RSC Advances

PAPER

Cite this: RSC Adv., 2023, 13, 29463

Received 1st September 2023 Accepted 29th September 2023

DOI: 10.1039/d3ra05967g

rsc.li/rsc-advances

1. Introduction

Reactive oxygen species (ROS) are highly reactive molecules generated as by-products of normal cellular metabolism and environmental factors such as UV radiation, cigarette smoke, and pollution. These ROS, if not controlled by the endogenous antioxidant system, can cause damage to cell structures such as DNA, lipids, and proteins, leading to oxidative stress (OS). OS is linked to a variety of human diseases such as cancer, cardiovascular disease, diabetes, and neurodegenerative disorders.¹⁻³ Antioxidants are natural or synthetic compounds that protect cells from excessive ROS production.⁴ They can be classified into primary and secondary antioxidants, based on their mechanism of action.⁵ Primary antioxidants scavenge free radicals by donating a hydrogen atom or electron to neutralize the reactive species. Examples of primary antioxidants include vitamins C and E, carotenoids, and glutathione.⁶ Secondary antioxidants can prevent oxidative damage through various mechanisms, including chelation of metal ions and inhibition

Computational assessment of the primary and secondary antioxidant potential of alkylresorcinols in physiological media†

Houssem Bouleb[d](http://orcid.org/0000-0002-7727-8583) $\mathbf{D}^{\star a}$ and Maciej Spiegel \mathbf{D}^{b}

Alkylresorcinols are a group of natural phenolic compounds found in various foods such as whole grain cereals, bread, and certain fruits. They are known for their beneficial health effects, such as antiinflammatory and anti-cancer properties. This study aimed to evaluate the antioxidant activity of two typical alkylresorcinols namely olivetol and olivetolic acid (Oli and OliA) under physiological conditions. The free radical scavenging capacity of Oli and OliA toward oxygenated free radicals (HO⁺ and HOO⁺ radicals) was investigated using thermodynamic and kinetic calculations. The results revealed that Oli and OliA are potent scavengers of HO' radical in both polar and lipid media, acting exclusively via the FHT (formal hydrogen transfer) mechanism. Moreover, they demonstrated excellent scavenging activity toward HOO' radical in water via the SET (single electron transfer) mechanism, outperforming the common antioxidant BHT. In lipid media, Oli and OliA showed moderate scavenging activity toward HOO⁺ radical via the FHT mechanism. Significant prooxidant potential of OliA[−] was also demonstrated through the formation of complexes with copper ions. Additionally, docking studies indicate that the compounds exhibited a good affinity for ROS-producing enzymes, including myeloperoxidase (MP), cytochrome P450 (CP450), lipoxygenase (LOX), and xanthine oxidase (XO), highlighting their potential as natural antioxidants with promising therapeutic applications. PAPER

(a) Cheek for updates

Computational assessment of the primary and

ECO of the state and the state of the state of the state and the state of the sta

of ROS-producing enzymes.⁷ Metal ions, such as iron and copper, can promote oxidation by catalyzing the formation of free radicals, but secondary antioxidants can sequester these metal ions through chelation, thereby inhibiting their prooxidant activity. In addition, some secondary antioxidants can directly inhibit enzymes that generate ROS, such as lipoxygenases and xanthine oxidase, which can lead to a reduction in OS and damage.⁸ The combination of primary and secondary antioxidants provides a synergistic effect that enhances the overall antioxidant capacity of the system. Understanding the different mechanisms of action of primary and secondary antioxidants is crucial in developing strategies to prevent or treat OS-related diseases.

Alkylresorcinols (ARs) are a group of natural phenols found mainly in the outer layers of grains such as wheat, rye, and barley.⁹ They are characterized by a long hydrophobic alkyl chain and a hydrophilic resorcinol ring. ARs have been studied extensively for their potential health benefits, including anti-inflammatory, anti-tumor, and antimicrobial properties.¹⁰ Some studies have also suggested that they may help regulate blood lipid levels and protect against cardiovascular disease.^{11,12} ARs have also been used as biomarkers of whole-grain intake in dietary studies.¹³ ARs can serve as a treatment for type 2 diabetes by enhancing the body's sensitivity to insulin.¹⁴ The studies on ARs have shown their significant antioxidant activity, making them a promising natural ingredient for the food industry. Elder A. S. et al. highlighted that

a Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Exact Science, University of Constantine 1, Constantine, 25000, Algeria. E-mail: boulebd.houssem@umc.edu.dz

b Department of Pharmacognosy and Herbal Medicines, Faculty of Pharmacy, Wroclaw Medical University, Borowska 211A, 50-556 Wroclaw, Poland

[†] Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. See DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1039/d3ra05967g>

the antioxidant effectiveness of ARs varies depending on the food system. In bulk oils, their antioxidant activity decreases with an increase in alkyl chain length, while in oil-in-water emulsions, the optimum antioxidant activity is observed at intermediate alkyl chain length (C21:0). ARs were found to scavenge radicals effectively in oils, while physicochemical phenomena, such as partitioning, drive their antioxidant activity in emulsions.¹⁵ Similarly, the same research group explored the antioxidant activity of rye bran extract containing ARs in an oil-in-water emulsion. The extract demonstrated the ability to inhibit lipid oxidation reactions in the emulsion. The partitioning behavior of ARs in the continuous phase of the emulsion contributed to their radical scavenging antioxidant effect, as they associated with the lipid phase and surfactant micelles.¹⁶ Furthermore, investigations on rye samples revealed that the antioxidant activity of ARs decreased from the outermost to innermost fractions of the bran. The amount of ARs in bran fractions positively correlated with their antioxidant protection against free radical damage.¹⁷ Additionally ARs from rye bran and other whole-grain cereal products exhibited significant radical-scavenging activity, with no major impact of alkyl chain length on their antioxidant properties.¹⁸ Finally, the study on the bryozoan Schizomavella mamillata isolated six new ARs with radical scavenging activity higher than the Trolox standard in the ABTS antioxidant assay.¹⁹ Overall, the findings from these studies emphasize the potential importance of ARs as natural antioxidants for the food industry, particularly for protecting food products during processing and storage. Their ability to scavenge radicals and inhibit lipid peroxidation makes them valuable candidates for replacing synthetic antioxidants with naturally derived alternatives. Despite the notable antioxidant potency of ARs, little research has been conducted to investigate their mechanism of action against free radicals and their secondary antioxidant effects. RSC Advances Contentricity and view of one with the spin on due on 09 October 2023. Downloaded the common and in the spin on the spin of the same research are proported to exceed a function and common and in a particle is

Olivetol (Oli) and olivetolic acid (OliA) shown in Fig. 1 are two derivatives of ARs that have gained attention for their potential medicinal uses. Oli has been found to have various pharmacological effects, including analgesic and anti-inflammatory properties.²⁰ It is also a precursor for the synthesis of cannabigerol, a non-psychoactive cannabinoid found in Cannabis plants.²¹ OliA, on the other hand, is a polyketide compound that differs from Oli by the presence of a carboxylic acid substituent. It is a precursor to tetrahydrocannabinol, the main psychoactive compound found in Cannabis plants.²² It has been found to have antifungal and antibacterial properties, as well as potential anti-inflammatory and anti-cancer effects.^{23,24} However, despite their promising biological properties, limited studies have been conducted on their antioxidant capacity. This is a significant limitation, as these compounds have the potential to be used as natural alternatives to toxic synthetic compounds like BHT and BHA, which are commonly used as preservatives in the food industry.²⁵

The aim of this study was to investigate the antioxidant activity and mechanism of Oli and OliA as typical alkylresorcinols derivatives. Firstly, the free radical scavenging activity of Oli and OliA was evaluated towards oxygenated free radicals (HO' and HOO') using thermodynamic and kinetic calculations based on the DFT method. The study examined all possible reaction pathways with HO' and HOO' while considering the influence of pH and

physiological conditions. The results were compared with those of the commonly used antioxidant BHT, as well as with available experimental data. Furthermore, the pro-oxidant behavior of OliA was studied with respect to Fe^{3+} and Cu^{2+} ions in aqueous media. Finally, molecular docking studies were carried out to estimate the affinity of Oli and OliA towards ROS-producing enzymes, including myeloperoxidase (MP), cytochrome P450 (CP450), lipoxygenase (LOX), and xanthine oxidase (XO). This research sheds light on the antioxidant properties of alkylresorcinols and their potential as natural alternatives to synthetic antioxidants.

