Materials Advances

PAPER

Cite this: *Mater. Adv.*, 2023, 4, 265

DOI: 10.1039/d2ma00859a

rsc.li/materials-advances

Introduction

Better understanding of radiolysis would advance many nuclear technologies.1,2 One important area involves the large-scale processing of americium-241 (241 Am). Numerous technologies rely on this radioisotope's $[t_{1/2} = 432.6(6)$ years³ nuclear properties. Examples include oil-well logging and drilling exploration, soil compaction testing, thickness determination of metal

Exploring how exposure to radiolysis and harsh chemical reagents impact americium-241 extraction chromatography†

Brian T. Arko, \mathbf{D}^{ab} David Dan,^a Sara Adelman, *^a David B. Kimball, \mathbf{D}^{*a} Stosh A. Kozimor, \mathbb{D}^{*a} Marki M. Martinez,^a Tara Mastren, \mathbb{D}^c Daniel L. Huber,^a Veronika Mocko,^a Jung Rim,^a Jenifer C. Shafer, \mathbb{D}^{*b} Benjamin W. Stein \mathbb{D}^{a} and E. Miller Wylie^a

Improving control over radiolysis would advance nuclear technologies, spanning from radiotherapeutics to national security. There is therefore a need to better understand the impact from radiolysis on chemical transformations. Unfortunately, it is difficult to distinguish the impact from radiolysis vs. conventional stimuli for many processes that involve radionuclides. This problem was addressed herein by studying how radiolysis and exposure to chemical processing agents impacted a key separation step in the large-scale production of ²⁴¹Am for industrial use, via ChLoride Extraction And Recovery (CLEAR). To achieve this goal, aliquots of the McKee-carbamoylmethylphosphine oxide (m-CMPO^{TBP}) resin used in active 241 Am_(aq) CLEAR process columns were obtained and characterized for (1) americium retention/ release, (2) contaminant removal, and (3) resin degradation. The separative performance from these 'veteran' resins (having been exposed to ²⁴¹Am and processing agents) was evaluated against 'pristine (not exposed to 241 Am and processing agents) m -CMPO^{TBP}, rare earth (RE), tetraoctyldiglycolamide (TODGA), and tetraethylhexyldiglycolamide (TEHDGA) resins. The separative performances of 'pristine' resins were evaluated after systematic exposure to radiation and acid $[HC_{(aq)}]$. Our results showed that TODGA and TEHDGA were more resistant to chemical degradation and outperformed m-CMPOTBP and RE for americium binding capacity, recovery, and purification. These studies also demonstrated how two important extractant classes (CMPO and DGA) succumbed to radiolytic and chemical degradation, leading us to conclude that the DGA resins retained separative performance to a larger extent than the CMPO alternatives. In terms of application, the data suggested that CLEAR processing of ²⁴¹Am_(aq) for industrial use would be more robust and effective if TODGA or TEHDGA was used in place of m -CMPO T BP **PAPER**
 EXPLORING HOW exposure to radiolysis and harsh
 CRIP CONDITING TOW EXPOSURE TO TAGGONDS AND THIS CONDITION CO

> sheets and glasses, neutron radiography, moisture analyses, and nuclear reactor start-up. $4-13$ Since the 1950s, the U.S. has maintained an inventory of ²⁴¹Am for these industrial uses. Then, in 1984, U.S. production stopped and the inventory was depleted. Subsequently, global industries became reliant on a single non-U.S. supplier. The U.S. Department of Energy Isotope Program identified this sole source dependence as a vulnerability and found a waste stream from plutonium processing at Los Alamos National Laboratory (LANL) that could serve as an alternative source. To diversify commercial access to ²⁴¹Am, the Isotope Program and LANL established the ChLoride Extraction and Actinide Recovery (CLEAR) processing line (Fig. 1). $14-17$ Value in maintaining and improving CLEAR processing became more apparent in February of 2022, when the 241 Am supply chain was disrupted by economic sanctions following the Russian invasion of Ukraine. Hence, the need

ROYAL SOCIETY
OF CHEMISTRY

 a Los Alamos National Laboratory, Los Alamos, NM 87545, USA.

E-mail: sadelman@lanl.gov, dkimball@lanl.gov, stosh@lanl.gov

^b Department of Chemistry, Colorado School of Mines, Golden, CO 90401, USA. E-mail: jshafer@mines.edu

^c Department of Nuclear Engineering, University of Utah, Salt Lake City, UT 84112, USA

[†] Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. See DOI: [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1039/d2ma00859a) [10.1039/d2ma00859a](https://doi.org/10.1039/d2ma00859a)

Fig. 1 Process flow diagram of the CLEAR glovebox line and how it interfaces with EXCEL.

to strengthen CLEAR processing capabilities is more important today than ever before.¹⁸

A critical manipulation in CLEAR processing involves an extraction chromatography step (Fig. 1). This step utilizes a LANL-developed resin that is made in house by co-adsorbing an extractant (m-CMPO) and a phase transfer catalyst (e.g., tributyl phosphate, TBP) onto a methyl methacrylate bead (Pre-filter resin; 50-100 μ m; Fig. 2). This m-CMPO^{TBP} resin is used to separate $^{241}Am_{(aq)}$ from contaminants present in feed materials.^{19,20} Although ²⁴¹Am_(aq) extraction, purification, and recovery is operational and demonstrated on a large-scale (\sim 20 g of ²⁴¹Am per process batch), there is a need to reduce variability from batch-to-batch in terms of purity, yield, and processing time. Researchers and engineers speculate that radiolysis may be a contributing factor to the process variations, which seems reasonable because ²⁴¹Am emits high-energy α -particles [5.4 MeV (13.1%) and 5.5 MeV (84.8%)], has soft γ -radiation (59.5 keV) , and is processed in large quantities.³ However, there is no data to substantiate this proposition. Better defining radiolytic impact on 241 Am processing would provide insight and transform speculation about 241 Am radiolysis into predictable and better controlled phenomena.

Radiolysis chemistry occurs via direct and indirect means. Direct radiolysis happens when ionizing radiation cleaves chemical bonds, e.g., A-B + ionizing radiation \rightarrow A^{*} + B^{*}. This reaction has potential to decompose chemical agents important for experimentation and chemical processing, e.g., CMPO extractant and TBP phase transfer agents used in the CLEAR process. $2^{1,22}$ Direct radiolysis also generates a "wave" of radicals $(A^{\bullet} + B^{\bullet})$ that can propagate and induce additional chemical transformation via indirect means.^{23,24} Like direct radiolysis, indirect radiolysis also has the potential to decompose important chemical agents. 25 Limited understanding of these direct and indirect reactions render it difficult to identify if radiolysis is responsible for experimental outcomes or if standard chemical transformations lead to the observed result.^{26,27} This obscurity breeds speculation and scientific debate. Researchers can question for any system that includes a radionuclide if radiolysis is a major contributor or not.28,29 It would be easier to identify when radiolysis is happening (and to what extent) if it were better understood. This insight would enable experiments and processing schemes to be designed that control and account for radiolytic contributions.³⁰

Motivated by the aforementioned need to maintain and strengthen CLEAR capabilities and by scientific interest to advance fundamental understanding of radiolysis, we launched a campaign to characterize key aspects of radiolysis on extraction chromatography materials relevant to CLEAR 241 Am_(aq) processing. We evaluated how radiolysis and chemical transformations impacted three $^{241}Am_{(aq)}$ processing variables: (1) americium retention and release, (2) contaminant removal,

Fig. 2 Extractants and phase transfer catalysts adsorbed on resin beads for this study.

and (3) resin degradation (in terms of morphological and chemical). To achieve this, we pulled m -CMPO^{TBP} aliquots from active CLEAR line columns currently in use for $^{241}Am_{(aa)}$ processing and characterized the 'veteran' resin performance. Those results were compared against the performance of '*pristine'* m-CMPO^{TBP} (never exposed to ²⁴¹Am_(aq) and HCl_(aq)) samples, the commercially available Rare Earth (RE) resin, and a series of diglycolamide (DGA) resins, namely

tetraoctyldiglycolamide (TODGA) and tetraethylhexyldiglycolamide (TEHDGA) (Fig. 2). The RE resin was selected because it is similar to m-CMPO^{TBP} (CMPO based) and DGA resins were chosen because they show potential for 241 Am_(aq) processing.^{19,31}

Our results suggested that the m -CMPOTBP resin was susceptible to radiolysis and chemical degradation. Morphological changes (swelling), decomposition of the m -CMPO extractant, the TBP phase transfer agent, and diminished resin performance for 241 Am_(aq) processing were observed. Surprisingly, RE, TODGA, and TEHDGA appeared less impacted by both radiolysis and chemical degradation. The DGA resins also outperformed m -CMPO^{TBP} and RE on all fronts examined. Collectively, these data demonstrated how two important extractant classes (CMPO and DGA) succumbed to radiolytic and chemical degradation. The results also supported the proposition that switching the extraction resin used in largescale $^{241}Am_{(aq)}$ CLEAR processing from *m*-CMPO^{TBP} to TODGA and TEHDGA could make $^{241}Am_{(aq)}$ harvesting more robust and effective.

Results and discussion

EXCEL and CLEAR processes

We found it helpful for the reader to include a high-level description of the $^{241}Am_{(aq)}$ CLEAR processing method prior to reporting our data because (1) the ²⁴¹Am_(aq) CLEAR processing method has not been extensively described in the open literature, beyond a few LANL technical reports; and (2) this information will help contextualize our experimental plan and results. A schematic of aqueous CLEAR line processing for 241 Am_(aq) containing waste is summarized in Fig. 1. The CLEAR line is preceded by the Experimental Chloride Extraction Line (EXCEL), which has been in operation at LANL since 1993 and is utilized to recover plutonium from LANL-generated waste. 32 Effluent from the EXCEL line contains the ²⁴¹Am β -decay product from the ²⁴¹Pu parent radionuclide. This radioactive daughter is extracted from the waste by passing effluent from the EXCEL line through a series of five columns using CLEAR processing.³³ The CLEAR procedure starts by moving the waste solutions through an anion exchange column that scrubs the 241 Am_(aq) (+3 oxidation state) feed stock of any residual plutonium that may have slipped through the preceding EXCEL processing steps.³⁴ Next, the ²⁴¹Am_(aq) containing solution passes through a series of four large columns (3.4 L each) that contain the m -CMPO^{TBP} extraction chromatography resin. This resin is made in-house by co-adsorbing an extractant (m-CMPO) and a phase transfer catalyst (e.g., tributyl phosphate, TBP) onto a methyl methacrylate bead (Pre-filter resin; $50-100 \mu m$; Fig. 2).¹⁶ Approximate ratios are 30% by weight m-CMPO and 10% by weight phase transfer catalyst and 60% by weight Prefilter resin. In a typical processing campaign, the ²⁴¹Am_(aq) containing stream $(\sim 20$ L feed) is loaded onto the columns in strong HCl_(aq) (6–8 M). Under these conditions, ²⁴¹Am_(aq) is retained on the resin and many contaminants flow through the column. After sufficiently washing the resin with $HCl_{(aq)}$

(6–8 M), Am^{3+} _(aq) is eluted by flowing dilute HCl_(aq) (0.1 M) through the columns. The eluted fractions are then combined. Finally, the ²⁴¹Am_(aq) product is precipitated with oxalic acid and subsequently converted to $AmO_{2(s)}$. Typical process batch sizes enabled around 20 g of 241 Am to be isolated.

