RSC Advances

PAPER

Cite this: RSC Adv., 2023, 13, 1923

An efficient approach for the green synthesis of biologically active 2,3-dihydroquinazolin-4(1H) ones using a magnetic EDTA coated copper based nanocomposite†

Sahil Kohli[,](http://orcid.org/0000-0001-6825-3563) \mathbb{D}^a \mathbb{D}^a \mathbb{D}^a Garima Rathee, \mathbb{D}^a Sunita Hooda^{*b} and Ramesh Chandra $\mathbb{D}^{\star \text{acd}}$

2,3-Dihydroquinazolinone derivatives are known for antiviral, antimicrobial, analgesic, anti-inflammatory, and anticancer activities. However, recent approaches used for their synthesis suffer from various drawbacks. Therefore, we have fabricated a highly efficient magnetic EDTA-coated catalyst, Fe₃O₄@EDTA/Cul via a simple approach. The ethylenediamine tetraacetic acid (EDTA) plays a crucial role by strongly trapping the catalytic sites of CuI nanoparticles on the surface of the $Fe₃O₄$ core. The designed nanocatalyst demonstrates its potential for the catalytic synthesis of 2,3-dihydroquinazolinones using 2-aminobenzamide with aldehydes as the reaction partners. The nanocatalyst was thoroughly characterized through X-ray diffraction (XRD), Fourier-transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR), vibrating sample magnetometry (VSM), transmission electron microscopy (TEM), scanning electron microscopy (SEM), energy dispersive X-ray analysis (EDX), X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) and inductively coupled plasma analysis (ICP). The physiochemically characterized nanocatalyst was tested for synthesis of 2,3-dihydroquinazolinones and higher yields of derivatives were obtained with less time duration. Moreover, the catalytic synthesis is easy to operate without the use of any kind of additives/bases. Furthermore, the catalyst was magnetically recoverable after the completion of the reaction and displayed reusability for six successive rounds without any loss in its catalytic efficiency (confirmed by XRD, SEM, and TEM of the recycled material) along with very low leaching of copper (2.12 ppm) and iron $(0.06$ ppm) ions. Also, the green metrics were found in correlation with the ideal values (such as E factor (0.10), process mass intensity (1.10), carbon efficiency (96%) and reaction mass efficiency (90.62%)). PAPER
 (A) Check for updates
 **An efficient approach for the green synthesis of

biologically active 2,3-dihydroquinazolin-4(14H)-**

One white Check for updates
 annocomposite

Sahil Kohli,^{**O**} Carima Ratnee,^{**O**} S

Received 25th November 2022 Accepted 28th December 2022

DOI: 10.1039/d2ra07496f

rsc.li/rsc-advances

1. Introduction

Magnetic nanoparticles have gained great interest in the last few years due to their excellent properties such as low cost, low toxicity, high surface area to bulk ratios, high activity, thermal stability, and the surface modifications capability, easy dispersion, and superparamagnetic behavior.¹⁻³ The modification of their surfaces with different amino ligands prevent them from aggregating which leads to stabilized active metal species over

the surface. Magnetic recoverable catalysts have a wide range of uses in organic transformations such as C–H activation,⁴ coupling reactions,⁵⁻⁷ reduction reactions,⁸ oxidation reactions,⁹ and the synthesis of many heterocyclic compounds.^{10,11} Moreover, these magnetic nanoparticles can be separated from the reaction mixture very easily using a magnet. Magnetic separable catalysts come out to be a bridge between homogenous and heterogeneous catalysts.¹² Copper is a 3d transition metal whose materials have been widely used in many catalytic reactions due to its various oxidation states from Cu^{0} to Cu^{3+} . Also, copper-based nanomaterials have a high boiling point of 2562 ° C, which marks them appropriate for high temperature and pressure conditions.¹³–¹⁶

The effective approach for the synthesis of highly robust, selective and low-cost copper based nanoparticles is to create magnetic copper based nanocomposites which have been used in several organic transformation due to their easy separation with low leaching of copper.¹⁷⁻²¹ $Fe₃O₄$ and copper composites have found many application in many organic transformation such as synthesis of propargylamines using $Cu(I)$ -pybox–Fe₃O₄ nanocomposites, Fe₃O₄@PmPDs@Cu₂O as a nanocatalyst in

a Drug Discovery & Development Laboratory, Department of Chemistry, University of Delhi, Delhi-110007, India. E-mail: rameshchandragroup@gmail.com; acbrdu@ hotmail.com

b Department of Chemistry, Acharya Narendra Dev College, University of Delhi, Delhi-110019, India. E-mail: sunitahooda@andc.du.ac.in

c Dr B.R. Ambedkar Center for Biomedical Research (ACBR), University of Delhi, Delhi-110007, India

^aInstitute of Nanomedical Science (INMS), University of Delhi, Delhi-110007, India † Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: SEM and XRD of recycled catalyst; green chemistry metric calculations, spectral data of compounds, ¹H NMR and 13C NMR spectra of all compounds. See DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1039/d2ra07496f>

the synthesis of 5-phenyl- $[1,2,3]$ triazolo $[1,5-c]$ quinazolines, Cu@DOPA@Fe₃O₄ as a nanocatalyst in the cross coupling of thiols and aryl halides, $Fe₃O₄(QCS-TCT-Tet-Cu(π) nanocatalyst)$ in the synthesis of N-sulfonyl-N-aryl tetrazoles and 5-arylamino- $1H$ -tetrazoles etc.²² The development of many heterogeneous catalytic system involving metal–organic frameworks and nanoparticles for the synthesis of various nitrogen containing heterocycles have been extensively reported.²³

