Nanoscale Advances

PAPER

Cite this: Nanoscale Adv., 2023, 5, 5570

Received 2nd June 2023 Accepted 5th August 2023

DOI: 10.1039/d3na00379e rsc.li/nanoscale-advances

1 Introduction

Metal organic frameworks (MOFs) are produced by linking inorganic and organic units through strong bonds (lattice synthesis). Metal ions form the nodes that connect the arms of the linker in a repeating cage-like structure. Due to this hollow structure, MOFs have a unique large internal surface area.¹ Nowadays, MOFs are considered to be good candidates for synthesizing supported catalysts, as science and technology today emphasize using sustainable processes and materials.²⁻⁴

Numerous studies have demonstrated the catalytic application and synthesis of different MOF derivatives with postimmobilized metal ions, pre-modified ligands, and metal nanoparticles (NPs). Several post-synthetic modification (PSM) methods have been described for functionalizing the organic carriers and secondary building units (SBUs) of MOFs.⁵

The production and use of dyes in industrial processes have increased dramatically. This causes the formation of wastewater from textile, paint, food, and other industries. Wastewater from these industries is frequently discharged into natural water bodies, causing severe water and environmental pollution and

Pd nanoparticles decorated on a porous Co(BDC-NH2) MOF as an effective heterogeneous catalyst for dye reduction

Hassan Keypour[,](http://orcid.org/0000-0001-9973-241X) \mathbf{D}^* a Jamal Kouhdareh,^a Khadijeh Rabiei, \mathbf{D}^* b İdris Karakaya, \mathbf{D}^c Rahman Karimi-Nami^d and Sedigheh Alavinia^a

Herein, a new catalytic nanocomposite [Co(BDC-NH₂)-Pd NPs] composed of a Co(BDC-NH₂) MOF has been developed. The catalyst was prepared by modifying the synthesized porous Co(BDC-NH₂) MOF with decorated Pd nanoparticles. This nanocatalyst was used as a heterogeneous catalyst in the reductive degradation of organic dyes Rhodamine B and methyl orange with NaBH4. The kinetic and thermodynamic parameters of the reactions were evaluated. The results showed that the low catalyst content could successfully catalyze the dye reduction reaction quickly (1 min). The metal–organic frameworks unique porous morphology of the Co(BDC-NH₂) MOF appears to increase dye adsorption and achieve effective dye reduction. Additionally, recyclability studies of the catalyst confirmed that it could be recovered and reused for 10 consecutive reaction cycles with negligible Pd leaching and reduction in catalytic activity. PAPER

(a) Check for updates
 EVALUATE CONSECT CONSE

seriously threatening the health of humans and other species. The ongoing climate change is also affecting water availability for people around the world. Therefore, advanced treatment and removal of harmful pollutants from municipal and industrial wastewater is becoming increasingly important. Removing dyes from wastewater can be achieved in various ways, including physical, chemical, and biological treatments.⁶

Toxic dyes impair photosynthesis and inhibit plant growth by increasing biochemical and chemical oxygen demand. Moreover, they enter the food chain, causing recalcitrance and bioaccumulation and lowering the aesthetic quality of water bodies, potentially promoting toxicity, mutagenicity, and carcinogenicity. Given the importance of environmental issues and regulations, developing various methods such as discoloration and degradation, photo, electron degradation, and adsorption on potential adsorbents has received significant attention. Therefore, it is imperative to effectively treat dyes containing wastewater using environmentally friendly technologies to avoid negative impacts on the environment, human health, and natural water resources. There is an urgent need to find the most appropriate strategies to successfully degrade or remove dyes from wastewater.⁷⁻¹¹

Methyl orange (MO) and Rhodamine B (RhB) are hazardous dyes in industrial wastewater. One of the most promising approaches is the reductive bleaching of dyes, usually catalyzed by metal catalysts using reducing agents.¹² The metal catalyst is generally stabilized on a support to make the process more efficient. Compared with homogeneous catalysts and unsupported metal nanoparticles, metal nanoparticle structures supported on high surface area supports are preferred in

a Department of Inorganic Chemistry, Faculty of Chemistry, Bu-Ali Sina University, Hamedan, 6517838683, Iran. E-mail: haskey1@yahoo.com

b Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Science, Qom University of Technology, Qom, Iran

c Department of Chemistry, College of Basic Sciences, Gebze Technical University, 41400 Gebze, Turkey