2. Computation procedures

2.1. Quantum chemistry calculations

There are three distinct processes through which phenolic compounds exhibit their antiradical action, namely FHT (formal hydrogen transfer), RAF (radical adduct formation), and SET (single electron transfer).²⁶–³⁰ FHT is a one-step process where the transfer of a hydrogen atom from the antioxidant to the free radical takes place. This mechanism can occur in both polar and lipid environments, and it can be described using the BDE of the active OH/CH bonds of the antioxidant. On the other hand, RAF is a process where free radicals are combined with antioxidants, leading to the formation of the product [antioxidant-free radical]' in one step.³¹ The Gibbs free energy of the reaction is used to describe this mechanism. Unlike FHT and RAF, SET involves an electron transfer and can only occur in polar environments.³² In these environments, the phenolic OH bonds of the antioxidant can exist in both protonated and deprotonated forms, allowing electron transfer from the neutral or anionic state of the antioxidant. However, SET from an undissociated phenol is often not feasible, making it significant only for deprotonated phenols. The dominant step in this pathway can be described using the Gibbs free energy of electron transfer. The mechanisms mentioned above are described by the following equations:

> $Ar-OH + R' \rightarrow Ar-O' + ROH (FHT)$ $Ar-OH + R' \rightarrow [Ar-OH-R]' (RAF)$

 $Ar-OH \rightarrow Ar-O^- + H^+; Ar-O^- + R^+ \rightarrow Ar-O^+ + R^-$ (SET)

3

Fig. 2 Reactivity of Oli and OliA towards oxygenated free radicals following the possible antioxidant mechanisms in the gas phase.

In this study, all of the aforementioned mechanisms have been examined through thermodynamic and kinetic calculations. To calculate the molecular geometry and frequencies of the molecules involved in these processes, the DFT approach at the M06-2X/6-311++G(d,p) level of theory has been employed. Previous research has validated the reliability of this method.³³ The number of imaginary frequencies was used to confirm both

the ground (0) and transition states (1), which was also confirmed using intrinsic reaction coordinate (IRC) calculations. To simulate physiological conditions in polar and lipid environments, the solvation effect of water and pentyl ethanoate was utilized by employing the SMD solvation model.³⁴ The BDE values have been calculated as reported in the literature.³⁵

Fig. 3 Deprotonated states of Oli and OliA at physiological pH.

Table 1 $\,$ Calculated ∆G $^{\neq}$ (kcal mol $^{-1}$), κ , k (M $^{-1}$ s $^{-1}$), and \varGamma (%) of the reaction of Oli and OliA with HO $\,$ radical in water (W) and pentylethanoate (PE)

^a Mole fraction. ${}^b k_f = f \times k_{\text{app}}$. ${}^c \sum k_{\text{AAF}}$ (Table S2 in ESI). ^{*d*} Diffusion constant. ^{*e*} The nuclear reorganization energy (λ).

Fig. 4 Localized TSs of the reaction of Oli and OliA with HO' and HOO' under physiological conditions. IF = imaginary frequencies, W = water, and $PE =$ pentylethanoate.

ල

Table 2 $\,$ Calculated ∆G $^{\neq}$ (kcal mol $^{-1}$), κ , k (M $^{-1}$ s $^{-1}$), and \varGamma (%) of the reaction of Oli and OliA with HOO $^{\cdot}$ radical under physiological conditions

Oli PE	FHT	Oli 1OH 3OH			$k_{\rm app}$	f^a	$k_f^{\ b}$	\varGamma	k_{overall}
			19.1	145.8	9.60×10^{0}			50	1.92×10^{1}
			19.1	145.8	9.60×10^{0}			50	
		7CH	22.1	55.2	2.30×10^{-2}			$\mathbf{0}$	
W	SET	Oli		$\overline{}$		0.9968	$\sim \! 0$	$\mathbf{0}$	5.76×10^{6}
		Oli ⁻	1.4	1.8 ^c	1.80×10^9	0.0032	5.76×10^{6}	100	
	FHT	1OH Oli	18.8	2476.9	2.70×10^{2}	0.9968	2.69×10^{2}	$\mathbf{0}$	
		3OH	18.8	2476.9	2.70×10^{2}		2.69×10^{2}	0	
		7CH	19.8	47.3	9.40×10^{-1}		9.37×10^{-1}	$\mathbf{0}$	
OliA PE	FHT	7CH OliA	21.4	66.9	8.70×10^{-2}			100	8.70×10^{-2}
W	FHT	7CH O li A^-	16.3	33.2	2.50×10^{2}	0.9977	2.49×10^{2}	$\bf{0}$	1.87×10^6
	SET	OliA	$\overline{}$			0.0010	$\sim\!\!0$	$\bf{0}$	
		O li A^-	\equiv	$\overline{}$		0.9977	$\sim\!\!0$	$\mathbf{0}$	
		O li A^{-2}	1.5	2.0 ^c	1.20×10^{9}	0.0013	1.87×10^{6}	100	
BHT^{63} PE									1.70×10^{4}
W									
^{<i>a</i>} Mole fraction. ^{<i>b</i>} $k_f = f \times k_{app}$. ^{<i>c</i>} The nuclear reorganization energy (λ).									
Table 3 Reported experimental data (IC ₅₀ in μ M) of the antiradical					where ΔG_{sol} is the Gibbs free energy of the reaction in solution, R is the gas constant, and T is the temperature (298.15 K). Water is used as a co-reactant in pK_a calculations because it simplifies the modelling of proton solvation and improves accuracy by				
activity of Oli and BHT ⁶⁹		IC_{50} in μ M			taking advantage of water's well-known solvation properties.				2.51×10^5
Assays		Oli		BHT	This approach overcomes the computational difficulties asso-				
		17.77		7.61	ciated with accurately estimating proton solvation energy.				
DPPH.		1.94		2.06			The kinetics of the reactions of Oli and OliA with oxygenated		
$ABTS^+$ $DMPD^+$		19.25		21.65	free radicals (HO' and HOO' radicals) have been modeled				
O_2 ⁻⁻ scavenging		53.30		31.50	following the QM-ORSA protocol. ^{37,38} QM-ORSA has been				

$$
AH + OH^{-}(3H_{2}O) \rightarrow A^{-}(H_{2}O) + 3H_{2}O
$$

$$
pK_a = \frac{\Delta G_{\text{sol}}}{RT \ln(10)} + 14 + 3 \log[H_2O]
$$

$$
k = \sigma \kappa \frac{k_{\rm B} T}{h} \mathrm{e}^{-(\Delta G^{\neq})/RT}
$$

where σ is reaction symmetry number, κ is tunneling correction, k_{B} is Boltzmann constant, \hbar is Planck constant, and ΔG^{\neq} Gibbs free energy of activation.⁴⁵–⁴⁷ The tunneling correction was

Fig. 5 Structures of Cu(II) mono- and bi-dentate complexes with OliA⁻.

