RSC Advances



View Article Online

View Journal | View Issue

PAPER

Check for updates

Cite this: RSC Adv., 2023, 13, 16293

Received 1st May 2023 Accepted 24th May 2023 DOI: 10.1039/d3ra02870d

rsc.li/rsc-advances

1 Introduction

With limited resources, breaking free from dependence on fossil-derived chemicals is a major goal of sustainable development. Inedible biomass resources are recognized as alternatives to fossil fuels.1 FDCA2 is a key molecule in biomass chemistry because it can be used as an inedible biomass feedstock for polyethylene terephthalate (PET) substitutes. The polymerization of FDCA yields polyethylene furanoate, which has potential to replace fossil-derived PET and terephthalatebased resins due to better gas barrier properties than PET. In particular, the oxidation of HMF to FDCA has attracted considerable attention and has been enthusiastically studied worldwide.3-6 The reaction is renowned as a system that produces an undesirable solid byproduct called humin because of the complex reaction network. The humin formation reduces the production efficiency of FDCA, thereby preventing the largescale production of FDCA in industry. For instance, humin production steeply increases from a 4% yield with a 1 wt% HMF solution to a 47% yield with a 10 wt% HMF solution.7 Understanding the reaction mechanism to produce humin, based on

© 2023 The Author(s). Published by the Royal Society of Chemistry

Computational survey of humin formation from 5-(hydroxymethyl)furfural under basic conditions†

Keisuke Tashiro,^a Masato Kobayashi, ^b*^{bcd} Kiyotaka Nakajima^e and Tetsuya Taketsugu^b^{bcd}

A comprehensive reaction-path search for the oligomerization of 5-(hydroxymethyl)furfural (HMF) based on quantum chemical calculations was conducted to clarify the mechanism of humin formation in the oxidation of HMF to furan-2,5-dicarboxylic acid (FDCA), in which humin is a typical macromolecular byproduct. The present procedure repeatedly utilizes the multi-component artificial-force-induced reaction (MC-AFIR) method to investigate multistep oligomerization reactions. Although humin formation has been reported even in reagent-grade HMFs with 97–99% purity during their storage at low temperatures, no direct addition path of two HMFs with <185 kJ mol⁻¹ barrier has been found, suggesting humin formation is caused by a reaction with impurities. Based on the reaction conditions, we considered the reactions of HMF + H₂O, HMF + OH⁻, and HMF + O₂ and identified three reaction paths with <65 kJ mol⁻¹ barrier for the reaction of HMF + OH⁻. Further, the suppression of humin formation by the acetal protection of HMF is computationally confirmed.

quantum chemical calculations, is critical for the rational design of conditions (*e.g.*, catalysts, temperature, and solvent) for efficient FDCA production; however, it has not been extensively studied. A major factor hindering such theoretical work is the lack of methodologies that can systematically analyze perplexing reaction networks.

The humin formation from HMF has been experimentally studied. Horvat et al. propose that under acidic conditions, acid-catalyzed hydrolysis leads to a furan ring-opening reaction to form humin.^{8,9} Patil and Lund demonstrate that polymerization to form humin occurs by the aldol addition of the ringopened product (2,5-dioxo-6-hydroxy-hexanal) with HMF.10 The structure of humin has been analyzed by one-dimensional (1D) and two-dimensional (2D) solid-state nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) measurements.^{11,12} Recently, biomass processing using ionic liquid solvents has attracted significant attention.13 HMF is degraded to humins even in ionic liquid solvents, and its structure has been revealed by analytical techniques, such as Fourier-transform infrared (FT-IR) spectroscopy, ¹³C-NMR, and X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS).14 Furthermore, it has been reported that humin is formed even in pure HMF during its storage at low temperatures.¹⁵ Nakajima et al. have reported that HMF-acetal, which is produced by the reaction of HMF with 1,3-propanediol (PD), exhibits significantly higher thermal stability against thermal degradation than HMF.7 The oxidation and oxidative esterification of HMF-acetal affords FDCA and its esters in excellent yield and selectivity, even in concentrated solutions (>10 wt%).16 The high stability of HMF-acetal is derived from full protection of highly reactive formyl group that most likely induces humin

