RSC Advances



View Article Online

View Journal | View Issue

PAPER

Check for updates

Cite this: RSC Adv., 2023, 13, 24491

Received 6th July 2023 Accepted 31st July 2023 DOI: 10.1039/d3ra04509a

rsc.li/rsc-advances

Heavy alloy based on tungsten and bismuth: fabrication, crystal structure, morphology, and shielding efficiency against gamma-radiation

Daria I. Tishkevich, ¹D^a Anastasia A. Rotkovich, ¹D^{*a} Stepan A. German,^{ab} Aliaksandr L. Zhaludkevich,^a Tatiana N. Vershinina,^{cd} Anastasia A. Bondaruk,^a Ihar U. Razanau, ¹D^a Mengge Dong,^{ef} M. I. Sayyed,^{gh} Sergey V. Leonchik,^a Tatiana Zubar, ¹D^a Maxim V. Silibin,ⁱ Sergei V. Trukhanov^{aj} and Alex V. Trukhanov^{Dajk}

W-Bi₂O₃ composites were fabricated using the hot isostatic pressing technique for the first time. The duration of the samples sintering was 3 minutes under conditions of high pressure and temperature. The study of microstructural features and chemical composition of sintered samples was carried out using scanning electron microscopy and energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy, respectively. The effect of temperature on the quality of the obtained W-Bi₂O₃ composites is determined. The densest samples were obtained at a pressure of 5 GPa and temperatures of 25 °C and 500 °C, the densities of which were 18.10 and 17.85 g cm⁻³, respectively. It is presented that high temperature exposure during sintering adversely affects both the composite density and microstructure due to the redox reaction accompanied by the reduction of Bi and the oxidation of W. The results of the W-Bi₂O₃ structure study using X-ray diffraction analysis showed that all samples included the main bulk-centered cubic W phase. The presence of the WO₂ phase is noted only when the sintering temperature is increased up to 850 °C, which is confirmed by the appearance of diffraction peaks that correspond to 111 and 22-2 crystallographic planes. The shielding efficiency of the W-Bi₂O₃ composite against gamma radiation using the Phy-X/PSD software was evaluated. A Co⁶⁰ isotope with an energy of 0.826-2.506 MeV was used as a source of gamma radiation. The calculation results were compared with those for Pb and Bi. Key shielding parameters such as the linear attenuation coefficient, halfvalue layer, tenth-value layer, mean free path, and effective atomic number are determined. The calculation results revealed that the W-Bi₂O₃ composite surpasses Pb and Bi in its shielding properties, which makes it promising for use as a prospective material for radiation shielding applications.

Introduction

industries (electronic, nuclear, medicine, space, *etc.*), and the application of ionizing radiation in various fields of scientific
 activity is strongly increasing.¹ Modern technologies make it possible to obtain microelectronic devices with a high degree of miniaturization and performance, due to which their reliability strongly depends on environmental conditions.² Ionizing radiation (IR) is any radiation whose interaction with the environment leads to its ionization (gamma-, X-rays, electrons, protons, *etc.*).^{3,4} For example, the interaction of gamma radiation with matter leads to the following: photoeffect, Compton effect, and formation of electron–positron pairs.⁵⁻⁷

Currently, microelectronic products are widely used in many

Nowadays, there are methods for protecting electronic devices from IR.⁸ An effective method of protection from IR is local protection, based on the use of heavy element-based materials. The most widely used material in this area is lead.⁹ The physical and mechanical properties of lead make it a promising material for radiation protection. Lead is

Dpen Access Article. Published on 15 August 2023. Downloaded on 7/19/2025 7:54:32 AM

"SSPA "Scientific-Practical Materials Research Centre of NAS of Belarus", 220072

Minsk, Belarus. E-mail: rottkovich@gmail.com ^bBelarusian National Technical University, 220013, Minsk, Belarus

Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, 141980, Dubna, Russia

^dUniversity "Dubna", 141982, Dubna, Russia

^eDepartment of Resources and Environment, School of Metallurgy, Northeastern University, Shenyang, 110819, Liaoning Province, PR China

Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, The Hong Kong Polytechnic University, Hong Kong SAR

^sDepartment of Physics, Faculty of Science, Isra University, 1162 Amman, Jordan ^hDepartment of Nuclear Medicine Research, Institute for Research and Medical