Table 4 Reorganisation energies (λ , in kcal mol $^{-1}$), Gibbs free energies (ΔG_r, in kcal mol^{−1}), activation energies (ΔG‡, in kcal mol^{−1}), rate
constants (k, in M^{−1} s^{−1}) and apparent rate constants (k_{app}, in M^{−1} s^{−1}) for redox reactions between the studied complexes and physiological reductants

reductants				Table 4 Reorganisation energies (λ , in kcal mol ⁻¹), Gibbs free energies $(\Delta G_r$, in kcal mol ⁻¹), activation energies (ΔG_r^* , in kcal mol ⁻¹), rate constants (k, in M ⁻¹ s ⁻¹) and apparent rate constants (k_{app} , in M ⁻¹ s ⁻¹) for redox reactions between the studied complexes and physiological	apparent equilibrium constants (K_i^{app}) were then calculated according to the set of formulas: $K_{\rm f} = e^{-\frac{\Delta G_{\rm f}^{\circ}}{RT}}$			
Complex	λ	ΔG_r	$\Delta G_{\rm r}^{\ddag}$	\boldsymbol{k}	$k_{\rm app}$			
$O_2^{\bullet -}/O_2$						$K_i^{\text{II}} = K_f \times {}^{\text{m}}f_i$		
Aquacomplex	31.7	-14.9	2.2	1.45×10^{11}	3.75×10^{9}			
Monodentate	37.9	-23.4	1.4	6.01×10^{11}	4.12×10^{9}	$K_i^{\text{app}} = \sum K_i^{\text{II}}$		
Bidentate	29.0	-20.5	0.6	2.19×10^{12}	4.47×10^{9}			
						where K_f is the equilibrium constant at the studied complexa		
Asc^-/Asc^*						tion site of a given species, K_i^{II} equals the equilibrium constant		
Aquacomplex	26.8	-0.5	6.4	1.18×10^8	$1.15\,\times\,10^8$	corrected by the molar fraction, $^m f_i$, of the considered i species		
Monodentate	19.4	-9.0	1.4	5.89×10^{11}	3.78×10^9	under the studied conditions.		
Bidentate	22.8	-27.4	0.2	4.18×10^{12}	4.35×10^{9}	Although the resulting complexes are generally considered		
$(\Gamma, \%)$ were calculated as follows:		$T_{\rm path} = \frac{k}{k_{\rm current}} \times 100$		constants ($k_{\rm app}$, rate constants close to the diffusion limit) were corrected using the Collins-Kimball theory. ⁴⁸ Branching ratios where k and k_{overall} are the rate constants of a specific reaction path and the sum of the rate constants of all reaction paths,		radicals, and consequently facilitating further oxidative damage. This behavior was assessed by examining the ther mochemistry and kinetics of the single-electron transfer reac tions between them and biologically relevant reducing agents such as ascorbate ion and superoxide anion radical: $[M(H_2O)_4A]^n$ + Asc ⁻ /O ₂ ⁻⁻ \rightarrow $[M(H_2O)_4A]^{n-1}$ + Asc ⁻ /O ₂		
respectively.								
				Marcus theory has been used to predict the Gibbs free energy				
				of activation of the single electron transfer mechanism: ⁴⁹		2.2. Docking studies		
					The optimized structures of Oli and OliA obtained by DFT			
		$\Delta G_{\rm SET}^{\neq} = \frac{\lambda}{4} \left(1 + \frac{\Delta G_{\rm SET}^0}{\lambda} \right)^2$				calculations has been employed for the docking study. The Protein Data Bank (PDB) (https://www.rcsb.org) was used to get the coordinates for myeloperoxidase (MP, PDB: 5WDJ)		

$$
T_{\text{path}} = \frac{k}{k_{\text{overall}}} \times 100
$$

$$
\Delta G_{\text{SET}}^{\neq} = \frac{\lambda}{4} \left(1 + \frac{\Delta G_{\text{SET}}^0}{\lambda} \right)^2
$$

$$
\lambda \approx \Delta E_{\text{SET}} + \Delta G_{\text{SET}}^0
$$

where λ is the nuclear reorganization energy, $\Delta G_{\rm SET}^0$ is the Gibbs free energy of reaction, and ΔE_{SET} is the nonadiabatic energy difference between reactants and vertical products. The DFT calculations were performed with the Gaussian 09 suite of programs.⁵⁰

The complexation process was studied by mean of sequestrating $Cu(II)$ aqua complexes by the carboxylic oxygen and the adjacent hydroxyl group of OliA, as this is the only viable scaffold capable of efficient chelation. The M06 functional⁵¹ was used, instead of $M06-2X$,⁵² since the former was parameterized to include both metallic and non-metallic systems. The Stuttgart/ Dresden effective core potentials and the already presented Pople's basis set were used to describe the electron density of the chemically irrelevant core and valence electrons, respectively.^{53,54} Similar methodology has been used in the previous work.⁸ The chelating potential was determined by the mean of Gibbs free energy of complexation $(\Delta G_{\rm f}^{\circ})$ for a reaction with a general scheme:

$$
[M(H_2O)_6]^n + A^m \rightleftarrows [M(H_2O)_4A]^{n-m} + 2H_2O
$$

where M denotes the metal, n its charge, A describes the antioxidant, and m the charge of the antioxidant species. The

$$
K_{\rm f} = e^{-\frac{\Delta G_{\rm f}^{\circ}}{RT}}
$$

$$
K_{\rm i}^{\rm II} = K_{\rm f} \times {}^{m}f_{\rm i}
$$

$$
K_{\rm i}^{\rm app} = \sum K_{\rm i}^{\rm II}
$$

$$
[M(H_2O)_4A]^n + Asc^-/O_2 \rightharpoonup [M(H_2O)_4A]^{n-1} + Asc'/O_2
$$

2.2. Docking studies

The optimized structures of Oli and OliA obtained by DFT calculations has been employed for the docking study. The Protein Data Bank (PDB) (<https://www.rcsb.org>) was used to get the coordinates for myeloperoxidase (MP, PDB: 5WDJ), cytochrome P450 (CP450, PDB: 1OG5), lipoxygenase (LOX, PDB: 1JNQ), and xanthine oxidase (XO, PDB: 3NVY). The proteins were cleansed of the ligand, all water atoms, heteroatoms, and co-crystallized solvent. Using Auto-DockTools (v. 1.5.6), partial charges and hydrogens were added to the protein and ligand. A 25-point grid, spaced one unit apart, has been used to construct the search space, which is centered at the protein's catalytic center. AutoDock Vina (v. 1.1.2) was used for the whole docking investigation.⁵⁵ All the parameters have been set to their default settings, with the exception of num modes, which is set to 10. The BIOVIA Discovery Studio (<https://3dsbiovia.com/>) was used to draw the figures.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Thermodynamic evaluation of the reactivity towards oxygenated free radicals in the gas phase

As a first step, the reactivity of **Oli** and **OliA** with oxygenated free radicals was assessed in the gas phase in all conceivable positions using thermodynamic calculations. The Gibbs free energies of reactions as well as the BDE values of the active bonds are shown in Fig. 2 and Table S1 in ESI.† It was found that the reaction of both compounds with the HO' radical is exergonic in all positions with ΔG_s ranging from -6.5 to -30.3 kcal mol⁻¹, indicating that the HO' radical is non-selective and may attack

in all positions. In contrast, the reaction with the HOO' radical is less energetically favorable and seems to be possible only at the 7CH group for both compounds and at the OH groups for Oli. Therefore, only energetically favorable reactions are taken into account in the kinetic study. In terms of BDE values, the 7CH bonds display the lowest values of 83.7 and 85.3 kcal mol−¹ for Oli and OliA respectively, suggesting that they are the most thermodynamically susceptible bonds to break. Compared with BHT (calculated BDE = 78.10 kcal mol⁻¹),⁵⁶ these values are approximately 5 to 7 kcal mol^{-1} higher, indicating that BHT may be a more effective antioxidant through hydrogen atom transfer than Oli and OliA.