[&]quot;Graduate School of Chemical Sciences and Engineering, Hokkaido University, Sapporo 060-0810, Japan

^bDepartment of Chemistry, Faculty of Science, Hokkaido University, Sapporo 060-0810, Japan. E-mail: k-masato@sci.hokudai.ac.jp

WPI-ICReDD, Hokkaido University, Sapporo 001-0021, Japan

dESICB, Kyoto University, Kyoto 615-8520, Japan

^eInstitute for Catalysis, Hokkaido University, Sapporo 001-0021, Japan

[†] Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. See DOI: https://doi.org/10.1039/d3ra02870d

formation. Na₂CO₃ used in these oxidation reactions is essential for stabilizing the acetal moiety against undesirable hydrolysis and acting as a neutralizing salt to dissolve FDCA in water or methanol. Further clarification of the humin formation mechanism based on quantum chemical calculations is desirable for the effective use of HMF in a variety of reactions.

In this study, we conduct a comprehensive reaction-path search for humin formation under the conditions of FDCA formation by the oxidation of HMF using the artificial-force induced reaction (AFIR) method, which is a part of the global reaction route map (GRRM) strategy developed by Maeda et al.17-20 The multi-component (MC) AFIR method is applied to candidate bimolecular reactions in the oligomerization of HMF, which enables the systematic exploration of association reactions. Considering the basic reaction conditions, the following reactions are identified as candidates for the initial elementary reactions: HMF + HMF, HMF + H₂O, HMF + OH⁻, and HMF + O₂. By repeatedly applying the MC-AFIR method to the reaction of HMF with the newly obtained chemical species, the formation process of HMF oligomers can be obtained. The results show that humin formation is unlikely to occur when only HMF and H₂O are present in the reaction system: the barrier for the reaction between HMF and HMF or HMF and H₂O is at least 186.5 kJ mol⁻¹. In contrast, the reaction between HMF and OH⁻ yields many intermediates with a low barrier. Thus far, we have obtained a trimer of HMF in our simulations under basic conditions. We conclude that these reactions are the initial steps in the formation of humin from HMF under basic conditions. Furthermore, the mechanism of the suppression of humin formation in concentrated solutions by acetal protection is computationally investigated.

2 Procedure and computational details

The Gaussian 16 software package²¹ was employed for the quantum chemical calculations. All structures were optimized using the Kohn–Sham density functional theory with the B3LYP functional^{22,23} with Grimme's D3 dispersion correction (without Becke–Johnson damping).²⁴ The 6-31+G(d) basis set^{25–28} was used for all atoms. Water solvent effects were considered using the integral equation formalism variant of the polarizable continuum model (IEFPCM).²⁹

To systematically search for reaction paths, we employed the AFIR method implemented in the GRRM17 program,¹⁹ which induced a reaction by applying an artificial force between two moieties. The following procedure was employed to analyze the multistep polymerization reactions because the AFIR method implemented in GRRM17 cannot directly handle multistep reactions with different participating molecules (Fig. 1). First, the reactions listed in Table 1 were selected as candidates for the initiation reactions in a basic aqueous solution. The intermediates derived from these reactions were obtained using the MC-AFIR method.¹⁶ Thereafter, the reactions of these intermediates with HMF were selected as candidates for new bimolecular reactions and examined using the MC-AFIR method. For some



Fig. 1 Reaction search scheme using multi-step MC-AFIR method.

