Materials Advances

PAPER

Check for updates

Cite this: Mater. Adv., 2023. 4. 5556

Received 14th July 2023, Accepted 13th October 2023

DOI: 10.1039/d3ma00412k

rsc.li/materials-advances

Introduction

The utilization of metal catalysts in ethanol electrooxidation has garnered significant attention due to its potential application in direct ethanol fuel cells (DEFCs) for electricity generation. DEFCs are considered a promising energy source for portable electronic devices and public transportation systems.¹ Several non-precious metals, including Sn, Bi, and Ni, have been investigated for their performance in ethanol electrooxidation. However, to address their limited catalytic properties and stability, these metals need to be combined with other metals, such as PtSn,² PtSnRh,³ PtBi,⁴ RhBi,⁵ PdPtNi,⁶ CoNiB,⁷ CoNi,⁸ to improve their low catalytic properties and stability. Previous studies identified Platinum⁹⁻¹¹ and Palladium¹² as the efficient electrocatalysts for low-temperature DEFC applications. Nevertheless, the high cost, limited availability, and susceptibility to poisoning by intermediate species like carbon monoxide (CO) have impeded the widespread commercialization of these precious metal catalysts.¹³⁻¹⁵ In light of these

Square-wave pulse electrodeposition of gold nanoparticles for ethanol electrooxidation

Setia Budi. 📴 *a Annisa Auliva. ab Suci Winarsih. Mohammad Hamzah Fauzi^c and Yusmaniar^a

Gold nanoparticles (AuNPs) were synthesized as an electrocatalyst using a square-wave pulse deposition technique. By adjusting the applied upper potentials, we were able to control the size and distribution of the Au NPs. Analysis using the X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy technique confirmed the formation of metallic-phase Au particles on the fluorine-doped tin oxide (FTO) coated glass. Morphological studies show that at an upper potential of 0.00 V, fine particles were uniformly dispersed on the FTO substrate. With increasing potential, the particles underwent growth and coalescence, forming short chain-like structures. At potentials exceeding 0.25 V, the particle number decreased, and larger spherical particles were obtained, particularly at 1.00 V. Electrochemical impedance spectroscopy measurements exhibited low charge transfer resistance for the Au NPs synthesized at 0.25 V, producing high catalytic activity for the ethanol electrooxidation. The Au NPs electrocatalyst electrodeposited at low potential also shows a high poisoning resistance as indicated by the low i_b/j_f ratio value that resulted in catalytic stability as indicated by a slow current decay from the chronoamperometry measurements. The results contribute to the advancement of efficient and stable Au NPs electrocatalysts, particularly in ethanol electrooxidation.

> challenges, gold (Au) has emerged as a viable alternative non-Pt catalyst, exhibiting favorable catalytic activity for ethanol oxidation.¹⁶ Moreover, Au catalysts demonstrated remarkable resistance to poisoning caused by adsorbed carbon monoxide (CO_{ads}).¹⁷

> The field of catalyst development places significant emphasis on morphological control, given the pivotal role played by factors such as particle size, shape,¹⁸ facet energy,¹⁹ and atomic coordination²⁰ in influencing catalyst performance. Consequently, various techniques have been devised to manipulate the morphology of nanoparticle-based catalysts, including chemical vapor deposition,²¹ ultrasound,²² hydrothermal,²³ sol-gel,²⁴ and electrodeposition.²⁵ Among these methods, electrodeposition offers several advantages for synthesizing metal nanoparticles, including its cost-effectiveness, rapidity,²⁶ and the ability to adjust various parameters to tailor deposit characteristics.

