Materials Advances

PAPER

Cite this: *Mater. Adv.*, 2023, 4, 6439

Received 17th July 2023, Accepted 11th October 2023 DOI: 10.1039/d3ma00430a

rsc.li/materials-advances

Introduction

Systemic administration typically causes low drug bioavailability and a rapid drop in plasma concentrations of drugs.^{1,2} To overcome these drawbacks, on-demand drug release systems were developed in the past thirty years, aiming to deliver a therapeutic dose of drugs to the appropriate site of the body at a specific speed in a predetermined time, to promptly reach and maintain the desired drug concentration. $3-5$ Compared with other systems, such as micelles and nano/microparticles, hydrogels normally possess excellent biocompatibility, biodegradability, and lower toxicity, thus gradually becoming a promising on-demand drug

Stimuli-responsive chitosan-based injectable hydrogel for ''on-demand'' drug release†

Xiaoyu Wang,^a Melissa Johnson,^a Nan Zhang,^b Pingping Shen,^c Lizhu Yang, D^d Cameron Milne,^a Irene Lara-Sáez, **D**^a Rijian Song, *^a Sigen A*^e and Wenxin Wang D^a

Compared with traditional drug delivery systems, stimuli-responsive injectable hydrogels exhibit sol–gel transition in response to external stimuli, thus showing significant advantages in the on-demand release of drug molecules. Among them, chitosan-based stimuli-responsive injectable hydrogels have attracted considerable attention due to their excellent biocompatibility. However, the complex components, complicated preparation processes, and toxic chemical crosslinking agents make translating chitosan-based stimuli-responsive injectable hydrogels into clinical applications difficult. In this work, a facile and one-step method was developed to fabricate a stimuli-responsive chitosan-based injectable hydrogel based on the dynamic Schiff's base reaction. The hydrogel exhibited excellent stimuli-responsive properties and thixotropic features, including pH-responsive, self-healable, and injectable properties. Bovine serum albumin (BSA) and fluorescein isothiocyanate-dextran (FITC-dextran) were used as drug models to evaluate the on-demand release profiles of the hydrogel in different pH environments. The increased acidity triggers the release of both BSA and FITC-dextran from the hydrogel. No obvious cytotoxicity of the hydrogel extract was observed from Live/dead and alamarBlue assays on HEK 293 cells. The stimuli-responsive chitosan-based injectable hydrogel system is a promising candidate for on-demand drug release in response to pH stimuli. PAPER
 D Check for updates
 CO Check for the content of the content

release system and a viable alternative to overcome the shortcomings of traditional drug formulations.

Among various hydrogels, the emergence of injectable hydrogels has been a revolutionary breakthrough in the field of on-demand drug release due to their in-situ sol–gel conversion capabilities, biocompatibility, high water content, and biodegradability. In injectable hydrogel delivery systems, the drug and sol can be applied at the target position by injection. After the hydrogel is formed in situ, drugs are encapsulated in its network and then released through diffusion, which can maintain local high concentrations of drugs for a relatively long time to reduce the risk of side effects and patient discomfort. $6-8$ Moreover, hydrogel networks can protect therapeutic components in hostile physiological environments, such as enzymes and low pH in some specific sites.^{4,9} Injectable hydrogel delivery systems can also help to reduce surgical trauma and $cost^{10-16}$. However, drug diffusion is generally passive or reliant on the natural degradation of hydrogels to release drugs. Currently, a common strategy to achieve on-demand release to give the drug delivery system the ability to receive signals spontaneously and rationally release the drug in response to environmental changes.

Stimuli-responsive hydrogels, also known as smart hydrogels, undergo significant changes in their swelling behaviour, network structure, permeability, or mechanical strength under

^a Charles Institute of Dermatology, School of Medicine, University College Dublin, Dublin D04 V1W8, Ireland. E-mail: sigen.a@aust.edu.cn

 b Centre of Micro/Nano Manufacturing Technology (MNMT-Dublin), School of Mechanical and Materials Engineering, University College Dublin, Dublin D04 KW52, Ireland

^c State Key Laboratory of Pharmaceutical Biotechnology, School of Life Sciences, Nanjing University, Nanjing, Jiangsu, 210023, P.R. China

^d School of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Wenzhou Medical University, Wenzhou, Zhejiang, 325035, P.R. China

^e School of Medicine, Anhui University of Science and Technology, Huainan, Anhui, 232001, P.R. China

[†] Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. See DOI: [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1039/d3ma00430a) [10.1039/d3ma00430a](https://doi.org/10.1039/d3ma00430a)

Scheme 1 The oxidation reaction between chitosan (CTS) and sodium periodate and the structure of aldehyde chitosan (CTS-CHO).

