


 Cite this: *RSC Adv.*, 2023, 13, 1223

Production of high-quality and large lateral-size black phosphorus nanoparticles/nanosheets by liquid-phase exfoliation

 Haris Sarwar,[†] Peirui Ji,[†] Shareen Shafique, Xiaomin Wang and Shuming Yang *

The liquid phase exfoliation (LPE) of layered black phosphorus (BP) material is essential in the field of electronics. *N*-Methyl-2-pyrrolidone (NMP) is one of the most promising precursors for obtaining BP nanosheets/nanoparticles, but the longer sonication time leads to smaller production of phosphorene. Herein, for the first time, the large lateral size fabrication of phosphorene was attained through NMP solvent by optimizing the process parameters. The resultant dispersions were characterized by atomic force microscopy, X-ray powder diffraction, Raman spectroscopy, scanning electron microscopy, transmission electron microscopy, and ultraviolet-visible spectroscopy. The characterization results revealed that the average lateral sizes of BP nanoparticles were found to be 67.8 ± 18.6 nm and the lateral size of fabricated BP nanosheets was found to be $5.37 \mu\text{m}$. Moreover, this research provides a strategic approach for the mass production of phosphorene for photodetection applications.

 Received 15th October 2022
 Accepted 15th December 2022

DOI: 10.1039/d2ra06504e

rsc.li/rsc-advances

1. Introduction

Recently, two-dimensional (2D) layered materials have gained much attention among researchers owing to their astonishing structural and chemical properties. Black phosphorus (BP) is a new promising 2D layered material that exhibits excellent potential in electronic and optoelectronic applications.^{1–4} In addition, 2D BP has a puckered honeycomb lattice due to its strong in-plane anisotropy.^{5,6} Generally, bulk BP crystals possess a vertical stack of phosphorene (single or few layers),⁷ which comprises weak van der Waals forces. Moreover, BP has a thickness-reliant layered band gap, which is different in the bulk crystal (0.3 eV) and single-layer phosphorene (2.0 eV).^{2,8} A tunable band gap is a vital factor, which makes BP a suitable 2D layered material in the applications of photodetectors,^{9–11} sensors,^{12,13} energy storage devices,¹⁴ lithium-ion batteries,¹⁵ and solar cells.¹⁶

The exfoliation process is the basic route to explore the unique structural properties of 2D materials. Mechanical peeling is the best conventional method to obtain 2D products from bulk crystals. However, this method has low output yield and uncontrollable size, which is not suitable for high-efficiency mass production.^{17,18} On the other hand, liquid phase exfoliation (LPE) is an easy and cost-effective way to produce large-scale products.¹⁷ In the LPE process, the sonication or shearing of the bulk 2D material occurs in the presence of the precursor; therefore, selecting appropriate precursors holds

great importance. To date, the exfoliation of bulk BP is effectively carried out in various organic solvents including *N*-methyl-2-pyrrolidone (NMP),¹⁹ dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO),²⁰ acetone,²¹ dimethylformamide (DMF),²¹ and *N*-cyclohexyl-2-pyrrolidone (CHP).²² Among them, NMP is proven to be the optimal organic solvent for the sonication of bulk BP.^{23,24} However, the long sonication time results in the degradation of phosphorene, which creates difficulty in achieving large-size and high-quality nanosheets.

Brent *et al.*¹⁹ explored the colloidal dispersion of a few-layered BP by sonication for 24 h in the presence of NMP, only a few hundred nanometres of nanosheets were obtained. Y. Liu *et al.*²⁵ studied the production of BP nanosheets in NMP solvent through ice bath sonication for 6 h and produced nanosheets in the range of 100–500 nm. Z. C. Luo *et al.*²⁶ fabricated a few layered BP nanosheets through bath sonication for 10 h in NMP, where the lateral sizes were found to be several hundred nanometres. Similarly, D. J. Late²⁷ also synthesized BP nanosheets and nanoparticles in NMP precursor, however, the large sonication time (8 h) only produced 10 to 200 nm products. Recently, Tiouitchi G *et al.*²⁸ obtained a 200.8 nm lateral size of phosphorene, at the sonication time of 12 h in NMP, through the bath ultrasonication process. Based on these studies, it is seen that the prolonged sonication time results in the smaller lateral size production of phosphorene through the LPE method. The improvements in the experimental process conditions including, sonication time, sizes of BP flakes, and sample handling time are of great significance. The large lateral size production of BP nanosheets/nanoparticles is quite inspiring for numerous applications like field effect transistors,²⁹ photo-diodes,³⁰ and BP-based hetero-structure devices.³¹

State Key Laboratory for Manufacturing Systems Engineering, Xi'an Jiaotong University, Xi'an, 710049, China. E-mail: shuming.yang@mail.xjtu.edu.cn

[†] These authors contributed equally to this work.



