

RSC Chemical Biology

Accepted Manuscript

This article can be cited before page numbers have been issued, to do this please use: T. Corner, E. Saleh, A. Tumber, L. Brewitz and C. J. Schofield, *RSC Chem. Biol.*, 2024, DOI: 10.1039/D4CB00311J.



This is an Accepted Manuscript, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. We will replace this Accepted Manuscript with the edited and formatted Advance Article as soon as it is available.

You can find more information about Accepted Manuscripts in the [Information for Authors](#).

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard [Terms & Conditions](#) and the [Ethical guidelines](#) still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this Accepted Manuscript or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.

Biochemical investigations using mass spectrometry to monitor JMJD6-catalysed hydroxylation of multi-lysine containing bromodomain-derived substrates

Thomas P. Corner^{1,+,#}, Eidarus Salah^{1,+}, Anthony Tumber^{1,+}, Lennart Brewitz^{1,*}, and Christopher J. Schofield^{1,*}

¹Chemistry Research Laboratory, Department of Chemistry and the Ineos Oxford Institute for Antimicrobial Research, University of Oxford, 12 Mansfield Road, OX1 3TA, Oxford, United Kingdom.

*Email: lennart.brewitz@chem.ox.ac.uk, christopher.schofield@chem.ox.ac.uk

+These authors contributed equally to this work.

#Present Address: Department of Molecular, Cellular, and Developmental Biology, Yale University, New Haven, Connecticut 06511, United States of America.

Keywords

Jumonji-C domain-containing protein 6 / JMJD6 • 2-oxoglutarate / α -ketoglutarate dependent oxygenase • bromodomain-containing protein 4 / BRD4 • hypoxia sensing • cancer • enzyme kinetics • protein hydroxylation • post-translational protein modification

Abbreviations

BRD, bromodomain-containing protein; FIH, factor inhibiting hypoxia-inducible factor- α ; JmjC KDM, JmjC histone N^{ϵ} -methyl lysine demethylase; JMJD6, Jumonji-C domain-containing protein 6; 2OG, 2-oxoglutarate; LC-MS, liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry; NOG, *N*-oxalylglycine; PHD, prolyl hydroxylase domain-containing protein; SPE-MS, solid-phase extraction coupled to mass spectrometry.



Abstract

View Article Online
DOI: 10.1039/D4CB00311J

Jumonji-C domain-containing protein 6 (JMJD6) is a human 2-oxoglutarate (2OG)/Fe(II)-dependent oxygenase catalysing post-translational C5 hydroxylation of multiple lysine residues, including in the tandem bromodomain-containing proteins BRD2, BRD3 and BRD4. The role(s) of JMJD6-catalysed substrate hydroxylation are unclear. JMJD6 is important in development and JMJD6 catalysis may promote cancer. We report solid-phase extraction coupled to mass spectrometry assays monitoring JMJD6-catalysed hydroxylation of BRD2-4 derived oligopeptides containing multiple lysyl residues. The assays enabled determination of apparent steady-state kinetic parameters for 2OG, Fe(II), *L*-ascorbate, O₂ and BRD substrates. The JMJD6 K_m^{app} for O₂ was comparable to that reported for the structurally related 2OG oxygenase factor inhibiting hypoxia-inducible factor- α (FIH), suggesting potential for limitation of JMJD6 activity by O₂ availability in cells, as proposed for FIH and some other 2OG oxygenases. The new assays will help development of small-molecule JMJD6 inhibitors for functional assignment studies and as potential cancer therapeutics.

Introduction

Non-heme Fe(II)- and 2-oxoglutarate (2OG)-dependent oxygenases are ubiquitously distributed across almost all kingdoms of life.¹ They typically utilise dioxygen (O₂) and 2OG as co-substrates, and Fe(II) as a cofactor, to catalyse two electron oxidations of their 'prime' substrates.^{2, 3} The ~70 human 2OG oxygenases identified have important biological roles, including in hypoxia sensing,^{4, 5} collagen biosynthesis,⁶ epigenetic regulation,⁷ nucleic acid repair,⁸ and metabolism.^{9, 10} The largest subfamily of human 2OG oxygenases are the Jumonji-C domain-containing 2OG oxygenases (JmjC oxygenases),¹¹ the majority of which are histone *N*^ε-methyl lysyl demethylases (KDMs)¹² that catalyse *N*^ε-methyl lysyl residue demethylation via methyl group C-hydroxylation, leading to release of formaldehyde as a co-product.¹³ Some JmjC demethylases also catalyse *N*-methyl arginyl demethylations and, potentially, other reactions.¹⁴⁻¹⁷

JmjC oxygenases have been identified that catalyse protein and nucleic acid hydroxylations,^{18, 19} e.g., factor inhibiting hypoxia-inducible factor- α (FIH) which is reported to catalyse aspartate-,^{11, 20} asparagine-,^{21, 22} histidine-,²³ leucine-²⁴ and tryptophan-residue



hydroxylations.^{25, 26} Along with the non-JmjC 2OG-dependent hypoxia-inducible factor- α (HIF- α) prolyl hydroxylase domain-containing proteins 1-3 (PHD1-3), FIH is involved in regulating the cellular response to limiting O₂ availability (*i.e.*, hypoxia). FIH catalyses hydroxylation of a specific asparagine residue within the C-terminal activation domain (CTAD) of HIF- α isoforms (Asn803 in human HIF-1 α), a post-translational modification that hinders the interactions of α , β -HIF with histone acetyl transferases.^{4, 5} Severe hypoxia inhibits FIH catalysis, resulting in enhanced HIF- α CTAD-promoted expression of HIF target genes.^{4, 11} The kinetic and active site properties of FIH and the PHDs are proposed to reflect their roles as hypoxia sensors.²⁷⁻³⁰

Like FIH, Jumonji-C domain-containing protein 6 (JMJD6) is a JmjC oxygenase that catalyses post-translational protein hydroxylations; however, unlike FIH, at the C5 position of protein lysine residues to give products with the (2*S*,5*S*) configuration (**Figure 1a-c**).^{31, 32} Biochemical and cell-based studies have suggested that JMJD6 may also catalyse the *N*-demethylation of mono- and di-methylated arginine residues (**Figure 1d,e**),³³⁻³⁵ *e.g.*, within histones H3 and H4.^{36, 37} However, studies with isolated recombinant JMJD6 and *N,N*-dimethylarginine-bearing H3, H4 and HSP70 fragment peptides have been unable to support the proposed JMJD6 demethylase activity,^{31, 38-40} it has also been reported that *JMJD6* gene deletion does not affect histone H4 arginine-3 *N*-methylation levels in cells.⁴¹ Thus, the functional assignment of JMJD6 as a 'direct' *N*-methyl arginine demethylase requires further investigation.⁴⁰






Figure 1. The human 2-oxoglutarate (2OG)- and Fe(II)-dependent oxygenase Jumonji-C domain-containing protein 6 (JMJD6).

(a) View from a reported JMJD6₁₋₃₄₃:Fe:2OG complex structure (PDB ID: 6DGY³⁸), highlighting the secondary structure elements of JMJD6, *i.e.*, the double-stranded beta-helix (DSBH) core fold (magenta), the βVI-βV insert (green) and the C- and N-terminal extensions (blue and ochre, respectively). Roman numerals (I-VIII) correspond to the eight β-sheets of the DSBH fold. (b) Active site view of human JMJD6₁₋₃₄₃ (light-grey) in complex with 2OG (yellow) and Fe (orange). w: water. (c, d) JMJD6 is reported to catalyse (c) lysyl C5 hydroxylation,^{32, 38} and (d) the *N*-demethylation of mono- and symmetric/asymmetric di-methylated arginine residues.³⁶ (e) Selected reported JMJD6 substrate proteins.^{31, 33-36, 38, 42-46} See Supplementary Information for acronym definitions.