external stimulations, such as temperature, pH, light, and electromagnetic fields. Therefore, the drugs embedded in stimuliresponsive hydrogels can be released in an on-demand manner.¹⁷ pH-responsive hydrogels are a type of stimuli-responsive hydrogel that is currently of widespread interest because they can rapidly release the drug in a targeted acidic site while minimising drug release in normal physiological environments. $18-20$

Chitosan (CTS) is a natural cationic polymer which derives from chitin through deacetylation. The chemical structure of CTS is composed of glucosamine (2-amino-2-deoxy-d-glucose) and N-acetyl glucosamine (as shown in Scheme 1).²¹ CTS-based hydrogels have attracted increasing attention for on-demand drug release due to its renewable resources, non-toxicity, high biodegradability, and good biocompatibility.²² However, the poor solubility and mechanical strength still need to be overcome. Aldehyde chitosan (CTS-CHO) which is synthesised from CTS through the oxidation reaction has been reported in some literature.²³⁻²⁶ The greatly improved solubility, well-maintained biological activity, and self-crosslinking properties allow CTS-CHO to be a great alternative to CTS for on-demand drug release applications. Although CTS-based hydrogels have been widely reported, the stimuli-responsive injectable hydrogel which is fabricated from CTS-CHO used for on-demand drug release is rarely reported. Paper Materials Articles. Article. Published on 20 November 2023. Downloaded on 12/30/2024 5:49:02 AM. This article is licensed with the state of CREATIVE COMPORTIES ARTICLE COMPORTIES ARTICLE COMPORTIES ARTICLE COMPORTIE

Here, we designed a stimuli-responsive injectable hydrogel with CTS-CHO for on-demand drug release. CTS-CHO was

prepared by oxidation of CTS using sodium periodate (Scheme 1). The hydrogels were fabricated with CTS-CHO by adjusting the concentration under the neutral condition. The process of stimuliresponsive hydrogel study in this work is outlined in Scheme 2, which includes the preparation and characterisation of the hydrogel. The stimuli-responsive performance, rheological behaviours, swelling, and degradation profiles, and in vitro on-demand drug release profiles were assessed. The release rates of BSA and FITCdextran from the hydrogel were significantly accelerated when the pH if phosphate-buffered saline was reduced from 7.4 to 5.7 due to the pH-responsive property. In addition, the cytotoxicity of the hydrogel was estimated against HEK 293 cells using alamarBlue assay and Live/dead assay. No obvious cytotoxicity of the hydrogel extract was observed. The stimuli-responsive chitosan-based injectable hydrogel system is a promising candidate for on-demand drug release in response to pH stimuli.

Experimental procedure

Materials

CTS (medium molecular weight, 75–85% deacetylation degree), fluorescein isothiocyanate-dextran $(M_w: 2000 \text{ kDa})$ and bovine serum albumin (BSA) were purchased from Sigma–Aldrich. Dialysis tubes (cut off M_w : 8 kDa) were purchased from Spectrum-Lab. Glacial acetic acid, sodium periodate, BCA protein assay kit, phenol red, deuterated acetic acid $(C_2H_4O_2, 99.5\%)$ and ethylene glycol were purchased from Thermo Scientific. The HEK 293 cell line was purchased from ATCC. Live/Dead Viability Cytotoxicity Kit was purchased from BioScience. AlamarBlue reagent and deuterium oxide $(D_2O, 99.9%)$ were provided by Sigma-Aldrich. Penicillin/streptomycin, fetal bovine serum (FBS), and Dulbecco's Modified Eagle's Medium (DMEM) were purchased from Invitrogen. All materials were used as received.

Scheme 2 Multi-responsive properties of the Schiff's base and drug release profiles of CTS-CHO hydrogel.

Synthesis of dialdehyde chitosan

CTS-CHO was synthesised according to our previous study.²⁷ Briefly, 0.97 g of CTS was dissolved in 100 mL of 0.1 mol L^{-1} acetic acid solution and stirred continuously for 24 hours at room temperature. Then 0.64 g of sodium periodate was added to the CTS solution and stirred for 24 hours in the dark. Ethylene glycol (0.5 mL) was then added to the solution and 1 hour of stirring was conducted to terminate the reaction. The solution was dialysed 5 times against 5L deionised water, and the deionised water was changed every 4 hours. The purified solution was filtered with filter paper. The dialysate was lyophilised for 3 days to obtain CTS-CHO.

Proton nuclear magnetic resonance $({}^{1}\text{H NMR})$

10 mg of both CTS and CTS-CHO were individually dissolved in 750 µL of D₂O. Subsequently, 40 µL of $C_2H_4O_2$ was introduced into each vial, followed by vortexing for 10 minutes. The acquisition of ¹H-NMR spectra was conducted using a Varian 400 MHz NMR spectrometer.

