

Cite this: *Mater. Adv.*, 2023,
4, 868Received 9th November 2022,
Accepted 22nd December 2022

DOI: 10.1039/d2ma01023b

rsc.li/materials-advances

Insoluble oxalates modified $K_2XF_6:Mn^{4+}$ (X = Ti, Ge, Si) red-emitting phosphors exhibiting excellent moisture resistance and luminescence for warm white light-emitting diodes†

Lidan Han, Rui Zhang,^{ib}* Yanhui Chen, Chen Jiang and Xiaoli Wu^{ib}

$K_2XF_6:Mn^{4+}$ (X = Ti, Ge, Si) phosphors are attractive red-light sources in the warm white light-emitting diodes. However, these fluorides suffer from hydrolysis in moist environments, making them less acceptable in the lighting market. Insoluble MC_2O_4 (M = Ca, Sr, Ba, Mn) was modified on the fluorides' surfaces to prevent the hydrolysis of internal $[MnF_6]^{2-}$ groups and promote the reduction of exposed Mn^{4+} ions into Mn^{2+} ones. The reaction mechanism was studied, as well as the effect of modification on the crystal structures and particle morphologies. The luminescence properties of CaC_2O_4 modified fluorides were studied in detail. The luminescence weakens with increasing coatings because of light scattering at the interfaces. However, the luminescence intensity exceeded its initial value after being immersed in water even for 200 h. Besides, the modified fluorides possessed excellent thermal stability than the original ones. The LED devices made using commercial $Y_3Al_5O_{12}:Ce^{3+}$ and CaC_2O_4 modified $K_2TiF_6:Mn^{4+}$ exhibited warm white light emission with luminous efficiency of 120.5 lm W^{-1} , correlated color temperature of 4179 K, and color rendering index (Ra) of 83.0 when operated at 40 mA. It indicates a novel method to improve the Mn^{4+} -doped fluoride phosphors toward commercial application.

1. Introduction

Red-emitting phosphors are indispensable components in white light-emitting diodes (LEDs) for high quality lighting. Most recently, Mn^{4+} -doped fluoride phosphors, such as $A_2MF_6:Mn^{4+}$ (A = Li, Na, K, Rb, Cs; M = Si, Ge, Ti, Zr, Sn, Hf), $A_3TF_6:Mn^{4+}$ (T = Al, Ga, Sc), and $A_2ZF_7:Mn^{4+}$ (Z = Nb, Ta), have gained increasing attention because of the intense broadband

excitation centered at $\sim 460 \text{ nm}$ and sharp line emission located at $\sim 630 \text{ nm}$.^{1–6} Besides, these low-cost and readily available phosphors possess considerable quantum yield and excellent thermal stability, which are very favorable to warm white LEDs.^{7,8} However, the exposed $[MnF_6]^{2-}$ groups of fluoride phosphors are likely to be hydrolyzed to manganese dioxide (MnO_2) and hydrofluoric acid (HF) in humid environments.^{9–11} Such easy hydrolysis and inherently unstable features seriously deteriorate the luminescence performances of fluorides and make them less acceptable in the lighting market. Inhibiting the hydrolysis of $[MnF_6]^{2-}$ is thus urgent for improving Mn^{4+} -doped fluoride phosphors.

