# **Chemical Science**



Cite this: Chem. Sci., 2023, 14, 2215

**C** All publication charges for this article have been paid for by the Royal Society of Chemistry

Received 22nd August 2022 Accepted 27th January 2023

DOI: 10.1039/d2sc04690c rsc.li/chemical-science

## Introduction

Numerous homogeneous catalytic borylation reactions have been developed over the past decades,<sup>1</sup> which include the Suzuki-Miyaura borylation of aryl and alkyl halides,<sup>2</sup> catalytic addition reactions to unsaturated organic molecules such as alkenes, alkynes, allenes,  $\alpha$ , $\beta$ -unsaturated compounds, and carbonyl compounds via hydroboration, diboration,  $\beta$ -borylation or carboboration,<sup>3,4</sup> or the direct functionalization of C-H bonds.<sup>5</sup> In all of these transformations, transition metal boryl complexes<sup>6</sup> play a pivotal role and are key intermediates.<sup>7</sup> Thus, research on transition metal boryl complexes  $[L_nM-BX_2]$ , in general, is attractive due to their interesting properties and

b School of Chemistry and Forensic Science, University of Kent, Park Wood Rd, Canterbury, CT2 7NH, UK

# Nickel boryl complexes and nickel-catalyzed alkyne borylation†

Lukas Tendera[,](http://orcid.org/0000-0002-8200-8262) $\ddagger$  $\ddagger$ <sup>a</sup> Felipe Fantuzzi,  $\mathbf{D}$ ,  $\ddagger$ <sup>b</sup> Todd B. Marder  $\mathbf{D}$ <sup>ac</sup> and Udo Radius  $\mathbf{D}$ <sup>\*a</sup>

The first nickel bis-boryl complexes  $cis$ -[Ni(<sup>'</sup>Pr<sub>2</sub>Im<sup>Me</sup>)<sub>2</sub>(Bcat)<sub>2</sub>],  $cis$ -[Ni(<sup>'</sup>Pr<sub>2</sub>Im<sup>Me</sup>)<sub>2</sub>(Bpin)<sub>2</sub>] and  $cis$ - $[Ni(Pr_2 Im^{Me})_2(Beg)_2]$  are reported, which were prepared via the reaction of a source of  $[Ni(Pr_2 Im^{Me})_2]$ with the diboron(4) compounds  $B_2$ cat<sub>2</sub>,  $B_2$ pin<sub>2</sub> and  $B_2$ eg<sub>2</sub> (<sup> $ip$ </sup>r<sub>2</sub>lm<sup>Me</sup> = 1,3-di-iso-propyl-4,5dimethylimidazolin-2-ylidene;  $B_2$ cat<sub>2</sub> = bis(catecholato)diboron;  $B_2$ pin<sub>2</sub> = bis(pinacolato)diboron;  $B_2$ eg<sub>2</sub>  $=$  bis(ethylene glycolato)diboron). X-ray diffraction and DFT calculations strongly suggest that a delocalized, multicenter bonding scheme dictates the bonding situation of the NiB<sub>2</sub> moiety in these square planar complexes, reminiscent of the bonding situation of "non-classical"  $H_2$  complexes.  $[Ni(Pr_2 Im^{Me})_2]$  also efficiently catalyzes the diboration of alkynes using  $B_2$ cat<sub>2</sub> as the boron source under mild conditions. In contrast to the known platinum-catalyzed diboration, the nickel system follows a different mechanistic pathway, which not only provides the 1,2-borylation product in excellent yields, but also provides an efficient approach to other products such as C–C coupled borylation products or rare tetra-borylated compounds. The mechanism of the nickel-catalyzed alkyne borylation was examined by means of stoichiometric reactions and DFT calculations. Oxidative addition of the diboron reagent to nickel is not dominant; the first steps of the catalytic cycle are coordination of the alkyne to [Ni('Pr<sub>2</sub>Im<sup>Me</sup>)<sub>2</sub>] and subsequent borylation at the coordinated and, thus, activated alkyne to yield complexes of the type  $[Ni(NHC)_2(n^2-cis-(Bcat)(R)C=C(R)(Bcat))]$ , exemplified by the isolation and structural characterization of [Ni(<sup>i</sup>Pr<sub>2</sub>Im<sup>Me</sup>)<sub>2</sub>(η<sup>2</sup>-cis-(Bcat)(Me)C=C(Me)(Bcat))] and [Ni(<sup>i</sup>Pr<sub>2</sub>Im<sup>Me</sup>)<sub>2</sub>(η<sup>2</sup>-cis- $(Bcat)(H<sub>7</sub>C<sub>3</sub>)C=C(C<sub>3</sub>H<sub>7</sub>)(Bcat))$ . **EDGE ARTICLE**<br> **(a)** Cheek for updates <br> **allyne boryl complexes and nickel-catalyzed**<br> **allyne boryl complexes and nickel-catalyzed**<br> **allyne boryl complexes and nickel-catalyzed**<br> **allyne boryl complexes and nickel-cat** 

their utility in catalysis, in which poly-boryl complexes often play a dominant role. Among the most important transition metal poly-boryl complexes employed in catalysis are iridium tris-boryl or rhodium bis-boryl complexes, initially synthesized by Marder and Baker et al. in 1993 (Fig. 1: compounds I and  $III$ ),<sup>8</sup> and nowadays frequently employed for C–H borylations of arenes, alkenes, and alkanes.5,9,10 Complexes such as  $[Ir(dtby)(COE)(Bpin)_3]$  II (Fig. 1, dtbpy = di-tert-butylbipyridine, COE = cyclooctene; pin = pinacolato)<sup>9a</sup> are key catalytic intermediates in iridium-catalyzed C–H borylation reactions.



Fig. 1 Selected examples of transition-metal boryl complexes.

ROYAL SOCIETY OF CHEMISTRY

<sup>&</sup>quot;Institute for Inorganic Chemistry, Julius-Maximilians-Universität Würzburg, Am Hubland, 97074 Würzburg, Germany. E-mail: u.radius@uni-wuerzburg.de

Institute for Sustainable Chemistry & Catalysis with Boron, Julius-Maximilians-Universitat Würzburg, Am Hubland, 97074 Würzburg, Germany ¨

<sup>†</sup> Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: Additional gures, experimental section, crystallographic details, NMR spectra, computational details. CCDC 2202537–2202552. For ESI and crystallographic data in CIF or other electronic format see DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1039/d2sc04690c> ‡ These authors contributed equally.

Another well studied class of poly-boryl complexes are platinum bis-boryl complexes such as *cis*- $[Pt(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>(Bcat)<sub>2</sub>]$  **IV** (cat = catecholato), $11$  pre-catalysts for the addition of diborane(4) compounds to alkynes, reported independently by the groups of Suzuki and Miyaura,<sup>12a,b</sup> Smith III,<sup>12c</sup> and Marder and Norman.<sup>13</sup> The platinum-catalyzed insertion of alkynes into the B–B bond of a diborane(4) reagent is of interest as it provides the most atom economical route for the stereoselective synthesis of triand tetra-substituted alkenes.<sup>4</sup> The resulting 1,2-diboryl alkenes are important building blocks in organic synthesis and materials science.<sup>14</sup>

Most of the transition metal-catalyzed borylation reactions developed initially employed precious metals as the catalyst precursors. As first-row d-block metals are less toxic, less expensive, Earth-abundant, and environmentally benign, they are very attractive alternatives to these expensive noble metals. Recently developed borylations employing 3d-metal catalysts show excellent reactivity and selectivity and often facilitate unique transformations previously unobserved in traditional precious metal-catalyzed processes.<sup>1h</sup> Good examples for outstanding reactivity are copper $(i)$  boryl complexes, using a diverse range of ligands with phosphines and NHCs (Nheterocyclic carbenes) being the most commonly employed. These reagents are attractive for different transformations, featuring mild reaction conditions, good functional group tolerance, and low cost of the metal catalyst.<sup>1*h*,15</sup> For example, in situ generated copper boryl complexes of the type [LCu(Bpin)] (L  $=$  phosphine or NHC) have been employed successfully in the borylation of aryl or alkyl chlorides, bromides, and iodides.<sup>15</sup>

We recently investigated the use of NHC nickel complexes for the borylation of aryl chlorides, aryl fluorides, and indoles.<sup>16</sup> For each of our nickel-catalyzed borylation reactions, a nickel boryl complex was proposed as a key intermediate, but has never been fully characterized in situ or isolated.<sup>17</sup> Nickel boryl complexes are generally considered to be elusive, in contrast to other 3d-metals such as iron,<sup>18</sup> cobalt,<sup>19</sup> or copper.<sup>15,20</sup> Only a few structurally characterized nickel boryl complexes have been isolated thus far, all of them bearing large, rigid pincer ligands (Fig. 1). In 2007, Mindiola et al.<sup>21a,b</sup> reported the synthesis of the first nickel mono-boryl complex  $[(PNP)Ni(Bcat)]$  V  $(PNP = N[2 P(CHMe<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>$ -4-methylphenyl<sub>2</sub>). In 2014, Peters *et al.*<sup>21c</sup> and Rodriguez et al.<sup>21d</sup> independently reported several boryl complexes of PBP pincer ligands  $[(<sup>tBu</sup>PBP)Nil]$  (L = H, Cl, Br, OTf, OC(H)O, Me;  ${}^{tBu}PBP = C_6H_4[N(CH_2PtBu_2)]_2B$ , in which the boryl moiety is embedded in the pincer system. They also introduced a dimeric nickel(1) complex  $[(P^hPBP)Ni]_2$  with bridging boryl ligands ( ${}^{\text{Ph}}$ PBP = C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>{N(CH<sub>2</sub>PPh<sub>2</sub>)}<sub>2</sub>B) and the first bis-boryl complex [(<sup>tBu</sup>PBP)Ni(Bcat)] **VI.**<sup>21c,e</sup> Very recently, Nozaki et al. reported the phosphine/boryl chelating complex VII (Fig. 1), synthesized via dehydrochloroborylation of a nickel(0)  $\sigma$ -borane precursor.<sup>21f</sup>

