Nanoscale Advances

PAPER

Cite this: Nanoscale Adv., 2023, 5, 1405

Received 9th January 2023 Accepted 2nd February 2023

rsc.li/nanoscale-advances

I. Introduction

DOI: 10.1039/d3na00017f

Photocatalytic water splitting is considered as the most suitable way to convert sunlight into chemical energy in the form of hydrogen.¹ Therefore, understanding the mechanism of photocatalytic water splitting and exploring new photocatalysts are of interest for both fundamental research and industrial applications.² Light harvesting, separation, and diffusion of photogenerated carriers (electrons and holes) to the surface, and hydrogen and oxygen evaluation reactions are the major steps in photocatalysis. A suitable bandgap³ to strengthen (weaken) electron-hole separation (recombination) time,⁴ and band edge positions (straddling the redox potential of water) of a potential semiconductor photocatalyst, are key in order to achieve the overall water splitting process.⁵

a Department of Physics, Abbottabad University of Science & Technology, Abbottabad 22010, Pakistan. E-mail: binukhn@gmail.com

Revealing the electronic, optical and photocatalytic properties of $PN-M_2CO_2$ (P = Al, Ga; M = Ti, Zr, Hf) heterostructures

M. Munawar, \mathbf{D}^{a} M. Idrees, \mathbf{D}^{a} Tahani A. Alrebdi^{*b} and B. Amin \mathbf{D}^{aa}

Using DFT, the electronic structure, optical, and photocatalytic properties of PN (P = Ga, Al) and M_2CO_2 (M = Ti, Zr, Hf) monolayers and their PN-M₂CO₂ van der Waals heterostructures (vdWHs) are investigated. Optimized lattice parameters, bond length, bandgap, conduction and valence band edges show the potential of PN (P = Ga, Al) and M_2CO_2 (M = Ti, Zr, Hf) monolayers in photocatalytic applications, and the application of the present approach to combine these monolayers and form vdWHs for efficient electronic, optoelectronic and photocatalytic applications is shown. Based on the same hexagonal symmetry and experimentally achievable lattice mismatch of PN (P = Ga, Al) with M₂CO₂ (M = Ti, Zr, Hf) monolayers, we have fabricated $PN-M₂CO₂$ vdWHs. Binding energies, interlayer distance and AIMD calculations show the stability of PN- M_2CO_2 vdWHs and demonstrate that these materials can be easily fabricated experimentally. The calculated electronic band structures show that all the PN-M₂CO₂ vdWHs are indirect bandgap semiconductors. Type-II[-I] band alignment is obtained for GaN(AlN)- Ti₂CO₂[GaN(AlN)-Zr₂CO₂ and GaN(AlN)-Hf₂CO₂] vdWHs. PN-Ti₂CO₂ (PN-Zr₂CO₂) vdWHs with a PN(Zr_2CO_2) monolayer have greater potential than a Ti₂CO₂(PN) monolayer, indicating that charge is transfer from the Ti₂CO₂(PN) to PN(Zr₂CO₂) monolayer, while the potential drop separates charge carriers (electron and holes) at the interface. The work function and effective mass of the carriers of PN-M₂CO₂ vdWHs are also calculated and presented. A red (blue) shift is observed in the position of excitonic peaks from AIN to GaN in PN-Ti₂CO₂ and PN-Hf₂CO₂ (PN-Zr₂CO₂) vdWHs, while significant absorption for photon energies above 2 eV for AlN-Zr₂CO₂, GaN-Ti₂CO₂ and PN-Hf₂CO₂, give them good optical profiles. The calculated photocatalytic properties demonstrate that PN-M₂CO₂ (P = Al, Ga; $M = Ti$, Zr , Hf) vdWHs are the best candidates for photocatalytic water splitting. PAPER
 (A) Check for updates
 **EVERIDE SET ALL CONDUMERATES ARENT CONDUCT AND ACTIVE SET AND PROPERTIES OF PN - M₂CO₂ (P = Al, Ga; M = Ti, Zr, H

SET CHE THE SET AND MUNICIPES ARENT CONDUCT AND A VEHICLE THE SET AND**

> In the case of two-dimensional (2D) materials, a large surface area provides a shorter route for photogenerated electron–hole pairs to flow to the surface and facilitate a redox reaction, hence increasing the lifetime of carriers. Therefore, 2D materials are considered as pioneer candidates for photocatalytic water splitting as compared to their bulk counterparts.⁶ Performance of 2D materials is not limited to photocatalytic water splitting, and is also gaining much attention in both optoelectronics and thermoelectric device applications.7,8 In the family of 2D materials, MXenes produced by etching the A layer from the MAX phase, 9 have a rich surface with functional groups (O, OH, F) , responsible for excellent electronic,¹⁰ optical,¹¹ electrochemical,¹² and mechanical properties^{13,14} in catalysis,¹⁵ energy storage,¹⁶ batteries,¹⁷ photocatalytic water splitting¹⁸ and other related fields.¹⁹ Another group of the 2D family, PN ($P = AI$, Ga) monolayers, are excellent candidates as compared to their bulk counterparts, due to their thin structure, high active surface, high stability, large energy bandgap, blue-shifted photoluminescence peaks, high internal quantum efficiency and transfer of charge from Al/Ga to N.²⁰⁻²³ Experimentally, AlN is prepared by CVD, MBE and PVT techniques,²⁴ while GaN is

b Department of Physics, College of Science, Princess Nourah Bint Abdulrahman University, P.O. Box 84428, Riyadh 11671, Saudi Arabia. E-mail: taalrebdi@pnu. edu.pk

prepared by a migration enhanced encapsulated growth technique.²⁵ Structural stability of the PN (P = Al, Ga) monolayer are further confirmed by phonon spectrum calculations.^{26,27} Strain engineering can also be performed to tune the bandgap of PN monolayers without structure distortion.^{28,29} PN (P = Al, Ga) nanowire, $30,31$ nanosheets $32,33$ and nanoribbons, $34,35$ have also been reported with fascinating properties.