Element analysis

The quantitative flash combustion technique was used for the element analysis. The analysis was conducted on an Exeter elemental analyser (CE440, Coventry, United Kingdom) with a typical sample of 1.6–1.9 mg weighed on a Sartorius ultra-micro balance. The polymer samples were thoroughly dried by lyophilisation for 3 days before analysis. The oxidation degree (F_{ox}) was calculated following the equation:

$$
\frac{N}{C} = \frac{F_{\rm A} + (1 - F_{\rm A}) \cdot (1 - F_{\rm ox})}{2F_{\rm A} + 6} \tag{1}
$$

where F_A is the acetylated units' content in chitosan. N and C is the percentage of nitrogen and carbon, respectively.

Preparation of CTS-CHO self-crosslinked hydrogel

CTS-CHO was dissolved in deionised water to the desired concentrations (10%, 11%, and 12%), and then the hydrogels formed after a certain time.

Gelation time

1 mL of CTS-CHO solution was placed in glass vials to observe the gelation profiles. The inverted tube method was used to record the gelation time of the hydrogels.

pH-responsive property

1 mL of CTS-CHO solution at a concentration of 10% was placed in a glass vial. After the hydrogel formation, $10 \mu L$ of 2 M HCl was added to the vial and observed. When the hydrogel became a solution, $10 \mu L$ of 2 M NaOH was added to the same vial, and then observed again. Photos were taken when the sol– gel transition occurred.

Injectability

CTS-CHO was dissolved to a concentration of 10% in deionised water and 0.02% aqueous solution of phenol red. The CTS-CHO solution in the syringe (22 G needle) was kept at room temperature for 24 hours. The hydrogel was then extruded, and photos were taken.

Rheological parameters

Discovery HR-2 Rheometer (TA Instrument, HR-2, New Castle, Delaware, USA) was used to analyse the viscoelastic behaviours of CTS-CHO hydrogels at 25 $^{\circ}$ C. The diameter of the parallel plate geometry used in this experiment was 8 mm. After 24 hours, 200 mL of CTS-CHO hydrogel was analysed for each test. The gap was set as 2 mm. Firstly, the storage modulus (G') of the hydrogels at the concentration of 10%, 11%, and 12% was evaluated. The linear viscoelastic range of the hydrogels was evaluated using a strain sweep test at a frequency of 1 Hz. Then, the hydrogels were subjected to 500% strain and 1% strain for 4 cycles, and the variations in storage modulus and complex viscosity were recorded. In addition, shear rate scans were performed to investigate the shear thinning behaviour of hydrogels subjected to shear rates ranging from 0.01-100 1 s^{-1} . Each experiment was performed in triplicate. Materials Advances **Synthesis Articles.** Synthesis Articles. The company of the company of the computer CF and the computer of the computer since the computer and a strength and the common and the common and the common an

Swelling

At 37 \degree C, 0.2 g of hydrogels were immersed in 20 mL PBS at a pH of 7.4). At the predetermined time points, the water on the surface of the samples was carefully removed with filter paper. The hydrogels were weighed. After weighing at each time point, PBS was replaced with a newly prepared solution.

The swelling ratio (SR) was calculated following the formula:

$$
SR = \frac{W_t}{W_0} \tag{2}
$$

 W_0 is the initial hydrogel weight. W_t is the hydrogel weight at the predetermined time t.

In vitro degradation

20 mL PBS (pH 5.7, 7.4) was used to immerse 0.2 g of hydrogels at 37 \degree C. Then at pre-determined time points, the water on the surface of the residual samples was removed carefully with filter paper. The weight of the hydrogel was recorded. After weighing at each time point, PBS was replaced with a newly prepared solution:

$$
DR\% = \frac{(W_0 - W_t)}{W_0} \times 100
$$
 (3)

 W_0 is the initial hydrogel weight. W_t is the residual hydrogel weight at the degradation time t.

In vitro BSA release

50 mL of deionised water was used to dissolve 2 g of BSA to obtain a 4% BSA solution. BSA solution was diluted to 0.1, 0.2, 0.4, 0.6, 0.8, 1.0, and 2.0 mg mL^{-1} concentrations. The negative control was PBS (pH 7.4). The working reagent was prepared following the user guide of the BCA protein assay kit. Then 25μ L of each standard and negative control sample were placed in a microplate (96-well). 200 μ L of the working reagent was then added. Next, the 96-well microplate was mixed for

30 seconds and then incubated for 30 minutes at 37° C. The absorbance at 562 nm was measured using a microplate reader (SpectraMax M3, Molecular Devices, Inc., USA) after the microplate was cooled to room temperature. The average absorbance of each BSA standard and its concentration were used to prepare the standard curve.