2,3-Dihydroquinazolinones are essential nitrogencontaining heterocyclic moieties showing various biological and pharmacological activities such as antiviral, analgesic, antiinflammatory, anticancer and antimicrobial (Fig. 1). $24-28$ Numerous procedures are known for synthesizing 2,3-dihydroquinazolin-4(1H)-ones like reductive cyclization of 2-azidobenzamides or 2-nitrobenzamides,²⁹ quinazolin-4(3H)-ones reduction,³⁰ condensations of 2-aminobenzamides with benzil,³¹ desulfurizations of 2-thioxoquinazolin-4(3H)-ones.³² While, the most common method involves the condensation of ketones or aldehydes with 2-aminobenzamide in the presence

of various acid catalysts like cellulose- SO_3H ,³³ cerium(IV) ammonium nitrate (CAN) ,³⁴ p-TSA,³⁵ Y(NO₃)₃,³⁶ succinimide-Nsulfonic acid, 37 and $ZrCl₄$, 38 However, these methods have various limitations which includes yields in low amounts, long reaction time, use of harmful solvents, complex work-up procedure, using expensive reagents and lack of catalyst reusability. Therefore, it is essential to develop a simple, efficient, green, and sustainable route to synthesize 2,3 dihydroquinazolinones.

Our research group has earlier successfully fabricated multiple nanocatalysts to synthesize numerous biologically active organic frameworks such as benzimidazoles, polyhydroquinolines, xanthenes, 1,4-dihydropyridines, and 4Hpyrans.³⁹–⁴¹ In the present work, we design a novel, efficient, and magnetically recoverable Fe₃O₄@EDTA/CuI nanocatalyst to synthesize 2,3-dihydroquinazolin-4 $(1H)$ -ones through condensation of 2-aminobenzamide with different aldehydes. The

Fig. 3 XRD pattern of nanocatalyst. The nanocatalyst control of the nanocatalyst.

Fig. 5 VSM curves of Fe₃O₄ (a), Fe₃O₄@EDTA (b) and Fe₃O₄@EDTA/ CuI (c) nanoparticles.

surface of $Fe₃O₄$ has been modified with ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA) via the wet chemical method, and then the CuI is supported over the modified surface of the magnetic nanoparticles. The techniques like FTIR, XRD, EDX, SEM, XPS, VSM, ICP and TEM were used to characterize the nanocatalyst. The fabricated material was

relatively stable under the performed reaction conditions giving an excellent yield of the products, and was separated quite easily and reused for six times without much decrease in the % yield.

2. Results and discussion

2.1 Design and synthesis of the nanocatalyst

The design and synthesis of the catalyst are shown in Fig. 2. It involves the synthesis of $Fe₃O₄$ nanoparticles by coprecipitation method followed by modification of the surface with EDTA, and further CuI was immobilized on the modified support resulting in $Fe₃O₄(QEDTA/CuI)$.

Fig. 3 shows the XRD spectra of $Fe₃O₄(QEDTA/CuI)$ nanocatalyst. The peaks appearing at a value of $2\theta = 30.2$, 35.8, 43.4, 53.7, 57.8 and 63.1 corresponding to (220), (311), (400), (422), (511), and (440) is in good agreement with standard patterns of Fe₃O₄ whereas the peaks at $2\theta = 25.5$, 29.4, 42.3, 50.0, 61.3, 67.4 and 77.2 corresponds to (111), (200), (220), (311), (400), (331) and (422) of copper iodide which is also in agreement with the JCPDF file (06-0246).

Fig. 4 displays the FT-IR spectra of the magnetic $Fe₃O₄$ @-EDTA/CuI nanoparticles in the range of 400–4000 cm^{-1} . The peaks observed at 1090, 1390, 1627, 2930 and 3400–3450 cm⁻¹ related to the aliphatic C–N stretching, strong C–C–H stretching, C=O stretch vibration, C–H stretching, O–H vibration

Fig. 6 XPS spectrum of Fe₃O₄@EDTA/CuI in the region (a) Cu 2p (b) I 3d (c) Fe 2p (d) O 1 s.

Fig. 7 SEM of Fe₃O₄@EDTA/Cul.

stretching, respectively, confirms the existence of EDTA on the surface of $Fe₃O₄$.^{42,43}

Fig. 5 shows the magnetic behavior of Fe₃O₄ (a), Fe₃O₄@-EDTA (b), and $Fe₃O₄(QEDTA-CuI evaluated by VSM at room)$

temperature. The saturation magnetizations (M_s) of the magnetic Fe₃O₄, Fe₃O₄@EDTA, and Fe₃O₄@EDTA/CuI were found to be 85.20, 74.25 and 57.20 emu g^{-1} respectively. There is a decrease in M_s value when EDTA is coated over $Fe₃O₄$ and the value further decreases when CuI is immobilized over Fe₃-O4@EDTA. Also, the external magnet can easily separate the catalyst from the reaction mixture. Moreover, there were no coercivity, hysteresis loop, and remanence detected in any of the prepared nanomaterials, which shows the superparamagnetic nature of all.

Furthermore, CuI existence in the $Fe₃O₄(@EDTA/CuI)$ nanocatalyst was also confirmed by X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy as shown in Fig. 6. The peak binding values at 932.3 eV and 952.2 eV were related to Cu 2p and the values at 619 eV and 632 eV were related to I 3p. The value resembles the reported

Fig. 8 TEM of Fe₃O₄@EDTA/Cul.