> Gold nanoparticle synthesis can be accomplished through potentiostatic deposition, a technique that maintains a constant electrode potential, with the inclusion of various additives including hydrophilic polymer,²⁷ phosphate buffer solution,²⁸ tetramethylammonium tetrafluoroborate,²⁹ dan L-cysteine.³⁰ In this approach, particle size is carefully regulated by adjusting the applied potential and deposition time.^{31,32} Alternatively, granular gold particles can be fabricated in the presence of additives by sweeping the electrode potential using cyclic

View Article Online

View Journal | View Issue

^a Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Mathematics and Natural Sciences, Universitas Negeri Jakarta, Jl Rawamangun Muka, Jakarta Timur 13220, Indonesia. E-mail: setiabudi@unj.ac.id

^b The Centre for Science Innovation, Jakarta Timur 13120, Indonesia

 $^{^{}c}$ Research Center for Ouantum Physics. National Research, and Innovation Agency (BRIN), South Tangerang 15314, Indonesia

Paper

voltammetry technique.³³ Conversely, the galvanostatic technique, which operates at a fixed current, has been employed to fabricate porous gold-nanocomposites utilizing sacrificial anodes.^{34,35} In comparison to the aforementioned electrodeposition techniques, the square-wave pulse technique offers a unique approach by controlling two different potentials that are continuously switched during the deposition process. This technique has been successfully utilized to modify the structure of Pt nanoparticles, transforming them from spherical to cubelike³⁶ or dendritic shapes.³⁷ Furthermore, it has been demonstrated that the square-wave pulse deposition technique enable precise control over the size and distribution of Pt nanocrystals, leading to enhanced catalytic activity for methanol electrooxidation.³⁸ In the present study, we employed the square-wave pulse deposition technique to synthesize gold nanoparticles (Au NPs). Our approach involved the use of an additive-free electrolyte, enabling precise control over the size and distribution of the Au NPs by adjusting the upper deposition potential. This parameter adjustment had a profound impact on the catalytic activity of the ethanol electrooxidation.

Materials and methods

Materials

The materials used in this study were tetrachloroauric(m) acid (HAuCl₄·3H₂O), sulfuric acid (H₂SO₄), ethanol (C₂H₅OH, 96%), sodium hydroxide (NaOH), and potassium chloride (KCl) procured from Merck. The substrate used to deposit AuNPs was NSG Pilkington fluorine-doped tin oxide (FTO) coated glass with a sheet resistance of 7 Ω /sq.

Methods

The AuNPs were electrodeposited onto the FTO using the square-wave pulse technique. An electrolyte solution containing 0.5 mM HAuCl₄·3H₂O and 0.5 M H₂SO₄ was used for the sample deposition. The electrodeposition process was controlled using an ER466 potentiostat connected to a threeelectrode system. The FTO-coated glass substrate served as a working electrode, the Pt plate acted as the counter electrode, and Ag/AgCl (KCl 3 M) acted as a reference electrode. The upper potentials (E_u) were varied from 0.00 V and 1.00 V, while the lower potential was maintained at -0.50V. A pulse potential frequency of 2 Hz and pulse potential duration of 250 ms were employed as illustrated in the schematic diagram of the pulse potential waveform (Fig. 1). Prior to the electrodeposition process, the FTO substrate was thoroughly rinsed with ethanol and distilled water. The electrodeposition process was conducted at room temperature with a duration of 25 minutes. The as-prepared samples were rinsed with distilled water and dried.

The morphology of Au NPs deposits was examined by a field emission scanning electron microscope (FESEM, Thermo Scientific Quattro S). Elemental analysis of the samples was conducted using an energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscope (EDX, EDAX Apollo X). Structural study of the electrodeposited Au NPs



Fig. 1 Schematic waveform of the pulse potential controlled during Au NPs deposition.

was performed by X-ray diffractometer (XRD, PANalytical AERIS). The chemical state of the samples was determined by X-ray photoelectron spectroscope (XPS, PHI Quantera II). Impedance characteristic was analyzed by the electrochemical impedance spectroscopy technique using an electrochemical workstation (Corrtest CS310). The EIS measurements were carried out in a solution containing 0.5 M KCl with a frequency of 50 kHz to 0.1 Hz. The reference and counter electrode used for the EIS test were Ag/AgCl (KCl 3 M) and Pt wire, respectively. Then, the catalytic activity of the Au NPs in ethanol electrooxidation was determined using cyclic voltammetry (CV) and chronoamperometry (CA) techniques. The measurements were performed in a solution containing 1.0 M ethanol with 0.1 M NaOH as a supporting electrolyte. The CV dan CA measurements were controlled by an ER466 potentiostat connected to the Ag/AgCl electrode (3 M KCl) as a reference and Pt plate 8 \times 7 mm as a counter electrode. During the CV measurements, the potential range used was -0.75 to 0.75 V, and the scan rate was set at 25 mV s⁻¹. The CA measurements were carried out at 0.25 V for a duration of 9000 seconds.

