




Cite this: *RSC Adv.*, 2023, 13, 28462

# Design, synthesis and biological evaluation of VEGFR-2/HDAC dual inhibitors as multitargeted antitumor agents based on fruquintinib and vorinostat†

Yali Gao,<sup>\*a</sup> Fei Li,<sup>bc</sup> Xin Ni,<sup>b</sup> Siwang Yang,<sup>b</sup> Han Liu,<sup>b</sup> Xingye Wu,<sup>b</sup> Jieqing Liu <sup>\*b</sup> and Junjie Ma <sup>\*b</sup>

Herein, a series of 4-(benzofuran-6-yloxy)quinazoline derivatives as VEGFR-2/HDAC dual inhibitors were designed and synthesized based on fruquintinib and vorinostat. Among them, compound **13** exhibited potent inhibitory activity against VEGFR-2 and HDAC1 with IC<sub>50</sub> values of 57.83 nM and 9.82 nM, and displayed moderate to significant antiproliferative activity against MCF-7, A549, HeLa and HUVEC. The cellular mechanism studies revealed that compound **13** arrested the cell cycle at the S and G2 phases, and induced significant apoptosis in HeLa cells. Tube formation assay in HUVECs demonstrated that **13** had a significant anti-angiogenic effect. Additionally, a molecular docking study supported the initial design strategy. These results highlighted that **13** was a valuable VEGFR-2/HDAC dual inhibitor and deserved further study for cancer therapy.

Received 15th August 2023  
Accepted 19th September 2023

DOI: 10.1039/d3ra05542f

rsc.li/rsc-advances

## Introduction

Tumour angiogenesis is an essential marker of tumor growth, infiltration and metastasis.<sup>1,2</sup> Vascular endothelial growth factors (VEGFs) are some of the most active and specific proangiogenic factors known, and their kinase receptors include VEGFR-1, VEGFR-2 and VEGFR-3.<sup>3,4</sup> Among them, VEGFR-2 is a key signaling receptor in the process of angiogenesis.<sup>5</sup> The abnormal upregulation of VEGFR-2 could be used as an important marker to indicate the development of numerous diseases, especially in various malignant tumors, and inhibition of the VEGFR-2 pathway could lead to an effective antitumor angiogenic response.<sup>6,7</sup> To date, a variety of monoclonal antibodies and small molecule inhibitors targeting VEGFR-2 have been approved for the treatment of solid tumors,<sup>8,9</sup> such as ramucirumab, sorafenib, apatinib, vandetanib, pazopanib, cabozantinib, and fruquintinib, *etc.* (Fig. 1). However, drug resistance has occurred in most patients treated with VEGFR inhibitors,<sup>10–12</sup> limiting the effectiveness of these inhibitors. To overcome the drug

resistance, combination therapy is often required in clinical practice.<sup>13,14</sup>

For the last decade, targeting epigenetic aberration has been considered as an important strategy for the treatment of tumors.<sup>15,16</sup> As key mediators of epigenetic regulation, overexpression of histone deacetylases (HDACs) and their dysregulated functions have been observed in many tumor types.<sup>17</sup> Several HDAC inhibitors (vorinostat, belinostat, romidepsin, panobinostat and chidamide, Fig. 2) have been available in the clinic for reversing aberrant epigenetic changes associated with tumor treatments.<sup>18</sup> Notably, accumulating evidences demonstrate that combining HDAC inhibitors with VEGFR-2 inhibitors shows great potential for overcoming VEGFR-2 inhibitors resistance and improving antitumor efficacy.<sup>19–22</sup> Considering that multi-drugs combination therapy is associated with significant side effects, poor patient compliance, complex pharmacokinetic properties and drug–drug interactions. Therefore, the development of individual drug targeting VEGFR-2 along with HDACs exhibits great promise.<sup>23</sup> Until now, some VEGFR-2/HDAC dual inhibitors have been reported,<sup>24–27</sup> such as pazopanib-based VEGFR-2/HDAC dual inhibitors designed by Zhang *et al.*<sup>28</sup> and vandetanib-based VEGFR-2/HDAC dual inhibitors designed by Shi's group.<sup>29,30</sup>

Herein, we designed and synthesized a series of 4-(benzofuran-6-yloxy)quinazoline-based derivatives based on the pharmacophore of the VEGFR inhibitor fruquintinib and the HDAC inhibitor, and screened for their inhibitory activity against VEGFR-2 and HDAC1 at the enzyme level. Furthermore, the compound with potent inhibitory activity against both

<sup>a</sup>Pharmacy Department, The Second Affiliated Hospital of Fujian Medical University, Quanzhou, 362000, PR China. E-mail: 106204660@qq.com

<sup>b</sup>School of Medicine, Huaqiao University, Quanzhou, 362000, PR China. E-mail: liujieqing@hqu.edu.cn; majunjie3612@hqu.edu.cn

<sup>c</sup>Sinopharm Dongfeng General Hospital, Hubei University of Medicine, Shiyan 442008, Hubei, PR China

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. See DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1039/d3ra05542f>






Fig. 1 Chemical structures of representative VEGFR-2 inhibitors.




Fig. 2 Chemical structures of representative HDAC inhibitors.





































Fig. 3 Design of fruquintinib-based VEGFR/HDAC dual inhibitors.



© 2023 The Author(s). Published by the Royal Society of Chemistry

Table 1 Inhibitory activity of compounds 12–38 against VEGFR-2 and HDAC1

Compd.	ZBG	Linker	R	Inhibition (%) at 100 nM <sup>a</sup>	
				VEGFR-2	HDAC1
12			—CH <sub>3</sub>	91.73 ± 2.47	66.45 ± 0.48
13			—CH <sub>3</sub>	<b>93.20 ± 1.36</b>	<b>90.24 ± 0.25</b>
14			—CH <sub>3</sub>	91.18 ± 0.54	77.68 ± 0.64
15			—CH <sub>3</sub>	92.78 ± 0.52	41.30 ± 0.58
16			—CH <sub>3</sub>	87.68 ± 2.64	38.47 ± 0.17
17			—CH <sub>3</sub>	76.19 ± 3.63	0.20 ± 2.07
18			—CH <sub>3</sub>	76.57 ± 2.62	4.25 ± 3.62
19			—CH <sub>3</sub>	85.34 ± 0.52	13.15 ± 12.96
20			—CH <sub>3</sub>	51.42 ± 0.43	9.21 ± 0.01
21			—CH <sub>3</sub>	41.90 ± 5.47	−1.33 ± 0.29
22			—CH <sub>2</sub> CH <sub>3</sub>	92.00 ± 1.18	63.92 ± 0.53
23			—CH <sub>2</sub> CH <sub>3</sub>	65.11 ± 2.26	92.6 ± 0.2
24			—CH <sub>2</sub> CH <sub>3</sub>	44.49 ± 3.74	26.1 ± 0.5
25			—CH <sub>2</sub> CH <sub>3</sub>	63.45 ± 2.74	10.7 ± 0.1
26			—CH <sub>2</sub> CH <sub>3</sub>	90.13 ± 2.41	55.7 ± 0.2
27			—CH <sub>2</sub> CH <sub>3</sub>	68.42 ± 8.02	17.5 ± 5.2



























Table 1 (Contd.)



Compd.	ZBG	Linker	R	Inhibition (%) at 100 nM <sup>a</sup>	
				VEGFR-2	HDAC1
28			—CH <sub>2</sub> CH <sub>3</sub>	65.54 ± 1.98	15.6 ± 0.9
29			—CH <sub>2</sub> CH <sub>3</sub>	51.67 ± 9.03	3.5 ± 1.1
30			—CH <sub>2</sub> CH <sub>3</sub>	37.16 ± 0.68	27.7 ± 1.7
31			—CH <sub>2</sub> CH <sub>3</sub>	28.69 ± 1.89	6.4 ± 0.2
32			—CH(CH <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>2</sub>	32.18 ± 0.68	12.3 ± 0.5
33			—CH(CH <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>2</sub>	33.03 ± 2.32	61.4 ± 0.9
34			—CH(CH <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>2</sub>	37.95 ± 3.38	1.9 ± 0.5
35			—CH(CH <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>2</sub>	33.26 ± 5.87	2.2 ± 0.5
36			—CH(CH <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>2</sub>	33.87 ± 1.46	15.3 ± 0.7
37			—CH(CH <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>2</sub>	9.70 ± 0.97	5.8 ± 0.2
38			—CH(CH <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>2</sub>	16.93 ± 2.60	19.0 ± 1.9
Fruquintinib				89.94 ± 0.62	—
SAHA				—	66.29 ± 1.28

<sup>a</sup> Inhibition rates are displayed as averages from at least two independent experiments ± SD.

binding pocket of VEGFR-2 was carried out. As showed in Fig. 3, the benzofuran moiety was accommodated in the mostly hydrophobic ATP-binding cleft and formed important hydrophobic interactions. The secondary amine group of amide backbone made two hydrogen bonds with Asp1046 and Glu885. The nitrogen atoms at 1 and 3-positions of the dimethoxyquinazoline ring created two hydrogen bonds with Cys919 of the hinge region and THR916, respectively.

Importantly, the two methoxyl groups at 6 and 7-positions of the dimethoxyquinazoline ring extended into the solvent region and had no interaction with VEGFR-2 kinase. The pharmacophore of most HDAC inhibitors includes three parts, a CAP structure that interacts with the amino acid residues around the entrance of active pocket, a zinc ion binding group (ZBG) and a linker that is responsible for the connection of the CAP to ZBG and interacts with the






Fig. 4 Dose–response curves of compound **13** against VEGFR-2 and HDAC1. Assays were performed in replicates ( $n \geq 2$ ).

Table 2 *In vitro* antiproliferative activity of **13** against HeLa, MCF-7 and A549

Compound	$IC_{50}$ ( $\mu M$ ) $\pm$ SD <sup>a</sup>		
	HeLa	MCF-7	A549
<b>13</b>	1.49 $\pm$ 0.16	17.86 $\pm$ 0.54	4.56 $\pm$ 0.33
SAHA	1.03 $\pm$ 0.33	11.82 $\pm$ 0.14	3.54 $\pm$ 0.25
Fruquintinib	8.35 $\pm$ 0.47	>20	>20
Fruquintinib + SAHA (molar ratio = 1 : 1)	1.38 $\pm$ 0.36	18.50 $\pm$ 0.16	8.12 $\pm$ 0.23

<sup>a</sup>  $IC_{50}$  values are displayed as averages from three independent experiments  $\pm$  SD.

hydrophobic channel of active pocket. Among them, the CAP is located around the entrance of active pocket, greater structural changes can be tolerated. Therefore, to optimally fuse the pharmacophore of HDAC inhibitor and fruquintinib, we retained the quinazoline and benzofuran moieties of fruquintinib, which formed key binding interactions with VEGFR-2, and introduced the linkers and ZBGs of HDAC inhibitors at the 6-position of quinazoline ring to design a series of 4-(benzofuran-6-yloxy)quinazoline-based derivatives (Fig. 3).

## Chemistry

The synthesis of target compounds **12–38** is illustrated in Scheme 1. The commercially available starting material 3,4-dihydro-7-methoxy-4-oxoquinazolin-6-yl acetate was chlorinated by thionyl chloride in the presence of catalytic amount of *N,N*-dimethylformamide (DMF) to obtain intermediate **1**, which was further hydrolysed by lithium hydroxide in the tetrahydrofuran and water at 35 °C to obtain intermediate **2**. The intermediate **2** reacted with different linkers to yield key intermediates **3a–3e**, respectively. In addition, 2-hydroxy-4-methoxybenzaldehyde reacted with ethyl 2-bromopropionate in *N,N*-dimethylformamide via a nucleophilic substitution reaction to produce intermediate **4**. The **4** was further hydrolysed by sodium hydroxide in ethanol to obtain intermediate **5**, which underwent an intramolecular cyclocondensation reaction to afford intermediate **6**. Then, the intermediate **7** was prepared by the Friedel–Craft reaction of **6** and oxalyl chloride using the aluminium trichloride as a catalyst. The **7** was further demethylated in the presence of boron tribromide to give intermediate **8**. The key intermediates **9a–9c** were prepared by an amidation reaction of **8** with methylamine, ethylamine or

isopropylamine, respectively. Next, **3a–3e** reacted with **9a–9c** in acetonitrile and potassium carbonate to yield intermediates **10a–10o**, which were hydrolysed by lithium hydroxide to obtain intermediate **11a–11o**. Finally, target compounds were afforded through an amidation reaction of **11a–11o** and hydroxylamine hydrochloride or *o*-phenylenediamine in the presence of HATU and DIEA at room temperature.