intermediates, such as ring-opening systems, further singlecomponent (SC)-AFIR calculations were performed to identify intramolecular rearrangements and conformational changes. By repeating these steps, we could comprehensively investigate the process from the initial humin formation to the HMF trimer. Parameter γ , which represents the magnitude of the artificial force used in the AFIR method, was set in the range of 200-500 kJ mol⁻¹. The number of samples was set to increase as the size of the system increased or as the system was considered more important. Specifically, the number of samples was set to 100-500 for the initial reaction, 300-600 for the dimerization reaction, and 500-2000 for the trimerization reaction. The reactions obtained by the MC-AFIR method were clustered into elementary chemical reactions, *i.e.*, if the reactants and products of the two reactions were the same in the canonical simplified molecular-input line-entry system (SMILES) representation, these reactions were considered to be chemically identical. The conversion of molecular geometry into canonical SMILES was performed using the Open Babel program.30,31

3 Results and discussion

The reaction paths obtained from the series of AFIR calculations are summarized in Fig. 2. The values in parentheses next to the labels are Gibbs energies (in kJ mol⁻¹) relative to the reactants. Each arrow represents an elementary reaction, and the value above it is the Gibbs activation energy (in kJ mol⁻¹). The following subsections provide detailed discussions of each process. We also calibrated the electronic energy by single-point calculations with the ω B97X-D³²/aug-cc-pVTZ³³ level of theory. The obtained Gibbs energies are shown in Fig. 3. While the

 Table 1
 Candidates of the initial reactions for which the reaction-path searches were conducted

Candidate	Lowest activation energy/kJ mol ⁻¹
HMF + HMF	186.5
$HMF + H_2O$	209.9
$HMF + OH^{-}$	30.7
HMF + O_2 (singlet)	111.6
HMF + O_2 (triplet)	135.7



Fig. 2 Reaction route map for the initial steps of humin formation under basic conditions; red arrows show the pathway leading to the most stable trimer, and blue arrows show the pathway leading to the most stable dimer. All structure xyz data are listed on GitHub (https://github.com/k-masato/HMF_geometries).

energy values are different to some extent, the following discussion remains qualitatively the same for the values in both levels of theory. For consistency, when referring to specific energy values, we use the values shown in Fig. 2.

3.1. Initiation reactions of humin production

In previous experimental reports, HMF of 97–99% purity was degraded to a dimer in approximately two weeks, even at room



Fig. 3 Reaction route map for the initial steps of humin formation recalculated with ω B97X-D/aug-cc-pVTZ level of theory at the geometries optimized by B3LYP-D3/6-31+G(d).

temperature.¹⁵ At 473 K, the degradation was almost complete in 2 h.7 First, the reactions of the two HMF molecules were explored using the MC-AFIR method, and a total of 79 transition states (TSs) were located, including three elementary bimolecular addition reactions. The energy diagrams of these reactions are shown in Fig. 4. The bimolecular reaction with the lowest activation energy was a Diels-Alder type reaction with an activation energy of 186.5 kJ mol⁻¹, which is considerably high to proceed at room temperature. Although the effect of the water solvent was considered in the present calculations, the results did not change when the solvent was varied to HMF, for which parameters ε (dielectric constant) and R_{solv} (solvent radius) were determined as $\varepsilon = 26.38$ and $R_{solv} = 3.384$ Å, based on molecular dynamics simulations. Therefore, the initial degradation of HMF proceeds via a reaction with impurities. Among the initial reactions of the HMF monomers listed in Table 1, the reaction with OH^{-} had the lowest activation energy of 30.7 kJ mol⁻¹. while the reactions with H₂O and O₂ (triplet) had those of 209.9 and 135.7 kJ mol⁻¹, respectively (Gibbs energy diagrams for the reactions with H₂O and O₂ are shown in Fig. S1 and S2,† respectively, in the ESI†). The MC-AFIR calculations for the reaction of HMF + OH⁻ found 30 TSs. For the chemical reactions with activation energies below 100 kJ mol⁻¹, we observed three hydroxylation reactions of the carbons at positions 3 and 5 of the furan ring and the formyl carbon, affording HMF_OH_5, HMF OH 4, and HMF OH 3, respectively (Fig. 2). This is consistent with chemical intuition because a negative charge on the oxygen atom of the formyl group would draw a resonance structure with a positive charge on one of these three carbons. Further, a reaction involving the carbon at position 4 was found in the MC-AFIR calculation; however, its activation energy was 121.6 kJ mol⁻¹, which is considerably higher than the above energy and is not shown in Fig. 2. Among these three reactions, the formation of HMF_OH_3 was found to be favorable regarding activation energy. Notably, HMF OH 3 is the anionic form of the 5-hydroxymethyl-2-furancarboxylic acid that produces FDCA. However, HMF_OH_4 induced ring-opening dehydration reactions, producing more stable and HMF_OH_2 and HMF_OH_1, respectively, with relatively small