Evaluated resins

Resins were sampled from Columns #2, #3, and #4 (not Column #1) from the ²⁴¹Am_(aq) CLEAR processing line (Fig. 1). These samples were 'veterans,' meaning the m -CMPO^{TBP} resin aliquots had been in service for 950 day and processed approximately 150 g of 241 Am. During the processing time, these 'veteran' resins experienced cycling of large volumes of dilute and highly concentrated $HCl_{(aq)}$ (ranging 0.1 to 8 M) and received a substantial dose from the processing activities; estimated to be $>$ 5 MGy total. This estimate accounted for the α (5.49 MeV), γ (59.54 keV), X-ray (27.197 keV), 91.7 keV recoil energy, and the 28.97 keV Auger electrons that accompany 241 Am decay.³⁵ The estimate also accounted for the resin contact time with ²⁴¹Am, which was 3 to 4 days during an individual 241 Am process campaign (\sim 20 g ²⁴¹Am per batch; 700 to 1000 kGy per batch). We acknowledge that the real-world situation is more complicated than this crude model. Consider that quantitative removal of 241Am from the resin is never achieved, not all of the radiation is completely adsorbed by resin, and that the ²⁴¹Am content is heterogeneously distributed throughout the four columns. Resin housed in Column #1 binds the majority of ²⁴¹Am during the column loading and washing processes. Residual 241 Am trickles subsequently into Column #2, #3, and #4. Hence, the contact time with 241 Am and dose received by the resin cascades diminishingly from Column #1 to #4. For this reason, Column #1 was not sampled. The residual 241 Am content in Column #1 was too high and exceeded the radiological inventory limits for the radiological facility where our experiments were carried out. **Paper**

(a s tsi, Am²_{ice)} is clured the continue field on 01 December 2022. Manufacture is controlled the common paper are the controlled by the common commons are the common common common common common common common

The following studies focused on characterizing the performance of 'veteran' resins in extraction chromatography. To evaluate the resin performance as a function of radiation dose received, comparative studies were carried out on 'pristine' batches of m -CMPO^{TBP} (Fig. 2). 'Pristine' is defined here as being the m -CMPO^{TBP} resin inventory that was made 20 years ago (still used operationally) and has not been exposed yet to either radiation (α , β , and γ) or any acids, like HCl_(aq). When deemed relevant, the performance of the 'veteran' and 'pristine' m -CMPO^{TBP} resins were further evaluated against the chemically similar RE resin as well as the TODGA and TEHDGA resins shown in Fig. $2.^{31}$

Distribution coefficients (K_d) from m-CMPO^{TBP} 'veteran' and 'pristine' resins

The extraction characteristics from the aforementioned resins were characterized for americium binding vs. binding of a series of common contaminants found typically in the 241 Am process feed. These contaminants included Al, Be, Ca, Ce, Co, Cr, Cu, Fe, Ga, K, Mg, Mo, Mn, Ni, Pb, Ti, U, V, Y, and Zn. For the 'veteran' resins, we were unable to make K_d measurements

directly on the ²⁴¹Am radionuclide because of the substantial amounts of 241Am that lingered on the CLEAR resins from previous processing activities. Instead, we made the reasonable assumption that the 243 Am isotope would behave equivalently to ²⁴¹Am. Hence, americium K_d measurements were made using an ²⁴³Am_(aq) radiotracer. Note: (1) γ -spectroscopy confirmed the initial absence of ²⁴³Am activity in each 'veteran' m -CMPO^{TBP} resin; (2) residual ²⁴¹Am left on the resin may impact measured 'veteran' K_d values for all of the abovementioned elements (including ²⁴³Am). However, the impact from residual 241 Am is relevant and representative of 241 Am large-scale processing conditions.

The ²⁴³Am_(aq) K_d values from 'veteran' m-CMPO^{TBP} resins were characterized as a function of $HCl_{(aq)}$ concentration (ranging from 0.1 M to 8 M; Fig. 3). The range of $HCl_(aq)$ concentrations were selected because they simulated large-scale processing conditions when americium was bound to the extraction resin [in 6 to 8 M $HCl_{(aq)}$], when the resin was washed [in 6 to 8 M HCl_(aq)], and when ²⁴¹Am was eluted from the resin [in dilute 0.1 M $HCl_{(aq)}$]. In general, this data showed low uptake of ²⁴³Am_(aq) in dilute HCl_(aq) (<2 M). Increasing the $HCl_{(aq)}$ concentrations monotonically increased ²⁴³Am_(aq) retention until quantitative adsorption was achieved at 8 M $HCl_(aa)$. Another characteristic associated with this ²⁴³Am_(aq) K_d plot was the upturn in 243 Am_(aq) retention that occurred at very dilute $HCl_(aa)$ concentrations. For example, decreasing the $HCl_(aa)$ concentration from 2 to 0.5 M forced the ²⁴³Am_(aq) K_d value to drop to a minimum at 1 mL g^{-1} . Decreasing the HCl_(aq) concentration further to 0.1 M generated the opposite response and the $^{243}\mathrm{Am}_{\mathrm{(aq)}}$ K_{d} value increased to 10 mL $\mathrm{g}^{-1}.$ Although the origin for this disparate performance remains unclear, it has been observed and discussed previously.^{36,37}

The general line shapes that described ²⁴³Am_(aq) K_d dependence in $HCl_(aa)$ from the 'veteran' resins were similar to one another and to that from 'pristine' m -CMPO^{TBP} resins. Despite these similarities, some subtle and important distinctions should be noted. Increased exposure to 243 Am_(aq) by progressing from the 'pristine' resin to Columns #4, #3, and then to #2 decreased ²⁴³Am_(aq) retention at high HCl_(aq) concentrations (between 4 and 8 M). This change is undesirable from a processing standpoint. These K_d results suggested that prolonged exposure to processing conditions - either 241 Am or $HCl_(aa)$ – led to resin degradation, which is consistent with the rest of the data reported below. This decomposition decreased CLEAR resin's aptitude for extracting 241 Am_(aq) from the feed during column loading (high HCl concentrations). We also observed that the resin's ability to release $^{241}Am_{(aq)}$ during the elution process (low HCl concentrations) was complicated. The ²⁴¹Am_(aq) recoverability at 0.1 M HCl_(aq) was better for the 'veteran' resins vs. the 'pristine' m-CMPO^{TBP}, however, recoverability from Column $#2$ (largest 241 Am exposure) was worse than Columns #3 and #4 (smallest 241 Am exposure).

Exposing resins to 241 Am also impacted retention of common contaminants potentially present in the CLEAR feed stock. Exposure to ²⁴¹Am decreased the 'veteran' resins' propensity for binding V, Cr, Co, Zn, Y, and Pb at high $HCl_(aa)$ concentrations.

	H Li	α and	Quant Adsorb 10 ³ ⊕ 10 Ϋ 10 ⁶ 10 ¹ Quant	Am^{3+}		\circ m-CMPO ¹⁶ · Column 4 o Column 3			Resin:				B	C	N		O	F	He Ne
	Na α _{C, C, C} Mg	N/A	Release		HCI (M)	o Column 2			m -CMPOTBP				C2, C3, C	Si	P		S	CI	Ar
	N/A Ca	N/A	Sc				Mn N/A						G _i	Ge	As		Se	Br	Кr
	Rb	Sr		Ζr	Nb		Tc	Ru	Rh	Pd	Ag	Cd	In	Sn	Sb		Te	\mathbf{L}	Xe
	Cs	Ba		Hf	Ta	W	Re	Os	Ir	Pt	Au	Hg	TI	Pb \mathbb{N}_{+}	Bi		Po	At	Rn
	Fr	Ra		Rf	Db	Sg	Bh	Hs	Mt	Ds	Rq	Cn	Nh	FI	Mc		Lv	Ts	Os
Fig. 3 Temperature controlled (25 °C) distribution coefficients (K _d) from 'veteran' m-CMPO ^{TBP} resin for ²⁴³ Am _(aq) (20 pCi) in HCl (0.1 to 8 M) with twenty-three metal contaminants present at 5 ppm. Measurements were made in triplicate and uncertainty is shown as the standard deviation of the			La Ac	Th	Pr Pa	Nd	Pm Np	Sm Eu Pu	Gd Cm Am	Tb Bk		Dy Ho Cf	Es	Er Fm	Tm Md	Yb No	Lu Lr		
mean (at 1σ). Elements labeled with N/A were not adsorbed. The asterisk (*) indicates when analytes were present on a microscopic scale and used as a radiotracer.																			

Fig. 3 Temperature controlled (25 °C) distribution coefficients (K_d) from 'veteran' m-CMPO^{TBP} resin for ²⁴³Am_(aq) (20 pCi) in HCl (0.1 to 8 M) with twenty-three metal contaminants present at 5 ppm. Measurements were made in triplicate and uncertainty is shown as the standard deviation of the mean (at 1σ). Elements labeled with N/A were not adsorbed. The asterisk (*) indicates when analytes were present on a microscopic scale and used as a radiotracer.

Distribution coefficients (K_d) from 'pristine' m-CMPO^{TBP}, RE, TODGA, and TEHDGA resins

To provide more insight into what caused $^{241}Am_{(aa)}$ retention to change when resins were exposed to ²⁴¹Am and HCl_(aq), we designed and carried out a series of radiation exposure experiments on the resins shown in Fig. 2. These experiments (Fig. 4–8) involved exposing the 'pristine' versions of m -CMPO^{TBP}, RE, TODGA, and TEHDGA resins for 130 d to dilute HCl_(aq) [0.1 M; ²⁴¹Am_(aq) column elution conditions], for 130 d to higher concentration HCl_(aq) [7 M; ²⁴¹Am_(aq) column loading and washing conditions], and to radiolysis induced from ¹³⁷Cs radiation (β ⁻ and γ ; 0 to 130 kGy). Note, this 130 kGy β^- and γ dose exceeded the estimated β^- and γ dose (calculated to be 50 kGy) received by the resin during the time period (3.5 day) for processing one single batch of ²⁴¹Am (\sim 20 g).³⁵ Also note, impact from α induced radiolysis was not captured in these experiments, α radiolysis increases estimated doses to be between 700 to 1000 kGy per batch (see above). These experiments showed that $\text{HCl}_{(aq)}$ contact decreased ²⁴¹Am_(aq) retention for *m*-CMPO^{TBP} and that the resins performance for m -CMPO^{TBP} after the 7 M HCl_(aq) exposure matched (within error) that from the 'veteran' resin $(K_d \sim 10³)$. Exposure to γ -radiation did not have any observable impact on ²⁴¹Am binding for m -CMPO^{TBP}, which suggested that α -induced radiolysis may be more impactful than that from γ -radiation.

The retention of potential metal contaminants by the m -CMPO^{TBP} and RE resins were impacted by exposure to HCl_(aq) and to a lesser extent exposure to 137 Cs radiation (130 kGy). For example, for the m -CMPO^{TBP}, contacting this resin with higher concentration $HCl_{(aq)}$ (7 M; column loading conditions) decreased the binding of Be, V, Cr, Mn, Y, Ni, Co, Ti, and Zn (high $HCI_{(aq)}$) concentrations) and did not impact Fe, Ga, Na, Mg, K, Ca, Cu, Al, and Pb binding. At low $\text{HCl}_{\text{(aq)}}$ concentration (0.1 M; americium eluting conditions), K_d values increased for U and decreased for Np.38 Exposure to 137Cs radiation alone had negligible impact on the m -CMPO^{TBP} and RE resins ability to bind any of the contaminants. Exposing TODGA and TEGDGA to ¹³⁷Cs radiation (130 kGy) and $HCl_(aq)$ had no impact on metal contaminant binding, with one exception. Binding of Mo increased when DGA resins received prolonged exposure to ¹³⁷Cs radiation and dilute HCl_(aq) (0.1 M).