The efficient sample handling technique is also essential to the results. Therefore, there is a need for process optimization of nanomaterials where their desirable features can be successfully fabricated by planning economical techniques, manufacturing the maximum output in less time from fewer experiments. The particle size optimization is an essential feature, which can be controlled by temperature, concentration, chemical composition, the environment of production, and surface modifications.^{32,33}

This research work has the following advantages. Firstly, it focuses on the optimization of the process parameters (crystal size, sonication time, power and frequency, and centrifugation time) in the LPE method by using an NMP precursor. Previously reported research work comprised of long sonication and centrifugation time which may hinder the large-size production of BP nanosheets/nanoparticles. This work presents a cost-effective and simple route for the fabrication of a few layers of phosphorene. Secondly, it aims to fabricate large lateral-size and high-quality BP nanosheets/nanoparticles for photo-detection applications. In this work, the lateral size of fabricated BP nanosheets was found to be 5.37 μm , which is relatively larger than the previously stated works. Therefore, this work holds significance as large lateral size production of phosphorene by LPE is beneficial in fabricating photodetection devices as compared to the mechanical peeling method.

2. Experimental process

For the fabrication of BP nanosheets/nanoparticles, 20 mg of BP crystals powder was dispersed into 20 ml NMP precursor in a glass beaker. The glass beaker was placed carefully in the sonicator (Fisher FB 505). The mixture was sonicated (500 W, frequency of 20 kHz) for 90 minutes in an ambient condition. Afterward, the resultant mixture was centrifuged at 3000, 5000, and 8000 rpm for 20 minutes to obtain thin layers of phosphorene. Furthermore, the resultant dispersions were collected carefully. Fig. 1 illustrates the detailed experimental process for obtaining thin layers of phosphorene by the LPE method.

The LPE of layered BP material at different centrifugation speeds resulted in a change in phosphorene appearance. The black color sonicated dispersion is centrifuged at 3000 rpm (dark yellow), 5000 rpm (yellow), and 8000 rpm (pale yellow), as shown in Fig. 2. This change in dispersion color indicates varying thickness and lateral dimensions of phosphorene, at different centrifugation speeds. The as-prepared dispersions were collected and stored under N_2 protection.

For effective and accurate identification of the surface analysis and size of the as-fabricated BP nanosheets/nanoparticles atomic force microscopy (AFM, Bruker Innova) was performed under ambient conditions. Herein, the supernatant with 8000 rpm dispersion was dropped on the surface of the Si/SiO_2 (300 nm) substrate and spin-coated at 3000 rpm for 1 minute. Afterward, the sample was heated on the hot plate at 95 $^\circ\text{C}$ for 20 minutes to evaporate the NMP solvent. The surface scans were carried out in tapping mode and the cantilever beam was used. The Si probe was employed with the FESP (Bruker) probe model. Raman spectroscopy (Horiba JOBIN YVON, HR800) was




Fig. 1 An illustration of the experimental procedure for exfoliating BP. (a) 2D BP stored in argon filled the glass tube, (b) sample preparation in a glass beaker (20 mg BP and 20 ml NMP precursor), (c) sonication process of 2D BP for 90 min at 500 W, (d) BP dispersions before centrifugation process and, (e) BP dispersions after centrifugation process.

accomplished at an excitation wavelength of 532 nm, with a laser pump at 2.331 eV and a numerical aperture of $\text{NA} = 0.9$ at room temperature. The spectra exhibited were in Stokes mode. The topology and surface characterizations were achieved by field emission scanning electron microscope (FESEM, GeminiSEM 500) and transmission electron microscope (TEM, JEOL JEM-2100). For SEM characterization, the sample was prepared by the spin coating process. The prepared BP nanosheets (8000 rpm) were drop casted on the Si/SiO_2 (300 nm) substrate and heated on a hot plate at 85 $^\circ\text{C}$ for an appropriate time. For TEM, the preparation of the sample was accomplished by drop-