3.2. Kinetic behavior in physiological environments

3.2.1. Acid–base equilibrium at physiological pH. Before starting the kinetic study under physiological conditions, it is necessary to examine the behavior of the different OH groups at physiological pH. For this purpose, the pK_a values of Oli and OliA were calculated following a protocol described in the literature, and the results obtained are shown in Fig. 3.³⁶ Oli has a pK_a value of 9.9 which means that, at physiological pH, the molecule exists predominantly in the neural form (99.68%) with the presence of a minimum amount of the deprotonated form (0.32%). On the other hand, OliA has two pK_a values of 4.4 and 10.3. These values show that the monoanionic form of OliA dominates at physiological pH (99.77%) with the presence of a very small amount of both the neutral and dianionic forms (<0.13%). Although the low concentration of the mono-anionic form of Oli and the neutral and dianionic forms of OliA should not impact the reactivity of the molecules through hydrogen transfer or radical adduct formation mechanisms, the dissociated form, even in small amounts, can significantly affect the reactivity through the electron transfer mechanism.⁵⁷ Therefore, only the dominant form was considered for the FHT and RAF mechanisms, while all species present at physiological pH were examined for the SET mechanism. Concerning the lipid medium, the dissociation of the HO bond is not possible and thus only the neutral form is present under these conditions.

3.2.2. Kinetics of the hydroxyl radical scavenging under physiological conditions. The findings of the kinetic study of the reaction of Oli and OliA with the HO' radical under physiological conditions are shown in Table 1 and the localized transition states are illustrated in Fig. 4. Analysis of the data in Table 1 indicates that Oli and OliA react with the HO' radical at almost the same rate constant under both conditions studied ($k_{\rm overall}$ of the order of 10^9 M $^{-1}$ s $^{-1}$). Compared to the reference antioxidant, BHT, this value is somewhat lower, which means that BHT is more reactive than Oli and OliA toward the HO' radical under physiological conditions. The analysis of the individual rate constants shows that the FHT mechanism at the OH group is almost exclusive for both compounds in both environments ($\Gamma \approx 100\%$). It is important to note that the latter reaction is characterized by a rate constant equal to the diffusion limit, which is also the case of other antioxidants such as viniferifuran,⁵⁸ daphnetin,⁵⁹ and

resveratrol.⁶⁰ In comparison to recognized antioxidants such as caffeine ($k_{\text{overall}} = 2.15 \times 10^9 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ in water)⁶¹ and glutathione ($k_{\text{overall}} = 7.68 \times 10^9 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ in water),⁶² Oli and OliA demonstrates high HO' scavenging activity in physiological media.

3.2.3. Kinetics of the hydroperoxyl radical scavenging under physiological conditions. The findings of the kinetic study of the reaction of Oli and OliA with the HOO' radical under physiological conditions are shown in Table 2 and the localized transition states are illustrated in Fig. 4. Unlike the reactivity towards the HO' radical, the reactivity towards the HOO' radical appears to be more important in water than in pentyl ethanoate for both compounds. The rate constant of Oli is about $10⁵$ times greater in water than in pentyl ethanoate while that of O liA is about $10⁶$ times greater. This difference in reactivity between the two environments is due to the involvement of the SET mechanism possible only in water and contributes 100% to the overall rate constant. In comparison, BHT is significantly more reactive than Oli and OliA in the lipid medium (1.70 \times $10^4\,{\rm M}^{-1}\,{\rm s}^{-1}$ vs. 1.92 \times 10^1 and 8.70 \times $10^{-2}\,{\rm M}^{-1}$ s⁻¹), while its reactivity is less important in the polar medium $(2.51 \times 10^5 \ \rm{M}^{-1} \ \rm{s}^{-1}$ vs. $5.76 \times 10^6 \ \rm{and} \ 1.87 \times 10^6 \ \rm{M}^{-1} \ \rm{s}^{-1})$. Oli and OliA are about 10 times more active than BHT, which suggests that these molecules are powerful antioxidants in water at physiological pH. On the other hand, Oli appears to be more reactive than OliA in water with a threefold higher rate constant. Nevertheless, this dissimilarity in reactivity is not noteworthy, implying that the existence of the carboxyl group does not influence the molecule's reactivity. In comparison with other antioxidants such as Trolox ($k_{\text{overall}} = 8.96 \times 10^4 \text{ M}^{-1}$ s $^{-1})$, 64 guaiacol ($k_{\rm overall} = 2.83 \times 10^6$ M $^{-1}$ s $^{-1})$, 65 eugenol ($k_{\rm overall}$ $= 1.55 \times 10^6$ M $^{-1}$ s $^{-1})$, 65 carnosic acid ($k_{\rm overall} = 4.73 \times 10^6$ M $^{-1}$ (\rm{s}^{-1}) , \rm^{66} cannabidiol ($k_{\rm overall} = 9.09 \times 10^6$ M $^{-1}$ $\rm{s}^{-1})$, \rm^{67} and cannabidiolic acid ($k_{\rm overall}$ = 1.30 \times 10 6 M $^{-1}$ s $^{-1})$, 68 it can be concluded that Oli and OliA are potent antioxidants in water. Puper

In all positions. In contrast, the traction with the HOO' radical exercuted.¹⁴ In compatison to reception in the compatibility the control in the same ($\frac{1}{2}$, $\frac{1}{2}$, $\frac{1}{2}$, $\frac{1}{2}$, $\frac{1}{2}$, $\frac{1$

Fig. 6 The binding affinity of Oli, OliA, and control ligands with target enzymes.

Fig. 7 Best docking pose of Oli and OliA in the active site of the target enzymes (myeloperoxidase (MP), cytochrome P450 (CP450), lipoxygenase (LOX), and xanthine oxidase (XO)).

3.3. Comparison with the available experimental data

The comparison of the theoretical predictions was made by reference to the work of Taslimi et al.⁶⁹ which evaluated the antioxidant activity of Oli relative to several reference antioxidants, including BHT, using five methods, namely DPPH', $ABTS'$ ⁺, DMPD'⁺, O₂⁻⁻, and metal chelating. The outcomes for Oli and BHT are summarized in Table 3, and the comparison was made solely for Oli since no prior research has been dedicated to examining the antioxidant characteristics of OliA. The examination of the data in Table 3 highlights two signicant observations. Firstly, BHT is more active than Oli in the DPPH' assay (IC $_{50}$ 7.61 vs. 17.77 μ M), which primarily relies on hydrogen atom transfer instead of electron transfer. This agrees with the theoretical assessment that reveals that FHT is not the primary mechanism for Oli. Secondly, Oli is more active than BHT in the ABTS' $^+$ assay (IC₅₀ 1.94 *vs.* 2.06 μ M). This outcome is also consistent with the theoretical predictions since the $ABTS^*$ assay is relevant for electron-donating antioxidants. Concerning the other techniques, Oli is more active than BHT in the

DMPD⁺⁺ and metal chelating assays, whereas BHT is more active than Oli in the $O_2^{\bullet-}$ scavenging assay. Overall, the experimental findings indicate that Oli is a promising radical scavenger and more potent than BHT in terms of electron donation, which is consistent with the theoretical predictions.

3.4. Transition metals sequestration and pro-oxidant behavior of OliA

Considering the previously obtained molar fraction values for the possible structures of the tested compounds, it is clear that the neutral and doubly dissociated forms will not signicantly affect the type II antioxidant potential. Therefore, the chelation process was studied only for monoanion. Quantum mechanical calculations yielded local ground-state structures for mono- and bidentate complexes formed in a theoretical physiological environment (Fig. 5).