Americium loading capacity

The studies described above were carried out under conditions where the resin was always in extreme excess in comparison to americium. We realize that this is not the case during largescale production campaigns.³² For this reason, we developed safe protocols for conducting metal binding capacity experiments with macroscopic amounts of americium that would better inform on resin binding capacity for 241 Am_(aq), a critical

Fig. 4 Temperature controlled (25 °C) distribution coefficients (K_d) from m-CMPO^{TBP} resin for ²⁴¹Am_(aq) (20 pCi) in HCl (0.1 and 7 M) with twenty-three metal contaminants present at 5 ppm. Measurements were made in triplicate and uncertainty is shown as the standard deviation of the mean (at 1o). Elements labeled with N/A were not adsorbed. The asterisk (*) indicates when analytes were present on a microscopic scale and used as a radiotracer.

		Quant Absorb 10 ³ 10 ² 10 ¹																		
H		$\kappa_{\mathsf{d}}\,(\frac{\mathsf{n} \cdot \mathsf{d}}{\mathsf{s}})$ 10° 10^{-1}			Am^{3+} o 7 M HCI 0 0.1 M HCI															He
Li	⊮ве	Quant Release							Resin:					B	C		N	\circ	F	Ne
N _a	O HGy + HClass 25 KGy * HClass SO KGy * HClass TS KOY * HOIAN 100 KGy * HClass 130 KGy * HClass Rare Earth $\begin{array}{c}\nN/A \\ 7 M \\ 0.1 M\n\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{c}\nN/A \\ 7 M \\ 0.1 M\n\end{array}$ Mg Rare Earth Absorbed Dose from 137Cs								$\begin{array}{c}\n\text{N'A} \\ 7M \\ \text{0.1 M}\n\end{array}$ AI	Si		P	S	CI	Ar					
$^{N/A}_{7M}$ 0.1 M K	$\begin{array}{c}\n\text{N/A} \\ \text{7 M} \\ \text{0.1 M}\n\end{array}$ Ca	Sc	Ti		$\begin{array}{c}\nN/A \\ 7 M \\ 0.1 M\n\end{array}$ Cr	$\begin{bmatrix}N/A\\ 7 M\\ 0.1 M\end{bmatrix}$ Mn	Fe	Co	$\begin{array}{c}\nN/A \\ 7 M \\ 0.1 M\n\end{array}$ Ni	$\frac{N/A}{7 M}$ 0.1 M	Cu	N/A $7 M$ $0.1 M$ Zn	$\begin{array}{r} N/A \\ 7 M \\ 0.1 M \end{array}$	Ga	Ge		As	Se	Br	Кr
Rb	Sr	Įγ .	Zr	Nb	Mo	Tc	Ru	Rh		Pd	Ag		Cd	In	Sn		Sb	Te		Xe
Cs	Ba		Hf	Ta	W	Re	Os	Ir		Pt	Au		Hg	TI	Pb	$\begin{array}{c}\nN/A \\ 7 M \\ 0.1 M\n\end{array}$	Bi	Po	At	Rn
Fr	Ra		Rf	Db	Sg	Bh	Hs	Mt		Ds	Rg		Cn	Nh	F1		Mc	Lv	Ts	Os
	La	Ac	Ce $+ + +$ Th	Pr Pa	Nd H^* U	Pm	Sm Pu	Eu Am [*]	Gd Cm	Tb Bk		Dy Cf	Ho	Es	Er Fm	Tm Md	Yb No		Lu Lr	

Fig. 5 Temperature controlled (25 °C) distribution coefficients (K_d) from Rare Earth (RE) resin for ²⁴¹Am_(aq) (20 pCi) in HCl (0.1 and 7 M) with twenty-three metal contaminants present at 5 ppm. Measurements were made in triplicate and uncertainty is shown as the standard deviation of the mean (at 1o). Elements labeled with N/A were not adsorbed. The asterisk (*) indicates when analytes were present on a microscopic scale and used as a radiotracer.

variable for designing and optimizing large-scale $^{241}Am_{(aa)}$ processing. In these experiments we used the slightly less radioactive ²⁴³Am isotope $[t_{1/2} = 7364(22)$ year] in place of

²⁴¹Am $[t_{1/2} = 432.6(6)$ year] to mitigate the radiation dose received by the researchers and sidestep measurement challenges presented by the 'veteran' resins that had residual

Fig. 6 Temperature controlled (25 °C) distribution coefficients (K_d) from TODGA resin for ²⁴¹Am_(aq) (20 pCi) in HCl (0.1 and 7 M) with twenty-three metal contaminants present at 5 ppm. Measurements were made in triplicate and uncertainty is shown as the standard deviation of the mean (at 1 σ). Elements labeled with N/A were not adsorbed. The asterisk (*) indicates when analytes were present on a microscopic scale and used as a radiotracer.

		Quant Absorb 10 ³ 10 ² K_d ($\frac{m}{s}$) 10																	
H		10° 10^{-1}			Am^{3+} o 7 M HCI 0 0.1 M HCI														He
Li	Be	Quant Release	TEHDGA					Resin:					B	C	N		\circ	F	Ne
$\begin{array}{c}\nN/A \\ 7 M \\ 0.1 M\n\end{array}$ Na	$\begin{array}{c}\nN/A \\ 7 M \\ 0.1 M\n\end{array}$ Mg			O KGy x HClay 25 KGy * HOlee	SO KGy * HClass 75 KGy * HCIN Absorbed Dose from ¹³⁷ Cs	TOO KGy * HClass 130 KGy * HCI _{mps}		TEHDGA					$\begin{array}{c}\n\text{N'A} \\ 7M \\ \text{0.1 M}\n\end{array}$ AI	Si		P	S	CI	Ar
Κ	$\left[\begin{smallmatrix} N/A \ T M \end{smallmatrix}\right]$ Ca يمدعوه	Sc	∔Тi		∏ Cr	H _{Mn}	Fe	∦cо	I Ni		Cu	2n	Ga	Ge		As	Se	Br	Кr
Rb	Sr		Zr	Nb	Mo	Tc	Ru	Rh	Pd		Ag	Cd	In	Sn		Sb	Te	L	Xe
Cs	Ba		Hf	Ta	W	Re	Os	Ir		Pt	Au	Hg	TI	Fb	Bi		Po	At	Rn
Fr	Ra		Rf	Db	Sg	Bh	Hs	Mt		Ds	Rg	Cn	Nh	FI		Mc	Lv	Ts	Os
	La	Ac	$rac{Ce}{1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1}$ Th	Pr Pa	Nd	Pm Np'	Sm Pu	Eu Am^*	Gd Cm	Tb Bk		Dy Cf	Ho Es	Er Fm	Tm Md	Yb No		Lu Lr	

Fig. 7 Temperature controlled (25 °C) distribution coefficients (K_d) from TEHDGA resin for ²⁴¹Am(_{aq)} (20 pCi) in HCl (0.1 and 7 M) with twenty-three metal contaminants present at 5 ppm. Measurements were made in triplicate and uncertainty is shown as the standard deviation of the mean (at 1o). Elements labeled with N/A were not adsorbed. The asterisk (*) indicates when analytes were present on a microscopic scale and used as a radiotracer.

²⁴¹Am_(aq).³ The measured ²⁴³Am_(aq) binding capacities (see eqn (5)) from 'veteran' m-CMPO^{TBP} resins were compared against those from 'pristine' m-CMPOTBP, TEHDGA, and TODGA resins that had been exposed to HCl_(aq) (7 M) as well as 137 Cs

Fig. 8 Temperature controlled (25 °C) Am loading capacity as a function of adsorbed dose with ²⁴³Am_(aq) held at a constant initial concentration of 1 g L $^{-1}$. The entry ''C2'' refers to resin taken from Column #2 from the CLEAR glovebox line.

radiation (see Fig. 8). In general, $^{243}Am_{(aq)}$ binding capacities from DGA resins were about 40% higher (at \sim 35 mg 243 Am per g resin) than from the 'pristine' m-CMPO^{TBP} resin (\sim 25 mg ²⁴³Am per g resin). The ²⁴³Am_(aq) binding capacity from 'pristine' m-CMPO^{TBP} resin was around 25% higher than the 'veteran' resin from Column #2 (17.5 \pm 0.1 mg ²⁴³Am per g resin) and m -CMPO^{TBP} resin that had been exposed to either 137 Cs radiation (130 kGy) or HCl_(aq) (7 M), which were also around 17 mg 243 Am per g resin). Exposing the DGA based resins to 137 Cs (130 kGy) radiation and HCl_(aq) (7 M) decreased the ²⁴³Am_(aq) binding capacity by \sim 9% (32.4 \pm 0.1 mg ²⁴³Am per g resin for TEHDGA and 32.1 \pm 0.1 mg²⁴³Am per g resin for TODGA). This decrease was substantially smaller than the decrease observed for m -CMPO^{TBP} resin that had been exposed to 137Cs (130 kGy); approximately a 26% decrease was observed to be 17.4 ± 0.1 mg²⁴³Am per g resin.

The Am^{3+} resin capacity measurements described above provided insight into the amount of extractant that should be adsorbed onto pre-filter beads to achieve maximum $^{241}Am_{(30)}$ retention during extraction chromatography. These data suggested 4.71 \pm 0.01 equivalents of TEHDGA extractant were required to bind one equivalent of Am³⁺ because the TEHDGA resin was 40% by weight and 243 Am_(aq) binding maximized at 35.5 ± 0.1 mg ²⁴³Am per g resin. Equivalent results (within the measurement uncertainty) were obtained for the TODGA: 40% TODGA by weight and 35.4 \pm 0.1 mg ²⁴³Am per g resin. In contrast, more extractant (and consequently, phase transfer agent) was required for the m-CMPO^{TBP} resin. Up to 6.38 \pm 0.03 equivalents of m -CMPO extractant were needed for one equivalent of Am³⁺ (23.6 \pm 0.1 mg²⁴³Am per g resin), assuming the resin was loaded at 30% by weight m-CMPO. It was tempting to correlate these americium mass loading numbers with

stoichiometries for the extracted Am^{3+} _(aq) species. However, we refrained from concluding that the average chemical speciation for extracted ²⁴¹Am was "Am(TEHDGA)_{4.6}" and "Am(*m*-CMPO)_{6.4}" because it was possible that not all of the extractant was participating in Am^{3+} _(aq) binding. Hence, this data serves as motivation for future efforts that characterize Am³⁺ speciation on the CMPO and DGA resin beads.³⁹ Consequently, these characterization experiments are underway.