Fig. 2 (a) BP dispersions after sonication. (b) After centrifugation process at 3000, 5000, and 8000 rpm respectively.



casting the fabricated BP dispersion (8000 rpm) on the copper TEM grid. Then, the prepared samples were dried under light for 5 minutes to eliminate the NMP precursor. X-ray diffraction (XRD) (BRUKER D8 A25) was performed to explore the crystals' arrangements using Cu-K α radiation. To further explore the optical properties of the bulk BP and fabricated dispersions, ultraviolet (UV)-visible spectroscopy measurements were performed using a spectrophotometer (Lambda750). During the sample preparation, the dispersions were put in the quartz cuvettes where the variation in the colors (dark brown for BP, yellow for 8000 rpm dispersion) was observed. The energy band gap (E_g) was estimated from absorption spectra using Tauc's plot (eqn (1)).³⁴ The absorption coefficient (α) was calculated from eqn (2):

$$\alpha hv = A(hv - E_g)^{1/2} \quad (1)$$

$$\alpha = \frac{2.303 * A}{t} \quad (2)$$

where α is the absorption coefficient, hv is the photon energy, A is absorbance and t is thickness. The obtained results from characterization techniques were analyzed by various software (Nanoscope analysis, Origin, and ImageJ). The sample handling procedure time between the preparation and the characterization was ensured to be less than 30 minutes in order to ensure the accuracy of the analysis.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Characterization of BP nanosheets/nanoparticles

For obtaining precise knowledge about the surface morphology and the thickness of prepared phosphorene, AFM was performed. Fig. 3 displays the existence of thin layers of BP that endorses the successful exfoliation of bulk BP by the LPE method.^{19,28,35} The thickness of the selected regions (1 to 5) of prepared BP flakes is observed in Fig. 3(a). The inset of Fig. 3(a) reveals the vertical distance of the selected regions (1 to 5) which varies from 1.080 to 2.735 nm.

Fig. 3(b) and (c) show the average thickness, and the average lateral size of a few layers of phosphorene, respectively. The




Fig. 3 (a) AFM tapping phase image of BP flakes 8000 rpm dispersion spin-coated on Si/SiO₂, (b) thickness profile of BP flakes, and (c) lateral size profile of BP flakes.

average thickness and lateral size were found to be 2.29 ± 1.3 nm and 740 ± 182 nm by AFM measurement. The previous studies^{8,36} showed that the BP monolayer thickness was from 0.6 to 0.9 nm, and their lateral sizes were also small. AFM results revealed that most of the nanosheets consisted of 2 to 4 layers of phosphorene and the lateral sizes were much larger even at higher centrifugation speed.³⁷ Brent *et al.*¹⁹ investigated the thickness of nanosheets which were in the range of 3.5–5 nm. AFM results reveal that most of the fabricated nanosheets are less than 2.5 nm, which provides strong evidence for the fabrication of thin layers of phosphorene. It should be noted that the shortest time between sample preparation and AFM measurement is the vital aspect for obtaining large lateral-size BP nanosheets.

Fig. 4(a) illustrates the exploration of the crystal arrangements of bulk BP crystal powders and the fabricated BP nanosheet dispersion by using the XRD method. At 16.9, 34.1, and 52.4°, the bulk BP crystal, and the fabricated BP nanosheets indicate three evident and thin peaks, which is related to the (020), (040), and (060) peaks position, respectively. As can be seen in the figure, the XRD peak position of the fabricated nanosheets is identical to that of the bulk BP crystal, but the peak intensity differs considerably from that of bulk BP.^{38,39} Therefore, the decrease in the XRD peaks of BP nanosheets approves the successful exfoliation of BP through the LPE method.