Similar to the results of earlier work,^{70,71} the Jahn–Teller effect is clearly visible in the case of $Cu(n)$ complexes. One pair of coordinating oxygen atoms of each complex, in mutual axial

Fig. 8 2D representation of the interactions of Oli, OliA, and control ligands with target enzymes (myeloperoxidase (MP), cytochrome P450 (CP450), lipoxygenase (LOX), and xanthine oxidase (XO)).

position, is at a much greater distance from the metal than the others – in the figure these are A and D for neutral compounds and C and F for monoanionic compounds. The distance between the metal and a particular oxygen is particularly large, at as much as 3.185 Å. This is quite a large distance, considering the reported values (see the aforementioned articles).

Moving on to a more detailed analysis of the process, it is necessary to refer to the thermochemical results to determine the stability constants of the complexes formed. With the Gibbs free energy estimated at [−]9.2 kcal mol−¹ , a chelation constant of 5.39 \times 10 6 M $^{-1}$ s $^{-1}$ was obtained for the monodentate copper complex. For bidentate, it was −12.3 kcal mol⁻¹ and 1.10×10^9 M^{-1} s⁻¹, respectively. Thus, it was confirmed that copper chelated by the two ligands is more stable, and will be the preferred form under the given conditions. Regardless of these differences, the sequestration process proceeds smoothly.

However, as indicated earlier in the text, the chelation itself does not always prevent the generation of radicals from oxidative damage. Although it can reduce the metal's contribution to reactions that generate reactive oxygen species, it can actually begin to exhibit pro-oxidant potential, transforming physiologically neutral substances into harmful ones via an indirect pathway. To explain this, we examined how the complexes formed react with ascorbate and superoxide anion through

a single-electron transfer mechanism, thus recognizing prooxidation processes that can occur in the body (Table 4).

The results reveal a number of important relationships regarding the influence of the complexed metal, the metal: ligand molar ratio and the reducing agent. All these data are contrasted with the results obtained for aquacomplexes. Moreover, the results of the aquacomplexes are in agreement with experimental literature data.^{72,73}

First, there is the obvious observation that the redox process is extremely fast, with reaction rates at the diffusion limit regardless of the nature of the complex. In the case of the O_2 ⁻⁻/ $O₂$ reaction, the monodentate complex has the most negative ΔG _r value (−23.4 kcal mol⁻¹), suggesting that it is the most thermodynamically stable. However, it is actually the bidentate complex that has the highest k value (4.47×10^9) , indicating that it may have the fastest reaction kinetics. In the case of O_2 ^{-−}/ $O₂$ species, the bidentate complex shows the highest thermodynamic stability and simulatively reacts with ascorbate with the highest rate constant. There is an apparent increase in the pro-oxidation potential of the complexes with the ligand relative to free copper. This indicates that the complexes can undergo chemical reactions more rapidly compared to the aquacomplex and monodentate complexes for both reactions and therefore exhibit the highest pro-oxidation potential.

3.5. Inhibitory potential toward ROS-producing enzymes

As mentioned above, antioxidant action can also be exerted through the inhibition of ROS-producing enzymes, thereby reducing OS and limiting ROS production. In this section, the inhibitory potential of Oli and OliA towards ROS-producing enzymes, including myeloperoxidase (MP), cytochrome P450 (CP450), lipoxygenase (LOX), and xanthine oxidase (XO), was assessed using molecular docking studies.

MP, CP450, LOX, and XO are involved in OS through the generation of ROS and other oxidizing agents. MP is a heme enzyme present in neutrophils that produces hypochlorous acid, a potent oxidant that can damage cellular components. CP450 is a family of enzymes that play a crucial role in drug metabolism, but they can also generate ROS during the catalytic cycle. LOX is an enzyme that catalyzes the oxidation of polyunsaturated fatty acids to form lipid hydroperoxides, which can lead to membrane damage and inflammation. XO generates ROS during the conversion of hypoxanthine to xanthine and uric acid. Even though these enzymes are crucial to cell function, their inhibition may reduce OS by limiting the generation of ROS. However, it should be noted that excessive inhibition of these enzymes can alter the proper functioning of cells and thus induce undesirable effects. RSC Advances Control of ROS-producting engines are control trial to control the published on 00 Access Article is likely the method of Northern Commons Article is likely the the common and the common and the common and the

To determine the best docking parameters, molecular docking protocols were first validated by redocking cocrystallized ligands with target enzymes. The suitability of each docked ligand's position was evaluated based on binding affinity and RMSD values, which are expected to be less than 2.0 Å according to previous studies.⁷⁴ As shown in Fig. S1 in ESI,[†] the experimental and docked structures overlapped well, indicating low RMSD values (<1.73 Å). The same protocols were then employed to perform molecular docking simulations and investigate the interactions between the target enzymes' binding sites and the compounds Oli and OliA.

Fig. 6 shows the binding affinity of Oli and OliA to the target enzymes, along with several control ligands for MP, CP450, LOX, and XO (7-(benzyloxy)-1H-[1,2,3]triazolo[4,5-d]pyrimidin-5-amine (synthesized inhibitor), S-warfarin, (−)-epigallocatechin gallate, and quercetin, respectively). The data indicate that both Oli and OliA exhibit negative binding affinities for all enzymes, with values ranging from -5.71 to -7.32 kcal mol⁻¹. These results suggest that Oli and OliA can interact effectively with the active site of the studied enzymes, and therefore may have potential as inhibitors. Interestingly, the binding affinity of OliA was slightly lower than that of Oli and even the control ligand in the case of XO, indicating that OliA may be a better inhibitor than Oli.

The interaction modes as well as the 2D representation of the favorable interactions are shown in Fig. 7 and 8, respectively. The results indicate that Oli and OliA exhibit favorable interactions with important amino acid residues in the active sites of the studied enzymes. The 2D representations of the interactions reveal that these compounds share common amino acid residues with the reference ligand in each enzyme, indicating their potential as inhibitors.

In the case of CP450, Oli, OliA, and the reference ligand share favorable interactions with PHE476, while OliA and the

reference ligand also interact with PHE100 and ALA103. These residues are reported to play a key role in the catalytic activity of the enzyme.⁷⁵ The representation in Fig. 7 shows that all three molecules are located in the same region near the HEM catalytic center, suggesting their potential to inhibit this enzyme.

Similarly, in the case of LOX, OliA and the reference ligand share almost the same amino acid residues, including ILE572, HIS523, GLN514, HIS518, and HIS513. Oli shares ILE572 and HIS518 with them. These amino acids are involved in the interaction between the enzyme and the native ligand, as described in the literature.⁷⁶ The representation in Fig. 7 shows that all three molecules are located in the same region in the active site of the enzyme, suggesting their potential to inhibit LOX.

Regarding the MP enzyme, all three molecules share favorable interactions with the catalytic center HEM201 and the residue ARG239. Oli forms a favorable interaction with HIS95, similar to the reference ligand. These results are in good agreement with reported studies, 77 indicating the potential of Oli and OliA to inhibit MP.

Finally, the interaction mode of Oli and OliA with XO is similar to that of the reference ligand. They share favorable interactions with VAL1011 and LEU648 and form favorable interactions with the catalytic center MOS1323, and other important amino acids such as ALA1079, PHE914, PHE109, and THR110.⁷⁸ OliA interacts also with the key amino acid ARG880.78,79 This suggests that Oli and OliA may have the potential to inhibit XO.

On the basis of these results, it can be seen that Oli and OliA have a good affinity for the studied enzymes by forming stable complexes and interacting with important amino acid residues. These compounds may therefore be good inhibitors of the main ROS-producing enzymes, which may enhance their antioxidant activity at the cellular level. It is crucial to highlight that during the examination of the structure–activity relationship, it becomes evident that the active sites of the enzymes exhibit significant interactions with the carboxylic group of OliA. This implies that the bioactivity of these molecules could be largely influenced by the carboxylic moiety, which may play a critical role in determining their effectiveness.