Consultations (IRMC), Imam Abdulrahman bin Faisal University, 31441 Dammam, Saudi Arabia

¹I.M. Sechenov First Moscow State Medical University, Moscow, 119435, Russia ³Smart Sensors Laboratory, Department of Electronic Materials Technology, National University of Science and Technology MISiS, 119049 Moscow, Russia ^kL.N. Gumilyov Eurasian National University, Astana, 010000, Kazakhstan inexpensive and easy to process, but its toxicity can cause serious harm to the human body and the environment.⁹⁻¹² In this regard, there is a need to develop alternative materials that will perform their function as shielding materials and be more eco-friendly.¹³

The authors of ref. 9 made a comparison between lead, tungsten, and WC-Co composites obtained by hot pressing. WC-Co and lead showed almost identical results in terms of linear attenuation coefficient values of Cs137 (1.06 and 1.05 cm⁻¹, respectively) and Co⁶⁰ (0.64 and 0.62 cm⁻¹, respectively) gamma-radiation isotopes, while W showed more outstanding results: 1.7 cm^{-1} for Cs^{137} and 1.02 cm^{-1} for Co^{60} . At the same time, pure W had the lowest value of the half-value layer (0.407 cm for Cs¹³⁷ and 0.676 cm for Co⁶⁰). For WC-Co, these values were 0.652 cm and 1.07 cm, respectively, compared to 0.66 cm and 1.1 cm for Pb. In ref. 10, the properties of WC and Pb with thicknesses of 0.1, 0.5, and 1 cm were discussed and analyzed. The results of this work showed that WC in the gamma radiation energy range of 0.160-0.662 MeV has practically equivalent linear and mass attenuation coefficients. The authors of works11,12,14-16 synthesized light composites such as high-density polyethylene and tellurite glasses with the addition of barium oxide in various ratios and revealed that their properties as radiation protection materials are worse than lead (lead density 11.34 g cm⁻³, tellurite glasses density 5.29- 5.34 g cm^{-3} depending on composition), but the safety of such materials puts them one step above lead protection. In works,¹⁷⁻¹⁹ various lead-free composites (Sb/Bi, Ba/Bi, Sb/W, etc.) and single-component materials (Bi, W, Sb, Ba, Cs, etc.) were considered, and their comparison with Pb was performed. These materials demonstrated shielding properties almost equivalent to those of lead. Various cement compositions²⁰ and tungsten-based compositions21-24 were also reviewed and studied in detail. And composites with tungsten (W-C, W-Cu) showed the best results of all the above materials. Thus, in ref. 21, a composite material of WC and ethylene vinyl acetate (WC-EVA) in different ratios (50% EVA and 50% WC; 40% EVA and 60% WC; 30% EVA and 70% WC) was synthesized, and the shielding efficiency of the samples was compared with that of Pb of 1 and 2 mm thickness. The Cs¹³⁷ isotopes acted as the radiation source. The shielding efficiencies of the WC-EVA compositions under consideration were equivalent to each other and exceeded the shielding efficiencies of lead by 5% for 1 mm thickness and by 9-10% for 2 mm thickness. Whereas, for the I¹³¹ source, these values were 1.5 times the effectiveness of lead shielding.

The most important parameter defining radiation shielding materials is their density. An excellent alternative to lead is tungsten, which has a high density and melting point and is inexpensive.^{17,18,21-23} However, due to the refractoriness of tungsten, modern methods of powder metallurgy in the production of radiation shields from it are expensive, and the production process is very long.²⁵ To solve this problem, it is possible to use fusible materials as binders (matrix). In most composites (with the exception of layered composites), components can be divided into a matrix with reinforcing elements included in it.²⁶ For such purposes, carbon materials,

copper, cobalt, bismuth, *etc.* are used as binder components in tungsten-based composites.^{21–30}

There are many methods of manufacturing composites based on refractory materials: magnetron sputtering, gas sputtering, centrifugal sputtering, spark plasma sintering, 3D printing technologies, selective laser melting, extrusion, inkjet printing, hot stamping, *etc.*^{25,31–37} However, the high duration of the processes suggests the need to use other synthesis methods, the duration of which is shorter and the final result matches the requirements for the material.