The available cellular evidence indicates that JMJD6 catalyses the hydroxylation of lysine residues in at least 50 proteins, including *e.g.*, histones,⁴⁷ splicing regulatory (SR) proteins (*e.g.*, U2AF65,³¹ LUC7L2,³¹ RBM39³⁸), the tumour suppressor protein p53,^{38, 43} the von Hippel-Lindau protein (pVHL),⁴¹ and bromodomain-containing protein 2 (BRD2), BRD3 and BRD4



(Figure 1e).⁴² Cellular studies have also revealed that many sites of JMJD6-catalysed lysine hydroxylation are located within protein domains that contain sequences enriched with multiple positively charged lysine and arginine residues.⁴² For instance, the unstructured lysine-rich basic residue enriched interaction domains (BIDs) of BRD2-4 have been shown to be extensively hydroxylated by JMJD6; 19 sites of JMJD6-catalysed lysine hydroxylation are reported on BRD4, including on adjacent residues.⁴² The observed apparent protein substrate promiscuity of JMJD6 is preceded by the many validated substrates of the JmjC hydroxylase FIH, which also catalyses hydroxylation of proximate residues in some proteins,^{30, 48} and those of the non-JmjC 2OG oxygenase aspartate/asparagine β -hydroxylase (AspH).^{22, 49} The apparent promiscuity of JMJD6, FIH, and AspH contrasts with the apparently narrow substrate scope of the human JmjC 2OG oxygenases JMJD5^{50, 51} and JMJD7,^{52, 53} and the non-JmjC 2OG-dependent ribosomal oxygenases MYC-induced nuclear antigen (MINA53) and nucleolar protein 66 (NO66).⁵⁴

The reported biological roles of JMJD6 are pleiotropic, possibly reflecting its broad substrate scope.⁵⁵ The deletion of the *JMJD6* gene in mice is associated with malformations of vital organs, causing peri- and neo-natal lethality, indicating that JMJD6 may be critical in organ development during embryogenesis.⁵⁶⁻⁶⁰ JMJD6 catalysis is also reported to regulate pre-mRNA splicing of multiple protein targets, including potentially itself,⁶¹ via SR protein hydroxylation.^{31, 38} Lysine residue hydroxylation of the SR protein U2AF65 by JMJD6 is proposed to modulate the alternative splicing of *inter alia* vascular endothelial growth factor receptor 1 (FLT1)⁴¹ and ferrochelatase (FECH).⁶² Cellular and animal model studies have indicated that JMJD6 may have roles in epigenetic regulation,³⁶ angiogenesis⁴⁴ and haematopoiesis.⁶³ Additionally, cellular studies have found that (aberrant) JMJD6 activity may promote cancer development and/or progression,^{43, 64-67} for instance, JMJD6-catalysed p53 hydroxylation is proposed to enhance colon carcinogenesis,⁴³ whilst JMJD6-catalysed hydroxylation of splicing regulatory proteins (*e.g.*, U2AF65) regulates expression of androgen receptor splice variant 7 (AR-V7), causing resistance to androgen receptor (AR) antagonists used for the treatment of prostate cancer.⁶⁷ JMJD6 is thus a current medicinal target for prostate cancer treatment.⁶⁷

The lysine-rich regions in several JMJD6 substrates are reported to be involved in intracellular condensate formation, including in BRD4.⁶⁸ JMJD6 is also proposed to regulate transcriptional



pause-release through its interactions with BRD4.³⁷ The extent of JMJD6-catalysed BRD4 hydroxylation has been observed to vary in an O₂ concentration-dependent manner in cells, suggesting the possibility that JMJD6 may be involved in control of intracellular partitioning processes and/or gene expression in response to hypoxia and/or additional physiological stresses.⁴² Notably, JMJD6 is up-regulated by hypoxia,⁴⁴ in a manner similar to that observed for the hypoxia sensing prolyl hydroxylases PHD2 and PHD3.^{69, 70}

Recently, we reported matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization mass spectrometry (MALDI-MS) end-point assays that enabled biochemical characterisation of the JMJD6-catalysed hydroxylation of multiple substrates, including histones H3 and H4, as well as the SR proteins U2AF65, LUC7L2 and RBM39.³⁸ However, the application of these JMJD6 assays for kinetic analyses, important to analyse the 2OG and O₂ dependence of JMJD6, and inhibition studies is limited by their requirement for relatively high enzyme (10 μM) and substrate concentrations (100 μM), and by their relatively low throughput.

By contrast with MALDI-MS, solid-phase extraction coupled to mass spectrometry (SPE-MS) enables direct, label-free quantification of enzyme catalysis, typically with high sensitivity and high signal-to-noise ratios; thus, relatively low concentrations of enzyme and substrate(s) are required.^{71, 72} The SPE-MS assay setup is semi-automated, enabling on-line measurement of enzymatic reaction progress. SPE-MS assays have been successfully employed in kinetic and inhibition studies of enzymes, including JmjC oxygenases, by measuring the mass shift associated with the hydroxylation (*i.e.*, +16 Da) or demethylation (*i.e.*, -14 Da) of peptide, small-molecule and polynucleotide substrates.^{49, 71-78}

Here, we report the development of SPE-MS assays that monitor the JMJD6-catalysed C5 lysyl hydroxylation of peptide fragments derived from the sequences of BRD2, BRD3 and BRD4.⁴² Studies using isotopically labelled ¹⁸O₂ gas revealed that oxygen incorporated into the hydroxylated BRD4 fragment peptide originates predominantly from atmospheric dioxygen. The assays were suitable for determining the kinetic parameters of JMJD6 for 2OG, Fe(II), L-ascorbate, O₂ and multi-lysine containing BRD2-4-derived oligopeptide substrates. Although further studies are required, the results indicate that, at least with the tested substrates, JMJD6 hydroxylation activity may be limited by O₂ availability in a manner similar to FIH.



Results and discussion

Development of SPE-MS assays for monitoring JMJD6 catalysis

To develop robust assays for the biochemical characterisation of JMJD6 catalysis, we initially investigated whether reported protein fragments used to investigate JMJD6-catalysed hydroxylation of SR proteins in MALDI-based assays,³⁸ *e.g.*, LUC7L2₂₆₇₋₂₇₈, U2AF65₃₀₋₄₆, CROP₃₁₁₋₃₂₅, SRSF11₂₈₆₋₂₉₈ and RBM39₃₁₋₄₂, are suitable substrates for SPE-MS assays. However, these peptides exhibited poor retention on SPE cartridges, likely due to their high basicity. We thus designed 40-mer peptide fragments with reduced basicity derived from homologous sequences (>75% sequence identity) within the lysine-rich BIDs of BRD2, BRD3 and BRD4, *i.e.*, BRD2₅₂₀₋₅₅₉ (LAELQEQLRAVHEQLAALSQGPISKPKRKREKKEKKEKKEK), BRD3₄₆₃₋₅₀₂ (LAELQEQLKAVHEQLAALSQAPVKNPKKKKKEKKEKKEK), and BRD4₅₁₁₋₅₅₀ (LAELQEQLKAVHEQLAALSQPQNKPKKKEKDKKEKKEK).⁴² These peptides were prepared by solid-phase peptide synthesis (SPPS) and tested for retention on SPE cartridges. The results revealed that all three BRD-derived peptides were efficiently retained on a C4 SPE cartridge, enabling their quantification using SPE-MS.

Time-course studies employing 0.05 μ M isolated recombinant JMJD6 (**Supplementary Figure S1**) and 2 μ M BRD2₅₂₀₋₅₅₉, BRD3₄₆₃₋₅₀₂ or BRD4₅₁₁₋₅₅₀ (*i.e.*, an enzyme/substrate ratio of: 1/40) confirmed that the three BRD-derived peptides are efficiently hydroxylated by isolated recombinant JMJD6 and that the +16 Da mass shift(s) associated with their hydroxylation(s) can be directly monitored using SPE-MS (**Figure 2a-f**). Importantly, no evidence for substrate oxidation (*i.e.*, +16 Da mass shifts) was accrued under the SPE-MS assay conditions in the absence of JMJD6. JMJD6 likely catalyses sequential hydroxylations of the BRD2₅₂₀₋₅₅₉, BRD3₄₆₃₋₅₀₂ and BRD4₅₁₁₋₅₅₀ substrates, as evidenced by the observation of up to three +16 Da mass shifts. During the initial time-course reactions, three hydroxylation products were observed for BRD2₅₂₀₋₅₅₉, and two hydroxylation products were observed for both BRD3₄₆₃₋₅₀₂ and BRD4₅₁₁₋₅₅₀ (**Figure 2**). These observations support the report that the BIDs of the BRD2-4 proteins are poly-hydroxylated by JMJD6 in cells.⁴²

The sum of the ion counts of the non-hydroxylated substrate peptides and the hydroxylated product peptides was approximately constant over time for all the three BRD-derived JMJD6 substrates investigated in this study (**Supplementary Figure S2**). This observation implies that



both substrates and products are retained on the SPE cartridge with approximately equal efficiencies under the employed conditions and that their ionisation properties are similar. Thus, JMJD6 catalysis can be quantified by direct comparison of the ion counts of substrate and product peptides, without the need for an internal standard in the assay mixture. Notably, the required JMJD6 and substrate concentrations used were 200- and 50-fold less than those used in the reported MALDI-TOF JMJD6 kinetic studies,³⁸ reflecting the high sensitivity of the SPE-MS JMJD6 assays.




Figure 2. JMJD6-catalysed hydroxylation of homologous 40mer peptides derived from bromodomain-containing proteins BRD2, BRD3, and BRD4.

(a-c) Red MS spectra: JMJD6-catalysed hydroxylation after 10 min of (a) $\text{BRD2}_{520-559}$, (b) $\text{BRD3}_{463-502}$, and (c) $\text{BRD4}_{511-550}$. Black MS spectra: no enzyme controls. (d-f) JMJD6-catalysed hydroxylation of (d) $\text{BRD2}_{520-559}$, (e) $\text{BRD3}_{463-502}$, and (f) $\text{BRD4}_{511-550}$



showing the relative abundance of the non-hydroxylated substrate (orange circles), mono-hydroxylated product (green squares), di-hydroxylated product (purple triangles) and tri-hydroxylated product (light blue diamonds) over time. Data are the mean averages of three independent runs ($n = 3$; means \pm SD). Hydroxylation reactions were performed as described in the Experimental Section using full-length His₆-JMJD6 (0.05 μ M), 2OG (200 μ M), (NH₄)₂Fe(SO₄)₂·6H₂O (FAS; 2 μ M), L-ascorbic acid (LAA; 100 μ M) and BRD2₅₂₀₋₅₅₉/BRD3₄₆₃₋₅₀₂/BRD4₅₁₁₋₅₅₀ substrate (2 μ M) in Tris buffer (50 mM, pH 7.5).

