# RSC Advances



### PAPER

Cite this: RSC Adv., 2023, 13, 7168

Received 16th January 2023 Accepted 17th February 2023

DOI: 10.1039/d3ra00332a

rsc.li/rsc-advances

### Introduction

Ketone is a chemical function group frequently found in bioactive molecules,<sup>1</sup> and ketone-containing compounds are routinely employed as building blocks in syntheses of complex molecules.<sup>2</sup> Among different means of preparation,<sup>3</sup> C-H bond oxidation, converting alcohols and alkylbenzenes to corresponding ketones, offers a direct route towards this class of compounds. Despite successes of traditional stoichiometric oxidants,<sup>4,5</sup> molecular oxygen  $(O_2)$ , because of its abundance, low cost, and environmentally benign nature, is preferred as a waste-free oxidant for C–H bond oxidation of alkylbenzenes and alcohols.<sup>6</sup> However, due to the inertness of  $O<sub>2</sub>$  towards closed-shell organic molecules and limited solubility of  $O<sub>2</sub>$  in organic solvents under ambient pressure,<sup>7</sup> a unified approach, capable of delivering scalable aerobic C–H bond oxidation in an operationally simple fashion such as batch setting with ambient air, is highly valuable but challenging to develop. Many thermal methods for C–H bond oxidation of alcohols and alkylbenzenes

## Organo-photocatalytic C–H bond oxidation: an operationally simple and scalable method to prepare ketones with ambient air†

Ky Nguyen,\* Van Nguyen, Hieu Tran and Phong Pham  $\mathbb{D}^*$ 

Oxidative C–H functionalization with  $O_2$  is a sustainable strategy to convert feedstock-like chemicals into valuable products. Nevertheless, eco-friendly O<sub>2</sub>-utilizing chemical processes, which are scalable yet operationally simple, are challenging to develop. Here, we report our efforts, via organo-photocatalysis, in devising such protocols for catalytic C–H bond oxidation of alcohols and alkylbenzenes to ketones using ambient air as the oxidant. The protocols employed tetrabutylammonium anthraquinone-2 sulfonate as the organic photocatalyst which is readily available from a scalable ion exchange of inexpensive salts and is easy to separate from neutral organic products. Cobalt(II) acetylacetonate was found to be greatly instrumental to oxidation of alcohols and therefore was included as an additive in evaluating the alcohol scope. The protocols employed a nontoxic solvent, could accommodate a variety of functional groups, and were readily scaled to 500 mmol scale in a simple batch setting using roundbottom flasks and ambient air. A preliminary mechanistic study of C–H bond oxidation of alcohols supported the validity of one possible mechanistic pathway, nested in a more complex network of potential pathways, in which the anthraquinone form – the oxidized form – of the photocatalyst activates alcohols and the anthrahydroquinone form – the relevant reduced form of the photocatalyst – activates  $O<sub>2</sub>$ . A detailed mechanism, which reflected such a pathway and was consistent with previously accepted mechanisms, was proposed to account for formation of ketones from aerobic C–H bond oxidation of both alcohols and alkylbenzenes. **PAPER**<br> **Check for undates**<br> **Check for undeter and Schemer Schemer Schemer Schemer Schemer Schemer Schemer Schemer** 

require pure  $O_2$  atmosphere or high pressure of inert-gasdiluted  $O_2$ ,<sup>8</sup> elevated temperature,<sup>9</sup> toxic/corrosive solvents,<sup>10</sup> expensive transition metal catalysts, $11$  and prolonged reaction time<sup>12</sup> which are undesirable from cost and safety considerations especially when large-scale applications are concerned (Fig. 1A).

Over the last two decades, various photocatalytic modes of activation have emerged to activate otherwise unreactive molecules through intermediacy of C-centered organic radicals.<sup>13</sup> By rendering organic molecules open-shell, energetic barriers was significantly lowered for  $O_2$  incorporation step into organic substrates.<sup>14</sup> Many photocatalytic procedures, capable of activating C–H bonds in unactivated aliphatic alcohols and less electron-rich alkylbenzene derivatives, relied on indirect hydrogen atom transfer catalysis (HAT)<sup>15</sup> due to inertness of the aforementioned substrates toward photo-induced electron transfer. In this activation mode, "secondary" reactive species, which activate C–H bonds of organic substrates such as singlet  $O_2$  or heteroatom-centered radicals, formed from  $O_2$  and radical precursors upon quenching a photocatalyst in its excited state.<sup>16</sup> These redox cascades, despite being elegant and capable of effectively harnessing alcohols and alkylbenzenes into many chemical transformations, might be kinetically compromised from a low concentration of "secondary" reactive species,

Faculty of Chemistry, University of Science, Vietnam National University, Hanoi, Vietnam. E-mail: phvpham@hus.edu.vn; nvknguyen.hus@gmail.com

<sup>†</sup> Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. See DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1039/d3ra00332a>





rendering substrate activation step inefficient. This, along with inherent challenges associated with an aerobic photochemical process such as light penetration<sup>17</sup> and low dissolved oxygen concentration,<sup>7</sup> might hamper the scalability of developed aerobic photocatalytic procedures (Fig. 1B). Indeed, scalable yet operationally simple procedures for aerobic C–H bond photooxidation of alcohols and alkylbenzenes are rarely reported.

We anticipated that an efficient catalytic approach for C–H bond photo-oxidation would require a facile direct hydrogen atom transfer (HAT) between the excited state of the photocatalyst and organic substrates. Accordingly, we expected that a strong thermodynamic driving force for HAT step would be necessary for efficient catalysis. In addition, an effective oxidative recycling of the photocatalyst by  $O_2$  is crucial to the overall success of the catalytic protocol. Anthraquinone (AQ) derivatives have been employed as mediators for industrial hydrogen peroxide production, which takes advantages of a spontaneous aerobic oxidation of anthrahydroquinone  $(H_2AQ)$  – one reduced form of anthraquinone (Fig.  $1C$ ).<sup>18</sup> Therefore, we envisioned that thanks to the facile redox reaction of  $H_2AQ$  with  $O_2$ , AQ derivatives, which are commercially and synthetically available with diverse substituents and substitution patterns,<sup>19</sup> represent a rich pool of potential catalysts for oxidative transformations with  $O_2$ . Furthermore, the triplet state of photoexcited AQ\* possesses high triplet energy of 62.9 kcal mol−<sup>1</sup> and therefore should have enough thermodynamic driving force for

a hydrogen atom transfer with alcohols and alkylbenzenes.<sup>20,21</sup> Therefore, in this study, we sought to develop scalable yet operationally simple protocols for aerobic C–H bond oxidation of alcohols and alkylbenzenes based on photocatalytic reactivity of anthraquinone derivatives (with an oversimplied design plan outlined tentatively in Fig. 1D).