In general, the surfaces of moisture sensitive materials are modified by isolation layers to protect them from water erosion.¹² Compact coatings are helpful in reducing the exposure of active ions or groups. Meanwhile, they are optically transparent in the visible region, aiming at minimizing luminescence losses.¹³ One kind of isolation layer is a homogeneous shell, which eliminates the lattice defects and increases the emission efficiency of fluoride phosphors. For example, Zhu *et al.* reported a reverse cation exchange method to construct a core-shell structured $K_2TiF_6:Mn^{4+}@K_2TiF_6$ phosphor, where the shell prevented moisture in the air from hydrolyzing the internal $[MnF_6]^{2-}$ groups and effectively cut off the path of energy migration to surface defects.¹⁴ Zhang *et al.* developed a surface passivation strategy to make an Mn^{4+} -rare surface protective layer covering the $K_2XF_6:Mn^{4+}$ (X = Ti, Si, Ge) phosphors, whose luminescence intensity still remained at 97% after being soaked in distilled water for 12 h.¹⁵ The other kinds of isolation layers are heterogeneous organic hydrophobic coatings (oleic acid, alkyl phosphate, silane coupling agents, *etc.*) or insoluble inorganic layers (Al_2O_3 , carbon, SrF_2 , *etc.*). For example, Arunkumar *et al.* generated an oleic acid coating on the $K_2SiF_6:Mn^{4+}$ surface through the formation of hydrogen bonds between fluoride ions and hydrogen.¹⁶ Verstraete *et al.* coated Al_2O_3 or TiO_2 thin shells on the $K_2SiF_6:Mn^{4+}$ surface by atomic layer deposition.¹⁷ In our previous work, $K_2TiF_6:Mn^{4+}$ was modified with SrF_2 coatings with the aid of KHF_2 transition

Key Laboratory of Nonferrous Materials and New Processing Technology, Ministry of Education, Guangxi Key Laboratory of Optical and Electronic Materials and Devices, College of Materials Science and Engineering, Guilin University of Technology, Guilin, 541004, P. R. China. E-mail: rzhang@glut.edu.cn

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: Actual photographs, XRD patterns, SEM images and EDS mapping images of products; Key optoelectronic parameters of devices. See DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1039/d2ma01023b>



layer to moderate the lattice mismatch.¹⁸ These protective layers significantly improve the moisture resistance of fluoride phosphors. Despite all this, it is worth developing a novel waterproof strategy for Mn⁴⁺-doped fluoride phosphors.

Herein, we present a facile and mild approach to construct insoluble oxalates (MC₂O₄, M = Ca, Sr, Ba, Mn) modified K₂XF₆:Mn⁴⁺ (X = Ti, Ge, Si) red-emitting phosphors. The structures, morphologies, and luminescence properties of as-modified phosphors have been investigated in detail, in addition to the moisture resistance after being immersed in distilled water for various time durations. Most Mn⁴⁺ ions are protected from water erosion by oxalate coating. Besides, tiny amounts of exposed Mn⁴⁺ ions on the fluoride surfaces were reduced to Mn²⁺ ions *in situ*, forming a K₂XF₆ protective shell. It indicates that the insoluble oxalate modification strategy provides a novel reference for Mn⁴⁺-doped fluoride phosphors.

2. Experimental section

2.1 Materials and synthesis

The raw materials KF·2H₂O, KMnO₄, (NH₄)₂SiF₆, (NH₄)₂TiF₆, GeO₂, M(NO₃)₂ (M = Ca, Sr, Ba, Mn), oxalic acid (H₂C₂O₄), H₂O₂ (30 wt%), HF (49 wt%), and anhydrous ethanol were purchased from Sinopharm chemical reagent Co., Ltd. All the chemicals were of analytical grade and used directly without further purification.

K₂MnF₆ was synthesized according to Bode's method.¹⁹ Typically, 5 g KF·2H₂O and 0.4 g KMnO₄ were fully dissolved in 10 ml HF, then 0.4 ml H₂O₂ was slowly dropped into the purplish-black solution under vigorous stirring. When the solution color changed to yellowish, the precipitates were filtrated, washed twice with anhydrous alcohol, and finally dried at 80 °C for 2 h to obtain K₂MnF₆.