Steady-state kinetic parameters for JMJD6 and BRD derived substrates

Having established that the JMJD6-catalysed hydroxylation of BRD2₅₂₀₋₅₅₉, BRD3₄₆₃₋₅₀₂ and BRD4₅₁₁₋₅₅₀ can be efficiently monitored using SPE-MS, kinetic studies on isolated recombinant full-length His₆-JMJD6 (**Supplementary Figure S1**) were initiated. SPE-MS assays monitoring the JMJD6-catalysed hydroxylation of BRD4₅₁₁₋₅₅₀ in the presence of likely saturating (co)substrate concentrations (*i.e.*, $>2 \times K_m^{\text{app}}$) enabled the determination of both the apparent maximum velocities ($v_{\text{max}}^{\text{app}}$) and the apparent Michaelis constants (K_m^{app}) of JMJD6 for 2OG, Fe(II), LAA and BRD4₅₁₁₋₅₅₀ (**Figure 3a-d**). Note that kinetic analyses of JMJD6 are complicated by its oligomeric nature.^{39, 79}

BRD4₅₁₁₋₅₅₀ was used as the preferred substrate for the kinetic studies, because the initial time-courses indicated BRD4₅₁₁₋₅₅₀ is mono-hydroxylated more efficiently than BRD3₄₆₃₋₅₀₂ whilst showing substantially lower levels of di-hydroxylation and no tri-hydroxylation by comparison with BRD2₅₂₀₋₅₅₉ (**Figure 2**), the presence of which may complicate data analysis. Indeed, negligible levels of BRD4₅₁₁₋₅₅₀ hydroxylations beyond mono-hydroxylation were observed during the initial time-period (*i.e.*, 90 s) of all SPE-MS assays analysed for determining the JMJD6 kinetic parameters.

Turnover numbers ($k_{\text{cat}}^{\text{app}}$) and specificity constants (k_{cat}/K_m) were calculated from $v_{\text{max}}^{\text{app}}$ and K_m^{app} values assuming that the concentration of active JMJD6 equals the total enzyme concentration used in the assay (*i.e.*, 0.05 μ M). Note, that this assumption may not be fully valid, including because JMJD6 can homo-oligomerize^{80, 81} and because JMJD6 is reported to catalyse self-hydroxylation, which may affect its catalytic activity.⁴⁵ However, a sufficiently tight-binding or irreversible JMJD6 inhibitor suitable for active-site titrations has not yet been reported.






Figure 3. Michaelis-Menten plots used to determine steady-state kinetic parameters for JMJD6.

Kinetic parameters of JMJD6 determined for: (a) 2OG; (b) Fe(II); (c) LAA; (d) BRD2₅₂₀₋₅₅₉; (e) BRD3₄₆₃₋₅₀₂; (f) BRD4₅₁₁₋₅₅₀. Data are the mean averages of three independent runs ($n = 3$; means \pm SD). The results are summarized in **Table 1**. SPE-MS assays were performed as described in the Experimental Section using full-length His₆-JMJD6 (0.05 μM). BRD4₅₁₁₋₅₅₀ was used as the JMJD6 substrate to determine the kinetic parameters for 2OG, Fe(II) and LAA. Time-course data and initial velocities are shown in the Supplementary Information.



Table 1. Steady-state kinetic parameters of JMJD6.^[a]View Article Online
DOI: 10.1039/D4CB00311J

	(Co-)substrate/ cofactor	v_{max}^{app} [nM s ⁻¹]	k_{cat}^{app} [s ⁻¹] ^[b]	K_m^{app} [μM]	k_{cat}/K_m [mM ⁻¹ s ⁻¹]
i	2OG ^[c,d]	3.5 ± 0.1	0.070 ± 0.002	23.3 ± 2.5	3.0 ± 0.4
ii	Fe(II) ^[c,d]	3.6 ± 0.1	0.072 ± 0.002	0.19 ± 0.02	380 ± 50
iii	BRD2 ₅₂₀₋₅₅₉ ^[d]	11.9 ± 2.5	0.24 ± 0.05	0.89 ± 0.33	270 ± 110
iv	BRD3 ₄₆₃₋₅₀₂ ^[d]	5.2 ± 1.3	0.10 ± 0.02	1.2 ± 0.4	87 ± 38
v	BRD4 ₅₁₁₋₅₅₀ ^[d]	5.8 ± 1.2	0.12 ± 0.02	0.62 ± 0.26	190 ± 80
vi	O₂ ^[c,e]	6.2 ± 0.3	0.12 ± 0.01	69.8 ± 11.4	1.7 ± 0.4

[a] Determined using 0.05 μM full-length His₆-JMJD6 in buffer (50 mM Tris, pH 7.5), as described in the Experimental Section. Data are means of three independent runs (n = 3; mean ± SD). [b] k_{cat}^{app} values were calculated from v_{max}^{app} values assuming that the concentration of active JMJD6 equals the total enzyme concentration (*i.e.*, 0.05 μM). [c] Kinetic parameters were determined using BRD4₅₁₁₋₅₅₀ (2 μM) as the substrate. [d] SPE-MS assays were performed at ambient temperature and run for ~20 mins. [e] SPE-MS assays were performed at 37 °C and quenched after 60 or 90 s.

The K_m^{app} value of JMJD6 for 2OG was ~23 μM, which is in the range of that obtained with the MALDI-TOF assay using LUC7L2₂₆₇₋₂₇₈ as the substrate (~31 μM).³⁸ This value is relatively high compared to 2OG K_m^{app} values reported for most other human 2OG oxygenases that have been determined using SPE-MS assays (**Table 2**), *e.g.*, AspH (~0.2 μM)⁴⁹ and the JmjC domain-containing oxygenases JMJD5 (~0.3 μM)⁷⁴, FIH (~0.8 μM)⁸², KDM4C (~0.08 μM)⁷², MINA53 (~3 μM)⁷⁷, and NO66 (~0.8 μM)⁷⁷. However, the K_m^{app} value of JMJD6 for 2OG is ~6-fold less than that reported for isolated human γ -butyrobetaine hydroxylase (BBOX; ~150 μM), although this value was determined using ¹H NMR turnover assays,^{83, 84} and so may not be directly comparable with that determined for JMJD6. Moreover, BBOX is a dimer and is reported to manifest cooperativity in substrate/co-substrate binding which may affect its K_m^{app} value for 2OG,⁸⁵ as may also be the case for JMJD6 given that it is oligomeric.^{39, 79} The K_m^{app} value of JMJD6 for 2OG is lower than reported cellular 2OG concentrations (0.5 – 1 mM),^{86, 87} an observation which indicates that JMJD6 activity may not be limited by 2OG availability in cells; however, it is possible that the K_m^{app} value of JMJD6 for 2OG varies with sub-cellular location and/or the substrate employed, and hence, that 2OG availability may limit the JMJD6-catalysed hydroxylation of substrates other than BRDs, given the relatively broad substrate scope of JMJD6.³⁸



The K_m^{app} of JMJD6 for Fe(II) was $\sim 0.19 \mu\text{M}$, which is in the range of those values reported for other 2OG oxygenases determined using SPE-MS assays (**Table 2**),^{49, 74, 77} and is likely indicative of a relatively high affinity of JMJD6 for Fe(II), under catalytic conditions, at least compared to other 2OG oxygenases.

The JMJD6 assays were performed in the presence of LAA, the addition of which had a beneficial effect on JMJD6 catalysis. Although LAA was not essential for productive JMJD6 catalysis, the relative abundance of the mono-hydroxylated BRD4₅₁₁₋₅₅₀ peptide appeared to plateau after ~ 10 min at $\sim 15\%$ in the absence of LAA (**Supplementary Figure S5**). The ability of LAA to enhance the activity of multiple purified 2OG oxygenases, for reasons incompletely defined, is reported,⁸⁸ e.g., for the procollagen prolyl hydroxylases⁸⁹ and PHD2,⁹⁰⁻⁹² to which LAA has been proposed to bind directly.⁹³ In addition, LAA has been shown to improve SPE-MS assay robustness for 2OG oxygenases, including AspH and JMJD5; however, the presence of LAA was not found to be essential for efficient catalysis in these cases.^{71, 74}

Table 2. Steady-state kinetic parameters of selected human 2OG oxygenases.