Lsec: 200.0 0 Cnts 0.000 keV Det: Octane Plus Det

Fig. 9 EDX of $Fe₃O₄$ @EDTA/CuI nanocatalyst.

data of CuI nanoparticles which also confirms the $+1$ oxidation state of copper.⁴⁴ The values at 710.8 and 724.3 eV are allocated to the spin–orbit split doublet of Fe $2p_{1/2}$ and Fe $2p_{3/2}$ respectively which resembles with the reported values of $Fe₃O₄$.⁴⁵ The broadness of the Fe 2p peaks confirms the presence of both oxidation states of iron (Fe²⁺ and Fe³⁺).⁴⁶ The peak at 529.8 eV corresponds to O 1s peak of $Fe₃O₄$.

Fig. 7 and 8 show the SEM and TEM images of $Fe₃O₄(@EDTA/$ CuI which clearly shows the accumulation of CuI over EDTA modified spherical $Fe₃O₄$ nanoparticles. The SEM images show $Fe₃O₄(\Omega)$ EDTA/CuI nanoparticles agglomerate into larger aggregates. The 20 nm, 50 nm, and 100 nm TEM images determine the synthesized material morphology and size. The images show spherical nanoparticles formation with 12–20 nm of the mean size range.

Fig. 9 displays the catalyst EDX spectra that clearly show the presence of Cu and I over $Fe₃O₄(QEDTA)$ surface and the percentage composition of copper, iodine, iron, oxygen and nitrogen are 12.61 19.41, 22.44, 26.04, and 19.5 wt%, respectively.

2.2 Synthesis of 2,3-dihydroquinazolin-4(1H)-ones via Fe3O4@EDTA/CuI catalyst

Primarily, the o -aminobenzamide (1) , p -methylbenzaldeyde $(2a)$ and Fe3O4@EDTA/CuI catalyst (20 mg) were used in different solvents and neat condition (Table 1). It was observed that no

product was obtained when toluene was used (entry: 1, Table 1). Then solvents such as DMF, DMSO, THF and acetonitrile, which are polar aprotic, were used. It was found that the product formed was 43%, 56%, 11%, and 23% in DMF, DMSO, acetonitrile, and THF respectively (entries: 2–5, Table 1).

When solvents like EG (ethylene glycol), water, methanol, ethanol were used, no reaction in water was observed (entry: 6, Table 1); while using ethanol and EG, the product formed was 62% and 58% respectively (entry: 8–9, Table 1). Also, no product was formed in neat reaction conditions (entry: 7, Table 1). Then the reaction was refluxed in methanol and ethanol. The yields were 78% and 97% respectively (entry: 10–11, Table 1). The better appropriate solvent which affords the product was ethanol (entry: 11, Table 1). The effect of reaction time on yield was checked, and it was found to be 76% and 97% after 10 min and 30 min (entry: 12–13, Table 1). The effect of catalyst was also investigated, which shows that on decreasing and increasing the amount of catalyst, the yield observed was 81 and 97% (entry: 14–15, Table 1). Hence, no change was observed in yield on increasing the catalyst amount. When CuI was used, the yield obtained was 56% (entry: 16, Table 1). Hence, the appropriate reaction condition for nanocatalytic synthesis was 20 mg of Fe₃O₄@EDTA/CuI refluxed for 20 min in ethanol. Paper

Open Continues Article and Taxo confirms the 1 collation conduct was obtained when the

uncertainty which resembles with the reported where χ^2 are the

uncertainty are the published in the state of the state of

Derivatives of 2,3-dihydroquinazolin-4 $(1H)$ -ones were synthesized using the most appropriate reaction conditions as

Table 1 Optimization study for the nanocatalytic synthesis of 2,3-dihydroquinazolin-4(1H)-ones by using o-aminobenzamide (1) and pmethylbenzaldehyde (2a) as precursors and $Fe₃O₄@EDTA/CuI$ as nanocatalyst^a

^a Reaction condition: o-benzamide 1 (0.5 mmol), aldehyde 2 (0.5 mmol), Fe₃O₄@EDTA/CuI (10-30 mg) and solvent (3 mL) were stirred at appropriate temperature.

Scheme 1 Fe₃O₄@EDTA/CuI catalyzed synthesis of 2,3-dihydroquinazolin-4(1H)-ones derivatives.^a ^aReaction condition: o-benzamide 1 (0.5 mmol), substituted aldehyde 2 (0.5 mmol), Fe3O4@EDTA/CuI (20 mg) and ethanol (3 mL) were refluxed for 20 min.

shown in Scheme 1. The excellent yield of the products were obtained in all from the various benzaldehydes (3a–3l).

Fig. 10 shows the plausible mechanism for synthesizing 2,3 dihydroquinazolin-4(1H)-ones by $Fe₃O₄@EDTA/CuI$ nanocatalyst. The first step involves reaction between 2-aminobenzamide (1) and aldehyde (2) in the presence of catalyst, where catalyst behaves as Lewis acid and interacts with oxygen atom of the carbonyl to increase the electrophilicity of aldehydic carbon to give Schiff base (3) by elimination of water molecule. The next step involves the amide nitrogen attack on electrophilic carbon of the imine followed by proton transfer to obtain 2,3-dihydroquinazolin-4 $(1H)$ -ones (4) .