## Biological activity evaluations

All the target compounds were evaluated for their inhibitory activities against VEGFR-2 and HDAC1 at a concentration of 100 nM with fruquintinib and SAHA as the positive controls. As shown in Table 1, we found that several compounds (**12–14**, **22** and **23**) displayed outstanding inhibitory activity against both VEGFR-2 and HDAC1, suggesting that the design of VEGFR-2/HDAC dual inhibitors by introducing the linkers and ZBGs of HDAC inhibitor at the 6-position of quinazoline of fruquintinib is an effective strategy. Among them, compound **13** showed the strongest inhibitory activity with inhibition rates of 93.20% and 90.24%, which is more potent than fruquintinib and SAHA. Further, by comparison of the inhibitory activity of compounds **12–21**, **22–31** and **32–38** against VEGFR-2, a clear structure–activity relationship (SAR) was observed that the inhibitory activity of target compounds showed a significant decrease as the size of R group increased, indicating that introduction of the bulky group at this position is not well tolerated. In contrast, changes of the ZBGs and linkers caused no regular change in VEGFR-2 inhibitory activity, the reason might be that the ZBGs and linkers were far from the ATP binding pocket of VEGFR-2 and had less effect on inhibitory activity. In addition, the inhibitory activity of target compounds against HDAC1 was








Fig. 5 (A) Apoptosis analysis of compound 13 in HeLa cells for 48 h by flow cytometry. (B) Ability of compound 13 to induce apoptosis in HeLa cells, apoptosis% = early apoptosis (Q1-LR) + late apoptosis (Q1-UR). (C) Cell cycle analysis of compound 13 in HeLa cells for 48 h by flow cytometry. (D) Percentages of the cell cycle for compound 13 with different concentrations in HeLa cells.

investigated. We found that compounds (12–16, 22–26 and 32–35) bearing isohydroxamic acid exhibited more potent inhibition on the HDAC1 than these compounds bearing *o*-

phenylenediamine (17–21, 27–31 and 36–38), suggesting that isohydroxamic acid as ZBG contributed more to the inhibitory potency against HDAC1 than *o*-phenylenediamine. Further




Fig. 6 Anti-angiogenic effect of 13 *in vitro*. (A) Cytotoxicity of 13 on HUVEC. (B and C) Quantitative analysis of 13 on the tubule length and junctions of HUVECs. (D) Representative images of the tubular network of HUVECs treated with DMSO or 13. Assays were performed in replicates ( $n \geq 2$ ). All data were expressed as the mean  $\pm$  SEM. \* $P < 0.05$ , \*\* $P < 0.01$ , compared to the control group, ordinary one-way ANOVA multiple comparisons.






Fig. 7 (A) The proposed binding mode of **13** in the ATP-binding pocket of VEGFR-2 (PDB: 3B8R). (B) The proposed binding mode of **13** in the active pocket of HDAC1 (PDB: 1C3S).

investigations were performed to study the effects of different linkers on inhibitory activity, the results revealed that compounds bearing flexible aliphatic chains as linkers (**12–14**, **22–24**, **32**, **33**) showed significantly stronger inhibitory effects on HDAC1 than those bearing rigid phenyl chains (**15–16**, **25–26**, **34**, **35**), and the six carbons length aliphatic chain was the most favourable for HDAC1 inhibitory activity.

In view of the outstanding inhibitory activity of **13**, which was further determined the half-maximal inhibitory concentrations ( $IC_{50}$ ) against VEGFR-2 and HDAC1. The final concentrations were from 1  $\mu$ M with 4-fold dilution. The results (Fig. 4) showed that compound **13** exhibited potent inhibitory activity against both VEGFR-2 and HDAC1, with  $IC_{50}$  values of 57.83 nM and 9.82 nM, respectively.

To further explore the antitumor effect, **13** was evaluated for its antiproliferative activity *in vitro* against HeLa (human cervical cancer cell line), MCF-7 (human breast cancer cell line) and A549 (human lung cancer cell line) by CCK-8 assay. As shown in Table 2, **13** exhibited moderate to good antiproliferative activity against MCF-7 and HeLa with  $IC_{50}$  values of 17.86  $\mu$ M and 1.49  $\mu$ M, which was comparable to

combination of SAHA and fruquintinib, and displayed significantly stronger antiproliferative activity against A549 than combination of SAHA and fruquintinib.

#### Flow cytometry analysis

Inhibition of HDAC significantly induced apoptosis and blocked the cell cycle. To investigate the cellular mechanisms of compound **13**, its effects on the apoptosis and cell cycle were tested in HeLa cells by the flow cytometry analysis. As shown in Fig. 5A and B, compared to the control, apoptosis analysis by Annexin-V APC/7-AAD revealed that the treatment of HeLa cells with different concentrations (0.75  $\mu$ M, 1.5  $\mu$ M and 3  $\mu$ M) of **13** for 48 h caused a significant dose-dependent increase (7.69%, 18.26% and 24.49%) in the population of apoptotic cells, indicating that compound **13** could significantly induce apoptosis in a dose-dependent manner. In addition, as shown in Fig. 5C and D, cell cycle analysis by PI staining showed that the treatment with different concentrations (0.75  $\mu$ M, 1.5  $\mu$ M and 3  $\mu$ M) of **13** led to the increase of S and G2 fraction from 16.83 to 23.48 and 16.89 to 23.41,



respectively, suggesting that **13** could arrest the HeLa cell cycle at the S phase and G2 phase in a dose-dependent manner.

### Cytotoxicity on HUVECs and tube formation assay

The formation of microvascular tube is one of the key functions in angiogenesis. Due to the significant VEGFR-2 inhibitory activity of compound **13** at the enzyme level, which was further selected to investigate the anti-angiogenic effect. Firstly, we carried out a cytotoxicity test of compound **13** for 72 h against HUVEC using CellTiter-Glo® (CTG) luminescent cell viability assay, and the result (Fig. 6A) showed that compound **13** displayed effective inhibitory activity on HUVEC with IC<sub>50</sub> value of 3.44 μM, suggesting that **13** might have potential anti-angiogenic activity. Therefore, the effect of **13** on tube formation was further investigated. As showed in Fig. 6B–D, **13** suppressed the tube length in a dose-dependent manner. Compared to control, the tubule lengths of HUVECs were decreased to 77% and 53%, and the junctions were decreased from 200 to 150 and 98 after the treatment with 2.5 μM and 10 μM of **13** for 72 h, respectively, suggesting that **13** had significant anti-angiogenic effect.

### Molecular docking study

To explore the putative binding mode of **13** with HDAC1 and VEGFR-2, molecular docking studies of **13** were performed using the VEGFR-2/naphthamide inhibitor complex (PDB ID: 3B8R) and HDAC1/SAHA complex (PDB ID: 1C3S) as templates. As shown in Fig. 7A, the binding mode of **13** with VEGFR-2 revealed that the *N*,2-dimethyl-6-(quinazolin-4-yloxy) benzofuran-3-carboxamide moiety of **13** could occupy the ATP-binding pocket of VEGFR-2 in a similar way to the fruquintinib. The quinazoline ring formed two hydrogen bonds with Cys919 of the hinge region and THR916, and established a parallel π–π interaction with PHE918. The amide group on benzofuran formed two hydrogen bonds with Asp1046 and Glu885, respectively. Notably, compared with fruquintinib, the isohydroxamic acid tail extending into the solvent region, formed extra hydrogen bonds with ASN1033 and ARG1032, respectively. On the other hand, the binding mode of **13** with HDAC1 (Fig. 7B) revealed that the hydroxamic acid group could enter into the bottom of active site and formed multiple key interactions, including chelation with Zn<sup>2+</sup>, hydrogen bonds with HIS131 and Gly295. The aliphatic chain occupied the hydrophobic channel and created important hydrophobic interactions. The 4-(benzofuran-6-yloxy)quinazoline terminal backbone was located in the entrance of active site, the methoxy on the quinazoline ring and the oxygen atom of benzofuran formed two hydrogen bonds with PHE198 and TYR91, respectively. These finding provided strong support for the potent inhibitory activity of **13** against VEGFR-2 and HDAC1.

## Conclusion

In this paper, we designed and synthesized a series of 4-(benzofuran-6-yloxy)quinazoline-based derivatives as VEGFR-2/

HDAC dual inhibitors. Biological activity evaluations led to the identification of compound **13**, which exhibited the most potent inhibitory activity against VEGFR-2 and HDAC1 with IC<sub>50</sub> values of 57.83 nM and 9.82 nM, and displayed moderate to significant antiproliferative activity against MCF-7, A549, HeLa and HUVEC with IC<sub>50</sub> values of 17.86 μM, 4.59 μM, 1.49 μM and 3.43 μM. Further mechanism of action studies revealed that compound **13** blocked the cell cycle at the S and G2 phases, and induced significant apoptosis in HeLa cells, as well as exhibiting significant anti-angiogenic activity. Additionally, molecular docking studies showed that compound **13** could enter well into the ATP-binding pocket of VEGFR-2 and active pocket of HDAC1, and created multiple key interactions, which supported the potential inhibitory activity of **13** against VEGFR-2 and HDAC1. Overall, these above findings suggested that **13** could be as a promising lead targeting VEGFR-2 and HDAC1, and deserved further optimization and investigation for cancer therapy. Melting points were determined on a Melting Point XT5B apparatus (KeYi, Beijing). The purities of target compounds were determined by high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC), performed on an Elite EClassical 3100 machine with a C18 reverse-phase column (Agilent 5 TC-C18, 4.6 mm × 250 mm) at room temperature. Mobile phase A (100% methanol) and mobile phase B (0.1% TFA in water) were used in a gradient elution program (0 min, phase A: 60%, phase B: 40%; 30 min, phase A: 100%, phase B: 0%) with a flow rate of 1.0 mL min<sup>−1</sup>. UV detection was conducted at 230 nm.

## Experimental section

### Chemistry

All reagents and solvents were obtained from commercially available sources and were used without further purification. All the reactions were monitored by TLC using silica gel GF/UV 254. Column chromatography was performed using silica gel (200–300 mesh). The <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectroscopy were performed using Bruker AV-500/400 with tetramethylsilane (TMS) as an internal standard. High resolution mass spectrometry was performed on an Agilent Accurate-Mass UHPLC-QTOF 1290-6545 in ESI mode.

### Synthesis of intermediate 1

3,4-Dihydro-7-methoxy-4-oxoquinazolin-6-yl acetate (5.60 g, 24 mmol) was added into 70 mL thionyl chloride, and then 10 mL *N,N*-dimethylformamide (DMF) was added. The mixture was heated at 80 °C for 4 h. TLC monitored completion of the reaction, then the mixture was cooled to room temperature and concentrated to afford a yellow solid as intermediate **1** (5.72 g, 95%).

### Synthesis of intermediate 2

Intermediate **1** (5.70 g, 23 mmol) and LiOH (1.10 g, 46 mmol) were added to 60 mL THF–H<sub>2</sub>O (4 : 1, v/v) and stirred at 35 °C for 1 h. TLC monitored reaction completion, then the reaction mixture was concentrated under reduced pressure to remove THF and ice water was added. The solution was adjusted to pH



3–4 with 1.0 M hydrochloric acid. The filter cake was collected by filtration and dried to give a yellow solid as intermediate 2 (4.75 g, 86%).

### Synthesis of intermediate 3a

Intermediate 2 (1.25 g, 4.9 mmol),  $K_2CO_3$  (1.39 g, 10.1 mmol) and methyl 6-bromohexanoate (2.11 g, 10.1 mmol) were added to 3 mL acetonitrile and the mixture was stirred at 80 °C for 8 h. TLC monitored reaction completion. The reaction mixture was cooled to room temperature and filtered. The filtrate was concentrated to give a residue, which was purified by column chromatography to obtain a yellow solid as intermediate 3a (1.22 g, 61%).  $^1H$  NMR (400 MHz, chloroform-*d*)  $\delta$  8.88 (s, 1H), 7.39 (s, 1H), 7.35 (s, 1H), 4.27–4.16 (m, 2H), 4.07 (s, 3H), 3.70 (s, 3H), 2.46–2.35 (m, 2H), 2.07–1.94 (m, 2H), 1.85–1.72 (m, 2H), 1.65–1.55 (m, 2H).

### Synthesis of intermediate 3b

Intermediate 3b was prepared from intermediate 2 (0.61 g, 2.8 mmol) and methyl 7-bromoheptanoate (1.08 g, 4.8 mmol) in a similar manner as described for compound 3a (0.62 g, 63%).  $^1H$  NMR (400 MHz, chloroform-*d*)  $\delta$  8.88 (s, 1H), 7.39 (s, 1H), 7.35 (s, 1H), 4.26–4.16 (m, 2H), 4.06 (s, 3H), 3.68 (s, 3H), 2.43–2.30 (m, 2H), 2.02–1.93 (m, 2H), 1.78–1.68 (m, 2H), 1.62–1.53 (m, 2H), 1.52–1.41 (m, 2H).

### Synthesis of intermediate 3c

Intermediate 3c was prepared from intermediate 2 (0.3 g, 1.4 mmol) and methyl 8-bromooctanoate (0.6 g, 2.5 mmol) in a similar manner as described for compound 3a (0.33 g, 65%).  $^1H$  NMR (500 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>)  $\delta$  8.86 (s, 1H), 7.44 (s, 1H), 7.36 (s, 1H), 4.17 (t, *J* = 6.5 Hz, 2H), 4.01 (s, 3H), 3.58 (s, 3H), 2.30 (t, *J* = 7.4 Hz, 2H), 1.88–1.74 (m, 2H), 1.60–1.50 (m, 2H), 1.50–1.41 (m, 2H), 1.40–1.32 (m, 2H), 1.32–1.24 (m, 2H).

