput. Chem., 1983, 4, 294–301.
- 73 M. J. Frisch, J. A. Pople and J. S. Binkley, J. Chem. Phys., 1984, 80, 3265–3269.
- 74 M. Frisch, et al., Gaussian 09, Revision D.01, Gaussian, Inc., Wallingford, CT, 2010.
- 75 M. Marques, C. Ullrich, F. Nogueira, A. Rubio, K. Burke and E. Gross, Time-dependent Density Functional Theory, Springer, Berlin, 2006.
- 76 X. Gao, S. Bai, D. Fazzi, T. Niehaus, M. Barbatti and W. Thiel, J. Chem. Theory Comput., 2017, 13, 515.
- 77 G. Cui and W.-H. Fang, ChemPhysChem, 2011, 12, 1351– 1357.
- 78 K. Takahashi, K. L. Plath, R. T. Skodje and V. Vaida, J. Phys. Chem. A, 2008, 112, 7321–7331.
- 79 A. W. Harrison, M. F. Shaw and W. J. De Bruyn, J. Phys. Chem. A, 2019, 123, 8109–8121.
- 80 N. E. Henriksen and F. Y. Hansen, Chapter 7: Unimolecular Reactions, Theories of Molecular Reaction Dynamics: the Microscopic Foundation of Chemical Kinetics, Oxford University Press, 2008. **Paper**<br>
Solutions, p. Malançini, B. Boos, A. Saldej and 80 N.E. itentions and F.Y. Hansen, Depter 2. Unimodecular Solutions Articles. The method of Montest Articles Commons are a model of the common access Articles is li
	- 81 T. Baer and W. L. Hase, Unimolecular Reaction Dynamics: Theory and Experiments, Oxford University Press, 1996.
	- 82 P. A. M. Dirac, Proc. R. Soc. London, Ser. A, 1927, 114, 243– 265.
	- 83 V. Lawetz, G. Orlandi and W. Siebrand, J. Chem. Phys., 1972, 56, 4058–4072.
	- 84 G. W. Robinson and R. P. Frosch, J. Chem. Phys., 1963, 38, 1187–1203.
	- 85 E. Y. T. Li, T. Y. Jiang, Y. Chi and P.-T. Chou, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys., 2014, 16, 26184–26192.
	- 86 K. Schmidt, S. Brovelli, V. Coropceanu, D. Belijonne, J. Cornil, C. Bazzini, T. Caronna, F. Meinardi, Z. Shuai and J.-L. Bredas, J. Phys. Chem. A, 2007, 111, 10490–10499.
	- 87 X.-F. Song, Z.-W. Li, W.-K. Chen, Y.-J. Gao and G. Cui, Inorg. Chem., 2022, 6161, 7673–7681.
	- 88 X.-F. Song, L.-Y. Peng, W.-K. Chen, Y.-J. Gao, W.-H. Fang and G. Cui, Chem.–Eur. J., 2022, e202201782.
	- 89 X.-W. Sun, L.-Y. Peng, Y.-J. Gao, Q. Fang and G. Cui, J. Phys. Chem. A, 2022, 126, 4176–4184.
	- 90 K. Shizu and H. Kaji, J. Phys. Chem. A, 2021, 125, 9000–9010.
	- 91 J. L. Steinfeld, J. S. Francisco and W. L. Hase, Chemical Kinetics and Dynamics, Prentice-Hall, 2nd edn, 1999.
	- 92 H. Eyring, J. Chem. Phys., 1935, 3, 107–115.
	- 93 E. Wigner, Z. Phys. Chem., Abt. B, 1932, 19, 203–216.
	- 94 P. Grammaticakis, Bull. Soc. Chim. Fr., 1951, 18, 220–226.
	- 95 R. Haddon, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1980, 102, 1807–1811.
	- 96 P. Nagy, Int. J. Mol. Sci., 2014, 15, 19562–19633.
	- 97 K. Mackeprang, S. Schrøder and H. Kjaergaard, Chem. Phys. Lett., 2013, 582, 31–37.
	- 98 J. Lađarević, B. Božić, L. Matović, et al., Dyes Pigm., 2019, 162, 562–572.
	- 99 H. Ernst, T. Wolf, O. Schalk, N. Gonzalez-García, A. Boguslavskiy, A. Stolow, M. Olzmann and A. Unterreiner, J. Phys. Chem. A, 2015, 119, 9225–9235.
	- 100 S. Caumo, M. Claeys, W. Maenhaut, R. Vermeylen, S. Behrouzi, M. Shalamzari and P. Vasconcellos, Atmos. Environ., 2016, 145, 272–279.
	- 101 X. Li, L. Jiang, L. Hoa, Y. Lyu, T. Xu, X. Yang, Y. Iinuma, J. Chen and H. Herrmann, Atmos. Environ., 2016, 145, 115–127.
	- 102 E. Eckstein, D. Perner, Ch. Brühl and T. Trautmann, Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., 2002, 2, 1939–1977.
	- 103 Y. Kanaya, Y. Kajii and H. Akimoto, Atmos. Environ., 2003, 37, 2463–2475.