### Results and discussion

We initiated our study by subjecting different anthraquinone derivatives to catalytic oxidation of 4-tert-butylcyclohexanol (commercially available as mixture of cis and trans diastereomers) at 2 mmol scale using ambient air as the oxidant and acetone as the solvent (Table 1). To our delight, while catalytic oxidations mediated by AQ derivatives with strong electrondonating substituents provided diminished yields of ketones (Table 1, entries 1–3, 0–11% yield), those employed AQ derivatives with weak electron-donating or electron-withdrawing substituents afforded higher than 30% yield of ketone and with almost 100% selectivity (Table 1, entries 4–8, 34–54% yield). This was promising, given only 5 mol% of catalysts were used and samples were merely irradiated for 50 min. This result prompted us to try another cheap commercially available anthraquinone derivative, sodium anthraquinone-2-sulfonate monohydrate  $(2-AQ-SO<sub>3</sub>Na·H<sub>2</sub>O)$  which possesses a strong electron-withdrawing group, for catalytic oxidation.

Table 1 Evaluation of anthraquinone derivatives in alcohol oxidation



 $a$  2 mmol scale in 10 mL acetone, ambient air, with one 25 W 400-410 nm Lamp.  $b^{1}$ H NMR yield with 1,3,5-trimethoxybenzene as internal standard. <sup>c</sup> Prestir 30 min. <sup>d</sup> With 5 mol% NBu<sub>4</sub>Cl, prestir 30 min. <sup>e</sup> With 1 mol% Co(acac)<sub>2</sub>. <sup>f</sup> With 5 mol% NBu<sub>4</sub>Cl and 1 mol%  $Co(acac)<sub>2</sub>$ , prestir 30 min.

Nevertheless, due to low solubility of this salt in acetone, only 15% yield of ketone was achieved (Table 1, entry 9). By adding 5 mol% tetrabutylammonium chloride, NBu4Cl, as a phase transfer catalyst, the catalytic oxidation afforded 57% of ketone (Table 1, entry 10) which is in accordance with catalytic trend of other AQ derivatives (Table 1, entries 4–8). Independent ion exchange between 2-AQ-SO<sub>3</sub>Na $\cdot$ H<sub>2</sub>O and NBu<sub>4</sub>Cl (see ESI†) provided a previously undocumented compound, tetrabutylammonium anthraquinone-2-sulfonate (1a) (Fig. 1D), which was as effective at catalysing alcohol oxidation (Table 1, entry 11, 53% yield) as an equimolar mixture of 2-AQ-SO<sub>3</sub>Na  $\cdot$  H<sub>2</sub>O and NBu4Cl (Table 1, entry 10, 57% yield). Among these three best catalytic systems 2-Me-AQ, an equimolar mixture of  $2$ -AQ-SO<sub>3</sub>-Na $\cdot$ H<sub>2</sub>O and NBu<sub>4</sub>Cl, and 1a (Table 1, entries 5, 10 and 11), we considered 1a to be most convenient for preparative synthesis for two reasons. First, 1a is easy to make in large scale, benchstable, highly soluble, and non-hygroscopic so it should be easier to handle than an equimolar mixture of insoluble 2-AQ- $SO_3$ Na $\cdot$ H<sub>2</sub>O and a highly hygroscopic NBu<sub>4</sub>Cl salt in both small scale synthesis and upscale synthesis using flow reactors. Second, 1a is ionic and should be easy to separate from the neutral organic substrates and products by simple filtration through a silica gel plug, while neutral anthraquinone derivatives are notorious for low solubility in conventional organic solvents and might present a purification challenge when used in higher loadings.

For the aforementioned reasons, 1a was employed for further optimization. We were pleased to find that an inclusion of merely 1 mol% of  $Co(acac)_2$  in catalytic oxidation with 1a gave full conversion of 4-tert-butylcyclohexanol and 94% of ketone (Table 1, entry 12). A similar effect of  $Co(\text{ac}a)_2$  was also

observed when an equimolar mixture of 2-AQ-SO<sub>3</sub>Na $\cdot$ H<sub>2</sub>O and NBu4Cl was employed to afford a comparable ketone yield of 90% (Table 1, entry 13).

With the optimized condition in hands, we sought to explore the substrate scope of the developed C–H bond oxidation protocol for alcohol using 5 mol% of 1a and 1 mol% of Co(acac)<sub>2</sub>. Secondary unactivated aliphatic alcohols showed excellent reactivity under the optimized condition to afford ketones in good to excellent yields (Table 2). Catalytic oxidations were fast with virtually full conversion in 1–2 mmol scales within less than 2 h in all cases, even in challenging cases of sterically hindered alcohols (Table 2, entries 6–8, 13, 70–92% yield) which previously required pure  $O_2$  atmosphere and either prolonged irradiation or elevated temperature.<sup>8c,16a,22</sup> A range of functional groups were well tolerated, including large-ring cyclic secondary alcohol (Table 2, entry 5, 80% yield), tertiary C–H bonds (Table 2, entries 2, 4, 6–8, 12 and 13, 76–92% yield), TBS-protected ether (Table 2, entry 9, 53% yield), ester (Table 2, entries 10 and 11, 78–95% yield), electronically deactivated benzylic C–H bond (Table 2, entry 11, 78% yield), ketone (Table 2, entry 12, 89% yield), and enone (Table 2, entry 13, 80% yield). After assessing the scope of aliphatic alcohols, a variety of secondary benzylic alcohols were subjected to the developed alcohol oxidation protocol. Secondary benzylic alcohols, bearing both electron-donating and electron-withdrawing substituents, was readily oxidized in excellent yields (>90%) in 1–2 mmol scale (Table 2, entries 14–20 and 22–23, 92–99% yield) with time to completion of less than 1 h. Nitro-groupbearing benzylic alcohol (Table 2, entry 21), which is electronically deactivated by a strong electron-withdrawing group and has a relatively colored ketone product, while proved to be challenging to other aerobic photo-oxidation,<sup>16a</sup> was amenable to our developed procedure to yield 96% of ketone. Secondary benzylic alcohol substrates with aromatic ether (Table 2, entry 23, 99% yield) and tertiary C–H bond (Table 2, entry 24, 94% yield) were well tolerated and afforded excellent yields of ketones. Benzylic alcohol substrates containing benzylic C–H bonds were more challenging with a diminished 72% yield of ketone (Table 2, entry 25), and the analogous oxidation of 1-(4 ethylphenyl)ethan-1-ol (Table 2, entry 26) provided 82% of 1,4 diacetylbenzene, where alcohol oxidation was concurrent with oxygenation of benzylic C–H bonds. As a representative example of primary benzylic alcohol (Table 2, entry 27), catalytic oxidation of benzyl alcohol resulted in a good 70% yield of benzoic acid in 2 mmol scale. **PSC Advances**<br>
Table 1. Download or Schwarz Station is a considered value of 2-04/30.36.11,0 and the common common