The emergence of vdWHs in the 2D field offers a new way to combine different monolayers for novel electronic, optoelectronic, and photocatalytic applications.^{36,37} Some vdWHs based on MXene, AlN, and GaN like $MOS₂/MXene$,³⁸ TiO₂/Mxenes,³⁹ MXene/ graphene,⁴⁰ TMDCs/MXenes,⁴¹ SiS/MXenes,⁴² BSe/MXenes,⁴³ AlGaN/GaN, 44 MoSe₂/GaN, 45 AlN/GaN, 46 WS₂/GaN, 47 ZnO/GaN, 48 graphene/AlN,⁴⁹ BP/AlN,⁵⁰ and AlN/InSe,⁵¹ have already been fabricated for practical device applications. Electronic structure, optical properties and overall water splitting performance of GaN/ Hf_2CO_2 and AlN/Hf₂CO₂ vdWHs have been investigated in ref. 52 and 53. A type-II band alignment for full water splitting is also observed in boron phosphide-blue phosphorene,⁵⁴ C₂N-based type-II heterojunctions⁵⁵ and other 2D materials.⁵⁶

From this aspect, surprisingly no investigation has addressed PN- M_2CO_2 (P = Al, Ga; M = Ti, Zr) vdWHs. Therefore, based on the same hexagonal symmetry, small lattice mismatch and unique performance of all MXenes of group M_2CO_2 (M = Ti, Zr, Hf) and PN $(P = Al, Ga)$ monolayers, we combine these monolayers, in the form $PN-M_2CO_2$ (P = Al, Ga; M = Ti, Zr, Hf) vdWHs. A detailed study is gained to explore the electronic structure, optical and photocatalytic properties of $PN-M_2CO_2$ $(P = AI, Ga; M = Ti, Zr, Hf)$ vdWHs. Our investigation revealed that all these six heterostructures are promising candidates for photocatalytic and optoelectronic devices.

II. Computational details

We employ DFT⁵⁷ in VASP,⁵⁸ with PBE⁵⁹ and HSE06⁶⁰ functionals for both atomic relaxation and electronic bandstructure calculations. These calculations are performed with a conjugate gradient algorithm to minimize the atomic forces (energy) with a tolerance of 0.01 eV $\rm \AA^{-1}$ (10⁻⁴ eV). A cut-off energy of 500 eV, Γ centered k-point mesh of $8 \times 8 \times 1$, and a vacuum of 25 Å along the z-direction were used to ensure a negligible interaction between adjacent layers.

AIMD ⁶¹ simulations were used to investigate the thermal stabilities of PN-M₂CO₂ (P = Al, Ga; M = Ti, Zr, Hf) vdWHs

Fig. 1 Geometrical structure (top view in first column), electronic bandstructure (PBE in second column; HSE06 in third column) and side view (fourth column) of GaN ((a), (f), (k), (p)), AlN ((b), (g), (i), (q)), Ti₂CO₂ ((c), (h), (m), (r)), Zr₂CO₂ ((d), (j), (n), (s)) and Hf₂CO₂ ((e), (j), (o), (t)).

through the nose thermostat algorithm at 300 K. The system was allowed to relax for 12 picoseconds with a time step of 3 femtoseconds.62,63

The Bethe–Salpeter equation (BSE) is also solved in the GW_0 $calculation⁶⁴$ using the epsilon package⁶⁵ in Quantum Espresso ⁶⁶ to obtain $\varepsilon_2(\omega)$. Furthermore, using the turbo Lanczos algorithm,⁶⁷ we investigated the absorption coefficient (α) to understand the optical behaviour of these systems in detail.⁶⁸

III. Results and discussion

Optimized geometry (top and side view) and electronic bandstructure in Fig. 1, show that PN (P = Al, N) and M_2CO_2 (M = Ti, Zr, Hf) monolayers are indirect bandgap semiconductors. In the case of the PN $(P = Ga, Al)$ monolayer, the CBM (VBM) lies at the $\Gamma(K)$ -point, while for M_2CO_2 the VBM lies at the Γ -point and the CBM is between the K and M point of the first BZ, consistent with ref. 43 and 69. The photocatalytic response in Fig. 2, shows that Ti_2CO_2 and Zr_2CO_2 cross (fail to cross) the valence (conduction) band edge, while both the PN and Hf_2CO_2 monolayers cross both the conduction and valence band edges (see also Table 1), in agreement with ref. 43. These results show the potential of PN ($M = Ga$, Al) and M_2CO_2 ($M = Ti$, Zr, Hf) monolayers in photocatalytic applications and the authenticity of the present approach to combine these monolayers to form vdWHs for efficient electronic, optoelectronic and photocatalytic applications.

Although the lattice mismatch of GaN(AlN) with $Ti₂CO₂$ is 7.52 (8.45)%, with Zr_2CO_2 is 1.66 (0.90)% and with Hf_2CO_2 is 0.45 (0.30)%, these are experimentally achievable⁷⁰ in the

Fig. 2 Band alignment for the valence band (VB) and conduction band (CB) edge of (a) GaN, (b) AlN, (c) $Ti₂CO₂$, (d) $Zr₂CO₂$ and (e) $Hf₂CO₂$ monolayers.