K₂XF₆:Mn⁴⁺ (X = Ti, Si, Ge) was synthesized through a cation exchange and co-precipitation combined synthesis. In a typical procedure, 0.002 mol K₂MnF₆ and 0.04 mol (NH₄)₂TiF₆ (or (NH₄)₂SiF₆, GeO₂) were thoroughly dissolved in 40 ml HF, while 0.16 mol KF·2H₂O was dissolved in 20 ml distilled water. Then, the KF solution was slowly dropped into the former acidic solution under vigorous stirring. After being stirred for 20 min, the yellowish precipitations were filtered and washed twice with anhydrous alcohol and finally dried at 80 °C for 2 h to obtain K₂XF₆:Mn⁴⁺ (X = Ti, Si, Ge).

Insoluble oxalates MC₂O₄ (M = Ca, Sr, Ba, Mn) modified K₂XF₆:Mn⁴⁺ (X = Ti, Ge, Si) was prepared through a chemical precipitation reaction. In a typical procedure, 0.02 mol K₂XF₆:Mn⁴⁺ was completely dispersed in 40 ml anhydrous ethanol under vigorous stirring, and then 0.5–5 ml 1.0 mol L⁻¹ M(NO₃)₂ (M = Ca, Sr, Ba, Mn) aqueous solution and equal amounts of 1.0 mol L⁻¹ H₂C₂O₄ aqueous solution were dropped into the turbid liquid in turn. After 20 min of stirring, the precipitations were filtered and washed twice with anhydrous alcohol and finally dried at 80 °C for 2 h to obtain K₂XF₆:Mn⁴⁺@xMC₂O₄ (x = 0.05, 0.10, 0.20, 0.30, 0.50).

The K₂XF₆:Mn⁴⁺@0.1CaC₂O₄ red-emitting phosphor and commercial YAG:Ce³⁺ yellow phosphor (Luming technology group Co., Ltd) were evenly mixed with silicone gel (the mass ratio of phosphors to silicone gel was 1:20). The obtained mixture was coated on the blue InGaN chips (460–465 nm, Hongqi Optoelectronics Co., Ltd) to fabricate white LEDs.


2.2 Characterization

The X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns were characterized on an X-ray spectrophotometer (X'Pert PRO) using the Cu Kα1 irradiation source at λ = 1.5406 Å, a tube voltage of 40 kV, and a tube current of 40 mA. The appearances and elemental compositions were respectively investigated on a scanning electron microscope (SEM, Gemini SEM 300) with an accompanying energy dispersive spectrometer (EDS) and a transmission electron microscope (TEM, JEM-2100F). The surface element compositions and chemical changes were analyzed using X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS, ESCA-LAB 250Xi) and inductively coupled plasma optical emission spectrometer (ICP-OES, Optima 8000). The photo-luminescence (PL) excitation and emission spectra were measured using a steady-state and transient fluorescence spectrophotometer (QuantaMaster 8000, HORIBA). The temperature dependent PL spectra excited at 465 nm were recorded when the samples were put on a cooling/heating stage (THMS 600, Linkam Scientific Instruments) in the range of 77 to 873 K. The photoelectric properties of as-fabricated white LED devices were measured in an integrating sphere of 50 cm diameter connected to a CCD detector with an optical fiber (HAAS-2000, Everfine).

3. Results and discussion

The surface modification process is exhibited in Scheme 1. The formation of oxalate protective layers on the K₂XF₆:Mn⁴⁺ (X = Ti, Ge, Si) surfaces proceeds by a usual chemical precipitation reaction of heterogeneous nucleation. After dropping H₂C₂O₄, the fluorides easily attract the hydrogen ions to form hydrogen bonds. Thus, the H₂C₂O₄ is enriched on the surfaces of K₂XF₆, which leads to the nucleation and crystal growth of MC₂O₄ (M = Ca, Sr, Ba, Mn). Besides, it is the fluorine hydrogen bond that moderates the interfacial lattice mismatch.