Then, we investigated the recyclability of the catalyst. The reaction was setup on a large scale using 5 mmol of reactants and 200 mg of catalyst. At the completion of every reaction cycle, the external magnet helped in separating the catalyst from the reaction mixture. The catalyst was washed with water and ethanol, dried and reused for subsequent reactions. The catalyst was reused six more successions and yield of the product was found to be 83% after sixth catalytic cycle as shown in Fig. 11. The stability of the material was checked after six runs by XRD, SEM and TEM which indicates that the structure and morphology of the catalyst remain unchanged (ESI Fig. S1–S3†). The ICP analysis of the filtrate was carried out after the removal of the catalyst from the reaction mixture and it was found that

Fig. 10 Plausible mechanism.

Fig. 11 Recyclability of $Fe₃O₄$ @EDTA/CuI nanocatalyst

leached metal ion concentrations for copper and iron ion are 2.12 ppm and 0.06 ppm respectively which are lower than the authentic concentration of respective ions as per WHO terms.⁴⁷ The calculative values of green metrics are shown in Table 2

(detail calculations in ESI†). The current method is green and

sustainable as the green metrics are near ideal values. Also, the present catalyst shows better value of metrics than the previous reported methods.

Table 3 shows the comparison of $Fe₃O₄(QEDTA/CuI catalyst)$ with various catalysts for the synthesis of 2-(4-methylphenyl)- 2,3-dihydroquinazolin-4 $(1H)$ -one. It can be seen that the current catalyst has better reaction conditions when compared with other various catalysts.

3. Experimental section

3.1 Preparation of $Fe₃O₄$, $Fe₃O₄(QEDTA)$, and $Fe₃O₄(QEDTA)$ CuI nanocomposites

The $Fe₃O₄$ nanoparticles were prepared by co-precipitation method. Briefly, 5.6 g of FeCl₃ 6H₂O and 2.3 g of FeCl₂ $4H_2O$ were dispersed in 100 mL of distilled water and stirred for 1 hour at 60 °C. Furthermore, 10 mL of ammonia (25%) solution was added dropwise with continuous stirring. The color changed instantly to black, and further, the reaction was stirred for 1 hour at 60 °C. The Fe₃O₄ nanoparticles were separated magnetically and washed with water and ethanol four times to remove any impurities. Lastly, the material was dried in the oven at 60 °C for 12 hours.

Table 3 Comparison of the catalytic efficiency of the Fe₃O₄@EDTA/CuI with other various reported catalysts for the synthesis 2-(4-methylphenyl)-2,3-dihydroquinazolin-4(1H)-one

In 100 mL of distilled water, 1 g of synthesized $Fe₃O₄$ was dispersed with 1 g of 2Na-EDTA, and the mixture was sonicated for 30 min. The obtained $Fe₃O₄(QEDTA)$ was isolated magnetically, washed with water followed by ethanol, and then dried in a vacuum oven at 60 °C for 12 hours.

500 mg of $Fe₃O₄(QEDTA)$ was dispersed in 20 mL of distilled water and then 100 mg of CuI was added, and the mixture was stirred for 2 hours at room temperature. The final product was collected using a magnet and washed with distilled water and ethanol many times to eliminate impurities. The obtained catalyst Fe₃O₄@EDTA/CuI was dried at 60 °C for 12 hours.

3.2 General procedure for the synthesis of 2,3 dihydroquinazolin-4(1H)-ones

For the reaction, 0.5 mmol of aldehyde, 0.5 mmol of 2-aminobenzamide, 3 mL of ethanol and 20 mg of catalyst were added in a round bottom flask, and the mixture was refluxed under continuous stirring for the given time. The reaction was constantly monitored with the help of thin-layer chromatography. On completion of the reaction, the magnet was used to separate the catalyst from the reaction mixture. The product was purified using column chromatography to afford the final pure product. **PSC** Advances For Mind distribution of Pscheme Access Articles. Publish, 112.5, 112.4, 112.7, 112.4, 112.9, 112.4, 112.7, 113.4, 112.7, 113.4, 112.9, 112.4, 112.9, 112.4, 112.9, 112.4, 112.9, 112.4, 112.9, 112.4, 112.9,

4. Conclusion

In this work, we have developed a novel and efficient $Fe₃O₄(a)$ -EDTA/CuI catalyst to synthesize biologically interesting molecule 2,3-dihydroquinazolin-4 $(1H)$ -ones by reaction between 2aminobenzamide and different aldehydes under green conditions. The method includes advantages like short reaction time, high yield, ambient reaction conditions, no additives, greener pathway, and the excellent value of green chemistry metrics. Furthermore, a magnet can easily collect the nanocatalyst and reuse it six more times with a very slight reduction in its catalytic action.

5. Spectral data

5.1 2-(4-Methylphenyl)-2,3-dihydroquinazolin-4(1H)-one (3a)

White solid; yield: 97%; mp: 230–232 °C; ^1H NMR (DMSO- $d_6,$ 400 MHz): δ 8.21 (s, 1H), 7.58-7.56 (d, $J = 9.21$ Hz, 1H), 7.35-7.33 (d, $J = 8.11$ Hz, 2H), 7.22-7.14 (m, 3H), 7.03 (s, 1H), 6.72-6.61 (m, 2H), 5.67 (s, 1H), 2.25 (s, 3H). ¹³C NMR (DMSO- d_6 , 100 MHz): d 164.19, 148.45, 139.17, 138.25, 133.79, 129.34, 127.87, 127.33, 117.60, 115.52, 114.94, 66.92, 21.26. Anal. calcd for $C_{15}H_{14}N_{2}O$: C, 75.61; H, 5.92; N, 11.76; found C, 75.58; H, 5.94; N, 11.77.