The purpose of this work is for the first time to obtain W– Bi_2O_3 composite *via* hot isostatic pressing, to analyze the effect of high pressure and temperature on the samples sintering process, to determine the dependence of microstructural and structural parameters, chemical composition, and density on sintering conditions, and to determine the shielding efficiency against gamma radiation.

Experimental

All chemicals used were commercial reagents with analytical purity (Sigma-Aldrich, USA). The initial material was a mixture of powders obtained by grinding and mixing separately taken powders W (99 wt%) and binding material Bi₂O₃ (1 wt%) using a planetary Fritsch Pulverisette ball mill (FRITSCH Laboratory Instruments, Idar-Oberstein, Germany). The duration of homogenization was 3 hours at a rotational speed of 300 rpm. The obtained powder mixture was molded into samples in the form of 2.3 \pm 0.1 cm tablets by cold solid-phase pressing.

Then, samples of W–Bi₂O₃ composite material were obtained by the hot isostatic pressing method for further studies. The main point of the hot isostatic pressing method is the simultaneous thermobaric action on the closed volume. Each of the samples was placed in a container that included a lowresistance graphite mixture, which provides a rapid set of high temperature values. High temperature values help to achieve plasticity in the grains, as a consequence of which it is possible to obtain materials with a density value close to the theoretical one.³¹ The high value of pressure applied to all samples (5 GPa) contributes to the increase in the reaction area due to the good compaction of the powder grains.

The main feature of this method is the high speed of the process, which is ensured by the fact that the heating and cooling of the produced samples occur under the constant action of high pressure. In our experiments, the duration of the

Table 1 $\,$ Features of synthesis of composite materials based on the W– Bi_2O_3 system

Sample No.	Sintering temperature, °C	Pressure, GPa
1	2000	5
2	1500	
3	1000	
4	850	
5	500	
6	25	

samples sintering was 3 minutes, as described in detail in ref. 23. The samples were $2 \times 2 \text{ cm}^2$ plates with a thickness of 2 mm. Using this method, six samples of the same shape were received. For each of the samples, there were different synthesis conditions, as presented in Table 1.

The morphology and chemical composition of the composite samples were studied using a Carl Zeiss EVO10 scanning electron microscope (SEM) (Carl Ziess, Oberkochen, Germany) in combination with an Oxford energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDX) detector (Oxford Instruments NanoAnalysis, Wiesbaden, Germany). A statistical analysis of tungsten powder grain size was performed using SmartSEM and Gwyddion software. Initial data for the analysis was collected from at least three SEM images.

The calculation of the effective densities of the samples was carried out using the method of hydrostatic weighing.³⁸ The main idea of this method is to measure sample mass, which occurs in two stages: first in air and then in liquid with known exact values of density. In our example, the liquid was distilled water. The values of densities were obtained by calculation, using the reference values of densities of separate components of the composite and the results of hydrostatic weighing. The formula for calculating the theoretical density (ρ_{theor}) of the composite is presented below.

$$\rho_{\text{theor}} = \frac{1}{V},\tag{1}$$

$$V = \frac{x_{\rm W}}{\rho_{\rm W}} + \frac{x_{\rm Bi_2O_3}}{\rho_{\rm Bi_2O_3}}$$
(2)

where *V* is the composite volume, cm^3 ; *x* is the percentage content of the composite component; ρ is the density of the composite component, g cm^{-3} .

The effective densities (ρ_{ef}) of each sample were compared with the theoretical density using the expression:

$$\rho_{\rm ef} = \frac{M}{M - m} \times \rho_{\rm H_2O},\tag{3}$$

$$\rho_{\rm rel} = \frac{\rho_{\rm ef}}{\rho_{\rm theor}} \times 100\% \tag{4}$$

where $\rho_{\rm rel}$ is relative density, %; *M* is mass of composite in air, g; *m* is mass of composite in liquid, g; $\rho_{\rm H_2O}$ is liquid density, g cm⁻³.

Expression (3) shows how close the values of the effective density of samples are to the theoretical one for $W-Bi_2O_3$ composition in percentage terms.