^dDepartment of Chemistry, Faculty of Science, University of Maragheh, Maragheh, 55181-83111, Iran

catalytic applications due to their easy separation, recovery, and relatively better reactivity.13,14 Various metal nanoparticles, such as Ni,¹⁵ Cu,¹⁶ and Ti,¹⁷ have been used in different organic reactions. In particular, Pd nanoparticles play a signicant catalytic role by allowing easy contact with the reactants, improving their catalytic power.¹⁸ Notably, Pd nanoparticles can be used to improve and/or discover alternative methods for catalytic reduction/degradation of organic and inorganic pollutants in water/wastewater.^{19,20} This simplifies the recovery of the catalyst and increases its recyclability.²¹ On the other hand, depending on the support's characteristics, the catalytic process's efficiency can be increased. These disclosures demonstrate the urgency of designing and synthesizing catalysts with properties that will destroy dyes and toxic chemicals in industrial wastewater. Efficiency, stability, and economy are very important points in the design of these catalysts. **Paper**
 Paper
 Calculate Article. Published on 25 September 2023. Downloaded on 2023. The case of

This study initially synthesized a $Co(BDC-NH₂)$ MOF with good catalytic substrate potential. Then, the catalyst [Co(BDC- $NH₂$)-Pd NPs] was modified with decorated Pd nanoparticles and characterized using FT-IR, XRD, SEM, TGA, ICP-OES, EDXS, and BET analytical techniques. Finally, this catalyst was used for the reductive degradation of MO and RhB in aqueous media using NaBH4. To further evaluate the catalytic performance of the nanocomposite [Co(BDC-NH2)-Pd NPs], the kinetic and thermodynamic parameters of the reaction, including activation energy, enthalpy, and entropy of each degradation dye, and leaching and recyclability of Co(BDC-NH2)-Pd NPs were estimated.

2 Experimental

2.1. Catalyst preparation

 $Co(BDC-NH₂)$ 2 was prepared using a published method.²²⁻²⁵ 2-Aminoterephthalic acid (BDC-NH₂) 1 (1.087 g) and cobalt(π) nitrate (0.953 g) were added to a solution of dimethylformamide (DMF) (20 mL), transferred to a Teflon lined stainless steel autoclave and stirred for 30 min, followed by heating at 110 °C for 48 h. Next, $Co(BDC-NH₂)$ 2 was obtained after washing with EtOH and dried overnight at 60 °C. To impregnate Pd nanoparticles on the Co(BDC-NH₂) support, PdCl₂ (50 mg) was dissolved in acetonitrile (10 mL) and gently added to the agitating suspension of $Co(BDC-NH₂)$ 2 (1 g) in acetonitrile (30 mL). The mixture was stirred for 1 h at room temperature. After that, the reduction of Pd was carried out with N aBH₄ in MeOH (10 mL, 0.2 M) under an Ar atmosphere for 1 h. Finally, $[Co(BDC-NH₂)$ -Pd NPs] 3 was collected, rinsed with methanol, and dried at room temperature. The synthesis procedure of $[Co(BDC-NH₂)$ -Pd NPs] 3 is displayed in Scheme 1.

2.2. Catalyst characterization

The Fourier transform infrared (FT-IR) spectroscopy results of $Co(BDC-NH₂)$ 2 and $[Co(BDC-NH₂)-Pd NPs]$ 3 are presented in Fig. 1. The FT-IR spectra of bare $Co(BDC-NH₂)$ 2 (Fig. 1A) show broad peaks at 1500-1600 and 3300-3500 cm^{-1} , regarding the free and uncoordinated $NH₂$ groups. Stretching vibrations of the C–N bond of $H_2BDC-NH_2$ show themselves at 1250 and 1350 cm⁻¹. Shifts in all these peaks, shown in blue, indicate that the attachment of Pd to the structure of the catalyst [Co(BDC-NH2)-Pd NPs] 3 complexes is formed successfully (Fig. 1B). Other characteristic peaks of various parts of the composite overlapped. Other characterization methods were used to prove the formation of the catalyst.