5.2 2-(3-Methylphenyl)-2,3-dihydroquinazolin-4(1H)-one (3b)

Liquid; yield: 93%; 1 H NMR (DMSO- $d_{6},$ 400 MHz): δ 8.19 (s, 1H), 7.59–7.57 (d, $J = 7.70$ Hz, 1H), 7.28–7.12 (m, 5H), 7.03 (s, 1H), 7.35–7.33 (d, $J = 8.11$ Hz, 2H), 6.72–6.70 (d, $J = 7.97$ Hz, 1H), 6.65–6.62 (t, $J = 7.83$ Hz, 1H), 5.68 (s, 1H). ¹³C NMR (DMSO-d₆,

100 MHz): d 164.15, 148.44, 142.04, 137.95, 133.82, 129.61, 128.76, 128.03, 124.55, 117.61, 115.45, 114.91, 67.17, 21.60. Anal. calcd for $C_{15}H_{14}N_2O$: C, 75.61; H, 5.92; N, 11.76; found C, 75.64; H, 5.93; N, 11.79.

5.3 2-(2-Methylphenyl)-2,3-dihydroquinazolin-4(1H)-one (3c)

Liquid; yield: 91%; 1 H NMR (DMSO- $d_{6},$ 400 MHz): δ 8.08 (s, 1H), 7.69–7.67 (d, $J = 7.56$ Hz, 1H), 7.59–7.58 (d, $J = 6.60$ Hz, 1H), 7.27–7.21 (m, 4H), 6.88 (s, 1H), 6.79–6.69 (s, 2H), 6.02 (s, 1H). 13 C NMR (DMSO- d_6 , 100 MHz): δ 164.70, 149.12, 138.62, 136.68, 133.81, 131.25, 129.05, 128.02, 126.49, 117.81, 115.46, 115.07, 65.29, 19.35. Anal. calcd for $C_{15}H_{14}N_{2}O$: C, 75.61; H, 5.92; N, 11.76; found C, 75.62; H, 5.89; N, 11.72.

5.4 2-(4-Chlorophenyl)-2,3-dihydroquinazolin-4(1H)-one (3d)

White solid; yield: 92%; mp: 197–199 °C; ^1H NMR (DMSO- $d_{6},$ 400 MHz): δ 8.33 (s, 1H), 7.59-7.57 (d, J = 7.56 Hz, 1H), 7.49-7.41 (m, 4H), 7.24-7.19 (m, 1H), 7.12 (s, 1H), 6.73-6.71 (d, $J =$ 8.11 Hz, 1H), 6.66–6.63 (t, J = 7.56 Hz, 1H), 5.75 (s, 1H). ¹³C NMR (DMSO-d₆, 100 MHz): δ 164.06, 148.20, 141.17, 133.95, 129.30, 128.85, 127.92, 117.83, 115.47, 115.01, 66.31. Anal. calcd for C14H11ClN2O: C, 65.00; H, 4.29; N, 10.83; found C, 64.98; H, 4.26; N, 10.85.

5.5 2-(3-Chlorophenyl)-2,3-dihydroquinazolin-4(1H)-one (3e)

White solid; yield: 89%; mp: 184–186 °C; $^1\mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6},$ 400 MHz): δ 8.38 (s, 1H), 7.58–7.57 (d, J = 7.01 Hz, 1H), 7.50 (s, 1H), 7.40-7.37 (m, 3H), 7.24-7.20 (m, 2H), 6.74-6.72 (d, $J =$ 7.97 Hz, 1H), 6.67-6.63 (t, $J = 7.42$ Hz, 1H), 5.75 (s, 1H). ¹³C NMR (DMSO-d₆, 100 MHz): δ 163.98, 148.05, 144.91, 134.02, 133.51, 130.85, 128.82, 127.91, 127.29, 125.95, 117.87, 115.43, 115.02, 66.08. Anal. calcd for C₁₄H₁₁ClN₂O: C, 65.00; H, 4.29; N, 10.83; found C, 65.01; H, 4.33; N, 10.87.

5.6 2-(4-Bromophenyl)-2,3-dihydroquinazolin-4(1H)-one (3f)

White solid; yield: 87%; mp: 199–201 °C; $^1\text{H NMR}$ (DMSO- $d_{6},$ 400 MHz): δ 8.28 (s, 1H), 7.58–7.54 (t, J = 7.56 Hz, 3H), 7.42–7.39 $(d, J = 8.38 \text{ Hz}, 2\text{H}), 7.23 - 7.19 \text{ (t, } J = 7.56 \text{ Hz } 1\text{H}), 7.09 \text{ (s, } 1\text{H}),$ 6.72–6.63 (m, 2H), 5.72 (s, 1H). ¹³C NMR (DMSO- d_6 , 100 MHz): d 164.00, 148.15, 141.65, 133.93, 131.76, 129.61, 127.89, 122.08, 117.82, 115.47, 114.99, 66.34. Anal. calcd for $C_{14}H_{11}BrN_2O$: C, 55.47; H, 3.66; N, 9.24; found C, 55.48; H, 3.63; N, 9.26.