To confirm the successful exfoliation and determine the vibrational modes of fabricated phosphorene, Raman spectroscopy was performed. Fig. 4(b) shows the Raman spectrum comparison between bulk 2D BP crystal powder along with the fabricated BP dispersions at 3000, 5000, and 8000 rpm. Z. Guo *et al.*⁴⁰ performed Raman measurements of phosphorene, where the three Raman peaks showed at 362.5 cm^{-1} , 439.3 cm^{-1} , and 467.6 cm^{-1} . As can be seen in Fig. 4(b), three Raman peaks are observed at 361.8 cm^{-1} , 438.3 cm^{-1} , and 466.5 cm^{-1} concerning their phonon modes A_{1g}, B_{2g}, and A_{2g}. Raman spectrum illustrates that the peak values are in good accordance with the previous studies^{41,42} which approve the successful exfoliation of bulk BP by the LPE method. It is noted that the characteristics peaks intensity of prepared dispersions at 3000, 5000, and 8000 rpm are weakened as compared to bulk BP peak, which is clear evidence in the reduction of thickness and lateral size of




Fig. 4 (a) Comparison of XRD patterns of bulk BP and fabricated BP supernatant after the sonication process. (b) Comparison of the Raman spectra between bulk BP crystals and fabricated BP dispersions after the centrifugation process (3000, 5000, and 8000 rpm, respectively).



the nanosheets.²⁸ The thickness reduction effect of LPE method will cause an increment of bandgap probably due to the decrease of grain crystal size, which may result in changes in atomic distances.² The results demonstrate that higher centrifugation speed could not influence the peaks shift,^{40,43} thus the as-prepared phosphorene upholds high crystalline nature. Raman spectroscopy can also be used to adjust the alteration in controlling the process parameters for achieving thin layers and high-quality phosphorene.

BP nanoparticles were observed to show platelet-like structures with non-uniform sizes at the scale of 0.5 μm (shown in Fig. 5(a)). A similar structure was reported by Sofer *et al.*,²³ where BP nanoparticles were prepared by the chemical vapor transport method. According to Fig. 5(b), well-dispersed BP nanoparticles with a lateral size of around 30–120 nm were observed, which indicated the large lateral size production of BP nanoparticles through NMP precursor. The average lateral sizes were calculated to be 67.8 ± 18.6 nm. This was further confirmed by L. Wang *et al.*,⁴⁴ where BP nanoparticles were prepared by sonication-assisted hydrothermal method, and the obtained size was small (around 30 nm). K. Du *et al.*⁴⁵ also explored the exfoliation of BP crystals in ethanol precursor through microwave-supported LPE technique and achieved an average thickness of 2.19 ± 1.33 nm for BP dots. Fig. 5(c) and (d) show the SEM morphologies of fabricated BP nanosheets, where the lateral size is found to be 3 μm and 1.7 μm , respectively, which confirms the large lateral size fabrication of phosphorene. HRTEM images (Fig. 6(a)) further showed clear lattice fringes of BP nanosheets. The corresponding selected area electron diffraction (SAED) pattern (Fig. 6(c)) was comprised of distinct spots demonstrating the high crystalline and orthorhombic nature of the produced nanosheets. The sheets size profile (Fig. 6(b)) complemented the SEM results as high-quality BP nanosheets were prepared, with the largest sheet size of 5.37 μm . Previous studies report^{19,31,42,46} that the lateral dimensions of BP nanosheets were in the range of hundreds of nanometres, while the results in this study are greatly improved. Therefore,




Fig. 6 (a) HRTEM images of fabricated BP nanosheets at 8000 rpm dispersion, (b) the corresponding nanosheets size profile, and (c) the SAED pattern of the TEM sample.

the TEM, HRTEM, and SEM results demonstrated that the large lateral size production of BP nanosheets/nanoparticles could be easily achieved through the LPE process. The large lateral size can provide an essential route for the exploration of optoelectronic properties in BP-based devices. It should also be noted that a large size of BP nanosheets/nanoparticles can be attained by improving the sample handling procedure in the LPE process. According to previous studies in Table 1, the process optimization parameters are not favorable as large sonication times and non-invasive methods like bath sonication have been used. However, this study used an effective probe sonication technique with less time and frequency to produce large lateral sizes of BP nanoparticles, which are beneficial for their practical implementations.

3.2. Absorption measurement and Tauc plot analysis

For practical implementation of the as-fabricated BP nanosheets/nanoparticles, the optical properties were explored by ultraviolet (UV)-visible spectroscopy. The variation in the dispersion colors (dark brown for BP, yellow for 8000 rpm) was observed during the sample preparation.^{16,48} It can be noted from Fig. 7(a) that at high wavelengths, the absorbance intensity decreases with increasing rpm,^{36,45,49} because of the thickness reduction in the dispersion, this supported the Raman results in Fig. 4(b). The Tauc plot in Fig. 7(b) displays the linear dependent increase in the photon energy ($h\nu$) to band gap energy (eV). The Tauc figure reveals that the band gap (E_g) of BP is 1.96 eV, which is related to the optical band gap of 2D BP. W. Chen *et al.*¹⁶ investigated the band gap of BP quantum dots, which was derived to be 2.25 eV. Moreover, X. Niu *et al.*³⁵ also observed the band gap of BP dots, which was calculated to be 2.1 eV. The results indicate that the band gap of fabricated 2D BP is in accordance with the literature. It is worth noting that the band gap of 8000 rpm