The crystalline structure of synthesized $Co(BDC-NH₂)$ 2 and [Co(BDC-NH₂)-Pd NPs] 3 was investigated via an X-ray diffraction (XRD) technique (Fig. 2). The XRD pattern of bare Co(BDC-NH₂) 2 reveals all characteristics, which prove its crystallinity and successful synthesis (Fig. 2A). The XRD patterns of $Co(BDC-NH₂)$ and $[Co(BDC-NH₂)-Pd NPs]$ 3, respectively, at $2\theta = 11, 12, 17, 18$, and 25°, indicate the preservation of the internal retention based on post synthesis changes of $[Co(BDC-NH₂)-Pd NPs]$ 3, corresponding to standard Bragg reflections (110) , (210) , (230) , and (315) of the face-centered cubic lattice of Pd NPs (Fig. 2B). These spectra also exhibit all the characteristics of $Co(BDC-NH₂)$ 2, with a minor shift to higher 2θ which is a natural result of the composition,²⁶ proving that the MOF preserves its crystalline structure throughout the whole synthesis process.

To check the thermal stability of catalyst $[Co(BDC-NH₂)-Pd$ NPs] 3, a thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) was done where the low weight loss of about 10% at low temperatures is related to the evaporation of solvents adsorbed on the catalyst structure. The organic substance, i.e. BDC-NH₂, which was fixed on the Co(BDC-NH₂) MOF, was decomposed at 250-500 °C, to an extent of 50% for Co(BDC-NH2) MOF 2 and 55% for [Co(BDC- $NH₂$ -Pd NPs] 3. The last weight dissipation, which is less than 5%, may be related to the transformation of the thermal crystal phase of Pd nanoparticles on $[Co(BDC-NH₂)-Pd NPs]$ 3 (Fig. 3).²⁷

 N_2 adsorption/desorption techniques were used to determine the surface structural parameters, and the results are plotted in Fig. 4. The surface area obtained based on the BET isotherm is 125.22 m² g^{-1} , and the total pore volume of the

Scheme 1 Preparation of the $[Co(BDC-NH₂)-Pd NPs]$ 3.

Fig. 1 FT-IR spectroscopy results for Co(BDC-NH₂) 2 (A) and [Co(BDC-NH₂)-Pd NPs] catalyst 3 (B).

Fig. 2 X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns for Co(BDC-NH₂) 2 (A) and [Co(BDC-NH₂)-Pd NPs] catalyst 3 (B).

catalyst is 0.192 $\mathrm{cm^{3}\,g^{-1}}$. The adsorption isotherm is of type III, and the appearance of a hysteresis loop indicates the presence of mesopores in the sample.

Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) is a technique that uses a focused beam of high-energy electrons to identify

nanomaterials that produce distinct signals on the surface of solid samples (Fig. 5). Receiving these signals and processing the information obtained from them causes the electrons to interact with the sample, revealing information about the sample such as external morphology (texture), material orientation, crystal

Fig. 3 Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) for Co(BDC-NH₂) 2 (A) and [Co(BDC-NH₂)-Pd NPs] catalyst 3 (B)

Fig. 4 N_2 adsorption–desorption isotherms for Co(BDC-NH₂) 2 (A) and [Co(BDC-NH₂)-Pd NPs] catalyst 3 (B).

structure, and chemical composition.²⁸ In these images, crystal structures and palladium nanoparticles with modified ligands can be seen on the surfaces of these metal organic frameworks.

An energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDXS) system is an accessory to electron microscope equipment (scanning electron microscope (SEM) or transmission electron microscope (TEM) equipment) and microscopic imaging capabilities. The spectrum generated by EDXS was analyzed with respect to the element peaks that make up the sample composition, giving the types of atoms present and the percentage of those atoms in the sample

structure. The spectra of the synthesized nanocatalysts showed the presence of the elements C, N, O, Co, and Pd, which could signify the success of the desired synthesized complex (Fig. 6).²⁹

2.3. Reductive degradation of dyes

The catalytic degradation of dyes was carried out according to a classical procedure.³⁰–³² MO and RhB were used as organic dyes, and $[Co(BDC-NH₂)-Pd NPs]$ 3 and NaBH₄ were employed as the catalyst and reducing agent, respectively. NaBH₄ (0.3 mL, 0.1 M) and optimal levels of catalyst and dye (3 mL) were mixed

Fig. 5 Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) images for [Co(BDC-NH₂)-Pd NPs] catalyst 3

Fig. 6 Energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDXS) results for [Co(BDC-NH2)-Pd NPs] catalyst 3.

and stirred at different temperatures. According to the results of the spectra UV visible light, [Co(BDC-NH2)-Pd NPs] 3 was collected, washed several times with EtOH: H_2O (1:1), and dried.