## Results and discussion

### Synthesis and characterization of nickel boryl complexes

We recently investigated, in detail, the differences in the reactivity of NHC nickel complexes of the type  $[Ni(NHC)_2]$ 

dependent upon the stereo-electronic features of the NHC ligands,<sup>22</sup> which was the key to the success of the present study. In earlier work, we found that reactions of synthetic equivalents of the complexes  $\text{[Ni(^iPr_2Im)_2]}, \text{[Ni(Cy_2Im)_2]},$  and  $\text{[Ni(Mes_2Im)_2]}$  $(R_2Im = 1,3$ -di-organyl-imidazolin-2-ylidene; Cy = cyclohexyl; Mes = mesityl;  ${}^{i}Pr$  = iso-propyl) with  $B_2$ cat<sub>2</sub>,  $B_2$ pin<sub>2</sub>, or  $B_2$ eg<sub>2</sub> (=bis(ethylene glycolato)diboron) did not lead to isolable nickel boryl complexes. For the smaller NHCs, decomposition with formation of nickel black and NHC diborane adducts typically occurred. The NHC diborane adducts often underwent subsequent NHC ring expansion reactions, which destroyed the core structure of the NHC and made the process irreversible.<sup>23</sup> Furthermore, in the course of our work on the defluoroborylation of polyfluoroarenes, on the borylation of aryl chlorides, and on the C–H borylation of indoles using  $[Ni(Mes_2Im)_2]$  as a catalyst,<sup>16</sup> we postulated nickel boryl complexes as decisive intermediates, but never detected such compounds. Complexes of the type  $[Ni(Mes_2Im)_2(Ar^F)(B\{OR\}_2)]$   $(B\{OR\}_2 =$  Bcat, Bpin) were not observed in stoichiometric reactions of  $[Ni(Mes<sub>2</sub>-s<sub>1</sub>)]$  $Im)_2(Ar^F)F]$  with  $B_2pin_2$  or  $B_2cat_2$ , as reductive elimination leading to the borylation product  $Ar^F-B(OR)_2$  was rapid, reforming  $\left[Ni(Mes_2Im)_2\right]$ <sup>16a</sup> However, in the course of our work on the borylation of aryl chlorides, a resonance at 44.5 ppm was observed in the  ${}^{11}B{^1}H$ } NMR spectrum for the reaction of  $[Ni(Cy_2Im)_2(Ar)Cl]$  with  $B_2pin_2$ , which indicated the formation of a nickel boryl complex.<sup>16</sup><sup>d</sup> Unfortunately, this complex of the N-cyclohexyl-substituted NHC was not stable in solution and defied isolation despite several attempts. Therefore, we reasoned that using an NHC ligand with similar donor properties and only slightly modified steric demand might lead to the successful synthesis of nickel boryl complexes. As it has been demonstrated previously that backbone substitution at the C4 and C5 position of the imidazole framework by methylation effects the sterics of the NHC ligands as repulsion between the C4/C5 methyl group and the N-organyl substituent leads to smaller C<sub>carbene</sub>-N-C<sub>substituent</sub> angles,<sup>24</sup> we used synthetic equivalents of the backbone-methylated  $[Ni(^iPr_2Im^{Me})_2]$  for this study. Chemical Science<br>
Accele one of  $\sqrt{P}P$ <sup>2</sup><sup>2</sup><sup>2</sup>/<sub>2</sub> Download article. This are complexed to the complexe Splitter. This are considered under the state of the state of

> $\left[Ni(^{i}Pr_{2}Im^{Me})_{2}\right]$  was provided from a mixture of  $\left[Ni_{2}(^{i}Pr_{2}Im^{Me})_{2}\right]$ Im<sup>Me</sup>)<sub>4</sub>(µ-( $\eta^2$ : $\eta^2$ )-COD)] **1** and [Ni(<sup>*i*</sup>Pr<sub>2</sub>Im<sup>Me</sup>)<sub>2</sub>( $\eta^4$ -COD)] **1a**, which can be prepared by the reaction of  $[Ni(COD)_2]$  with two equivalents of  ${}^{i}Pr_{2}Im^{Me}$ , as reported previously.<sup>221</sup> The stoichiometric



Scheme 1 Synthesis of  $cis$ -[Ni( ${}^{i}(Pr_{2}$ |m<sup>Me</sup>)<sub>2</sub>(Bcat)<sub>2</sub>] 2a,  $cis$ -[Ni( ${}^{i}(Pr_{2}$ - $\text{Im}^{\text{Me}}\text{)}_{2}(\text{Bpin})_{2}$ ] 2b, and cis-[Ni('Pr<sub>2</sub>Im<sup>Me</sup>)<sub>2</sub>(Beg)<sub>2</sub>] 2c.

reaction of such a mixture of 1 and 1a with  $B_2$ cat<sub>2</sub> at room temperature cleanly led to the formation of  $\textit{cis}\text{-}[\textit{Ni}(^{\textit{i}}\textit{Pr}_{2}\textit{Im}^{\textit{Me}})_{2}(\cdot$ Bcat)<sub>2</sub>] 2a (Scheme 1), which is the first *cis-nickel* bis-boryl complex synthesized and isolated thus far. This complex was isolated as a pale brown solid in 58% yield and was characterized by IR- and NMR-spectroscopy, X-ray diffraction, and elemental analysis (vide infra).

If the reaction was carried out with either  $B_2pin_2$  or  $B_2eg_2$ instead of  $B_2$ cat<sub>2</sub>, it did not proceed quantitatively at room temperature, even if a large excess of the diboron(4) reagent was employed. In all cases, the reaction started at approximately 0 ° C, but did not proceed at lower temperatures. An increase of the temperature above room temperature rapidly led to a darkening of the reaction mixture with decomposition of the bis-boryl complexes, which is especially rapid for 2b and 2c. This behavior reflects that of copper $(i)$  boryl complexes, which easily decompose upon warming.<sup>20d-g</sup> The use of modified starting materials, such as the ethylene complex  $\left[\text{Ni}({}^{i}\text{Pr}_{2}\text{Im}^{\text{Me}})_{2}(\eta^{2}+\eta^{2}+\eta^{2})\right]$ 



Fig. 2 Molecular structures of cis-[Ni( ${}^{i}Pr_{2}$ Im<sup>Me</sup>)<sub>2</sub>(Bcat)<sub>2</sub>] 2a (top), cis- $[Ni('Pr<sub>2</sub>lm<sup>Me</sup>)<sub>2</sub>(Bpin)<sub>2</sub>]$  2b (bottom left), and cis- $[Ni('Pr<sub>2</sub>lm<sup>Me</sup>)<sub>2</sub>(Beg)<sub>2</sub>]$  2c (bottom right) in the solid state (ellipsoids shown at 50% probability level). Hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity. For selected bond lengths and angles see Table 1 and ESI Fig. S4–S6.†

 $C_2H_4$ ] **1b** or the cyclooctene (COE) complex  $\left[Ni(^iPr_2Im^{Me}\right]_2(\eta^2 - \eta^2)\right)$ COE)] 1c (see ESI†), was also unsuccessful for the bulk production of pure 2b and 2c. However, the formation of the bis-boryl complexes  $cis$  [Ni(<sup>t</sup>Pr<sub>2</sub>Im<sup>Me</sup>)<sub>2</sub>(Bpin)<sub>2</sub>] 2b and *cis*- $\left[\text{Ni}(^{i}\text{Pr}_{2}\text{Im}^{\text{Me}})_{2}(\text{Beg})_{2}\right]$  2c was clearly detected by NMR spectroscopy, and small amounts of these complexes suitable for X-ray diffraction crystallized from these reaction mixtures (Fig. 2). The bis-boryl complexes reveal different stabilities in solution. Whereas  $\textit{cis}\text{-}[\text{Ni}(\textsuperscript{i}\text{Pr}_{2}\text{Im}^{\text{Me}})_{2}(\text{Bpin})_{2}]$  2b was still detected in the reaction mixture in a solution kept at room temperature for one month, complexes 2a and 2c completely decompose in  $C_6D_6$ over a period of 6–14 days with formation of multiple, as yet unidentified, species.

Characteristic for complexes 2a–c is a broad resonance at 48.7 ppm (2a), 46.1 ppm (2b), and 46.5 ppm (2c) in the  $^{11}B(^{1}H)$ NMR spectrum (see Table 1), which is the region typically observed for transition metal boryl complexes,<sup>6</sup>  $c.f.$  47.0 ppm for *cis*-[Pt(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>(Bcat)<sub>2</sub>].<sup>13</sup> In the <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR spectra, the NHC carbene carbon resonances are also significantly shifted compared to those of the starting materials 1 (206.5 ppm) and 1a (205.4 ppm) to 194.3 ppm (2a), 199.4 ppm (2b), and 198.5 ppm  $(2c)$ . The complexes adopt *cis*-configurations in solution as their <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra indicate pseudo- $C_{2v}$  species with two resonances for the N-iso-propyl methyl protons (2a: 1.28 ppm and 1.45 ppm, 2b: 1.32 ppm and 1.69 ppm, 2c: 1.28 ppm and 1.58 ppm) and only one signal for the N-iso-propyl methine (2a: 6.05 ppm, 2b: 5.99 ppm, 2c: 6.04 ppm) and for the backbone methyl protons (2a: 1.63 ppm, 2b: 1.84 ppm, 2c: 1.78 ppm).

Crystals suitable for X-ray diffraction of 2a–c were obtained by storing the reaction mixtures in diethylether at −30 °C. Complexes  $2a-c$  crystallize in the triclinic space group  $\overline{P1}$  and adopt a distorted square planar geometry with cis-boryl ligands, as observed for platinum bis-boryl complexes  $cis$ -[Pt(PR<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>(B  ${OR}_{2}$ )<sub>2</sub>].<sup>6,11</sup> The Ni–C and Ni–B distances lie in a narrow range between 1.9092(18) Å and 1.9448(15) Å (see Table 1). We attribute the formation of *cis*-configured complexes to the strong  $trans\text{-influence}$  of the boryl ligands<sup>25</sup> and a remaining B-B interaction between the two boryl boron atoms (vide infra). This situation is similar to that observed previously for NHCstabilized bis-silyl and hydro–silyl complexes  $cis$ -[Ni(NHC)<sub>2</sub>(- $\text{SiR}_3$ )<sub>2</sub>] and cis-[Ni(NHC)<sub>2</sub>(H)(SiR<sub>3</sub>)].<sup>26</sup> The B-B separations of 2.156(3) Å (2a), 2.247(3) Å (2b), and 2.189(4) Å (2c) (see Table 1)

<code>Table 1 </code> <code>Important bond lengths, bond angles and chemical shifts of cis-[Ni( $^{\sf{In}_{\sf{P2}}$ Im $^{\sf{Me}}$ ) $_2$ (Bcat) $_2$ ]  $\bf{2a}$ , cis-[Ni( $^{\sf{In}_{\sf{P2}}$ ]  $^{\sf{Me}}$   $_2$  (Bpin) $_2$ ]  $\bf{2b}$ , cis-[Ni( $^{\sf{PPr}}$ 2-</code> Im<sup>Me</sup>)<sub>2</sub>(Beg)<sub>2</sub>] 2c, and [(PNP)Ni(Bcat)] V ( $\delta_B$  B(OR)<sub>2</sub> = <sup>11</sup>B{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR shift of the boron atoms,  $\delta_c$  NHC = <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR shift of the NHC carbene carbon atoms)

	$Ni-B(A)$	$B-B(A)$	$Ni-C(A)$	$B-Ni-B$ ( $\circ$ )	$\delta_{\rm B}$ $B(OR)_2$ (ppm)	$\delta_c$ NHC [ppm]
2a	1.9231(19)	2.156(3)	1.9393(16)	68.45(7)	48.7	194.3
	1.9092(18)		1.9448(15)			
2 <sub>b</sub>	1.936(2)	2.247(3)	1.9318(18)	70.82(8)	46.1	199.4
	1.942(2)		1.9185(17)			
2c	1.939(2)	2.189(4)	1.9180(15)	68.79(8)	46.5	198.5
	1.9353(19)		1.9265(17)			
$\mathbf{v}^{21a}$	1.9091(18)				47.0	

are much smaller than those observed for bis-boryl platinum complexes  $(2.451-2.667 \text{ Å})$ ,<sup>11-13</sup> consistent with small B1-Ni-B2 angles of 68.45(7) $\circ$  (2a), 70.82(8) $\circ$  (2b), and 68.79(8) $\circ$  (2c).