4. Conclusion

The capacity of Oli and OliA as representative alkylresorcinols to scavenge HO' and HOO' radicals and to inhibit ROS-producing enzymes has been assessed by DFT calculations and molecular docking investigations. The results demonstrate that Oli and OliA are excellent scavengers of HO' radical in both polar and lipid media, acting exclusively via the FHT mechanism. They are also potent scavengers of HOO' radical in water through the SET mechanism, outperforming the common antioxidant BHT. In lipid media, they exhibit moderate HOO' radical scavenging activity via the FHT mechanism. The OliA anion, which was the only significant transition metal chelator, was able to effectively chelate Cu^{2+} ions, but with a noticeable increase in prooxidation potential observed in contrast to the aquacomplexes. Additionally, Oli and OliA display a good affinity for

ROS-producing enzymes, including MP, CP450, LOX, and XO, indicating their potential as natural antioxidants. Upon analyzing the structure–activity relationship, it is evident that the radical scavenging action of OliA is not significantly affected by the carboxylic acid group. Nevertheless, it is highly likely that this moiety plays a crucial role in the interaction between the molecule and enzymes as well as in metal chelation. Overall, these findings suggest that Oli and OliA are potent antioxidants and could be utilized for the development of effective antioxidant therapies. Puper

Roc Advances

including the pureral anti-distance article. The common control and the common control

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgements

The supercomputing resources used in this work to study antiradical activity and perform molecular docking were supported by the HPC of UCI-UFMC (Unité de Calcul Intesif of the University Fréres Mentouri Constantine 1). Research on complexing capabilities has been used with the PL-Grid Infrastructure (grant identifier: plgantioxidants).