CTS-CHO was dissolved in 4% BSA solution to the concentrations of 10% and 11%. The CTS-CHO solution was then left at room temperature for 24 hours to ensure complete gelation. In 5 mL PBS (pH 5.7 and 7.4), 0.1 g hydrogel was immersed. As a negative control, a hydrogel prepared with deionised water was used. The BSA-loaded hydrogel and negative control sample were incubated at 37 °C. An equal amount of fresh PBS was replenished after the collection of 1 mL aliquots at predetermined time intervals. The standard curve was used to calculate the protein concentration. The cumulative release was then calculated according to the equation below:

Cumulative release% =
$$
\frac{(C_t - C_0) \times 5 + C_{(t-1)}}{m \times 40} \times 100\%
$$
 (4)

 C_0 is the protein concentration of negative control. C_t is the protein concentration of BSA-loaded hydrogel at a predetermined time $t.$ $C_{(t-1)}$ is the protein concentration of BSA-loaded hydrogel at the time point before time t . m is the initial weight of the hydrogel.

In vitro FITC-dextran release

40 mg FITC-dextran was dissolved in 10 mL deionised water to obtain a 4 mg mL^{-1} FITC-dextran solution. Diluted FITCdextran solutions were prepared at concentrations of 3.125, 6.25, 12.5, 25, 50, 100, and 200 μ g mL⁻¹. The negative control was PBS (pH 7.4). Then, 100 μ L of the standard and negative control sample was placed in a 96-well microplate. According to the user guide, the emission maximum is 520 nm, and the excitation maximum is 490 nm. The fluorescence was measured by a Microplate reader. The average absorbance of each dextran standard and its concentration were used to prepare the standard curve.

CTS-CHO was dissolved in 4 mg mL^{-1} FITC-dextran solution to the concentrations of 10% and 11%. Then the CTS-CHO solution was left for 24 hours at room temperature to ensure complete gelation. 0.1 g hydrogel was immersed in 5 mL PBS (pH 5.7 and 7.4). The hydrogel prepared with deionised water was used as the negative control. The dextran-loaded hydrogel and negative control sample were incubated at 37 °C. An equal amount of fresh PBS was replenished after the collection of 1 mL aliquots at predetermined time intervals. The standard curve was used to calculate the dextran concentration.

The cumulative release was calculated according to the equation below:

Cumulative release% =
$$
\frac{(C_t - C_0) \times 5 + C_{(t-1)}}{m \times 4 \times 1000} \times 100\%
$$
 (5)

 C_0 is the dextran concentration of negative control. C_t is the dextran concentration of dextran-loaded hydrogel at a predetermined time t. $C_{(t-1)}$ is the dextran concentration of dextran-loaded

hydrogel at the time point before time t . m is the initial weight of the hydrogel.

In vitro cytotoxicity assessment

The cytotoxicity of CTS-CHO self-crosslinked hydrogels was determined using HEK 293 cells by alarmaBlue assay ang Live/Dead staining assay.

Briefly, 1000 mg CTS-CHO hydrogel was immersed in 10 mL DMEM (containing 10% FBS, 1% penicillin) and incubated at 5% $CO₂$, 37 °C for 24 hours. The supernatant was filtered for sterilisation using a $0.22 \mu m$ filter, and then the stock extract solution (100%) was obtained. The stock extract solution was diluted to 50%, 25%, and 12.5% with DMEM. HEK 293 cells at the density of 1 \times 10⁴ cells well⁻¹ were seeded in a 96-well microplate, and then cultured at 5% $CO₂$ and 37 °C for 24 hours. The medium was replaced with 100 μ L extract solution. After incubation for 24 hours, 20 µL alamarBlue reagent was added and then incubated at 37 \degree C for 4 hours. The fluorescence was read using a fluorescence excitation wavelength of 570 nm by a Microplate reader. Cells with DMEM containing alamarBlue reagent were the negative control group. The cell viability was calculated following the equation: **Paper**
 Solution Access Articles Articles. Article is the published one-thing are articles and the sequence are articles are com

Cell viability
$$
=\frac{F_s}{F_c} \times 100\%
$$
 (6)

 F_s is the fluorescence value of the sample. F_c is the fluorescence value of the control.

As for the Live/Dead staining assay, the stock extraction solution was prepared with the same method as the alamarBlue assay. The stock solution was diluted to 50% and 25% with DMEM. HEK 293 cells $(1 \times 10^4 \text{ cells well}^{-1})$ were seeded in a 96-well microplate and then cultured at 5% $CO₂$ and 37 °C for 24 hours. Next, the culture medium was replaced with a 100 μ L extraction solution. After incubation for 24 hours, the live/dead stain reagent was pre-thawed. According to the user guide, 2 μ L calcein-AM and 8 μ L ethidium homodimer-1 were mixed with 4 mL DPBS, then the Live/Dead staining solution was obtained. Then the culture medium was replaced with 100 μ L of the staining solution. After incubation for 30 minutes at 20 to 25 \degree C, DPBS was used to wash the cells. Then photographs were taken under a fluorescence microscope.