Thus, the B–B distances are only 0.478 Å  $(2a)$ , 0.540 Å  $(2b)$ , and 0.485 Å (2c) longer than those in the solid state molecular structures of  $B_2$ cat<sub>2</sub> (1.678(3) Å),  $B_2$ pin<sub>2</sub> (1.707(5) Å), and  $B_2$ eg<sub>2</sub>  $(1.704(3)$  Å).<sup>23d,27</sup> The BO<sub>2</sub> planes of both boryl ligands are nearly perpendicular to the  $\text{NiC}_2\text{B}_2$  square plane with angles of 87.85(7)<sup>o</sup> and 86.21(6)<sup>o</sup> (2a), 88.41(9)<sup>o</sup> and 88.07(9)<sup>o</sup> (2b), and 85.85(10) $^{\circ}$  and 85.30(10) $^{\circ}$  (2c). Thus, the structures are best represented by valence tautomer B in Scheme 2, which lies inbetween the limiting structures of a  $Ni(<sub>II</sub>)$  bis-boryl complex A and a Ni $(0)$  diborane $(4)$  complex C, *i.e.*, incomplete oxidative addition with a residual  $B\cdots B$  interaction. This is reminiscent of "non-classical"  $H_2$  complexes. As the Ni-B distances of 1.9231(19) Å and 1.9092(18) Å (2a), 1.936(2) Å and 1.942(2) Å (2b), and 1.939(2) Å and 1.9353(19) Å are of similar magnitude as those observed in  $[(PNP)Ni(Bcat)]$  V  $(1.9091(18)$  Å)<sup>21*a*</sup> and [(PBP)Ni(Bcat)] VI (1.942(2) Å; 2.015(2) Å),<sup>21d</sup> which feature 2center-2-electron Ni–B bonds, the oxidative addition is nearly complete. Chemical Science<br>
Surgeon Caster (Latitude on 27 Article). The contrast article is licensed under a control of the signal control of the signal commons are the signal commons are the signal commons are the signal commons

This situation is closely related to that observed for the paramagnetic cobalt complexes  $[Co(PMe<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>(Bcat)<sub>2</sub>]$  (B-B: 2.185 Å, B-Co-B: 67.9(4)<sup>o</sup>) and *mer*-[Co(PMe<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>(Bcat)<sub>3</sub>] (B-B: 2.1541(5) Å, B-Co-B: 65.78(1) $\circ$ ), which also feature two Bcat ligands with short B-B distances.<sup>19a,g</sup> DFT calculations on *mer*- $[Co(PMe<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>( Bcat$ <sub>3</sub>] revealed bond critical points at the Co–B vector with substantial electron densities and a bond critical point along the B–B vector, which was characterized by a substantial electron density associated with a much smaller yet positive Laplacian compared to the Co–B bond. It was concluded that mer-  $[Co(PMe<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>(Bcat)<sub>3</sub>]$  maintains a degree of B-B interaction, which is essential for the stabilization of this boryl complex.

The preference for isomer **B** to describe the bonding situation of the NiB<sub>2</sub> motif in 2a–c is also supported by DFT computations on complex 2a. Inspection of the canonical Kohn–Sham molecular orbitals of 2a reveals that the HOMO (Fig. 3a) is mainly composed of a combination of 3d orbitals of nickel that expands across the B–B bonding region. Accordingly, a Mayer bond order (MBO)<sup>28</sup> of 0.451 is found for the B-B bond, whereas the corresponding MBOs of the Ni–B bonds are 0.711 each. These findings strongly suggest that a delocalized, multicenter bonding scheme dictates the bonding situation of the  $NiB<sub>2</sub>$  moiety. This picture is corroborated by further calculations based on the intrinsic bond orbital (IBO) approach.<sup>29</sup>



Е

 $\epsilon$ 



Fig. 3 (a) HOMO of 2a (isovalue: 0.03 au). The Ni–B and B–B Mayer bond orders of 2a are shown in the top right box. (b) and (c) Intrinsic bond orbitals of 2a involved in the bonding of the NiB<sub>2</sub> motif. Numerical values indicate the fraction of electrons of the doubly occupied orbital assigned to the individual atoms. Level of theory: PBE0-D3(BJ)/def2-SVP/def2-TZVP(Ni).<sup>30</sup> Hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity

Analysis of the IBOs of 2a indicates that two doubly occupied IBOs are participating in the  $NiB<sub>2</sub>$  bonding. The first orbital (Fig. 3b) is mainly localized at the B–B bonding region, with partial delocalization on the Ni center and across the Ni–B bonds. In contrast, the second orbital (Fig. 3c) is mostly localized across the Ni–B bonds, but with a larger contribution coming from the Ni center. From the IBO point of view, the bonding situation of the  $NiB<sub>2</sub>$  motif is better described as composed of two three-center two-electron (3c,2e) bonds. Taken together, these results are in accordance with the analysis based on the X-ray structures of 2a–c and support the multicenter bonding situation depicted in isomer B.

### Nickel-catalyzed borylation of alkynes

Bis-boryl complexes are regarded as the key intermediates for the catalytic diboration of alkynes in platinum chemistry.<sup>11</sup>–<sup>13</sup> It has been demonstrated that complexes  $cis$ -[Pt(PR<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>(Bcat)<sub>2</sub>] or synthetic equivalents for  $[Pt(PR<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>]$  are highly active catalyst precursors for the cis-stereospecific diboration of alkynes and 1,3-diynes. In the platinum system, phosphine dissociation is a critical step in the catalytic cycle (see ESI Fig. S1†), which includes formation of the bis-boryl complex  $cis$ -[Pt(PR<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>(B  ${OR}_{2}$ ] from the catalyst precursor and subsequent phosphine dissociation to give sterically and electronically unsaturated  $[Pt(PR<sub>3</sub>)(B{OR}<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>].$  This complex can add the alkyne, and insertion of the alkyne into the Pt–B ${OR}_{2}$  bond and reductive elimination lead to the corresponding *cis-alkene-1,2*bis(boronate) ester with regeneration of  $[Pt(PR<sub>3</sub>)]$  or  $[Pt(PR<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>]$ . DFT calculations have shown that similar  $Pd(0)$  complexes cannot catalyze the alkyne diboration due to differences in the oxidative addition step of the B–B bond of the diborane to  $[M(\text{PR}_3)_2]$ <sup>12d</sup> Although the kinetic barrier is lower, the addition is endothermic for the palladium complex and thus the addition product is not stable due to a very small reverse barrier. For the diboration of alkynes using  $B_2$ pin<sub>2</sub> as the boron source, the

optimized phosphine:platinum ratio was later shown to be 1 : 1, with catalyst activity being strongly dependent on the nature of the phosphine.<sup>13b</sup> Sterically bulky, strong electron donors, such as PCy3, allowed diborations to be performed at ambient temperatures. Thus, the isolable and stable compound  $[Pt(PCy<sub>3</sub>)(\eta<sup>2</sup>-C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>]$  was shown to be an excellent catalyst precursor for alkyne diboration even at room temperature.<sup>13b</sup> We were thus interested to see whether our nickel complexes are also able to catalyze this reaction.

Catalytic reactions were typically carried out in Youngs tap-NMR tubes using different internal and terminal alkynes (see Table 2). As standard reaction conditions, 4 mol% of  $\mathrm{[Ni(^iPr_2$]}$  $Im^{Me}$ <sub>2</sub>] (the mixture of 1 and 1a was used directly) and equimolar amounts of alkyne and  $B_2$ cat<sub>2</sub> were reacted using  $C_6D_6$  as the solvent at 50 °C. Reaction progress was monitored via  ${}^{1}\mathrm{H}$ and  $^{11}{\rm B} \{ ^1{\rm H} \}$  NMR spectroscopy. After completion, the resulting products were identified by NMR spectroscopy and GC/MS analysis of the reaction mixture. Internal alkynes led selectively to the quantitative formation of the cis-1,2-diborylalkenes  $Z$ -(Bcat)(Ph)C=C(Ph)(Bcat) 3,  $Z$ -(Bcat)(4-Me-C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>)C=C(4-Me- $C_6H_4$ )(Bcat) 4, Z-(Bcat)(4-CF<sub>3</sub>-C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>)C=C(4-CF<sub>3</sub>-C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>)(Bcat) 5, Z- $(Bcat)(C_3H_7)C=C(C_3H_7)(Bcat)$  6, and Z- $(Bcat)(Me)C=$ C(Ph)(Bcat) 7. However, for the synthesis of 3, 4, and 5, a higher catalyst loading of 10 mol% was necessary to reach full conversion as the catalyst is deactivated by transfer of the NHC ligands to the borylation product to yield the corresponding mono NHC-adducts (vide infra). The NHC adduct of compound 4, Z- $\text{(Bcat)}\text{(4-Me-C}_6\text{H}_4\text{)C} = \text{C}\text{(4-Me-C}_6\text{H}_4\text{)Bcat} \cdot \text{(}^i\text{Pr}_2\text{Im}^{\text{Me}}\text{)}$  4<sup>NHC</sup>, was isolated and characterized separately by the reaction of 4 with one equivalent of  ${}^{i}\mathrm{Pr}_{2}\mathrm{Im}^{\mathrm{Me}}$  (see Scheme 3).

The reaction of aryl substituted terminal alkynes also led to the formation of the cis-1,2-diborylalkenes  $E$ -(Bcat)HC=C(Ph)(Bcat) 9,  $E$ -(Bcat)HC=C(4-Me-C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>)(Bcat) 10 and  $E$ -(Bcat)HC=C(4-<sup>t</sup>Bu- $C_6H_4$ )(Bcat) 11, but after consumption of the alkyne, approximately 40% unreacted  $B_2$ cat<sub>2</sub> was always detected besides the 1,2-diborylalkene products. Analysis of the reaction mixtures via high resolution mass spectrometry revealed that alkyne cyclotrimerization products and different partially borylated coupling products were formed as side-products, which are hard to identify via NMR spectroscopy (see ESI, Fig. S72-S83†). Note that the use of more than one equivalent of the alkynes inhibits the borylation, so that no transformation at all was observed when 4 equivalents of the alkynes were used.

Compared to the well-established platinum catalysts, $12,13$  our nickel complex shows very good activity towards internal alkynes under mild conditions. Only the mono-phosphine platinum complexes reported by Marder et al.<sup>13b</sup> show a higher efficiency, as they catalyze the diboration at room temperature with a low catalyst loading. For terminal alkynes, the platinum diphosphine complexes and, especially, the palladium NHC complex  $[\text{Pd}(\text{Me}_2\text{Im}^{\text{Me}})_2(\eta^2\text{-PhC}\text{)\equiv\text{CPh}}]$  reported by Navarro et al., deliver higher yields  $(79-95%)$ .<sup>31</sup> Interestingly, the reactions of alkyl substituted 1-pentyne or TMS-substituted N,N-dimethyl-4-[(trimethylsilyl)-ethynyl] aniline led to new, previously unknown reaction products. The borylation of 1-pentyne selectively afforded the C–C coupled borylation products  $Z$ , $Z$ -(Bcat)HC=C(C<sub>3</sub>H<sub>7</sub>)–(C<sub>3</sub>H<sub>7</sub>)C=

Table 2 Scope of the borylation of internal and terminal alkynes



 $^a$  Reaction conditions:  $\mathrm{[Ni(^{i}\mathrm{Pr}_2\mathrm{Im}^{\mathrm{Me}})_2]}$  1/1a (4 mol%), alkyne (1.0 equiv),  $B_2$ cat<sub>2</sub> (1.0 equiv),  $C_6D_6$  (0.6 mL), 50 °C (if not otherwise stated). Products after total consumption of the alkynes, monitored by NMR and GC/MS. Yields are combined yields of the products and were estimated by <sup>1</sup>H-NMR with respect to the consumption of  $B_2$ cat<sub>2</sub>. estimated by <sup>1</sup>H-NMR with respect to the consumption of B<sub>2</sub>cat<sub>2</sub>. b [Ni(<sup>t</sup>Pr<sub>2</sub>Im<sup>Me</sup>)<sub>2</sub>] 1/1a (10 mol% needed for completion). <sup>c</sup> Excess of alkyne (>4 equiv). Products after total consumption of  $B_2$ cat<sub>2</sub>.  $d$   $B_2$ cat<sub>2</sub> (2 equiv).