> From the results of catalytic oxidation of 1-(4-ethylphenyl) ethan-1-ol (Table 2, entry 26), we noticed the reactivity of benzylic C–H bonds toward oxidation under the standard condition. Further control experiments suggested that while  $Co(acac)_2$  was still instrumental to photochemical aerobic benzylic oxidation, its effect in benzylic oxidation is less dramatic than that in alcohol oxidation (Table 3, entry 28). Therefore, we decided to explore the scope of alkylbenzene oxidation using a sole organocatalytic approach with 1a as the catalyst and no additives (Table 3). To our delight, ethylbenzene derivatives bearing a variety of functional groups were amenable to catalytic aerobic oxidation with 1a (Table 3, entries





 $a$  2 mmol scale in 10 mL acetone with one 25 W 400-410 nm LEDs lamp.  $b$  In MeCN, isolated as 2,4-dinitrophenylhydrazone due to product volatility.  $\epsilon$  1 mmol scale in 10 mL acetone.  $\epsilon$  From rac-borneol.  $\epsilon$  from rac-isoborneol.  $\epsilon$  2 mmol scale in 15 mL acetone.  $\epsilon$  With 3 mol% Co(acac)<sub>2</sub>. h With two 25 W 400–410 nm LEDs Lamps. <sup>i</sup> From 1-(4-ethylphenyl)ethan-1-ol. All yield values are yields of the isolated compounds.

28–34, 57–100% yield). Noticeably, ethylbenzene derivatives with electron-withdrawing groups, which were normally recalcitrant to or absent in scope of other aerobic benzylic photooxidation protocols,<sup>23</sup> could be converted to ketones in average to good yields (Table 3, entries 30–34, 57–89% yield). Benzylic substrates with acetyl-protected benzylic alcohols (Table 3, entries 35 and 37, 83–87% yield) underwent aerobic oxidation smoothly to afford good yields of ketones, while oxidation of

a substrate with acetyl-protected alcohol (Table 3, entry 36, 56% yield), which is positioned in close proximity of benzylic C–H bonds, was less effective. Using 2-methoxyanthraquinone (1b) as catalyst, oxygenation can be affected in substrates with benzylic C–H bonds that is at alpha position to aliphatic ether (Table 3, entries 38–39, 69–83% yield). Highly activated benzylic C–H substrates with extended conjugate systems in the ketone products were also amenable to catalytic oxidation with 1a



<sup>a</sup> With one 25 W 400–410 nm LEDs lamp.  $^b$  With 5 mol% 1a.  $^c$  With 10 mol% 1a.  $^d$  With 20 mol% 1a.  $^e$  2 mmol scale in 10 mL acetone.  $^f$  1 mmol scale in 20 mL acetone.  $^h$  With 5 mol% 2-OMe-AQ in place of 1a.  $^$ From 4-methylanisole. "" With 1 mol% Co(acac)<sub>2</sub>. "HPLC yield with 1,4-dimethoxybenzene as internal standard. " With two 25 W 400-410 nm LEDs lamps. All yield values are yields of the isolated compounds, unless noticed.

(Table 3, entries 40–43, 49–88% yield). As a representative example of primary alkylbenzenes (Table 3, entry 44), catalytic oxidation of 4-methylanisole resulted in 83% yield of 4 methoxybenzoic acid in 2 mmol scale.

### Mechanistic investigation

After evaluating the substrate scope, we sought to study the mechanism by which 1a catalyzes aerobic C–H bond oxidation of organic substrates. With alcohols as chosen substrates, we conducted a set of control experiments to evaluate the role of reaction variables (Table 4). A significant difference in yields between experiments under air (Table 4, entries 1 and 4, 53– 94% yield) and experiments under Ar (Table 4, entries 6, 8 and 9, 5–7% yield) hinted at a critical role of  $O_2$  as the terminal oxidant. A control experiment without 1a (Table 4, entry 3, 0% yield) confirmed the necessity of 1a in the optimized procedure, and no-light experiments (Table 4, entries 2, 5, 7 and 10, 0% yield) confirmed an exclusive photochemical, not thermal,

oxidation of alcohols under conditions of the developed protocol. Non-zero yields in control experiments under Ar (Table 4, entries 8 and 9, 5–7% yield) suggested that 1a in the presence of a light source can oxidize alcohols even in the absence of  $O<sub>2</sub>$ . Therefore, we investigated closely the direct photochemical reaction of 1a and alcohols.

A stoichiometric photo-assisted reaction between 1a and cyclooctanol under inert atmosphere afforded tetrabutylammonium anthrahydroquinone-2-sulfonate (1c) as a yellow precipitate (Fig. 2), of which the structural assignment was consistent with  ${}^{1}$ H and  ${}^{13}$ C NMR data of the isolated solid (see ESI†). This corresponds to a formal reduction of AQ core by one alcohol molecule. It is worth mentioning that the Hollman group proposed a putative mechanism for aerobic oxidation with sodium anthraquinone-2-sulfonate in which the catalyst cycles between AQ form and 9,10-dihydroxy-9,10 dihydroanthracence form which corresponds to a formal reduction of AQ core by two alcohol molecules.<sup>21f</sup> We therefore studied the photochemical reaction between 1a and alcohols

Table 4 Control experiments for photocatalytic alcohol oxidation



 $a$  2 mmol scale in 10 mL acetone, ambient air, with one 25 W 400-410 nm LEDs lamp.  $<sup>b</sup>$  <sup>1</sup>H NMR yield with 1,3,5-trimethoxybenzene as</sup> internal standard.



Fig. 2 Photochemical stoichiometric reaction of 1a and cyclooctanol.<br><sup>a</sup> 0.4 mmol 1a and 0.6 mmol cyclooctanol in 6 mL acetone, under argon atmosphere, irradiated by one 25 W 400–410 nm LEDs lamp for 16 h.

under a more catalytically relevant condition in order to address the following mechanistic questions:

(1) Are 1a and alcohols cleanly converted to 1c and ketones, respectively, under a catalytically relevant condition?

(2) If 1c is formed, can 1c be cleanly oxidized to 1a by ambient air?