### Synthesis of intermediate 3d

Intermediate 2 (0.35 g, 1.6 mmol),  $Cs_2CO_3$  (1.12 g, 3.1 mmol) and methyl 3-(4-bromomethyl)cinnamate (1.82 g, 0.8 mmol) were added to 3 mL DMF and the mixture was stirred at room temperature for 2 h. TLC monitored completion of the reaction. 20 mL water was poured into the mixture and stirred for 30 min. The mixture solution was filtered to obtain a brown solid as intermediate 3d (0.45 g, 74%).

### Synthesis of intermediate 3e

Intermediate 3e was prepared from intermediate 2 (0.51 g, 2.3 mmol) and methyl 4-bromomethylbenzoate (0.71 g, 3.1 mmol) in a similar manner as described for compound 3d (0.59 g, 72%).

### Synthesis of intermediate 4

2-Hydroxy-4-methoxybenzaldehyde (10.12 g, 66 mmol),  $K_2CO_3$  (14.11 g, 101 mmol) and ethyl 2-bromopropionate (9.4 mL, 72.6 mmol) were added to 40 mL DMF and the reaction was stirred at room temperature for 2 h. TLC monitored completion of the

reaction. 40 mL ice water was added and then extracted with ethyl acetate (3 × 40 mL). The organic layer was washed with saturated salt water and dried with anhydrous  $Na_2SO_4$ . The organic layer was concentrated to yield colourless liquid as intermediate 4 (9.98 g, 60%).  $^1H$  NMR (500 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>)  $\delta$  10.28 (s, 1H), 7.68 (d, *J* = 8.7 Hz, 1H), 6.68 (dd, *J* = 8.7, 1.5 Hz, 1H), 6.61 (d, *J* = 2.2 Hz, 1H), 5.25 (q, *J* = 6.7 Hz, 1H), 4.15 (q, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 2H), 3.83 (s, 3H), 1.58 (d, *J* = 6.8 Hz, 3H), 1.16 (t, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 3H).

### Synthesis of intermediate 5

Intermediate 4 (10.00 g, 40 mmol) and NaOH (2.00 g, 50 mmol) were added into 40 mL ethanol and stirred for 1 h. After complete reaction by TLC, the mixture was poured into 100 mL water and the pH was adjusted to 2–3 with 1.0 M hydrochloric acid. The solution was filtered and dried to give a white solid as intermediate 5 (7.91 g, 89%).  $^1H$  NMR (500 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>)  $\delta$  10.27 (s, 1H), 7.68 (d, *J* = 8.7 Hz, 1H), 6.69 (dd, *J* = 8.7, 2.2 Hz, 1H), 6.59 (d, *J* = 2.2 Hz, 1H), 5.15 (q, *J* = 6.8 Hz, 1H), 3.83 (s, 3H), 1.57 (d, *J* = 6.7 Hz, 3H).

### Synthesis of intermediate 6

Intermediate 5 (8.89 g, 40 mmol) and NaOAc (9.84 g, 120 mmol) were added to 30 mL acetic anhydride and stirred at reflux for 2 h. After complete reaction by TLC, the mixture was cooled to room temperature and poured into 100 mL ice water, and neutralized with 1.0 M sodium hydroxide. The solution was extracted with ethyl acetate (3 × 50 mL), the organic layer was dried over anhydrous  $Na_2SO_4$  and concentrated to yield brown liquid as intermediate 6 (5.16 g, 80%).  $^1H$  NMR (500 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>)  $\delta$  7.37 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 7.10 (d, *J* = 1.5 Hz, 1H), 6.81 (dd, *J* = 8.5, 2.3 Hz, 1H), 6.52–6.34 (m, 1H), 3.77 (s, 3H), 2.39 (s, 3H).

### Synthesis of intermediate 7

$AlCl_3$  (15.11 g, 110 mmol) was added to 50 mL dichloromethane at 0 °C, and then oxalyl chloride (9.6 mL, 110 mmol) was added dropwise and kept below 0 °C for stirring for 3 min. Intermediate 6 (7.62 g, 0.047 mol) was added and stirred at room temperature for 30 min. TLC monitored completion of the reaction. Dichloromethane was concentrated and ice water was added. The mixture was stirred for 30 min and filtered to afford green solid (7.86 g, 82%).  $^1H$  NMR (500 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>)  $\delta$  7.74 (d, *J* = 8.6 Hz, 1H), 7.20 (d, *J* = 2.3 Hz, 1H), 6.93 (dd, *J* = 8.7, 2.3 Hz, 1H), 3.80 (s, 3H), 2.69 (s, 3H).

### Synthesis of intermediate 8

Intermediate 7 (3.25 g, 15 mmol) was added to 20 mL dichloromethane and boron tribromide (7.58 mL, 75 mmol) was added dropwise at 0 °C. The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 1 h. TLC monitored completion of the reaction. The reaction solution was poured into 50 mL ice water and stirred until no air bubbles were released, and then the mixture was filtered to give a brown solid as intermediate 8 (2.51 g, 87%).  $^1H$ -NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>)  $\delta$  12.80 (s, 1H), 9.58 (s, 1H),



7.64 (d,  $J = 8.5$  Hz, 1H), 6.91 (d,  $J = 2.0$  Hz, 1H), 6.79 (dd,  $J = 8.5$ , 2.1 Hz, 1H), 2.66 (s, 3H).

### Synthesis of intermediate 9a

A mixture of intermediate **8** (0.54 g, 2.8 mmol), HATU (1.8 g, 4.2 mmol), DIEA (1.16 mL, 5.6 mmol) and methylamine solution (0.42 mL, 5.6 mmol) was stirred for 1 h. TLC monitored completion of the reaction. 20 mL ice water was added and the mixture was extracted with dichloromethane (100 mL  $\times$  3). The organic layer was concentrated to give a residue, which was purified by column chromatography to obtain a yellow solid as intermediate **9a** (0.43 g, 70%).  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (500 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  9.63 (s, 1H), 7.79 (d,  $J = 4.7$  Hz, 1H), 7.51 (d,  $J = 8.5$  Hz, 1H), 6.89 (d,  $J = 2.1$  Hz, 1H), 6.77 (dd,  $J = 8.5$ , 2.1 Hz, 1H), 2.79 (d,  $J = 4.6$  Hz, 3H), 2.55 (s, 3H).

### Synthesis of intermediate 9b

Intermediate **9b** was prepared from intermediate **8** (0.3 g, 1.5 mmol) and ethylamine (0.08 g, 1.9 mmol) in a similar manner as described for compound **9a** (0.26 g, 77%).  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (400 MHz, chloroform- $d$ )  $\delta$  7.50–7.38 (m, 1H), 6.98 (d,  $J = 3.7$  Hz, 1H), 6.89–6.81 (m, 1H), 5.86 (s, 1H), 5.40 (s, 1H), 3.62–3.48 (m, 2H), 2.70 (s, 3H), 1.35–1.30 (m, 3H).

### Synthesis of intermediate 9c

Intermediate **9c** was prepared from intermediate **8** (1 g, 5.2 mmol) and isopropylamine (0.94 mL, 10.4 mmol) in a similar manner as described for compound **9a** (0.91 g, 75%).  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (500 MHz, chloroform- $d$ )  $\delta$  7.39 (d,  $J = 8.5$  Hz, 1H), 6.99 (d,  $J = 2.2$  Hz, 1H), 6.88 (dd,  $J = 8.5$ , 2.2 Hz, 1H), 5.77 (d,  $J = 8.0$  Hz, 1H), 4.42–4.29 (m, 1H), 2.68 (s, 3H), 1.32 (d,  $J = 6.5$  Hz, 6H).

### Synthesis of intermediate 10a

A mixture of intermediate **3a** (0.12 g, 0.35 mmol),  $\text{K}_2\text{CO}_3$  (0.071 g, 0.51 mmol) and **9a** (0.09 g, 0.44 mmol) in 3 mL acetonitrile was stirred at 80 °C for 8 h. TLC monitored completion of the reaction. The mixture was hot filtered and the filtrate is concentrated to obtain a residue, which was purified by column chromatography to afford a yellow solid as intermediate **10a** (0.12 g, 67%). HRMS (ESI) for  $\text{C}_{27}\text{H}_{29}\text{N}_3\text{O}_7$   $[\text{M}-\text{H}]^-$ . Calcd: 506.1927, found: 506.1954.

### Synthesis of intermediate 10b

Intermediate **10b** was prepared from intermediate **3b** (2.1 g, 5.8 mmol) and **9a** (1.2 g, 5.8 mmol) in a similar manner as described for compound **10a** (2.18 g, 67%). HRMS (ESI) for  $\text{C}_{28}\text{H}_{31}\text{N}_3\text{O}_7$   $[\text{M}-\text{H}]^-$ . Calcd: 520.2084, found: 520.2034.

### Synthesis of intermediate 10c

Intermediate **10c** was prepared from intermediate **3c** (2.42 g, 6.6 mmol) and **9a** (1.36 g, 6.6 mmol) in a similar manner as described for compound **10a** (2.40 g, 68%). HRMS (ESI) for  $\text{C}_{29}\text{H}_{33}\text{N}_3\text{O}_7$   $[\text{M}-\text{H}]^-$ . Calcd: 534.2240, found: 534.2196.

### Synthesis of intermediate 10d

Intermediate **10c** was prepared from intermediate **3d** (1.78 g, 4.6 mmol) and **9a** (0.95 g, 4.6 mmol) in a similar manner as described for compound **10a** (1.81 g, 75%).  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  8.55 (s, 1H), 8.04 (d,  $J = 7.9$  Hz, 2H), 7.98 (s, 1H), 7.82 (d,  $J = 7.6$  Hz, 1H), 7.77 (s, 1H), 7.69 (d,  $J = 7.8$  Hz, 2H), 7.63 (s, 1H), 7.45 (s, 1H), 7.25 (d,  $J = 8.5$  Hz, 1H), 5.45 (s, 2H), 4.03 (s, 3H), 3.88 (s, 3H), 2.84 (s, 3H), 2.65 (s, 3H).

### Synthesis of intermediate 10e

Intermediate **10e** was prepared from intermediate **3e** (1.22 g, 3.4 mmol) and **9a** (0.69 g, 3.4 mmol) in a similar manner as described for compound **10a** (1.11 g, 62%).  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  8.54 (s, 1H), 7.98 (s, 1H), 7.79 (d,  $J = 8.2$  Hz, 3H), 7.75 (s, 1H), 7.70 (d,  $J = 16.1$  Hz, 1H), 7.63 (s, 1H), 7.58 (d,  $J = 7.8$  Hz, 2H), 7.43 (s, 1H), 7.25 (d,  $J = 8.3$  Hz, 1H), 6.68 (d,  $J = 13.5$  Hz, 1H), 5.37 (s, 2H), 4.02 (s, 3H), 3.74 (s, 3H), 2.84 (s, 3H), 2.65 (s, 3H).

### Synthesis of intermediate 10f

Intermediate **10f** was prepared from intermediate **3a** (0.56 g, 1.7 mmol) and **9b** (0.36 g, 1.7 mmol) in a similar manner as described for compound **10a** (0.62 g, 72%). HRMS (ESI) for  $\text{C}_{28}\text{H}_{31}\text{N}_3\text{O}_7$   $[\text{M}-\text{H}]^-$ . Calcd: 520.2084, found: 520.2100.

### Synthesis of intermediate 10g

Intermediate **10g** was prepared from intermediate **3b** (0.25 g, 0.71 mmol) and **9b** (0.16 g, 0.71 mmol) in a similar manner as described for compound **10a** (0.24 g, 63%). HRMS (ESI) for  $\text{C}_{29}\text{H}_{33}\text{N}_3\text{O}_7$   $[\text{M}-\text{H}]^-$ . Calcd: 534.2240, found: 520.2206.

### Synthesis of intermediate 10h

Intermediate **10h** was prepared from intermediate **3c** (1.24 g, 0.34 mmol) and **9b** (0.74 g, 3.4 mmol) in a similar manner as described for compound **10a** (1.26 g, 68%). HRMS (ESI) for  $\text{C}_{30}\text{H}_{35}\text{N}_3\text{O}_7$   $[\text{M}-\text{H}]^-$ . Calcd: 548.2397, found: 548.2410.

### Synthesis of intermediate 10i

Intermediate **10i** was prepared from intermediate **3d** (1.38 g, 3.5 mmol) and **9b** (0.78 g, 3.5 mmol) in a similar manner as described for compound **10a** (1.22 g, 60%).

### Synthesis of intermediate 10j

Intermediate **10j** was prepared from intermediate **3e** (1.25 g, 3.5 mmol) and **9b** (0.76 g, 3.5 mmol) in a similar manner as described for compound **10a** (1.24 g, 66%). HRMS (ESI) for  $\text{C}_{32}\text{H}_{29}\text{N}_3\text{O}_7$   $[\text{M}-\text{H}]^-$ . Calcd: 566.1927, found: 566.1887.