Fig. 5 (a) TEM image of non-uniform sizes of BP nanoparticles at 0.5 μm scale, (b) the corresponding particle-size profile, (c) and (d) SEM images of fabricated BP nanosheets.



Table 1 Comparison of this study with previous works based on the size of BP nanosheets by the LPE method

Solvents	Sonication type	Sonication time	Sonication power	Sonication frequency ^a	Centrifugation time	BP size obtained	Ref.
NMP	Bath	24 h	820 W	37 kHz	45 min	200 nm	19
DMF	Probe	15 h	130 W	—	30 min	190 nm	20
CHP	Probe	5 h	750 W	—	180 min	500 nm	22
NMP	Bath	8 h	110 W	40 kHz	15 min	200 nm	27
Acetone	Bath	10 h	300 W	—	60 min	600 nm	47
NMP	Probe	1.5 h	500 W	20 kHz	20 min	5.37 μm	This work

^a —Not mentioned in the literature.




Fig. 7 (a) Absorption spectrum of bulk BP and the 8000 rpm dispersion, (b) Tauc plots of bulk BP and the 8000 rpm dispersion.

dispersion is calculated to be 3.31 eV, which is larger than the band gap of 2D BP (1.96 eV), which confirms the thickness reduction by the LPE method. Tauc plot results demonstrate that a wide range of absorption spectra (UV to NIR) can be achieved if the process parameters are improved.

4. Conclusions

In this work, the LPE of layered BP was carried out in NMP solvent *via* improved process parameters for obtaining large lateral-size phosphorene. The BP crystals powder was sonicated for 90 min and centrifuged at different speeds for 20 min under ambient conditions. BP nanosheets/nanoparticles were characterized by AFM, SEM, TEM, HRTEM, and Raman spectroscopy. The results showed that the fabricated phosphorene comprised 2 to 4 layers with an average thickness of 2.29 ± 1.3 nm. The lateral size of obtained BP nanosheets was $5.37 \mu\text{m}$, while the average size of BP nanoparticles was found to be 67.8 ± 18.6 nm, which confirms the large lateral size production of BP nanosheets/nanoparticles. Large sonication time may result in the degradation of phosphorene, therefore, in this work, less sonication time has been set, which demonstrates that the process parameters are the vital steps in attaining large lateral size and high-quality phosphorene. The as-fabricated BP nanosheets/nanoparticles is quite inspiring for numerous applications like field effect transistors, photo-diodes, and BP-based hetero-structure devices. The practical implementation of these nanosheets will be the future course of this research.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts of interest to declare.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to acknowledge the support by the National Science Fund for Distinguished Young Scholars (52225507), and the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities for their support.