Statistical analysis

All data are presented as mean \pm standard deviation. The difference between individual groups was assessed using oneway or two-way analysis of variance and Tukey's post-analysis. The statistical significance was considered with a p-value of less than 0.05. The analysis of the data was performed using GraphPad Prism 7 software (GraphPad Software, USA).

Results and discussion

In this work, we designed a stimuli-responsive hydrogel composed of CTS-CHO for on-demand drug release. Aldehyde groups were introduced in the structure of chitosan via oxidation reaction following the previous procedure we reported. 27 CTS-CHO hydrogels with stimuli-responsive properties were obtained by adjusting the concentration in a neutral condition.

To confirm that the functionalisation was successful, ¹H NMR was used to characterise the structures of CTS and CTS-CHO. The spectra were shown in Fig. S1 (ESI†). The new peaks of ¹H NMR spectra at 8 ppm correspond to hemiacetalic protons derived from the aldehyde groups and neighbouring hydroxyl groups. This characteristic aldehyde-based peak was only evident in the CTS spectrum post-oxidation. This result demonstrated that aldehyde groups were introduced into the structure of CTS after the oxidation reaction successfully. The result is consistent with the literature.^{28,29} The C2-C3 linkage was cleaved in the N-acetyl-glucosamine unit (GlcN) and dialdehyde was formed.

An element analyser was used to test the percentages of C, N, and H in the structure of CTS-CHO. According to the literature, the oxidation degree was calculated according to eqn (1) and the result was 11.32%, as shown in Table S1 (ESI†). The percentage of dialdehyde per 100 GlcN units is the oxidation degree of CTS-CHO.²⁵ Another promising property of CTS-CHO is that its water solubility improved significantly when compared to CTS. Presumably, the hydration of aldehyde groups in the structure considerably increased the solubility of CTS-CHO dramatically.

Gelation times of CTS-CHO hydrogels at the concentration of 10%, 11%, and 12% were determined using the inverted tube method at room temperature. Fig. S2 (ESI†) shows that the average gelation time at 10% concentration was 20 minutes, 3 minutes at 11%, and 30 seconds at 12% concentration. The storage modulus of CTS-CHO hydrogels at concentrations of 10%, 11%, and 12% was tested to evaluate the mechanical strength. The results were shown in Fig. S3 (ESI†), 294, 817, and 953 Pa, respectively.

The mechanical strength of the hydrogel increased with the concentration of CTS-CHO. As shown in Fig. 1(a), the strain sweep results showed the strain that broke the hydrogel network was 300%. G' was higher than G'' in the strain range of 1% to 300%, indicating the solid structure of the hydrogel. Whereas G' decreased below G'' when the strain was above 300%, which means that the structure of the hydrogel was disrupted. The share rate sweep measurement was performed, and the results confirmed the shear thinning behaviour of the gel for injectability (Fig. 1b).

The results of cyclic strain sweep tests (Fig. 2) showed that G' and complex viscosity recovered when the high strain (500%) is removed for 60 seconds, and this process could be repeated several times. This recovery behaviour indicated the dynamic

Fig. 1 Rheological properties of CTS-CHO hydrogels. (a) Strain sweep of the hydrogels at the concentration of 10%, 11%, and 12%. (b) Viscosity change of the hydrogels as a function of shear.

Fig. 2 Dynamic step-strain amplitude measurements of the hydrogels. (a) 10%; (b) 11%; (c) 12%.

covalent bonds (imine linkages) reformed after a high-strain disruption.^{30,31} However, during dynamic testing, the hydrogel may not have enough time to fully heal between strain cycles. As a result, the accumulated damage from each cycle leads to a gradual decrease in storage modulus over time.

After adding 10 μ L HCl (2 M) to the vial, the hydrogel turned into a solution in 30 minutes. the hydrogel formed in 30 minutes again when 10 μ L NaOH (2 M) was added to the vial (Fig. 3a and b). This sol–gel transition phenomenon confirmed that the CTS-CHO hydrogel was pH-responsive. CTS-CHO was dissolved in deionised water and a dyed aqueous solution to a concentration of 10%. After 24 hours, the hydrogel was extruded through a syringe with a 22 G needle (Fig. 3c and d), indicating that the hydrogel had excellent injectable properties.

The self-healable, injectable, and pH-responsive properties of CTS-CHO hydrogel are advantageous for controlled drug release, allowing it to be used as a drug carrier.