### Synthesis of intermediate 10k

Intermediate **10k** was prepared from intermediate **3a** (0.78 g, 2.3 mmol) and **9c** (0.53 g, 2.3 mmol) in a similar manner as described for compound **10a** (0.96 g, 78%). HRMS (ESI) for  $\text{C}_{29}\text{H}_{33}\text{N}_3\text{O}_7$   $[\text{M}-\text{H}]^-$ . Calcd: 534.2240, found: 534.2223.



### Synthesis of intermediate 10l

Intermediate **10l** was prepared from intermediate **3b** (1.51 g, 4.3 mmol) and **9c** (0.99 g, 4.3 mmol) in a similar manner as described for compound **10a** (1.63 g, 69%). HRMS (ESI) for  $C_{30}H_{35}N_3O_7$   $[M-H]^-$ . Calcd: 548.2397, found: 548.2410.

### Synthesis of intermediate 10m

Intermediate **10m** was prepared from intermediate **3c** (1.49 g, 4.1 mmol) and **9c** (0.95 g, 4.1 mmol) in a similar manner as described for compound **10a** (1.44 g, 63%). HRMS (ESI) for  $C_{31}H_{37}N_3O_7$   $[M-H]^-$ . Calcd: 562.2553, found: 562.2589.

### Synthesis of intermediate 10n

Intermediate **10n** was prepared from intermediate **3d** (1.15 g, 2.99 mmol) and **9c** (0.71 g, 3.04 mmol) in a similar manner as described for compound **10a** (1.21 g, 69%).

### Synthesis of intermediate 10o

Intermediate **10o** was prepared from intermediate **3e** (1.07 g, 2.99 mmol) and **9c** (0.68 g, 2.92 mmol) in a similar manner as described for compound **10a** (1.11 g, 69%).

### Synthesis of intermediate 11a

Intermediate **10a** (1.72 g, 3.4 mmol) was added in 20 mL THF/ $H_2O$  (4:1), and LiOH (0.33 g, 13.61 mmol) was added. The mixture was stirred at 35 °C for 8 h. TLC monitored completion of the reaction. THF was removed under reduced pressure and ice water was added. The solution was adjusted to pH 3–4 with 1.0 M hydrochloric acid. The filter cake was collected by filtration and dried to afford a yellow solid as intermediate **11a** (1.32 g, 79%), which is directly followed by the next step without purification.

### Synthesis of intermediate 11b

Intermediate **11b** was prepared from intermediate **10b** (1.79 g, 3.2 mmol) and LiOH (0.31 g, 12.9 mmol) in a similar manner as described for compound **11a** (1.39 g, 80%).

### Synthesis of intermediate 11c

Intermediate **11c** was prepared from intermediate **10c** (0.88 g, 1.64 mmol) and LiOH (0.16 g, 6.51 mmol) in a similar manner as described for compound **11a** (0.73 g, 86%).

### Synthesis of intermediate 11d

Intermediate **11d** was prepared from intermediate **10d** (1.29 g, 2.33 mol) in a similar manner as described for compound **11a** (1.11 g, 88%).

### Synthesis of intermediate 11e

Intermediate **11e** was prepared from intermediate **10e** (1.36 g, 2.5 mmol) in a similar manner as described for compound **11a** (1.17 g, 89%).

### Synthesis of intermediate 11f

Intermediate **11f** was prepared from intermediate **10f** (1.18 g, 2.26 mmol) and LiOH (0.21 g, 8.75 mmol) in a similar manner as described for compound **11a** (0.88 g, 77%).

### Synthesis of intermediate 11g

Intermediate **11g** was prepared from intermediate **10g** (1.21 g, 2.26 mol) and LiOH (0.22 g, 9.04 mmol) in a similar manner as described for compound **11a** (0.99 g, 84%).

### Synthesis of intermediate 11h

Intermediate **11h** was prepared from intermediate **10h** (1.62 g, 2.95 mmol) and LiOH (0.28 g, 11.8 mmol) in a similar manner as described for compound **11a** (1.33 g, 84%).

### Synthesis of intermediate 11i

Intermediate **11i** was prepared from intermediate **10i** (0.86 g, 1.52 mmol) and LiOH (0.5 g, 6.07 mmol) in a similar manner as described for compound **11a** (0.73 g, 87%).

### Synthesis of intermediate 11j

Intermediate **11j** was prepared from intermediate **10j** (1.80 g, 3.33 mmol) and LiOH (0.32 g, 13.32 mmol) in a similar manner as described for compound **11a** (1.38 g, 79%).

### Synthesis of intermediate 11k

Intermediate **11k** was prepared from intermediate **10k** (1.28 g, 2.39 mol) and LiOH (0.23 g, 9.57 mmol) in a similar manner as described for compound **11a** (0.97 g, 78%).

### Synthesis of intermediate 11l

Intermediate **11l** was prepared from intermediate **10l** (0.92 g, 1.68 mmol) and LiOH (0.16 g, 6.51 mmol) in a similar manner as described for compound **11a** (0.75 g, 84%).

### Synthesis of intermediate 11m

Intermediate **11m** was prepared from intermediate **10m** (1.38 g, 2.45 mol) and LiOH (0.23 g, 9.81 mmol) in a similar manner as described for compound **11a** (1.17 g, 87%).

### Synthesis of intermediate 11n

Intermediate **11n** was prepared from intermediate **10n** (1.66 g, 2.86 mol) and LiOH (0.27 g, 11.41 mmol) in a similar manner as described for compound **11a** (1.42 g, 88%).

### Synthesis of intermediate 11o

Intermediate **11o** was prepared from intermediate **10o** (1.64 g, 2.95 mmol) and LiOH (0.28 g, 11.81 mmol) in a similar manner as described for compound **11a** (1.34 g, 84%).

### Synthesis of target compound 12

Hydroxylamine hydrochloride (24 mg, 0.36 mmol) and DIEA (58  $\mu$ L, 0.36 mmol) were added to 2 mL DMF and stirred at 35 °C.





C for 1 h to prepare solution A. **11a** (0.12 g, 0.23 mmol) was added to 2 mL DMF, followed by HATU (0.13 g, 0.36 mmol) and DIEA (77  $\mu$ L, 0.48 mmol), stirred for 5 min, and then which was added dropwise to solution A. The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 1 h. TLC monitored completion of the reaction. 10 mL ice water was added and stirred for 30 min. The filter cake was collected by filtration and dried to afford a white solid, which was purified by column chromatography as target compound **12** (80 mg, 65%). HPLC:  $t_R$  9.110 min, purity: 94.1%. Mp: 192.3–194.3. HRMS (ESI) for  $C_{26}H_{28}N_4O_7$   $[M-H]^-$ . Calcd: 507.1880, found: 507.1889.  $^1H$  NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  10.38 (s, 1H), 8.70 (s, 1H), 8.53 (s, 1H), 7.99 (q,  $J$  = 4.5 Hz, 1H), 7.81 (d,  $J$  = 8.5 Hz, 1H), 7.63 (d,  $J$  = 2.1 Hz, 1H), 7.59 (s, 1H), 7.39 (s, 1H), 7.25 (dd,  $J$  = 8.5, 2.1 Hz, 1H), 4.17 (t,  $J$  = 6.4 Hz, 2H), 4.00 (s, 3H), 2.84 (d,  $J$  = 4.5 Hz, 3H), 2.65 (s, 3H), 1.99 (t,  $J$  = 7.3 Hz, 2H), 1.86–1.77 (m, 2H), 1.64–1.55 (m, 2H), 1.48–1.39 (m, 2H).  $^{13}C$  NMR (126 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  169.51, 165.52, 163.71, 158.73, 156.35, 153.36, 152.62, 149.96, 149.90, 149.24, 124.06, 121.13, 118.46, 113.11, 110.22, 107.25, 106.00, 101.85, 69.05, 56.65, 32.70, 28.75, 26.54, 25.64, 25.34, 14.14.

### Synthesis of target compound 13

Compound **13** was prepared from intermediate **11b** (25 mg, 0.049 mmol) in a similar manner as described for compound **12** (15 mg, 60%). HPLC:  $t_R$  11.430 min, purity: 94.4%. Mp: 163.0–165.0. HRMS (ESI) for  $C_{27}H_{30}N_4O_7$   $[M-H]^-$ . Calcd: 521.2036, found: 521.2047.  $^1H$  NMR (500 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  10.43 (s, 1H), 8.73 (s, 1H), 8.50 (s, 1H), 8.02 (d,  $J$  = 4.7 Hz, 1H), 7.79 (d,  $J$  = 8.5 Hz, 1H), 7.59 (d,  $J$  = 2.1 Hz, 1H), 7.54 (s, 1H), 7.35 (s, 1H), 7.23 (dd,  $J$  = 8.5, 2.2 Hz, 1H), 4.13 (t,  $J$  = 6.5 Hz, 2H), 3.98 (s, 3H), 2.83 (d,  $J$  = 4.4 Hz, 3H), 2.63 (s, 3H), 1.96 (t,  $J$  = 7.3 Hz, 2H), 1.85–1.72 (m, 2H), 1.56–1.48 (m, 2H), 1.47–1.40 (m, 2H), 1.35–1.29 (m, 2H).  $^{13}C$  NMR (126 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  169.72, 165.49, 163.80, 158.75, 156.31, 153.32, 152.58, 149.92, 149.84, 149.14, 124.06, 121.12, 118.45, 113.06, 110.17, 107.12, 105.97, 101.73, 69.05, 56.63, 32.67, 28.85, 28.76, 26.55, 25.71, 25.54, 14.13.

### Synthesis of target compound 14

Compound **14** was prepared from intermediate **11c** (56 mg, 0.107 mmol) in a similar manner as described for compound **12** (35 mg, 60%). HPLC:  $t_R$  13.928 min, purity: 95.9%. Mp: 187.7–189.7. HRMS (ESI) for  $C_{28}H_{32}N_4O_7$   $[M-H]^-$ . Calcd: 535.2193, found: 535.2199.  $^1H$  NMR (500 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  10.34 (s, 1H), 8.67 (s, 1H), 8.52 (s, 1H), 7.99 (d,  $J$  = 4.7 Hz, 1H), 7.81 (d,  $J$  = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 7.62 (d,  $J$  = 2.1 Hz, 1H), 7.58 (s, 1H), 7.39 (s, 1H), 7.25 (dd,  $J$  = 8.5, 2.1 Hz, 1H), 4.17 (t,  $J$  = 6.5 Hz, 2H), 4.00 (s, 3H), 2.84 (d,  $J$  = 4.5 Hz, 3H), 2.65 (s, 3H), 1.95 (t,  $J$  = 7.3 Hz, 2H), 1.83–1.77 (m, 2H), 1.54–1.48 (m, 2H), 1.47–1.42 (m, 2H), 1.38–1.33 (m, 2H), 1.30–1.24 (m, 2H).  $^{13}C$  NMR (126 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  169.59, 165.52, 163.71, 158.73, 156.36, 153.35, 152.61, 149.98, 149.89, 149.22, 124.06, 121.14, 118.47, 113.11, 110.22, 107.23, 106.01, 101.81, 69.12, 56.66, 32.72, 28.99, 28.94, 28.92, 26.55, 25.88, 25.54, 14.14.

### Synthesis of target compound 15

Compound **15** was prepared from intermediate **11d** (44 mg, 0.081 mmol) in a similar manner as described for compound **12** (32 mg, 73%). HPLC:  $t_R$  9.438 min, purity: 92.5%. Mp: 203.2–205.2. HRMS (ESI) for  $C_{28}H_{24}N_4O_7$   $[M-H]^-$ . Calcd: 527.1567, found: 527.1574.  $^1H$  NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  11.27 (s, 1H), 9.09 (s, 1H), 8.55 (s, 1H), 8.00 (s, 1H), 7.86–7.78 (m, 3H), 7.76 (s, 1H), 7.66–7.53 (m, 2H), 7.44 (s, 1H), 7.26 (s, 1H), 5.39 (s, 2H), 4.02 (s, 3H), 2.84 (s, 3H), 2.65 (s, 3H).  $^{13}C$  NMR (126 MHz, DMSO)  $\delta$  165.62, 164.46, 163.71, 158.75, 156.39, 153.35, 152.85, 149.85, 149.45, 139.92, 128.22(2C), 127.57(2C), 124.11, 121.19, 120.56, 118.43, 116.80, 113.11, 110.12, 107.42, 106.00, 102.66, 70.19, 56.76, 26.55, 14.14.

### Synthesis of target compound 16

Compound **16** was prepared from intermediate **11e** (44 mg, 0.086 mmol) in a similar manner as described for compound **12** (28 mg, 62%). HPLC:  $t_R$  12.207 min, purity: 93.3%. Mp: 225.1–227.1. HRMS (ESI) for  $C_{30}H_{26}N_4O_7$   $[M-H]^-$ . Calcd: 553.1723, found: 553.1738.  $^1H$  NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  10.81 (s, 1H), 9.05 (s, 1H), 8.56 (s, 1H), 7.99 (s, 1H), 7.82 (s, 1H), 7.75 (s, 1H), 7.63 (s, 3H), 7.57 (s, 2H), 7.49 (d,  $J$  = 15.0 Hz, 1H), 7.43 (s, 1H), 7.25 (s, 1H), 6.50 (d,  $J$  = 15.0 Hz, 1H), 5.35 (s, 2H), 4.01 (s, 3H), 2.84 (s, 3H), 2.65 (s, 3H).  $^{13}C$  NMR (126 MHz, DMSO)  $\delta$  165.61, 163.70, 163.62, 158.75, 156.40, 153.36, 152.84, 149.86, 149.49, 149.42, 138.44, 138.11, 135.08, 128.94(2C), 128.12(2C), 124.10, 121.19, 119.78, 118.44, 113.11, 110.14, 107.43, 106.01, 102.62, 70.34, 56.74, 26.56, 14.15.