Fig. 4 Gibbs energy diagram for the reactions of HMF + HMF under neutral conditions (298 K, 1 atm).

activation energies. Consequently, the reaction of HMF with OH⁻ provided five intermediates (*i.e.*, HMF_OH_X, X = 1-5) with activation energies below 100 kJ mol⁻¹. The labels "HMF_OH_X" are numbered based on the stable species, *i.e.*, HMF_OH_1 produced by the dehydration of HMF_OH_4 is the most stable.

3.2. Comparison with the reactions of PD-HMF

To computationally verify the effect of acetal protection, the reaction of PD-HMF (HMF-acetal protected by PD) with OHwas examined. Fig. 5 shows the hydroxylation paths at carbons 3 and 5 of the furan ring of PD-HMF and the protected formyl carbon, corresponding to PD-HMF_OH_5, PD-HMF_OH_4, and PD-HMF_OH_3 formation, respectively. This protection directly inhibits hydroxylation at the formyl carbon, resulting in an activation energy of 175.2 kJ mol⁻¹ to obtain PD-HMF_OH_3, approximately 145 kJ mol⁻¹ higher than the activation energy to obtain HMF OH 3. Interestingly, acetal protection increased the activation energy to obtain PD-HMF_OH_4 and PD-HMF_OH_5 by approximately 70 and 100 kJ mol⁻¹, respectively. This is mainly due to the loss of π -conjugation with formyl C=O, which destabilizes the products. Further, this can be interpreted in terms of electrophilicity. Fig. 6 shows the results of the natural population analysis^{34,35} for HMF and PD-



Fig. 5 Gibbs energy diagrams of the reactions of (a) $\rm HMF$ + $\rm OH^-$ and (b) PD-HMF + $\rm OH^-$ (298 K, 1 atm).

HMF. The computational level was B3LYP-D3/6-31+G(d), with the same IEFPCM solvation model as the energy calculation. Acetal protection reduced the charge on the carbons at position 3/5 of the furan ring from -0.159/0.324 to -0.311/0.274, suggesting that the reaction with OH⁻ at these positions was inhibited. Thus, the stabilization of HMF by acetal protection was theoretically proven.

3.3. Dimerization and trimerization of HMF

The dimerization reaction proceeded for HMF_OH_1, HMF_OH_2, and HMF_OH_3. The dimerization reaction for HMF OH 5 is not considered in this study because the minimum barrier height is 196.2 kJ mol⁻¹. The most stable dimer (HMF2_OH_1) was obtained by an aldol-like reaction of HMF_OH_2 with HMF, with a barrier height of 55.5 kJ mol⁻¹. The dimerization reaction with the lowest barrier was HMF_OH_1 + HMF, where O^- attacked the formyl group of the new HMF to yield HMF2_OH_2 with a barrier of 29.6 kJ mol⁻¹. The trend toward the lowest activation energy for the dimerization reaction at the formyl carbon was similar to that observed for the reaction at HMF + OH⁻. These dimers have negative relative energies and may be important intermediates contributing to humin formation. For HMF_OH_3, there are two dimerization pathways leading to HMF2_OH_3 and HMF2_OH_4, whose relative energies were considerably higher than those of the other dimers. HMF_OH_3 is a derivative of 5hydroxymethyl-2-furan carboxylic acid (HMFCA), an intermediate in FDCA synthesis. This result suggests that the formation of HMF_OH_3 (or HMFCA) does not lead to humin formation.