CH(Bcat) 12a (for proof of connectivity see ESI Fig.  $S18\dagger$ ) and E/  $Z, E/Z$ -(Bcat)HC=C(C<sub>3</sub>H<sub>7</sub>)–HC=C(Bcat)(C<sub>3</sub>H<sub>7</sub>) 12b in a 3:2 ratio, according to NMR and GC/MS analysis. An excess of 1 pentyne (4 equiv.) was needed to reach full consumption of  $B_2$ cat<sub>2</sub>. On the other hand, the borylation of the TMSsubstituted alkyne selectively afforded the formation of polyborylated  $(4\text{-}NMe<sub>2</sub>-C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>)(Bcat)(TMS)C-C(Bcat)<sub>3</sub>$  13. In this



Scheme 3 Deactivation of the catalyst and independent synthesis of Z-(Bcat)(4-Me-C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>)C==C(4-Me-C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>)(Bcat) $\cdot$  (<sup>'</sup>Pr<sub>2</sub>Im<sup>Me</sup>) 4<sup>NHC</sup>. For selected bond lengths and angles in  $4^{NHC}$  see Fig. S17 in the ESI.<sup>†</sup>

case, 2 equivalents of  $B_2$ cat<sub>2</sub> were needed for a full conversion and the TMS-group undergoes a formal 1,2-shift. Insertion of transition metal complexes into  $C(sp)$ –SiMe<sub>3</sub> bonds of alkynyl silanes were observed previously and are well explored in platinum chemistry.<sup>13a,32</sup> C(sp)-Si cleavage of Ar-C≡C-SiMe<sub>3</sub> should result in the formation of TMS–Bcat and Ar–C $\equiv$ C–Bcat, which can react together in a transition metal-catalyzed reaction. The resulting product can then be diborated to yield different isomers  $Ar-C_2(Bcat)_4(SiMe_3)$ , which have been observed for platinum-catalyzed reactions.<sup>33</sup> Therefore, the high yield synthesis of 13 is remarkable.

As we observed the formation of coupled and tetra-borylated products 12a/b and 13, we had a closer look at the catalytic borylation reaction of the internal alkyne 2-butyne, which is another special case (Scheme 4).

The reaction of 2-butyne with  $\rm B_2cat_2$  and  $\rm [Ni(^iPr_2Im^{Me})_2]$  as a catalyst afforded three different reaction products depending on the reaction conditions used, namely  $Z$ -(Bcat)(Me)C= C(Me)(Bcat) 8,  $(Bcat)_2$ (Me)C–C(Me)(Bcat)<sub>2</sub> 8a or E,E-(Bcat)(Me)



Scheme 4 Borylation of 2-butyne yielding  $Z-(Bcat)(Me)C=C(Me)(B-C)$ cat) 8, (Bcat)<sub>2</sub>(Me)C-C(Me)(Bcat)<sub>2</sub> 8a or E,E-(Bcat)(Me)C=C(Me)-(Me)  $C=C(Me)(Bcat)$  8b, depending on the stoichiometry used.

 $C=C(Me)-(Me)C=C(Me)(Bcat)$  8b (compare Scheme 4). The products obtained were often mixtures which cannot be separated by column chromatography, but the product ratios can be controlled to some extent via the ratio of alkyne to  $B_2$ cat<sub>2</sub> employed. The reaction of one equivalent of 2-butyne with a slight excess of  $\rm B_2cat_2$  and 4 mol% of  $\rm [Ni(^iPr_2Im^{Me})_2](1$  and  $\bf 1a)$ in  $C_6D_6$  was monitored by <sup>1</sup>H- and <sup>11</sup>B{<sup>1</sup>H}-NMR spectroscopy, which showed complete consumption of the alkyne and  $B_2$ cat<sub>2</sub> after 3 h at 50 °C. NMR spectroscopy and GC/MS analysis of the final reaction products revealed the selective formation of the bis-borylated product  $Z$ -(Bcat)(Me)C=C(Me)(Bcat) 8 as the main product and traces of tetra-borylated product  $(Bcat)_2(Me)C C(Me)(Bcat)_2$  8a in a combined quantitative yield. If 2 equivalents of  $B_2$ cat<sub>2</sub> were used, 8a was formed exclusively in quantitative yields. Applying a large excess of 2-butyne (>4 equiv.) led to a mixture of 8, 8a and 8b, with 8b being the main product, after full consumption of the diboron reagent (4d, rt). To our knowledge, the formation of compounds 8a and 13 are the only examples for tetra-borylation of alkynes, beside the Pt-catalyzed tetra-borylation of  $(Bcat)C\equiv C(Bcat)$  to yield hexa-borylated ethane  $(Bcat)_3C-C(Bcat)_3$ , which was reported by Siebert et al. in 1999.<sup>34</sup> Products 8b and 12a/b are very rare examples for a combined one-step coupling and borylation of alkynes, which was first described by Marder et al., who observed small amounts of coupling products (via GC/MS) during the borylation of phenylacetylene with their platinum-catalyst.<sup>13a</sup> In recent years, Buñuel and Cárdenas et al. reported some closely related nickel-catalyzed borylative cyclization reactions of enynes and allenynes using either HBpin or  $B_2$ pin<sub>2</sub> as the boron source.<sup>35</sup> Chemical Science<br>
Comparison Commons Commons Article. Published on 27 January 2023. Download article is licensed under detection of the commons are entired under the commons are entired under the commons are entired under

In our case, the use of alternative diboron sources  $B_2pin_2$ ,  $B_2$ eg<sub>2</sub> and  $B_2$ neop<sub>2</sub> did not achieve borylation at all or showed large quantities of byproducts from oligomerization reactions. We attribute this lack of reactivity to the fact that  $B_2$ cat<sub>2</sub> is the most Lewis acidic diborane(4) under consideration and therefore the most reactive of the diboron reagents used.<sup>36</sup> Furthermore, we have shown recently that bis-NHC adducts of the type  $(NHC)_2 \cdot B_2(OR)_4$  are sources of boryl radicals of the type NHC–  $BR_2$ , exemplified by  $Me_2Im^{Me}$  Bneop' (Me<sub>2</sub>Im<sup>Me</sup> = 1,3,4,5tetramethyl-imidazolin-2-ylidene, neop = neopentylglycolato), which can be used for the transition metal- and additive-free boryl transfer to aryl iodides and bromides giving aryl boronate esters.<sup>37</sup><sup>a</sup> We also reported the related phosphine-catalyzed hydroboration of 1,3-diynes with pinacolborane that affords  $(E)$ -1-boryl-1,3-enynes and proceeds with excellent selectivity for boron addition to the external carbon of the 1,3-diyne framework.<sup>37b</sup> According to our control experiments, the free carbene  ${}^{i}Pr_{2}Im^{Me}$  is not a good catalyst for the borylation of alkynes.

#### Mechanistic investigations – experimental

Interestingly, no major differences in catalytic activity were observed using the nickel alkene complexes  $1a-c$ , [Ni( ${}^{i}Pr_{2}$ -Im<sup>Me</sup>)<sub>2</sub>( $\eta^2$ -MeC $\equiv$ CMe)] 14a, [Ni(<sup>t</sup>Pr<sub>2</sub>Im<sup>Me</sup>)<sub>2</sub>( $\eta^2$ -cis-(Bcat)(Me)  $C=C(Me)(Bcat)]$  15a (vide infra) or even the bis-boryl complex 2a as the catalyst precursor, as all of them appear to serve as a source of  $\left[Ni(^{i}Pr_{2}Im^{Me})_{2}\right]$ . We were also able to isolate

analytically pure compounds 4 (60% yield), 7 (65% yield), 8a (38% yield) and 13 (46% yield) from scaled-up reactions, allowing full characterization, including X-ray diffraction. Additionally, crystals of the compounds 3 (structures of 3 and 4 were reported earlier by Marder et  $al.13a,38$ , 5, 8, and 8b were obtained by slow evaporation of the reaction mixtures (for details see ESI†).

To study the catalytic reaction of 2-butyne,  $B_2$ cat<sub>2</sub>, and  $\left[\text{Ni}({}^{i}\text{Pr}_{2}\text{Im}^{\text{Me}})_{2}\right]$  in more detail, we investigated several stoichiometric reactions. Interestingly, the reaction of *cis*-[Ni(<sup>t</sup>Pr<sub>2</sub>- $Im^{Me}$ <sub>2</sub>(Bcat)<sub>2</sub>) 2a with stoichiometric amounts of 2-butyne did not lead to the cis-alkene-1,2-bis(boronate) ester or to the exchange of the boryl ligands with the alkyne to form  $\left[Ni(^iPr_2\right]$ Im $^{\text{Me}}$ <sub>2</sub>( $\eta^2$ -MeC $\equiv$ CMe)] 14a.<sup>221</sup> Instead, the formation of small amounts of the  $\left[\text{Bcat}_2\right]^-$  anion, traces of a species which was later identified as  $\rm [Ni(^{i}Pr_{2}Im^{Me})_{2}(\eta^{2}\textrm{-}cis\textrm{-}(Bea t)(Me)C\!=\!C(Me)(B\cdot$ cat))] 15a, and the slow formation of hexamethylbenzene was detected via NMR spectroscopy. Following the complete consumption of 2-butyne after  $ca.$  20 h, complex 2a began to decompose. Although we did not observe the formation of alkyne complex 14a, the formation of hexamethylbenzene, especially at higher temperatures, suggests that the boryl ligands of 2a are labile via B–B reductive elimination and exchange with the alkyne. However, the reaction of the alkyne complex  $\rm [Ni(^{'p}r_{2} Im^{Me})_{2} (\eta^{2}\text{-}MeC\text{ }\equiv\text{CMe})]$  14a<sup>221</sup> with B<sub>2</sub>cat<sub>2</sub> led to the isolation of the complex of the cis-alkene-1,2-bis(boronate) ester  $\left[\text{Ni}({}^{i}\text{Pr}_{2}\text{Im}^{\text{Me}})_{2}(\eta^{2}\text{-}cis\text{-}(Bcat)(\text{Me})\text{C}\text{=}C(\text{Me})(\text{Bcat})\right]$  15a (Scheme 5). This contrasts with the platinum phosphine system, for which Iverson and Smith demonstrated previously that the stoichiometric reaction of  $[Pt(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>(\eta<sup>2</sup>$  $H_7C_3C\equiv CC_3H_7$ ] with  $B_2cat_2$  yields the bis-boryl complex  $[Pt(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>(Bcat)<sub>2</sub>]$  with extrusion of free alkyne (Scheme 5).<sup>11a</sup> We verified this reactivity by using the octyne complex  $\text{[Ni} \text{('Pr}_2 \text{Im}^{\text{Me}})_{2}(\eta^2 \text{-H}_7 \text{C}_3 \text{C} \text{)} \text{= C} \text{C}_3 \text{H}_7 \text{]}$  14b, which led to the isolation of  $\rm [Ni(^{i}Pr_{2}Im^{Me})_{2}$ ( $\eta^{2}\text{-}cis$ -(Bcat)(H<sub>7</sub>C<sub>3</sub>)C=C(C<sub>3</sub>H<sub>7</sub>)(Bcat))] 15**b** (Scheme 5). These borylation reactions of the alkyne coordinated at nickel are quantitative if performed in an NMR tube. Edge Article Chemistry is the state of the state of

Complexes 15a and 15b were isolated as orange to brown solids and were completely characterized using IR- and NMR-



Scheme 5 Reactivity of NHC nickel alkyne complexes and platinum phosphine alkyne complexes with  $B_2$ cat<sub>2</sub>.

spectroscopy, elemental analysis, and X-ray diffraction. The reduction of symmetry on going from 2a (pseudo- $C_{2v}$ ) to 15a and **15b** (pseudo- $C_s$ ) is reflected in the resonances in the <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C { 1 H} NMR spectra of these complexes, which are doubled. The olefinic carbon atoms of the alkene ligand were not detected in the  ${}^{13}C{^1H}$  NMR spectra due to the quadrupolar coupling to boron, but were assigned from an HMBC spectrum to be at 40.0 ppm (15a) and at 47.3 ppm (15b). One broad resonance was observed at 33.3 ppm (15a) and 31.9 ppm (15b) for the boryl substituents in the  ${}^{11}B_1{}^{1}H$ } NMR spectrum, which are clearly distinct from the resonance of 2a at 48.7 ppm.