Results and discussion

Micrographs of AuNPs, along with their particle size distribution from the samples synthesized with different upper potentials (E_u) , are presented in Fig. 2. When the upper potential is set at 0 V, the synthesized Au NPs exhibit a distribution of very fine particles on the substrate surface (Fig. 2a). Upon increasing the potential to 0.25 V, the particles undergo growth and coalescence, forming short-chain-like structures with a spherical base shape (Fig. 2c). At 0.50 V, although the particles' shape remains relatively consistent, their size increases, while the number of particles decreases (Fig. 2e). To further validate this analysis, FESEM examinations were also performed by tilting the sample at 50°. The obtained micrographs confirm the occurrence of particle coalescence with the large number of Au particles at 0.25 V (Fig. 3a), and an increase in particle size with a higher upper potential (Fig. 3b). Furthermore, at 1.00 V, the particle number decreases further, and larger nanosphere are observed (Fig. 2g). These results suggest that at higher upper potentials, nucleation decreases, resulting in an increased reaction rate due to the more positive potential, which promotes particle growth. Conversely, a relatively low potential leads to the



Fig. 2 FESEM micrographs and particles size distribution of the Au NPs synthesized with different upper potentials 0.00 V (a) and (b), 0.25 V (c) and (d), 0.50 V (e) and (f), and 1.00 V (g) and (h).

formation of more nuclei, producing a larger number of fine particles, as depicted in Table 1.

Fig. 3c represents the EDX spectrum of the deposited nanoparticles deposit, displaying prominent peaks corresponding to the presence of Au elements. Simultaneously, observable peaks corresponding to C, Sn, O, Al, and Si elements can be attributed to their existence in the FTO-glass substrate. This spectrum confirms the successful growth of the particles distributed over



Fig. 3 Tilted FESEM micrographs of the Au NPs synthesized at upper potential 0.25 V (a) and 0.5 V (b); point analysis EDX spectrum (c) and Au mapping of the sample at 0.50 V (d).

Table 1Particle number, average particle size, and crystallite size of AuNPs synthesized with different upper potentials

$E_{\mathrm{u}}\left(\mathrm{V}\right)$	Particles number (mm ⁻²)	Average particle size (nm)	Crystallite size (nm)
0.00 V	1.013×10^{10}	29.9	21.1
0.25 V	$1.018 imes10^{10}$	63.2	21.3
0.50 V	$9.102 imes10^9$	64.7	22.6
1.00 V	$5.192 imes 10^9$	76.5	34.2

the substrate, as illustrated by the elemental mapping of the Au element shown in Fig. 3d.

To investigate the chemical state of deposited Au, the sample was further examined under an XPS. Fig. 4 presents the XPS core level spectrum, revealing peaks at binding energies of 83.7 and 87.4 eV, corresponding to the spin–orbit of $4f_{7/2}$ and $4f_{5/2}$ of Au⁰, respectively. This spectrum indicates that the particles consist of the metallic phase of Au.

Fig. 5 shows XRD patterns of the Au NPs deposits synthesized at different upper potentials. The observed peaks correspond to the face-centered cubic (FCC) structure of Au are identified at 2θ 38.15°, 44.35°, 64.63°, and 77.52°, corresponding to the (111), (200), (220), and (311) crystallographic planes, respectively (ICDD Au-00-004-0784). Other peaks in the pattern originate from the FTO-coated glass substrate.