In this study, eight pathways for trimer formation were identified based on the chemical structures shown in Fig. 7. The reaction barriers for trimer formation were all higher than 60 kJ mol⁻¹, and the positive relative energies of all trimers suggest that trimer formation is slower than dimer formation under basic conditions.

3.4. Discussion on the FDCA formation from HMF and PD-HMF under basic condition

In the reaction that produces FDCA from HMF, the oxidation of the aldehyde corresponds to the initial reaction. The activation energy for the formation of HMF_OH_3 was the lowest among the three initial pathways. The byproducts of the hydroxylation reaction, particularly HMF_OH_4 and the subsequent HMF_OH_1 and HMF_OH_2, may be involved in humin formation, a side reaction of FDCA formation. Additionally, the activation energy to produce HMF_OH_4 was less than



Fig. 6 Natural electron populations on furan rings of (a) HMF and (b) PD-HMF.



Fig. 7 Trimer structures obtained in this study; the last attacking HMF is shown in red.

60 kJ mol⁻¹, which is lower enough as the reaction proceeds at room temperature. This is consistent with the experimental fact that the side reaction proceeds more likely as the HMF concentration increases. Conversely, no OH^- was involved in the initial oxidation step of PD-HMF because it is an alcohol oxidation of $-CH_2OH$. In other words, in the reaction using PD-HMF, all reactions with OH^- observed for HMF were suppressed by acetal protection. The initial reaction was guided by the oxidation of alcohol, and humin formation was avoided.

Although we did not consider the catalyst or molecular oxygen on the metal support in this study, the results shown in this paper suggest a deeper understanding of humin formation in various basic solutions and the effect of acetal protection on HMF.^{36–38}

6 Conclusion

In this study, we performed systematic reaction-path-search calculations for the formation of humin from HMF under basic conditions. Although humin formation has been reported even with pure HMF, the direct addition reaction path (HMF + HMF) has a barrier of at least 186.5 kJ mol⁻¹ and is unlikely to occur at room temperature. In contrast, for the HMF + OH⁻ reaction, three pathways with barriers of less than 65 kJ mol⁻¹ were identified. HMF_OH_4 was found to be an important intermediate that produces HMF dimers with a low reaction barrier. However, trimerization was found to have a higher reaction barrier than dimerization. Further, AFIR calculations were performed for the system in which HMF was replaced with PD-HMF to verify the change in reactivity due to acetal protection. When acetal-protected PD-HMF was used instead of HMF, the activation energies of the reaction involving the protected formyl carbon and the other two initial reactions significantly increase. This result explains the experimental stability of the PD-HMF under basic conditions and reinforces the validity of the initial reaction for humin formation obtained in this study. From the aspect of computational chemistry, this study demonstrates the validity of the multistep application of the MC-AFIR method for unidentified multistep reactions.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgements

Some of the present calculations were performed using computer facilities at the Research Center for Computational Science, Okazaki (Project: 21-IMS-C018 & 22-IMS-C019), and at the Research Institute for Information Technology, Kyushu University, Japan. This work was supported in part by JSPS KAKENHI for Transformative Research Areas "Hyper-ordered Structures Science" Grant Numbers JP21H05544, JP20H05879, and JP23H04093, JST-MIRAI Grant Number JPMJMI19E3, and the Photo-excitonix Project at Hokkaido University. The authors are grateful to the Institute for Quantum Chemical Exploration for the IOCE Fellowships for Young Scientists (K. T.) and the financial support (M. K.). K. T. thanks the MEXT Doctoral Program for Data-Related InnoVation Expert Hokkaido University (D-DRIVE-HU) and the Hokkaido University DX Doctoral Fellowship of MEXT (JPMJSP2119). The Institute for Chemical Reaction Design and Discovery (ICReDD) was established by the World Premier International Research Initiative (WPI), Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology (MEXT), Japan. We would like to thank Editage (https:// www.editage.com) for English language editing.