The degradation profiles of the CTS-CHO hydrogels were assessed in PBS at pH 5.7 and 7.4. In pH 7.4 PBS, 38% and 40% of the initial weights of the 11% and 12% hydrogels were retained, respectively. Whereas the 10% hydrogel degraded completely in 3 days, due to the low crosslinking density (Fig. 4a). In pH 5.7 PBS, the hydrogels degraded gradually after slight swelling and then degraded completely in 5 hours (Fig. 4b), because the imine linkages formed between aldehyde and amino groups are dynamic, reversible, and easily hydrolysed under acidic conditions. At each time point, the remaining weight of the high-concentration hydrogel was slightly greater than the low-concentration hydrogel. The swelling behaviour of CTS-CHO hydrogel was conducted at 37 °C in

Fig. 3 Multi-responsive properties of CTS-CHO self-crosslinked hydrogels. (a) and (b) pH-responsive property. (c) and (d) Injectability.

Fig. 4 (a) Degradation profiles of CTS-CHO self-crosslinked hydrogels in pH 7.4 PBS. (b) Degradation profiles of CTS-CHO self-crosslinked hydrogels in pH 5.7 PBS. Data in the figure are the average value of $n = 3$, \pm standard deviation.

Fig. 5 Swelling of CTS-CHO self-crosslinked hydrogels in pH 7.4 PBS. Data in the figure are the average value of $n = 3$, \pm standard deviation.

pH 7.4, PBS. In Fig. 5, the equilibrium swelling ratio of the 11% and 12% hydrogels was around 1.2, and no significant difference was observed in these two groups. As for the 10% hydrogel, the swelling ratio reached about 1.2 in 1.5 hours, and then degradation was observed. Crosslinking density is a crucial factor that affects the swelling profile of the hydrogel. The equilibrium swelling of the 10% hydrogel was maintained for a shorter time than the 11% and 12% hydrogels due to the low concentration of CTS-CHO. Due to the

similar swelling and degradation profiles of the 11% and 12% hydrogels, the 10% and 11% hydrogels were selected for further in vitro drug release studies.

BSA and FITC-dextran as model drugs were encapsulated in the hydrogels and on-demand drug release behaviours at different pH were observed, as shown in Fig. 6. In PBS at pH 7.4, BSA and FITC-dextran were released from the 10% and 11% hydrogels gradually in the first 6 hours (Fig. S4 and S5, ESI†). The cumulative release of BSA reached around 67% and 46% in 24 hours, followed by a sustained and slow release for 2 days. The 11% hydrogel released BSA slower than the 10% hydrogel due to the higher crosslinking density. At 48 hours, the cumulative BSA release of the 10% hydrogel was 72%, and that of the 11% hydrogel was still around 50% (Fig. 6a). Compared with the cumulative BSA release ratio of other hydrogels in the literature, that of the 10% CTS-CHO hydrogel at 48 hours was lower.^{32,33} The BSA release rate in pH 5.7 PBS was shown in Fig. 6(b). BSA was released from CTS-CHO hydrogel relatively fast in the first hour, and then a slow release was observed later. In 2 hours, 82% and 69% of BSA were released from the hydrogels at the concentration of 10% and 11%, respectively. That may be due to the hydrolysis of reversible dynamic imine linkages between the amino groups in BSA Materials Advances

²2.

²³.

²⁴.

²⁴.

At 24 hours, the FITC-dextran release levels were 73% and 67% for the 10% and 11% hydrogels in pH 7.4 PBS, as shown in

Fig. 6 Drug release profile in PBS (pH 5.7, and 7.4). (a) BSA release in pH 7.4 PBS after 24 and 48 hours. (b) BSA release in pH 5.7 PBS after 1 and 2 hours. (c) FITC-dextran release in pH 7.4 PBS after 24 and 48 hours. (d) FITC-dextran release in pH 5.7 PBS after 1 and 2 hours. Data in the figure are the average value of $n = 3$, \pm standard deviation. *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01

Published on 2003. Downloaded on 2003. Downloaded on 2023. Downloaded on 2023. Downloaded on 2023. Downloaded on 2023. Development of the Creative Commons Attribution-Noncommercial 3.0 University Commons Attribution-Noncom $\bf{0}$ Control 12.5% $25%$ 50% $\mathbf{0}$ $25%$ 12.5% $50%$ Control Concentration (w/v %) (e) (d) 15000 120 12% 10% 11% 12% e 10000
sesses
E 5000 Cell viability (%) 80 40 $\bf{0}$ $\mathbf{0}$ Control 12.5% $25%$ $50%$ Control 12.5% $25%$ $50%$

Fig. 7 Cytotoxicity of CTS-CHO self-crosslinked hydrogel. (a) Live/Dead staining of HEK 293 cells treated with the extract of the hydrogel. (b)–(e) AlamarBlue measurements of HEK 293 cells co-cultured with the hydrogel extract. Data in the figure are the average value of $n = 3$, \pm standard deviation. Scale bar: $100 \mu m$.