Enzyme	2OG			Fe(II)			Substrate		
	k_{cat} [s^{-1}]	K_m [μM]	k_{cat}/K_m [$\text{mM}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}$]	k_{cat} [s^{-1}]	K_m [μM]	k_{cat}/K_m [$\text{mM}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}$]	k_{cat} [s^{-1}]	K_m [μM]	k_{cat}/K_m [$\text{mM}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}$]
JMJD6 ^[a,b]	0.070 ± 0.002	23.3 ± 2.5	3.0 ± 0.4	0.072 ± 0.002	0.19 ± 0.02	380 ± 50	0.12 ± 0.02	0.62 ± 0.26	190 ± 80
JMJD5 ^[a,c] 74	5.6 $\pm 0.2 \cdot 10^{-3}$	0.29 ± 0.04	19.3 ± 5.8	5.0 $\pm 0.2 \cdot 10^{-3}$	0.13 ± 0.02	38.5 ± 6.2	10 $\pm 2.7 \cdot 10^{-3}$	0.87 ± 0.46	11.5 ± 6.3
AspH ^[a,d] 49	0.19 ± 0.03	0.60 ± 0.09	320 ± 70	0.19 ± 0.03	1.42 ± 0.16	130 ± 30	0.20 ± 0.03	1.19 ± 0.26	170 ± 50
FIH ^[a,e] 82	0.04 ± 0.01	0.8 ± 0.1	47.6 ± 12.5	n.r.	n.r.	n.r.	n.r.	n.r.	n.r.
PHD2 ^[f] 94	n.r.	0.35 ± 0.03	n.r.	n.r.	0.89 ± 0.07	n.r.	n.r.	7.3 ± 1.3	n.r.
KDM4C ^[a,g] 72	0.075 ± 0.001	2.6 ± 0.1	28.5 ± 1.3	n.r.	n.r.	n.r.	0.089 ± 0.004	5.8 ± 0.7	15.4 ± 1.9
BBOX ^[h] 83, 84	1.6 ± 0.1	153 ± 44	10 ± 3	n.r.	n.r.	n.r.	0.83	4.2	n.r.
MINA53 ^[a,i] 77	n.r.	3.2 ± 0.6	n.r.	n.r.	0.5 ± 0.2	n.r.	n.r.	10.5 ± 5.5	n.r.
NO66 ^[a,j] 77	n.r.	0.83 ± 0.09	n.r.	n.r.	0.014 ± 0.001	n.r.	n.r.	19.1 ± 6.3	n.r.

[a] Kinetics parameters were determined using SPE-MS. [b] Using full-length His₆-JMJD6 (0.05 μM) and BRD4₅₁₁₋₅₅₀ as the substrate. [c] Using JMJD5 (0.15 μM) and RSP6₁₂₈₋₁₄₈ as the substrate.⁷⁴ [d] Using AspH₃₁₅₋₇₅₈ (0.1 μM) and a synthetic cyclic peptide based on human Factor X (hFX-CP₁₀₁₋₁₁₉)²¹ as the substrate.⁴⁹ [e] Using FIH (0.15 μM) and a HIF-1 α C-terminal



transactivation domain fragment (HIF-1 α ₇₈₉₋₈₂₂)²⁷ as the substrate.⁸² [f] Kinetic parameters were determined using a fluorescence resonance energy transfer assay using PHD2 (1.0 nM) and HIF-1 α -derived biotin-DLEMLAPYIPMDDDFQL as the substrate.⁹⁴ [g] Using KDM4C (0.5 μ M) and ARTAQTARK(me3)STGGIA (a histone 3 K9(me3) derivative) as the substrate.⁷² [h] Kinetic parameters were determined using a ¹H NMR turnover over assay using BBOX (0.05 μ M) and γ -butyrobetaine (GBB) as the substrate.^{83, 84} [i] Using MINA53₂₆₋₄₆₄ (0.15 μ M) and RPL27A₃₁₋₄₉ as the substrate.⁷⁷ [j] Using NO66₁₈₃₋₆₄₁ (0.3 μ M) and RPL8₂₀₅₋₂₂₄ as the substrate.⁷⁷ n.r., not reported. Note that in some cases interpretation of kinetic parameters is complicated by the oligomeric nature of the 2OG oxygenases, including for JMJD6,⁷⁹ BBOX,⁸⁵ and MINA53/NO66.⁹⁵

Steady-state kinetic parameters for BRD2₅₂₀₋₅₅₉ and BRD3₄₆₃₋₅₀₂ were measured, then compared with those for BRD4₅₁₁₋₅₅₀ (**Figure 3e and f**). As with BRD4₅₁₁₋₅₅₀, initial time periods were chosen to minimize levels of substrate di-hydroxylation (*i.e.*, 45 s for BRD2₅₂₀₋₅₅₉ and 90 s for BRD3₄₆₃₋₅₀₂), to facilitate data analysis. The SPE-MS assay results indicate that high substrate concentrations (>2 μ M), BRD2₅₂₀₋₅₅₉, BRD3₄₆₃₋₅₀₂ and BRD4₅₁₁₋₅₅₀ inhibit JMJD6 catalysis (**Figure 3**); such substrate inhibition is precedent with other 2OG oxygenases.^{49, 53, 74} Therefore, to calculate kinetic parameters of JMJD6 for the three peptide substrates, data were fitted using non-linear regression to an equation which accounts for substrate inhibition ($Y = v_{max}^{app} \cdot X / (K_m^{app} + X \cdot (1 + X/K_i))$). The results give a k_{cat}/K_m value ($\sim 190 \text{ mM}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$) of BRD4₅₁₁₋₅₅₀ which is ~ 200 - 1000 -fold greater than values reported for the SR protein substrate peptides LUC7L2₂₆₇₋₂₇₈ ($\sim 0.2 \text{ mM}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$; **Table 3**), U2AF65₃₀₋₄₆ ($\sim 0.1 \text{ mM}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$) and RBM39₃₁₋₄₂ ($\sim 0.8 \text{ mM}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$), for which substrate inhibition was not reported.³⁸ This difference indicates that BRD4₅₁₁₋₅₅₀ may be a more efficient JMJD6 substrate than the reported SR-derived oligopeptides³⁸ at a specific concentration, potentially due to the increased length of the BRD4₅₁₁₋₅₅₀ oligopeptide used. The catalytic efficiency observed for the hydroxylation of BRD2₅₂₀₋₅₅₉ ($k_{cat}/K_m \sim 270 \text{ mM}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$) was similar to that observed for BRD4₅₁₁₋₅₅₀, whilst that observed for BRD3₄₆₃₋₅₀₂ (*i.e.*, $\sim 90 \text{ mM}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$) was ~ 2 -fold less than that for BRD4₅₁₁₋₅₅₀. The combined results may in part reflect the observation that the hydroxylation levels of JMJD6 substrates vary in cells,⁴² as reported for AspH which, like JMJD6, also catalyses hydroxylation of a relatively large set of substrates (>100).²² It should be noted, however, that the hydroxylation kinetics of folded full-length protein substrates will likely differ from those of the tested peptide fragments, as preceded with other 2OG oxygenases, including FIH.⁴⁸



Table 3. Steady-state kinetic parameters of JMJD6 for selected peptide substrates.View Article Online
DOI: 10.1039/D4CB00311J

	Peptide	Amino acid sequence	k_{cat} [s^{-1}]	K_m [μM]	k_{cat}/K_m [$mM^{-1} s^{-1}$]
i	BRD ₂₅₂₀₋₅₅₉ [a]	LAELQEQLRAVHEQLAALSQGPISKPKRKRKKEKKEKRRK	0.24 \pm 0.05	0.89 \pm 0.33	270 \pm 110
ii	BRD ₃₄₆₃₋₅₀₂ [a]	LAELQEQLKAVHEQLAALSQAPVKNPKKKKEKKEKRRK	0.10 \pm 0.02	1.2 \pm 0.4	87 \pm 38
iii	BRD ₄₅₁₁₋₅₅₀ [a]	LAELQEQLKAVHEQLAALSQPQQNPKKKEKDKKEKKEK	0.12 \pm 0.02	0.62 \pm 0.26	190 \pm 80
iv	LUC7L2 ₂₆₇₋₂₇₈ [b] 38	NPKRSRSREHRR	0.011	51.0 \pm 4.6	0.22
v	U2AF65 ₃₀₋₄₆ [b] 38	SRSRSRDRKRRRSRDR	0.009	78.0 \pm 15.0	0.12
vi	CROP ₃₁₁₋₃₂₅ [b] 38	SRDHKRSRSRERRRS	0.019	71.0 \pm 7.2	0.27
vii	SRSF11 ₂₈₆₋₂₉₈ [b] 38	RSKSPRRRRSHSR	0.008	41.0 \pm 6.6	0.20
viii	RBM39 ₃₁₋₄₂ [b] 38	RSKRRKKSRS	0.028	56.0 \pm 9.6	0.40

[a] Kinetic parameters were determined using SPE-MS using full-length His₆-JMJD6 (0.05 μM) and 2OG (200 μM). [b] Kinetic parameters were determined using MALDI-TOF MS using His₆-JMJD6₁₋₃₆₂ (10 μM) and 2OG (500 μM). BRD: bromodomain-containing protein; LUC7L2: Luc7-like 2; U2AF65: U2 small nuclear RNA auxiliary factor 2; CROP: cisplatin resistance-associated protein; SRSF11: serine/arginine-rich splicing factor 11; RBM39: RNA-binding protein 39.