The relative porosity (P_{rel}) of the samples was calculated by the following formula:

$$P_{\rm rel} = 100\% - \rho_{\rm rel}$$
 (5)

The phase composition and lattice parameters were investigated by X-ray diffraction (XRD) analysis using a PANalytical EMPYREAN powder diffractometer (Malvern Panalytical Ltd, Malvern, UK) with Cu-K α radiation. Diffraction lines were recorded for $2\Theta = 20{-}106^{\circ}$ in 0.02° increments. Evaluation of the volume fractions of individual phases was performed using the PowderCell 2.4 program. The shielding efficiency of gamma radiation was evaluated by simulation using the Phy-X/PSD software package.³⁹ The source of gamma rays was Co^{60} with energies of 0.826–2.506 MeV. This software was used to calculate the following parameters: linear attenuation coefficient (LAC), half-value layer (HVL), tenth-value layer (TVL), mean free path (MFP), and effective atomic number (Z_{eff}). The estimated parameters were compared with Pb and Bi, the results for which were also obtained using Phy-X/PSD.

$$LAC = \frac{1}{x} \ln\left(\frac{N_0}{N}\right),\tag{6}$$

$$HVL = \frac{\ln 2}{LAC},$$
(7)

$$MFP = \frac{1}{LAC},$$
(8)

$$TVL = \frac{\ln 10}{LAC},\tag{9}$$

where ρ is the density of the material; *x* is the material thickness; N_0 is the intensity of the incident gamma radiation on the shield; *N* is the intensity of the gamma radiation that has passed through the shield.

It should be explained that the HVL is the thickness of the shield material, after which the intensity of directional ionizing radiation will decrease by a factor of two. TVL also characterizes the thickness of the material, but to attenuate radiation by a factor of ten. These values serves as a characteristic of the material's protective properties against the effects of IR. The effective atomic number (Z_{eff}) of a material is equivalent to the atomic number, and the higher it is, the more effective the shielding of gamma radiation. MFP is the average distance a gamma ray can pass without collision, after which its direction or energy changes.

Results and discussion

The results of the density and porosity evaluation of the W– Bi_2O_3 composite are shown in Table 2. It can be seen that the highest density value of 18.10 g cm⁻³ corresponds to the sample sintered at 25 °C (sample No. 6). The sample with the lowest density value of 16.98 g cm⁻³ was sample No. 1, obtained at 2000 °C. Relative density analysis presented that it is sufficiently high for all samples and is 89.13–95.01%. At the same time, the

Table 2 Results of effective, relative density and porosity parameter of $W-Bi_2O_3$ composites

16.98	89.13	10.87
17.29	90.76	9.24
17.45	91.06	8.94
17.36	91.11	8.89
17.85	93.70	6.30
18.10	95.01	4.99
	16.98 17.29 17.45 17.36 17.85 18.10	16.9889.1317.2990.7617.4591.0617.3691.1117.8593.7018.1095.01



Fig. 1 SEM image of the initial W powder (a) and grain size distribution diagram (b).

relative porosity decreases with the sintering temperature decreasing from 10.87 to 4.99%, which indicates a small deviation from the theoretical values and corresponds to a high degree of sample compaction.

Fig. 1 depicts the SEM image of the surface of the initial tungsten powder (a) and the grain size distribution (b). It is seen that tungsten grains smaller than 480 nm occupy about 26% of the entire area. Based on the results of the Gaussian function approximation, a grain size distribution diagram was plotted. The most probable grain size of the W powder is 488 nm.

The analysis of SEM images (Fig. 2) revealed that with the sintering temperature increasing for the W-Bi₂O₃ composite, defects on its surface and in the volume arise. Microstructure defects are especially noted for sample No. 1 (Fig. 2a), which was obtained at the highest temperature.

Whereas, sample No. 3 (Fig. 2b) has smaller defects, and their quantity decreases with the reduction of the sintering temperature to 1000 °C. Samples No. 5 (Fig. 2c) and No. 6 (Fig. 2d), obtained at 500 °C and 25 °C, respectively, whose density is the highest, have significantly fewer defects compared to samples obtained at higher temperatures.

The results of the chemical composition analysis (Fig. 3) showed that the content of the oxygen phase increased with the rise in sintering temperature.