Table 1 Lattice constant (a in Å), bond length (Ga–N, Al–N, M–O and M–C in Å), band gap (E_g in eV), and conduction and valence band edge potentials (E_{CB} and E_{VB} in eV) for PN (P = Ga, Al) and M_2CO_2 (M = Ti, Zr, Hf) monolayers

Monolayers	GaN	AlN	Ti ₂ CO ₂	Zr_2CO_2	Hf_2CO_2
a.	3.255	3.288	3.01	3.31	3.27
$Ga-N$	1.894				
$Al-N$		1.807			
$M-O$			1.97	2.119	2.091
$M-C$			2.21	2.359	2.332
$E_{\rm g}$ (PBE)	1.98	2.35	0.3	0.865	0.99
$E_{\rm g}$ (HSE06)	3.23	3.98	0.95	1.57	1.69
E_{CB}	-1.0022	-1.6823	0.3398	0.0798	-0.002
$E_{\rm VB}$	2.1977	2.3176	1.2898	1.6498	1.6923

fabrication of $PN-M_2CO_2$ vdWHs. But controlling the orientation of layers while fabricating vdWHs using mechanical exfoliation is quite difficult. Moreover, the electronic bandstructure is very sensitive to the stacking of layers. Therefore, we fabricated four different stacking patterns of $PN-M_2CO_2$ (P = Ga, Al; $M = Ti$, Zr , Hf) vdWHs, as presented in Fig. 3. In stacking (a), the M(O) atom of the M_2CO_2 layer is placed on the top of Ga/ $Al(N)$ atom of the PN layer; in stacking (b), the $M(O)$ atom of the M_2CO_2 layer is placed on top of the N(Ga/Al) atom of the PN layer; in stacking (c), the M(C) atom of the M_2CO_2 layer is placed on top of the N(Ga/Al) of the PN layer; and stacking (d) is the reciprocal of stacking (c). The magnitude of the binding energy $(E_{\rm b} = E_{\rm PN\text{-}M_2CO_2} - E_{\rm M_2CO_2} - E_{\rm PN}$, where $E_{\rm PN\text{-}M_2CO_2}$ is the total energy of the vdWHs, $E_{\text{M,CO}_2}$ is the total energy of the isolated M_2CO_2 monolayer, and E_{PN} is the total energy of the isolated PN monolayer) and the interlayer distance, show the disparity between different stacking patterns. The shorter the interlayer distance and the smaller the binding energy, corresponds to a more stable configuration, see Table 2. Therefore, stacking (d) of PN- M_2CO_2 vdWHs is the most favorable stacking configuration. Negative binding energy confirms that formation of PN- M_2CO_2 vdWHs are exothermic.⁷¹⁻⁷³ The optimized lattice constants and bond lengths for the most stable stacking configuration are given in Table 3. **Paper**

Unropice distances are the measurable and the space of $X = C_1 + C_2$ and $C_2 + C_3 + C_4 + C_5 + C_6 + C_7 + C_8$

Some submer are the space of the space of $X = C_1 + C_2 + C_3$

The Berte subgreen equation 1865 (s also subvert in

> Further, the thermal stability of stacking (d) of $PN-M_2CO_2$ vdWHs, is verified using AMID simulation with $3 \times 3 \times 1$ supercell, (see top view of the structures, before and after heating in Fig. 4). It is clear that after heating for 5 ps at 1 fs time stops at 300 K, all six of the most stable configuration patterns of PN-M₂CO₂ vdWHs show no broken bonds (Fig. 4, column 3), while free energy oscillates slightly (Fig. 4, column 2), and hence confirms the thermal stability of understudy vdWHs. Therefore, stacking (d) is the most stable stacking configuration and is further investigated in detail.

> The behavior of the bandstructure and bandgap values are dependent on exchange correlation functionals.⁷⁴ Therefore, we have calculated the bandstructure of $PN-M_2CO_2$ vdWHs using both PBE and HSE06 functionals, see Fig. 5, with the bandgap values listed in Table 3. One can see that HSE06 band gap values are larger than those of the PBE method, as the PBE approach underestimates the band gap values of semiconductors. For PN-

Fig. 3 Possible stacking configurations of PN (P = Ga, Al) and M_2CO_2 (M = Ti, Zr, Hf) vdWHs.

Table 2 Binding energy (E_b in eV) and interlayer distance (d in Å) of the PN (P = Ga, Al)-M₂CO₂ (M = Ti, Zr, Hf) vdWHs in different stacking configurations

Stacking	$GaN-Ti2CO2$	$GaN-Zr_2CO_2$	$GaN-H_2CO_2$	$AlN-Ti2CO2$	AlN- Zr_2CO_2	AlN- Hf_2CO_2
$E_{\rm b}(a)$	-0.808	-0.116	-0.141	-0.739	-0.962	-0.064
d	3.26	3.33	3.37	4.305	3.28	3.289
$E_{\rm b}({\rm b})$	-0.818	-0.157	-0.182	-0.324	-0.359	-0.064
d	3.24	3.246	3.24	3.31	3.3	3.39
$E_{\rm b}(c)$	-0.813	-0.117	-0.003	-0.055	-0.939	-0.064
d	3.27	3.28	3.29	3.28	3.25	3.29
$E_{\rm b}(d)$	-0.833	-0.217	-0.382	-0.884	-1.062	-0.104
d	3.05	3.21	3.19	3.24	3.22	3.23

Table 3 Lattice constant (a in Å), bandgap (E_g in eV), potential difference (ΔV in eV), work function (ϕ in eV), effective mass ($m_{\rm e}^*$ and $m_{\rm h}^*$), conduction and valence band edges (E_{CB} and E_{VB} in eV) of PN-M₂CO₂ (P = Ga, Al; M = Ti, Zr, Hf) vdWHs

 $Ti_2CO_2(GaN-Zr_2CO_2)$ and PN-H f_2CO_2) vdWHs, the VBM lies at the $K-M(\Gamma)$ -point and the CBM at the $\Gamma(K-M)$ -point of the first BZ. For AlN-Zr₂CO₂, both the VBM and CBM lie at the K–M point of the first BZ. These results show that all the vdWHs are indirect bandgap semiconductors, where electrons must undergo a significant change in momentum for a photon of energy (E_g) to create an electron–hole pair.⁷⁵ In the case of indirect bandgap semiconductors, the electron interacts with the photon (phonon) to gain (gain or lose) energy (momentum). Hence, the position of the CBM and VBM can be aligned using suitable light according to the bandgap, and a transition is possible at lower energy using phonons, making them useful for laser applications.⁷⁶–⁷⁸