The dependency of the JMJD6-catalysed BRD₄₅₁₁₋₅₅₀ hydroxylation reaction on O₂ availability was investigated next, including to inform on the potential of JMJD6 to serve as a hypoxia sensor (**Figure 4**). Initial reaction velocities were calculated by performing the hydroxylation reactions under different partial pressures of O₂, followed by quenching by the addition of formic acid (10%_{v/v}) after 60 or 90 s; these time periods were carefully chosen to reduce levels of JMJD6-catalysed BRD₄₅₁₁₋₅₅₀ di-hydroxylation. The results reveal that the K_m^{app} of JMJD6/BRD₄₅₁₁₋₅₅₀ for O₂ was \sim 74 μM and that JMJD6-catalysed BRD₄₅₁₁₋₅₅₀ hydroxylation was inhibited at low oxygen concentrations ([O₂] < 2.5%), an observation which is consistent with reported cellular studies showing that the extent of BRD4 lysine hydroxylation is reduced in hypoxia.⁴²

The K_m^{app} value of JMJD6/BRD₄₅₁₁₋₅₅₀ for O₂ is \sim 2- and \sim 4-fold greater those reported for type I human collagen prolyl 4-hydroxylase (CP4H-I; \sim 40 μM)²⁸ and KDM6B (\sim 20 μM)⁹⁶ respectively, which, however, were not determined by SPE-MS, but by monitoring the release of radiolabelled [¹⁴C]CO₂ from [1-¹⁴C]-2OG, which may compromise the direct comparability of these values (**Table 4**). The K_m^{app} value of JMJD6 for O₂ is in the range of those reported for phytyl-CoA dioxygenase (PHYH; \sim 90 μM)⁹⁷ which was determined using an oxygen consumption assay, and FIH (\sim 110 μM)³⁰. The similar K_m^{app} (O₂) values for JMJD6 and FIH



implies that *in vivo* O₂ availability may influence JMJD6 activity in a similar manner to FIH.²⁷ ^{30, 98} Note that the O₂ dependency of JMJD6 may vary with its substrate, the substrate structure/fold, and/or the assay conditions. It is possible that the use of folded full-length substrate proteins could affect JMJD6 oligomerisation, resulting in conformational changes that modulate O₂ availability or reaction at the active site.^{39, 79} It is currently unclear if and to what extent limited O₂ availability may alter the order of JMJD6-catalysed hydroxylations, including of BRDs.

Under assay conditions similar to those employed for JMJD6, the $K_m^{app}(O_2)$ value reported for KDM4A (~170 μM) is ~2-fold greater than that of JMJD6,⁹⁹ whereas the $K_m^{app}(O_2)$ values reported for PHD2 (>450 μM)³⁰ and AspH (~430 μM)⁴⁹ are ~6-fold greater than that of JMJD6 (**Table 4**). The $K_m^{app}(O_2)$ value of JMJD6 is also less than those values reported for KDM4C (~160 μM),¹⁰⁰ KDM4E (~200 μM)¹⁰⁰ and KDM6A (~180 μM),⁹⁶ which were determined using oxygen consumption assays (for KDM4C and KDM4E) and by assaying the release of radiolabelled [¹⁴C]CO₂ from [1-¹⁴C]-2OG (for KDM6A). The observation that the $K_m^{app}(O_2)$ value of JMJD6 is lower than that reported for PHD2,³⁰ but similar to that for FIH,³⁰ is of interest as the role of PHD2 in regulating gene expression in response to hypoxia is more defined than that of FIH,^{5, 101} with PHD catalysis being more sensitive to O₂ availability than FIH catalysis, as observed both in studies with isolated enzymes and in cells.^{30, 101, 102}




Figure 4. Michaelis-Menten plot used to determine the steady-state kinetic parameters of JMJD6 for O₂.

The JMJD6/BRD4₅₁₁₋₅₅₀ k_{cat} and K_m^{app} for 2OG are $0.12 \pm 0.01 \text{ s}^{-1}$ and $74 \pm 14 \text{ μM}$, respectively, as determined by non-linear regression. Data are the mean averages of three independent runs ($n = 3$; means \pm SD). The results are summarized in **Table 1**. Assays were performed as described in the Experimental Section using full-length His₆-JMJD6 (0.05 μM) and BRD4₅₁₁₋₅₅₀ as the substrate (2 μM). Initial velocities are shown in the Supplementary Information.



Table 4. Steady-state kinetic parameters of selected 2OG oxygenases for O₂.View Article Online
DOI: 10.1039/D4CB00311J

	Enzyme	<i>K_m</i> for O ₂ [μM]	<i>k_{cat}</i> [s ⁻¹]		Enzyme	<i>K_m</i> for O ₂ [μM]	<i>k_{cat}</i> [s ⁻¹]
i	JMJD6 ^[a]	74 ± 14	0.12 ± 0.01	vii	KDM4C ^[g] 100	158 ± 13	0.043 ± 0.001
ii	AspH ^[b] 49	426 ± 73	0.23 ± 0.04	viii	KDM4E ^[h] 100	197 ± 16	0.067 ± 0.001
iii	FIH ^[c] 30	110 ± 30	0.56 ± 0.04	ix	KDM6A ^[i] 96	180 ± 40	n.r.
iv	PHD2 ^[d] 30	460 ± 30	0.06 ± 0.01	x	KDM6B ^[j] 96	20 ± 2	n.r.
v	PHD2 ^[e] 30	>450	0.028 ± 0.001	xi	CP4H-I ^[k] 28	40	n.r.
vi	KDM4A ^[f] 99	173 ± 23	n.r.	xii	PHYH ^[l] 97	93 ± 43	0.016

[a] Using full-length His₆-JMJD6 (0.05 μM) and BRD4₅₁₁₋₅₅₀ as the substrate. [b] Using AspH (0.1 μM) and hFX-CP₁₀₁₋₁₁₉²¹ as the substrate.⁴⁹ [c] Using FIH (5 μM) and HIF-1α₇₈₉₋₈₂₂ as the substrate.³⁰ [d] Using PHD2 (4 μM) and HIF-1α₅₅₆₋₅₇₄ as the substrate.³⁰ [e] Using PHD2 (4 μM) and HIF-1α₃₉₅₋₄₁₃ as the substrate.³⁰ [f] Using KDM4A (1 μM) and H3₁₋₁₅K9me3 as the substrate.⁹⁹ [g] Using KDM4C (3 μM) and H3₁₋₁₅K9me3 as the substrate.¹⁰⁰ [h] Using KDM4E (2.1 μM) and H3₁₋₁₅K9me3 as the substrate.¹⁰⁰ [i] Using KDM6A (1.5 μM) and H3₂₁₋₄₄K27me3-Gly-Biotin as the substrate.⁹⁶ [j] Using KDM6B (1.5 μM) and H3₂₁₋₄₄K27me3-Gly-Biotin as the substrate.⁹⁶ [k] Using CP4H-I and (Pro-Pro-Gly)₁₀ as a substrate.²⁸ [l] Using PHYH (50 μM) and isovaleryl-CoA as the substrate.⁹⁷ n.r., not reported.

Origin of oxygen incorporated in the hydroxylated BRD4₅₁₁₋₅₅₀ product

Although variations occur, a generalised mechanism has been proposed by which 2OG oxygenases typically catalyse substrate oxidation mediated by the active site bound Fe(II) (**Supplementary Figure S10**).^{2, 3} Mechanistic studies have revealed that for hydroxylation reactions catalysed by FIH,¹¹ PHD1¹⁰³ and the procollagen prolyl hydroxylases (CPHs),¹⁰⁴ the oxygen atom incorporated into hydroxylated products originates from atmospheric O₂, with the other oxygen atom from O₂ being incorporated into succinate.¹⁰⁵ By contrast, bacterial and fungal dioxygenases have been identified that can incorporate substantial levels of water-derived oxygen, as well as O₂-derived oxygen, into their hydroxylated products.¹⁰⁶⁻¹¹¹ In these examples, the exchange of oxygen from Fe-bound O₂ for that from water is proposed to occur during the catalytic cycle.

To investigate the mechanism of oxygen incorporation into JMJD6 substrates, JMJD6-catalysed BRD4₅₅₁₋₅₅₀ hydroxylation reactions were performed in the presence of ¹⁸O₂ or ¹⁸OH₂. Liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry (LC-MS) analyses revealed that for reactions performed under an ¹⁸O₂ atmosphere, successive +18 Da shifts were observed between the BRD4₅₁₁₋₅₅₀ substrate and hydroxylated products, indicating that the oxygen



atom(s) incorporated into the hydroxylated products originates, at least, predominantly from $^{18}\text{O}_2$ (Figure 5a). Consistent with this proposal, products obtained from hydroxylation reactions performed in $^{18}\text{OH}_2$ under an $^{16}\text{O}_2$ atmosphere showed complete incorporation of ^{16}O from atmospheric O_2 (Figure 5b). The combined results thus indicate that oxygen exchange between atmospheric O_2 and the H_2O is negligible during JMJD6 catalysis.




Figure 5. The newly incorporated oxygen atoms in hydroxylated BRD4₅₁₁₋₅₅₀ originate predominantly from atmospheric O_2 .