References

- E. de Jong, H. Stichnothe, G. Bell and H. Jørgensen, *Bio-Based Chemicals, a 2020 Update*, IEA Bioenergy, 2020, 978-1-910154-69-4, http://task42.ieabioenergy.com/wp-content/uploads/2020/02/Bio-based-chemicals-a-2020-update-final-200213.pdf, accessed Aug 20, 2021.
- 2 E. de Jong, M. A. Dam, L. Sipos and G.-J. M. Gruter, Furandicarboxylic Acid (FDCA), A Versatile Building Block for a Very Interesting Class of Polyesters, in *Biobased Monomers, Polymers and Materials*, ed. P. B. Smith and R. Gross, ACS Symposium Series, American Chemical Society, 2012, pp. 1–13, September 7, 2022.
- 3 M. Sajid, X. Zhao and D. Liu, Production of 2,5furandicarboxylic acid (FDCA) from 5hydroxymethylfurfural (HMF): recent progress focusing on the chemical-catalytic routes, *Green Chem.*, 2018, **20**, 5427– 5453.
- 4 D. Zhao, T. Su, Y. Wang, R. Varma and C. Len, Recent advances in catalytic oxidation of 5-hydroxymethylfurfural, *Mol. Catal.*, 2020, **495**, 111133.
- 5 M. G. Davidson, S. Elgie, S. Parsons and T. J. Young, Production of HMF, FDCA and their derived products: a review of life cycle assessment (LCA) and technoeconomic analysis (TEA) studies, *Green Chem.*, 2021, 23, 3154-3171.
- 6 Green Conversion of HMF, Special Collections in ChemSusChem, ed. S. Albonetti, С. Hu and S. Saravanamurugan, 2022, https://chemistryeurope.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/toc/10.1002/(ISSN)1864-564X.Green-Conversion-of-HMF, accessed August 10.
- 7 M. Kim, Y. Su, A. Fukuoka, E. J. M. Hensen and K. Nakajima, Aerobic Oxidation of 5-(Hydroxymethyl)furfural Cyclic Acetal Enables Selective Furan-2,5-dicarboxylic Acid Formation

with CeO₂-Supported Gold Catalyst, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2018, **130**, 8367–8371.