Additional confidence and credibility for the above described 243 Am_(aq) mass loading experiments were obtained by carrying out complimentary mass loading experiments using milligram quantities of Nd $^{\rm 3+}$ _(aq) (1–2.5 g $\rm L^{-1})$ traced with radioanalytical quantities of ²⁴¹Am_(aq) (20 nCi mL⁻¹, 6 \times 10⁻¹⁰ g mL⁻¹).⁴⁰ These experiments showed that the Nd^{3+} _(aq) binding capacity from the DGA resins was higher than that from CMPO resins and that the ²⁴¹Am_(aq) activity "carried on" Nd^{3+} _(aq) (followed or mimicked) (Fig. 9). The Nd³⁺_(aq) binding capacity at 1 g L⁻¹ in 7 M HCl_(aq) was 21 mg Nd per g resin for both of the DGA resins $(0.15 \text{ mmol Nd}^{3+})$ per g resin). This value compared well with the analogous ²⁴¹Am_(aq) measurement recorded in Fig. 8; 35 mg Am³⁺ per g resin; 0.15 mmol Am³⁺ per g resin at 1 g L⁻¹ in 7 M HCl_(aq). Similar agreement was observed for Nd^{3+} _(aq) and Am^{3+} _(aq) binding capacities from CMPO resins and the CMPO resins showed an overall lower capacity for Nd^{3+} _(aq) and Am^{3+} _(aq) than the DGA resins. All of these binding capacity measurements supported our ranking for metal binding capability, from highest metal binding capacity to lowest metal binding capacity:

TEHDGA \sim TODGA \gg m-CMPO^{TBP} $>$ RE

Another valuable metric obtained from these mass loading experiments was the free column volume to peak maximum,

Fig. 9 Temperature controlled (25 °C) Nd³⁺/Am³⁺ loading capacity as a function of Nd concentration with HCl_(aq) held at a constant initial concentration of 7 M. The asterisk (*) indicates when analytes were present on a microscopic scale and used as a radiotracer.

which is also known as the resin capacity factor, k' (calculated directly from K_d under excess metal conditions, see eqn (8)).⁴¹ Fig. 8, right outlines how k' from m-CMPO^{TBP}, TODGA, and TEHDGA varied as a function of dose received and upon exposure to HCl_(aq) (7 M). These k^{*l*} measurements estimated ²⁴¹Am_(aq) to breakthrough as a function of eluent passed through a column and informed on volume restraints that prevented $^{241}Am_{(aq)}$ loss during column washing. Overall, these experiments highlighted that k' for TODGA was approximately an order of magnitude higher than TEHDGA and that k' for TEHDGA was an order of magnitude higher than 'pristine' *m*-CMPO $^{\rm TBP}.$ Exposure to HCl_(aq) (7 M) decreased $k',$ such that k' from TODGA and TEHDGA were essentially equivalent and approximately 10 times larger than that from m -CMPO^{TBP}. The impact from 137 Cs radiation slightly decreased the k' values further for TODGA and TEHDGA. Despite this decrease, k' from TODGA and TEHDGA remained substantially larger than m -CMPO^{TBP}, whose k' was only marginally impacted by exposure to ionizing radiation from 137 Cs or 241 Am (Column #2).

Characterization of the irradiated resins

The adsorbed extractants and phase transfer agents present in CMPO and DGA resins were characterized to provide insight into why the performance of some resins changed after exposure to ²⁴¹Am (and its daughters) as well as to $HCl_(aq)$. The resins were characterized using numerous spectroscopic methods. Infrared spectroscopy (IR) and scanning electron microscopy (SEM) assays were made directly on the resins that had been dried under ambient conditions for two weeks. The effectiveness of the drying procedure was confirmed gravimetrically. Gas chromatography mass spectrometry (GCMS) and ${}^{1}H$ and ${}^{31}P$ nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) spectroscopy assays were performed on solutions obtained after stripping the extractant and the phase transfer catalyst from the dried resin bead. Deuterated-dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO- d_6) was used for the NMR measurements and ethyl acetate for the GCMS assay (see the Methods section). For each resin, there was value in reviewing all five pieces of data – IR, SEM, GCMS, 1 H NMR, and ${}^{31}P$ NMR - because degradation products

identified by one method $(e.g., {}^{31}P NMR)$ may not be observable using other analytical techniques (e.g., GCMS). Admittedly, we were unable to unambiguously characterize the exact identity of each degradation product. Instead, we simply use the data to identify when degradation was occurring.

SEM characterization. Table 1 compares SEM images from 'veteran' resins with 'pristine' m-CMPO, RE, TODGA, and TEHDGA resins. Note, all resins utilized a polymethacrylate bead (100–150 μ m) as the base substrate.⁴² Hence, only the chemical identities and amounts of adsorbed extractants varied

from resin to resin. Table 1 was organized with unadulterated resin beads (those not exposed to radiation or acid) in the far-left column. Subsequent column entries demonstrated how increased exposure to radiation (either in the form of 241 Am top row or ¹³⁷Cs bottom four rows) impacted resin morphology. The table also compared the impact from exposing resins to dilute vs. higher concentration $HCl_{(aq)}$ (0.1 vs. 7 M). One of the most exciting series of SEM results came from the TODGA and TEHDGA resins (Table 1). The morphologies suggested that these DGA resins were robust against $HCl_{(aa)}$ and ^{137}Cs radiation exposure. Only minor swelling was observed for the TODGA and TEHDGA resins. In contrast to these DGA resins, exposing the m -CMPO^{TBP} and RE resins to dilute acid caused significant swelling. Subsequent exposure of these acidic m -CMPO^{TBP} and RE resins to 137 Cs radiation caused no additional swelling, however, swelling was more pronounced for the 'veteran' resins $(^{241}$ Am exposed) than for the 137 Cs exposed beads.

The SEM images also showed that crystallites (shaped as needles) formed when the m -CMPO^{TBP} resins were exposed to $HCl_(aa)$ and ¹³⁷Cs radiation. Elemental analyses using energy dispersive spectroscopy (EDS) on these needles identified C, N, O and P, whose origin was reasonably attributed to desorption of the m-CMPO extractant and/or TBP phase transfer catalyst from the resin bead. We posit similar desorption was operative for the 'veteran' samples even though no crystallites were observed by SEM. Absence of crystals in the 'veteran' SEM images was attributed to differences in sample treatment. For example, the 'veteran' resins were housed within a column through which a mobile phase flowed during operation. This arrangement provided a mechanism to remove the desorbed extractant and phase transfer catalyst from the 'veteran' resin samples prior to SEM assay. In contrast, the 137Cs contacted samples were housed in Eppendorf tubes and the mobile phase was static. Under these conditions desorbed extractants and phase transfer catalysts were trapped alongside the resin bead and could not be removed prior to SEM analyses. No needle formation was observed with the RE resin. Overall, these data demonstrate that TODGA and TEHDGA are more stable toward morphological changes induced by $HCl_(aa)$ and radiolysis than m -CMPO^{TBP} and RE resins.

NMR characterization. Analysis by NMR spectroscopy provided insight for correlating extractant and phase transfer degradation with 241 Am exposure. For the 'veteran' resins, ^{31}P NMR spectra were the most easily interpretable and are discussed here in detail (Fig. 10). These data showed that degradation occurred for resins in all three columns and that the degree of decomposition correlated with increased exposure to ²⁴¹Am; Column #2 > Column #3 > Column #4. For example, the '*veteran*' ³¹P NMR spectra (³¹P–¹H coupled) from Column #4 showed degradation peaks near the m-CMPO (a septet at \sim 30 ppm) and TBP (a septet near -1 ppm) resonances. Moving upstream from Column #4 to #3 to #2 increased accumulated ²⁴¹Am dose and increased the intensity for the phosphine oxide and phosphate degradation peaks.

To provide some insight into the origin for 'veteran' resin degradation, we compared the 'veteran' $31P$ NMR spectra with

Fig. 10 The $31P$ NMR ($1H$ -coupled) spectra from 'pristine' m-CMPO^{TBF} resin (top, no 241Am nor acid exposure) and resin aliquots taken from active columns (2, 3, and 4) used in the CLEAR process ('veteran' resin samples that were exposed to acid and 241 Am). The 241 Am radiation dose received by the veteran resin decreased from Column #2 to Column #4. Data was normalized to m -CMPO at δ 26 ppm.

spectra from 'pristine' resins exposed to dilute $\text{HCl}_{\text{(aq)}} (0.1 \text{ M})$, high concentration HCl_(aq) (7 M), and ¹³⁷Cs radiation. These comparisons showed that the phosphine oxide functional group in m-CMPO was susceptible to degradation from exposure to both $HCl_{(aq)}$ and ¹³⁷Cs radiation (Fig. 11). Notice the ³¹P spectrum from 'pristine' m-TBP (near δ 0 ppm) contained the characteristic TBP septet (see Fig. 11 and the ESI†). Exposing the resin to HCl_(aq) caused a quintet to emerge near δ -1 ppm. We attributed this change to degradation of the TBP to dibutyl phosphate (DBP), which has been documented in the literature.^{38,43-46} Exposing the resin to 137 Cs radiation increased the degradation slightly, as evident from the subtle increased quintet peak intensity (δ 26 ppm). Comparing the $31P$ NMR spectra from 'veteran' resins to the 'pristine' and irradiated m -CMPO^{TBP} spectra demonstrated – for the most part – that the harsh conditions associated with industrial use [combined exposure to ²⁴¹Am exposure and $\text{HCl}_{\text{(aq)}}$] impacted integrity for the m-CMPO extractant and TBP phase transfer catalyst. There was substantially more degradation for the 'veteran' m-CMPO resins than for those exposed to 137 Cs radiation, likely due to the larger 241 Am α -radiation dose.^{21,22} We also observed a slight increase in TBP degradation for the $137₁₃₇$ Cs exposed samples. Initially it seemed that TBP degradation was more pronounced for the 137 Cs exposed samples than for the 'veteran' resins. However, for the same reasons that we cannot quantify crystallite formation in the 'veteran' samples (see the SEM analysis above), we cannot quantify TBP degradation in 'veteran' samples. This is because TBP degradation

Fig. 11 The ³¹P NMR (¹H-coupled) spectra from 'pristine' m-CMPO^{TBP} resin (top – no exposure to radiation nor acid). The trace second from the top shows the spectra from the m-CMPO^{TBP} resin that was exposed to HCl (0.1 M left, 7 M right). Spectra from resins that were exposed to 137 Cs radiation are shown in the subsequent traces moving down the figure as a function of increasing dose. The spectra were normalized to the m-CMPO resonance near 26 ppm.

products [like $O=P(OH)_x(OBu)_{3-x}$] could potentially be removed by the mobile phase during CLEAR column operations.

GCMS characterization. Analysis by GCMS enabled us to discretely evaluate degradation of the amide functional group of the m-CMPO extractant (see the ESI†). The results showed amide degradation occurred upon exposure to $HCl_(aq)$ and was exacerbated by exposure to ¹³⁷Cs-radiation. As evidence, GCMS data from 'pristine' m-CMPO contained the expected peaks for the *m*-CMPO extractant $(m/z = 483$, retention time = 22.5 min) and the corresponding TBP phase transfer catalysis $(m/z = 266,$ retention time = 5.1 min). The GCMS trace also showed evidence for slight m-CMPO degradation, likely owing to hydrolysis at the amide functionality $(m/z = 445,$ retention time \sim 24 min). We remind the reader that the batch of m-CMPO^{TBP} resin currently being used operationally was prepared on a large scale 20 years ago. Soaking the resin in dilute $\text{HCl}_{(aq)}$ (0.1 M) for 130 days accelerated the m-CMPO degradation. Notice the new peaks with m/z of 358.1 and 327.1 (attributable to further decomposition of the amide functional group); with retention times of 13.6 and 16 min, respectively. Increasing the acid concentration from 0.1 M to 7 M (also a 130-day exposure) increased the magnitude of this degradation as did exposure to the 137Cs radiation. The GCMS results from RE resin (see the ESI†) showed no evidence for analogous amine-based degradation, leading us to suspect that the m-CMPO decomposition does indeed originate from exposure to radiation and $HCl_(aq)$, rather than being related to the sample preparation and the harsh conditions associated with GCMS analyses.