Crystals of 15a and 15b suitable for X-ray diffraction were obtained from saturated hexane solutions of the compounds at −30 °C (Fig. 4). The complexes crystallize in the monoclinic space groups  $P2_1/c$  (15a) and  $P2_1/n$  (15b). Both complexes adopt a pseudo-trigonal planar structure with  $Ni-C<sub>NHC</sub>$  distances of 1.9454(14)–1.9560(13) Å in a typical range.<sup>22</sup> The C3–C4 distances of the coordinated alkene of  $1.453(2)$  Å  $(15a)$  and 1.4550(17) Å (15b) are in line with those of coordinated olefins reported previously<sup>22a,j</sup> and are much larger compared to those of alkyne complexes ( $c.f.$  14a: 1.285(2) Å).<sup>221</sup> Both olefin ligands are distorted in such a way that one of the electron-deficient boryl substituents can interact with the electron-rich nickel center (see ESI Fig. S15 and S16†), which results in very different Ni $\cdots$ B distances of 2.3694(16) Å (Ni1–B2) and 3.0525(19) Å (Ni1– B1) for complex 15a and 2.3376(14) Å (Ni1–B2) and 3.0262(14) Å (Ni1–B1) for complex 15b, respectively. The formation of 15a and 15b indicates that the catalytic bis-borylation of alkynes at  $d^{10}$ -[Ni(<sup>i</sup>Pr<sub>2</sub>Im<sup>Me</sup>)<sub>2</sub>] most likely proceeds via a different mechanistic pathway than reported previously for the  $d^{10}$ -[PtL<sub>n</sub>] platinum system. However, the addition of 2-butyne to 15a did not lead to the extrusion of the borylation product and regeneration of the alkyne complex 14a even at higher temperatures, but to formation of hexamethylbenzene.

### Mechanistic investigations – DFT calculations

By combining the results obtained from stoichiometric reactions with additional DFT computations, we were able to rationalize the formation of the borylated products 8, 8a, and 8b from 2-butyne,  $B_2$ cat<sub>2</sub>, and  $[Ni(^iPr_2Im^{Me})_2]$ . Our proposed



Fig. 4 Molecular structures of  $[Ni('Pr<sub>2</sub> Im<sup>Me</sup>)<sub>2</sub>(\eta<sup>2</sup>-cis-(Bcat)(Me)C=$  $C(Me)(Bcat))$ ] 15a (left) and  $[Ni(^iPr_2 Im^{Me})_2(\eta^2-cis-(Bcat)(H_7C_3)C=$  $C(C_3H_7)(Bcat)$ ] 15b (right) in the solid state (ellipsoids shown at 50% probability level). Hydrogen atoms have been omitted for clarity. For selected bond lengths and angles see ESI Fig. S15 and S16.†



Scheme 6 Proposed catalytic cycle for the formation of 8. Reaction free energies (kcal mol $^{-1}$ ) calculated at the DFT level are shown in red, while energy barriers are shown in green (see ESI† for more details).

catalytic cycles are shown in Schemes 6 (bis-borylation), 7 (tetraborylation), and 8 (alkyne-coupling); for a comparison to the well-known platinum catalysis see ESI, Fig. S1.† Initially,  $\left[\text{Ni}({}^{i}\text{Pr}_{2}\text{Im}^{\text{Me}})_{2}\right]$  1 reacts with 2-butyne to form the alkyne complex  $\mathrm{Pr}_2 \mathrm{Im}^{\mathrm{Me}}$ <sub>2</sub> $(\eta^2\text{-}\mathrm{MeC}\equiv\mathrm{CMe})$ ] 14a ( $\Delta G_1$  =  $-13.7$  kcal mol<sup>-1</sup>), which is competitive to the reaction with  $B_2$ cat<sub>2</sub> ( $\Delta G_1$ ' = −17.5 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup>).

The next steps leading to  $\rm [Ni(^iPr_2Im^{Me})_2(\eta^2\text{-}cis\text{-}(Bcat)(Me)C\text{=}$ C(Me)(Bcat))] 15a are crucial to understand the formation of new tetra-borylation and alkyne-coupling products and the different reaction pathways the system can enter. A detailed energy profile of the mechanistic pathway for the addition of  $B<sub>2</sub>cat<sub>2</sub>$  to the alkyne complex 14a to yield 15a is therefore presented in Fig. 5. The DFT calculations show that the barrier for the direct addition of  $B_2$ cat<sub>2</sub> to the coordinated alkyne is too high in energy (∆ $G^{\ddagger} = +32.0$  kcal mol $^{-1}$ , see **TS1'** in Fig. 5). Interestingly, oxidative addition of  $B_2$ cat<sub>2</sub> to the alkyne complex 14 to yield a nickel( $\rm w$ ) intermediate is sterically hindered and thus also out of reach. Alternatively, 15a is formed by insertion of B<sub>2</sub>cat<sub>2</sub> into a Ni–C bond maintaining the B–B bond ( $\Delta G^{\ddagger}$  = +13.7 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup>). This  $\sigma$ -complex assisted methathesis ( $\sigma$ -CAM)-type<sup>39</sup> insertion leads to a five-membered NiC<sub>2</sub>B<sub>2</sub> intermediate B that exergonically isomerizes to a relatively stable nickel monoboryl vinyl complex I1 ( $\Delta G = -16.6$  kcal mol<sup>-1</sup>). The next step of the sequence is reductive elimination of the vinyl and the boryl substituent of I1 to yield the complex of the  $cis$ -alkene-1,2-bis(boronate) ester  $(\text{Pr}_2 \text{Im}^{\text{Me}})_2(\eta^2\text{-}cis\cdot$  $(Bcat)(Me)C=C(Me)(Bcat))$ ] 15a. The barrier to pass transition state TS3 yielding the thermodynamically highly favored product 15a is calculated to be  $\Delta G^{\ddagger} = +14.7$  kcal mol<sup>-1</sup> and, thus, in accordance with our experimental findings and surmountable under our reaction conditions. The direct release of bis-borylation product 8 from 15a is rather endergonic ( $\Delta G$  = +19.6 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup>) and, therefore, we propose that in the next Chemical Science<br>
Max-axis Article. Published on 27 January 2023. Downloaded on 27 January 2023. Downloaded on 27 January 2023. The common Commons Commons are common at the common and spin common and spin common attention



Fig. 5 Free energy profile of the proposed mechanistic pathway for the addition of B<sub>2</sub>cat<sub>2</sub> to the alkyne complex [Ni(<sup>'</sup>Pr<sub>2</sub>|m<sup>Me</sup>)<sub>2</sub>(n<sup>2</sup>-MeC=CMe)]  $14a$  to yield  ${\rm [Ni(Pr_2/m^{Me})_2(n^2-cis-(Bcat)(Me)C=C(Me)(Bcat)]}$  15a. Black lines connect the preferred pathway, while dashed lines indicate a pathway involving a high-energy transition state TS1′. Calculated free energies (kcal mol<sup>−1</sup>) are at the SMD(benzene)/PBE0-D3(BJ)/def2-TZVPP//PBE0-D3(BJ)/def2-SVP/def2-TZVP(Ni) level of theory. Hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity.



Scheme 7 Proposed catalytic cycle for the formation of 8a. Reaction free energies (kcal mol $^{-1}$ ) calculated at the DFT level are shown in red, while energy barriers are shown in green (see ESI† for more details).

step an NHC is transferred from 15a to another  $B_2$ cat<sub>2</sub> molecule (Scheme 6,  $\Delta G_4$  = +3.4 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup>). This leads to the mono-NHC intermediate I2. Addition of an alkyne to I2 leads to I3 and is slightly exergonic ( $\Delta G_5 = -4.7$  kcal mol<sup>-1</sup>). The release of 8 from I3 is then mediated by a transfer of the NHC ligand from the ligand-activated  $B_2$ cat<sub>2</sub> species, whose step is endergonic by  $\Delta G_6$  = +7.2 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup> and regenerates 14a and B<sub>2</sub>cat<sub>2</sub>.

The catalytic cycle leading to the tetra-borylated product 8a is shown in Scheme 7. The mono-NHC intermediate I2 can react

with B<sub>2</sub>cat<sub>2</sub> leading exergonically to **I4** ( $\Delta G_7 = -5.4$  kcal mol<sup>-1</sup>). This species can undergo facile B–B bond dissociation and formation of the nickel monoboryl species I5 ( $\Delta G_8$  = +5.6 kcal mol−<sup>1</sup> ), where the other boryl group is transferred to the alkene moiety. Although a transition state for this reaction step was not successfully found despite our best efforts, relaxed scan calculations revealed that the energy barrier for this transformation is around 9 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup> (see Fig. S91 in the ESI†).

The release of the tetra-borylated product 8a ( $\Delta G_9$  = −1.8 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup>) is then mediated by the NHC-activated B<sub>2</sub>cat<sub>2</sub> species, with further regeneration of  $B_2$ cat<sub>2</sub> and 1.

We found that the formation of the alkyne coupling product 8b can occur via two competitive pathways, starting either from nickel monoboryl vinyl complex I1 (see blue cycle in Scheme 8) or from 15a (see pink cycle in Scheme 8). Assuming that bisborylation is very fast and all  $B_2$ cat<sub>2</sub> has been consumed, 15a can transfer an NHC to the product 8 (NHC-prod,  $\Delta G_{10}$  = +3.7 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup>), which would again lead to I2. As already discussed, this intermediate can be converted to I3 after addition of an alkyne.

Details of the alkyne coupling step going from I3 to I8 are shown in Fig. 6. We propose that the alkyne coupling can start from I3 with insertion of 2-butyne into the Ni–C bond of the coordinated cis-alkene-1,2-bis(boronate) ester, which leads to I6  $(\Delta G_{11} = +2.0 \text{ kcal mol}^{-1})$ , a bis-borylated unsymmetrical metallacyclopentene, energetically close in energy to I3. I6 then undergoes a subsequent 1,3-shift of a boryl group leading to the symmetrical metallacyclopentene complex I7 ( $\Delta G_{12}$  =  $-14.1$  kcal mol<sup>-1</sup>). This concerted 1,3-shift of the boryl



Scheme 8 Proposed catalytic cycles for the formation of 8b. Reaction free energies (kcal mol<sup>−1</sup>) calculated at the DFT level are shown in red, while energy barriers are shown in green (see ESI<sup>†</sup> for more details).