3 Results and discussion

3.1. Catalytic activity

To study the activity of $[Co(BDC-NH₂)$ Pd NPs] 3 catalyst, it was decided to use NaBH4 for the reductive degradation of RhB and MO. Initially, the optimal loading of catalyst [Co(BDC-NH₂)-Pd NPs] 3 for the reduction of each dye was evaluated (Table 1). Experimental data confirmed that the optimal catalyst loading [Co(BDC-NH₂)-Pd NPs] 3 for each dye differed. A lower catalyst content [Co(BDC-NH2)-Pd NPs] 3 (10 mg) was required for MO reduction compared to RhB (15 mg).

Then, after the reduction process, the UV-vis spectrum of the dye was obtained as a function of time (Fig. 7). As proved by the decrement and disappearance of the distinctive bands of RhB $(\lambda_{\text{max}} = 550 \text{ nm})$ and MO $(\lambda_{\text{max}} = 465 \text{ nm})$, both dyes are

Fig. 7 UV-vis spectra for reduction of MO (A) and RhB (B) dyes under $[Co(BDC-NH₂)-Pd NPs]$ 3.

Fig. 8 The diagrams of ln k versus 1/T for reductive degradation of RhB (A) and MO (B) at different temperatures

degraded in aqueous media in the presence of NaBH₄ and low content of $[Co(BDC-NH₂)-Pd NPs]$ in a very short reaction time (about 1 min).

The reduction rate constant (k_{app}) was calculated for each dye in the next step. According to the literature, the mechanism of this process is assumed to be the Eley–Rideal mechanism.33,34 Considering pseudo-first-order kinetics,³⁵ the reduction of each dye was performed at four different reaction temperatures (298, 303, 308, and 313 K). The equation of k_{app} can be evaluated using the equation below (eqn (1)).

Fig. 9 The diagrams of ln(k/T) versus 1/T for reductive degradation of RhB (A) and MO (B) at different temperatures.

Fig. 10 The reductive degradation of RhB and MO in the presence of [Co(BDC-NH₂)-Pd NPs] 3.

$$
\ln C_t/C_0 = \ln A_t/A_0 = -k_{\text{app}}t \tag{1}
$$

In this equation, C_t is the dye concentration at time = t, and C_0 is the initial concentration. The concentration can be derived from the amount of dye absorbance at its λ_{max} ; that is, ln C_t/C_0 equals $\ln A_t/A_0$. Hence, the k_{app} value is simply obtained from the slope of the $ln(A_t/A_0)$ versus t (s) plot (Table 2, table caption: "Kinetic and thermodynamic parameters of the reduction of RhB and MO in the presence of $[Co(BDC-NH₂)-Pd NPs]$ 3"). As listed, upon increasing the reaction temperature, the k_{app} value increased. This is justified by the more effective collision of the reagents at higher temperatures.

Then, to estimate the activation energy (E_a) for the reductive degradation of MO and RhB, the Arrhenius equation (eqn (2)) was applied.

$$
\ln k = \ln A - (E_a/RT) \tag{2}
$$

With a value of k , E_a is obtained from the slope of $\ln k$ as a function of $1/T$ (Fig. 8 and Table 2). Applying the Eyring equation (eqn (3)), the thermodynamic parameters ($\Delta S^{\#}$ and $\Delta H^{#}$) of the reduction degradation reaction for both dyes are assessed.

$$
\ln(k/T) = \ln(k_B/h) + \Delta S^{\#}/R - \Delta H^{\#}/R(1/T)
$$
 (3)

Since Boltzmann's constant (k_B) and Planck's constant (h) are constant values, $\Delta H^{\#}$ and $\Delta S^{\#}$ can be measured from the slope.