Similar to m-CMPO, the DGA resins degraded from exposure to acid and 137Cs (130 kGy) radiation. Notable decomposition peaks included features at 4.5 min $(m/z 142)$, 5.5 min $(m/z 180)$, and 8 min $(m/z 170)$ which were attributable to amine and amide biproduct formation. Peaks at 24 min $(m/z 313)$ and 28 min $(m/z 354)$ were attributable to amide hydrolysis decomposition products. These assignments were consistent with the NMR assays, which showed peaks at δ 8.5 and δ 9.9 ppm that likely resulted from the hydrolysis degradation pathways. $47-50$

Outlook

Herein the impact of radiolysis and chemical degradation pathways on three important 241 Am_(aq) processing variables were evaluated; (1) americium retention and release, (2) contaminant removal, and (3) resin degradation (in terms of morphologic and chemical). The results suggested chemical exposure to HCl_(aq) only marginally impacted ²⁴¹Am_(aq) extraction chromatography for two DGA-based resins (TODGA and TEHDGA). For these TODGA and TEHDGA resins, exposure to $\text{HCl}_{\text{(aa)}}$ for 130 days had no effect on americium K_d values under column loading conditions [7 M $HCl_{(aq)}$]. It also did not impact americium release under americium elution conditions [0.1 M HCl_(aq)]. Contacting TODGA and TEHDGA with HCl_(aq) did, however, facilitate 241 Am_(aq) breakthrough from the column during resin washing, as evident from the appreciable decrease in the free column volume to peak maximum (k') measurements. Exposure to 137 Cs radiation further decreased k' , thereby increasing the possibility for americium breakthrough during washing, due to radiolysis. The DGA resin's chemical integrity was maintained (compared to m -CMPO^{TBP}) when subjected to $137Cs$ radiation, and consequently when exposed to HCl_(aq). In terms of morphology, $137Cs$ radiation and $HCl_(aa)$ exposure did not cause appreciable amounts of swelling nor was there any evidence of extractant desorption detected. All these factors demonstrated robustness for the TODGA and TEHDGA resins in americium extraction chromatography. These features are particularly exciting when compared to the operationally deployed m -CMPO^{TBP} resin currently used in large-scale CLEAR processing.

Radiolytic and chemical decomposition was more prominent for the m-CMPO^{TBP} resin currently used in CLEAR processing. Exposure to $HCl_{(aq)}$ decreased ²⁴¹Am_(aq) retention during column loading, facilitated 241 Am_(aq) breakthrough from the resin during column washing, and showed minimal impact on 241 Am_(aq) release during elution. Radiolysis chemistry decreased americium retention during loading, increased breakthrough during washing, and had an impact on the release of 241 Am from the resin during elution. Contact with both $\text{HCl}_{(aq)}$ and radiation caused substantial swelling of the m -CMPO^{TBP} resin and caused desorption of the extractant and phase transfer agents from the resin bead. Consequently, swelling is undesirable because it can lead to channeling within a column, can negatively impact flow rates, and can broaden analyte separation bands. In general, radiolytic and chemical degradation results from m -CMPO^{TBP} were more pronounced than for the RE, TODGA, and TEHDGA resins. Materials Advances

and HCl_{ear}, exposure did not easies arguedrale arrowing for \sim 010/24, and THOMS. It's are comparisonally the effect on 01 December 2022. The state are comparisonally continues the material common

In light of these results, we suggest changing the operationally deployed m -CMPO^{TBP} resin to TODGA and/or TEHDGA would be beneficial for large-scale $^{241}Am_{(aq)}$ processing. This recommendation was bolstered by evaluating our results within the context of recent studies that highlighted other potential advantages of TODGA and TEHDGA over m-CMPO^{TBP}.^{16,51} It seems likely that the proposed change would reduce 241 Am_(aq) loss during column loading and washing, increase 241 Am_(aq) recovery during column stripping, and make the extraction process more accommodating to diverse feedstocks. The data also suggested that similar larger quantities of ²⁴¹Am_(aq) (> 20 g process batches) could be processed with TODGA and/or TEHDGA resin compared with what is currently used with m -CMPO^{TBP}. This higher loading capacity from the DGA resins would enable use of smaller sized columns, increase flow rate, decrease processing time, and (perhaps most importantly) reduce exposure of workers to harmful radiation doses. We make these claims fully aware that like-for-like comparisons between 'veteran' m-CMPO^{TBP} and TODGA/TEHDGA resins cannot be made at this time.

Overall, the results highlight the unfortunate reality associated with studying and processing any radioactive nuclide. Both chemical and radiolytic factors associated with the radioisotope should be considered and need to be controlled. Consequently, these two variables are difficult to deconvolute and often both contribute to the observed chemistry. Although we report here on how radiolysis and acid exposure impact the chemistry and extraction chromatography for large-scale processing of ²⁴¹Am_(aq) in HCl_(aq), we acknowledge the need for more data because the generality of our conclusions has yet to be established. For example, switching the $HCl_{(aq)}$ mobile phase to a different acid or organic solvent may substantially

impact the chemical and radiolytic stability of m -CMPO^{TBP}. TODGA, and TEHDGA. It is also important to realize that each radioisotope is unique. Hence, it is difficult to extrapolate the 241 Am_(aq) results to other radionuclides with different nuclear properties. Within this perspective, our results have exemplified the need for additional in-depth studies that advance understanding of radiolysis chemistry and will hopefully motivate researchers to characterize transformations initiated by the radiolytic processes.

Methods

General considerations

Caution! americium-241 \int_{0}^{241} Am, $t_{1/2} = 432.6(6)$ year], americium-243 $[{}^{243}$ Am, $t_{1/2}$ = 7364(22) year], neptunium-239 $[{}^{239}$ Np, $t_{1/2}$ = 2.356(3) day], and their daughters constitute serious health threats because of radioactive decay.³ Hence, all studies that involved manipulation of these radionuclides were conducted in a radiation laboratory equipped with HEPA filtered hoods, continuous air monitors, negative pressure gloveboxes, and monitoring equipment appropriate for α -, β -, and γ -particle detection. Entrance to the laboratory was controlled with a hand and foot monitoring instrument for α -, β -, and γ -emitting isotopes and a full-body personal contamination monitoring station.

The ²⁴¹Am_(aq) tracer was obtained as a rigorously defined standard from Eckert and Ziegler. The $^{239}Np_{(aq)}$ tracer was generated from ²⁴³Am and isolated as previously described.¹⁶ Aqueous hydrochloric acid [HCl_(aq), Fisher Scientific, Optima[®] grade], methanol (MeOH, HPLC-grade, Sigma Aldrich), ethyl acetate (ACS Certified $>$ 99.5%, Fischer Scientific), and deuterated dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO- d_6 ; 99.5%; Sigma Aldrich) were obtained commercially and used as received. Many of the extraction resins - N,N,N',N'-tetraoctyl diglycolamide (TODGA, 50-100 μm), N,N,N',N'-tetra(2-ethyl hexyl) diglycolamide (TEHDGA, 50-100 μ m), and Rare Earth (RE, 50-100 μ m) – were obtained from Eichrom Technologies and used as received. Pre-filter resin was obtained from Eichrom Technologies and conditioned, vida infra. The exception was di-(4-t-butylphenyl)- N,N-di-iso-butylcarbamoylmethylphosphine oxide (m-CMPO), which was prepared as previously described and co-adsorbed with either tetrabutyl phosphate (TBP, Fisher Scientific, $>$ 99%) to generate *m*-CMPO^{TBP}.^{16,19} The TBP was not purified before use. Dibutylphosphate (DBP, TCI Chemicals, \geq 97%) was purchased to analyze the degradation of TBP (see the ESI†). Water (H2O) was deionized and passed through a Barnstead water purification system to achieve a resistivity of 18 M Ω . All measurements were made in triplicate. Infrared spectroscopy (IR) was conducted using a Nicolet iS5 IR spectrometer. The nuclear magnetic resonant (NMR) spectroscopy measurements were taken using a Bruker 400 MHz Ascend spectrometer in DMSO- d_6 and chemical shifts were referenced to DMSO- d_6 . All ²⁴¹Am-exposed NMR samples that contained radiative material were doubly contained as described previously.⁵² The first layer of containment was a Teflon liner sealed with the Teflon

Gamma spectroscopy

All γ -spectroscopy measurements were made using an EG&G Ortec model GMX-35200-S HPGe detector system in combination with a Canberra model 35-Plus multichannel analyzer. The GMX-35200-S HPGe detector's diameter was 50.0 mm and length was 53.5 mm. The Be window thickness was 0.5 mm and outer dead-layer thickness was $0.3 \mu m$. The detector components included a p-type Al-windowed high-purity germanium (HPGe) detector with a measured FWHM at 1333 keV of approximately 2.2 keV and was relatively efficient (about 10%). Relative total source activity uncertainties ranged from 2.6% to 3.3%. All spectra were analyzed using the Gamma Vision software package. A detector response function was established and its accuracy monitored using a standard that contained a mixture of radionuclides $(^{241}Am, ^{109}Cd, ^{57}Co, ^{139}Ce,$ 203 Hg, 113 Sn, 137 Cs, 88 Y, and 60 Co). This standard was traceable to the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) and supplied by Eckert & Ziegler (Atlanta, GA, USA).

Stable element analyses

Stable element concentrations were determined by inductively coupled plasma-atomic emission spectroscopy (ICP-AES) using either a Shimadzu ICPE-9000 or a PerkinElmer Optima 8000 instrument. Standards were gravimetrically prepared using a multi-element standard prepared by Inorganic Ventures. A fivepoint calibration curve was created (ranging from 250 ppb to 5 ppm) for each element in $HCl_{(aq)}$ (0.1 M). This multi-element standard was verified for element concentrations against several Quality Control (QC) standards (Assurance $^{\circledR}$ Multi-Element Standards QC-21, QC-7, Ga, and K, single-element standard cocktails). Quantitative analyses included the following analytes: Al, Be, Ca, Ce, Co, Cr, Cu, Fe, Ga, K, Mg, Mo, Mn, Ni, Pb, Ti, U, V, Y, and Zn.

Limit of quantification

The limit of quantification (LOQ) for stable elements was determined using eqn (1).

$$
LOQ = 10 \times (s_b/m) \tag{1}
$$

Here, s_b is the error in the y-intercept for the calibration curve and m is the slope of the calibration curve.⁵³ For quantifying radiotracer activity, the LOQs were determined using eqn (2).

$$
LOQ = 10 \times \sigma_{\rm b} \tag{2}
$$

where $\sigma_{\rm b}$ was determined from the γ -spectrum of a blank sample, which did not contain any radionuclides at the time of analysis. The $\sigma_{\rm b}$ was equal to the standard deviation of the blank spectrum's baseline signal over the energy regime where the analyte gamma peak would have otherwise occurred.⁵⁴ There were three scenarios that triggered us to conclude that the analyte was quantitatively adsorbed or quantitatively released by the resin. These situations occurred when (1) the analyte quantities were below the calculated LOQ values

(quantitatively adsorbed), (2) the K_d values were negative (quantitatively released), and/or (3) the K_d values overlapped with zero when considering the measurement uncertainty (quantitatively released). These occurrences are documented in Fig. 3–7.