Samples synthesized in the temperature range from 25 °C to 500 °C have a higher tungsten content, indicating a denser structure (samples No. 5 and No. 6). The increase in oxygen content in samples No. 1 and No. 3 (temperatures of 2000 °C and 1000 °C, respectively) may be due to the course of the redox reaction (RR) with the rising sintering temperature. Obviously,



Fig. 2 Results of SEM investigation of W-Bi₂O₃ composites: No. 1 (a), No. 3 (b), No. 5 (c), No. 6 (d). Insets: enlarged SEM images.



Fig. 3 Distribution map of the chemical elements of the W-Bi₂O₃ composites: No. 1 (a), No. 3 (b), No. 5 (c), No. 6 (d).

the structure defects of samples No. 1 and No. 3 are directly related to the increased oxygen content (Fig. 3a and b). In this case, bismuth oxide is reduced to metallic bismuth while tungsten is oxidized and becomes WO_2 , which is confirmed by the results of XRD analysis (Fig. 4). Probably, the occurrence of RR can be called the main cause of defects appearance.

XRD results revealed that all samples include bulk-centered cubic W phase, and the presence of the WO₂ phase is noted when the synthesis temperature is increased up to 850 °C, which is confirmed by the appearance of diffraction peaks which correspond to 111 and 22-2 crystallographic planes. The volume fraction of bismuth oxide in the sintered material is 1 wt% and it cannot be fixed on the diffractograms, since this amount of phase corresponds to the detection limit of the method. The presence peaks corresponding to the WO₂ phase can be associated with the oxidation temperature of tungsten, the value of which under normal conditions is in the range of 400–490 °C. However, under high pressure and limited volume conditions this value can increase. It explains the absence of the oxide phase in the sample obtained at 500 °C and the appearance of the same phase in the sample obtained at 850 °C.

Fig. 5a presents the dependence of the pure W and tungsten oxide WO_2 phases content in the $W-Bi_2O_3$ composite materials on the sintering temperature.

It can be seen that the increase in the volume fraction of WO_2 occurs with the rise of the samples sintering temperature. So, in sample No. 6 sintered at 5 GPa and 25 °C, there is no WO_2 phase, as well as in sample No. 5 obtained at 5 GPa and 500 °C. However, as the sintering temperature increases from 1000 to

2000 °C (samples No. 4 and No. 1, respectively), the WO₂ phase appears and the W content decreases from \sim 91% to \sim 83% (sample No. 1 obtained at 2000 °C).

Fig. 5b depicts the dependence of the W lattice parameter on the sintering temperature. It shows that when the temperature increases up to 1500 °C, the W lattice parameter rises, and then, when the temperature increases up to 2000 °C, the lattice parameter remains unchanged. In this regard, we can assume that bismuth is insignificantly soluble in tungsten.

The shielding efficiency against gamma radiation was obtained from the W–Bi₂O₃ sample with the highest density (sample No. 6, density 18.10 g cm⁻³) and compared with those for Pb and Bi to demonstrate the advantages of the composite material compared to other high-*Z* materials. Fig. 6 presents the dependence of parameters characterizing the shielding efficiency against gamma radiation in the energy range of 0.826– 2.506 MeV.

Fig. 6a suggests that the W–Bi₂O₃ composite material has a higher LAC value, which indicates its efficiency with respect to the compared materials. In this energy range, the main mechanism of interaction of gamma rays with high atomic number materials, such as W, Pb, and Bi, is Compton scattering, which depends on *Z* and density.^{40,41} Thus, when reaching an energy of 0.826 MeV, the LAC value for the W–Bi₂O₃ composite is 1.19 cm⁻¹, whereas for Pb and Bi, it is 0.97 and 0.85 cm⁻¹, respectively.

The results of the calculation of the half-value layer thickness (Fig. 6b) showed that $W-Bi_2O_3$ has the lowest HVL values compared to Pb and Bi. Thus, at an energy of 2.506 MeV, the



Fig. 4 XRD results of the W-Bi₂O₃ composites.

HVL for Pb is 1.4 cm, whereas it is 1.6 cm for Bi and 0.91 cm for the W–Bi₂O₃ composite. The same can equally be reported for the TVL calculating results. The values correlate perfectly with the HVL values. It is shown that the W–Bi₂O₃ composite material also has the lowest TVL values, which are 3.04 cm at a radiation energy of 2.506 MeV. These results demonstrate that W–Bi₂O₃ composite has the best mass-dimension parameters of all the investigated materials. Therefore, it is recommended to make shields of 2 mm thick and more for effective protection from gamma radiation in the energy range of 0.826–2.506 MeV. The results of mean free path calculations presented in Fig. 6d showed that for the energy of 2.506 MeV, the MFP value for W–Bi₂O₃ composite is 1.3 cm, for Pb it is 2.02 cm, and for Bi it is 2.3 cm, which also indicates the superiority of the composite material over the compared materials.