Table 2 Kinetic and thermodynamic parameters of the reduction of RhB and MO in the presence of $[Co(BDC-NH₂)-Pd NPs]$ 3

Dye	T(K)	k (min^{-1})	$E_{\rm a}$ $(kJ \text{ mol}^{-1})$	$\Delta H^\#$ $(kJ \text{ mol}^{-1})$	$\Delta S^{\#}$ $(I \mod K^{-1})$
RhB	298	0.05	11.9	10.7	-89.9
	303	0.05			
	308	0.05			
	313	0.06			
MO	298	0.03	38.2	34.9	-154.3
	303	0.05			
	308	0.06			
	313	0.07			

Table 3 Comparison of various catalysts in the reduction of RhB and MO

The sequester of the plot of $ln(k/T)$ versus $1/T$, respectively (Fig. 9). As summarized in Table 2, the $\Delta H^{\#}$ values for RhB and MO reduction were 10.7 and 34.9 J mol K^{-1} , respectively. The $\Delta S^{\#}$ values for RhB and MO reduction were estimated to be -89.9 and -154.3 J mol K⁻¹, respectively.

Considering the previous reports, the proposed mechanism of [Co(BDC-NH2)-Pd NPs] 3 assisted dye degradation can be explained as follows.²¹ Initially, NaBH₄ dissociates to generate

borohydride ions, which are adsorbed on $[Co(BDC-NH₂)-Pd$ NPs] 3 surfaces (Fig. 10). In addition to borohydride ions, RhB or MO are also adsorbed via non-covalent interactions such as π – π stacking. In the next step, the generated hydride ions are transferred to the dyes on the surface of the catalyst and facilitate reduction. Finally, the $[Co(BDC-NH₂)-Pd NPs]$ 3 catalyst is desirable for degrading the mentioned pigments.

Fig. 12 X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns of fresh and reused [Co(BDC-NH₂)-Pd NPs] catalyst 3.

The efficiency of $[Co(BDC-NH₂)-Pd NPs]$ 3 was determined by comparison with other catalytic systems (Table 3). The time to complete the reaction is much less than with other catalysts, which is one of the advantages of the mentioned catalyst.

In addition to the catalytic activity of $[Co(BDC-NH₂)-PdNPs]$ 3, the recyclability, an essential characteristic of heterogeneous catalysts, was also evaluated. Given the importance of this project, the recyclability of the reaction of the two dyes has been questioned. Reassuringly, catalyst recovery is fast and easy due to the heterogeneous structure of $[Co(BDC-NH₂)-Pd NPs]$ 3. After washing and drying under conventional conditions, the recovered $[Co(BDC-NH₂)-Pd NPs]$ 3 was used for the next cycle. The recovered $[Co(BDC-NH₂)$ -Pd NPs] 3 was effective for both reactions and showed no reduction in activity in any of the three reactions (Fig. 11). In these recoveries, a slight loss of [Co(BDC-NH2)-Pd NPs] 3 activity was detected. With this decreasing trend, a decrease in the activity of the ten times recycled $[Co(BDC-NH₂)-Pd NPs]$ 3 catalyst from 100% to 90% was observed in the reduction of MO. After 10 trials, this value was 9% for the reduction of RhB.

The recovery of catalyst $[Co(BDC-NH₂)-Pd NPs]$ 3 in this study indicates a very efficient sequential application. The X-ray diffraction (XRD) (Fig. 12) and SEM (Fig. 13) image of the [Co(BDC-NH2)-Pd NPs] 3 catalyst were studied. The catalyst recovered after synthesis showed stability, and succeeded in preserving its structure. Thus, we can conclude that despite the impurity absorbed into the used catalyst, the original crystalline structure does not change during its use in the reaction. The Pd load in the material was found to be 7.52% and, after recovery, 7.39%, being estimated via the ICP-OES method.

Based on the theoretical and experimental investigations of these modified nanopolymers and the demonstration of their

stability in the reactions, it is clear that this class of compounds can be used more widely.