To check the atomic states in the CBM/VBM at the Fermi level and band alignment, we plotted the partial density of states (PDOS) for PN-M₂CO₂ (P = Ga, Al; M = Hf, Ti and Zr) vdWHs, see Fig. 6. In the case of $PN-Ti₂CO₂$, the VBM (CBM) is from the N_P (Ti_d) state of the PN(Ti₂CO₂) monolayer confirming type-II band alignment. The relative band position allows the mobility of electron (holes) from the conduction (valence) band of $Ti_2CO_2(PN)$ to the conduction (valence) band of the PN(Ti₂-CO2) monolayer, offering a potential technique for charge separation. Hence, $PN-Ti₂CO₂$ vdWHs are promising candidates

Fig. 4 Geometrical structure before heating (first column), with fluctuating energy (second column) and after heating (third column) of: (a) GaN- Ti_2CO_2 , (b) GaN-Zr₂CO₂, (c) GaN-Hf₂CO₂, (d) AlN-Ti₂CO₂, (e) AlN-Zr₂CO₂ and (f) AlN-Hf₂CO₂ vdWHs using AIMD simulation.

for solar energy conversion.⁷⁹ In the case of PN-Zr₂CO₂(Hf₂CO₂), the VBM and CBM is due to the C_p state and the Zr/H f_d state, indicating type-I band alignment, as the CBM and VBM is from the same monolayer. Both the electron and hole from the PN is transported to $Zr_2CO_2(Hf_2CO_2)$, so would not provide good charge separation. However, since the Fermi level of semiconductors tune the band alignment (for non-intrinsic semiconductors), the carrier (electrons and holes) movement can be hindered in a certain direction (depending on the internal electric field direction); that is, electrons may be transported to $Zr_2CO_2(Hf_2CO_2)$, but hole movement to $Zr_2CO_2(Hf_2CO_2)$ is hindered. Hence, the resulting charge separation makes the materials the best candidates for light emission applications.⁸⁰

The average electrostatic potential shown in Fig. 7 shows that in the case of the $PN-Ti_2CO_2$ $(PN-Zr_2CO_2)$ vdWH, the $PN(Zr_2CO_2)$ monolayer has deeper potential than the Ti₂CO₂(-PN) monolayer, indicating that charge is transferred from the $Ti_2CO_2(PN)$ monolayer to the $PN(Zr_2CO_2)$ monolayer. Meanwhile, in the case of GaN-Hf₂CO₂ (AlN-Hf₂CO₂), the Hf₂CO₂(AlN) monolayer has deeper potential than $GaN(Hf_2CO_2)$, showing that charge is transferred from $\text{GaN}(\text{Hf}_2\text{CO}_2)$ to $\text{Hf}_2\text{CO}_2(\text{AlN})$.

The potential drop across the vdWHs (see Table 3) separates charge carriers (electron and holes) at the interface.^{81,82} Fabrication of the vdWHs enhances the electronic properties of the corresponding monolayers, hence effectively modulating the work function (ϕ) . It also changes with strain and electric field engineering and doping.⁸³ So, we have calculated the work function ($\phi = E_{\text{vec}} - E_{\text{F}}$, where E_{vec} (E_{F}) represent the vacuum potential which is derived from the electrostatic potential (Fermi energy)) for all PN-M₂CO₂ (P = Ga, Al; M = Ti, Zr, Hf) vdWHs, see Fig. 7 and Table 3. The calculated work function of PN-M2CO2 vdWHs is almost the average of the corresponding monolayers (PN (P = Ga, Al) and M_2CO_2 (M = Ti, Zr, Hf)), which is efficient for the transfer of charge. The effective mass of the electron and holes for PN-M₂CO₂(P = Ga, Al; M = Ti, Zr, Hf) vdWHs are also calculated and are presented in Table 3. Materials with lower effective mass and high carrier mobility, are strongly preferred for high performance electronic devices,⁸⁴ while a large effective mass greatly suppresses the quantum tunnelling, hence these materials are more appealing for logic devices.⁸⁵ For AlN-Ti₂CO₂ the effective mass of electrons and holes is greater and is suggested for logic devices, while for the

Fig. 5 PBE (blue) and HSE06 (green) band structures of (a) GaN-Ti₂CO₂, (b) GaN-Zr₂CO₂, (c) GaN-Hf₂CO₂, (d) AlN-Ti₂CO₂, (e) AlN-Zr₂CO₂ and (f) AlN-Hf₂CO₂ vdWHs.

rest of the vdWHs the effective mass of electrons and holes is less than $AlN-Ti₂CO₂$, and is considered to be the best for high performance electronic devices.

The optical properties of materials define how materials react to incident electromagnetic radiation. We used DFT-PBE simulations to calculate optical features of $PN-M_2CO_2$ (P = Al, Ga; $M = Ti$, Zr , Hf) vdWHs such as the imaginary part of the dielectric function ($\varepsilon_2(\omega)$ in Fig. 8) and absorption coefficients $(\alpha(\omega)$ in Fig. 9). The observed excitonic peaks appear at 2.0 (1.9) eV and 2.8 (2.2) eV for AlN-Ti₂CO₂ (GaN-Ti₂CO₂) vdWHs; at 2.2 (2.2) eV and 3.7 (3.8) eV for AlN-Zr₂CO₂ (GaN-Zr₂CO₂) vdWHs; at 2.7 (2.2) eV and 3.7 (2.3) eV for AlN-Hf₂CO₂ (GaN-