Fig. 6e shows a graph of the dependence of the Z_{eff} on the gamma-ray energy. Calculating of Z_{eff} values is presented only for the W–Bi₂O₃ composite, since for pure Pb and Bi these values are constants. The Z_{eff} characterizes the interaction of gamma-quants with the medium of a certain composition. The



Fig. 5 Dependence of the phase content (a) and of the tungsten lattice parameter (b) on the sintering temperature of the $W-Bi_2O_3$ composite.



W-Bi2O3

Pb

Bi

2,5

W-Bi-O

2.5

W-Bi₂O₃

Ph

Bi

2,5

W-Bi_O

2.5

W-Bi2O3

2,5

3,0

3.0

Pb

Ri

3.0

3.0

Ph

Bi

3,0

value of the parameter demonstrates that the shielding efficiency of a given composite material will be no worse than shielding by a material with the corresponding atomic number. The graph illustrates that the change of Z_{eff} for the considered composite material in the range of 0.826-2.506 MeV is insignificant. Depending on the energy of gamma rays, the value of the $Z_{\rm eff}$ decreases within hundredths of a fraction ($Z_{\rm eff} = 73.52$ at energy 0.826 MeV and $Z_{eff} = 73.49$ at energy 2.506 MeV), but the reduction of this parameter cannot greatly affect the properties of the composite as a radiation shield, because the reduced parameter is too small.

Conclusions

W-Bi2O3 composite samples were synthesized using hot isostatic pressing. The study of the effect of high pressure and temperature conditions on the microstructure and density showed that at a pressure of 5 GPa with increasing temperature from 25 to 2000 °C the number of defects in the W-Bi₂O₃ composites rose, and the density values decreased from 18.10 to 16.98 g cm⁻³, while the calculated values of porosity increased from 4.99 to 10.87%, respectively.

The results of structure studies of the W-Bi2O3 composite show that all samples have bulk-centered cubic W phase, and the presence of the WO₂ phase is observed when the sintering temperature rises up to 850 °C, which is confirmed by the appearance of diffraction peaks which correspond to 111 and 22-2 crystallographic planes. An increase in the WO₂ phase content with the rising sintering temperature was noted. When the temperature changes to 1500 °C, the W lattice parameter growth is observed, and then, when the temperature increases to 2000 °C, the lattice parameter remains unchanged. In this regard, it can be assumed that bismuth has insignificant solubility in tungsten.

The shielding effectiveness of the W-Bi2O3 composites against gamma radiation using the calculation method in the PhyX-PSD software has been evaluated. Co⁶⁰ isotopes with energies of 0.826 to 2.506 MeV were used as gamma radiation sources. For comparative analysis, simulations were performed for such materials as Pb and Bi. Calculations showed that W-Bi₂O₃ composites are the most promising among the materials considered for use as radiation shields. W-Bi2O3 composite is most effective in the region of lowest energies (0.826-1.173 MeV), whereas when exposed to gamma radiation with energies from 1.173 to 2.506 MeV, it is necessary to produce shields with higher mass-dimensional parameters, namely, with a thickness in the range from 1.5 to 2 mm.

Conflicts of interest

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Acknowledgements

This research was funded by the scientific and technical program of the Common State "Complex-SG" (contract No. 42-2023 from 27.03.2023). M. S. acknowledge the Academic leadership program Priority 2030 proposed by Federal State Autonomous Educational Institution of Higher Education I.M. Sechenov First Moscow State Medical University of the Ministry of Health of the Russian Federation (Sechenov University).