References

- 1 M. Valko, C. J. Rhodes, J. Moncol, M. Izakovic and M. Mazur, Free radicals, metals and antioxidants in oxidative stressinduced cancer, Chem.-Biol. Interact., 2006, 160, 1–40.
- 2 P. Zhang, T. Li, X. Wu, E. C. Nice, C. Huang and Y. Zhang, Oxidative stress and diabetes: antioxidative strategies, Front. Med., 2020, 14, 583–600.
- 3 K. J. Barnham, C. L. Masters and A. I. Bush, Neurodegenerative diseases and oxidative stress, Nat. Rev. Drug Discovery, 2004, 3, 205–214.
- 4 B. Halliwell, Free radicals and antioxidants quo vadis?, Trends Pharmacol. Sci., 2011, 32, 125–130.
- 5 M. Valko, D. Leibfritz, J. Moncol, M. T. D. Cronin, M. Mazur and J. Telser, Free radicals and antioxidants in normal physiological functions and human disease, Int. J. Biochem. Cell Biol., 2007, 39, 44–84.
- 6 X. Wu, G. R. Beecher, J. M. Holden, D. B. Haytowitz, S. E. Gebhardt and R. L. Prior, Lipophilic and Hydrophilic Antioxidant Capacities of Common Foods in the United States, J. Agric. Food Chem., 2004, 52, 4026–4037.
- 7 J.-M. Lü, P. H. Lin, Q. Yao and C. Chen, Chemical and molecular mechanisms of antioxidants: experimental approaches and model systems, J. Cell. Mol. Med., 2010, 14, 840–860.
- 8 M. Spiegel and Z. Sroka, Quantum-mechanical characteristics of apigenin: antiradical, metal chelation and inhibitory properties in physiologically relevant media, Fitoterapia, 2023, 164, 105352.
- 9 A. B. Ross, A. Kamal-Eldin and P. Åman, Dietary Alkylresorcinols: Absorption, Bioactivities, and Possible Use as Biomarkers of Whole-grain Wheat–and Rye–rich Foods, Nutr. Rev., 2004, 62, 81–95.
- 10 A. A. Zabolotneva, O. P. Shatova, A. A. Sadova, A. V. Shestopalov and S. A. Roumiantsev, An Overview of Alkylresorcinols Biological Properties and Effects, J. Nutr. Metab., 2022, 2022, 4667607.
- 11 K. Oishi, S. Yamamoto, N. Itoh, R. Nakao, Y. Yasumoto, K. Tanaka, Y. Kikuchi, S.-i. Fukudome, K. Okita and Y. Takano-Ishikawa, Wheat Alkylresorcinols Suppress High-Fat, High-Sucrose Diet-Induced Obesity and Glucose Intolerance by Increasing Insulin Sensitivity and Cholesterol Excretion in Male Mice, J. Nutr., 2015, 145, 199–206.
- 12 K. Horikawa, C. Hashimoto, Y. Kikuchi, M. Makita and K. Oishi, Wheat alkylresorcinol increases fecal lipid excretion and suppresses feed efficiency in mice depending on time of supplementation, Nutrition, 2022, 103–104, 111796.
- 13 R. Landberg, A. Kamal-Eldin, A. Andersson, B. Vessby and P. Åman, Alkylresorcinols as biomarkers of whole-grain wheat and rye intake: plasma concentration and intake estimated from dietary records, Am. J. Clin. Nutr., 2008, 87, 832–838.
- 14 I. Biskup, C. Kyrø, M. Marklund, A. Olsen, R. M. van Dam, A. Tjønneland, K. Overvad, B. Lindahl, I. Johansson and R. Landberg, Plasma alkylresorcinols, biomarkers of wholegrain wheat and rye intake, and risk of type 2 diabetes in Scandinavian men and women, Am. J. Clin. Nutr., 2016, 104, 88–96.
- 15 A. S. Elder, J. N. Coupland and R. J. Elias, Effect of alkyl chain length on the antioxidant activity of alkylresorcinol homologues in bulk oils and oil-in-water emulsions, Food Chem., 2021, 346, 128885.
- 16 A. S. Elder, J. N. Coupland and R. J. Elias, Antioxidant activity of a winterized, acetonic rye bran extract containing alkylresorcinols in oil-in-water emulsions, Food Chem., 2019, 272, 174–181.
- 17 J. Gliwa, A. Gunenc, N. Ames, W. G. Willmore and F. S. Hosseinian, Antioxidant Activity of Alkylresorcinols from Rye Bran and Their Protective Effects on Cell Viability of PC-12 AC Cells, J. Agric. Food Chem., 2011, 59, 11473– 11482.
- 18 M. Korycińska, K. Czelna, A. Jaromin and A. Kozubek, Antioxidant activity of rye bran alkylresorcinols and extracts from whole-grain cereal products, Food Chem., 2009, 116, 1013–1018.
- 19 M. J. Ortega, J. J. Pantoja, C. De los Reyes and E. Zubía, 5-Alkylresorcinol Derivatives from the Bryozoan Schizomavella mamillata: Isolation, Synthesis, and Antioxidant Activity, Mar. Drugs, 2017, 15, 344.
- 20 E. A. Formukong, A. T. Evans and F. J. Evans, Analgesic and antiinflammatory activity of constituents of Cannabis sativa L., Inflammation, 1988, 12, 361-371.
- 21 A. Jastrząb, I. Jarocka-Karpowicz and E. Skrzydlewska, The Origin and Biomedical Relevance of Cannabigerol, Int. J. Mol. Sci., 2022, 23, 7929.
- 22 M. N. Tahir, F. Shahbazi, S. Rondeau-Gagné and J. F. Trant, The biosynthesis of the cannabinoids, J. Cannabis Res., 2021, 3, 7.
- 23 Y.-E. Lee, T. Kodama and H. Morita, Novel insights into the antibacterial activities of cannabinoid biosynthetic intermediate, olivetolic acid, and its alkyl-chain derivatives, J. Nat. Med., 2023, 77, 298–305.
- 24 P. López, G. Ferraro and C. Anesini, Comparative antioxidant activity of an extract of Lithraea molleoides and an isolated 5-alkyl resorcinol derivative. Effects on the proliferation of normal and tumoral lymphocytes, Phytother. Res., 2011, 25, 271–276.
- 25 R. Liu and S. A. Mabury, Synthetic Phenolic Antioxidants: A Review of Environmental Occurrence, Fate, Human Exposure, and Toxicity, Environ. Sci. Technol., 2020, 54, 11706–11719.
- 26 M. Leopoldini, N. Russo and M. Toscano, The molecular basis of working mechanism of natural polyphenolic antioxidants, Food Chem., 2011, 125, 288–306.
- 27 A. Galano, G. Mazzone, R. Alvarez-Diduk, T. Marino, J. R. Alvarez-Idaboy and N. Russo, Food Antioxidants: Chemical Insights at the Molecular Level, Annu. Rev. Food Sci. Technol., 2016, 7, 335–352.
- 28 G. Litwinienko and K. U. Ingold, Abnormal Solvent Effects on Hydrogen Atom Abstractions. 1. The Reactions of Phenols with $2,2$ -Diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl (dpph \cdot) in Alcohols, J. Org. Chem., 2003, 68, 3433–3438.
- 29 G. Litwinienko and K. U. Ingold, Abnormal Solvent Effects on Hydrogen Atom Abstraction. 2. Resolution of the Curcumin Antioxidant Controversy. The Role of Sequential Proton Loss Electron Transfer, J. Org. Chem., 2004, 69, 5888–5896. RSC Advances Common and H. Morita, Novel insights into the 36 A. M. Redshift Technique conducts are also are also
	- 30 G. Litwinienko and K. U. Ingold, Abnormal Solvent Effects on Hydrogen Atom Abstraction. 3. Novel Kinetics in Sequential Proton Loss Electron Transfer Chemistry, J. Org. Chem., 2005, 70, 8982–8990.
	- 31 M. Leopoldini, S. G. Chiodo, N. Russo and M. Toscano, Detailed Investigation of the OH Radical Quenching by Natural Antioxidant Caffeic Acid Studied by Quantum Mechanical Models, J. Chem. Theory Comput., 2011, 7, 4218–4233.
	- 32 Y. Xue, Y. Liu, Y. Xie, C. Cong, G. Wang, L. An, Y. Teng, M. Chen and L. Zhang, Antioxidant activity and mechanism of dihydrochalcone C-glycosides: effects of Cglycosylation and hydroxyl groups, Phytochemistry, 2020, 179, 112393.
	- 33 M. Spiegel, T. Marino, M. Prejanò and N. Russo, Primary and secondary antioxidant properties of scutellarin and scutellarein in water and lipid-like environments: a theoretical investigation, J. Mol. Liq., 2022, 366, 120343.
	- 34 A. V. Marenich, C. J. Cramer and D. G. Truhlar, Universal Solvation Model Based on Solute Electron Density and on a Continuum Model of the Solvent Defined by the Bulk Dielectric Constant and Atomic Surface Tensions, J. Phys. Chem. B, 2009, 113, 6378–6396.
	- 35 H. Boulebd, Structure-activity relationship of antioxidant prenylated (iso)flavonoid-type compounds: quantum chemistry and molecular docking studies, J. Biomol. Struct. Dyn., 2022, 40, 10373–10382.
- 36 A. M. Rebollar-Zepeda, T. Campos-Hernández, M. T. Ramírez-Silva, A. Rojas-Hernández and A. Galano, Searching for Computational Strategies to Accurately Predict pKas of Large Phenolic Derivatives, J. Chem. Theory Comput., 2011, 7, 2528–2538.
- 37 A. Galano and J. R. Alvarez-Idaboy, A computational methodology for accurate predictions of rate constants in solution: application to the assessment of primary antioxidant activity, J. Comput. Chem., 2013, 34, 2430–2445.
- 38 A. Galano and J. R. Alvarez-Idaboy, Computational strategies for predicting free radical scavengers' protection against oxidative stress: where are we and what might follow?, Int. J. Quantum Chem., 2019, 119, e25665.
- 39 S. G. Chiodo, M. Leopoldini, N. Russo and M. Toscano, The inactivation of lipid peroxide radical by quercetin. A theoretical insight, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys., 2010, 12, 7662–7670.
- 40 M. G. Evans and M. Polanyi, Some applications of the transition state method to the calculation of reaction velocities, especially in solution, Trans. Faraday Soc., 1935, 31, 875–894.
- 41 H. Eyring, The Activated Complex in Chemical Reactions, J. Chem. Phys., 1935, 3, 107–115.
- 42 D. G. Truhlar, W. L. Hase and J. T. Hynes, Current status of transition-state theory, J. Phys. Chem., 1983, 87, 2664–2682.
- 43 T. Furuncuoglu, I. Ugur, I. Degirmenci and V. Aviyente, Role of chain transfer agents in free radical polymerization kinetics, Macromolecules, 2010, 43, 1823–1835.
- 44 E. Vélez, J. Quijano, R. Notario, E. Pabón, J. Murillo, J. Leal, E. Zapata and G. Alarcón, A computational study of stereospecifity in the thermal elimination reaction of menthyl benzoate in the gas phase, J. Phys. Org. Chem., 2009, 22, 971–977.
- 45 E. Pollak and P. Pechukas, Symmetry numbers, not statistical factors, should be used in absolute rate theory and in Bronsted relations, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1978, 100, 2984–2991.
- 46 A. Fernández-Ramos, B. A. Ellingson, R. Meana-Pañeda, J. M. Marques and D. G. Truhlar, Symmetry numbers and chemical reaction rates, Theor. Chem. Acc., 2007, 118, 813– 826.
- 47 C. Eckart, The penetration of a potential barrier by electrons, Phys. Rev., 1930, 35, 1303.
- 48 F. C. Collins and G. E. Kimball, Diffusion-controlled reaction rates, J. Colloid Sci., 1949, 4, 425–437.
- 49 J. C. Corchado, E. L. Coitino, Y.-Y. Chuang, P. L. Fast and D. G. Truhlar, Interpolated variational transition-state theory by mapping, J. Phys. Chem. A, 1998, 102, 2424–2438.
- 50 M. J. Frisch, G. W. Trucks, H. B. Schlegel, G. E. Scuseria, M. A. Robb, J. R. Cheeseman, G. Scalmani, V. Barone, B. Mennucci, G. A. Petersson, H. Nakatsuji, M. Caricato, X. Li, H. P. Hratchian, A. F. Izmaylov, J. Bloino, G. Zheng, J. L. Sonnenberg, M. Hada, M. Ehara, K. Toyota, R. Fukuda, J. Hasegawa, M. Ishida, T. Nakajima, Y. Honda, O. Kitao, H. Nakai, T. Vreven, J. A. Montgomery, J. E. Peralta Jr, F. Ogliaro, M. Bearpark, J. J. Heyd, E. Brothers, K. N. Kudin, V. N. Staroverov, R. Kobayashi,

J. Normand, K. Raghavachari, A. Rendell, J. C. Burant, S. S. Iyengar, J. Tomasi, M. Cossi, N. Rega, J. M. Millam, M. Klene, J. E. Knox, J. B. Cross, V. Bakken, C. Adamo, J. Jaramillo, R. Gomperts, R. E. Stratmann, O. Yazyev, A. J. Austin, R. Cammi, C. Pomelli, J. W. Ochterski, R. L. Martin, K. Morokuma, V. G. Zakrzewski, G. A. Voth, P. Salvador, J. J. Dannenberg, S. Dapprich, A. D. Daniels, O. Farkas, J. B. Foresman, J. V. Ortiz, J. Cioslowski, ¨ D. J. Fox, Gaussian, 09, Wallingford, CT, 2009. Paper West Articles. Articles. Article. Downloaded interactions Articles. Articles. A Supervisor Articles. A Supervisor Article is article. A supervisor Article is article in the selection of the selection of the free con