We anticipated that a qualitative test that could detect characteristic features of 1c and a quantitative test that correlated the amount of 1c and ketone formed over a range of reaction conversion would unambiguously address question 1. Specifically, a high fidelity of 1a-to-1c and alcohol-to-ketone conversion under catalytically relevant condition would predict a 1 : 1 ratio of 1c and ketone formed over the course of the photo-oxidation under inert atmosphere.

Indeed, upon irradiating a  $0.2$  M acetone-d $6$  solution of cyclooctanol and 10 mol%  ${\bf 1a}$  (Fig. 3A) under Ar and taking  $^1{\rm H}$ NMR of the resultant mixture at indicated time points (Fig. 3B– D), a set of new signals in the aromatic region appeared that were consistent with the structural assignment of 1c.<sup>24</sup> Also, relative intensity between peaks in this set of signals were





Fig. 3 NMR study of a photochemical reaction between 1a and cyclooctanol. Condition: 0.016 mmol 1a (10 mol%) and 0.16 mmol cyclooctanol in 0.8 mL acetone-d6 (mixture A) in a NMR tube under argon atmosphere, irradiated by one 25 W 400–410 nm LEDs lamp (see ESI†). \*1c; \*\*cyclooctanol (CH(OH)); \*\*\*cyclooctanone (CH2– CO)

essentially constant over the course of reaction (Fig. 3B–D) which confirmed that this set of signals indeed belonged to a single molecule and did not appear by chance. This set of signals co-evolved with a characteristic signal of cyclooctanone at  $\delta = 2.34$  ppm across reaction conversion and a 2:1 ratio of intensity between signals at  $\delta = 2.34$  ppm of cyclooctanone and  $\delta = 7.40$  ppm of 1c were maintained over the same set of experiments (Fig. 3B–D). This corresponded to  $1:1$  ratio of  $1c$ and cyclooctanone formed across different reaction conversions. This observation supported a clean conversion of 1a to 1c that is concomitant with alcohol oxidation to ketones upon irradiation. Therefore, at least under argon atmosphere, photoirradiation of a mixture of 1a and alcohols resulted in a clean putative transfer of 2 hydrogen atoms from alcohols to AQ core to form ketone products and  $H_2AQ$  core. A quick comparison of NMR data of the final reaction mixture upon exposure to air (Fig. 3E) and that of the initial mixture (Fig. 3A) confirmed a clean oxidation of 1c to 1a by ambient air.<sup>25</sup> Overall, these observations supported one possible mechanistic pathway for alcohol oxidation in which 1a functions as an alcohol-activating photocatalyst and 1c, the reduced form of 1a, acts as a mediator for  $O_2$  activation (Fig. 4A and B). Based on these, we proposed a detailed mechanistic pathway that reflect both potential



Fig. 4 Proposed mechanism of aerobic C–H bond oxidation of alcohols and alkylbenzenes.

validity of the aforementioned mechanistic pathways and explanatory function of previously proposed mechanisms for aerobic C–H bond oxidation of both alcohols and alkylbenzenes with anthraquinone derivatives (Fig. 4).<sup>26</sup>

Initially, 1a absorbs light and is excited, with intersystem crossing, to a triplet excited state 1a\*. The triplet excited state 1a\* might participate in a thermodynamically favorable hydrogen atom transfer with organic substrates (alcohols and alkylbenzenes) to yield the semi-anthrahydroquinone (1a-H<sup>t</sup>) and a C-centered organic radical (Fig. 4A).<sup>20,27</sup> Based on our study of photochemical reaction of 1a and alcohol under inert atmosphere (Fig. 3) which establish a clean 1 : 1 ratio of 1c and ketone formed upon irradiation, we proposed elementary steps in Fig. 4B to be operative in high fidelity, at least in the absence of  $O_2$  for oxidation of alcohols. The disproportionations of 1a-H<sup>t</sup> (Fig. 4B1) and ketyl radical (Fig. 4B2) yield 1c and ketone, respectively, and alternatively a second hydrogen atom transfer between 1a-H<sup>'</sup> and ketyl radical (Fig. 4B3) can give rise to 1c and ketone. In the presence of  $O_2$ , we hypothesize a more complex set of elementary steps to be in operation (Fig. 4C),<sup>26</sup> in addition to potentially operative elementary steps outlined in Fig. 4B. The C-centered organic radical, formed from HAT between 1a\* and organic substrate (Fig. 4A), could trap  $O<sub>2</sub>$  to yield organic peroxy radical (Fig. 4C1), which subsequently oxidizes the semianthrahydroquinone  $(1a-H<sup>2</sup>)$  through hydrogen atom transfer to yield organic peroxide and give back 1a (Fig. 4C2). The decomposition of the resulting organic peroxide to ketone

product might depend on the identity of the substituent X (Fig. 4C3 and C4), with expulsion of hydrogen peroxide if X is hydroxy group<sup>21a</sup> and with simple dehydration if X is hydrogen as in the case of benzylic C-H oxidation.<sup>21c</sup> Lastly, 1c, if formed when elementary steps in Fig. 4B are kinetically competitive, could be oxidized by  $O_2$  back to 1a and hydrogen peroxide (Fig. 4C5).

Regarding the role of  $Co(acac)_2$ , based on reported works on interaction of  $Co(acac)_2$  with peroxides,<sup>28</sup> it is proposed that  $Co(\text{ac}a)$ <sub>2</sub> assisted the decomposition of organic peroxides and/ or hydrogen peroxide, which should be generated in higher amount in alcohol oxidation than in benzylic C–H oxidation, to produce more oxidizing equivalents that help recycle the catalyst in its oxidized form and accelerate the overall process (Fig. 4D). This might explain why the benefit of  $Co(acac)_2$ inclusion was much more pronounced in the case of alcohol oxidation where 1c might be formed through elementary steps of Fig. 4B and an assistance of  $Co(acac)_2$ , which utilizes in situ formed peroxides to recycle 1a, might provide rate enhancement of the overall process.