Fig. 6 Free energy profile of the proposed mechanistic pathway from I3 to I8. Calculated free energies (kcal mol<sup>-1</sup>) are at the SMD(benzene)/ PBE0-D3(BJ)/def2-TZVPP//PBE0-D3(BJ)/def2-SVP/def2-TZVP(Ni) level of theory, and are referenced to the resting state  $14a + C_2Me_2 +$ B<sub>2</sub>cat<sub>2</sub>. Hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity.

substituent via TS4 is associated with a relatively low barrier  $(\Delta G^\ddagger = +18.5$  kcal mol $^{-1}$ ). The resulting metallacyclopentene complex I7 rearranges to a 1,4-bis-boryl butadiene complex I8, which is stabilized by a mono-NHC nickel moiety ( $\Delta G_{13}$  = +8.0 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup>). The release of 8**b** is then facilitated by recoordination of an NHC to the Ni center  $(\Delta G_{14}$  = +2.6 kcal mol−<sup>1</sup> ) regenerating complex 1.

Following the blue reaction path of Scheme 8, dissociation of an NHC from I1 (and/or transfer to any boron compound) leads to the mono-NHC-stabilized boryl species **I9** ( $\Delta G_{15}$  = <sup>−</sup>5.0 kcal mol−<sup>1</sup> considering NHC dissociation), a step which is well known from platinum chemistry (see ESI Scheme S1†). Surprisingly, formation of I9 from I1 is exergonic even considering just the dissociation of the NHC ligand. This happens because the formally three-coordinate Ni center of I9 is



Fig. 7 Free energy profile of the proposed mechanistic pathway from I1 to I8. Calculated free energies (kcal mol<sup>-1</sup>) are at the SMD(benzene)/ PBE0-D3(BJ)/def2-TZVPP//PBE0-D3(BJ)/def2-SVP/def2-TZVP(Ni) level of theory, and are referenced to the resting state  $14a + C_2Me_2 +$ B<sub>2</sub>cat<sub>2</sub>. Hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity.

stabilized by interaction with an oxygen atom of the Bcat group (Ni $\cdots$ O contact in the optimized structure: 2.056 Å). However, reductive elimination of the cis-alkene-1,2-bis(boronate) ester from I9 is high in energy and interception of this intermediate by another alkyne gives a mixed substituted alkyne boryl vinyl complex. All attempts to optimize this latter structure failed, as the Bcat group at nickel undergoes immediately facile transfer to the vinyl moiety so that reductive elimination to form intermediate I3 takes place. On the other hand, insertion of the coordinated alkyne into the Ni–B bond of I9 yields the nickel bis(vinyl) complex **I10** ( $\Delta G_{16} = +0.3$  kcal mol<sup>-1</sup>). Subsequent C–C reductive elimination from I10 via TS5 (Fig. 7,  $\Delta G^{\ddagger}$  = +24.7 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup>) yields the NHC-Ni butadiene **I8** ( $\Delta G_{18}$  =  $-9.1$  kcal mol<sup>-1</sup>), which releases the product **8b**, similarly as computed for the other pathway. The results indicate that both pathways are competitive and accessible under the reaction conditions employed, with a preference for the pink cycle due to its lower energy barrier. Chemical Science<br>
Common Bio by the comparison with an oxygen article. The common article is a manifestation of the intermediation of the common and the common a

## **Conclusions**

It has been shown over the last decades that transition metal poly-boryl complexes play pivotal roles and are key intermediates in many borylation processes. Such complexes were typically associated with noble metals but, as first-row d-block metals are less toxic, less expensive, Earth-abundant, and environmentally benign, they are very attractive alternatives to these expensive noble metals. Nickel boryl complexes are generally considered to be elusive, in contrast to other 3dmetals such as iron, cobalt, or copper. We report herein the first nickel bis-boryl complexes  $\emph{cis}\text{-}\mathrm{[Ni(^{\text{i}}Pr_{2}\mathrm{Im}^{\mathrm{Me}})_{2}\text{(Bcat)}_{2}\text{]}}$  2a,  $\emph{cis}\text{-}$  $\rm{[Ni(^{\it i}Pr_{2}Im^{Me})_{2}(Bpin)_{2}]}$   $\bf{2b}$  and  $\it{cis}$   $\rm{[Ni(^{\it i}Pr_{2}Im^{Me})_{2}(Beg)_{2}]}$   $\bf{2c},$  which can be synthesized from the reaction of a source of  $[Ni({}^{i}Pr_{2})]$  $\text{Im}^{\text{Me}}_{2}]$  with the diboron(4) compounds B<sub>2</sub>cat<sub>2</sub>, B<sub>2</sub>pin<sub>2</sub>, and B<sub>2</sub>eg<sub>2</sub>. Key to the successful synthesis was the choice of the NHC used, showing the right stereo-electronic properties. Whereas  $\textit{cis}\text{-}\left[\text{Ni}\left(\text{^{7}Pr}_{2}\text{Im}^{\text{Me}}\right)_{2}\right]$  (Beat)<sub>2</sub>] 2a was isolated as a pale brown solid in 58% yield, the reaction with either  $B_2$ pin<sub>2</sub> or  $B_2$ eg<sub>2</sub> instead of  $B_2$ cat<sub>2</sub> did not proceed quantitatively at room temperature, as observed by NMR spectroscopy. X-ray diffraction studies on 2a– c and DFT calculations on 2a suggest that a delocalized, multicenter bonding scheme best describes the bonding situation of the  $NiB<sub>2</sub>$  moiety in these complexes, which is reminiscent of the bonding situation in "non-classical"  $H_2$  complexes.

We also demonstrate that  $\rm{[Ni(^{\it i}Pr_{2}Im^{Me})_{2}]}$  catalyst precursors provide excellent catalytic activity for the diboration of alkynes under mild conditions, using  $B_2$ cat<sub>2</sub> as the boron source. Beside the well-known cis-alkene-1,2-bis(boronate) esters, the formation of C–C coupled borylation products such as 8b, 12a, and 12b as well as tetra-borylated products such as 8a and 13 were observed or produced as main products of the reaction, which significantly expands the (poly)borylation of alkynes and the scope of accessible boron compounds for further transformations. Therefore, we demonstrated that these 3d metal catalysts provide the potential for new selectivities for the borylation of alkynes compared to the well-established catalysts.

# Edge Article Chemical Science

Mechanistic investigations supported by DFT calculations revealed significant differences between our NHC nickel system and the well-established platinum-phosphine chemistry. The formation of borylated alkene  $\pi$ -complexes 15a and 15b as catalytic intermediates is crucial to understand the new catalytic pathway and the formation of new borylation products. Further studies concerning the reactivity of nickel bis-boryl complexes are currently under investigation.

# Data availability

Additional data and spectra, crystallographic data, NMR spectra, details on the DFT calculations and Cartesian coordinates of the DFT optimized structures can be found in the ESI.†

# Author contributions

L. T., U. R., T. B. M., and F. F. conceived the project. L. T. performed all the experiments. F. F. performed the quantum chemical calculations. L. T., F. F., T. B. M., and U. R. wrote the manuscript. All authors discussed the results and contributed to the final manuscript.

# Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

## Acknowledgements

U.R. and T.B.M. thank the Julius-Maximilians-Universität Würzburg for financial support. F.F. thanks Dr Tim Kinnear and the Centre for Astrophysics and Planetary Science of the University of Kent for providing additional high performance computing resources. We thank AllyChem Co. Ltd. for a generous gift of diboron $(4)$  reagents.

# References

1 (a) H. C. Brown, Science, 1980, 210, 485–492; (b) N. Miyarura, Top. Curr. Chem., 2002, 219, 11–59; (c) Boron compounds, Science of Syntheses, ed. D. E. Kaufmann and D. S. Matteson, Georg Thieme Verlag, Stuttgart, 2005, vol. 6; (d) Boronic Acids-Preparation and Applications in Organic Synthesis, Medicine and Materials, ed. D. G. Hall, Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, Germany, 2nd edn, 2011; (e) E. C. Neeve, S. J. Geier, I. A. I. Mkhalid, S. A. Westcott and T. B. Marder, Chem. Rev., 2016, 116, 9091–9161; (f) M. Wang and Z. Shi, Chem. Rev., 2020, 120, 7348–7398; (g) Y.-M. Tian, X.-N. Guo, H. Braunschweig, U. Radius and T. B. Marder, Chem. Rev., 2021, 121, 3561–3597; (h) S. K. Bose, L. Mao, L. Kuehn, U. Radius, J. Nekvinda, W. L. Santos, S. A. Westcott, P. G. Steel and T. B. Marder, Chem. Rev., 2021, 121, 13238– 13341; (i) J. Hu, M. Ferger, Z. Shi and T. B. Marder, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2021, 50, 13129–13188; (j) S. Manna, K. K. Das, S. Nandy, D. Aich, S. Paul and S. Panda, Coord. Chem. Rev., 2021, 448, 214165.