- 8 J. Horvat, B. Klaic, B. Metelko and V. Sunjic, Mechanism of levulinic acid formation, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1985, **26**, 2111– 2114.
- 9 J. Horvat, B. Klaic, B. Metelko and V. Sunjic, Mechanism of levulinic acid formation in acid catalyzed hydrolysis of 2-(hydroxymethyl)furan and 5-(hydroxymethyl)-2furancarboxaldehyde, *Croat. Chem. Acta*, 1986, **59**, 429–438.
- 10 S. K. R. Patil and C. R. F. Lund, Formation and growth of humins *via* aldol addition and condensation during acid-catalyzed conversion of 5-hydroxymethylfurfural, *Energy Fuels*, 2011, **25**, 4745–4755.
- 11 T. M. C. Hoang, E. R. H. van Eck, W. P. Bula, J. G. E. Gardeniers, L. Lefferts and K. Seshan, Humin based by-products from biomass processing as a potential carbonaceous source for synthesis gas production, *Green Chem.*, 2015, **17**, 959–972.
- 12 I. van Zandvoort, E. J. Koers, M. Weingarth, P. C. A. Bruijnincx, M. Baldus and B. M. Weckhuysen, Structural characterization of ¹³C-enriched humins and alkali-treated ¹³C humins by 2D solid-state NMR, *Green Chem.*, 2015, **17**, 4383–4392.
- 13 M. E. Zakrzewska, E. Bogel-łukasik and R. Bogel-łukasik, Ionic Liquid-Mediated Formation of 5-Hydroxymethylfurfural - A Promising Biomass-Derived Building Block, *Chem. Rev.*, 2011, **111**, 397–417.
- 14 A. Al Ghatta, X. Zhou, G. Casarano, J. D. E. T. Wilton-Ely and J. P. Hallett, Characterization and Valorization of Humins Produced by HMF Degradation in Ionic Liquids: A Valuable Carbonaceous Material for Antimony Removal, *ACS Sustainable Chem. Eng.*, 2021, **9**, 2212–2223.
- 15 K. I. Galkin, E. A. Krivodaeva, L. V. Romashov, S. S. Zalesskiy, V. V. Kachala, J. V. Burykina and V. P. Ananikov, Critical Influence of 5-Hydroxymethylfurfural Aging and Decomposition on the Utility of Biomass Conversion in Organic Synthesis, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2016, 55, 8338– 8342.
- 16 M. Kim, Y. Su, T. Aoshima, A. Fukuoka, E. J. M. Hensen and K. Nakajima, Effective Strategy for High-Yield Furan Dicarboxylate Production for Biobased Polyester Applications, ACS Catal., 2019, 9(5), 4277–4285.
- 17 S. Maeda, K. Ohno and K. Morokuma, Systematic Exploration of the Mechanism of Chemical Reactions: The Global Reaction Route Mapping (GRRM) Strategy Using the ADDF and AFIR Methods, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2013, 15, 3683.
- 18 S. Maeda, T. Taketsugu and K. Morokuma, Exploring Transition State Structures for Intramolecular Pathways by the Artificial Force Induced Reaction Method, *J. Comput. Chem.*, 2014, **35**, 166–173.
- 19 S. Maeda, Y. Harabuchi, M. Takagi, T. Taketsugu and K. Morokuma, Artificial Force Induced Reaction (AFIR) Method for Exploring Quantum Chemical Potential Energy Surfaces, *Chem. Rec.*, 2016, **16**, 2232–2248.
- 20 S. Maeda, Y. Harabuchi, M. Takagi, K. Saita, K. Suzuki, T. Ichino, Y. Sumiya, K. Sugiyama and Y. Ono,

Implementation and Performance of the Artificial Force Induced Reaction Method in the GRRM17 Program, *J. Comput. Chem.*, 2018, **39**, 233–250.

- 21 M. J. Frisch, G. W. Trucks, H. B. Schlegel, G. E. Scuseria, M. A. Robb, J. R. Cheeseman, G. Scalmani, V. Barone, G. A. Petersson, H. Nakatsuji, X. Li, M. Caricato, A. V. Marenich, J. Bloino, B. G. Janesko, R. Gomperts, B. Mennucci, H. P. Hratchian, J. V. Ortiz, A. F. Izmaylov, J. L. Sonnenberg, D. Williams-Young, F. Ding, F. Lipparini, F. Egidi, J. Goings, B. Peng, A. Petrone, T. Henderson, Ranasinghe, V. G. Zakrzewski, J. Gao, N. Rega, D. G. Zheng, W. Liang, M. Hada, M. Ehara, K. Toyota, R. Fukuda, J. Hasegawa, M. Ishida, T. Nakajima, Y. Honda, 0 Kitao, H. Nakai, т. Vreven, K. Throssell, J. A. Montgomery, Jr., J. E. Peralta, F. Ogliaro, M. J. Bearpark, J. J. Heyd, E. N. Brothers, K. N. Kudin, V. N. Staroverov, T. A. Keith, R. Kobavashi, J. Normand, K. Raghavachari, A. P. Rendell, J. C. Burant, S. S. Iyengar, J. Tomasi, M. Cossi, J. M. Millam, M. Klene, C. Adamo, R. Cammi, J. W. Ochterski, R. L. Martin, K. Morokuma, O. Farkas, J. B. Foresman and D. J. Fox, Gaussian16 (Revision A.03), Gaussian, Inc., Wallingford, CT, 2016.
- 22 A. D. Becke, Density-functional thermochemistry. III. The role of exact exchange, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 1993, **98**, 5648.
- 23 P. J. Stephens, F. J. Devlin, C. F. Chabalowski and M. J. Frisch, Ab Initio Calculation of Vibrational Absorption and Circular Dichroism Spectra Using Density Functional Force Fields, *J. Phys. Chem.*, 1994, **98**, 11623.
- 24 S. Grimme, J. Antony, S. Ehrlich and H. Kreig, A consistent and accurate *ab initio* parametrization of density functional dispersion correction (DFT-D) for the 94 elements H-Pu, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 2010, **132**, 154104.
- 25 R. Ditchfield, W. J. Hehre and J. A. Pople, Self-Consistent Molecular-Orbital Methods. IX. An Extended Gaussian-Type Basis for Molecular-Orbital Studies of Organic Molecules, J. Chem. Phys., 1971, 54, 724–728.
- 26 W. J. Hehre, R. Ditchfield and J. A. Pople, Self-Consistent Molecular Orbital Methods. XII. Further Extensions of Gaussian-Type Basis Sets for Use in Molecular Orbital Studies of Organic Molecules, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 1972, 56, 2257–2261.