Irradiating resins with a ^{137}Cs γ -source

Samples that were irradiated with a 137_{CS} source were prepared with two considerations in mind.^{21,22,28,32,38,47,50,55-60} First. it was important to simulate the chemical environment experienced by the resin during large-scale processing of $^{241}Am_{(aa)}$ as closely as possible. Second, it was important to irradiate the resin in a container and configuration that facilitated postirradiation distribution coefficient (K_d) measurements. Hence, resins (\sim 25 mg) were loaded into dry Eppendorf tubes (1.5 mL Part #89501-414) that were equipped with a screw top lid that contained an O-ring without exclusion of air and moisture. The resin masses were recorded. Then, the resin containing Eppendorf tubes were separated into two families. We added $\mathrm{HCl}_{(aq)}$ $(100 \mu L, 0.1 M)$ to the first family to generate resins suspended in dilute HCl. We added $HCl_{(aq)}$ (100 µL, 7 M) to the second family to generate resins suspended in higher concentration HCl. The mass of each mixture [resin + $HCl_{(aq)}$] was determined. Care was taken to ensure the Eppendorf tubes were tightly capped and the lid for each tube wrapped with parafilm to guard against evaporation. Samples were made in triplicate and double-bagged. All samples were shipped to the University of Utah and irradiated at doses of 0 kGy (control), 25.5 kGy, 52.5 kGy, 74.8 kGy, 102 kGy, and 130 kGy using a J. L. Shepherd and Associates model 30 with a $^{137}\mathrm{Cs}$ (ORIS/CBI Model CSL-15) with a dose rate of 1.04 kGy h^{-1} (with a given error of $\pm 5\%$). Puper

Puper the second layer of constituent was a tyrex NMk tube (iquamilationly released), andred deliver are respected

Gard at the cap on the sustained on the cap of the cap of the second on the cap of the cap of the

Chemical characterization of 137 Cs irradiated resins

Once samples returned from being irradiated, samples with larger amounts of resin (500 mg \pm 50 mg) and/or solution $(600 \mu L)$ were opened in a fume hood and dried without exclusion of air and moisture. Dryness was confirmed by gravimetric analyses. Once dry, the irradiated resins (and controls) were characterized using GCMS, IR, NMR, and SEM. The IR measurements were carried out directly on the dry resins. In contrast, NMR measurements were carried out on resin extractants that had been stripped from resin beads. This was achieved by adding DMSO- d_6 (1 mL) to aliquots of the resin that had been carefully weighed (50 \pm 5 mg). After >24 h, the mixtures were filtered through a filter stick, which consisted of a KimWipe that had been stuffed into a Pasteur pipette.

The gas chromatography mass spectroscopy (GCMS) samples were also prepared on resin extractants that had been stripped from resin beads. This was achieved by adding ethyl acetate (1.5 mL) to resin aliquots that were weighed (50 \pm 5 mg). After $>$ 24 h, the mixtures were filtered through filter sticks (KimWipes stuffed into a Pasteur pipettes) and the filtrates collected for subsequent GCMS analyses. A comprehensive GCMS method was developed to qualitatively identify post-irradiation degradation products from the DGA and CMPO based extractants using unirradiated resins. These data

fingerprinted chromatographic peaks corresponded to each type of starting material and created a spectral reference to compare them with irradiated resins. Mass spectra were compared with the National Institute of Standard Technology (NIST) spectral databases, which aided in identifying degradation products. Measurements were carried out using an Agilent Technologies 6890 gas chromatograph equipped with a 5973 network mass selective detector and a split/splitless inlet (Santa Clara, CA). The capillary column was a mid-polarity phase RTx-200 (length = 30 m; internal diameter = 0.25 mm; film thickness = $0.25 \mu m$; Restek Corporation, Bellefonte, PA). Samples (1 μ L) were injected in split mode (inlet temperature = 300 °C). A split ratio of 100:1 was used to dilute the samples in the inlet and prevent column overload during analyses. Ultra-high purity helium (Airgas, \geq 99.999%) carrier gas was used (flow rate = 2.0 mL min^{-1}). The mass spectrometer was operated at 70 eV; ion source temperature = 150 °C, transfer line temperature = 280 °C. To account for all expected mass fragments associated with the resin extractant we tuned the mass spectrometer for mass-tocharge ratios (m/z) that ranged 50 through 500. Optimal separation was achieved within 32.33 min using a GC runtime protocol with the following oven program: oven start at 100 $^{\circ}$ C (1 min hold), ramp to 200 $^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (30.00 $^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ min $^{-1}$), ramp to 330 $^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (5.00 $^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ min^{-1}), and hold at 330 °C (2 min). Materials Advances Componented context or published on 01 December 2022. Downloaded on the state of the

Distribution coefficient, K_d , measurements from ^{137}Cs irradiated resins

Two stock solutions were made for the K_d measurements carried out on the 137Cs irradiated resins described above. One was in 7 M HCl_(aq) and another in 0.1 M HCl_(aq). This was achieved by obtaining a commercially available and certified elemental standard (Inorganic Ventures) that contained a series of elements (Al, Be, Ca, Ce, Co, Cr, Cu, Fe, Ga, K, Mg, Mo, Mn, Ni, Pb, Ti, U, V, Y, and Zn) dissolved in $HCl_{(aa)}$. The $HCl_{(aa)}$ concentration from this standard was reported by Inorganic Ventures to be 1.59 \pm 0.02 M in their certificate of analysis, which we confirmed using an Metrohm Ti-Touch 916 autotitrator. An aliquot (2.78 mL) from this elemental stock solution was diluted with H₂O (47.16 mL) and HCl_(aq) (0.06 mL; 10.2 M) to generate a dilute $\mathrm{HCl}_{(aq)}$ stock solution that was 0.1 M in HCl. The stable element and uranium concentrations were all 5.56 ppm in this dilute $HCl_{(aq)}$ stock solution and the total volume was 50 mL. A second aliquot (2.78 mL) from the elemental stock solution was combined with H_2O (13.3 mL) and $HCl_(aq)$ (33.88 mL; 10.2 M) to create a higher concentration $\rm{HCl}_{(aq)}$ stock solution that was 7 M in $\rm{HCl}_{(aq)}$. Next, $^{241}\rm{Am}_{(aq)}$ (0.05 mL, 20 μ Ci mL⁻¹) in HCl_(aq) (1 M) and ²³⁹Np_(aq) (0.1 mL, 10 µCi mL⁻¹) in HCl_(aq) (1 M) were added to both the dilute elemental stock solution and the concentrated elemental stock solution. At this point, the dilute stock solution (total volume = 50 mL) contained $\text{HCl}_{\text{(aq)}}(0.1 \text{ M})$, stable elements and uranium (all at 5.56 ppm), 241 Am (20 nCi mL⁻¹), and 239 Np $(20 \text{ nCi} \text{ mL}^{-1})$. Similarly, the concentrated stock solution (total volume = 50 mL) contained HCl_(aq) (7 M), stable elements and uranium (all at 5.56 ppm), 241 Am (20 nCi mL $^{-1}$), and 239 Np $(20 nCi mL^{-1}).$

An additional point that is important to consider is associated with the 239Np activities. These values were reported at the time-point when $^{239}Np_{(aq)}$ had been separated from the ²⁴³Am parent on the ²³⁹Np_(aq) generator. The short half-life $[t_{1/2} = 2.356(3) \text{ day}]^3$ associated with the ²³⁹Np radiotracer limited the shelf-life of these stock solutions. Hence, reuse of the stock solution required addition of fresh 239 Np_(aq) activity. While operating the generator, as described previously,¹⁶ care was also taken to avoid ²⁴³Am_(aq) breakthrough while harvesting ²³⁹Np_(aq) from the ²⁴¹Am_(aq). Any ²⁴³Am_(aq) in the ²³⁹Np_(aq) radiotracer will corrupt the K_d measurements. Finally, no ²³⁹Np valence adjustment was made prior to the K_d studies.

Aliquots (900 μ L) from the dilute HCl_(aq) stock solutions (described above) were added to the first family of Eppendorf tubes that contained dilute $HCl_{(aq)}$ and irradiated resins. Aliquots (900 μ L) from the higher concentration HCl_(aq) stock solutions (see above as well) were similarly added to the family of Eppendorf tubes that contained a higher concentration $HCl_{(aq)}$ (7 M) and irradiated resins. Then, the tubes were recapped tightly. Another important consequence associated with this procedure is that combining 900 µL of the stock solution with 100 μ L of HCl_(aq) associated with the resin mixture made the final stable element and uranium concentrations equal to 5 ppm for each K_d experiment. Consequently, the ²⁴¹Am_(aq) and ²³⁹Np_(aq) concentrations were 18 nCi mL⁻¹ and 18 nCi mL $^{-1}$ in each tube, respectively.

The contents of each Eppendorf tube were agitated in a temperature-controlled and calibrated mixing block $(25 \text{ °C},$ Eppendorf ThermoMixer F1.5). After $>$ 20 h, the mixtures were passed through syringe filters $(4 \text{ mm}, 0.45 \text{ }\mu\text{m})$ diameter, Millex $^{\circledR}$ FH, Hydrophobic Fluoropore). Caution! syringe filters can split and spay the acidic solution on the worker. Hence, a disposable paper towel (Kimwipe) was wrapped around the filter during the filtration as an engineering control that mitigated the hazard. After filtration, the metal abundances were quantified. For ²⁴¹Am_(aq) and ²³⁹Np_(aq), an aliquot of the solution (700 μ L) was diluted to 7 mL with 0.1 M HCl and assayed by γ -spectroscopy. The ²³⁹Np measurements were all decay corrected to the time point when $^{239}Np_{(aq)}$ was separated from ²⁴³Am_(aq) on the ²³⁹Np_(aq) generator. In contrast, stable element and uranium abundancies were determined using ICP-AES. The same dilutions and assays were performed for the ingoing solutions (prior to resin contact) in triplicate to verify that there was minimal error in pipetting and to gain confidence in our ability to reproduce γ -spectroscopy and ICP-AES analyses. We used eqn (3)

$$
K_{\rm d} = \frac{A_{\rm i} - (0.9 \text{ mL} + \rho_{\rm s} m_{\rm s}) A_{\rm f}}{(0.9 \text{ mL} + \rho_{\rm s} m_{\rm s}) A_{\rm f}} \cdot \frac{V}{m}
$$
(3)

to determine the K_d values for ²⁴¹Am_(aq) and ²³⁹Np_(aq). In this equation, V was the volume of the ingoing metal solution (constant at 1 mL), m was the mass of resin, and A_i and A_f were the initial and final activities of in the analyte solutions,

respectively. We used eqn (4)

$$
K_{\rm d} = \frac{[\rm M]_{i} - (0.9 \,\rm{mL} + \rho_{s}m_{s})[\rm M]_{f}}{(0.9 \,\rm{mL} + \rho_{s}m_{s})[\rm M]_{f}} \cdot \frac{V}{m}
$$
(4)

to calculate the K_d values for measurements made by ICP-AES. In eqn (4), $[M]_i$ was the initial metal concentration, $[M]_f$ was the final metal concentration, V was the volume of the ingoing metal solution (constant at 1 mL), m was the mass of the resin, m_s was the mass of the solution, and ρ_s was the density of solution used during the irradiation (100 μ L). The number 0.9 in this equation represented the 900 mL aliquot from the element stock solution that was added to the Eppendorf tubes after the irradiation.