5.7 2-(3-Bromophenyl)-2,3-dihydroquinazolin-4(1H)-one (3g)

White solid; yield: 85%; mp: 199–201 °C; ^1H NMR (DMSO- $d_{6},$ 400 MHz): δ 8.38 (s, 1H), δ 7.64 (s, 1H), 7.58-7.57 (d, J = 7.70 Hz, 1H), 7.51–7.45 (m, 2H), 7.33–7.29 (t, $J = 7.83$ Hz, 1H), 7.24–7.20 $(m, 2H)$, 6.74–6.72 $(d, J = 7.97 \text{ Hz}, 1H)$, 6.67–6.63 $(t, J = 7.83 \text{ Hz},$ 1H), 5.75 (s, 1H). ¹³C NMR (DMSO- d_6 , 100 MHz): δ 163.98, 148.03, 145.14, 134.03, 131.71, 131.13, 130.18, 127.92, 126.32, 122.15, 117.88, 115.41, 115.02, 66.04. Anal. calcd for $C_{14}H_{11}BrN_2O$: C, 55.47; H, 3.66; N, 9.24; found C, 55.44; H, 3.66; N, 9.23.

5.8 2-(4-Methoxyphenyl)-2,3-dihydroquinazolin-4(1H)-one (3h)

White solid; yield: 93%; mp: = 181-183 °C; $^1\mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_6,$ 400 MHz): δ 8.17 (s, 1H), 7.57-7.56 (d, J = 7.83 Hz, 1H), 7.38-7.36 (d, $J = 8.79$, 2H), 7.21-7.17 (m, 1H), 6.98 (s, 1H), 6.91-6.88 $(d, J = 8.66 \text{ Hz}, 2\text{H})$, 6.70–6.68 $(d, J = 7.83 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{H})$, 6.65–6.61 (m, 1H), 5.66 (s, 1H), 3.69 (s, 3H). ¹³C NMR (DMSO- d_6 , 100 MHz): d 164.25, 159.95, 148.56, 133.95, 133.79, 128.76, 127.87, 117.623, 115.51, 114.94, 114.14, 66.83, 55.69. Anal. calcd for $C_{15}H_{14}N_2O_2$: C, 70.85; H, 5.55; N, 11.02; found C, 70.86; H, 5.55; N, 11.03. Paper

Sax Articles. 25

(bil)

White wildtyledd o.916 mpx – 181-182 °C; ¹H MWR (PMSO-d_os Common des registerances. S. *K.*, and 0. R. P. Commons A. *K.*, and 1. C. P. C. P. S. B. A. C. A. S. A. S. A. S. A. S. A. S. A.

5.9 2-(3-Methoxyphenyl)-2,3-dihydroquinazolin-4(1H)-one (3i)

Liquid; yield: 92%; 1 H NMR (DMSO- $d_{6},$ 400 MHz): δ 8.27 (s, 1H), 7.58–7.56 (d, $J = 7.70$ Hz, 1H), 7.28–7.19 (m, 2H), 7.09 (s, 1H), 7.03 (s, 2H), 6.88–6.86 (d, $J = 8.11$ Hz, 1H), 6.73–6.71 (s, $J =$ 8.11 Hz, 1H), 6.65–6.62 (m, 1H), 5.68 (s, 1H), 3.71 (s, 3H). 13C NMR (DMSO-d₆, 100 MHz): δ 164.09, 159.75, 148.34, 143.86, 133.85, 129.96, 127.87, 119.46, 117.65, 115.51, 114.94, 114.21, 113.10, 66.81, 55.61. Anal. calcd for C₁₅H₁₄N₂O₂: C, 70.85; H, 5.55; N, 11.02; found C, 70.88; H, 5.53; N, 11.01.

5.10 2-(Furan-2-yl-phenyl)-2,3-dihydroquinazolin-4(1H)-one (3j)

White solid; yield: 92%; mp: 168–170 °C; ^1H NMR (DMSO- $d_6,$ 400 MHz): δ 8.38 (s, 1H), 7.58-7.56 (d, $J = 7.56$ Hz, 2H), 7.22-7.18 (m, 2H), 6.72–6.69 (d, $J = 7.97$ Hz 1H), 6.65–6.62 (t, $J =$ 7.70 Hz 2H), 6.34-6.33 (m, 1H), 6.23-6.22 (d, $J = 3.16$ Hz, 1H), 5.71 (s, 1H). ¹³C NMR (DMSO- d_6 , 100 MHz): δ 163.80, 155.04, 147.66, 143.29, 133.81, 127.79, 117.75, 115.46, 114.99, 110.83, 107.67, 60.71. Anal. calcd for $C_{12}H_{10}N_2O_2$: C, 67.28; H, 4.71; N, 13.08; found C, 67.29; H, 4.69; N, 13.09.

5.11 2-(2-Nitrophenyl)-2,3-dihydroquinazolin-4(1H)-one (3k)

Yellow solid; yield: 87%; ¹H NMR (DMSO- d_6 , 400 MHz): δ 8.18 (s, 1H), 7.95 (s, 1H), 7.78–7.48 (m, 2H), 7.15 (s, 2H), 6.93 (s, 2H), 6.70–6.62 (d, $J = 34.90$ Hz, 2H), 6.29 (s, 1H). ¹³C NMR (DMSO- d_6 , 100 MHz): d 163.63, 147.72, 147.24, 136.07, 134.22, 133.99, 133.71, 129.93, 129.02, 127.47, 124.84, 117.83, 115.04, 114.60, 62.34. Anal. calcd for $C_{14}H_{11}N_3O_3$: C, 62.45; H, 4.12; N, 15.61; found C, 62.47.; H, 4.11; N, 15.64.