Fig. 4 XPS spectrum of AuNPs synthesized at 0.50 V

The crystallite size of the Au NPs was determined using the Scherrer eqn (1) based on the peak at 2θ 38.15° (111).

$$L = \frac{K\lambda}{\beta\cos\theta} \tag{1}$$



Fig. 5 XRD patterns of Au NPs synthesized with different upper potentials The grey lines indicate Au NPs peaks.

where λ represents the wavelength (nm), β is the peak full width at half maximum (FWHM), and K is a constant associated with the crystal shape. The calculated crystallite sizes of the Au NPs, summarized in Table 1, increase with the increasing upper potential, consistent with the observed increase in particle size at high potentials.

The impedance characteristic of the Au NPs was investigated using the electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) technique. The Nyquist plots shown in Fig. 6 exhibit different arc radii, indicating the difference in charge transfer resistance (R_{ct}) among the sample prepared with different upper potentials. Notably, the sample prepared at 0.25 V shows the lowest $R_{\rm ct}$ value, while the highest $R_{\rm ct}$ is observed from the sample prepared at 1.00 V. The presence of large numbers that coalesced and formed a short chain-like structure at 0.25 V is believed to enhance electron transfer kinetics within the system. Conversely, the sparse distribution of particles at 1.00 V



Fig. 6 Nyquist plots of Au NPs synthesized with different upper potentials.

hampered the electron transfer, resulting in an increase in charge transfer resistance on the surface. This hindered electron transfer can be attributed to the reduced number of active sites available for catalyzing the reaction, leading to less efficient charge transfer in the system.

The electrocatalytic activity of the electrodeposited Au NPs was evaluated in an alkaline electrolyte. The measured potentials versus the Ag/AgCl reference electrode were converted to the reversible hydrogen electrode (RHE) using eqn (2).

$$E_{\rm RHE} = E_{\rm Ag/AgCl} + 0.0591 \times \rm pH + E_{\rm Ag/AgCl}^{\rm o}$$
(2)

where $E_{\rm RHE}$ represents the converted potential vs. RHE, $E_{\rm Ag/AgCl}$ is the experimentally measured potential against Ag/AgCl reference electrode and $E_{Ag/AgCl}^{o} = 0.1976$ at 25 °C.

Fig. 7a shows the cyclic voltammograms recorded using Au NPs and FTO in an electrolyte containing ethanol. During the forward scan, a peak at 0.25 V, attributed to the oxidation of ethanol, was observed when employing the Au NPs electrode. In contrast to the bare FTO-glass substrate showing a flat curve, the presented voltammograms demonstrate the electrocatalytic activity toward ethanol oxidation within the system. To confirm that the observed peak is indeed attributed to the ethanol oxidation reaction, a CV measurement was conducted in an electrolyte without ethanol. As shown in Fig. 7b, the voltammogram exhibits a nearly flat response, indicating the absence of an oxidation peak. This unequivocally validates that the anodic peak observed in the presence of ethanol is intricately linked to the ethanol oxidation process facilitated by the Au NPs. During the backward scan, another peak appears at 0.07 V, indicating the oxidation of toxic intermediate species that are generated in the forward scan. These oxidized species could potentially include CO_{ads} or other adsorbed species, which are known to form during the electrooxidation of ethanol.¹⁷

To investigate the influence of morphological changes on the catalytic activity of Au NPs for ethanol electrooxidation, cyclic voltammetry measurements were carried out using samples synthesized at different upper potentials (Fig. 7c). As shown in Fig. 7d, the highest oxidation peak, characterized by an anodic current of 7.06 mA cm^{-2} , was observed at the upper potential of 0.25 V. This was followed by the samples synthesized at 0.00 V, 0.50 V and 1.00 V, which exhibited anodic currents of 5.62, 4.39 and 3.24 mA cm⁻², respectively. The kinetic behavior of the catalytic reaction involving the Au NPs electrocatalysts was investigated through Tafel plots, as depicted in Fig. 7e. The Tafel slope of the Au NPs electrodeposited at 0.25 V was calculated to be 188 mV dec⁻¹, which is notably lower comparison to those prepared at $0 \text{ V} (248 \text{ mV dec}^{-1})$, $0.50 \text{ V} (254 \text{ mV dec}^{-1})$, and $1.00 \text{ V} (261 \text{ mV dec}^{-1})$. The lowest Tafel slope observed at 0.25 V signifies the most favorable kinetic properties, which can be attributed to faster chargetransfer kinetics. The superior catalytic activity of Au NPs electrodeposited at 0.25 V can be attributed to the presence of a large number of particles, providing more active sites which are responsible for catalyzing the reaction. Additionally, the coalescence of particles resulted in the formation of a film that reduced charge transfer resistance, facilitating enhanced