Resin loading capacity with large amounts of Nd and radiochemical quantities of ²⁴¹Am

Similar to the K_d measurements, Eppendorf tubes (1.5 mL) were charged with irradiated resins (25 \pm 5 mg). Several HCl_(aq) (25 mL, 7 M) solutions were prepared that contained Nd^{3+} _(aq) (ranging 1 to 2.5 ppm) in the following way. A concentrated stock solution of Nd^{3+} (10 mg mL $^{-1}$; 69.3 mM) was made by dissolving NdCl₃.6H₂O_(s) (1.25 g, 4.64 mmol) in 50 mL of 7 M HCl. This solution was used to make 1 mg mL $^{-1}$, 1.5 mg mL $^{-1}$, 2 mg mL $^{-1}$, and 2.5 mg mL $^{-1}$ solutions by diluting aliquots of the Nd^{3+} stock solution (2.5 mL, 3.75 mL, 5 mL, and 6.25 mL) to 25 mL with HCl_(aq) (7 M). We then added an aliquot of ²⁴¹Am_(aq) (25 µL, 19.67 µCi mL⁻¹) that was in HCl_(aq) (1 M) to each of these solutions. The resulting solutions contained 20 nCi mL^{-1} of ²⁴¹Am_(aq). They were then mixed by inverting the falcon tube 23 times and aliquots (1 mL) were added to each of the abovementioned Eppendorf tubes. The resulting slurries were agitated (24 h) in a temperature-controlled and calibrated mixing block (25 \degree C, Eppendorf ThermoMixer F1.5). Then, the slurries were passed through syringe filters $(4 \text{ mm}, 0.45 \text{ }\mu\text{m})$ diameter Millex \mathbb{B} FR, Hydrophobic Fluoropore). Caution! syringe filters can split and spay the acidic solution on the worker. Hence, a disposable paper towel (Kimwipe) was wrapped around the filter during the filtration as an engineering control that mitigated the hazard. An aliquot (0.7 mL) of the filtrate was subsequently added to an $HCl_{(aq)}$ solution (6.3 mL, 0.1 M), which gave these final solutions a total volume of 7 mL. Metal abundancies were quantified by γ -spectroscopy [for ²⁴¹Am_(aq)] and ICP analysis (for Nd). Metal binding capacity was calculated using eqn (5),

$$
\text{Meta binding capacity} = \frac{([M]_i - [M]_f)V}{m} \tag{5}
$$

where $[M]_i$ is the initial metal concentration, $[M]_f$ is the final metal concentration and V is the volume of the solution added to the resin (1 mL) , and *m* is the resin mass used in each experiment. Binding of 241 Am_(aq) to the resins was calculated in a similar fashion, using eqn (6).

$$
^{241}\text{Am binding} = [\text{M}]_i \frac{(A_i - A_f)}{A_i} \times \frac{V}{m} \tag{6}
$$

where $[M]_i$ is the initial Nd metal concentration (determined by ICP analysis), A_i is the initial activity of the radiotracer, A_f is the final concentration of the radiotracer, V is the volume of the experiment (1 mL) , and *m* is the mass of the resin.

Resin loading capacity with large amounts of americium

The *'pristine'* resins were weighed out at 25 ± 5 mg in Eppendorf tubes and set aside. For comparison, resins that were irradiated (0 kGy (control, 25.5 kGy, 52.5 kGy, 74.8 kGy, 102 kGy, and 130 kGy) under high $HCl_{(aq)}$ conditions (7 M) were dried for a month under air. The drying process was confirmed by gravimetric analyses. These resins were then weighed (25 \pm 5 mg) into twist-cap Eppendorf tubes and set aside. Meanwhile, an ²⁴³Am_(aq) stock solution (1.59 mL, 21 mg mL $^{-1}$, 1 M HCl) was evaporated to soft dryness using gentle heating on a hot plate and a stream of filtered air. Then 33.3 mg (0.138 mmol) of ²⁴³Am_(aq) was dissolved in HCl_(aq) (33.3 mL, 7 M) so that the resulting salmon-colored ²⁴³Am_(aq) stock solution was 1 mg mL⁻¹ in ²⁴³Am. This concentration was confirmed by γ -spectroscopy. Aliquots from this stock solution (1 mL) were added to the dry resins described above. The mixtures were shaken for 24 h. This slurry was then filtered, as described above (See Resin loading capacity with large amounts of Nd and radiochemical quantities of 241 Am section), and aliquots (100 μ L) of the filtrate were diluted with 0.1 M $\text{HCl}_{\text{(aq)}}$ (4.9 mL) to make the total volume of the solution 5 mL. The ²⁴¹Am_(aq) content was quantified using γ -spectroscopy and the ²⁴¹Am_(aq) binding capacity was calculated *via* eqn (5). The resin capacity factor, k' , was calculated using a series of equations developed and described eloquently by Horwitz et al. that will be briefly described here.⁴¹ The K_d value, calculated using eqn (6), is converted to the volume distribution ratio, K_v using eqn (7). Published in This article of the model of the model of the model on the model on the model on the model on the model of the model

$$
K_{\rm v} = K_{\rm d} \times \frac{\rho_{\rm extr}}{\ell} \tag{7}
$$

where ρ_{extr} is the density of the extractant used and ℓ is the mass fraction of extractant. Lastly, K_v is converted to k' using eqn (8)

$$
k' = K_{\rm v} \times \frac{v_{\rm s}}{v_{\rm m}} \tag{8}
$$

where v_s and v_m are the volumes of the stationary and mobile phase, respectively. These values were supplied by Horwitz et al. $61,62$

Analysis of the 'veteran' resins used in CLEAR processing

The columns used in the CLEAR extraction chromatography $(m\text{-}CMPO^{TBP})$ line were sampled by removing aliquots of resin from the second, third, and fourth columns in the processing line. The first column was not sampled because the estimated dose rate from the resin was too high to handle in open front hoods within a radiological facility. These resins were moved from the plutonium fabrication facility to a radiological laboratory where their chemical characteristics were characterized by IR, NMR, and SEM using the procedures described above for the 137 Cs irradiated resins. Caution! handling

 241 Am_(aq) solutions that contain organic solvents that permeate through gloves and skin (like the DMSO- d_6 used for NMR) presents hazard for internal uptake of the ²⁴¹Am radionuclide and its daughters. To mitigate the hazard, we implemented DMSO resistant gloves (Microflex neoprene and nitrile synthetic composite) and segregated trash that came into contact with DMSO.

The ²⁴³Am_(aq) K_d measurements made on 'veteran' resins were conducted as follows. Within a negative pressure glovebox, the 'veteran' resins were dried. Caution! manipulating dry resins that contain 241Am represents a substantial contamination hazard because of the ease at which dry resins can be dispersed. To mitigate this hazard, resins aliquots $(30 \pm 10 \text{ mg})$ were meticulously weighed in negative pressure gloveboxes and transferred in closed containers to a fume hood. Here, an $HCl_(aq)$ stock solution was added carefully (and slowly to avoid powder expulsion) to each 'veteran' resin. The stock solution contained ²⁴³Am_(aq) (5 ng; 1 mCi) and the following elements that were 5 ppm (5 mg L^{-1}) each (Al, Be, Ca, Ce, Co, Cr, Cu, Fe, Ga, K, Mg, Mo, Mn, Ni, Pb, Ti, U, V, Y, and Zn). The resulting slurries were agitated (24 h) in a temperature-controlled and calibrated mixing block (25 \degree C, Eppendorf ThermoMixer F1.5). Then, the mixtures were passed through syringe filters (4 mm, 0.45 µm diameter, Millex $^{\circledR}$ FH, Hydrophobic Fluoropore). Caution! syringe filters can split and spay the acidic solution on the worker. Hence, a disposable paper towel (Kimwipe) was wrapped around the filter during the filtration as an engineering control that mitigated the hazard. After filtering, the samples were allowed to sit for 2 weeks to allow for ingrowth of the 243 Am daughter $(^{239}$ Np). This pause in workflow was needed to account for the large amount of 241_{Am} that was coeluted with our ²⁴³Am_(aq) analyte. The ²⁴¹Am_(aq) activity was so high that its γ -peak at 59 keV was not easily resolved from that associated with 243 Am (at 74 keV). Hence, we quantified 243 Am based on the γ -peak associated with its ²³⁹Np daughter (277 keV), which was well resolved and could be quantified with confidence. Note, after secular equilibrium between 243 Am and 239 Np is reached, the 243 Am activity equals that from 239 Np. Hence, after allowing 2 weeks for 239 Np to reach secular equilibrium with ²⁴³Am, samples were filtered and K_d measurements made by γ -spectroscopy and ICP analyses, as described above for the ¹³⁷Cs irradiated experiments. Materials Advances Articles. The control origins are the most of the Molel and Theorem and The Creative Common Access Article is likely the common and the common

SEM imaging

A small amount of sample was placed on carbon tape attached to an aluminum specimen mount (0.5 inch) for each sample. The mounts were placed within the Phenom XL sample holder and loaded into the SEM to measure baseline samples. Using Phenom software (version 5.4.5), the detector was set to BSD full under low to high vacuum depending on the nature of each sample. Images were captured using the high quality, 1024 resolution setting at 5 keV. Elemental analyses were performed using Phenom ProSuite Element Identification software (version 3.8.4.0). Size analyses were performed on pre-acquired images using Phenom ProSuite ParticleMetric software (version 1.2.1.0). As for Am-contaminated resin samples, these were

Table 2 SEM imaging parameters

Parameter	Non-rad samples	'Veteran' resins
Instrument	Phenom XL SEM	FEI Quanta 250
Software	Phenom XL, v.5.4.5	xT microscope server
Detector	BSD	SED
Vacuum	$1-60$ Pa	10^{-2} Pa
Voltage	5 keV	2 keV

analyzed with an FEI Quanta 250 SEM. Sample stubs were prepared by affixing a small amount of resin sample on carbon tape. The SEM chamber was evacuated to high vacuum conditions ($\sim 10^{-2}$ Pa) and a high voltage of 2 keV was used to minimize degradation on resins during analysis. A secondary electron detector was solely used for this analysis (Table 2).

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgements

This research was supported by the U.S. Department of Energy Isotope Program, managed by the Office of Science for Isotope R&D and Production and the DE-SC0020189 for Colorado School of Mines. We also acknowledge the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Science, Office of Basic Energy Sciences, Separation Science Program under contract number 2022LAN-LE3M1 for Los Alamos (Adelman) as well as the LANL LDRD-DR program (20220054DR; Arko). Los Alamos National Laboratory (LANL) is operated by Triad National Security, LLC, for the National Nuclear Security Administration of U.S. Department of Energy (Contract No. 89233218CNA000001).