4 Conclusion

In conclusion, for the preparation of the catalyst, $Co(BDC-NH₂)$ MOF 2 was first synthesized, and then the porous $Co(BDC-NH₂)$ MOF 2 was modified with decorated Pd nanoparticles. The catalytic performance of $[Co(BDC-NH₂)-Pd NPs]$ 3 on NaBH₄ assisted reductive degradation of RhB and MO confirmed that [Co(BDC-NH2)-Pd NPs] 3 with low Pd loading could efficiently degrade the two dyes quickly (in about 1 min). After synthetic and thermodynamic studies, the synthesized catalyst was found to have excellent efficiency and ability to destroy dyes and toxins in wastewater. Since it is a heterogeneous catalyst, it can be easily separated and has a very durable structure. Notably, it has been demonstrated that $[Co(BDC-NH₂)-Pd NPs]$ 3 can be recovered and reused for 10 consecutive reaction cycles with negligible Pd leaching.

Conflicts of interest

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Author contributions

Prof. Hassan Keypour: conceptualization, methodology, resources, visualization, supervision, project administration. Dr Jamal Kouhdareh: validation, investigation, data curation, writing – original draft. Dr Idris Karakaya: validation, investigation, data curation, review & editing. Dr Rahman Karimi-Nami: validation, investigation, data curation, review & editing.

Acknowledgements

No funding source had any role in the design of this study nor did it have any role during its execution, analyses, interpretation of the data, or decision to submit results. The authors thank Bu Ali Sina University for supporting this investigation. Paper
 Acknowledgements
 Action Constraine Action Commons 2.11. Commons 2.200 September 2023. Article is likely the strength and the