Paper

View Article Online Materials Advances



Fig. 7 Cyclic voltammograms measured in the electrolyte containing 0.1 M NaOH and 1 M ethanol using Au NPs synthesized at 0.25 V and FTO (a); cyclic voltammograms measured in the absence and presence of ethanol using Au NPs synthesized at 0.25 V (b); and cyclic voltammograms (c), anodic current density peaks (d), and Tafel plots (e) measured in the electrolyte containing 0.1 M NaOH and 1 M ethanol using of Au NPs synthesized at different upper potentials.

Table 2 The j_b/j_f , E_{onset} and Tafel slope of Au NPs catalysts synthesized with different upper potentials

Materials Advances

$E_{\rm u}$ (V)	$j_{ m b}/j_{ m f}$	E_{onset} (V vs. RHE)	Tafel slope (mV dec^{-1})
0.00 V	0.289	0.703	248
0.25 V	0.303	0.621	188
0.50 V	0.470	0.725	254
1.00 V	0.471	0.773	261

electron transfer that could promote reaction in the interface of electrode and electrolyte. During the backward scan, a cathodic current at 0.07 V, indicating the electrochemical oxidation of CO_{ads} which is known to be absorbed and poisoned the catalyst.39,40 This has been a challenge in ethanol electrooxidation because it worsens catalyst performance with low poisoning resistance. To evaluate the poisoning resistance of the Au NPs catalyst, j_b/j_f ratio was determined. It is known that a smaller peak ratio of the backward current density scan (j_b) to forward scan (j_f) signifies superior poisoning resistance of the catalyst towards intermediate species of CO_{ads}. Based on the data summarized in Table 2, lower j_b/j_f ratios were obtained at relatively lower applied potentials, indicating a good poisoning resistance improved at potential which can produce higher stability of the electrodeposited Au NPs.

To further investigate these findings, chronoamperometry measurements were conducted over a duration of 9000 seconds in an electrolyte solution containing NaOH (0.10 M) and ethanol (1.00 M). The recorded chronoamperometry curves exhibit an initial rapid decay in current at the early stage of measurements (the inset of Fig. 8), which can be attributed to the adsorption of CO on the active site, resulting in a decrease in the catalytic activity of the electrocatalyst.⁴¹ However, the curve obtained using Au NPs deposited at an upper potential of 0 V shows the lowest current attenuation, indicating a more stable catalytic behavior for the ethanol oxidation reaction. accordance with $j_{\rm b}/j_{\rm f}$ ratio, the remaining curves In



Fig. 8 Chronoamperometry curves of AuNPs synthesized with different upper potentials. Inset shows current decay at the early stage of measurements

demonstrate a rapid drop in current, with higher potential resulting in faster current decay. After approximately 2500 s, the current reached a steady state and remained constant suggesting that the diffusion-controlled reaction predominated during the ethanol electrooxidation.39

Conclusions

Au NPs deposits with varying particle sizes and distribution were successfully synthesized using the square-wave pulse deposition technique by adjusting the applied upper potentials. At low potentials, a large number of fine Au NPs were obtained, while at potential 0.25 V, the particles underwent coalescence and formed short chain-like structures. Increasing the potential produced larger particle sizes. Notably, the highest number of Au NPs was observed at 0.25 V. These morphological changes indicate that the nucleation decreased, and the reaction led to the particle growth that produced sparse particles over the FTO substrate. The applied upper potential was found to have a crucial role in determining the poisoning resistance and catalytic stability of the Au NPs. Specifically, lower potential exhibited higher resistance to poisoning and greater electrocatalyst stability. The Au NPs electrodeposited at a potential of 0.25 V demonstrate high catalytic activity which can be associated with the abundance of Au NPs providing numerous active sites for catalyzing the reaction within the system. Additionally, the coalescence of particles, leading to the formation of short chain-like structures, played a crucial role in reducing the charge transfer resistance, thereby facilitating an increased current transfer that promoted reaction on the catalyst surface.