 Hf_2CO_2) vdWHs. A red (blue) shift is observed in the position of excitonic peaks from AlN to GaN in $PN-Ti_2CO_2$ and $PN-Hf_2CO_2$ $(PN-Zr₂CO₂)$ vdWHs. Furthermore, absorption coefficient α (μ m⁻¹) provides the photon power attenuation when it passes through the material. It also tells us how far light of specific energy and wavelength can spike the surface of the material before being absorbed.^{86,87} Although absorption is mainly determined by $\varepsilon_2(\omega)$, this generalization is obviously not valid if the medium has very large $\alpha(\omega)$.⁸⁸ Therefore, we have further calculated the $\alpha(\omega)$ of PN-M₂CO₂ (P = Ga, Al; M = Ti, Zr, Hf) vdWHs, as presented in Fig. 9, using the Lanczos program to solve the recursively quantum Liouville equation in the

Fig. 6 PDOS of (a) GaN-Ti₂CO₂, (b) GaN-Zr₂CO₂, (c) GaN-Hf₂CO₂, (d) AlN-Ti₂CO₂, (e) AlN-Zr₂CO₂ and (f) AlN-Hf₂CO₂ vdWHs.

Fig. 7 Average electrostatic potential of (a) GaN-Ti₂CO₂, (b) GaN-Zr₂CO₂, (c) GaN-Hf₂CO₂, (d) AlN-Ti₂CO₂, (e) AlN-Zr₂CO₂ and (f) AlN-Hf₂CO₂ vdWHs. The work function (ϕ) and potential drop (ΔV) are highlighted.

standard batch representation, which allows us to avoid interactions and multiplications of large matrices.⁸⁹ The obtained values for $\alpha(\omega)$ show that there is significant absorption for photon energies above 2 eV for AlN-Zr₂CO₂, GaN-Ti₂CO₂ and $PN-Hf_2CO_2$, making them candidates with a good optical profile. While in the case of AlN-Ti₂CO₂ and GaN-Zr₂CO₂, the absorption is for photon energies above 1.8 eV. It is straight forward to understand the difference in spectra resulting from the bandstructure.⁹⁰

To utilize solar energy for environmentally friendly applications, it is necessary to design novel materials with visible light

absorption. Therefore, to explore the ability of $PN-M_2CO_2$ (P = Al, Ga; $M = Ti$, Zr, Hf) vdWHs for water splitting, we calculated the photocatalytic properties by using Mullikan electronegativity.⁹¹–⁹³ The conduction band (CB) and valence band (VB) edge position of the semiconductors are the two most important parameters to determine photocatalytic activity using under-stimulated sunlight irradiation.⁹⁴ We denote it as H^{\dagger}/H_{2} for reduction and O_2/H_2O for oxidation, as shown in Fig. 10. If E_{CB} is positioned more negatively than the energy of the H_2 /⁺ potential and the E_{VB} is more positive than the energy of the $O_2/$ $H₂O$ potential, then water molecules can be easily split into $H₂$

Fig. 8 $\varepsilon_2(\omega)$ of (a) GaN-Ti₂CO₂, (b) GaN-Zr₂CO₂, (c) GaN-Hf₂CO₂, (d) AlN-Ti₂CO₂, (e) AlN-Zr₂CO₂ and (f) AlN-Hf₂CO₂ vdWHs.

Fig. 9 $\alpha(\omega)$ of (a) AIN-Ti₂CO₂, AIN-Zr₂CO₂ and AIN-Hf₂CO₂ and (b) GaN-Ti₂CO₂, GaN-Zr₂CO₂, GaN-Hf₂CO₂, vdWHs.

Fig. 10 Band alignment for the valence band (VB) and conduction band (CB) edge of (a) GaN-Ti₂CO₂, (b) GaN-Zr₂CO₂, (c) GaN-Hf₂CO₂, (d) AlN- $Ti₂CO₂$, (e) AlN-Zr₂CO₂ and (f) AlN-Hf₂CO₂, vdWHs.

and O_2 .⁹⁵ In GaN-Zr₂CO₂, GaN-Hf₂CO₂, AlN-Zr₂CO₂, and AlN- Hf_2CO_2 , the E_{CB} is positioned more negatively than the energy of the H_2/H^+ potential, and can split water into H_2 . Similarly, if the E_{VB} is more positive than the energy of the O_2/H_2O potential, then the water molecule can easily split into O_2 . Similarly, GaN- Ti_2CO_2 and AlN-Ti₂CO₂ have the ability to produce O₂ while E_{CB} has a positive value and is unable to produce H_2 due to the narrow bandgap nature. All these findings demonstrate that PN-

 M_2CO_2 (P = Al, Ga; M = Ti, Zr, Hf) vdWHs are the best candidates for photocatalytic water splitting and provide a guideline for designing high performance nanoelectronic, optoelectronic and photocatalytic device applications.

IV. Conclusions

Using DFT, the calculated electronic band structure and photocatalytic performance of PN (P= Ga, Al) and M_2CO_2 (M = Ti,