- 2 (a) T. Ishiyama, M. Murata and N. Miyaura, J. Org. Chem., 1995, 60, 7508-7510; (b) L. T. Pilarski and K. J. Szabó, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2011, 50, 8230–8232; (c) M. Murata, Heterocycles, 2012, 85, 1795–1819; (d) C. M. Vogels and S. A. Westcott, ChemCatChem, 2012, 4, 47–49; (e) W. K. Chow, O. Y. Yuen, P. Y. Choy, C. M. So, C. P. Lau, W. T. Wong and F. Y. Kwong, RSC Adv., 2013, 3, 12518– 12539; (f) K. Kubota, H. Iwamoto and H. Ito, Org. Biomol. Chem., 2017, 15, 285–300; (g) Y. P. Budiman, S. A. Westcott, U. Radius and T. B. Marder, Adv. Synth. Catal., 2021, 363, 2224–2255.
- 3 (a) I. Beletskaya and A. Pelter, Tetrahedron, 1997, 53, 4957– 5026; (b) V. Lillo, A. Bonet and E. Fernández, Dalton Trans., 2009, 2899–2908; (c) J. Takaya and N. Iwasawa, ACS Catal., 2012, 2, 1993–2006; (d) H. Wen, G. Liu and Z. Huang, Coord. Chem. Rev., 2019, 386, 138–153; (e) W. Fan, L. Li and G. Zhang, J. Org. Chem., 2019, 84, 5987–5996; (f) O. Salvadó and E. Fernández, Molecules, 2020, 25, 1758;  $(g)$ X. Wang, Y. Wang, W. Huang, C. Xia and L. Wu, ACS Catal., 2021, 11, 1–18.
- 4 (a) T. B. Marder and N. C. Norman, Top. Catal., 1998, 5, 63– 73; (b) R. Barbeyron, E. Benedetti, J. Cossy, J.-J. Vasseur, S. Arseniyadis and M. Smietana, Tetrahedron, 2014, 70, 8431–8452; (c) H. Yoshida, ACS Catal., 2016, 6, 1799–1811; (d) E. Buñuel and D. J. Cárdenas, Eur. J. Org. Chem., 2016, 5446–5464; (e) M. B. Ansell, O. Navarro and J. Spencer, Coord. Chem. Rev., 2017, 336, 54–77; (f) F. Zhao, X. Jia, P. Li, J. Zhao, Y. Zhou, J. Wang and H. Liu, Org. Chem. Front., 2017, 4, 2235–2255; (g) E. Buñuel and D. J. Cárdenas, Chem.-Eur. J., 2018, 24, 11239-11244; (h) J. Carreras, A. Caballero and P. J. Perez, Chem.–Asian J., 2019, 14, 329–343. Edge Article<br>
Mechanistic interigrations appointed by DT calculations  $\frac{1}{2}$  Open Access Article is determined the properties are complexes As a media by the method on the published interigration.<br>
formularities interi
	- 5 (a) T. Ishiyama and N. Miyaura, J. Organomet. Chem., 2003, 680, 3–11; (b) I. A. I. Mkhalid, J. H. Barnard, T. B. Marder, J. M. Murphy and J. F. Hartwig, Chem. Rev., 2010, 110, 890– 931; (c) J. F. Hartwig, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2011, 40, 1992-2002; (d) J. F. Hartwig, Acc. Chem. Res., 2012, 45, 864–873; (e) A. Ros, R. Fernández and J. M. Lassaletta, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2014, 43, 3229–3243; (f) J. F. Hartwig, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2016, 138, 2–24; (g) Y. Ping, L. Wang, Q. Ding and Y. Peng, Adv. Synth. Catal., 2017, 359, 3274–3291; (h) T. Gensch, M. J. James, T. Dalton and F. Glorius, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2018, 57, 2296–2306; (i) Y. Shi, Q. Gao and S. Xu, Synlett, 2019, 30, 2107-2112; (j) E. Fernandez, Top. Organomet. Chem., 2021, 69, 207–225.
	- 6 (a) G. J. Irvine, M. J. Lesley, T. B. Marder, N. C. Norman, C. R. Rice, E. G. Robins, W. R. Roper, G. R. Whittell and L. J. Wright, Chem. Rev., 1998, 98, 2685–2722; (b) H. Braunschweig, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 1998, 37, 1786– 1801; (c) H. Braunschweig and M. Colling, Coord. Chem. *Rev.*, 2001, 223, 1-51; (d) S. Aldridge and D. L. Coombs, Coord. Chem. Rev., 2004, 248, 535–559; (e) H. Braunschweig, C. Kollann and D. Rais, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2006, 45, 5254–5274; (f) D. L. Kays and S. Aldridge, Struct. Bond., 2008, 130, 29–122; (g) U. Kaur, K. Saha, S. Gayen and S. Ghosh, Coord. Chem. Rev., 2021, 446, 214106.
- 7 (a) T. Ishiyama and N. Miyaura, J. Organomet. Chem., 2000, 611, 392–402; (b) N. Miyaura, Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn., 2008, 81, 1535–1553; (c) L. Dang, Z. Lin and T. B. Marder, Chem. Commun., 2009, 3987–3995; (d) X. Guo, T. Yang, F. K. Sheong and Z. Lin, ACS Catal., 2021, 11, 5061–5068.
- 8 (a) P. Nguyen, H. P. Blom, S. A. Westcott, N. J. Taylor and T. B. Marder, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1993, 115, 9329–9330; (b) R. T. Baker, J. C. Calabrese, S. A. Westcott, P. Nguyen and T. B. Marder, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1993, 115, 4367–4368; (c) K. Burgess, W. A. Van der Donk, S. A. Westcott, T. B. Marder, R. T. Baker and J. C. Calabrese, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1992, 114, 9350–9359.
- 9 (a) T. Ishiyama, J. Takagi, K. Ishida, N. Miyaura, N. R. Anastasi and J. F. Hartwig, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2002, 124, 390–391; (b) J.-Y. Cho, M. K. Tse, D. Holmes, R. E. Maleczka Jr and M. R. Smith III, Science, 2002, 295, 305–308; (c) M. A. Larsen and J. F. Hartwig, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2014, 136, 4287–4299.
- 10 (a) A. K. Cook, S. D. Schimler, A. J. Matzger and M. S. Sanford, Science, 2016, 351, 1421–1424; (b) K. T. Smith, S. Berritt, M. Gonzalez-Moreiras, S. Ahn, M. R. Smith, M.-H. Baik and D. J. Mindiola, Science, 2016, 351, 1424–1427; (c) S. Ahn, D. Sorsche, S. Berritt, M. R. Gau, D. J. Mindiola and M.-H. Baik, ACS Catal., 2018, 8, 10021–10031.
- 11 (a) C. N. Iverson and M. R. Smith III, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1995, 117, 4403–4404; (b) C. Borner and C. Kleeberg, Eur. J. Inorg. Chem., 2014, 2486–2489.
- 12 (a) T. Ishiyama, N. Matsuda, N. Miyaura and A. Suzuki, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1993, 115, 11018–11019; (b) T. Ishiyama, N. Matsuda, M. Murata, F. Ozawa, A. Suzuki and N. Miyaura, Organometallics, 1996, 15, 713–720; (c) C. N. Iverson and M. R. Smith, Organometallics, 1996, 15, 5155–5165; (d) Q. Cui, D. G. Musaev and K. Morokuma, Organometallics, 1998, 17, 742–751.
- 13 (a) G. Lesley, P. Nguyen, N. J. Taylor, T. B. Marder, A. J. Scott, W. Clegg and N. C. Norman, Organometallics, 1996, 15, 5137– 5154; (b) R. Ll. Thomas, F. E. S. Souza and T. B. Marder, Dalton Trans., 2001, 1650–1656.
- 14 (a) T. Ishiyama and N. Miyaura, Chem. Rec., 2004, 4, 271–280; (b) M. W. Carson, M. W. Giese and M. J. Coghlan, Org. Lett., 2008, 10, 2701–2704; (c) K. Yavari, S. Moussa, B. Ben Hassine, P. Retailleau, A. Voituriez and A. Marinetti, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2012, 51, 6748–6752; (d) Q.-X. Lin and T.-L. Ho, Tetrahedron, 2013, 69, 2996–3001; (e) M. W. Carson, J. G. Luz, C. Suen, C. Montrose, R. Zink, X. Ruan, C. Cheng, H. Cole, M. D. Adrian, D. T. Kohlman, T. Mabry, N. Snyder, B. Condon, M. Maletic, D. Clawson, A. Pustilnik and M. J. Coghlan, J. Med. Chem., 2014, 57, 849–860; (f) J. Yang, M. Chem, J. Ma, W. Huang, H. Zhu, Y. Huang and W. Wang, J. Mater. Chem. C, 2015, 3, 10074–10078.
- 15 (a) C. Kleeberg, L. Dang, Z. Lin and T. B. Marder, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2009, 48, 5350–5354; (b) C.-T. Yang, Z.-Q. Zhang, H. Tajuddin, C.-C. Wu, J. Liang, J.-H. Liu, Y. Fu, M. Czyzewska, P. G. Steel, T. B. Marder and L. Liu, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2012, 51, 528–532; (c) S. K. Bose, S. Brand, H. O. Omoregie, M. Haehnel, J. Maier,

G. Bringmann and T. B. Marder, ACS Catal., 2016, 6, 8332– 8335; (d) D. Hemming, R. Fritzemeier, S. A. Westcott, W. L. Santos and P. G. Steel, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2018, 47, 7477–7494; (e) L. Kuehn, M. Huang, U. Radius and T. B. Marder, Org. Biomol. Chem., 2019, 17, 6601–6606; (f) B. S. Takale, R. R. Thakore, E. Etemadi-Davan and B. H. Lipshutz, Beilstein J. Org. Chem., 2020, 16, 691–737; (g) A. Whyte, A. Torelli, B. Mirabi, A. Zhang and M. Lautens, ACS Catal., 2020, 10, 11578–11622.

- 16 (a) J. Zhou, M. W. Kuntze-Fechner, R. Bertermann, U. S. D. Paul, J. H. Berthel, A. Friedrich, Z. Du, T. B. Marder and U. Radius, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2016, 138, 5250–5253; (b) Y.-M. Tian, X.-N. Guo, M. Kuntze-Fechner, I. Krummenacher, H. Braunschweig, U. Radius, A. Steffen and T. B. Marder, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2018, 140, 17612– 17623; (c) M. W. Kuntze-Fechner, H. Verplancke, L. Tendera, M. Diefenbach, I. Krummenacher, H. Braunschweig, T. B. Marder, M. C. Holthausen and U. Radius, Chem. Sci., 2020, 11, 11009–11023; (d) L. Kuehn, D. G. Jammal, K. Lubitz, T. B. Marder and U. Radius, Chem.–Eur. J., 2019, 25, 9514–9521; (e) Y.-M. Tian, X.-N. Guo, I. Krummenacher, Z. Wu, J. Nitsch, H. Braunschweig, U. Radius and T. B. Marder, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2020, 142, 18231–18242; (f) Y.-M. Tian, X.-N. Guo, Z. Wu, A. Friedrich, S. A. Westcott, H. Braunschweig, U. Radius and T. B. Marder, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2020, 142, 13136–13144. Chemical Science<br>  $7 (a)$ T. Kahyama, atto Xomon, Access Article. Published on 12/28. Maximum and T. B. Marticle 2024, 2024, 2024, 2024, 2024, 2024, 2024, 2024, 2024, 2024, 2024, 2024, 2024, 2024, 2024, 2024, 2024, 2024, 20
	- 17 The reaction of  $[Ni(Cy_2Im)_2(Ar)Cl]$  with stoichiometric amounts of  $B_2$ pin<sub>2</sub> at room temperature led to a resonance at 44.5 ppm in the  $^{11}B{^1H}$  NMR spectrum of the reaction mixture which indicated the formation of a nickel-boryl complex, see the ESI† of ref. 16 d.
	- 18 (a) J. F. Hartwig and S. Huber, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1993, 115, 4908–4909; (b) X. He and J. F. Hartwig, Organometallics, 1996, 15, 400–407; (c) K. M. Waltz, C. N. Muhoro and J. F. Hartwig, Organometallics, 1999, 18, 3383–3393; (d) S. Aldridge, R. J. Calder, R. E. Baghurst, M. E. Light and M. B. Hursthouse, J. Organomet. Chem., 2002, 649, 9–14; (e) A. Rossin, S. Aldridge and L.-l. Ooi, Appl. Organomet. Chem., 2005, 19, 181–182; (f) T. J. Mazzacano and N. P. Mankad, Chem. Commun., 2015, 51, 5379–5382; (g) R. B. Bedford, P. B. Brenner, E. Carter, T. Gallagher, D. M. Murphy and D. R. Pye, Organometallics, 2014, 33, 5940–5943; (h) T. Dombray, C. G. Werncke, S. Jiang, M. Grellier, L. Vendier, S. Bontemps, J.-B. Sortais, S. Sabo-Etienne and C. Darcel, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2015, 137, 4062– 4065; (i) L. Vondung, N. Frank, M. Fritz, L. Alig and R. Langer, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2016, 55, 14450–14454; (j) K. Nakajima, T. Kato and Y. Nishibayashi, Org. Lett., 2017, 19, 4323–4326; (k) T. Kato, S. Kuriyama, K. Nakajima and Y. Nishibayashi, Chem.–Asian J., 2019, 14, 2097–2101.
	- 19 (a) C. Dai, G. Stringer, J. F. Corrigan, N. J. Taylor, T. B. Marder and N. C. Norman, J. Organomet. Chem., 1996, 513, 273–275; (b) C. J. Adams, R. A. Baber, A. S. Batsanov, G. Bramham, J. P. Charmant, M. F. Haddow, J. A. K. Howard, W. H. Lam, Z. Lin, T. B. Marder, N. C. Norman and A. G. Orpen, Dalton Trans., 2006, 1370–

1373; (c) J. V. Obligacion, S. P. Semproni and P. J. Chirik, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2014, 136, 4133–4136; (d) J. V. Obligacion, S. P. Semproni, I. Pappas and P. J. Chirik, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2016, 138, 10645–10653; (e) S. M. Rummelt, H. Zhong, N. G. Léonard, S. P. Semproni and P. J. Chirik, Organometallics, 2019, 38, 1081–1090; (f) R. Arevalo, T. P. Pabst and P. J. Chirik, Organometallics, 2020, 39, 2763–2773; (g) W. Drescher, D. Schmitt-Monreal, C. R. Jacob and C. Kleeberg, Organometallics, 2020, 39, 538–543.