5.12 2-(3-Nitrophenyl)-2,3-dihydroquinazolin-4(1H)-one (3l)

Yellow solid; yield: 89%; ¹H NMR (DMSO- d_6 , 400 MHz): δ 8.59 (s, 1H), 8.38 (s, 1H), 8.19-8.17 (d, $J = 8.11$ Hz, 1H), 7.96-7.94 (d, $J =$ 7.70 Hz, 1H), 7.68–7.63 (m, 2H), 7.56–7.54 (d, $J = 7.83$ Hz, 1H), 7.37 (s, 1H), 7.28–7.24 (t, $J = 7.28$ Hz, 1H), 7.14–7.10 (t, $J =$ 7.28 Hz, 1H), 5.97 (s, 1H). ¹³C NMR (DMSO- d_6 , 100 MHz): d 163.58, 147.78, 147.40, 133.73, 133.44, 132.02, 130.07, 128.87, 117.68, 116.54, 115.01, 114.71, 114.52, 65.32. Anal. calcd for C₁₄H₁₁N₃O₃: C, 62.45; H, 4.12; N, 15.61; found C, 62.44.; H, 4.12; N, 15.62.

Author contributions

S. K., G. R., S. H., and R. C. designed the schemes. S. K. performed the experiments. S. K., and G. R. evaluated the data and prepared the figures and tables. G. R., S. K., S. H., and R. C. revised and reviewed the manuscript.

Conflicts of interest

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

Acknowledgements

S. K. acknowledges CSIR for the award of Junior Research Fellowship (File No. 08/0529(13252)/2022-EMR-I) and also thankful to the University of Delhi, India-110007.