To verify the waterproof effect, comparisons are first made between K₂TiF₆:Mn⁴⁺ (KTFM) and CaC₂O₄ modified KTFM (KTFM@Ca). As shown in Fig. 1a, both samples exhibit the same appearance in the daylight and bright red luminescence under 460 nm blue light irradiation. After being immersed in distilled water for 7 days, the KTFM changes its color from yellowish to black, showing no luminescence when irradiated



Scheme 1 Surface modification process of fluorides.









Fig. 1 (a) Photographs of KTFM and KTFM@Ca samples in air and water. (b) XRD patterns, (c) contact angles, (d)–(f) SEM and EDS mapping images, (g)–(i) TEM images, and (j) and (k) XPS spectra of KTFM, KTFM@Ca, and KTFM@Ca-W.

by blue light. However, nearly no change is found for both the appearance and luminescence of KTFM@Ca. A similar situation is observed in the CaC_2O_4 modified $\text{K}_2\text{SiF}_6\text{:Mn}^{4+}$ and


$\text{K}_2\text{GeF}_6\text{:Mn}^{4+}$ (Fig. S1, ESI[†]). It indicates that the coatings play a vital role in improving water resistance. The changes in crystal structures, particle morphologies, and Mn ion valence



states are studied to clarify the reasons. Fig. 1b exhibits the XRD patterns of KTFM, KTFM@Ca, and soaked KTFM@Ca (denoted as KTFM@Ca-W). The diffraction peaks of KTFM are observed to be well indexed to hexagonal K_2TiF_6 (JCPDS No. 08-0488). No other impurity phase is detected, indicating the substitution of Mn^{4+} ions for Ti^{4+} ones owing to similar radii (Mn^{4+} , $r = 0.54 \text{ \AA}$; Ti^{4+} , $r = 0.61 \text{ \AA}$).²⁰ As for KTFM@Ca, the diffraction peaks of $\text{CaC}_2\text{O}_4 \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$ (JCPDS No. 17-0541) appear besides those of K_2TiF_6 . Besides, the diffraction peaks of $\text{CaC}_2\text{O}_4 \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$ disappear in KTFM@Ca-W, while those of $\text{CaC}_2\text{O}_4 \cdot \text{H}_2\text{O}$ (JCPDS No. 20-0231) show up. Similarly, the XRD patterns hardly change in the CaC_2O_4 modified K_2SiF_6 ; Mn^{4+} and $\text{K}_2\text{GeF}_6 \cdot \text{Mn}^{4+}$ after being soaked (Fig. S2, ESI†). It suggests that the CaC_2O_4 coatings hardly change in distilled water. The contact angles of phosphors and water were also tested after the samples being pressed into tablets. As shown in Fig. 1c, the contact angles are 22° , 23° , and 25° for KTFM, KTFM@Ca, and KTFM@Ca-W, respectively. It confirms that the samples are surface hydrophilic, and the water resistance enhancement is originated from the insoluble shell. SEM images shown in Fig. 1d–f indicate that the KTFM particles are nearly hexagonal plate shaped, and the KTFM@Ca and KTFM@Ca-W particles almost keep the same appearance. TEM images shown in Fig. 1g–i verify that the CaC_2O_4 nanoparticles are well modified on the surfaces of KTFM, and the integration between them is close. EDS mapping images exhibit that Ti and Mn element signals are uniformly distributed on every sample, but the Ca element signal only enriches on the surfaces of KTFM@Ca and KTFM@Ca-W. Referring to the XRD results and TEM images, the surface layer is CaC_2O_4 . Similarly, no obvious change is observed in the morphologies and element distributions of CaC_2O_4 modified $\text{K}_2\text{SiF}_6 \cdot \text{Mn}^{4+}$ and $\text{K}_2\text{GeF}_6 \cdot \text{Mn}^{4+}$ (Fig. S3, ESI†). Fig. 1j and k exhibit the XPS spectra of samples, where the K, Ti, F, and Mn elements are observed from every sample, while the Ca element is only detected from the modified ones as expected. Noticeably, the signal position of the Mn element hardly changes, while the atomic percentage declines sharply after being soaked. Besides, the ICP results shown in Table S1 (ESI†) confirm that the content of the Mn element decreases from 2.82% to 2.04% for KTFM@Ca and KTFM@Ca-W. It indicates that the exposed Mn^{4+} ions react in distilled water. In fact, some bubbles were observed on the vessel wall when the KTFM samples were soaked, and the product of evaporation solution was confirmed to be K_2TiF_6 and K_2TiOF_4 (Fig. S4, ESI†). Therefore, the involved chemical reactions are summarized as follows:



The evolution process of Mn^{4+} -doped fluoride phosphors in water is shown in Scheme 2. The $[\text{MnF}_6]^{2-}$ groups are easily



Scheme 2 Evolution process of Mn^{4+} -doped fluorides in water.

hydrolyzed to MnO_2 after being soaked, making the surfaces of fluorides loose and porous. Thus, the internal $[\text{MnF}_6]^{2-}$ groups are gradually exposed and then hydrolyzed until completely exhausted. After oxalate modification, a trace of hydrolyzed $\text{C}_2\text{O}_4^{2-}$ ions can soon reduce the MnO_2 into Mn^{2+} ions. The MnO_2 -induced loose surfaces turn to a compact fluoride shell with few Mn^{4+} ions, which significantly retards the hydrolysis of $[\text{MnF}_6]^{2-}$ groups and enhances the water resistance.

Besides CaC_2O_4 coated fluorides, MC_2O_4 ($\text{M} = \text{Mn}, \text{Sr}, \text{Ba}$) modified $\text{K}_2\text{XF}_6 \cdot \text{Mn}^{4+}$ ($\text{X} = \text{Ti}, \text{Ge}, \text{Si}$) were prepared in the same way. Fig. 2a–c exhibit the XRD patterns, in which the intense diffraction peaks are well consistent with the standard cards of K_2XF_6 ($\text{X} = \text{Ti}, \text{Ge}, \text{Si}$; JCPDS No. 08-0488, 73-1531, 07-0217). Besides, there are some weak diffraction peaks, which respectively indexed to the $\text{MnC}_2\text{O}_4 \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$ (JCPDS No. 25-0544), BaXF_6 ($\text{X} = \text{Ti}, \text{Ge}, \text{Si}$; JCPDS No. 01-0508, 74-0924, 15-0736) and $\text{BaC}_2\text{O}_4 \cdot 0.5\text{H}_2\text{O}$ (JCPDS No. 20-0134), and $\text{SrC}_2\text{O}_4 \cdot x\text{H}_2\text{O}$ ($x = 1, 2.5$; JCPDS No. 20-1203, 20-1204). Fig. 2d–f show the SEM and EDS mapping images of MC_2O_4 ($\text{M} = \text{Mn}, \text{Sr}, \text{Ba}$) modified $\text{K}_2\text{TiF}_6 \cdot \text{Mn}^{4+}$. All the samples possess nearly hexagonal plate shaped morphology as KTFM, while the surfaces are coated with small particles. Besides, Mn, Ba, and Sr element signals are observed to be uniformly distributed on the particles, indicating a perfect oxalate shell. It can be seen that the oxalate modification hardly destroys the morphologies and crystal structures of fluoride phosphors, but promotes a thin protective shell on the surfaces.