Author contributions

Setia Budi: conceptualization, formal analysis, funding acquisition, methodology, supervision, validation, visualization, writing - original draft, writing - review & editing. Annisa Auliya: writing - original draft, investigation, project administration. Suci Winarsih: resources, funding acquisition. Mohammad Hamzah Fauzi: resources, funding acquisition. Yusmaniar: supervision, funding acquisition.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank the National Research and Innovation Agency (BRIN) and Lembaga Pengelola Dana Pendidikan (LPDP) Ministry of Finance, Republic of Indonesia for supporting this research under Riset dan Inovasi Untuk Indonesia Maju (RIIM) scheme batch 2 with contract number 1/RIIM/II/ LPPM/IV/2023 (110/IV/KS/11/2022).

References

- 1 S. P. S. Badwal, S. Giddey, A. Kulkarni, J. Goel and S. Basu, *Appl. Energy*, 2015, **145**, 80–103.
- 2 D. S. B. L. Oliveira, F. Colmati, E. R. Gonzalez and R. de Sousa Jr, *Int. J. Hydrogen Energy*, 2023, **48**, 24481–24491.
- 3 F. E. Teran, D. M. Santos, J. Ribeiro and K. B. Kokoh, *Thin Solid Films*, 2012, **520**, 5846–5850.
- 4 X. Di Tang, Y. Yang Wang, C. Y. Wang, L. Yuan and Y. Yi, *J. Alloys Compd.*, 2023, **938**, 168398.
- 5 B. Q. Miao, B. Sun, T. J. Wang, F. Shi, P. Chen, P. J. Jin, D. S. Li, F. M. Li and Y. Chen, *Appl. Catal.*, B, 2023, 337, 122967.
- 6 D. Wang, Y. Zhang, K. Zhang, X. Wang, C. Wang, Z. Li, F. Gao and Y. Du, J. Colloid Interface Sci., 2023, 650, 350–357.
- 7 M. Braun, M. Chatwani, P. Kumar, Y. Hao, I. Sanjuán,
 A. A. Apostoleri, A. C. Brix, D. M. Morales, U. Hagemann,
 M. Heidelmann, J. Masa, W. Schuhmann and C. Andronescu,
 J. Phys. Energy, 2023, 5, 1–16.
- 8 H. Syafei and D. G. Kurniawan, Chem. Mater., 2023, 2, 14–18.
- 9 J. Flórez-Montaño, G. García, O. Guillén-Villafuerte, J. L. Rodríguez, G. A. Planes and E. Pastor, *Electrochim. Acta*, 2016, **209**, 121–131.
- 10 A. Sabella, R. Syifa and N. A. Dwiyana, *Chem. Mater.*, 2022, **1**, 88–92.
- 11 A. Sabella and A. Auliya, Chem. Mater., 2023, 2, 46-50.
- 12 M. Wei, L. Chen, X. Wang, A. Zhu, Q. Zhang and Q. Liu, J. Colloid Interface Sci., 2023, 633, 932–947.
- 13 M. T. Darby, E. C. H. Sykes, A. Michaelides and M. Stamatakis, *Top. Catal.*, 2018, **61**, 428–438.
- 14 I. M. Al-Akraa, B. A. Al-Qodami and A. M. Mohammad, *Int. J. Electrochem. Sci.*, 2020, **15**, 4005–4014.
- 15 E. Torralba, N. Blanchard, C. Cachet-Vivier, D. Muller-Bouvet, J. González and S. Bastide, *Electrochim. Acta*, 2020, 354, 136739.
- 16 V. A. Online, P. Rodriguez and M. T. M. Koper, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2014, 16, 13583–13594.
- 17 A. Zhang, Y. Chen, Z. Yang, S. Ma, Y. Huang, G. Richter, P. Schützendübe, C. Zhong and Z. Wang, ACS Appl. Energy Mater., 2019, 3, 336–343.
- 18 S. Hebié, K. B. Kokoh, K. Servat and T. W. Napporn, *Gold Bull.*, 2013, 46, 311–318.
- 19 C. Chiu, P. Chung, K. Lao, C. Liao and M. H. Huang, J. Phys. Chem., 2012, 116, 23757–23763.
- 20 A. I. Svalova and P. V. Stishenko, *Procedia Eng.*, 2016, **152**, 67–72.