References

- 1 J. Qiao, X. Kong, Z.-X. Hu, F. Yang and W. Ji, *Nat. Commun.*, 2014, **5**, 4475.
- 2 V. Tran, R. Soklaski, Y. Liang and L. Yang, *Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys.*, 2014, **89**, 235319.
- 3 J. Miao, L. Zhang and C. Wang, *2D Mater.*, 2019, **6**, 032003.
- 4 X. Wang and S. Lan, *Adv. Opt. Photon.*, 2016, **8**, 618–655.
- 5 R. Gusmão, Z. Sofer and M. Pumera, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2017, **56**, 8052–8072.
- 6 S. Lan, S. Rodrigues, L. Kang and W. Cai, *ACS Photonics*, 2016, **3**, 1176–1181.
- 7 L. Kou, C. Chen and S. C. Smith, *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.*, 2015, **6**, 2794–2805.
- 8 H. Liu, A. T. Neal, Z. Zhu, Z. Luo, X. Xu, D. Tománek and P. D. Ye, *ACS Nano*, 2014, **8**, 4033–4041.
- 9 X. Ren, Z. Li, Z. Huang, D. Sang, H. Qiao, X. Qi, J. Li, J. Zhong and H. Zhang, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2017, **27**, 1606834.
- 10 K. Chen, Y. Wang, J. Liu, J. Kang, Y. Ge, W. Huang, Z. Lin, Z. Guo, Y. Zhang and H. Zhang, *Nanoscale*, 2019, **11**, 16852–16859.
- 11 P. Ji, S. Yang, Y. Wang, K. Li, Y. Wang, H. Suo, Y. T. Woldu, X. Wang, F. Wang, L. Zhang and Z. Jiang, *Microsyst. Nanoeng.*, 2022, **8**, 9.
- 12 Y. Wang, Y. Zhou, H. Ren, Y. Wang, X. Zhu, Y. Guo and X. Li, *Anal. Chem.*, 2020, **92**, 11007–11017.
- 13 Y. Wang, J. Xue, X. Zhang, J. Si, Y. Liu, L. Ma, M. ullah, M. Ikram, L. Li and K. Shi, *Mater. Sci. Semicond. Process.*, 2020, **110**, 104961.
- 14 G. Zhao, T. Wang, Y. Shao, Y. Wu, B. Huang and X. Hao, *Small*, 2017, **13**, 1602243.
- 15 L. Chen, G. Zhou, Z. Liu, X. Ma, J. Chen, Z. Zhang, X. Ma, F. Li, H.-M. Cheng and W. Ren, *Adv. Mater.*, 2016, **28**, 510–517.
- 16 W. Chen, K. Li, Y. Wang, X. Feng, Z. Liao, Q. Su, X. Lin and Z. He, *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.*, 2017, **8**, 591–598.
- 17 S. Lin, Y. Chui, Y. Li and S. P. Lau, *FlatChem*, 2017, **2**, 15–37.