References

- 1 H. Keypour, J. Kouhdareh, S. Alavinia, R. Karimi-Nami and ˙I. Karakaya, ACS Omega, 2023, 8(24), 22138–22149.
- 2 H. Keypour, J. Kouhdareh, R. Karimi-Nami, S. Alavinia, I. Karakaya, S. Babaei and A. Maryamabadi, New J. Chem., 2023, 47, 6730–6738.
- 3 K. Rabiei, Z. Mohammadkhani, H. Keypour and J. Kouhdareh, RSC Adv., 2023, 13, 8114–8129.
- 4 H. Keypour, J. Kouhdareh, S. Alavinia, K. Rabiei, M. Mohammadi, A. Maryamabadi and S. Babaei, J. Organomet. Chem., 2023, 989, 122646.
- 5 S. Babaee, M. Zarei and M. A. Zolfigol, RSC Adv., 2021, 11, 36230–36236.
- 6 A. K. Alsukaibi, Processes, 2022, 10, 1968.
- 7 L. Pereira, R. Pereira, M. Pereira, F. Van der Zee, F. Cervantes and M. Alves, J. Hazard. Mater., 2010, 183, 931–939.
- 8 S. Dutt, P. F. Siril, V. Sharma and S. Periasamy, New J. Chem., 2015, 39, 902–908.
- 9 J. Luo, N. Zhang, J. Lai, R. Liu and X. Liu, J. Hazard. Mater., 2015, 300, 615–623.
- 10 J. Willkomm, K. L. Orchard, A. Reynal, E. Pastor, J. R. Durrant and E. Reisner, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2016, 45, 9–23.
- 11 K. Naseem, Z. H. Farooqi, R. Begum and A. Irfan, J. Cleaner Prod., 2018, 187, 296–307.
- 12 S. H. Adyani and E. Soleimani, Int. J. Hydrogen Energy, 2019, 44, 2711–2730.
- 13 A. Modak and A. Bhaumik, J. Mol. Catal. A: Chem., 2016, 425, 147–156.
- 14 R. A. Molla, P. Bhanja, K. Ghosh, S. S. Islam, A. Bhaumik and S. M. Islam, ChemCatChem, 2017, 9, 1939–1946.
- 15 C. Jiang, Z. Shang and X. Liang, ACS Catal., 2015, 5, 4814– 4818.
- 16 M. Mousavi-Kamazani, Z. Zarghami and M. Salavati-Niasari, J. Phys. Chem. C, 2016, 120, 2096–2108.
- 17 D.-M. Yun, H.-H. Cho, J.-W. Jang and J.-W. Park, Water Res., 2013, 47, 1858–1866.
- 18 M. Nasrollahzadeh, B. Jaleh, T. Baran and R. S. Varma, Clean Technol. Environ. Policy, 2020, 22, 325–335.
- 19 I. Sargin, T. Baran and G. Arslan, Sep. Purif. Technol., 2020, 247, 116987.
- 20 M. Sajjadi, N. Y. Baran, T. Baran, M. Nasrollahzadeh, M. R. Tahsili and M. Shokouhimehr, Sep. Purif. Technol., 2020, 237, 116383.
- 21 N. Esmaeili, P. Mohammadi, M. Abbaszadeh and H. Sheibani, Int. J. Hydrogen Energy, 2019, 44, 23002–23009.
- 22 H.-Y. Cho, D.-A. Yang, J. Kim, S.-Y. Jeong and W.-S. Ahn, Catal. Today, 2012, 185, 35–40.
- 23 X. Deng, L. Yang, H. Huang, Y. Yang, S. Feng, M. Zeng, Q. Li and D. Xu, Small, 2019, 15, 1902287.
- 24 S.-W. Lv, J.-M. Liu, N. Zhao, C.-Y. Li, Z.-H. Wang and S. Wang, J. Hazard. Mater., 2020, 387, 122011.
- 25 S. Aghajani and M. Mohammadikish, Langmuir, 2022, 38, 8686–8695.
- 26 D. Devi Priya, C. C. Athira and S. Mohana Roopan, Appl. Organomet. Chem., 2022, 36, e6655.
- 27 B. Tahmasbi and A. Ghorbani-Choghamarani, New J. Chem., 2019, 43, 14485–14501.
- 28 D. Stokes, Principles and Practice of Variable Pressure/ Environmental Scanning Electron Microscopy (VP-ESEM), John Wiley & Sons, 2008.
- 29 L. Corbari, M.-A. Cambon-Bonavita, G. J. Long, F. Grandjean, M. Zbinden, F. Gaill and P. Compère, Biogeosciences, 2008, 5, 1295–1310.
- 30 H. Lei, M. Wu, F. Mo, S. Ji, X. Dong, Z. Wu, J. Gao, Y. Yang and Y. Jia, Nano Energy, 2020, 78, 105290.
- 31 K. Ghorai, A. Panda, M. Bhattacharjee, D. Mandal, A. Hossain, P. Bera, M. M. Seikh and A. Gayen, Appl. Surf. Sci., 2021, 536, 147604.
- 32 G. Wang, Z. Chen, T. Chen, K. Lv, A. Reheman and J. Hu, Catal. Lett., 2022, 152, 1076–1085.
- 33 G. Xu, R. Wang, F. Yang, D. Ma, Z. Yang and Z. Lu, Carbon, 2017, 118, 35–42.
- 34 R. Prins, Top. Catal., 2018, 61, 714–721.
- 35 N. Sahiner, S. Sagbas and N. Aktas, RSC Adv., 2015, 5, 18183– 18195.
- 36 X. Yang, H. Zhong, Y. Zhu, H. Jiang, J. Shen, J. Huang and C. Li, J. Mater. Chem. A, 2014, 2, 9040–9047.
- 37 S. Xuan, Y.-X. J. Wang, J. C. Yu and K. C.-F. Leung, Langmuir, 2009, 25, 11835–11843.
- 38 B. Zhang, B. Zhao, S. Huang, R. Zhang, P. Xu and H.-L. Wang, CrystEngComm, 2012, 14, 1542–1544.
- 39 L. Ai, C. Zeng and Q. Wang, Catal. Commun., 2011, 14, 68–73.
- 40 Z.-J. Jiang, C.-Y. Liu and L.-W. Sun, J. Phys. Chem. B, 2005, 109, 1730–1735.
- 41 Z. Deng, H. Zhu, B. Peng, H. Chen, Y. Sun, X. Gang, P. Jin and J. Wang, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2012, 4, 5625–5632.
- 42 P. Zhang, Y. Sui, C. Wang, Y. Wang, G. Cui, C. Wang, B. Liu and B. Zou, Nanoscale, 2014, 6, 5343–5350.
- 43 A. Hatamifard, M. Nasrollahzadeh and J. Lipkowski, RSC Adv., 2015, 5, 91372–91381.
- 44 B. K. Ghosh, S. Hazra, B. Naik and N. N. Ghosh, Powder Technol., 2015, 269, 371–378.