### Synthesis of target compound 17

**11a** (0.15 g, 0.30 mmol) was added into 4 mL DMF at room temperature. Then the HATU (0.16 g, 0.42  $\mu$ L), DIEA (140 mg, 0.84 mmol) and *o*-phenylenediamine (46 mg, 0.42 mmol) were added and the mixture was stirred for 1 h. After complete reaction by TLC, 15 mL ice water was added and the mixture was filtered to give a white solid, which was further dried and purified by column chromatography to obtain a white powder as compound **17** (0.13 g, 73%). HPLC:  $t_R$  12.708 min, purity: 91.0%. Mp: 207.8–209.8. HRMS (ESI) for  $C_{32}H_{36}N_5O_6$   $[M-H]^-$ . Calcd: 582.2353, found: 582.2331.  $^1H$  NMR (500 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  9.11 (s, 1H), 8.52 (s, 1H), 7.97 (d,  $J$  = 4.6 Hz, 1H), 7.81 (d,  $J$  = 8.5 Hz, 1H), 7.61 (d,  $J$  = 2.1 Hz, 1H), 7.58 (s, 1H), 7.38 (s, 1H), 7.25 (dd,  $J$  = 8.5, 2.2 Hz, 1H), 7.15 (d,  $J$  = 6.1 Hz, 1H), 6.89 (t,  $J$  = 6.8 Hz, 1H), 6.72 (d,  $J$  = 6.5 Hz, 1H), 6.53 (t,  $J$  = 6.8 Hz, 1H), 4.17 (t,  $J$  = 6.5 Hz, 2H), 4.00 (s, 3H), 2.84 (d,  $J$  = 4.4 Hz, 3H), 2.65 (s, 3H), 2.33 (t,  $J$  = 7.4 Hz, 2H), 1.88–1.78 (m, 2H), 1.67–1.57 (m, 2H), 1.52–1.44 (m, 2H), 1.41–1.37 (m, 2H).  $^{13}C$  NMR (126 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  171.65, 165.51, 163.71, 158.73, 156.37, 153.36, 152.60, 149.99, 149.90, 149.23, 142.19, 126.13, 125.71, 124.06, 121.14, 118.45, 116.75, 116.43, 113.11, 110.23, 107.22, 105.99, 101.82, 69.14, 56.65, 36.25, 29.12, 29.02, 26.54, 25.91, 25.74, 14.14.

### Synthesis of target compound 18

Compound **18** was prepared from intermediate **11b** (32 mg, 0.063 mmol) in a similar manner as described for compound **17**





(28 mg, 74%). HPLC:  $t_R$  10.570 min, purity: 92.5%. Mp: 201.7–203.7. HRMS (ESI) for  $C_{33}H_{35}N_5O_6$   $[M-H]^-$ . Calcd: 596.2509, found: 596.2498.  $^1H$  NMR (500 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  9.11 (s, 1H), 8.52 (s, 1H), 7.97 (d,  $J$  = 4.6 Hz, 1H), 7.81 (d,  $J$  = 8.6 Hz, 1H), 7.62 (d,  $J$  = 2.1 Hz, 1H), 7.58 (s, 1H), 7.38 (s, 1H), 7.25 (dd,  $J$  = 8.5, 2.1 Hz, 1H), 7.16 (dd,  $J$  = 7.8, 1.6 Hz, 1H), 6.88 (td,  $J$  = 7.6, 1.6 Hz, 1H), 6.72 (dd,  $J$  = 8.0, 1.5 Hz, 1H), 6.52 (td,  $J$  = 7.5, 1.5 Hz, 1H), 4.18 (t,  $J$  = 6.5 Hz, 2H), 4.00 (s, 3H), 2.84 (d,  $J$  = 4.4 Hz, 3H), 2.65 (s, 3H), 2.34 (t,  $J$  = 7.4 Hz, 2H), 1.89–1.78 (m, 2H), 1.71–1.59 (m, 2H), 1.58–1.47 (m, 2H), 1.47–1.37 (m, 2H).  $^{13}C$  NMR (126 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  171.64, 165.52, 163.71, 158.73, 156.37, 153.36, 152.61, 149.99, 149.90, 149.24, 142.24, 126.12, 125.73, 124.11, 124.07, 121.14, 118.46, 116.69, 116.39, 113.12, 110.23, 107.24, 105.99, 101.82, 69.12, 56.65, 36.21, 28.89, 26.54, 26.41, 25.82, 25.74, 14.14.

### Synthesis of target compound 19

Compound **19** was prepared from intermediate **11c** (30 mg, 0.058 mmol) in a similar manner as described for compound **17** (27 mg, 77%). HPLC:  $t_R$  14.940 min, purity: 93.5%. Mp: 164.7–166.7. HRMS (ESI) for  $C_{34}H_{37}N_5O_6$   $[M-H]^-$ . Calcd: 610.2666, found: 610.2678.  $^1H$  NMR (500 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  9.13 (s, 1H), 8.52 (s, 1H), 7.98 (q,  $J$  = 4.6 Hz, 1H), 7.81 (d,  $J$  = 8.5 Hz, 1H), 7.62 (d,  $J$  = 2.1 Hz, 1H), 7.60 (s, 1H), 7.39 (s, 1H), 7.25 (dd,  $J$  = 8.5, 2.1 Hz, 1H), 7.16 (dd,  $J$  = 7.8, 1.6 Hz, 1H), 6.89 (td,  $J$  = 7.6, 1.6 Hz, 1H), 6.72 (dd,  $J$  = 8.0, 1.5 Hz, 1H), 6.53 (td,  $J$  = 7.5, 1.5 Hz, 1H), 4.20 (t,  $J$  = 6.5 Hz, 2H), 4.00 (s, 3H), 2.84 (d,  $J$  = 4.5 Hz, 3H), 2.65 (s, 3H), 2.37 (t,  $J$  = 7.4 Hz, 2H), 1.92–1.82 (m, 2H), 1.75–1.66 (m, 2H), 1.58–1.48 (m, 4H).  $^{13}C$  NMR (126 MHz, DMSO)  $\delta$  171.65, 165.51, 163.71, 158.73, 156.37, 153.36, 152.60, 149.99, 149.90, 149.23, 142.19, 126.13, 125.71, 124.14, 124.06, 121.14, 118.45, 116.75, 116.43, 113.11, 110.23, 107.22, 105.99, 101.82, 69.14, 56.65, 36.24, 29.12, 29.02, 28.98, 26.54, 25.91, 25.74, 14.14.

### Synthesis of target compound 20

Compound **20** was prepared from intermediate **11d** (29 mg, 0.053 mmol) in a similar manner as described for compound **17** (25 mg, 74%). HPLC:  $t_R$  11.348 min, purity: 95.5%. Mp: 230.2–232.2. HRMS (ESI) for  $C_{34}H_{29}N_5O_6$   $[M-H]^-$ . Calcd: 602.2040, found: 602.2055.  $^1H$  NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  9.70 (s, 1H), 8.56 (s, 1H), 8.05 (d,  $J$  = 7.9 Hz, 2H), 7.99 (s, 1H), 7.83 (d,  $J$  = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 7.79 (s, 1H), 7.68 (s, 1H), 7.65 (d,  $J$  = 6.4 Hz, 2H), 7.46 (s, 1H), 7.26 (d,  $J$  = 8.5 Hz, 1H), 7.19 (d,  $J$  = 7.9 Hz, 1H), 6.99 (t,  $J$  = 7.7 Hz, 1H), 6.80 (d,  $J$  = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 6.61 (t,  $J$  = 7.7 Hz, 1H), 5.44 (s, 2H), 4.93 (s, 2H), 4.04 (s, 3H), 2.84 (s, 3H), 2.66 (s, 3H).  $^{13}C$  NMR (101 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  165.63, 165.53, 163.70, 158.75, 156.43, 153.36, 152.88, 149.86, 149.45, 143.57, 140.18, 134.79, 130.12, 128.47(2C), 128.05(2C), 127.19, 127.01, 124.12, 123.71, 121.20, 118.45, 116.75, 116.59, 113.12, 110.15, 107.48, 106.02, 102.73, 70.20, 56.79, 26.55, 14.15.

### Synthesis of target compound 21

Compound **21** was prepared from intermediate **11e** (41 mg, 0.084 mmol) in a similar manner as described for compound **17**

(42 mg, 84%). HPLC:  $t_R$  14.393 min, purity: 93.7%. Mp: 211.5–213.5. HRMS (ESI) for  $C_{36}H_{31}N_5O_6$   $[M-H]^-$ . Calcd: 628.2196, found: 628.2204.  $^1H$  NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  9.44 (s, 1H), 8.55 (s, 1H), 8.00 (s, 1H), 7.79 (d,  $J$  = 13.5 Hz, 2H), 7.87–7.74 (m, 2H), 7.66–7.54 (m, 4H), 7.45 (s, 1H), 7.35 (s, 1H), 7.27 (s, 1H), 6.94 (d,  $J$  = 13.6 Hz, 2H), 6.77 (s, 1H), 6.59 (s, 1H), 5.37 (s, 2H), 4.97 (s, 2H), 4.02 (s, 3H), 2.84 (s, 3H), 2.65 (s, 3H).  $^{13}C$  NMR (126 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  165.62, 163.93, 163.71, 158.76, 156.40, 153.36, 152.85, 149.87, 149.52, 149.43, 142.05, 139.66, 138.28, 135.15, 129.01(2C), 128.28(2C), 126.29, 125.24, 124.11, 123.97, 123.02, 121.20, 118.45, 116.80, 116.51, 113.12, 110.15, 107.44, 106.02, 102.63, 70.38, 56.75, 26.56, 14.15.

### Synthesis of target compound 22

Compound **22** was prepared from intermediate **11f** (0.12 g, 0.24 mmol) in a similar manner as described for compound **12** (0.09 g, 73%). HPLC:  $t_R$  10.463 min, purity: 97.7%. Mp: 170.2–172.2. HRMS (ESI) for  $C_{27}H_{30}N_4O_7$   $[M-H]^-$ . Calcd: 521.2036, found: 521.2047.  $^1H$  NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  10.37 (s, 1H), 8.69 (s, 1H), 8.52 (s, 1H), 8.09 (s, 1H), 7.79 (d,  $J$  = 5.1 Hz, 1H), 7.61 (dd,  $J$  = 13.1, 3.8 Hz, 2H), 7.39 (s, 1H), 7.26 (d,  $J$  = 7.4 Hz, 1H), 4.17 (s, 2H), 4.00 (s, 3H), 3.38–3.32 (m, 2H), 2.65 (s, 3H), 2.08–1.92 (m, 2H), 1.87–1.74 (m, 2H), 1.66–1.53 (m, 2H), 1.51–1.39 (m, 2H), 1.22–1.13 (m, 3H).  $^{13}C$  NMR (126 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  169.50, 165.54, 162.98, 158.57, 156.31, 153.35, 152.62, 149.94, 149.87, 149.22, 124.14, 121.14, 118.47, 113.31, 110.20, 107.23, 106.01, 101.80, 69.03, 56.65, 34.24, 32.69, 28.75, 25.64, 15.39, 15.37, 14.12.

### Synthesis of target compound 23

Compound **23** was prepared from intermediate **11g** (22 mg, 0.042 mmol) in a similar manner as described for compound **12** (18 mg, 70%). HPLC:  $t_R$  12.845 min, purity: 92.3%. Mp: 146.5–148.7. HRMS (ESI) for  $C_{28}H_{32}N_4O_7$   $[M-H]^-$ . Calcd: 535.2193, found: 535.2182.  $^1H$  NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  10.35 (s, 1H), 8.68 (s, 1H), 8.52 (s, 1H), 8.10 (s, 1H), 7.78 (d,  $J$  = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 7.63 (s, 1H), 7.59 (s, 1H), 7.39 (s, 1H), 7.26 (d,  $J$  = 8.5 Hz, 1H), 4.27–4.11 (m, 2H), 4.00 (s, 3H), 2.64 (s, 3H), 2.04–1.88 (m, 2H), 1.87–1.74 (m, 2H), 1.60–1.47 (m, 3H), 1.50–1.40 (m, 2H), 1.40–1.28 (m, 2H), 1.17 (t,  $J$  = 7.2 Hz, 3H).  $^{13}C$  NMR (126 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  165.54, 162.98, 162.90, 158.57, 156.34, 153.35, 152.62, 149.97, 149.87, 149.21, 124.14, 121.14, 118.47, 113.31, 110.21, 107.23, 106.01, 101.79, 69.08, 56.66, 34.24, 32.69, 28.89, 28.79, 25.74, 25.55, 15.39, 14.12.