Paper **Nanoscale Advances Paper** Nanoscale Advances **Nanoscale Advances**

Zr, Hf) monolayers show the potential of such materials in photocatalytic applications. Based on the same hexagonal symmetry and experimentally achievable lattice mismatch of PN $(P = Ga, Al)$ with M_2CO_2 $(M = Ti, Zr, Hf)$ monolayers, we have fabricated PN- M_2CO_2 vdWHs. The thermal stability of PN- $M₂CO₂$ vdWHs are confirmed by calculating the binding energies, interlayer distance and AIMD, which demonstrate that these materials can easily be fabricated experimentally. All the $PN-M_2CO_2$ vdWHs are indirect bandgap semiconductors, while Type-II^[-I] band alignment is obtained for $GAN(AIN)$ -Ti₂CO₂[-GaN(AlN)- Zr_2CO_2 and GaN(AlN)- Hf_2CO_2] vdWHs. The PN- Ti_2CO_2 (PN-Zr₂CO₂) vdWH, PN(Zr₂CO₂) monolayer, have greater potential than the $Ti_2CO_2(PN)$ monolayer, indicating that charge is transferred from the $Ti_2CO_2(PN)$ to $PN(Zr_2CO_2)$ monolayer, hence, the potential drop at the interface separates charge carriers (electron and holes). The work function and effective mass of the carriers of $PN-M_2CO_2$ vdWHs are also calculated and presented. For the AlN-Ti₂CO₂ effective mass of electrons and holes is greater, and is hence suggested for logic devices, while for the rest of the vdWHs, the effective mass of electrons and holes are lower. Based on $\varepsilon_2(\omega)$ $\alpha(\omega)$, a red (blue) shift is observed in the position of excitonic peaks from AlN to GaN in PN-Ti₂CO₂ and PN-Hf₂CO₂ (PN-Zr₂CO₂) vdWHs, while a significant absorption for photon energies above 2 eV for AlN- Zr_2CO_2 , GaN-Ti₂CO₂ and PN-Hf₂CO₂, make them candidates with a good optical profile. The calculated photocatalytic properties demonstrate that $PN-M_2CO_2$ (P = Al, Ga; M = Ti, Zr, Hf) vdWHs are the best candidates for photocatalytic water splitting and provide a guideline for experimentalist to design high performance nanoelectronics, optoelectronic and photocatalytic device applications. Paper Manosclavers show the poornial of such materials in \pm 5. Schedin, A. K. Geim, S. V. Morozov, E. W. Hill, P. Blake, microscore, E. W. Hill, P. Blake, microscore, E. W. Hill, P. Blake, microscore, E. W. Hill, P. Bl

Conflicts of interest

There is no conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgements

This research was funded by the Princess Nourah bint Abdulrahman University Researchers, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia, with supporting project number (PNURSP2023R71). The authors extend their sincere appreciation to Princess Nourah bint Abdulrahman University.