Concentration (w/v %)

Concentration (w/v %)

Fig. 6(c). At 48 hours, the cumulative release of FITC-dextran was around 84% from the 10% and 71% from the 11% hydrogels respectively. Fig. 6(d) shows that after 2 hours of incubation, the FITC-dextran release level was 86% and 74% for the 10% and 11% hydrogels loaded with FITC-dextran in pH 5.7 PBS, respectively. FITC-dextran released from CTS-CHO hydrogel through diffusion. The on-demand release capability demonstrated that the CTS-CHO hydrogel is a promising candidate in the drug delivery field.

CTS-CHO is non-cytotoxic according to our previous report.27 To evaluate the cytotoxicity of CTS-CHO hydrogel, alamarBlue blue assay and Live/Dead staining assay were conducted on HEK 293 cells by treating cells with hydrogel extract for 24 hours (Fig. 7). In Fig. 7(a), the fluorescence microscopy images of Live/Dead staining demonstrated that the majority of HEK 293 cells were viable with intact morphology after 24 hours of treatment with hydrogel extract. No significant difference from the controls was observed. Overall, the results indicated that the extract of CTS-CHO self-crosslinked hydrogel did not affect the viability of HEK 293 cells. According to the results of alarmaBlue assay, the cell viability of CTS-CHO self-crosslinked hydrogel at various experimental concentrations was above 80% (Fig. 7b–e). Biomaterials for medical applications should be biocompatible and are normally considered non-cytotoxic if the cell viability is higher than 70% after 24 hours of co-incubation with cells.^{34,35} Hence, based on this recommendation, CTS-CHO hydrogel was non-cytotoxic. Materials Advances

Fig. 6(c). At 48 hours, the communite release of Part Technique The Space are no conflicts of interests

we are readed on 20 November 2023. The most article is formed to the state are no conflicts to d

Conclusions

In this study, an injectable hydrogel system with stimuliresponsive properties was reported. The hydrogel was prepared with CTS-CHO, which was synthesised via oxidation reaction with CTS and sodium periodate, by adjusting the concentration. Moreover, the hydrogel system also possesses crucial properties for on-demand release, such as degradability, injectability, self-healing, and biocompatibility.

The model drugs, BSA and FITC-dextran were released from CTS-CHO hydrogel relatively fast in pH 5.7 PBS and more slowly in pH 7.4 PBS. No obvious cytotoxicity of the hydrogel extract was observed from Live/dead and alamarBlue assays on HEK 293 cells. The stimuli-responsive chitosan-based injectable hydrogel system is a promising candidate for on-demand drug release in response to pH stimuli. Moreover, the pH-responsive property of CTS-CHO hydrogels were reported for the first time, which indicated the huge potential of CTS-CHO in the biomedical field.

Author contributions

Conceptualisation, XW; methodology and validation, XW, NZ, RS and PS; investigation and data curation, XW; writing – original draft preparation, XW; writing – review & editing, MJ, ILS and CM; sources, WW; project administration, supervision and funding acquisition SA. All authors have read and agreed with the final manuscript.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgements

This research was funded by China Scholarship Council (CSC202009110089), and Science Foundation Ireland (SFI) (Funding Agency Ref No: 19/FFP/6522).