### Upscale oxidation

In order to evaluate the plausibility of the developed protocols for large-scale applications, we performed three experiments of gram-scale oxidations, all of which were conducted in simple batch setting using round-bottom flasks and ambient air as the

oxidant (Fig. 5). Additionally, although the production of 1a is scalable and 1a is non-hygroscopic and easy to handle, we chose to further simplify the reaction setup, at least in batch setting, by employing a 1 : 1 combination of sodium anthraquinone-2 sulfonate monohydrate and tetrabutylammonium chloride in place of 1a. A 100 mmol-scale oxidation of 4-tert-butylcyclohexanol (commercially available as a mixture of *cis* and *trans* diastereomers) afforded 95% yield of 4-tert-butylcyclohexanone in less than 4 h (Fig. 5) which presented no drop in yield compared to a small scale synthesis (Table 2, entry 2, 91% yield). As an example of secondary benzylic alcohol, 1-phenylethanol could be effectively oxidized to acetophenone (Figure 5, 98% yield), at 500 mmol scale with time to completion of 11 h. It is worth mentioning that for this upscale experiment, we employed a lower catalyst/additive loading  $(2 \text{ mol\% } 2\text{-AO-SO}_3)$ -Na  $H_2O$ , 2 mol% NBu<sub>4</sub>Cl, and 0.4 mol% Co(acac)<sub>2</sub>) and the reaction was conducted at a more concentrated mixture (500 mmol alcohol in 1 L acetone), compared to our standard condition for benzylic alcohol oxidation (Table 2, entries 14–27). Finally, xanthene could be converted to xanthone at 10 mmol scale with excellent 91% yield (Fig. 5) within 2 h, which is comparable to reaction at 1 mmol scale (Table 3, entry 41, 88% yield). Since our developed procedures could accommodate upscale oxidation for three representative examples of aliphatic/ benzylic alcohols and benzylic C–H bonds in simple batch setting with ambient air, we expect few hurdles exists in adopting the protocols in large-scale production, especially when a more sophisticated reaction setup such as flow chemistry is employed. Paper Wave to the computation of the second on distribution of the second behaviour and the computation of the second of the second behaviour and the second of the second behaviour and the second behaviour and the second



Fig. 5 Representative upscale C–H bond oxidation of aliphatic alcohol, benzylic alcohol, and benzylic C–H. $^a$  With four 25 W 400– 410 nm LEDs lamps;  $<sup>b</sup>$  with two 25 W 400–410 nm LEDs lamps;  $<sup>c</sup>$  in</sup></sup> a 1 L round-bottom flask, open to air;  $d$  in a 2 L round-bottom flask, open to air; <sup>e</sup> in a 500 mL round-bottom flask, open to air; all yields values are yields of the isolated compounds.

## Conclusion

We described herein organo-photocatalytic protocols for aerobic C–H bond oxidation of alcohols and alkylbenzenes to corresponding ketones with ambient air as the source of oxidant. The protocols employed an easy-to-separate organic photocatalyst and were readily scalable even in simple batch setting with round-bottom flasks and ambient air. A preliminary investigation was conducted to study the role of the organic photocatalyst – tetrabutylammonium anthraquinone-2 sulfonate – in aerobic C–H bond oxidation. From this study, we found experimental evidences that support plausibility of one mechanistic pathway for alcohol oxidation in which the aromatic core of the catalyst cycles back and forth between anthraquinone form and anthrahydroquinone form, in addition to previously proposed mechanisms. The anthraquinone form acts as the substrate-activating photocatalyst and the anthrahydroquinone form activates  $O_2$ . Combined together with previously reported mechanisms, a detailed mechanism was proposed to rationalize the formation of ketones from activation of both alcohol and benzylic C–H bond. We anticipate that the devised protocols will provide compelling alternatives to traditional methods for C–H bond oxidation of alcohols and alkylbenzenes. Furthermore, we expect anthraquinone derivatives will serve as a fruitful catalyst platform for other C–H functionalization procedures.

### Author contributions

K. V. N. conceived of the project, developed the idea, designed and performed experiments, and wrote the manuscript. V. T. N. and H. M. T. assisted with substrates preparation. P. V. P. acquired funding, supervised the project, and wrote the manuscript.

### Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

### Acknowledgements

This research is funded by Vietnam National University, Hanoi (VNU) under project number QG.21.06. The authors thank colleagues at the Faculty of Chemistry, University of Science, Vietnam National University, Hanoi; Prof. Binh Chu at Hanoi University of Science and Technology; Mr Dong Le at Military Technical Academy; Dr Trang Nguyen and Ms Hoa Phung at National Institute of Medicinal Materials for their kind supports.