References

- 1 M. G. Walter, E. L. Warren, J. R. McKone, S. W. Boettcher, Q. Mi, E. A. Santori and N. S. Lewis, Chem. Rev., 2010, 110, 6446.
- 2 T. Hisatomi, J. Kubota and K. Domen, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2014, 43, 7520.
- 3 K. Maeda and K. Domen, J. Phys. Chem. C, 2007, 111, 7851.
- 4 F. E. Osterloh, Chem. Mater., 2008, 20, 35.
- 5 B. Huang and J. N. Hart, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys., 2020, 22, 1727.
- 6 F. Schedin, A. K. Geim, S. V. Morozov, E. W. Hill, P. Blake, M. L. Katsnelson and K. S. Novoselov, Nat. Mater., 2007, 6, 652.
- 7 Y. Li, Y. L. Li, B. Sa and R. Ahuja, Catal. Sci. Technol., 2017, 7, 545.
- 8 K. Xu, L. Wang, X. Xu, S. X. Dou, W. Hao and Y. Du, Energy Storage Mater., 2019, 19, 446.
- 9 S. A. Khan, Phys. Chem. Cem. Phys., 2017, 19, 14738–14744.
- 10 M. Khazaei, A. Ranjbar, M. Arai, T. Sasaki and S. Yunoki, J. Mater. Chem. C, 2017, 5, 2488.
- 11 B. Fu, j. Sun, C. Wang, C. Shang, L. Xu, J. Li and H. Zhang, Small, 2021, 17, 2006054.
- 12 L. Wang, M. Han, C. E. Shuck, X. Wang and Y. Gogotsi, Nano Energy, 2021, 88, 106308.
- 13 H. Huang, R. Jiang, Y. Feng, H. Ouyang, N. Zhou, X. Zhang and Y. Wei, Nanoscale, 2020, 12, 1325.
- 14 W. Wu, H. Fang, H. Ma, L. Wu, W. Zhang and H. Wang, Nano-Micro Lett., 2021, 13, 1.
- 15 B. Ahmed, A. E. Ghazaly and J. Rosen, Adv. Funct. Mater., 2020, 30, 2000894.
- 16 X. Xu, Y. Zhang, H. Sun, J. Zhou, F. Yang, H. Li and Z. Peng, Adv. Electron. Mater., 2021, 7, 2000967.
- 17 F. Ming, H. Liang, G. Huang, Z. Bayhan and H. N. Alshareef, Adv. Mater., 2021, 33, 2004039.
- 18 W. Li, C. Zhuang, Y. Li, C. Gao, W. Jiang, Z. Sun and K. Qi, Ceram, 2021, 47(15), 21769.
- 19 M. R. Lukatskaya, S. Kota, Z. Lin, M. Q. Zhao, N. Shpigel, M. D. Levi, J. Halim, P. L. Taberna, M. W. Barsoum and P. Simon, Nat. Energy, 2017, 2, 17105.
- 20 N. Zhou, R. Yang and T. Zhai, Mater. Today Nano, 2019, 8, 100051.
- 21 Y. X. Chen, K. L. Liu, J. X. Liu, T. R. Lv, B. Wei, T. Zhang, M. Q. Zeng, Z. C. Wang and L. Fu, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2018, 140(48), 16392.
- 22 X. Zhou, M. M. Wu, J. Zhou and Q. Sun, Appl. Phys. Lett., 2009, 94, 103105.
- 23 Y. Li, Z. Zhou, P. Shen, S. B. Zhang and Z. Chen, Nanotechnology, 2009, 20, 215701.
- 24 Z. Wang, G. Wang, X. Liu, S. Wang, T. Wang and L. Zhang, J. Mater. Chem. C, 2021, 9, 17201.
- 25 Z. Y. Balushi, K. Wang, R. K. Ghosh, R. A. Vilá, S. M. Eichfeld and J. A. Robinson, Nat. Mater., 2016, 15, 1166.
- 26 Y. Duan, L. Qin, L. Shi, G. Tang and H. Shi, Appl. Phys. Lett., 2012, 100, 022104.
- 27 Z. Qin, G. Qin, X. Zuo, Z. Xiong and M. Hu, Nanoscale, 2017, 9, 4295.
- 28 Q. Peng, X. J. Chen, S. Liu and S. De, RSC Adv., 2013, 3, 7083.
- 29 H. Shu, X. Niu, X. Ding and Y. Wang, Appl. Surf. Sci., 2019, 479, 475.
- 30 C. Xu, L. Xue, C. Yin and G. Wang, Phys. Status Solidi A, 2003, 198, 329.
- 31 S. Hersee, X. Sun and X. Wang, Nano Lett., 2006, 6, 1808.
- 32 X. Zhang, Z. Liu and S. Hark, Solid State Commun., 2007, 143, 317.
- 33 B. Liu, W. Yang, J. Li, X. Zhang, P. Niu and X. Jiang, Nano Lett., 2017, 17, 3195.
- 34 C. W. Zhang, J. Appl. Phys., 2012, 111, 043702.
- 35 S. Bhattacharya, A. Datta, S. Dhara and D. Chakravorty, J. Raman Spectrosc., 2011, 42, 429.
- 36 A. K. Geim and I. V. Grigorieva, Nature, 2013, 499, 419.
- 37 K. S. Novoselov, O. A. Mishchenko, O. A. Carvalho and A. H. Castro Neto, Science, 2016, 353, 9439.
- 38 Q. Peng, C. Si, J. Zhou and Z. Sun, Appl. Surf. Sci., 2019, 480, 199.
- 39 L. Jiao, C. Zhang, C. Geng, S. Wu, H. Li, W. Lv, Y. Tao, Z. Chen, G. Zhou, J. Li and G. Ling, Adv. Energy Mater., 2019, 9, 1900219.
- 40 M. Q. Zhao, N. Trainor, C. E. Ren, M. Torelli, B. Anasori and Y. Gogotsi, Adv. Mater. Technol., 2019, 4, 1800639.
- 41 X. Shen, X. Huang, H. Wang and H. Zhan, Solid State Commun., 2022, 346, 114720.
- 42 A. Abid, M. Idrees, H. U. Din, Q. Alam, B. Amin and M. Haneef, Mater. Today Commun., 2021, 26, 101702.
- 43 M. Munawar, M. Idrees, I. Ahmad, H. U. Din and B. Amin, RSC Adv., 2022, 12, 42.
- 44 H. P. Lee, J. Perozek, L. D. Rosario and C. Bayram, Sci. Rep., 2016, 6(1), 1–10.
- 45 R. Sivasamy, K. Paredes-Gil and F. Quero, Phys. E., 2022, 135, 114994.
- 46 X. Wang, G. Fabi, R. Chaudhuri, A. Hickman, M. J. Asadi, K. Nomoto and J. C. Hwang, Appl. Phys. Lett., 2022, 120, 012103.
- 47 H. Shu, Mater. Sci. Eng., B, 2020, 261, 114672.
- 48 G. Wang, W. Tang, L. Geng, Y. Li, B. Wang, J. Chang and H. Yuan, Phys. Status Solidi B, 2020, 257(3), 1900663.
- 49 X. Liu, Z. Zhang, Z. Luo, B. Lv and Z. Ding, Nanomaterials, 2019, 9, 1674.
- 50 L. Meng, Q. Huang, C. Liu, H. Li, W. Yan, Q. Zhao and X. Yan, Chem. Phys. Lett., 2021, 781, 138989.
- 51 R. Zhang, Y. Zhang, X. Wei, T. Guo, J. Fan and L. Duan, Appl. Surf. Sci., 2020, 528, 146782.
- 52 M. Zhang, R. Si, X. Wu, Y. Dong, K. Fu, X. Xu and Y. Guo, J. Mater. Sci.: Mater. Electron., 2021, 32(14), 19368–19379.
- 53 K. Ren, R. Zheng, P. Xu, D. Cheng, W. Huo, J. Yu and Q. Sun, Nanomaterials, 2021, 11(9), 2236.
- 54 I. Shahid, S. Ahmad, N. Shehzad, S. Yao, C. V. Nguyen, L. Zhang and Z. Zhou, Appl. Surf. Sci., 2020, 523, 146483.
- 55 X. Zhang, A. Chen, Z. Zhang, M. Jiao and Z. Zhou, Nanoscale Adv., 2019, 1, 154–161.
- 56 X. Zhang, Z. Zhang, D. Wu, X. Zhang, X. Zhao and Z. Zhou, Small Methods, 2018, 2, 1700359.
- 57 W. Kohn and L. J. Sham, Phys. Rev., 1965, 140, A1133.
- 58 G. Kresse and J. Hafner, Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter, 1993, 47, 558.
- 59 J. P. Perdew, K. Burke and M. Ernzerhof, Phys. Rev. Lett., 1996, 77, 3865.
- 60 J. Heyd, G. E. Scuseria and M. Ernzerhof, J. Chem. Phys., 2006, 124, 219906.
- 61 R. Yuan, J. A. Napoli, C. Yan, O. Marsalek, T. E. Markland and M. D. Fayer, ACS Cent. Sci., 2019, 5, 1269.
- 62 N. Khossossi, Y. Benhouria, S. R. Naqvi, P. K. Panda, I. Essaoudi, A. Ainane and R. Ahuja, Energy Fuels, 2020, 4(9), 4538.
- 63 X. Jiang, P. Wang and J. Zhao, J. Mater. Chem. A, 2015, 3(15), 7750.
- 64 X. Leng, F. Jin, M. Wei and Y. Ma, Wiley Interdiscip. Rev.: Comput. Mol. Sci., 2016, 6(5), 532–550.
- 65 J. Pešić and R. Gajić, Opt. Quantum Electron., 2016, 48, 1-7.
- 66 P. Giannozzi, S. Baroni, N. Bonini, M. Calandra, R. Car, C. Cavazzoni, D. Ceresoli, G. L. Chiarotti, M. Cococcioni, I. Dabo, A. D. Corso, S. D. Gironcoli, S. Fabris, G. Fratesi, R. Gebauer, U. Gerstmann, C. Gougoussis, A. Kokalj, M. Lazzeri, L. M. Samos, N. Marzari, F. Mauri, R. Mazzarello, S. Paolini, A. Pasquarello, L. Paulatto, C. Sbraccia, S. Scandolo, G. Sclauzero, A. P. Seitsonen, A. Smogunov, P. Umari and R. M. Wentzcovitch, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter, 2009, 21, 395502. Nanoscale Advances