References

- 1 R. J. Woods and A. K. Pikaev, Applied radiation chemistry: radiation processing, John Wiley & Sons, New York, NY, 1993.
- 2 M. Spotheim-Maurizot, M. Mostafavi, T. Douki and J. Belloni, Radiation Chemistry: From basics to applications in material and life sciences, EDP Sciences, Les Ulis, 2021.
- 3 National Nuclear Data Center, [https://www.nndc.bnl.gov/](https://www.nndc.bnl.gov/nudat2/) [nudat2/](https://www.nndc.bnl.gov/nudat2/), (accessed 21 April 2021).
- 4 K. Miyagawa, I. Mishima, T. Takeda and Y. Tanabiki, Trans. Iron Steel Inst. Jpn., 1969, 9, 285–296.
- 5 M. L. Evett, S. R. Heng, L. K. Moutonnet and P. Nguyen, Field Estimation of Soil Water Content A Practical Guide to Methods, Instrumentation and Sensor Technology, International Atomic Energy Agency, VIENNA, Austria, 2008.
- 6 J. W. Nyhan, J. L. Martinez and G. J. Langhorst, Calibration of Neutron Moisture Guages and Their Ability to Spatially Determine Soil Water Content in Environmental Studies, Los Alamos, 1994.
- 7 J. F. Cameron and C. G. Clayton, Radioisot. Instrum., 1971, 55–248.
- 8 E. Witkowska, K. Szczepaniak and M. Biziuk, J. Radioanal. Nucl. Chem., 2005, 265, 141–150.
- 9 E. R. Labelle and D. Jaeger, Croat. J. For. Eng., 2021, 42, 357–367.
- 10 C. A. Mangeng and G. R. Thayer, Beneficial Uses of Am, Los Alamos, 1984.
- 11 V. P. Guinn and C. D. Wagner, Anal. Chem., 1960, 32, 317–323.
- 12 B. Ozden, C. Brennan and S. Landsberger, Environ. Earth Sci., 2019, 78, 114, DOI: [10.1007/s12665-019-8120-8](https://doi.org/10.1007/s12665-019-8120-8).
- 13 J. D. Bess, T. L. Maddock, A. T. Smolinski and M. A. Marshall, Nucl. Sci. Eng., 2014, 178, 550–561.
- 14 K. S. Gardner, D. B. Kimball and B. E. Skidmore, Aqueous Chloride Operations Overview: Plutonium and Americium Purification/Recovery, Los Alamos, NM, LA-UR-16-27346, 2016.
- 15 L. D. Schulte, Criteria Considered in Selecting Feed Items for Americium-241 Oxide Production Operations, Los Alamos, NM; LA-UR-15-20593, 2015.
- 16 B. T. Arko, D. Dan, S. L. Adelman, D. L. Huber, D. B. Kimball, S. A. Kozimor, M. N. Lam, V. Mocko, J. C. Shafer, B. W. Stein and S. L. Thiemann, Ind. Eng. Chem. Res., 2021, 60, 14282–14296.
- 17 D. Christensen and P. Cunningham, Los Alamos National Laboratory for Lead Laboratory in Plutonium Pit Technology, Los Alamos, NM, LA-UR-92-1729, 1992.
- 18 T. Feder, Phys. Today, 2015, 68, 22–24.
- 19 L. D. Schulte and S. D. Mckee, Application of extraction chromatography to actinide decontamination of hydrochloric acid effluent streams, Los Alamos, NM, LA-UR-961227, 1996.
- 20 M. Barr, L. Schulte, G. Jarvinen, J. Espinoza, T. Ricketts, Y. Valdez, K. Abney and R. Bartsch, J. Radioanal. Nucl. Chem., 2001, 248, 457–465.
- 21 B. J. Mincher, S. P. Mezyk, G. Elias, G. S. Groenewold, A. Jay, M. Nilsson, J. Pearson, N. C. Schmitt, D. Richard and L. G. Olson, Solvent Extr. Ion Exch., 2014, 6299, 167–178.
- 22 B. J. Mincher, S. P. Mezyk, G. Elias, G. S. Groenewold, C. L. Riddle and L. G. Olson, Solvent Extr. Ion Exch., 2013, 31, 715–730.
- 23 G. V. Buxton, C. L. Greenstock, W. P. Helman and A. B. Ross, J. Phys. Chem. Ref. Data, 1988, 17, 513–886.
- 24 H. A. Schwarz, J. Chem. Educ., 1981, 58, 101–105.
- 25 I. Draganic, The radiation chemistry of water, Elsevier, 2012, vol. 26.
- 26 S. Tachimori, J. Radioanal. Chem., 1979, 50, 133–142.
- 27 J. Mahaffey, Atomic accidents: A history of nuclear meltdowns and disasters: From the Ozark Mountains to Fukushima, Open Road Media, 2014.
- 28 B. J. Mincher, G. Modolo and S. P. Mezyk, Solvent Extr. Ion Exch., 2009, 27, 1–25.
- 29 B. J. Mincher, G. Modolo and S. P. Mezyk, Solvent Extr. Ion Exch., 2009, 27, 331–353.
- 30 D. Peterman, A. Geist, B. Mincher, G. Modolo, M. H. Galán, L. Olson and R. McDowell, Ind. Eng. Chem. Res., 2016, 55, 10427–10435.
- 31 D. Whittaker, A. Geist, G. Modolo, R. Taylor, M. Sarsfield and A. Wilden, Solvent Extr. Ion Exch., 2018, 36, 223–256.
- 32 C. Adam, N. Olga and L. Duane, Final Radiological Assessment of External Exposure for CLEAR-Line Americium Recovery Operations, Los Alamos, NM, LA-UR-13-28160, 2014.
- 33 D. L. Clark, G. D. Jarvinen, C. Kowalczyk, J. Rubin and M. A. Stroud, Actinide Research Quarterly: Plutonium Processing at Los Alamos, [https://www.lanl.gov/discover/publi](https://www.lanl.gov/discover/publications/actinide-research-quarterly/pdfs/ARQ-2008-10.pdf) [cations/actinide-research-quarterly/pdfs/ARQ-2008-10.pdf](https://www.lanl.gov/discover/publications/actinide-research-quarterly/pdfs/ARQ-2008-10.pdf). **Paper**
 SECRIC MEACH ARTIFIED ARTIFIED MATHEMS, A stational. 3.1 D. Whitehet, G. Green, A. Paper Materials Near Creative Commons Care (Alexander Articles. 2016, 11/11-15, 2016, 2017, 2017, 2017, 2017, 2017, 2017, 2017,
	- 34 B. J. Mincher, L. R. Martin and N. C. Schmitt, Inorg. Chem., 2008, 47, 6984–6989.
	- 35 M. S. Basunia, Nucl. Data Sheets, 2006, 107, 3323.
	- 36 M. Yamaura and H. T. Matsuda, J. Radioanal. Nucl. Chem., 1999, 241, 277–280.
	- 37 L. D. Schulte, J. R. FitzPatrick, R. R. Salazar, B. S. Schake and B. T. Martinez, Sep. Sci. Technol., 1995, 30, 1833–1847.
	- 38 John G. Burr, Radiat. Res., 1958, 8, 214–221.
	- 39 R. Flores, M. A. Momen, M. R. Healy, S. Jansone-Popova, K. L. Lyon, B. Reinhart, M. C. Cheshire, B. A. Moyer and V. S. Bryantsev, Solvent Extr. Ion Exch., 2022, 40, 6–27.
	- 40 L. R. Morss, The Chemistry of the Actinide and Transactinide Elements, 2006.
	- 41 E. P. Horwitz, D. R. McAlister and M. L. Dietz, Sep. Sci. Technol., 2006, 41, 2163–2182.
	- 42 Eichrom Technologies Inc Our Products, eichrom.com/ eichrom/products/, (accessed 7 July 2021).
	- 43 U. Mayer, V. Gutmann and W. Gerger, Monatshefte für Chemie/Chemical Mon., 1975, 106, 1235–1257.
	- 44 M. A. Beckett, G. C. Strickland, J. R. Holland and K. Sukumar Varma, Polym. Int. J. Sci. Technol. Polym., 1996, 37, 4629–4631.
	- 45 J. J. Alexander, J. Chem. Educ., 1979, 56, 109.
	- 46 K. Troev, G. Grancharov, R. Tsevi and A. Tsekova, Polymer, 2000, 41, 7017–7022.
	- 47 H. Galán, A. Núñez, A. G. Espartero, R. Sedano, A. Durana and J. de Mendoza, Proc. Chem., 2012, 7, 195–201.
	- 48 V. Mogilireddy, J. Huskens, W. Verboom, H. Gala, G. Modolo, A. Wilden, H. Schmidt, P. Guilbaud, N. Boubals, S. Michel, A. Leoncini, A. Nu and J. Cobos, New J. Chem., 2017, 41, 13700–13711.
	- 49 B. Y. Sugo, Y. Sasaki and S. Tachimori, Radiochim. Acta, 2002, 165, 161–165.
	- 50 B. J. Mincher and C. A. Zarzana, Hydrolysis and Radiation Chemistry of the DGAs, Idaho Falls, 2016.
	- 51 S. A. Ansari, P. N. Pathak, V. K. Manchanda, M. Husain, A. K. Prasad and V. S. Parmar, Solvent Extr. Ion Exch., 2005, 23, 463–479.
	- 52 B. W. Stein, S. A. Kozimor and V. Mocko, in Plutonium Handbook, ed. D. L. Clark, D. A. Geeson and R. J. Hanrahan Jr., Americal Nuclear Society, 2nd edn, 2019, vol. 6, pp. 2951–2956.
	- 53 D. S. Hage and J. D. Carr, Analytical chemistry and quantitative analysis, Prentice Hall, Boston, 2011.
	- 54 L. A. Currie, Anal. Chem., 1968, 40, 586–593.
	- 55 K. M. Roscioli-Johnson, C. A. Zarzana, G. S. Groenewold, B. J. Mincher, A. Wilden, H. Schmidt, G. Modolo and

B. Santiago-Schübel, Solvent Extr. Ion Exch., 2016, 34, 439–453.

- 56 R. B. Gujar, S. A. Ansari, A. Bhattacharyya, A. S. Kanekar, P. N. Pathak, P. K. Mohapatra and V. K. Manchanda, Solvent Extr. Ion Exch., 2012, 30, 278–290.
- 57 M. L. Dietz and E. P. Horwitz, Int. J. Radiat. Appl. Instrum., Part, 1992, 43, 1093–1101.
- 58 J. Ravi and B. R. Selvan, J. Radioanal. Nucl. Chem., 2014, 299, 879–885.
- 59 A. Wilden, B. J. Mincher, S. P. Mezyk, L. Twight, C. A. Zarzana, M. E. Case, M. Hupert, A. Stärk, G. Modolo,

A. Wilden, B. J. Mincher, S. P. Mezyk, L. Twight, C. A. Zarzana, M. E. Case, M. Hupert and A. Stärk, Solvent Extr. Ion Exch., 2018, 36, 347–359.

- 60 C. A. Zarzana, G. S. Groenewold, B. J. Mincher, P. Stephen, A. Wilden, H. Schmidt, G. Modolo, J. F. Wishart and A. R. Cook, Solvent Extr. Ion Exch., 2015, 33, 431–447. **Observation Access Article.** Published on 11/2024 5:32:29
 Access Articles
 A. F. S. Commons A. F. S. Commons A. F. S. Commons A. F. S. Commons A. Commons Attribution-Non-Commercial 3.0 Unported U.S. Articles Articles
	- 61 E. P. Horwitz, D. R. McAlister, A. H. Bond and J. E. Barrans, Solvent Extr. Ion Exch., 2005, 23, 319–344.
	- 62 E. P. Horwitz, M. L. Dietz, D. M. Nelson, J. J. LaRosa and W. D. Fairman, Anal. Chim. Acta, 1990, 238, 263–271.