### Synthesis of target compound 24

Compound **24** was prepared from intermediate **11h** (42 mg, 0.078 mmol) in a similar manner as described for compound **12** (29 mg, 67%). HPLC:  $t_R$  10.480 min, purity: 91.8%. Mp: 160.7–162.7. HRMS (ESI) for  $C_{29}H_{34}N_4O_7$   $[M-H]^-$ . Calcd: 549.2349, found: 549.2371.  $^1H$  NMR (400 MHz, chloroform- $d$ )  $\delta$  10.34 (s, 1H), 8.67 (s, 1H), 8.51 (s, 1H), 8.09 (t,  $J$  = 5.5 Hz, 1H), 7.77 (d,  $J$  = 8.5 Hz, 1H), 7.62 (s, 1H), 7.58 (s, 1H), 7.38 (s, 1H), 7.25 (d,  $J$  = 8.5 Hz, 1H), 4.17 (t,  $J$  = 6.5 Hz, 2H), 3.99 (s, 3H), 3.31 (s, 2H), 2.63 (s, 3H), 1.94 (t,  $J$  = 7.4 Hz, 2H), 1.86–1.74 (m, 2H), 1.56–1.47 (m,



2H), 1.46–1.40 (m, 2H), 1.37–1.30 (m, 2H), 1.26–1.21 (m, 2H), 1.17 (t,  $J = 7.2$  Hz, 3H).  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR (126 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  170.05, 165.52, 162.99, 158.58, 156.32, 153.35, 152.60, 149.96, 149.86, 149.20, 128.29, 124.14, 118.46, 113.30, 110.19, 107.19, 105.99, 101.74, 69.09, 56.63, 34.24, 33.90, 32.72, 29.00, 28.94, 25.88, 25.55, 15.38, 14.11.

### Synthesis of target compound 25

Compound 25 was prepared from intermediate 11i (22 mg, 0.040 mmol) in a similar manner as described for compound 12 (16 mg, 70%). HPLC:  $t_R$  16.720 min, purity: 92.0%. Mp: 235.6–237.6. HRMS (ESI) for  $\text{C}_{29}\text{H}_{26}\text{N}_4\text{O}_7$   $[\text{M}-\text{H}]^-$ . Calcd: 541.1723, found: 541.1731.  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (500 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  8.54 (s, 1H), 8.10 (t,  $J = 5.7$  Hz, 1H), 8.02 (s, 1H), 8.00 (s, 1H), 7.79 (d,  $J = 8.5$  Hz, 1H), 7.76 (s, 1H), 7.66 (s, 1H), 7.64 (s, 1H), 7.62 (d,  $J = 2.2$  Hz, 1H), 7.44 (s, 1H), 7.25 (dd,  $J = 8.5, 2.2$  Hz, 1H), 5.42 (s, 2H), 4.02 (s, 3H), 3.34–3.32 (m, 2H), 2.64 (s, 3H), 1.23 (d,  $J = 6.2$  Hz, 1H), 1.17 (t,  $J = 7.1$  Hz, 3H).  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR (101 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  167.18, 165.20, 162.53, 158.14, 155.94, 152.90, 152.43, 149.39, 149.02, 148.94, 141.27, 130.61, 129.54(2C), 127.67(2C), 123.73, 120.74, 117.98, 112.87, 109.67, 107.02, 105.55, 102.29, 69.67, 56.33, 33.80, 14.94, 13.67.

### Synthesis of target compound 26

Compound 26 was prepared from intermediate 11j (26 mg, 0.049 mmol) in a similar manner as described for compound 12 (18 mg, 67%). HRMS (ESI) for  $\text{C}_{31}\text{H}_{28}\text{N}_4\text{O}_7$   $[\text{M}-\text{H}]^-$ . Calcd: 567.1880, found: 567.1791.  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (500 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  8.82 (s, 1H), 8.10 (t,  $J = 5.6$  Hz, 1H), 7.85 (s, 1H), 7.82 (d,  $J = 8.5$  Hz, 1H), 7.75 (dd,  $J = 17.2, 8.1$  Hz, 1H), 7.66 (d,  $J = 2.1$  Hz, 1H), 7.63 (d,  $J = 8.0$  Hz, 1H), 7.57 (d,  $J = 8.1$  Hz, 2H), 7.50 (s, 1H), 7.47 (d,  $J = 2.7$  Hz, 1H), 7.29 (dd,  $J = 8.5, 2.1$  Hz, 1H), 6.51 (d,  $J = 15.8$  Hz, 1H), 5.39 (s, 2H), 4.05 (s, 3H), 3.38–3.29 (m, 2H), 2.65 (s, 3H), 1.18 (t,  $J = 7.2$  Hz, 3H).  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR (126 MHz, DMSO)  $\delta$  166.64, 163.08, 162.91, 162.78, 158.79, 153.26, 151.86, 150.19, 149.50, 138.33, 137.80, 137.80, 135.20, 128.97, 128.81(2C), 128.14(2C), 124.60, 121.33, 119.86, 118.15, 113.35, 110.17, 105.84, 103.17, 70.60, 57.09, 34.25, 15.37, 14.14.

### Synthesis of target compound 27

Compound 27 was prepared from intermediate 11f (28 mg, 0.055 mmol) in a similar manner as described for compound 17 (25 mg, 76%). HPLC:  $t_R$  11.988 min, purity: 98.1%. Mp: 146.1–148.1. HRMS (ESI) for  $\text{C}_{33}\text{H}_{35}\text{N}_5\text{O}_6$   $[\text{M}-\text{H}]^-$ . Calcd: 596.2509, found: 596.2466.  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (500 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  9.13 (s, 1H), 8.52 (s, 1H), 8.10 (t,  $J = 5.6$  Hz, 1H), 7.78 (d,  $J = 8.5$  Hz, 1H), 7.62 (d,  $J = 2.1$  Hz, 1H), 7.60 (s, 1H), 7.39 (s, 1H), 7.26 (dd,  $J = 8.5, 2.1$  Hz, 1H), 7.16 (dd,  $J = 7.7, 1.6$  Hz, 1H), 6.92–6.85 (m, 1H), 6.71 (d,  $J = 6.6$  Hz, 1H), 6.53 (t,  $J = 7.3$  Hz, 1H), 4.84 (s, 1H), 4.20 (t,  $J = 6.5$  Hz, 2H), 4.00 (s, 3H), 3.34 (d,  $J = 5.0$  Hz, 2H), 2.64 (s, 3H), 2.37 (t,  $J = 7.2$  Hz, 2H), 1.97–1.81 (m, 2H), 1.80–1.63 (m, 2H), 1.63–1.47 (m, 2H), 1.18 (t,  $J = 7.2$  Hz, 3H).  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR (126 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  171.57, 165.55, 162.99, 158.57, 156.34, 153.35, 152.62, 149.97, 149.87, 149.23, 142.35, 126.16, 125.75, 124.14,

124.01, 121.14, 118.48, 116.62, 116.32, 113.31, 110.21, 107.23, 106.01, 101.81, 69.03, 56.65, 36.19, 34.25, 28.83, 25.70, 25.53, 15.39, 14.12.

### Synthesis of target compound 28

Compound 28 was prepared from intermediate 11g (21 mg, 0.040 mmol) in a similar manner as described for compound 17 (19 mg, 77%). HRMS (ESI) for  $\text{C}_{34}\text{H}_{37}\text{N}_5\text{O}_6$   $[\text{M}-\text{H}]^-$ . Calcd: 610.2666, found: 610.2672.  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  9.10 (s, 1H), 8.53 (s, 1H), 8.09 (t,  $J = 5.4$  Hz, 1H), 7.79 (d,  $J = 8.5$  Hz, 1H), 7.63 (s, 1H), 7.60 (s, 1H), 7.40 (s, 1H), 7.26 (d,  $J = 8.5$  Hz, 1H), 7.15 (d,  $J = 7.8$  Hz, 1H), 6.88 (t,  $J = 7.5$  Hz, 1H), 6.71 (d,  $J = 7.9$  Hz, 1H), 6.52 (t,  $J = 7.5$  Hz, 1H), 4.82 (s, 2H), 4.19 (t,  $J = 6.2$  Hz, 2H), 4.01 (s, 3H), 2.65 (s, 3H), 2.34 (t,  $J = 7.3$  Hz, 2H), 1.92–1.77 (m, 2H), 1.71–1.58 (m, 2H), 1.58–1.47 (m, 2H), 1.47–1.37 (m, 2H), 1.18 (t,  $J = 7.1$  Hz, 3H).  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR (101 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  171.15, 165.08, 162.51, 158.11, 155.89, 152.89, 152.16, 149.52, 149.41, 148.77, 141.89, 125.68, 125.29, 123.68, 123.57, 120.68, 118.01, 116.15, 115.88, 112.85, 109.76, 106.79, 105.55, 101.34, 68.64, 56.20, 35.74, 33.78, 28.43, 25.36, 25.27, 14.92, 13.66.

### Synthesis of target compound 29

Compound 29 was prepared from intermediate 11h (24 mg, 0.045 mmol) in a similar manner as described for compound 17 (19 mg, 68%). HPLC:  $t_R$  13.960 min, purity: 99.2%. Mp: 142.2–144.2. HRMS (ESI) for  $\text{C}_{35}\text{H}_{39}\text{N}_5\text{O}_6$   $[\text{M}-\text{H}]^-$ . Calcd: 624.2822, found: 624.2805.  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (500 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  9.34 (s, 1H), 8.51 (s, 1H), 8.15 (t,  $J = 5.6$  Hz, 1H), 7.78 (d,  $J = 8.5$  Hz, 1H), 7.64–7.58 (m, 2H), 7.57 (d,  $J = 4.4$  Hz, 1H), 7.37 (s, 1H), 7.30 (d,  $J = 8.3$  Hz, 1H), 7.24 (d,  $J = 10.3$  Hz, 1H), 7.18 (d,  $J = 9.1$  Hz, 1H), 6.86 (t,  $J = 7.6$  Hz, 1H), 6.71 (d,  $J = 7.8$  Hz, 1H), 6.52 (t,  $J = 7.6$  Hz, 1H), 4.19–4.13 (m, 2H), 3.99 (d,  $J = 5.1$  Hz, 3H), 3.35–3.31 (m, 2H), 2.63 (s, 3H), 2.42–2.25 (m, 2H), 1.85–1.78 (m, 2H), 1.49–1.43 (m, 2H), 1.43–1.37 (m, 2H), 1.37–1.32 (m, 2H), 1.32–1.24 (m, 2H), 1.17 (t,  $J = 7.2$  Hz, 3H).  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR (126 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  175.16, 165.52, 163.70, 158.72, 156.33, 153.34, 152.62, 149.95, 149.87, 149.21, 124.07, 121.16, 118.46, 113.11, 110.20, 107.22, 106.00, 101.78, 69.06, 56.65, 56.27, 34.21, 29.46, 28.85, 28.75, 26.55, 25.74, 24.96, 14.17.

### Synthesis of target compound 30

Compound 30 was prepared from intermediate 11i (29 mg, 0.052 mmol) in a similar manner as described for compound 17 (26 mg, 77%). HPLC:  $t_R$  12.810 min, purity: 92.2%. Mp: 188.7–190.8. HRMS (ESI) for  $\text{C}_{35}\text{H}_{31}\text{N}_5\text{O}_6$   $[\text{M}-\text{H}]^-$ . Calcd: 616.2196, found: 616.2206.  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  9.71 (s, 1H), 8.55 (s, 1H), 8.10 (t,  $J = 5.7$  Hz, 1H), 8.04 (d,  $J = 7.9$  Hz, 2H), 7.84–7.75 (m, 2H), 7.67 (d,  $J = 7.9$  Hz, 2H), 7.45 (s, 1H), 7.26 (d,  $J = 8.4$  Hz, 1H), 7.19 (d,  $J = 7.8$  Hz, 1H), 6.99 (t,  $J = 7.6$  Hz, 1H), 6.80 (d,  $J = 7.9$  Hz, 1H), 6.62 (t,  $J = 7.5$  Hz, 1H), 5.44 (s, 2H), 4.92 (s, 2H), 4.03 (s, 3H), 3.36–3.31 (m, 3H), 2.65 (s, 3H), 1.18 (t,  $J = 7.2$  Hz, 3H).  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR (101 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  165.64, 165.55, 163.00, 158.59, 156.43, 153.35, 152.87, 149.84, 149.44, 143.63,



140.18, 134.79, 128.47(2C), 128.06(2C), 127.19, 127.02, 124.20, 123.68, 121.19, 118.44, 116.72, 116.58, 113.31, 110.14, 107.46, 106.00, 102.74, 70.20, 56.78, 34.25, 15.38, 14.12.