- 27 P. C. Hariharan and J. A. Pople, The influence of polarization functions on molecular orbital hydrogenation energies, *Theor. Chim. Acta*, 1973, **28**, 213–222.
- 28 T. Clark, J. Chandrasekhar, G. W. Spitznagel and P. v. R. Schleyer, Efficient diffuse function-augmented basis sets for anion calculations. III. The 3-21+G basis set for first-row elements, Li-F, *J. Comput. Chem.*, 1983, **4**, 294– 301.
- 29 B. Mennucci, E. Cancès and J. Tomasi, J. Phys. Chem. B, 1997, 101, 10506–10517.
- 30 N. M. O'Boyle, M. Banck, C. A. James, C. Morley, T. Vandermeersch and G. R. Hutchison, Open Babel: An open chemical toolbox, *J. Cheminf.*, 2011, 3, 33.
- 31 *The Open Babel Package*, version 2.3.2, http://openbabel.org, accessed June 2022.
- 32 J.-D. Chai and M. Head-Gordon, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2008, **10**, 6615–6620.
- 33 R. A. Kendall, T. H. Dunning Jr. and R. J. Harrison, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 1992, **96**, 6796.
- 34 A. E. Reed, R. B. Weinstock and F. Weinhold, Natural population analysis, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 1985, **83**, 735.
- 35 A. E. Reed, L. A. Curtiss and F. Weinhold, Intermolecular interactions from a natural bond orbital, donor acceptor viewpoint, *Chem. Rev.*, 1988, **88**, 899.
- 36 F. J. A. G. Coumans, Z. Overchenko, J. J. Wiesfeld, N. Kosinov, K. Nakajima and E. J. M. Hensen, Protection strategies for the Conversion of Biobased Furanics to Chemical Building Blocks, ACS Sustainable Chem. Eng., 2022, 10(10), 3116–3130.
- 37 T. Boonyakarn, J. J. Wiesfeld, M. Asakawa, L. Chen,
 A. Fukuoka, E. J. M. Hensen and K. Nakajima, Effective
 Oxidation of 5-Hydoroxymethylfurfural to 2,5Diformylfuran by an Acetal Protection Strategy, *ChemSusChem*, 2022, 15, e202200059.
- 38 J. J. Wiesfeld, M. Asakawa, T. Aoshima, A. Fukuoka, E. J. M. Hensen and K. Nakajima, A Catalytic Strategy for Selective Production of 5-Formylfuran-2-carboxylic Acid and Furan-2,5-dicarboxylic Acid, *ChemCatChem*, 2022, 14, e202200191.