MS spectra of the JMJD6-catalysed hydroxylation of BRD4₅₁₁₋₅₅₀ under (a) an $^{18}\text{O}_2$ atmosphere in H_2O , and (b) an O_2 atmosphere in $^{18}\text{OH}_2$. Assays were performed as described in the Experimental Section using full-length His₆-JMJD6 (2 μM), 2OG (2 mM), $(\text{NH}_4)_2\text{Fe}(\text{SO}_4)_2 \cdot 6\text{H}_2\text{O}$ (FAS; 20 μM), *L*-ascorbic acid (LAA; 1 mM) and BRD4₅₁₁₋₅₅₀ as the substrate (50 μM) in Tris buffer (50 mM, pH 7.5).

Conclusions



There is clear evidence from genetic and animal studies that JMJD6 is a biologically important 2OG oxygenase.^{40, 55, 57} JMJD6 is linked to diseases and is a current medicinal chemistry target for prostate cancer.⁶⁷ However, studies investigating its biochemical properties and the development of modulators for JMJD6 have been hampered by a lack of efficient assays measuring its catalysis. The robust SPE-MS based JMJD6 assays reported here measure the extent of JMJD6-catalysed lysyl hydroxylation in BRD-derived oligopeptides (*i.e.*, BRD2₅₂₀₋₅₅₉, BRD3₄₆₃₋₅₀₂ and BRD4₅₁₁₋₅₅₀) and enabled efficient determination of kinetic parameters of JMJD6 for 2OG, LAA, Fe(II), O₂ and three BRD-derived substrate peptides. Consistent with cellular studies, under SPE-MS assay conditions, JMJD6 catalyses multiple hydroxylations of the BRD2₅₂₀₋₅₅₉, BRD3₄₆₃₋₅₀₂ and BRD4₅₁₁₋₅₅₀ substrates. BRD2-4 are polyhydroxylated in cells, with BRD4 being apparently more extensively hydroxylated (19 hydroxylated lysine residues) than BRD3 (six hydroxylated lysine residues) and BRD2 (four hydroxylated lysine residues).⁴²

Analysis of the SPE-MS derived kinetic data obtained using conditions at which mono-hydroxylation is predominant, employed for reasons of analytical simplicity, reveals that the catalytic efficiencies of BRD2₅₂₀₋₅₅₉ ($270 \pm 110 \text{ mM}^{-1}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$) and BRD4₅₁₁₋₅₅₀ ($190 \pm 80 \text{ mM}^{-1}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$) are similar within experimental error, whilst the catalytic efficiency of BRD3₄₆₃₋₅₀₂ is ~2-fold less than that of BRD4₅₁₁₋₅₅₀ ($87 \pm 38 \text{ mM}^{-1}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$). Hence, the catalytic efficiencies of BRD2₅₂₀₋₅₅₉, BRD3₄₆₃₋₅₀₂ and BRD4₅₁₁₋₅₅₀ are ~200-1000-fold greater than those of reported JMJD6 peptide substrates, *e.g.*, LUC7L2₂₆₇₋₂₇₈, U2AF65₃₀₋₄₆ and RBM39₃₁₋₄₂, which were determined using MALDI-MS based assays (**Table 3**).³⁸ The observed substantially enhanced levels of JMJD6 catalysis under the optimised SPE-MS assay conditions with the BRD2-4-derived peptides containing multiple lysyl residues provides further support for the assignment of purified recombinant JMJD6 as a lysyl residue hydroxylase, an observation of functional relevance given that JMJD6 has also been reported to catalyse the *N*-demethylation of mono- and dimethylarginine residues,³³⁻³⁷ an activity which, however, has not been consistently reproduced subsequently.^{31, 38, 39}

The current scope of our SPE-MS JMJD6 assays is limited to accurately determining kinetic parameters for the JMJD6-catalysed mono-hydroxylation of substrates, the kinetics of which may not necessarily represent those of subsequent hydroxylations. Note also that the catalytic efficiency of JMJD6 for full-length BRD proteins may differ from that determined with the truncated 40mer oligopeptides employed in this work, as preceded with work on other



2OG oxygenases.⁴⁸ Nonetheless, reported kinetic data for AspH, which, like JMJD6 (>50 human substrates⁴²), is a substrate promiscuous 2OG protein hydroxylase (>100 human substrates²²), obtained by SPE-MS assays using EGFD-derived peptide substrates, appear to correlate, at least in some cases, with the EGFD hydroxylation levels observed in humans.⁴⁹ This observation implies that our SPE-MS kinetic data on relative JMJD6 substrate efficiencies may, at least to some extent, be physiologically relevant.

The JMJD6-catalysed hydroxylation of BRD4₅₁₁₋₅₅₀ was sensitive to low O₂ concentrations (<2.5%), in accord with reported cellular studies.⁴² Given that the lysine-rich domain of BRD4,⁶⁸ and other reported lysine-rich proteins including NF-κB-activating protein (NKAP),¹¹² ubiquitin-specific peptidase 42 (USP42),¹¹³ and dyskerin pseudouridine synthase 1 (DKC1),¹¹⁴ are associated with the formation of intracellular condensates, and that JMJD6 is proposed to modulate transcriptional pause-regulation via its interactions with BRD4,³⁷ it is possible that either or both of these processes may be regulated in an O₂-dependent manner by JMJD6 catalysis. Our results show that the $K_m^{app}(O_2)$ value of JMJD6 (~74 μM) is similar to that reported for the HIF-α asparagine hydroxylase FIH (~110 μM),³⁰ indicating that JMJD6 catalysis may be involved in hypoxia sensing and/or maintenance of cell homeostasis in response to limited O₂ availability *in vivo*, as is proposed for FIH and the PHDs.^{4, 30, 98} It is important to note, however, that the $K_m^{app}(O_2)$ (and Fe(II)/2OG) values do not necessarily reflect K_D values for 2OG oxygenases^{29, 30} and that interpretation of JMJD6 kinetic data is complicated by its oligomeric nature,^{39, 79} as is the case for some other 2OG oxygenases.^{85, 95}

We have proposed an alternative measure of determining whether a 2OG oxygenase is tailored to act in an O₂ availability sensing role by measuring the rate of reaction of O₂ with the ternary enzyme:Fe(II):2OG:substrate complex under single turnover conditions, with human PHD2 manifesting unusually slow O₂ binding/reaction kinetics, consistent with its role in sensing hypoxia/O₂ availability.^{29, 92} These types of assays could be pursued in future work with JMJD6, but are complicated by the oligomeric nature of JMJD6^{39, 79} and its proclivity for self-hydroxylation.⁴⁵ It is also possible that the kinetic parameters of the reaction of O₂ with JMJD6 vary with different substrates (including multiple hydroxylations of the same substrate) and/or according to the cellular context. The optimised assay conditions described here will aid in investigating whether JMJD6- and O₂-mediated poly-hydroxylation affects the



fold and/or physicochemical properties of its substrates, the results of which may inform on the biological role(s) of JMJD6-catalysed substrate oxidation.

The K_m^{app} value of JMJD6 for 2OG was relatively high compared to those reported for other human 2OG protein hydroxylases, including AspH,⁴⁹ FIH,⁸² JMJD5⁷⁴ and PHD2,⁹⁴ which were obtained using SPE-MS assays (**Table 2**). Although K_m^{app} values may not reflect K_D^{app} values, this result suggests relatively weak binding of 2OG by JMJD6 is a possibility, an observation which may reflect the relatively open JMJD6 active site compared to other 2OG oxygenases, as observed in JMJD6 crystal structures,^{38, 115, 116} and/or the oligomeric nature of JMJD6.^{39, 79} Interestingly, the K_m^{app} value of JMJD6 for 2OG was ~6-fold lower than that reported for human BBOX, a dimeric oxygenase for which consistently high 2OG values have been reported.^{83, 84} Nonetheless, given that the reported cellular concentration of 2OG is relatively high (~1 mM),⁸⁶ it may be considered unlikely that hydroxylation of BRD2-4 by JMJD6 may be regulated by 2OG availability in cells.