References

- 1 A. Kidane and P. P. Bhatt, Curr. Opin. Chem. Biol., 2005, 9, 347–351.
- 2 N. Bhattarai, J. Gunn and M. Zhang, Adv. Drug Delivery Rev., 2019, 62, 83–99.
- 3 Y. Qiu and K. Park, Adv. Drug Delivery Rev., 2012, 64, 321–339.
- 4 M. Vigata, C. Meinert, D. W. Hutmacher and N. Bock, Pharmaceutics, 2020, 12, 1188.
- 5 G. Ahuja and K. Pathak, Indian J. Pharm. Sci., 2009, 71, 599–607.
- 6 M. Gulfam, S.-H. Jo, S.-W. Jo, T. T. Vu, S.-H. Park and K. T. Lim, NPG Asia Mater., 2022, 14, 8.
- 7 J. Hu, C. Zhang, L. Zhou, Q. Hu, Y. Kong, D. Song, Y. Cheng and Y. Zhang, Sci. China Mater., 2020, 64, 1035–1046.
- 8 J. Zhao, X. Liang, H. Cao and T. Tan, Bioresources Bioprocess., 2020, 7, 1.
- 9 S. Noppakundilograt, S. Choopromkaw and S. Kiatkamjornwong, J. Appl. Polym. Sci., 2018, 135, 45654.
- 10 M. K. Nguyen and D. S. Lee, Macromol. Biosci., 2010, 10, 563–579.
- 11 J. Qu, X. Zhao, P. X. Ma and B. Guo, Acta Biomater., 2017, 58, 168–180.
- 12 Y. Gao, A. Deng, X. Wu, C. Sun and C. Qi, React. Funct. Polym., 2021, 161, 104866.
- 13 M. S. Gil, T. Thambi, V. H. G. Phan, S. H. Kim and D. S. Lee, J. Mater. Chem. B, 2017, 5, 7140–7152.
- 14 H. Jang, K. Zhi, J. Wang, H. Zhao, B. Li and X. Yang, Int. J. Biol. Macromol., 2020, 148, 163–172.
- 15 Y. S. Jung, W. Park, H. Park, D. K. Lee and K. Na, Carbohydr. Polym., 2017, 156, 403–408.
- 16 R. Li, Y. Zhang, Z. Lin, Q. Lei, Y. Liu, X. Li, M. Liu, G. Wu, S. Luo, H. Wang, X. Zhang, L. Li, N. Ao and Z. Zha, Composites, Part B, 2021, 221, 109031.
- 17 M. Fathi, P. S. Zangabad, S. Majidi, J. Barar, H. Erfan-Niya and Y. Omidi, Bioimpacts, 2017, 7, 269–277.
- 18 F. F. Azhar, E. Shahbazpour and A. Olad, Fibers Polym., 2017, 18, 416–423.
- 19 X. Bao, X. Si, X. Ding, L. Duan and C. Xiao, J. Polym. Res., 2019, 26, 278.
- 20 H. Hamedi, S. Moradi, S. M. Hudson and A. E. Tonelli, Carbohydr. Polym., 2018, 199, 445–460.
- 21 M. George and T. E. Abraham, J Controlled Release, 2006, 114, 1–14.
- 22 O. Guaresti, C. Garcia-Astrain, R. H. Aguirresarobe, A. Eceiza and N. Gabilondo, Carbohydr. Polym., 2018, 183, 278–286.
- 23 X. Liu, N. Dan, W. Dan and J. Gong, Int. J. Biol. Macromol., 2016, 82, 989–997.
- 24 P. Bam, A. Bhatta and G. Krishnamoorthy, Int. J. Biol. Macromol., 2019, 130, 836–844.
- 25 I. Charhouf, A. Bennamara, A. Abourriche, A. Chenite, J. Zhu and M. Berrada, Int. J. Sci.: Basic Appl. Res., 2014, 16, 336–348.
- 26 I. M. N. Vold and B. E. Christensen, Carbohydr. Res., 2005, 340, 679–684.
- 27 X. Wang, R. Song, M. Johnson, S. A, Z. He, C. Milne, X. Wang, I. Lara-Sáez, Q. Xu and W. Wang, Materials, 2021, 14, 5956.
- 28 M. Lei, X. Peng, M. Hu, C. Wan and X. Yu, Research on essential performance of oxidized chitosan-crosslinked acellular porcine aorta modified with bioactive SCPP/DOPA for esophageal scaffold with enhanced mechanical strength, biocompatibility and anti-inflammatory, Int. J. Biol. Macromol., 2023, 241, 124522. Publish Access Articles. Articles. Articles. Articles. Device Articles. A November 2023. Downloaded on 2003. Downloaded on 2003. Downloaded the Creative Commons Attribution-Noncommercial 3.0 Noncommercial 3.0 Noncommercial
	- 29 M. Lavertu, Z. Xia, A. N. Serreqi, M. Berrada, A. Rodrigues, D. Wang, M. D. Buschmann and A. Gupta, A validated ¹H NMR method for the determination of the degree of deacetylation of chitosan, J. Pharm. Biomed. Anal, 2003, 32, 1149–1158.
	- 30 J. Hoque, B. Bhattacharjee, R. G. Prakash, K. Paramanandham and J. Haldar, Biomacromolecules, 2018, 19, 267–278.
	- 31 M. Mahmoudzadeh, A. Fassihi, J. Emami, N. M. Davies and F. Dorkoosh, J Drug Target, 2013, 21, 693–709.
	- 32 A. Salama, Int. J. Biol. Macromol., 2018, 108, 471–476.
	- 33 L. Xing, J. Sun, H. Tan, G. Yuan, J. Li, Y. Jia, D. Xiong, G. Chen, J. Lai, Z. Ling, Y. Chen and X. Niu, Int. J. Biol. Macromol., 2019, 127, 340–348.
	- 34 S. Gou, D. Xie, Y. Ma, Y. Huang, F. Dai, C. Wang and B. Xiao, ACS Biomater. Sci. Eng., 2020, 6, 1052–1063.
	- 35 S. Pan, N. Zhang, X. He, Z. Fang, Y. Wu, Y. Wei and L. Tao, Poly(vinylalcohol) Modified via the Hantzsch Reaction for Biosafe Antioxidant Self-Healing Hydrogel, ACS Macro Lett., 2023, 12, 1037–1044.