- 18 Z. Luo, J. Maassen, Y. Deng, Y. Du, R. P. Garrelts, M. S. Lundstrom, P. D. Ye and X. Xu, *Nat. Commun.*, 2015, **6**, 8572.
- 19 J. R. Brent, N. Savjani, E. A. Lewis, S. J. Haigh, D. J. Lewis and P. O'Brien, *Chem. Commun.*, 2014, **50**, 13338–13341.
- 20 P. Yasaei, B. Kumar, T. Foroozan, C. Wang, M. Asadi, D. Tuschel, J. E. Indacochea, R. F. Klie and A. Salehi-Khojin, *Adv. Mater.*, 2015, **27**, 1887–1892.
- 21 A. E. Del Rio Castillo, V. Pellegrini, H. Sun, J. Buha, D. A. Dinh, E. Lago, A. Ansaldo, A. Capasso, L. Manna and F. Bonaccorso, *Chem. Mater.*, 2018, **30**, 506–516.
- 22 D. Hanlon, C. Backes, E. Doherty, C. S. Cucinotta, N. C. Berner, C. Boland, K. Lee, A. Harvey, P. Lynch, Z. Gholamvand, S. Zhang, K. Wang, G. Moynihan, A. Pokle, Q. M. Ramasse, N. McEvoy, W. J. Blau, J. Wang, G. Abellan, F. Hauke, A. Hirsch, S. Sanvito, D. D. O'Regan, G. S. Duesberg, V. Nicolosi and J. N. Coleman, *Nat. Commun.*, 2015, **6**, 8563.
- 23 Z. Sofer, D. Bouša, J. Luxa, V. Mazanek and M. Pumera, *Chem. Commun.*, 2016, **52**, 1563–1566.
- 24 J. Kang, J. D. Wood, S. A. Wells, J.-H. Lee, X. Liu, K.-S. Chen and M. C. Hersam, *ACS Nano*, 2015, **9**, 3596–3604.
- 25 Y. Liu, W. Qi, S. Gong, J. He, Z. Li and Y. Li, *Phys. B*, 2020, **579**, 411903.
- 26 Z.-C. Luo, M. Liu, Z.-N. Guo, X.-F. Jiang, A.-P. Luo, C.-J. Zhao, X.-F. Yu, W.-C. Xu and H. Zhang, *Opt. Express*, 2015, **23**, 20030–20039.
- 27 D. J. Late, *Microporous Mesoporous Mater.*, 2016, **225**, 494–503.
- 28 G. Tiouitchi, M. A. Ali, A. Benyoussef, M. Hamedoun, A. Lachgar, A. Kara, A. Ennaoui, A. Mahmoud, F. Boschini, H. Oughaddou, A. El Moutaouakil, A. El Kenz and O. Mounkachi, *R. Soc. Open Sci.*, 2020, **7**, 201210.
- 29 P. J. Jeon, Y. T. Lee, J. Y. Lim, J. S. Kim, D. K. Hwang and S. Im, *Nano Lett.*, 2016, **16**, 1293–1298.
- 30 L. Wang, X. Zou, J. Lin, J. Jiang, Y. Liu, X. Liu, X. Zhao, Y. F. Liu, J. C. Ho and L. Liao, *ACS Nano*, 2019, **13**, 4804–4813.
- 31 K. Ge, Y. Zhang, D. Wang, Z. Li, J. He, C. Fu, Y. Yang, M. Pan and L. Zhu, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2020, **12**, 20035–20043.
- 32 J. Zou, W. Luo, S. Wang and Y. Wang, *Zhongnan Daxue Xuebao, Yixueban*, 2022, **47**, 1398–1407.
- 33 C. Muthukumar, M. Changmai, S. Vincent, K. Raju, J. Mathai, S. Damale and B. G. Prakash Kumar, *J. Cleaner Prod.*, 2022, **371**, 133616.
- 34 R. K. Ratnesh and M. S. Mehata, *AIP Advances*, 2015, **5**, 097114.
- 35 X. Niu, Y. Yu, J. Yao, M. Li, J. Sha and Y. Wang, *Chem. Phys. Lett.*, 2021, **772**, 138571.
- 36 Y. Zhang, N. Dong, H. Tao, C. Yan, J. Huang, T. Liu, A. W. Robertson, J. Texter, J. Wang and Z. Sun, *Chem. Mater.*, 2017, **29**, 6445–6456.
- 37 C.-H. Choi, Y.-J. Park, X. Wu and D.-P. Kim, *Chem. Eng. J.*, 2018, **333**, 336–342.
- 38 H. Ma, J. Liu, Y. Wang, S. Zuo, Y. Yu and B. Li, *Mater. Adv.*, 2022, **3**, 7546–7558.
- 39 M. Khurram, Z. Sun, Z. Zhang and Q. Yan, *Inorg. Chem. Front.*, 2020, **7**, 2867–2879.
- 40 Z. Guo, H. Zhang, S. Lu, Z. Wang, S. Tang, J. Shao, Z. Sun, H. Xie, H. Wang, X.-F. Yu and P. K. Chu, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2015, **25**, 7100.
- 41 H. Wang, X. Yang, W. Shao, S. Chen, J. Xie, X. Zhang, J. Wang and Y. Xie, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2015, **137**, 11376–11382.
- 42 D. Yu, J. Li, T. Wang, X. She, Y. Sun, J. Li, L. Zhang, X.-F. Yu and D. Yang, *Phys. Status Solidi Rapid Res. Lett.*, 2020, **14**, 1900697.
- 43 Z. Yan, X. He, L. She, J. Sun, R. Jiang, H. Xu, F. Shi, Z. Lei and Z.-H. Liu, *J. Materiomics*, 2018, **4**, 129–134.
- 44 L. Wang, Y. Hu, F. Qi, L. Ding, J. Wang, X. Zhang, Q. Liu, L. Liu, H. Sun and P. Qu, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2020, **12**, 8157–8167.
- 45 K. Du, W. Yang, S. Deng, X. Li and P. Yang, *Nanomaterials*, 2020, **10**, 139.
- 46 W. Zhao, Z. Xue, J. Wang, J. Jiang, X. Zhao and T. Mu, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2015, **7**, 27608–27612.
- 47 C. Hao, B. Yang, F. Wen, J. Xiang, L. Li, W. Wang, Z. Zeng, B. Xu, Z. Zhao, Z. Liu and Y. Tian, *Adv. Mater.*, 2016, **28**, 3194–3201.
- 48 Y. Xu, Z. Wang, Z. Guo, H. Huang, Q. Xiao, H. Zhang and X.-F. Yu, *Adv. Opt. Mater.*, 2016, **4**, 1223–1229.
- 49 S. P. Ogilvie, M. J. Large, G. Fratta, M. Meloni, R. Canton-Vitoria, N. Tagmatarchis, F. Massuyeau, C. P. Ewels, A. A. K. King and A. B. Dalton, *Sci. Rep.*, 2017, **7**, 16706.