- 21 S. Manna, W. Kim, Y. Takahashi and O. G. Shpyrko, *J. Appl. Phys.*, 2016, 174301.
- 22 J. Park, M. Atobe and T. Fuchigami, *Ultrason. Sonochem.*, 2006, **13**, 237–241.
- 23 Y. Liu, J. Zhou, X. Yuan, T. Jiang and L. Petti, *RSC Adv.*, 2015, 5, 68668–68675.
- 24 A. E. Rue and M. M. Collinson, Int. J. Electrochem., 2012, 2012, 1–9.
- 25 F. Yang, K. Cheng, K. Ye, X. Xiao, F. Guo, J. Yin, G. Wang and D. Cao, *Electrochim. Acta*, 2013, **114**, 478–483.
- 26 M. Mundotiya and W. Ullah, *Novel Metal Electrodeposition and the Recent Application*, IntechOpen, 2018, pp. 1–17.
- Z7 J. M. Lázaro-Martínez, A. J. Byrne, E. Rodríguez-Castellón,
 J. M. Manrique, L. R. Jones and V. Campo Dall' Orto, *Electrochim. Acta*, 2019, **301**, 126–135.
- 28 H. Chen, T. Yang, F. Liu and W. Li, Sens. Actuators, B, 2019, 286, 401–407.
- 29 M. M. Rahman, X. B. Li, N. S. Lopa and J. J. Lee, *Bull. Korean Chem. Soc.*, 2014, **35**, 2072–2076.
- 30 N. Sakai, Y. Fujiwara, M. Arai, K. Yu and T. Tatsuma, J. Electroanal. Chem., 2009, 628, 7–15.
- 31 X. Zhan, S. Hu, J. Wang, H. Chen, X. Chen, J. Yang, H. Yang and Z. Su, *Sens. Actuators, B*, 2021, 346, 130499.
- 32 S. P. Babu and P. Elumalai, *Mater. Res. Express*, 2017, 4, 1–10.
- 33 G. Zhao and G. Liu, Nanomaterials, 2019, 41(9), 1-13.
- 34 S. P. Nayak, L. K. Ventrapragada, S. S. Ramamurthy, J. K. Kiran Kumar and A. M. Rao, *Nano Energy*, 2022, 94, 1–13.
- 35 S. Prasad Nayak, L. K. Ventrapragada, A. M. Rao and J. K. Kiran Kumar, *Mater. Lett.*, 2023, **330**, 8–11.
- 36 W. Fu, B. Liu, J. Liu, X. Han, Y. Deng, C. Zhong and W. Hu, *Int. J. Electrochem. Sci.*, 2021, 16, 1–16.
- 37 J. Liu, X. Wang, Z. Lin, Y. Cao, Z. Zheng, Z. Zeng and Z. Hu, *Electrochim. Acta*, 2014, **136**, 66–74.
- 38 L. Zheng, J. Sun, L. Xiong, R. Jin, J. Li, X. Li, D. Zheng, Q. Liu, L. Niu, S. Yang and J. Xia, *Fuel Cells*, 2010, 10, 384–389.
- 39 S. Babu and P. Elumalai, *Mater. Res. Express*, 2016, 4, 0–10.
- 40 M. Hasan, S. B. Newcomb, J. F. Rohan and K. M. Razeeb, J. Power Sources, 2012, 218, 148–156.
- 41 H. Rostami, A. A. Rostami and A. Omrani, *Int. J. Hydrogen Energy*, 2015, **40**, 10596–10604.