### Synthesis of target compound 31

Compound **31** was prepared from intermediate **11j** (19 mg, 0.036 mmol) in a similar manner as described for compound **17** (17 mg, 76%). HPLC:  $t_R$  15.352 min, purity: 95.0%. Mp: 184.1–186.4. HRMS (ESI) for  $C_{37}H_{33}N_5O_6$   $[M-H]^-$ . Calcd: 642.2353, found: 642.2368.  $^1H$  NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  9.44 (s, 1H), 8.55 (d,  $J$  = 1.4 Hz, 1H), 8.10 (t,  $J$  = 5.7 Hz, 1H), 7.80 (d,  $J$  = 8.8 Hz, 1H), 7.78 (s, 1H), 7.70 (d,  $J$  = 7.9 Hz, 2H), 7.65–7.55 (m, 4H), 7.45 (s, 1H), 7.35 (d,  $J$  = 7.9 Hz, 1H), 7.26 (d,  $J$  = 8.5 Hz, 1H), 6.99–6.89 (m, 2H), 6.77 (d,  $J$  = 7.9 Hz, 1H), 6.59 (t,  $J$  = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 5.37 (s, 2H), 5.03–4.92 (m, 2H), 4.02 (s, 3H), 2.65 (s, 3H), 1.18 (t,  $J$  = 7.2 Hz, 3H).  $^{13}C$  NMR (126 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  165.64, 163.92, 162.98, 158.59, 156.41, 153.36, 152.85, 149.86, 149.52, 149.44, 142.10, 139.66, 138.29, 135.15, 129.02(2C), 128.28(2C), 126.29, 125.24, 125.15, 124.19, 123.02, 121.20, 118.44, 116.77, 116.48, 113.32, 110.15, 107.45, 106.00, 102.63, 70.38, 56.75, 34.25, 15.39, 14.13.

### Synthesis of target compound 32

Compound **32** was prepared from intermediate **11k** (24 mg, 0.046 mmol) in a similar manner as described for compound **12** (17 mg, 69%). HPLC:  $t_R$  16.600 min, purity: 88.4%. Mp: 153.4–155.4. HRMS (ESI) for  $C_{28}H_{32}N_4O_7$   $[M-H]^-$ . Calcd: 535.2193, found: 535.2199.  $^1H$  NMR (500 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  8.50 (s, 1H), 8.00 (d,  $J$  = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 7.71 (d,  $J$  = 8.5 Hz, 1H), 7.59 (d,  $J$  = 2.0 Hz, 1H), 7.56 (d,  $J$  = 4.3 Hz, 1H), 7.36 (d,  $J$  = 3.1 Hz, 1H), 7.24 (dd,  $J$  = 8.5, 2.0 Hz, 1H), 4.23–4.09 (m, 3H), 3.99 (s, 3H), 2.61 (s, 3H), 2.25 (t,  $J$  = 7.3 Hz, 2H), 1.87–1.76 (m, 2H), 1.64–1.54 (m, 2H), 1.50–1.42 (m, 2H), 1.21 (d,  $J$  = 6.5 Hz, 6H).  $^{13}C$  NMR (126 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  174.98, 165.53, 162.38, 158.13, 156.30, 153.32, 152.58, 149.92, 149.83, 149.19, 124.33, 121.12, 118.42, 113.62, 110.18, 107.16, 105.92, 101.77, 69.01, 56.62, 41.22, 34.13, 28.74, 25.63, 24.72, 22.81(2C), 14.05.

### Synthesis of target compound 33

Compound **32** was prepared from intermediate **11m** (46 mg, 0.083 mmol) in a similar manner as described for compound **12** (29 mg, 61%). HRMS (ESI) for  $C_{30}H_{36}N_4O_7$   $[M-H]^-$ . Calcd: 563.2506, found: 563.2511.  $^1H$  NMR (500 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  10.36 (s, 1H), 8.50 (d,  $J$  = 5.3 Hz, 1H), 7.98 (d,  $J$  = 7.9 Hz, 1H), 7.71 (dd,  $J$  = 8.6, 3.6 Hz, 1H), 7.59 (dd,  $J$  = 5.4, 2.2 Hz, 1H), 7.56 (d,  $J$  = 7.9 Hz, 1H), 7.36 (d,  $J$  = 9.7 Hz, 1H), 7.24 (dt,  $J$  = 8.0, 2.2 Hz, 1H), 4.20–4.08 (m, 3H), 3.99 (s, 3H), 2.61 (s, 3H), 1.95 (t,  $J$  = 7.3 Hz, 2H), 1.86–1.75 (m, 2H), 1.55–1.45 (m, 2H), 1.48–1.40 (m, 2H), 1.38–1.31 (m, 2H), 1.29–1.24 (m, 2H), 1.21 (d,  $J$  = 6.6 Hz, 6H).  $^{13}C$  NMR (126 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  169.63, 165.54, 162.39, 158.14, 156.32, 153.33, 152.58, 149.96, 149.83, 149.19, 124.33, 121.12, 118.43, 113.62, 110.19, 107.18, 105.93, 101.75, 69.10, 56.63, 53.97, 41.23, 32.71, 28.99, 28.93, 25.88, 25.54, 22.81(2C), 14.04.

### Synthesis of target compound 34

Compound **34** was prepared from intermediate **11n** (48 mg, 0.089 mmol) in a similar manner as described for compound **12** (34 mg, 69%). HPLC:  $t_R$  17.733 min, purity: 91.4%. Mp: 237.1–239.9. HRMS (ESI) for  $C_{30}H_{28}N_4O_7$   $[M-H]^-$ . Calcd: 555.1880, found: 555.1877.  $^1H$  NMR (500 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  10.61 (s, 1H), 8.72 (s, 1H), 8.16 (d,  $J$  = 6.6 Hz, 2H), 7.99 (d,  $J$  = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 7.84 (s, 1H), 7.75 (d,  $J$  = 8.5 Hz, 1H), 7.72 (d,  $J$  = 8.2 Hz, 2H), 7.65 (s, 1H), 7.49 (s, 1H), 7.28 (d,  $J$  = 8.1 Hz, 1H), 5.48 (s, 2H), 4.17–4.12 (m, 1H), 4.06 (s, 3H), 2.63 (s, 3H), 1.22 (d,  $J$  = 6.6 Hz, 6H).  $^{13}C$  NMR (126 MHz, DMSO)  $\delta$  166.10, 166.03, 162.33, 162.25, 158.28, 153.29, 149.61, 140.86, 133.68, 128.81(2C), 128.08(2C), 127.61, 127.33, 124.64, 121.27, 118.27, 118.25, 113.67, 113.64, 110.16, 105.86, 103.07, 70.28, 57.00, 41.25, 22.83(2C), 14.07.

### Synthesis of target compound 35

Compound **35** was prepared from intermediate **11o** (31 mg, 0.054 mmol) in a similar manner as described for compound **12** (22 mg, 69%). HRMS (ESI) for  $C_{32}H_{30}N_4O_7$   $[M-H]^-$ . Calcd: 581.2036, found: 581.2061.  $^1H$  NMR (500 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  8.52 (s, 1H), 8.01 (d,  $J$  = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.74 (s, 1H), 7.72 (d,  $J$  = 8.1 Hz, 1H), 7.60 (s, 1H), 7.54 (d,  $J$  = 7.9 Hz, 2H), 7.49 (d,  $J$  = 8.1 Hz, 2H), 7.41 (s, 1H), 7.24 (d,  $J$  = 8.5 Hz, 1H), 7.16 (d,  $J$  = 15.9 Hz, 1H), 6.42 (d,  $J$  = 15.9 Hz, 1H), 5.30 (s, 2H), 4.17–4.10 (m, 1H), 4.00 (s, 3H), 2.89 (s, 3H), 1.21 (d,  $J$  = 6.6 Hz, 6H).  $^{13}C$  NMR (126 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  168.05, 165.64, 162.45, 158.16, 153.32, 152.78, 149.79, 149.44, 144.00, 138.90, 134.44, 128.82(2C), 128.80(2C), 128.53, 124.38, 121.15, 119.86, 118.39, 113.59, 110.11, 108.45, 107.26, 105.91, 102.64, 70.25, 56.73, 41.26, 22.79(2C), 14.03.

### Synthesis of target compound 36

Compound **36** was prepared from intermediate **11k** (32 mg, 0.061 mmol) in a similar manner as described for compound **17** (31 mg, 83%). HRMS (ESI) for  $C_{34}H_{37}N_5O_6$   $[M-H]^-$ . Calcd: 610.2666, found: 610.2672.  $^1H$  NMR (500 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  9.25 (s, 1H), 8.51 (s, 1H), 8.00 (d,  $J$  = 7.9 Hz, 1H), 7.72 (d,  $J$  = 8.5 Hz, 1H), 7.60 (d,  $J$  = 2.0 Hz, 1H), 7.57 (s, 1H), 7.37 (s, 1H), 7.24 (dd,  $J$  = 8.5, 2.1 Hz, 1H), 7.18 (dd,  $J$  = 7.8, 1.5 Hz, 1H), 6.88 (t,  $J$  = 8.3 Hz, 1H), 6.71 (d,  $J$  = 9.4 Hz, 1H), 6.52 (t,  $J$  = 8.3 Hz, 1H), 4.89 (s, 2H), 4.19–4.15 (m, 2H), 4.16–4.11 (m, 1H), 3.99 (s, 3H), 2.62 (s, 3H), 2.38 (t,  $J$  = 7.4 Hz, 2H), 1.92–1.82 (m, 2H), 1.75–1.65 (m, 2H), 1.58–1.46 (m, 2H), 1.21 (d,  $J$  = 6.4 Hz, 6H).  $^{13}C$  NMR (126 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  171.63, 165.54, 162.40, 158.14, 156.31, 153.33, 152.58, 149.94, 149.83, 149.19, 142.29, 126.08, 125.70, 124.33, 124.05, 121.12, 118.43, 116.56, 116.33, 113.62, 110.19, 107.17, 105.94, 101.76, 69.02, 56.62, 41.23, 36.18, 28.84, 25.70, 25.56, 22.81(2C), 14.05.

### Synthesis of target compound 37

Compound **37** was prepared from intermediate **11n** (21 mg, 0.039 mmol) in a similar manner as described for compound **17** (19 mg, 78%). HPLC:  $t_R$  14.002 min, purity: 90.2%. Mp: 188.7–190.7. HRMS (ESI) for  $C_{36}H_{33}N_5O_6$   $[M-H]^-$ . Calcd: 630.2353, found: 630.2369.  $^1H$  NMR (500 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  9.78 (s, 1H), 8.54 (s, 1H), 8.06 (d,  $J$  = 7.9 Hz, 2H), 8.01 (d,  $J$  =



7.8 Hz, 1H), 7.76 (s, 1H), 7.73 (d,  $J = 8.5$  Hz, 1H), 7.66 (d,  $J = 8.0$  Hz, 2H), 7.62 (d,  $J = 2.1$  Hz, 1H), 7.43 (s, 1H), 7.25 (dd,  $J = 8.5, 2.1$  Hz, 1H), 7.20 (d,  $J = 7.8$  Hz, 1H), 7.03–6.94 (m, 1H), 6.81 (dd,  $J = 8.0, 1.5$  Hz, 1H), 6.61 (t,  $J = 7.6$  Hz, 1H), 5.42 (s, 2H), 4.43 (s, 2H), 4.17–4.09 (m, 1H), 4.02 (s, 3H), 2.62 (s, 3H), 1.22 (d,  $J = 6.5$  Hz, 6H).  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR (126 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  165.64, 162.40, 158.17, 156.40, 153.34, 152.84, 149.81, 149.42, 143.53, 140.17, 134.76, 128.51(2C), 128.03(2C), 127.21, 124.39, 123.79, 121.18, 118.40, 116.79, 116.67, 113.63, 110.13, 107.41, 105.94, 102.69, 70.19, 56.50, 41.24, 22.81(2C), 14.06.

### Synthesis of target compound 38

Compound **38** was prepared from intermediate **11o** (24 mg, 0.042 mmol) in a similar manner as described for compound **17** (22 mg, 79%). HPLC:  $t_R$  13.910 min, purity: 88.1%. Mp: 209.1–211.6. HRMS (ESI) for  $\text{C}_{38}\text{H}_{35}\text{N}_5\text{O}_6$   $[\text{M}-\text{H}]^-$ . Calcd: 656.2509, found: 656.2487.  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (500 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  10.58 (s, 1H), 8.68 (s, 1H), 7.99 (d,  $J = 7.9$  Hz, 1H), 7.83 (s, 1H), 7.75 (d,  $J = 3.3$  Hz, 1H), 7.75–7.72 (m, 2H), 7.65–7.62 (m, 3H), 7.51–7.48 (m, 1H), 7.47 (s, 1H), 7.42–7.37 (m, 2H), 7.37–7.33 (m, 1H), 7.27 (dd,  $J = 8.5, 2.1$  Hz, 1H), 6.96 (d,  $J = 15.7$  Hz, 1H), 5.41 (s, 2H), 4.17–4.12 (m, 1H), 4.04 (s, 3H), 2.63 (s, 3H), 1.22 (d,  $J = 6.5$  Hz, 6H).  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR (126 MHz, DMSO)  $\delta$  166.12, 164.89, 162.33, 162.25, 158.25, 156.92, 153.31, 152.43, 149.82, 149.68, 147.62, 141.29, 138.75, 134.70, 129.12(2C), 128.53(2C), 127.92, 127.08, 125.99, 125.91, 124.58, 124.01, 121.69, 121.26, 118.28, 113.67, 110.17, 106.18, 105.88, 102.91, 70.46, 56.93, 41.24, 22.83, 22.80, 14.07.