Luminescence properties of CaC_2O_4 modified $\text{K}_2\text{XF}_6 \cdot \text{Mn}^{4+}$ ($\text{X} = \text{Ti}, \text{Ge}, \text{Si}$) were studied to make clear the influence of oxalate coatings. Fig. 3a shows the PL excitation and emission spectra of the original fluorides. All samples exhibit two broad excitation bands around 360 and 460 nm, which originated from the spin-allowed ${}^4\text{A}_{2g} \rightarrow {}^4\text{T}_{1g}$ and ${}^4\text{A}_{2g} \rightarrow {}^4\text{T}_{2g}$ electron transitions of Mn^{4+} ($3d^3$). Besides, several emission lines ranging from 580 to 660 nm are observed due to the spin constraint ${}^2\text{E}_g \rightarrow {}^4\text{A}_2$ transitions. The emission peak positions are similar to each other, which is ascribed to an almost constant $\text{Mn}^{4+} \cdot {}^2\text{E}_g$ energy level in the octahedral sites of fluorides (Fig. 3b). After CaC_2O_4 modification, the PL behavior hardly changes, while the PL intensity declines gradually with increasing coatings (Fig. 3c). It is the crystal lattice mismatch that makes the light scattering stronger at the interfaces. Furthermore, the modified samples (0.15 g) were immersed






Fig. 2 (a)–(c) XRD patterns of MC_2O_4 ($M = \text{Sr}, \text{Ba}, \text{Mn}$) modified $\text{K}_2\text{XF}_6:\text{Mn}^{4+}$ ($X = \text{Ti}, \text{Ge}, \text{Si}$). (d)–(f) SEM and EDS mapping images of MC_2O_4 ($M = \text{Sr}, \text{Ba}, \text{Mn}$) modified $\text{K}_2\text{TiF}_6:\text{Mn}^{4+}$.

in water (3 ml) for 7 days to find out the effect of CaC_2O_4 content. Fig. 3d exhibits the normalized PL intensities and retention ratios of soaked samples. The soaked KTFM absolutely quenches its luminescence owing to the hydrolysis of $[\text{MnF}_6]^{2-}$ groups, while each soaked KTFM@Ca exhibits enhancing PL intensity because of a compact KTF shell with few Mn^{4+} ions formed on the surface. Notably, the PL intensity of soaked KTFM@0.1Ca exhibits 1.25 times the initial value, which is close to that of the original KTFM. Furthermore, the original and CaC_2O_4 modified $\text{K}_2\text{XF}_6:\text{Mn}^{4+}$ ($X = \text{Ti}, \text{Ge}, \text{Si}$) were immersed in water at various times. The actual photographs in Fig. 3e show that the original $\text{K}_2\text{XF}_6:\text{Mn}^{4+}$ changed the colors from yellowish to black after being soaked for 12 h, while the CaC_2O_4 modified samples retained the initial colors even for 30 days. Fig. 3f exhibits the normalized PL intensities of CaC_2O_4 modified samples soaked for various times. After a transitory increase, the PL intensity of KTFM@ 0.1Ca weakens slowly owing to the dissolution of the shell, while those of KGFM@0.1Ca and KSFM@0.1Ca keep on a steady value due to the formation of silica/germanium gel. The temperature dependent PL spectra of original and CaC_2O_4 modified $\text{K}_2\text{XF}_6:\text{Mn}^{4+}$ ($X = \text{Ti}, \text{Ge}, \text{Si}$) were also investigated. As shown in Fig. 3g–i, the PL intensities of samples are invariable below 100 °C and then weaken monotonously with increasing temperature. It is found that the thermal stability of KTFM remains unchanged after modification, while those of KGFM and KSFM exhibit obvious enhancements. The thermal stability improvement may be ascribed to three possible aspects: (i) The surface thermal resistances and specific surface areas increase after modification.¹⁸ (ii) The oxalate coatings absorb heat to lose the crystal water or decompose, and then the actual temperature of inner phosphors is lower than the apparent one, which improves the thermal

quenching of luminescence. (iii) The decomposition of oxalate coatings leads to thinner shells and thus less light scattering at the interfaces. It indicates that the modified phosphors are suitable for white LED lighting.