- 51 Y. Zhao, N. E. Schultz and D. G. Truhlar, Exchangecorrelation functional with broad accuracy for metallic and nonmetallic compounds, kinetics, and noncovalent interactions, J. Chem. Phys., 2005, 123, 161103.
- 52 Y. Zhao, N. E. Schultz and D. G. Truhlar, Design of Density Functionals by Combining the Method of Constraint Satisfaction with Parametrization for Thermochemistry, Thermochemical Kinetics, and Noncovalent Interactions, J. Chem. Theory Comput., 2006, 2, 364–382.
- 53 J. M. L. Martin and A. Sundermann, Correlation consistent valence basis sets for use with the Stuttgart–Dresden–Bonn relativistic effective core potentials: the atoms Ga–Kr and In–Xe, J. Chem. Phys., 2001, 114, 3408–3420.
- 54 M. Dolg, U. Wedig, H. Stoll and H. Preuss, Energy-adjusted ab initio pseudopotentials for the first row transition elements, J. Chem. Phys., 1987, 86, 866–872.
- 55 O. Trott, A. J. Olson and AutoDock Vina, Improving the speed and accuracy of docking with a new scoring function, efficient optimization, and multithreading, J. Comput. Chem., 2010, 31, 455–461.
- 56 D. K. Thbayh and B. Fiser, Computational study of synthetic and natural polymer additives—antioxidant potential of BHA, TBHQ, BHT, and curcumin, Polym. Degrad. Stab., 2022, 201, 109979.
- 57 M. Spiegel, K. Cel and Z. Sroka, The mechanistic insights into the role of pH and solvent on antiradical and prooxidant properties of polyphenols—nine compounds case study, Food Chem., 2023, 407, 134677.
- 58 Y. Shang, H. Zhou, X. Li, J. Zhou and K. Chen, Theoretical studies on the antioxidant activity of viniferifuran, New J. Chem., 2019, 43, 15736–15742.
- 59 H. Boulebd and I. Amine Khodja, A detailed DFT-based study of the free radical scavenging activity and mechanism of daphnetin in physiological environments, Phytochemistry, 2021, 189, 112831.
- 60 C. Iuga, J. R. l. Alvarez-Idaboy and N. Russo, Antioxidant activity of trans-resveratrol toward hydroxyl and hydroperoxyl radicals: a quantum chemical and computational kinetics study, J. Org. Chem., 2012, 77, 3868–3877.
- 61 J. R. Le´on-Carmona and A. Galano, Is caffeine a good scavenger of oxygenated free radicals?, J. Phys. Chem. B, 2011, 115, 4538–4546.
- 62 A. Galano and J. R. Alvarez-Idaboy, Glutathione: mechanism and kinetics of its non-enzymatic defense action against free radicals, RSC Adv., 2011, 1, 1763–1771.
- 63 H. Boulebd, Radical scavenging behavior of butylated hydroxytoluene against oxygenated free radicals in

physiological environments: insights from DFT calculations, Int. J. Chem. Kinet., 2022, 54, 50–57.

- 64 M. E. Alberto, N. Russo, A. Grand and A. Galano, A physicochemical examination of the free radical scavenging activity of Trolox: mechanism, kinetics and influence of the environment, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys., 2013, 15, 4642–4650.
- 65 A. Galano, J. R. Alvarez-Idaboy, M. Francisco-Márquez and M. E. Medina, A quantum chemical study on the free radical scavenging activity of tyrosol and hydroxytyrosol, Theor. Chem. Acc., 2012, 131, 1173.
- 66 H. Boulebd, Modeling the peroxyl radical scavenging behavior of carnosic acid: mechanism, kinetics, and effects of physiological environments, Phytochemistry, 2021, 192, 112950.
- 67 H. Boulebd, D. M. Pereira, I. Amine Khodja, N. T. Hoa, A. Mechler and Q. V. Vo, Assessment of the free radical scavenging potential of cannabidiol under physiological conditions: theoretical and experimental investigations, J. Mol. Liq., 2022, 346, 118277.
- 68 H. Boulebd, Is cannabidiolic acid an overlooked natural antioxidant? Insights from quantum chemistry calculations, New J. Chem., 2022, 46, 162–168.
- 69 P. Taslimi and ˙I. Gulçin, Antioxidant and anticholinergic properties of olivetol, J. Food Biochem., 2018, 42, e12516.
- 70 M. Spiegel, G. Ciardullo, T. Marino and N. Russo, Computational investigation on the antioxidant activities and on the Mpro SARS-CoV-2 non-covalent inhibition of isorhamnetin, Front. Chem., 2023, 11, DOI: [10.3389/](https://doi.org/10.3389/fchem.2023.1122880ISSN=2296-2646) [fchem.2023.1122880ISSN](https://doi.org/10.3389/fchem.2023.1122880ISSN=2296-2646)=2296-2646.
- 71 M. Spiegel, T. Marino, M. Prejanò and N. Russo, Antioxidant and copper-chelating power of new molecules suggested as multiple target agents against Alzheimer's disease. a theoretical comparative study, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys., 2022, 24, 16353–16359.
- 72 R. Brigelius, R. Spöttl, W. Bors, E. Lengfelder, M. Saran and U. Weser, Superoxide dismutase activity of low molecular weight Cu^{2+} -chelates studied by pulse radiolysis, *FEBS Lett.*, 1974, 47, 72–75.
- 73 J. Butler, W. H. Koppenol and E. Margoliash, Kinetics and mechanism of the reduction of ferricytochrome c by the superoxide anion, J. Biol. Chem., 1982, 257, 10747–10750.
- 74 K. E. Hevener, W. Zhao, D. M. Ball, K. Babaoglu, J. Qi, S. W. White and R. E. Lee, Validation of Molecular Docking Programs for Virtual Screening against Dihydropteroate Synthase, J. Chem. Inf. Model., 2009, 49, 444–460.
- 75 P. A. Williams, J. Cosme, A. Ward, H. C. Angove, D. Matak Vinković and H. Jhoti, Crystal structure of human cytochrome P450 2C9 with bound warfarin, Nature, 2003, 424, 464–468.
- 76 E. Skrzypczak-Jankun, K. Zhou and J. Jankun, Inhibition of lipoxygenase by (-)-epigallocatechin gallate: X-ray analysis at 2.1 Å reveals degradation of EGCG and shows soybean LOX-3 complex with EGC instead, Int. J. Mol. Med., 2003, 12, 415–420.

77 F. Duclos, L. M. Abell, D. G. Harden, K. Pike, K. Nowak, G. A. Locke, G. J. Duke, X. Liu, G. Fernando, S. A. Shaw, B. P. Vokits, N. R. Wurtz, A. Viet, M. N. Valente, S. Stachura, P. Sleph, J. A. Khan, J. Gao, A. R. Dongre, L. Zhao, R. R. Wexler, D. A. Gordon and E. K. Kick, Triazolopyrimidines identified as reversible myeloperoxidase inhibitors, MedChemComm, 2017, 8, 2093–2099. **PSC Advances**

77 F. Dackes, L. M. Abell, D. G. Hunch, K. Novale, N. Novale, 2023. Downloaded on 4/13/2025 2023. Downloaded on 4/2025 12:47:48 PM. C. Microsoft Columbian Commons Articles. Published on 4/2025 12:47:48 PM.

- 78 H. Cao, J. M. Pauff and R. Hille, X-ray Crystal Structure of a Xanthine Oxidase Complex with the Flavonoid Inhibitor Quercetin, J. Nat. Prod., 2014, 77, 1693–1699.
- 79 J. M. Pauff and R. Hille, Inhibition Studies of Bovine Xanthine Oxidase by Luteolin, Silibinin, Quercetin, and Curcumin, J. Nat. Prod., 2009, 72, 725–731.