### References

- 1 P. Ertl, E. Altmann and J. F. McKenna, J. Med. Chem., 2020, 63, 8408–8418.
- 2 D. J. Foley and H. Waldmann, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2022, 51, 4094–4120.
- 3 J. Cossy, Science of Synthesis: Houben-Weyl Methods of Molecular Transformations, Thieme Chemistry, 2004, vol. 26: Ketones.
- 4 For stoichiometric oxidants for alcohol oxidation, see: (a) E. J. Corey and G. Schimidt, Tetrahedron Lett., 1979, 20, 399–402;  $(b)$  E. J. Corey and J. W. Suggs, Tetrahedron Lett., 1975, 16, 2647–2650; (c) D. B. Dess and J. C. Martin, J. Org. Chem., 1983, 48, 4155–4156; (d) M. Uyanik and K. Ishihara, Chem. Commun., 2009, 16, 2086–2099; (e) A. J. Mancuso, S. Huang and D. Swern, J. Org. Chem., 1978, 43, 2480–2482; (f) G. Tojo, Oxidation of Alcohols to Aldehydes and Ketones: A Guide to Current Common Practice, Springer Science, New York, 2006.
- 5 For stoichiometric oxidants for oxidation of alkylbenzenes, see: (a) A. Shaabani, A. Bazgir, F. Teimouri and D. G. Lee, Tetrahedron Lett., 2002, 43, 5165-5167; (b) Y. Sarrafi, M. Tajbakhsh, R. Hosseinzadeh, M. Sadatshahabi and K. Alimohammadi, Synth. Commun., 2012, 42, 678–685; (c) R. Hosseinzadeh, M. Tajbakhsh and H. Vahedi, Synlett, 2005, 18, 2769–2770; (d) K. K. Laali, M. Herbert, B. Cushnyr, A. Bhatt and D. Terrano, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1, 2001, 6, 578–583; (e) H. Firouzabadi, P. Salehi, A. R. Sardarian and M. Seddighi, Synth. Commun., 1991, 21, 1121–1127; (f) K. C. Nicolaou, T. Montagnon, P. S. Baran and Y. L. Zhong, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2002, 124, 2245–2258; (g) T. Dohi, N. Takenaga, A. Goto, H. Fujioka and Y. Kita, J. Org. Chem., 2008, 73, 7365–7368; (h) S. Kamijo, Y. Amaoka and M. Inoue, Synthesis, 2010, 14, 2475–2489; (i) D. P. Lubov, O. Y. Lyakin, D. G. Samsonenko, T. V. Rybalova, E. P. Talsi and K. P. Bryliakov, Dalton Trans., 2020, 49, 11150–11156; (j) J. Zhang, Z. Wang, Y. Wang, C. Wan, X. Zheng and Z. Wang, Green Chem., 2009, 11, 1973–1978; (k) A. Alanthadka, E. S. Devi, S. Nagarajan, V. Sridharan, A. Suvitha and C. U. Maheswari, Eur. J. Org. Chem., 2016, 28, 4872–4880; (l) J. A. Marko, A. Durgham, S. L. Bretz and W. Liu, Chem. Commun., 2019, 55, 937–940; (m) T. Nagano and S. Kobayashi, Chem. Lett., 2008, 37, 1042–1043; (n) A. J. Catino, J. M. Nichols, H. Choi, S. Gottipamula and M. P. Doyle, Org. Lett., 2005, 7, 5167–5170; (o) J. J. Dong, D. Unjaroen, F. Mecozzi, E. C. Harvey, P. Saisaha, D. Pijper, J. W. de Boer, P. Alsters, B. L. Feringa and W. R. Browne, ChemSusChem, 2013, 6, 1774–1778; (p) H. R. Mardani and H. Golchoubian, J. Mol. Catal. A: Chem., 2006, 259, 197– 200; (q) X.-F. Wu, Tetrahedron Lett., 2012, 53, 6123–6126; (r) Y. Hu, L. Zhou and W. Lu, Synthesis, 2017, 49, 4007–4016; (s) L. Yin, J. Wu, J. Xiao and S. Cao, Tetrahedron Lett., 2012, 53, 4418–4421; (t) L. R. Ojha, S. Kudugunti, P. P. Maddukuri, A. Kommareddy, M. R. Gunna, P. Dokuparthi, H. B. Gottam, K. K. Botha, D. R. Parapati and T. K. Vinod, Synlett, 2009, 1, 117–121; (u) P. Liu, Y. Liu, E. L. Wong, S. Xiang and C.-M. Che, Chem. Sci., 2011, 2, 2187–2195. RSC Advances Article is the measurable of  $\tau$  (a) I. B. downloade on 5. M. Zhenon Commons are the measure Townloade on the measure Townloade article is likely the Commons American Barticle is likely the commons are the m
	- 6 M. G. Clerici and O. A. Khodeeva, Liquid Phase Oxidation via Heterogeneous Catalysis: Organic Synthesis and Industrial Applications, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New Jersey, 2013.
- 7 (a) I. B. Golovanov and S. M. Zhenodarova, Russ. J. Gen. Chem., 2005, 75, 1795–1797; (b) C. Franco and J. Olmsted III, Talanta, 1990, 37, 905–909; (c) R. Battino, T. R. Rettich and T. Tominaga, J. Phys. Chem. Ref. Data, 1983, 12, 163– 178; (d) T. Sato, Y. Hamada, M. Sumikawa, S. Araki and H. Yamamoto, Ind. Eng. Chem. Res., 2014, 53, 19331–19337.
- 8 (a) T. Iwahama, Y. Yoshino, T. Keitoku, S. Sakaguchi and Y. Ishii, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2000, 65, 6502-6507; (b) I. E. Markó, A. Gautier, R. Dumeunier, K. Doda, F. Philippart, S. M. Brown and C. J. Urch, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2004, 43, 1588–1591; (c) M. B. Lauber and S. S. Stahl, ACS Catal., 2013, 3, 2612–2616; (d) T. Katsina, L. Clavier, J.-F. Giffard, N. M. P. da Silva, J. Fournier, R. Tamion, C. Copin, S. Arseniyadis and A. Jean, Org. Process Res. Dev., 2020, 24, 856–860;  $(e)$  N. Jiang and A. J. Ragauskas, Org. Lett., 2005, 7, 3689–3692; (f) X. Ye, M. D. Johnson, T. Diao, M. H. Yates and S. S. Stahl, Green Chem., 2012, 12, 1180–1186; (g) A. Dijksman, A. Marino-González, A. M. Payeras, I. W. C. E. Arends and R. A. Sheldon, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2001, 123, 6826–6833; (h) I. W. C. E. Arends, G.-J. ten Brink and R. A. Sheldon, J. Mol. Catal. A: Chem., 2006, 251, 246– 254; (i) S. Lee, S. A. Kim and H.-Y. Jang, ACS Omega, 2019, 4, 17934–17938; (j) Z. Zhang, Y. Gao, Y. Liu, J. Li, H. Xie, H. Li and W. Wang, Org. Lett., 2015, 17, 5492–5495; (k) R. D. Patil, B. Fuchs, N. Taha and Y. Sasson, ChemistrySelect, 2016, 1, 3791–3796.
- 9 (a) V. B. Sharma, S. L. Jain and B. Sain, Tetrahedron Lett., 2003, 44, 383–386; (b) S. Velusamy and T. Punniyamurthy, Org. Lett., 2004, 6, 217–219; (c) M. Lee and S. Chang, Tetrahedron Lett., 2000, 41, 7507–7510; (d) V. R. Choudhary, A. Dhar, P. Jana, R. Jha and B. S. Uphade, Green Chem., 2005, 7, 768–770; (e) G. Urgoitia, A. Maiztegi, R. SanMartin, M. T. Herrero and E. Domínguez, RSC Adv., 2015, 5, 103210–103217; (f) G. Urgoitia, A. Maiztegi, R. SanMartin, M. T. Herrero and E. Domínguez, Green Chem., 2011, 13, 2161–2166.
- 10 (a) S. Mozina and J. Iskra, J. Org. Chem., 2019, 84, 14579– 14586; (b) M. Shibuya, Y. Osada, Y. Sasano, M. Tomizawa and Y. Iwabuchi, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2011, 133, 6497–6500; (c) Y. Sasano, H. Sato, S. Tadokoro, M. Kozawa and Y. Iwabuchi, Org. Process Res. Dev., 2019, 4, 571–577; (d) E. Gaster, S. Kozuch and D. Pappo, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2017, 56, 5912–5915; (e) Y. Yoshino, Y. Hayashi, T. Iwahama, S. Sakaguchi and Y. Ishii, J. Org. Chem., 1997, 62, 6810–6813.
- 11 (a) B. Guan, D. Xing, G. Cai, X. Wan, N. Yu, Z. Fang, L. Yang and Z. Zhi, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2005, 127, 18004–18005; (b) T. Iwasawa, M. Tokunaga, Y. Obora and Y. Tsuji, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2004, 126, 6554–6555; (c) D. R. Jensen, M. J. Schultz, J. A. Mueller and M. S. Sigman, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2003, 42, 3810–3813; (d) M. Shibuya, S. Nagasawa, Y. Osada and Y. Iwabuchi, J. Org. Chem., 2014, 79, 10256–10268; (e) A. Abad, C. Almela, A. Corma and H. García, Tetrahedron, 2006, 62, 6666-6672; (f) Y. Kadoh, K. Oisaki and M. Kanai, Chem. Pharm. Bull., 2016, 64, 737–753.
- 12 (a) X. Liu, Q. Xia, Y. Zhang, W. Chen and C. Chen, J. Org. Chem., 2013, 78, 8531–8536; (b) A. Dhakshinamoorthy, M. Alvaro and H. Garcia, ACS Catal., 2011, 1, 48–53; (c) H. Yu, J. Ren, Y. Xie, X. Su, A. Wang, L. Yan, F. Jiang and Y. Wei, Green Chem., 2022, 24, 6511–6516; (d) Q. Zhu, S. Yin, M. Zhou, J. Wang, C. Chen, P. Hu, X. Jiang, Z. Zhang, Y. Li and W. Ueda, ChemCatChem, 2021, 13, 1763–1771; (e) N. Nagarjun and A. Dhakshinamoorthy, ChemistrySelect, 2018, 3, 12155–12162.
- 13 (a) M. H. Shaw, J. Twilton and D. W. C. MacMillan, J. Org. Chem., 2016, 81, 6898–6926; (b) N. A. Romero and D. A. Nicewicz, Chem. Rev., 2016, 116, 10075–10166; (c) L. Capaldo, D. Ravelli and M. Fagnoni, Chem. Rev., 2022, 122, 1875–1924; (d) L. Capaldo and D. Ravelli, Eur. J. Org. Chem., 2017, 15, 2056–2071; (e) A. Y. Chan, I. B. Perry, N. B. Bissonnette, B. F. Buksh, G. A. Edwards, L. I. Frye, O. L. Garry, M. N. Lavagnino, B. X. Li, Y. Liang, D. Mao, A. Millet, J. V. Oakley, N. L. Reed, H. A. Sakai, C. P. Seath and D. W. C. MacMillan, Chem. Rev., 2022, 122, 1485–1542; (f) P. R. D. Murray, J. H. Cox, N. D. Chiappini, C. B. Roos, E. A. McLoughlin, B. G. Hejna, S. T. Nguyen, H. H. Ripberger, J. M. Ganley, E. Tsui, N. Y. Shin, B. Koronkiewicz, G. Qiu and R. R. Knowles, Chem. Rev., 2022, 122, 2017–2291; (g) F. Strieth-Kalthoff, M. J. James, M. Teders, L. Pitzer and F. Glorius, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2018, 47, 7190-7202; (h) F. Juliá, T. Constantin and D. Leonori, Chem. Rev., 2022, 122, 2292-2352; (i) F. Juliá, ChemCatChem, 2022, 14, e202200916; (j) J. Liu, L. Lu, D. Wood and S. Lin, ACS Cent. Sci., 2020, 6, 1317–1340. Paper<br>
12 (a) X. Liu, Q. Xis, Y. Zimag, W. Chen and C. Chen, J. Org. and S. Liu, Agent Chen, 2023. 33, 409-117; (a)<br>
16 men, 2015, Nicensed View Articles. Commons Attribution-Noncommercial 3. Liu, Unported Universal Artic
	- 14 (a) Y. Zhang, W. Schilling and S. Das, ChemSusChem, 2019, 12, 2898–2910; (b) X. Zhang, K. P. Rakesh, L. Ravindar and H.-L. Qin, Green Chem., 2018, 20, 4790–4833.
	- 15 For review of HAT catalysis, see: (a) L. Capaldo and D. Ravelli, Eur. J. Org. Chem., 2017, 15, 2056–2071; (b) L. Capaldo, D. Ravelli and M. Fagnoni, Chem. Rev., 2022, 122, 1875–1924; (c) H. Cao, X. Tang, H. Tang, Y. Yuan and J. Wu, Chem Catal., 2021, 1, 523–598.
	- 16  $(a)$  N. F. Nikitas, D. I. Tzaras, I. Triandafillidi and C. G. Kokotos, Green Chem., 2020, 22, 471–477; (b) J. L. Jeffrey, J. A. Terrett and D. W. C. MacMillan, Science, 2015, 349, 1532–1536; (c) J. Twilton, M. Christensen, D. A. DiRocco, R. T. Ruck, I. W. Davies and D. W. C. MacMillan, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2018, 57, 1–6; (d) K. Sakai, K. Oisaki and M. Kanai, Adv. Synth. Catal., 2020, 362, 337–343; (e) K. Merkens, N. Sanosa, I. Funes-Ardoiz and A. Gosmez-Suárez, ACS Catal., 2022, 12, 13186– 13192; (f) V. Dimakos, H. Y. Su, G. E. Garrett and M. S. Taylor, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2019, 141, 5149–5153; (g) M. Zidan, A. O. Morris, T. McCallum and L. Barriault, Eur. J. Org. Chem., 2020, 10, 1453–1458; (h) S. Rohe, A. O. Morris, T. McCallum and L. Barriault, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed, 2018, 57, 15664–15669; (i) H. Fuse, H. Mitsunuma and M. Kanai, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2020, 142, 4493–4499; (j) J. Jin and D. W. C. MacMillan, Nature, 2015, 525, 87–90; (k) L.-M. Zhao, Q.-Y. Meng, X.-B. Fan, C. Ye, X.-B. Li, B. Chen, V. Ramamurthy, C.-H. Tung and L.-Z. Wu, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed, 2017, 56, 3020–3024; (l) W. Zhang, K. L. Carpenter