- 20 (a) D. S. Laitar, P. Müller and J. P. Sadighi, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2005, 127, 17196–17197; (b) K. Semba, M. Shinomiya, T. Fujihara, J. Terao and Y. Tsuji, Chem.–Eur. J., 2013, 19, 7125–7132; (c) C. M. Wyss, J. Bitting, J. Bacsa, T. G. Gray and J. P. Sadighi, Organometallics, 2016, 35, 71–74; (d) C. Borner, L. Anders, K. Brandhorst and C. Kleeberg, Organometallics, 2017, 36, 4687–4690; (e) C. Kleeberg and C. Borner, Organometallics, 2018, 37, 4136–4146; (f) W. Drescher and C. Kleeberg, Inorg. Chem., 2019, 58, 8215– 8229; (g) W. Drescher, C. Borner and C. Kleeberg, New J. Chem., 2021, 45, 14957-14964; (h) P. Ríos, M. S. See, R. C. Handford, S. J. Teat and T. D. Tilley, Chem. Sci., 2022, 13, 6619–6625; (i) P. M. Rutz, J. Grunenberg and C. Kleeberg, Organometallics, 2022, 41, 3044. Edge Article Chemistra, articles. Published on 27 January 2023. Downloaded on 27 January 2022, 27 January 2023. Downloaded on 27 January 2023. Downloaded on 27 January 2024, 17 January 2024, 17 January 2024, 17 January 20
	- 21 (a) D. Adhikari, J. C. Huffman and D. J. Mindiola, Chem. Commun., 2007, 4489–4491; (b) B. L. Tran, D. Adhikari, H. Fan, M. Pink and D. J. Mindiola, Dalton Trans., 2010, 39, 358–360; (c) T.-P. Lin and J. C. Peters, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2014, 136, 13672-13683; (d) N. Curado, C. Maya, J. López-Serrano and A. Rodríguez, Chem. Commun., 2014, 50, 15718-15721; (e) P. Ríos, J. Borge, F. Fernández de Córdova, G. Sciortino, A. Lledós and A. Rodríguez, Chem. Sci., 2021, 12, 2540–2548; (f) F. W. Seidel and K. Nozaki, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2022, 61, e202111691.
	- 22 (a) T. Schaub and U. Radius, Chem.–Eur. J., 2005, 11, 5024– 5030; (b) T. Schaub, M. Backes and U. Radius, Organometallics, 2006, 25, 4196–4206; (c) U. S. D. Paul, C. Sieck, M. Hähnel, K. Hammond, T. B. Marder and U. Radius, Chem.–Eur. J., 2016, 21, 11005–11014; (d) U. S. D. Paul and U. Radius, Chem.–Eur. J., 2017, 23, 3993– 4009; (e) U. S. D. Paul and U. Radius, Organometallics, 2017, 36, 1398–1407; (f) J. H. J. Berthel, M. W. Kuntze-Fechner and U. Radius, Eur. J. Inorg. Chem., 2019, 2618– 2623; (g) J. H. J. Berthel, L. Tendera, M. W. Kuntze-Fechner, L. Kuehn and U. Radius, Eur. J. Inorg. Chem., 2019, 3061–3072; (h) J. H. J. Berthel, M. J. Krahfuss, U. Radius and Z. Anorg, Allg. Chem., 2020, 646, 692–704; (i) M. J. Krahfuss, J. Nitsch, F. M. Bickelhaupt, T. B. Marder and U. Radius, Chem.–Eur. J., 2020, 26, 11276–11292; (j) L. Tendera, T. Schaub, M. J. Krahfuss, M. W. Kuntze-Fechner and U. Radius, Eur. J. Inorg. Chem., 2020, 3194– 3207; (k) S. Sabater, D. Schmidt, H. Schmidt nee Schneider, M. Kuntze-Fechner, T. Zell, C. J. Isaac, H. Grieve, W. J. M. Blackaby, J. P. Lowe, S. A. Macgregor, M. F. Mahon, F. M. Miloserdov, N. Rajabi, U. Radius and M. K. Whittlesey, Chem.–Eur. J., 2021, 27, 13221–13234; (l) L. Tendera, M. Helm, M. J. Krahfuss, M. W. Kuntze-

Fechner and U. Radius, Chem.–Eur. J., 2021, 27, 17849– 17861.

- 23 (a) S. Würtemberger-Pietsch, U. Radius and T. B. Marder, Dalton Trans., 2016, 45, 5880–5895; (b) S. Pietsch, U. Paul, I. A. Cade, M. J. Ingleson, U. Radius and T. B. Marder, Chem.–Eur. J., 2015, 21, 9018–9021; (c) S. Würtemberger-Pietsch, H. Schneider, T. B. Marder and U. Radius, Chem.– Eur. *J.*, 2016, 22, 13032-13036; (d) M. Eck S. Würtemberger-Pietsch, A. Eichhorn, J. H. J. Berthel, R. Bertermann, U. S. D. Paul, H. Schneider, A. Friedrich, C. Kleeberg, U. Radius and T. B. Marder, Dalton Trans., 2017, 46, 3661–3680.
- 24 (a) H. Clavier and S. P. Nolan, Chem. Commun., 2010, 46, 841–861; (b) S. Felten, S. F. Marshall, A. J. Groom, R. T. Vanderlinden, R. M. Stolley and J. Louie, Organometallics, 2018, 37, 3687–3697.
- 25 (a) W. Clegg, F. J. Lawlor, G. Lesley, T. B. Marder, N. C. Norman, A. G. Orpen, M. J. Quayle, C. R. Rice, A. J. Scott and F. E. S. Souza, J. Organomet. Chem., 1998, 550, 183–192; (b) J. Zhu, Z. Lin and T. B. Marder, Inorg. Chem., 2005, 44, 9384–9390; (c) H. Braunschweig, P. Brenner, A. Müller, K. Radacki, D. Rais and K. Uttinger, Chem.–Eur. J., 2007, 13, 7171–7176.
- 26 (a) T. Zell, T. Schaub, K. Radacki and U. Radius, Dalton Trans., 2011, 40, 1852–1854; (b) C. Hauf, J. E. Barquera-Lozada, P. Meixner, G. Eickerling, S. Altmannshofer, D. Stalke, T. Zell, D. Schmidt, U. Radius and W. Scherer, Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem., 2013, 639, 1996–2004; (c) D. Schmidt, T. Zell, T. Schaub and U. Radius, Dalton Trans., 2014, 43, 10816–10827.
- 27 W. Clegg, M. R. J. Elsegood, F. J. Lawlor, N. C. Norman, N. L. Pickett, E. G. Robins, A. J. Scott, P. Nguyen, N. J. Taylor and T. B. Marder, Inorg. Chem., 1998, 37, 5289– 5293.
- 28 (a) I. Mayer, Chem. Phys. Lett., 1983, 97, 270–274; (b) I. Mayer, Int. J. Quantum Chem., 1984, 26, 151–154.
- 29 G. Knizia, J. Chem. Theory Comput., 2013, 9, 4834–4843.
- 30 (a) M. Ernzerhof and G. E. Scuseria, J. Chem. Phys., 1999, 110, 5029–5036; (b) C. Adamo and V. Barone, J. Chem. Phys., 1999, 110, 6158–6170; (c) S. Grimme, J. Antony, S. Ehrlich and H. Krieg, J. Chem. Phys., 2010, 132, 154104; (d) S. Grimme, S. Ehrlich and L. Goerigk, J. Comput. Chem., 2011, 32, 1456–1465; (e) F. Weigend and R. Ahlrichs, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys., 2005, 7, 3297–3305.
- 31 M. B. Ansell, V. H. Menezes da Silva, G. Heerdt, A. A. C. Braga, J. Spencer and O. Navarro, Catal. Sci. Technol., 2016, 6, 7461–7467.
- 32 See for example: $(a)$  J. E. Poist and C. S. Kraihanzel, *Chem.* Commun., 1968, 607–608; (b) Y. Okuda, Y. Ishiguro, S. Mori, K. Nakajima and Y. Nishihara, Organometallics, 2014, 33, 1878–1889.
- 33 (a) E. G. Robins, X. Liu, N. C. Norman and T. B. Marder, unpublished results;  $(b)$  E. G. Robins, PhD Thesis, Bristol University, 1997.
- 34 (a) M. Bluhm, A. Maderna, H. Pritzkow, S. Bethke, R. Gleiter and W. Siebert, Eur. J. Inorg. Chem., 1999, 1693–1700; (b) For a related tetra-borylation of  $(MeO)CH_2$ -CC-CH<sub>2</sub>(OMe) see:

H. Yoshida, S. Kawashima, Y. Takemoto, K. Okada, J. Ohshita and K. Takaki, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2012, 51, 235–238.

- 35 (a) N. Cabrera-Lobera, M. T. Quirós, W. W. Brennessel, M. L. Neidig, E. Buñuel and D. J. Cárdenas, Org. Lett., 2019, 21, 6552-6556; (b) N. Cabrera-Lobera, M. T. Quirós, E. Buñuel and D. J. Cárdenas, Catal. Sci. Technol., 2019, 9, 1021-1029; (c) I. Manjón-Mata, M. T. Quirós, E. Velasco-Juárez, E. Buñuel and D. J. Cárdenas, Adv. Synth. Catal., 2022, 364, 1716–1723. Chemical Science<br>
I. Yoshida, S. Kawashima, Y. Takemoo, K. Olada, 37 Jell, Kuchi, Leopf, L. Wenner, M. Sang, S. Wirtemberger<br>
J. Ophothis article. The Article is general commons Article is likensed by the commercial streng
	- 36 L. Dang, H. Zhao, Z. Lin and T. B. Marder, Organometallics, 2008, 27, 1178–1186.
- 37 (a) L. Kuehn, L. Zapf, L. Werner, M. Stang, S. Würtemberger-Pietsch, I. Krummenacher, H. Braunschweig, E. Lacôte, T. B. Marder and U. Radius, Chem. Sci., 2022, 13, 8321– 8333; (b) S. Jos, C. Szwetkowski, C. Slebodnick, R. Ricker, K. L. Chan, W. C. Chan, U. Radius, Z. Lin, T. B. Marder and W. L. Santos, Chem.–Eur. J., 2022, 28, e202202349.
- 38 W. Clegg, A. J. Scott, G. Lesley, T. B. Marder and N. C. Norman, Acta Crystallogr. C, 1996, 52, 1991–1995.
- 39 R. N. Perutz and S. Sabo-Etienne, Angew. Chem. Int., Ed., 2007, 46, 2578–2592.