References

- 1 S. Thibeault, J. Kang, G. Sauti, C. Park, C. Fay and G. King, MRS Bull., 2015, **40**, 836–841.
- 2 J. K. Shultis and R. E. Faw, Health Phys., 2005, 88(4), 297–322.
- 3 S. Zinkle and F. Wiffen, AIP Conf. Proc., 2004, 699, 733-740.
- 4 M. I. Abbas, J. S. Alzahrani, M. I. Sayyed, D. I. Tishkevich, M. T. Alabsy, A. M. El-Khatib and M. Elsafi, *Materials*, 2021, 14, 5051.
- 5 R. Li, Y. Gu, Z. Yang, M. Li, Y. Hou and Z. Zhang, *Mater. Des.*, 2017, **124**, 121–130.
- 6 A. I. Vorobjova, D. L. Shimanovich, O. A. Sycheva, T. I. Ezovitova, D. I. Tishkevich and A. V. Trykhanov, *Russ. Microelectron.*, 2019, 48, 107–118.
- 7 V. I. Pavlenko, N. I. Cherkashina, A. V. Noskov,
 R. N. Yastrebinskii and I. V. Sokolenko, *Russ. Phys. J.*, 2016, 59(8), 1192–1197.
- 8 C.-P. Li, C.-Y. Kang, S.-L. Huang, P.-T. Lee, H.-C. Kuo and F.-C. Hsu, *Mater. Lett.*, 2019, **260**, 126961.
- 9 B. Buyuk and A. B. Tugrul, Acta Phys. Pol., 2014, 125, 423–425.
- N. Jamal AbuAlRoos, M. N. Azman, N. A. Baharul Amin and R. Zainon, *Phys. Med.*, 2020, **78**, 48–57.
- 11 M. Almurayshid, S. Alsagabi, Y. Alssalim, Z. Alotaibi and R. Almsalam, *Radiat. Phys. Chem.*, 2021, **183**, 7.
- K. Boonin, P. Yasaka, P. Limkitjaroenporn, R. Rajaramakrishna, A. Askin, M. I. Sayyed, S. Kothan and J. Kaewkhao, *J. Non-Cryst. Solids*, 2020, 550, 8.
- 13 M. Dong, S. Zhou, X. Xue, X. Feng, H. Yang, M. I. Sayyed, D. Tishkevich, A. Trukhanov and N. Almousa, *J. Cleaner Prod.*, 2022, 355, 131817.
- 14 D. I. Tishkevich, A. I. Vorobjova and A. V. Trukhanov, *Solid State Phenom.*, 2020, **299**, 281–286.
- 15 R. Kurtulus, M. I. Sayyed, T. Kavas, K. A. Mahmoud, O. L. Tashlykov, M. U. Khandaker and D. A. Bradley, *Radiat. Phys. Chem.*, 2021, **186**, 8.
- 16 A. L. Kozlovskiy, I. E. Kenzhina, M. V. Zdorovets, M. Saiymova, D. I. Tishkevich, S. V. Trukhanov and A. V. Trukhanov, *Ceram. Interfaces*, 2019, 45, 17236–17242.
- 17 J. P. McCaffrey, H. Shen, B. Downton and E. Mainegra-Hing, *Med. Phys.*, 2007, 34, 530–537.
- 18 J. P. McCaffrey, E. Mainegra-Hing and H. Shen, *Med. Phys.*, 2009, **36**, 5586–5594.
- D. I. Tishkevich, S. S. Grabchikov, S. B. Lastovskii,
 S. V. Trukhanov, T. I. Zubar, D. S. Vasin and
 A. V. Trukhanov, J. Alloys Compd., 2018, 749, 1036–1042.
- 20 E.-S. A. Waly and M. A. Bourham, *Ann. Nucl. Energy*, 2015, **85**, 306–310.
- 21 H. M. Soylu, F. Y. Lambrecht and O. A. Ersöz, J. Radioanal. Nucl. Chem., 2015, 305, 529–534.
- 22 A. Vorobjova, D. Tishkevich, D. Shimanovich, T. Zubar, K. Astapovich, A. Kozlovskiy, M. Zdorovets,