To evaluate the potential application in white LEDs, the lighting devices were made by encapsulating commercial YAG: Ce^{3+} with different ratios of KTFM@0.1Ca on the 460 nm blue InGaN chips. Fig. 4a exhibits the normalized luminous spectra and actual photographs of devices operated at 3.2 V and 320 mA. Red emission lines enhance gradually with the increase of KTFM@0.1Ca. Correspondingly, the chromaticity coordinates of devices shift towards the warm white light area along the blackbody radiation curve, as shown in Fig. 4b. Table S2 (ESI[†]) shows the key opto-electronic parameters of devices. LED-0 (only YAG: Ce^{3+}) shows a luminous efficiency (LE) of 100.4 lm W^{-1} , correlated color temperature (CCT) of 5410 K, and color rendering index (Ra) of 72.0. After the addition of KTFM@0.1Ca, the LE value shows a slight decline, while the Ra and CCT improve evidently. Noticeably, LED-2 exhibits good LE of 79.0 lm W^{-1} (CCT = 4275 K, Ra = 81.7). Moreover, the LE of LED-2 increases to 120.5 lm W^{-1} (CCT = 4179 K, Ra = 83.0) when operated at 40 mA (Table S3, ESI[†]). Furthermore, Fig. 4c shows the normalized luminous spectra of LED-2 operated at various drive currents (40–320 mA). The curves essentially remain the same, indicating that the color fluctuation is very small. These results demonstrate that the modified red phosphor is a promising candidate for warm white LEDs.

4. Conclusions

In summary, insoluble MC_2O_4 ($M = \text{Ca}, \text{Sr}, \text{Ba}, \text{Mn}$) was successfully modified on the surfaces of $\text{K}_2\text{XF}_6:\text{Mn}^{4+}$ ($X = \text{Ti}, \text{Ge}, \text{Si}$).






Fig. 3 (a) PL excitation and emission spectra of $K_2XF_6:Mn^{4+}$ ($X = Ti, Ge, Si$). (b) Tanabe–Sugano energy level diagram for Mn^{4+} in the octahedral sites of fluorides; (c) PL spectra of KTFM and KTFM@Ca. (d) Normalized PL intensities and retention ratios of KTFM@Ca soaked for 7 days. (e) Photographs and (f) normalized PL intensities of original $K_2XF_6:Mn^{4+}$ ($X = Ti, Ge, Si$) and CaC_2O_4 modified ones soaked for various times. (g)–(i) Temperature dependent PL intensity of $K_2XF_6:Mn^{4+}$ ($X = Ti, Ge, Si$) and CaC_2O_4 modified ones.




Fig. 4 (a) Normalized luminous spectra and (b) CIE1931 chromaticity coordinates of devices operated at 320 mA. (c) Normalized luminous spectra of LED-2 operated at different drive currents (40–320 mA).

The coatings substantially improve the fluorides' water resistance by preventing the unhelpful hydrolysis of internal $[MnF_6]^{2-}$ groups and reducing the exposed Mn^{4+} ions to Mn^{2+} ones. The appearances, particle sizes, and crystallographic structures of fluorides are almost maintained after modification. The luminescence of modified fluorides weakens with increasing coatings because of light scattering at the interfaces. However, the luminescence even enhances after being soaked for 200 h. Thermal stability is also promoted owing to the increase of surface thermal resistance and specific surface areas. The white LED device

fabricated using commercial $Y_3Al_5O_{12}:Ce^{3+}$ and as-prepared $K_2TiF_6:Mn^{4+}@0.1Ca$ exhibits excellent optoelectronic parameters ($LE = 120.5 \text{ lm W}^{-1}$, $CCT = 4179 \text{ K}$, $Ra = 83.0$) and small color fluctuation. It suggests that the insoluble oxalate modification strategy provides a novel idea for improving the Mn^{4+} -doped fluoride phosphors for warm white LEDs.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.



Acknowledgements

This work was financially supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 52062008), the Natural Science Foundation of Guangxi province (No. 2018GXNSFAA050021), the opening fund of Key Laboratory of New Processing Technology for Nonferrous Metal & Materials, Ministry of Education/Guangxi Key Laboratory of Optical and Electronic Materials and Devices (No. 20AA-20), and the Guangxi Distinguished Experts Special Fund (2019B06).