and S. Lin, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2020, 59, 409–417; (m) T. Hering, T. Slanina, A. Hancock, U. Wille and B. Konig, Chem. Commun., 2015, 51, 6568–6571; (n) M. Xiang, Z.-K. Xin, B. Chen, C.-H. Tung and L.-Z. Wu, Org. Lett., 2017, 19, 3009–3012; (o) G. Pandey, R. Laha and P. K. Mondal, Chem. Commun., 2019, 55, 9689–9692; (p) D.-M. Yan, Q.-Q. Zhao, L. Rao, J.-R. Chen and W.-J. Xiao, Chem.–Eur. J., 2018, 24, 16895–16901; (q) G. Pandey and R. Laha, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2015, 54, 14875–14879; (r) P. T. G. Rabet, G. Fumagalli, S. Boyd and M. F. Greaney, Org. Lett., 2016, 18, 1646–1649; (s) T. Kawasasi, N. Ishida and M. Murakami, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2020, 142, 3366– 3370; (t) X. Cheng, H. Lu and Z. Lu, Nat. Commun., 2019, 10, 3549–3555; (u) H.-P. Deng, Q. Zhou and J. Wu, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2018, 130, 12843–12847; (v) X. Liu, L. Lin, X. Ye, C.-H. Tan and Z. Jiang, Asian J. Org. Chem., 2017, 6, 422–425; (w) A. Vasilopoulos, S. W. Krska and S. S. Stahl, Science, 2021, 372, 398–403; (x) S. Guo, D. I. AbuSalim and S. P. Cook, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2018, 140, 12378–12382.