References

- 1 K. Zhu, Y. Ju, J. Xu, Z. Yang, S. Gao and Y. Hou, Acc. Chem. Res., 2018, 51, 404–413.
- 2 L. Shiri, H. Narimani and M. Kazemi, Appl. Organomet. Chem., 2018, 32, 3927.
- 3 L. Shiri, S. Zarei, M. Kazemi and D. Sheikh, Appl. Organomet. Chem., 2017, 32, 3938.
- 4 R. Sharma, S. Dutta and S. Sharma, Dalton Trans., 2015, 44, 1303–1316.
- 5 Y. Long, K. Liang, J. Niu, X. Tong, B. Yuan and J. Ma, New J. Chem., 2015, 39, 2988–2996.
- 6 W. Li, Y. Tian, B. Zhang, L. Tian, X. Li, H. Zhang, N. Ali and Q. Zhang, New J. Chem., 2015, 39, 2767–2777.
- 7 J. Niu, F. Wang, X. Zhu, J. Zhao and J. Ma, RSC Adv., 2014, 4, 37761–37766.
- 8 T. C. Nugent and M. El-Shazly, Adv. Synth. Catal., 2010, 352, 753–819.
- 9 L. Lykke, C. Rodríguez-Escrich and K. A. Jørgensen, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2011, 133, 14932–14935.
- 10 Y.-L. Shi and M. Shi, Org. Biomol. Chem., 2007, 5, 1499–1504.
- 11 W. Xie and J. Wang, Energy Fuels, 2014, 28, 2624–2631.
- 12 S. Shylesh, V. Sch€unemann and W. R. Thiel, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2010, 49, 3428.
- 13 R. Kottappara, S. C. Pillai, B. K. Vijayan and B. K. Inorg, Chem. Commun., 2020, 121, 108181.
- 14 M. R. Decan, S. Impellizzeri, M. L. Marin and J. C. Scaiano, Nat. Commun., 2014, 5, 1–8.
- 15 K. Yoshida, C. Gonzalez-Arellano, R. Luque and P. L. Gai, Appl. Catal., A, 2010, 379, 38–44.
- 16 S. Reymond and J. Cossy, Chem. Rev., 2008, 108, 535931.
- 17 R. Zhang, J. Liu, S. Wang, J. Niu, C. Xia and W. Sun, ChemCatChem, 2011, 3, 146–149.
- 18 K. Swapna, S. N. Murthy, M. T. Jyothi and Y. V. D. Nageswar, Org. Biomol. Chem., 2011, 9, 5989–5996.
- 19 K. Swapna, S. N. Murthy and Y. V. D. Nageswar, Eur. J. Org. Chem., 2011, 10, 1940–1946.
- 20 S. Ishikawa, R. Hudson and A. Moores, Heterocycles, 2012, 86, 1023–1030.
- 21 B. A. Kumar, K. H. V. Reddy, B. Madhav, K. Ramesh and Y. V. D. Nageswar, Tetrahedron Lett., 2012, 53, 4595–4599.
- 22 (a) M. J. Aliaga, D. J. Ramón and M. Yus, Org. Biomol. Chem., 2010, 8, 43–46; (b) M. Rawat, T. Taniike and D. S. Rawat, ChemCatChem, 2022, 14, e202101926; (c) R. N. Baig and R. S. Varma, Chem. Commun., 2012, 48, 2582–2584; (d) M. Nasrollahzadeh, N. Motahharifar, Z. Nezafat and M. Shokouhimehr, Colloid Interface Sci. Commun., 2021, 44, 100471.
- 23 (a) T. Song, P. Ren, Z. Ma, J. Xiao and Y. Yang, ACS Sustainable Chem. Eng., 2019, 8, 267–277; (b) S. Yadav, A. Jain and P. Malhotra, Green Chem., 2019, 21, 937–955; (c) M. H. D. Dang, T. T. M. Nguyen, L. H. T. Nguyen, T. T. T. Nguyen, T. B. Phan, P. H. Tran and T. L. H. Doan, New J. Chem., 2020, 44, 14529–14535; (d) L. H. T. Nguyen, T. T. T. Nguyen, Y. T. Dang, P. H. Tran and T. Le Hoang Doan, Asian J. Org. Chem., 2019, 8, 2276–2281; (e) L. H. T. Nguyen, T. T. T. Nguyen, M. H. D. Dang, P. H. Tran and T. L. H. Doan, Mol. Catal., 2021, 499, 111291–111299. **PSC** Advances Common Common Common Access Articles. Published on 11 January 2023. Downloaded on 11 January 2023. Downloaded on 12 January 2023. Downloaded on 12 January 2023. Downloaded on 1/9 January 2023. Downloaded on
	- 24 R. Noel, N. Gupta, V. Pons, A. Goudet, M. D. Garcia-Castillo, A. Michau, J. Martinez, D. A. Buisson, L. Johannes, D. Gillet and J. Barbier, J. Med. Chem., 2013, 56, 3404–3413.
	- 25 R. Williams, C. M. Niswender, Q. Luo, U. Le, P. J. Conn and C. W. Lindsley, Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett., 2009, 19, 962–966.
	- 26 U. A. Kshirsagar, Org. Biomol. Chem., 2015, 36, 9336–9352.
	- 27 D. Rambabu, S. K. Kumar, B. Y. Sreenivas, S. Sandra, A. Kandale, P. Misra, B. Rao and M. V. Manojit Pal, Tetrahedron Lett., 2013, 54, 495–501.
	- 28 H. Li, H. Guo, Y. Su, Y. Hiraga, Z. Fang, E. J. Hensen, M. Watanabe and R. L. Smith, Nat. Commun., 2019, 10, 1–13. 29 W. Su and B. Yang, Aust. J. Chem., 2002, 55, 695–697.
	- 30 S. W. Li, M. G. Nair, D. M. Edwards, R. L. Kisliuk, Y. Gaumont, I. K. Dev, D. S. Duch, J. Humphreys, G. K. Smith and R. J. Ferone, Med. Chem., 1991, 34, 2746.
	- 31 J. A. Moore, G. J. Sutherlarnod, R. Sowerbey, E. G. Kelly, S. Palermo and W. J. Webster, Org. Chem., 1969, 34, 887.
	- 32 J. M. Khurana and G. Kukreja, J. Heterocycl. Chem., 2003, 40, 677.
- 33 B. S. Reddy, A. Venkateswarlu, C. Madan and A. Vinu, Tetrahedron Lett., 2011, 52, 1891–1894.
- 34 M. Wang, J. J. Gao, Z. G. Song and L. Wang, Chem. Heterocycl. Compd., 2011, 47, 851–855.
- 35 G. Yashwantrao, V. P. Jejurkar, R. Kshatriya and S. Saha, ACS Sustainable Chem. Eng., 2019, 7, 13551–13558.
- 36 A. A. Khan, K. Mitra, A. Mandal, N. Baildya and M. A. Mondal, Heteroat. Chem., 2017, 28, 21379.
- 37 M. Ghashang, S. S. Mansoor and K. Aswin, Res. Chem. Intermed., 2015, 41, 3447–3460.
- 38 M. Abdollahi-Alibeik and E. Shabani, Chin. Chem. Lett., 2011, 22, 1163–1166.
- 39 S. Kohli, G. Rathee, S. Hooda and R. Chandra, Dalton Trans., 2020, 50, 7750–7758.
- 40 G. Rathee, S. Kohli, N. Singh, A. Awasthi and R. Chandra, ACS Omega, 2020, 5, 15673–15680.
- 41 G. Rathee, S. Kohli, S. Panchal, N. Singh, A. Awasthi, S. Singh, A. Singh, S. Hooda and R. Chandra, ACS Omega, 2020, 5, 23967–23974.
- 42 Y. Liu, M. Chen and H. Yongmei, Chem. Eng. J., 2013, 218, 46–54.
- 43 R. Mostafalu, B. Kaboudin, F. Kazemi and T. Yokomatsu, RSC Adv., 2014, 4, 49273–49279.
- 44 X. Wang, Y. Shen, A. Xie, L. Qiu, S. Li and Y. Wang, J. Mater. Chem., 2011, 21, 9641–964643.
- 45 G. Tong, Y. Liu, T. Wu, Y. Ye and C. Tong, Nanoscale, 2015, 7, 16493–16503.
- 46 C. Jin, Q. Zhang, W. B. Mi, E. Y. Jiang and H. L. Bai, J. Phys. D: Appl. Phys., 2010, 43, 385001.
- 47 W. Yang, B. Vogler, Y. Lei and T. Wu, Environ. Sci.: Water Res. Technol., 2017, 3, 1143–1151.
- 48 M. Hajjami, F. Ghorbani and Z. Yousofvand, Appl. Organomet. Chem., 2017, 31, 3843.
- 49 S. Gajare, M. Jagadale, A. Naikwade, P. Bansode, P. Patil and G. Rashinkar, J. Heterocycl. Chem., 2020, 57, 89–102.
- 50 R. Katla, R. Chowrasia, C. D. da Silva, A. R. de Oliveira, B. F. dos Santos and N. L. Domingues, Synthesis, 2017, 49, 5143–5148.
- 51 N. Azizi and M. Edrisi, J. Mol. Liq., 2020, 300, 112263.