A. Zhaludkevich, D. Lyakhov, D. Michels, D. Vinnik, V. Fedosyuk and A. Trukhanov, *RSC Adv.*, 2021, **11**, 3952.

- 23 D. I. Tishkevich, T. I. Zubar, A. L. Zhaludkevich,
 I. U. Razanau, T. N. Vershinina, A. A. Bondaruk,
 E. K. Zheleznova, M. Dong, M. Y. Hanfi, M. I. Sayyed,
 M. V. Silibin, S. V. Trukhanov and A. V. Trukhanov, *Nanomater*, 2022, 12, 1642.
- 24 M. G. Dong, D. I. Tishkevich, M. Y. Hanfi,
 V. S. Semenishchev, M. I. Sayyed, S. Y. Zhou,
 S. S. Grabchikov, M. U. Khandaker, X. X. Xue,
 A. L. Zhaludkevich, I. U. Razanau, D. A. Vinnik,
 S. V. Trukhanov, T. I. Zubar and A. V. Trukhanov, *Radiat. Phys. Chem.*, 2022, 200, 110175.
- 25 D. I. Tishkevich, S. S. Grabchikov, S. B. Lastovskii, S. V. Trukhanov, D. S. Vasin, T. I. Zubar, A. L. Kozlovskiy, M. V. Zdorovets, V. A. Sivakov, T. R. Muradyan and A. V. Trukhanov, *J. Alloys Compd.*, 2019, 771, 238–245.
- 26 S. D. Jadhav, P. P. Dhekne, E. Brodu, B. V. Hooreweder, S. Dadbakhsh, J.-P. Kruth, J. V. Humbeeck and K. Vanmeensel, *Mater. Des.*, 2021, **198**, 16.
- 27 N. J. AbuAlRoos, N. A. B. Amin and R. Zainon, *Radiat. Phys. Chem.*, 2019, **165**, 7.
- 28 M. A. Sazali, N. K. A. Md Rashid and K. Hamzah, *IOP Conf. Ser.: Mater. Sci. Eng.*, 2019, 555, 012008.
- 29 T. Xie, L. Fu, B. Gao, J. Zhu, W. Yang, D. Li and L. Zhou, *Thin Solid Films*, 2019, **690**, 8.
- 30 J. P. Kelly, J. W. Elmer, F. J. Ryerson, J. R. I. Lee and J. J. Haslam, *Addit. Manuf.*, 2021, **39**, 12.
- 31 H. Li, W. Yang, L. Ma, G. Liu, Y. Yu, J. Cao and Q. Wei, *J. Chem. Eng.*, 2021, **426**, 131479.
- 32 G. Günay, T. Zienert, D. Endler, C. G. Aneziris, H. Biermann and A. Weidner, *Adv. Eng. Mater.*, 2022, **24**(8), 2200292.
- 33 D. A. Vinnik, V. V. Kokovkin, V. V. Volchek, V. E. Zhivulin, P. A. Abramov, N. A. Cherkasova, Z. Sun, M. I. Sayyed, D. I. Tishkevich and A. V. Trukhanov, *Mater. Chem. Phys.*, 2021, 270, 124818.
- 34 A. Fedotov, V. Shendyukov, L. Tsybulskaya, S. Perevoznikov,
 M. Dong, X. Xue, X. Feng, M. I. Sayyed, T. Zubar,
 A. Trukhanov and D. Tishkevich, *J. Alloys Compd.*, 2021, 887, 10.
- 35 X. Zhu, J. Cheng, P. Chen, B. Wei, Y. Gao and D. Gao, *J. Alloys Compd.*, 2019, **793**, 352–359.
- 36 Y. Li, G. Luo, Y. Sun, J. Zhang, Q. Shen and L. Zhang, *Appl. Surf. Sci.*, 2020, **516**, 9.
- 37 A. V. Trukhanov, A. L. Kozlovskiy, A. E. Ryskulov, V. V. Uglov,
 S. B. Kislitsin, M. V. Zdorovets, S. V. Trukhanov, T. I. Zubar,
 K. A. Astapovich and D. I. Tishkevich, *Ceram. Int.*, 2019, 45, 15412–15416.
- 38 A. F. Crawley, Int. Mater. Rev., 1974, 19(1), 32-48.
- 39 E. Şakara, Ö. F. Özpolatb, B. Alımc, M. I. Sayyed and M. Kurudireka, *Radiat. Phys. Chem.*, 2020, 166, 12.
- 40 S. Chen, M. Bourham and A. Rabiei, *Radiat. Phys. Chem.*, 2015, **117**, 12–22.
- 41 D. I. Tishkevich, A. I. Vorobjova and D. A. Vinnik, *Mater. Sci. Forum*, 2019, **946**, 235–241.