35 S. Bhattachton, A. Duras, a.
	- 67 S. Y. Vaselnia, M. K. Aminian and H. Motahari, J. Phys. Chem. Solids, 2020, 138, 109244.
	- 68 M. A. Marques and E. K. Gross, Annu. Rev. Phys. Chem., 2004, 55, 427–455.
	- 69 K. Ren, S. Wang, Y. Luo, J. P. Chou, J. Yu, W. Tang and M. Sun, J. Phys. D: Appl. Phys., 2020, 53, 185504.
	- 70 M. Liao, P. Nicolini, L. Du, J. Yuan, S. Wang, H. Yu, J. Tang, P. Cheng, K. Watanabe, T. Taniguchi, L. Gu, V. Claerbout, A. Silva, D. Kramer, T. Polcar, R. Yang, D. Shi and G. Zhang, Nat. Mater., 2022, 21, 47.
	- 71 B. Bhattacharya and U. Sarkar, Chem. Phys., 2016, 478, 73.
	- 72 N. Kharche, Y. Zhou, K. P. OBrien, S. Kar and S. K. Nayak, ACS Nano, 2011, 5, 6096.
	- 73 H. U. Din, M. Idrees, A. Albar, M. Shafiq, I. Ahmad, C. V. Nguyen and B. Amin, Phys. Rev. B, 2019, 100, 165425.
	- 74 F. Tran and P. Blaha, Phys. Rev. Lett., 2009, 102, 226401.
	- 75 Q. Wang and K. Domen, Chem. Rev., 2020, 120, 919–985.
	- 76 V. D. Ganesan, J. Linghu, C. Zhang, Y. P. Feng and L. Shen, Appl. Phys. Lett., 2016, 108, 122105.
	- 77 M. Tangi, P. Mishra, M.-Y. Li, M. K. Shakfa, D. H. Anjum, M. N. Hedhili, T. K. Ng, L.-J. Li and B. S. Ooi, Appl. Phys. Lett., 2017, 111, 092104.
	- 78 J. Meng, J. Wang, Q. Li and J. Yang, J. Mater. Chem. A, 2022, 10, 3443.
	- 79 J. Hwang, A. J. Martin, J. M. Millunchick and J. D. Phillips, J. Appl. Phys., 2012, 111, 074514.
	- 80 M. Z. Bellus, M. Li, S. D. Lane, F. Ceballos, Q. Cui, X. C. Zengand and H. Zhao, Nanoscale Horiz., 2017, 2, 31.
	- 81 X. H. Li, B. J. Wang, G. D. Wang, X. F. Yang, R. Q. Zhao and H. S. Ke, Sustainable Energy Fuels, 2021, 5(8), 2249.
	- 82 M. Idrees, C. N. Nguyen, H. D. Bui, I. Ahmad and B. Amin, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys., 2020, 22(36), 20704.
	- 83 X. Li, F. Jia, J. Du, X. Song, C. Xia, Z. Wei and J. Li, J. Mater. Chem. C, 2018, 6(37), 10010.
	- 84 Y. Liu, X. Duan, H. J. Shin, S. Park, Y. Huang and X. Duan, Nature, 2021, 591, 43.
	- 85 Y. Liu, X. Duan, Y. Huang and X. Duan, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2018, 47, 6388.
	- 86 F. Bassani, G. P. Parravicini, R. A. Ballinger and J. L. Birman, Phys. Today, 1976, 29(3), 58c.
	- 87 M. Barhoumi, I. Said, N. Sfina, N. K. Al Saleem and T. Ghrib, Mater. Chem. Phys., 2022, 286, 126158.
- 88 M. Fox, Optical Properties of Solids, Oxford University Press, 2001.
- 89 I. Timrov, N. Vast, R. Gebauer and S. Baroni, Comput. Phys. Commun., 2015, 196, 460.
- 90 M. D. Scafetta, A. M. Cordi, J. M. Rondinelli and S. J. May, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter, 2014, 26, 505502.
- 91 M. J. Fang, C. W. Tsao and Y. J. Hsu, J. Phys. D: Appl. Phys., 2020, 53, 143001.
- 92 J. J. Liu, X. L. Fu, S. F. Chen and Y. F. Zhu, Appl. Phys. Lett., 2011, 99, 191903.
- 93 H. L. Zhuang and R. G. Hennig, Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys., 2013, 88, 115314. Puper
 Open Access Articles
 Open Access Article. Published on 02 February 2023. Downloaded on 3/11/2025

Commons, 2015, 194, 460.
 Commons, 2015, 194, 460.
 Open Commons, 2015, 194, 460.
 Open Commons Access Arti
	- 94 M. J. Islam, D. A. Reddy, J. Choi and T. K. Kim, RSC Adv., 2016, 6, 19341.
	- 95 M. Idrees, C. Nguyen, H. Bui, I. Ahmad and B. Amin, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys., 2020, 22, 20704.