The addition of LAA to the assay buffer significantly enhanced levels of JMJD6 catalysis, reminiscent of the effect of LAA on catalysis of PHD2,^{90, 91} FIH,⁹⁰ ten-eleven translocation (TET) proteins,¹¹⁷ and procollagen prolyl hydroxylases.⁸⁹ The precise mechanism through which LAA enhances JMJD6 activity is unclear; however, it has been proposed that LAA increases the activity of other 2OG oxygenases by serving as a reducing agent to maintain the active site Fe in its catalytically active Fe(II) oxidation state.^{93, 118} Fe(II) can be oxidized to Fe(III) via substrate-uncoupled turnover, which must then be reduced back to Fe(II) to regenerate catalytically active enzyme.^{92, 93, 118} Fe(II) can also undergo autooxidation in buffers.¹¹⁹ However, caution should be taken with respect to assigning a direct biologically relevant role to LAA in JMJD6 catalysis, since the enzymatic activities of some 2OG oxygenases (*e.g.*, the *Escherichia coli* DNA demethylase AlkB) can be maintained by alternative reducing agents in the absence of LAA.¹²⁰

2OG oxygenases have emerged as important human therapeutic and agrochemical targets.¹²¹ Inhibitors of the PHDs are used for the treatment of anaemia caused by chronic kidney disease¹²² and the plant 2OG oxygenase gibberellin C20-oxidase is the molecular target of plant-growth retardants.¹²¹ Cellular studies indicate that aberrant JMJD6 activity may promote cancer development and/or progression;⁶⁴⁻⁶⁷ thus, selective inhibition of JMJD6



catalysis may enable the development of anti-cancer therapeutics, including for prostate cancer. The high levels of JMJD6 catalysis observed under the optimized SPE-MS assay conditions compared to *e.g.*, reported MALDI-MS JMJD6 assays (**Table 3**),³⁸ will enable high-throughput SPE-MS based JMJD6 inhibition studies. The development of SPE-MS assays for the similarly protein substrate-promiscuous 2OG protein hydroxylases FIH and AspH as well as for the JmjC hydroxylase JMJD5, which all are also current medicinal chemistry targets, has demonstrated the potential of SPE-MS to accelerate development of potent and selective small-molecule 2OG oxygenase inhibitors.^{74, 123-128} Equivalent SPE-MS JMJD6 inhibition assays would not only be valuable to support JMJD6 inhibitor development programs, but also to enable studies on the selectivity of reported 2OG oxygenase inhibitors. Given the severe phenotypes observed following JMJD6 knockout,⁵⁶⁻⁶⁰ this is of particular importance with respect to clinically-used inhibitors of the PHDs for long-term treatment of anaemia.

Experimental

General information

All chemicals were from commercial sources (Sigma-Aldrich) and were used as received. Milli-Q® ultrapure (MQ-grade) water was used for buffer preparation; LC-MS grade solvents were used for assay buffers and SPE-MS solvents. Co-factor/co-substrate stock solutions (LAA: 50 mM in MQ-grade water; 2OG: 10 mM in MQ-grade water; ammonium iron(II) sulfate hexahydrate, FAS, (NH₄)₂Fe(SO₄)₂·6H₂O: 400 mM in 20 mM HCl diluted to 1 mM in MQ-grade water) were freshly prepared from commercial solids on the day of use.

Recombinant JMJD6 production and purification

Recombinant human JMJD6 (full length with a N-terminal His₆-tag) was produced in *Escherichia coli* BL21 (DE3) cells using a pET-28a(+) plasmid and purified by standard Ni(II)-affinity size-exclusion chromatography, as previously reported.⁴² JMJD6 was > 95% pure by SDS-PAGE and MS analysis (**Supplementary Figure S1**). Purified JMJD6 was stored at -78 °C; fresh aliquots were used for all JMJD6 assays.



JMJD6 substrates

View Article Online
DOI: 10.1039/D4CB00311J

The JMJD6 substrate peptides used for kinetic studies *i.e.*, BRD2₅₂₀₋₅₅₉ (LAELQEQLRAVHEQLAALSQGPISKPKRKREKKEKKEKRRK), BRD3₄₆₃₋₅₀₂ (LAELQEQLKAVHEQLAALSQAPVKNPKKKKKEKKEKKEK), and BRD4₅₁₁₋₅₅₀ (LAELQEQLKAVHEQLAALSQPQKNPKKKEKDKKEKKEK) are based on the sequences of human BRD2, BRD3, and BRD4 and were synthesized by solid-phase peptide synthesis and were purified by GL Biochem (Shanghai) Ltd (Shanghai, China). Peptides were used as 10 mM stock solutions in DMSO (Sigma-Aldrich; BioUltra grade).

Kinetic parameters of JMJD6 for 2OG, Fe(II), LAA and BRD-derived substrates

Assays to investigate the kinetic parameters of JMJD6 for 2OG, Fe(II), LAA, BRD2₅₂₀₋₅₅₉, BRD3₄₆₃₋₅₀₂ and BRD4₅₁₁₋₅₅₀ were performed in 96-deep well polypropylene assay plates (Greiner) in independent triplicates. An enzyme mixture (10 μ L) containing full-length His₆-JMJD6 (5 μ M; final concentration: 0.05 μ M) in 50 mM Tris buffer (pH 7.5) was added at ambient temperature to a substrate mixture (1.0 mL) containing 2OG, Fe(II), LAA and a BRD-derived substrate peptide (final concentrations as specified in **Supplementary Figures S3-8**). The JMJD6-catalysed hydroxylation of the BRD-derived substrate peptide was monitored at ambient temperature by SPE-MS using a RapidFire RF 365 high-throughput sampling robot (Agilent) attached to an iFunnel Agilent 6550 accurate mass quadrupole time-of-flight (Q-TOF) mass spectrometer, which was operated in the positive ionization mode. Assay samples were aspirated under vacuum for 0.4 s, then loaded onto a C4 solid phase extraction (SPE) cartridge. After loading, the C4 SPE cartridge was washed with 0.1%_{v/v} aqueous formic acid to remove non-volatile buffer salts (5 s, 1.5 mL/min). The peptide was eluted from the SPE cartridge with 0.1%_{v/v} aqueous formic acid in 75/25_{v/v} acetonitrile/water into the mass spectrometer (5 s, 1.6 mL/min) and the SPE cartridge re-equilibrated with 0.1%_{v/v} aqueous formic acid (1 s, 1.25 mL/min).

Peaks corresponding to the m/z +5 charge states of the BRD-derived substrate peptide and the hydroxylated product peptides were extracted from the ion chromatogram and integrated using RapidFire Integrator 4.3.0 (Agilent). Peak area data were exported into Microsoft Excel and used to calculate the relative abundance of the mono-hydroxylated product peptide using the following equation:



% of mono-hydroxylated product peptide = $100 \times (\text{integral of mono-hydroxylated product peptide}) / (\text{integral of non-hydroxylated substrate peptide} + \text{sum of integrals of all hydroxylated product peptides})$. Note that for the time-period used to calculate initial reaction velocities, negligible amounts of multi-hydroxylated product peptide were observed (<5%); therefore, the sum of integrals of all hydroxylated product peptides \approx the integral of the mono-hydroxylated product peptide. Analogous equations were used to calculate the relative abundance of the non-hydroxylated substrate peptide, the di-hydroxylated product peptide, the tri-hydroxylated product peptide (for BRD2₅₂₀₋₅₅₉ and BRD3₄₆₃₋₅₀₂ only) and the tetra-hydroxylated product peptide (for BRD2₅₂₀₋₅₅₉ only).

The concentration of the mono-hydroxylated product peptide was calculated by multiplying the %-fraction of the mono-hydroxylated product peptide by the initial concentration of the substrate peptide employed in the assay. Initial reaction velocities were then calculated and fitted to a Michaelis-Menten plot using nonlinear regression (GraphPad Prism 5).

Kinetic parameters of JMJD6 for O₂

Assays to determine the kinetic parameters of JMJD6 for O₂ were performed in 2 mL gas-tight glass vials (Sigma-Aldrich) in independent triplicates. 50 mM Tris buffer (pH 7.5; 0.95 mL) was incubated in a 2 mL gas-tight glass vial at 37 °C for 30 min with a fixed O₂ concentration (in N₂; specified in **Supplementary Figure S9**), adjusted using a mass flow controller. The substrate mixture (40 μL), containing LAA (2 mM; *i.e.*, 25 x final concentration), 2OG (2.5 mM), FAS (50 μM) and BRD4₅₁₁₋₅₅₀ (100 μM) in 50 mM Tris buffer (pH 7.5), and the enzyme mixture (10 μL), containing full-length His₆-JMJD6 (1 μM; *i.e.*, 100 x final concentration) in 50 mM Tris buffer (pH 7.5), were sequentially added to the buffer solution using a gas-tight syringe. The JMJD6-catalysed reaction was performed at 37 °C at a fixed O₂ concentration and was stopped after the reaction time specified (**Supplementary Figure S9**) by the addition of 20%_{v/v} aqueous formic acid (100 μL) and analysed by SPE-MS. The %-concentration of O₂ in N₂, adjusted with a mass flow controller, was converted into an O₂ concentration [μM] by calibration using a standard (*i.e.*, $y_{[\mu\text{M}]} = 9.026 \cdot x_{[\%]}$).⁹⁹ Data were analysed by SPE-MS as described above to calculate apparent JMJD6 kinetic parameters for O₂.