- 17 (a) O. Shvydkiv, S. Gallagher, K. Nolan and M. Oelgemoller, Org. Lett., 2010, 12, 5170–5173;  $(b)$  D. Cambié, C. Bottecchia, N. J. W. Straathof, V. Hessel and T. Noel, Chem. Rev., 2016, 116, 10276–10341.
- 18 (a) G. Goor, J. Glenneberg and S. Jacobi, Ullmann's Encycl. Ind. Chem., 2007, 18, 393–427; (b) T. Nishimi, T. Kamchi, K. Kato, T. Kato and K. Yoshizawa, Eur. J. Org. Chem., 2011, 2, 4113–4120; (c) H.-G. Korth and P. Mulder, J. Org. Chem., 2020, 85, 2560–2574.
- 19 (a) H.-S. Bien, J. Stawitz and K. Wunderlich, Ullmann's Encycl. Ind. Chem., 2000, 3, 513-578; (b) J. Cervantes-González, D. A. Vosburg, S. E. Mora-Rodriguez, M. A. Vázquez, L. G. Zepeda, C. V. Gómez and S. Lagunas-Rivera, ChemCatChem, 2020, 12, 3811–3827.
- 20 F. Wilkinson, J. Phys. Chem., 1962, 66, 2569–2574. Also, according to ref. 18c, the BDE of O–H bond in 10-OH-9 anthroxyl, the product from anthraquinone core upon a hydrogen atom transfer step, is: 42.9 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup>. This means the triplet state of anthraquinone can have a thermoneutral hydrogen atom transfer with a H-atom donor with BDE of 105.8 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup>.
- 21 (a) Y. Shimada, K. Hattori, N. Tada, T. Miura and A. Itoh, Synthesis, 2013, 45, 2684–2688; (b) Y. Matsusaki, T. Yamaguchi, N. Tada, T. Miura and A. Itoh, Synlett, 2012, 23, 2059–2062; (c) N. Tada, K. Hattori, T. Nobuta, T. Miura and A. Itoh, Green Chem., 2011, 13, 1669–1671; (d) N. Tada, Y. Ikebata, T. Nobuta, S.-I. Hirashima, T. Miura and A. Itoh, Photochem. Photobiol. Sci., 2012, 11, 616–619; (e) L. Cui, N. Tada, H. Okubo, T. Miura and A. Itoh, Green Chem., 2011, 13, 2347–2350; (f) W. Zhang, J. Gacs, I. W. C. E. Arends and F. Hollmann, ChemCatChem, 2017, 9, 3821–3826; (g) B. Yuan, D. Mahor, Q. Fei, R. Wever, M. Alcalde, W. Zhang and F. Hollmann, ACS Catal., 2020, 10, 8277–8284; (h) L. Zhao, W. Cai, G. Ji, J. Wei, Z. Du, C. He and C. Duan, Inorg. Chem., 2022, 61, 9493–9503.
- 22 J. E. Steves and S. S. Stahl, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2013, 135, 15742–15745.
- 23 (a) B. Muhldorf and R. Wolf, Chem. Commun., 2015, 51, 8425-8428; (b) J. Zelenka, E. Svobodová, J. Tarábek, I. Hoskovcová, V. Boguschoá, S. Bailly, M. Sikorski, J. Roithová and R. Cibulka, Org. Lett., 2019, 21, 114-119; (c) L.-Y. Jiang, J.-J. Ming, L.-Y. Wang, Y.-Y. Jiang, L.-H. Ren, Z.-C. Wang and W.-C. Cheng, Green Chem., 2020, 22, 1156– 1163; (d) X. Liu, L. Lin, X. Ye, C.-H. Tan and Z. Jiang, Asian J. Org. Chem., 2017, 6, 422–425.
- 24 It is proposed that H-to-D exchange in acetone-d6 diminished phenolic signals of 1c in NMR study of Fig. 3 which seemed to appear as singlets at  $\delta = 8.67$  ppm and at  $\delta = 9.13$  ppm (Fig. 3B-D).
- 25 See ESI† for study of oxidative recycling of 1a from 1c in DMSO.
- 26 For previously proposed mechanisms, see ref. 21a and 21c.
- 27 For references about interaction of triplet anthraquinone and alcohols and benzylic C–H, see: (a) C. F. Wells, Trans. Faraday Soc., 1961, 57, 1703–1718; (b) C. F. Wells, Trans. Faraday Soc., 1961, 57, 1719–1731; (c) M.-J. Zhou, L. Zhang, G. Liu, C. Xu and Z. Huang, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2021, 143, 16470–16485.
- 28 (a) E. Spier, U. Neuenschwander and I. Hermans, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2013, 52, 1581–1585; (b) T. Mukaiyama,

S. Isayama, S. Inoki, K. Kato, T. Yamada and T. Takai, Chem. Lett., 1989, 18, 449–452; (c) K. Kato, T. Yamada, T. Takai, S. Inoki and S. Isayama, Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn., 1990, 63, 179–186; (d) M. S. Alam, B. S. M. Rao and E. Janata, Radiat. Phys. Chem., 2003, 67, 723–728; (e) T. Matsue, M. Fujihira and T. Osa, J. Electrochem. Soc., 1981, 128, 2565–2569. Additionally, regarding the great works of Prof. Mukaiyama (ref. 28b) and Prof. Yamada (ref. 28c) where  $Co(acac)_2$  was also believed to activate  $O_2$  to eventually form  $Co(acac)<sub>2</sub>-OOH$ , we believe that this specific process might be less relevant for our alcohol activation because our chemistry proceeded well under lower temperature than the condition (75  $\degree$ C) reported in the aforementioned two references. Moreover, as demonstrated in our manuscript, 1c (and the semianthrahydroquinone radical) can activate  $O_2$ , this might be the dominant  $O_2$  activation pathway; and in entry 3 of Table 4, a 0% yield and 0% conversion showed that under our condition, a Co(acac)<sub>2</sub>-initiated-oxidation with  $O_2$  of alcohol might not contribute to the success of the alcohol oxidation protocol. **PSC** Advances Continued pixels. Published on 16.52:35.25 S. Expansion, 5. This is Regional and T. This article. Published on  $\mu$  and  $\mu$  and  $\mu$  and  $\mu$  and  $\mu$  and  $\mu$ . The expansion of  $\mu$  and  $\mu$  and  $\mu$  and