Notes and references

- 1 L. Lv, Z. Chen, G. Liu, S. Huang and Y. Pan, *J. Mater. Chem. C*, 2015, **3**, 1935–1941.
- 2 C. C. Lin, A. Meijerink and R. S. Liu, *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.*, 2016, **7**, 495–503.
- 3 M. H. Fang, H. D. Nguyen, C. C. Lin and R. S. Liu, *J. Mater. Chem. C*, 2015, **3**, 7277–7280.
- 4 E. Song, J. Wang, J. Shi, T. Deng, S. Ye, M. Peng, J. Wang, L. Wondraczek and Q. Y. Zhang, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2017, **9**, 8805–8812.
- 5 T. T. Deng, E. H. Song, J. Sun, L. Y. Wang, Y. Deng, S. Ye, J. Wang and Q. Y. Zhang, *J. Mater. Chem. C*, 2017, **5**, 2910–2918.
- 6 H. Lin, T. Hu, Q. Huang, Y. Cheng, B. Wang, J. Xu, J. Wang and Y. Wang, *Laser Photonics Rev.*, 2017, **11**, 1700148.
- 7 C. Jiang, L. Li, M. G. Brik, L. Lin and M. Peng, *J. Mater. Chem. C*, 2019, **7**, 6077–6084.
- 8 L. L. Wei, C. C. Lin, M. H. Fang, M. G. Brik, S. F. Hu, H. Jiao and R. S. Liu, *J. Mater. Chem. C*, 2015, **3**, 1655–1660.
- 9 Y. X. Liu, J. X. Hu, L. C. Ju, C. Cai, V. B. Hao, S. H. Zhang, Z. W. Zhang, X. Xu, X. Jian and L. J. Yin, *Ceram. Int.*, 2020, **46**, 8811–8818.
- 10 Y. Li, Y. Yu, X. Zhong, Y. Liu, L. Chen, S. Liao, Y. Huang and H. Zhang, *J. Lumin.*, 2021, **234**, 117968.
- 11 Y. Liu, Z. Zhou, L. Huang, M. G. Brik, S. Si, L. Lin, T. Xuan, H. Liang, J. Qiu and J. Wang, *J. Mater. Chem. C*, 2019, **7**, 2401–2407.
- 12 Y. Y. Zhou, E. H. Song, T. T. Deng and Q. Y. Zhang, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2018, **10**, 880–889.
- 13 H. D. Nguyen, C. C. Lin and R. S. Liu, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2015, **54**, 10862–10866.
- 14 D. Huang, H. Zhu, Z. Deng, Q. Zou, H. Lu, X. Yi, W. Guo, C. Lu and X. Chen, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2019, **58**, 3843–3847.
- 15 Y. Zhou, E. Song, T. Deng, Y. Wang, Z. Xia and Q. Y. Zhang, *Adv. Mater. Interfaces*, 2019, **6**, 1802006.
- 16 P. Arunkumar, Y. H. Kim, H. J. Kim, S. Unithrattil and W. B. Im, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2017, **9**, 7232–7240.
- 17 R. Verstraete, G. Rampelberg, H. Rijckaert, I. Van Driessche, E. Coetsee, M. M. Duvenhage, P. F. Smet, C. Detavernier, H. Swart and D. Poelman, *Chem. Mater.*, 2019, **31**, 7192–7202.
- 18 Z. Fang, X. Lai, J. Zhang and R. Zhang, *Int. J. Appl. Ceram. Technol.*, 2021, **18**, 1106–1113.
- 19 H. Bode, H. Jenssen and F. Bandte, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.*, 1953, **65**, 304.
- 20 R. D. Shannon, *Acta Crystallogr., Sect. A: Cryst. Phys., Diffraction. Gen. Crystallogr.*, 1976, **32**, 751–767.