### HDAC1 inhibition assay

Prepare 1× assay buffer (modified Tris buffer). Transfer compounds to assay plate by Echo in 100% DMSO. The final fraction of DMSO is 1%. Prepare enzyme solution (BPS, Cat. No. 50051) in 1× assay buffer. Add trypsin and Ac-peptide substrate in 1× assay buffer to make the substrate solution. Transfer 15  $\mu\text{L}$  of enzyme solution to assay plate or for low control transfer 15  $\mu\text{L}$  of 1× assay buffer. Incubate at room temperature for 15 minutes. Add 10  $\mu\text{L}$  of substrate solution to each well to start reaction. Read the plate on Envision with excitation at 355 nm and emission at 460 nm. Fit the data in Excel to obtain inhibition values using the equation:  $\text{inhibition\%} = (\text{max} - \text{signal}) / (\text{max} - \text{min}) \times 100$ .  $\text{IC}_{50}$  values were calculated by Graphpad prism using the equation:  $\log(\text{inhibitor})$  vs. response – variable slope (four parameters).

### VEGFR-2 inhibition assay

Transfer different concentrations of compounds to a 384-well assay plate in duplicates. Add 10  $\mu\text{L}$  of kinase solution (Carna, Cat. No. 08-191, Lot. No. 07CBS-0540) to each well of the assay plate, except for control wells without enzyme (add 5  $\mu\text{L}$  of 1× kinase buffer instead). Add 10  $\mu\text{L}$  of substrate solution of fluorescein-poly GT (Invitrogen, Cat. No. PV3611, Lot. No. 374293B) and ATP to each well of the assay plate to start reaction. Add 20  $\mu\text{L}$  of detection solution (Invitrogen, Cat. No. PV3552, Lot. No. 1508164B) to each well of the assay plate to stop the reaction. Mix briefly with Centrifuge and incubate at

room temperature for 60 minutes before reading on a plate reader for fluorescence. Collect data on Envision with excitation at 340 nm and emission at 520 nm and 495 nm. Calculate ratio of RFU 520 nm/RFU 495 nm. Convert ratio values to percent inhibition values. Percent inhibition =  $(\text{max} - \text{sample ratio}) / (\text{max} - \text{min}) \times 100$ .  $\text{IC}_{50}$  values were calculated by Graphpad prism using the equation:  $\log(\text{inhibitor})$  vs. response – variable slope (four parameters).

### Cell cycle analysis

HeLa cells were treated with different concentrations (0.75  $\mu\text{M}$ , 1.5  $\mu\text{M}$  and 3  $\mu\text{M}$ ) of **13** for 48 h and were harvested by digestion with 0.25% trypsin and centrifugation (1000 rpm for 15 min) with PBS. The cell pellets were suspended with 70% ethanol at 4 °C overnight. Afterward, cells were washed with phosphate buffered saline (PBS) twice and incubated with 100  $\mu\text{L}$  RNase at 37 °C for 30 min and followed by incubation with 400  $\mu\text{L}$  PI solution for 30 min in the dark at 4 °C. Cells were analyzed by flow cytometry system (BECKMAN COULTER CytoFLEX) and red fluorescence was recorded at 488 nm.

### Apoptosis study

Briefly, cells were treated with different concentrations (0.75  $\mu\text{M}$ , 1.5  $\mu\text{M}$  and 3  $\mu\text{M}$ ) of compound **13** for 48 h, and were harvested and washed twice with PBS (1000 rpm, 5 min). Then cells were resuspended in 500  $\mu\text{L}$  binding buffer. 5  $\mu\text{L}$  of annexin V-APC and 5  $\mu\text{L}$  7-AAD were added to each tube and the cells were gently vortexed and incubated for 15 min at room temperature in the dark. The stained cells were analyzed by a flow cytometer (BECKMAN COULTER CytoFLEX).

### CCK-8 assay

Stock solutions of tested compounds were prepared in DMSO at a concentration of 1 mmol  $\text{L}^{-1}$ . Before use, the stock solutions were further diluted to the corresponding concentration by culture medium. The HeLa, MCF-7 and A549 cell lines were purchased from Cell Bank of Chinese Academy of Sciences and were cultured in the RPMI 1640 medium (Gibco) containing 10% FBS (Gibco) and 1% penicillin/streptomycin (Solarbio) and incubated at 37 °C in a humidified atmosphere containing 5%  $\text{CO}_2$ . Cells were harvested by the 0.25% trypsin–EDTA solution (Beyotime) from the cell-culture flask and seeded in a 96-well plate at a density of  $5 \times 10^4$  cells per well and incubated for 24 h. The tested compounds were added to the culture medium such that the final concentration of DMSO was less than 0.1% in the reaction. After 48 h, the CCK-8 solution (10  $\mu\text{L}$ , Beyotime) was added to each well and incubated at 37 °C for 2 h. Absorbance at 450 nm was determined with a molecular device microplate reader. Cell viabilities were calculated using Excel.  $\text{IC}_{50}$  values were obtained by SPSS software. All experiments were performed in three times.

### CellTiter-Glo® luminescent cell viability assay

Make 500× cpd solution in DMSO. Dilute compound with growth medium to 10× final concentration. Add 2  $\mu\text{L}$  1000×





cpd to 98  $\mu$ L growth medium. The HUVEC cell line was from PreceDo Biotechnology Co., Ltd and was cultured in the BEGM cell culture medium containing 10% FBS (Gibco) and 1% penicillin/streptomycin (Corning). Cells were seeded in a 96-well plate at a desired density and incubated for 24 h. Add 10  $\mu$ L 10 $\times$  cpds to the into 96-well plate according to the plate map. Final DMSO concentration in each well is 0.2%. Incubate at 37  $^{\circ}$ C, 5% CO<sub>2</sub> for 72 h. Equilibrate the assay plate to room temperature before measurement. Add 50  $\mu$ L of Cell-Titer-Glo<sup>®</sup> reagent (Promega) into each well. Mix contents for 2 minutes on an orbital shaker to induce cell lysis. Incubate at room temperature for 10 minutes to stabilize luminescent signal. Record luminescence on Paradigm. Inhibition rate (Inh%) was calculated relative to vehicle (DMSO) treated control wells using following formula: Inhibition rate (Inh%) = 100 – (RLU compound – RLU blank)/(RLU control – RLU blank)  $\times$  100%. Data were analyzed using Graphpad 7.0, fitting to a 4-parameter equation to generate concentration response curves.

### Tube formation assay

After treated with different final concentrations (0  $\mu$ M, 2.5  $\mu$ M, 10  $\mu$ M) of compound **13** for 72 h, the HUVECs were collected. 2  $\times$  10<sup>4</sup> cells per well HUVECs were seeded on Matrigel's surface, then cultured for 4 h. The tube formation was then observed and photographed with a microscope. Use image pro plus software to calculate the total length of tubes.

### Docking study

The crystal structures of VEGFR-2 (PDB code 3B8R) and HDAC1 (PDB code 1C3S) were obtained from Protein Data Bank. Water molecules and cocrystallized ligands were removed from the proteins using Pymol software. Compound **13** was created by ChemDraw 2D and saved as PDB format by ChemDraw 3D. Proteins and compound were prepared using the Autodock tool 1.5.6, such as adding hydrogen atoms, computing Gasteiger and so on. The grid-enclosing box was placed on the centroid of the co-crystallized ligand. Docking was carried out by the Autodock vina to obtain the molecular docking results. Molecular graphic manipulations and visualizations were performed using Pymol software.

## Conflicts of interest

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

## Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the Fujian Provincial Health Technology Project (2020QNA060, China), the Startup Fund for scientific research, Fujian Medical University (2019QH1123, China) and the Fujian Province Natural Science Foundation (2021J01309, China). Thank the Instrumental Analysis Center of Huaqiao University.

## References

- 1 J. Folkman, *JNCI, J. Natl. Cancer Inst.*, 1990, **82**, 4–7.
- 2 P. Carmeliet, *Nat. Med.*, 2003, **9**, 653–660.
- 3 X. Yang, Y. Zhang, Y. Yang, S. Lim, Z. Cao, J. Rak and Y. Cao, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, 2013, **110**, 13932–13937.
- 4 A.-K. Olsson, A. Dimberg, J. Kreuger and L. Claesson-Welsh, *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.*, 2006, **7**, 359–371.
- 5 X. Wang, A. M. Bove, G. Simone and B. Ma, *Front. Cell Dev. Biol.*, 2020, **8**, 599281.
- 6 A. A. Shah, A. M. Kamal and S. Akhtar, *Curr. Drug Metab.*, 2021, **22**, 50–59.
- 7 K. Holmes, O. L. Roberts, A. M. Thomas and M. J. Cross, *Cell. Signalling*, 2007, **19**, 2003–2012.
- 8 K. Cheng, C. F. Liu and G. W. Rao, *Curr. Med. Chem.*, 2021, **28**, 2540–2564.
- 9 F. W. Peng, D.-K. Liu, Q. W. Zhang, Y. G. Xu and L. Shi, *Expert Opin. Ther. Pat.*, 2017, **27**, 987–1004.
- 10 G. Bergers and D. Hanahan, *Nat. Rev. Cancer*, 2008, **8**, 592–603.
- 11 S. Vyse, F. McCarthy, M. Broncel, A. Paul, J. P. Wong, A. Bhamra and P. H. Huang, *J. Proteomics*, 2018, **170**, 130–140.
- 12 R. N. Gacche and R. J. Meshram, *Biochim. Biophys. Acta, Rev. Cancer*, 2014, **1846**, 161–179.
- 13 J. A. Hill and L. E. Cowen, *Future Microbiol.*, 2015, **10**, 1719–1726.
- 14 G. R. Zimmermann, J. Lehar and C. T. Keith, *Drug Discovery Today*, 2007, **12**, 34–42.
- 15 S. E. Bates, *N. Engl. J. Med.*, 2020, **383**, 650–663.
- 16 J. E. Lee and M. Y. Kim, *Semin. Cancer Biol.*, 2022, **83**, 4–14.
- 17 B. Barneda-Zahonero and M. Parra, *Mol. Oncol.*, 2012, **6**, 579–589.
- 18 M. Manal, M. J. N. Chandrasekar, J. Gomathi Priya and M. J. Nanjan, *Bioorg. Chem.*, 2016, **67**, 18–42.
- 19 R. Aggarwal, S. Thomas, N. Pawlowska, I. Bartelink, J. Grabowsky, T. Jahan, A. Cripps, A. Harb, J. Leng, A. Reinert, I. Mastroserio, T.-G. Truong, C. J. Ryan and P. N. Munster, *J. Clin. Oncol.*, 2017, **35**, 1231–1239.
- 20 S. Tavallai, H. A. Hamed, S. Grant, A. Poklepovic and P. Dent, *Cancer Biol. Ther.*, 2014, **15**, 578–585.
- 21 L. Booth, J. L. Roberts, C. Sander, J. Lee, J. M. Kirkwood, A. Poklepovic and P. Dent, *Oncotarget*, 2017, **8**, 16367–16386.
- 22 J. J. McClure, X. Li and C. J. Chou, *Adv. Cancer Res.*, 2018, **138**, 183–211.
- 23 R.-G. Fu, Y. Sun, W.-B. Sheng and D. F. Liao, *Eur. J. Med. Chem.*, 2017, **136**, 195–211.
- 24 N. Upadhyay, K. Tilekar, S. Safuan, A. P. Kumar, M. Schweipert, F. J. Meyer-Almes and C. S. Ramaa, *RSC Med. Chem.*, 2021, **12**, 1540–1554.
- 25 X. J. Liu, H.-C. Zhao, S. J. Hou, H.-J. Zhang, L. Cheng, S. Yuan, L. R. Zhang, J. Song, S. Y. Zhang and S.-W. Chen, *Bioorg. Chem.*, 2023, **133**, 106425.
- 26 X. Xue, Y. Zhang, Y. Liao, D. Sun, L. Li, Y. Liu, Y. Wang, W. Jiang, J. Zhang, Y. Luan and X. Zhao, *Invest. New Drugs*, 2022, **40**, 10–20.



- 27 Y. Luan, J. Li, J. A. Bernatchez and R. Li, *J. Med. Chem.*, 2019, **62**, 3171–3183.
- 28 J. Zang, X. Liang, Y. Huang, Y. Jia, X. Li, W. Xu, C. J. Chou and Y. Zhang, *J. Med. Chem.*, 2018, **61**, 5304–5322.
- 29 F. W. Peng, T.-T. Wu, Z.-W. Ren, J. Y. Xue and L. Shi, *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.*, 2015, **25**, 5137–5141.
- 30 F. W. Peng, J. Xuan, T. T. Wu, J. Y. Xue, Z. W. Ren, D. K. Liu, X. Q. Wang, X. H. Chen, J. W. Zhang, Y. G. Xu and L. Shi, *Eur. J. Med. Chem.*, 2016, **109**, 1–12.