Hydroxylation assays under an $^{18}\text{O}_2$ atmosphere

View Article Online
DOI: 10.1039/D4CB00311J

Assays to investigate JMJD6-catalysed hydroxylation of BRD4₅₁₁₋₅₅₀ under an $^{18}\text{O}_2$ atmosphere were performed as follows: assay mixtures (200 μL) containing full-length His₆-JMJD6 (2 μM), BRD4₅₁₁₋₅₅₀ (50 μM), LAA (1 mM), FAS (20 μM) and 2OG (2 mM) in 50 mM Tris (pH 7.5) were prepared in 500 μL microfuge tubes in an anaerobic chamber (N_2 atmosphere). Cofactor and buffer solutions were prepared using degassed Milli-Q[®] Ultrapure grade water and JMJD6 stocks were incubated in the anaerobic chamber prior to use. The assay solutions were transferred to a custom-made air-tight container, which was then sealed and removed from the anaerobic chamber. The outlet of the container was connected to a glass manifold that was itself connected to a N_2 line, an $^{18}\text{O}_2$ cylinder and a vacuum pump. The container was evacuated and then filled with N_2 gas to a pressure of ~ 700 mbar. The container was then filled with $^{18}\text{O}_2$ gas to a pressure of ~ 1000 mbar, *i.e.*, to approximately mimic atmospheric oxygen concentrations. The enzyme reactions were incubated for 24 h at room temperature, after which time the container was returned to the anaerobic chamber. The reactions were stopped by the addition of 10%_{v/v} aqueous formic acid (20 μL) and analysed by LC-MS using an Agilent 1290 infinity II LC system comprising a 1290 infinity II multi-sampler and a 1290 infinity II high speed pump connected to an Agilent 6550 accurate mass iFunnel quadrupole time-of-flight (QTOF) mass spectrometer. Samples (6 μL) were injected onto a 2.1 x 50 mm, 1.8 μm ZORBAX RRHD Eclipse Plus C18 column equipped with a UHPLC guard column (Agilent; flow rate: 0.2 mL/min). The mobile phase solvent A comprised 100%_{v/v} LCMS grade water containing 1%_{v/v} LCMS grade formic acid and mobile phase solvent B comprised 100%_{v/v} acetonitrile containing 1%_{v/v} LCMS grade formic acid. The peptide was separated from JMJD6 using a stepwise gradient (0 min – 0%_{v/v} solvent B, 4 min – 0%_{v/v} solvent B, 7 min – 30%_{v/v} solvent B, 8 min – 95%_{v/v} solvent B, 9 min – 95%_{v/v} solvent B, 10 min – 5%_{v/v} solvent B). The column was then re-equilibrated with a 1.5-min post-run with 100%_{v/v} solvent A. The mass spectrometer was operated in the positive electrospray ionization (ESI) mode with a nitrogen drying gas temperature (280°C), drying gas flow rate (13 L/min), nebulizer pressure (40 psig), sheath gas temperature (350°C), sheath gas flow rate (12 L/min), capillary voltage (4000 V), nozzle voltage (1000 V), fragmentor voltage (365 V). Acquired data were analysed using Agilent MassHunter Qualitative Analysis (version B.07.00).



Hydroxylation assays in $^{18}\text{OH}_2$

View Article Online
DOI: 10.1039/D4CB00311J

Assays to investigate the JMJD6-catalysed hydroxylation of BRD4₅₁₁₋₅₅₀ in $^{18}\text{OH}_2$ were performed as follows: assay mixtures (200 μL) containing full-length His₆-JMJD6 (2 μM), BRD4₅₁₁₋₅₅₀ (50 μM), LAA (1 mM), FAS (20 μM) and 2OG (2 mM) in 50 mM Tris (pH 7.5) were prepared in 500 μL microfuge tubes. Tris buffer, cofactor and BRD4₅₁₁₋₅₅₀ stock solutions were prepared using $^{18}\text{OH}_2$ (CK Isotopes) and JMJD6 was buffer exchanged into 50 mM Tris (pH 7.5) in $^{18}\text{OH}_2$. The enzyme reactions were incubated under an ambient atmosphere at room temperature for 24 h, after which the reactions were stopped by the addition of 10%_{v/v} aqueous formic acid (20 μL) and analysed by LC-MS as described above.

Conflict of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgements

This research was funded in part by the Wellcome Trust (106244/Z/14/Z). We thank Cancer Research UK (C8717/A18245) and the Biotechnology and Biological Sciences Research Council (BB/J003018/1 and BB/R000344/1) for funding. T. P. C. thanks the Centre for Doctoral Training in Synthesis for Biology and Medicine for a studentship, generously supported by GlaxoSmithKline, MSD, Syngenta, and Vertex. T. P. C. thanks the Royal Commission for the Exhibition 1851 for an industrial fellowship. We thank Dr. P. Rabe, University of Oxford, for help with performing experiments with $^{18}\text{O}_2$ and $^{18}\text{OH}_2$.

References

1. M. S. Islam, T. M. Leissing, R. Chowdhury, R. J. Hopkinson and C. J. Schofield, *Annu. Rev. Biochem.*, 2018, **87**, 585-620.
2. C. J. Schofield and Z. Zhang, *Curr. Opin. Struct. Biol.*, 1999, **9**, 722-731.
3. S. Martinez and R. P. Hausinger, *J. Biol. Chem.*, 2015, **290**, 20702-20711.



4. D. Lando, D. J. Peet, J. J. Gorman, D. A. Whelan, M. L. Whitelaw and R. K. Bruick, *Genes Dev.*, 2002, **16**, 1466-1471. View Article Online
DOI: 10.1039/04CB00311J
5. R. K. Bruick, *Genes Dev.*, 2003, **17**, 2614-2623.
6. I. J. Clifton, L.-C. Hsueh, J. E. Baldwin, K. Harlos and C. J. Schofield, *Eur. J. Biochem.*, 2001, **268**, 6625-6636.
7. R. L. Hancock, K. Dunne, L. J. Walport, E. Flashman and A. Kawamura, *Epigenomics*, 2015, **7**, 791-811.
8. L. Aravind and E. V. Koonin, *Genome Biol.*, 2001, **2**, research0007.0001.
9. F. M. Vaz and R. J. A. Wanders, *Biochem. J.*, 2002, **361**, 417-429.
10. J. Sim, A. S. Cowburn, A. Palazon, B. Madhu, P. A. Tyrakis, D. Macías, D. M. Bargiela, S. Pietsch, M. Gralla, C. E. Evans, T. Kittipassorn, Y. C. J. Chey, C. M. Branco, H. Rundqvist, D. J. Peet and R. S. Johnson, *Cell Metab.*, 2018, **27**, 898-913.
11. K. S. Hewitson, L. A. McNeill, M. V. Riordan, Y.-M. Tian, A. N. Bullock, R. W. Welford, J. M. Elkins, N. J. Oldham, S. Bhattacharya, J. M. Gleadle, P. J. Ratcliffe, C. W. Pugh and C. J. Schofield, *J. Biol. Chem.*, 2002, **277**, 26351-26355.
12. R. J. Klose, E. M. Kallin and Y. Zhang, *Nat. Rev. Genet.*, 2006, **7**, 715-727.
13. L. J. Walport, R. J. Hopkinson and C. J. Schofield, *Curr. Opin. Chem. Biol.*, 2012, **16**, 525-534.
14. L. J. Walport, R. J. Hopkinson, R. Chowdhury, R. Schiller, W. Ge, A. Kawamura and C. J. Schofield, *Nat. Commun.*, 2016, **7**, 11974.
15. J. Bonnici, R. Oueini, E. Salah, C. Johansson, C. J. Schofield and A. Kawamura, *FEBS Lett.*, 2023, **597**, 933-946.
16. J. Bonnici, R. Oueini, E. Salah, C. Johansson, E. Pires, M. Abboud, R. S. Dawber, A. Tumber, P. Rabe, H. Saraç, C. J. Schofield and A. Kawamura, *Commun. Biol.*, 2024, **7**, 1583.
17. R. J. Hopkinson, L. J. Walport, M. Münzel, N. R. Rose, T. J. Smart, A. Kawamura, T. D. W. Claridge and C. J. Schofield, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2013, **52**, 7709-7713.
18. A. Noma, R. Ishitani, M. Kato, A. Nagao, O. Nureki and T. Suzuki, *J. Biol. Chem.*, 2010, **285**, 34503-34507.
19. S. Markolovic, T. M. Leissing, R. Chowdhury, S. E. Wilkins, X. Lu and C. J. Schofield, *Curr. Opin. Struct. Biol.*, 2016, **41**, 62-72.
20. M. E. Cockman, D. E. Lancaster, I. P. Stolze, K. S. Hewitson, M. A. McDonough, M. L. Coleman, C. H. Coles, X. Yu, R. T. Hay, S. C. Ley, C. W. Pugh, N. J. Oldham, N. Masson, C. J. Schofield and P. J. Ratcliffe, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.*, 2006, **103**, 14767-14772.



21. I. Pfeffer, L. Brewitz, T. Krojer, S. A. Jensen, G. T. Kochan, N. J. Kershaw, K. S. Hewitson, L. A. McNeill, H. Kramer, M. Münzel, R. J. Hopkinson, U. Oppermann, P. A. Handford, M. A. McDonough and C. J. Schofield, *Nat. Commun.*, 2019, **10**, 4910. View Article Online
DOI: 10.1039/C9CB00311J
22. L. Brewitz, B. C. Onisko and C. J. Schofield, *J. Biol. Chem.*, 2022, **298**, 102129.
23. M. Yang, R. Chowdhury, W. Ge, R. B. Hamed, M. A. McDonough, T. D. W. Claridge, B. M. Kessler, M. E. Cockman, P. J. Ratcliffe and C. J. Schofield, *FEBS J.*, 2011, **278**, 1086-1097.
24. H. Choi, A. P. Hardy, T. M. Leissing, R. Chowdhury, Y. Nakashima, W. Ge, M. Markoulides, J. S. Scotti, P. A. Gerken, H. Thorbjørnsrud, D. Kang, S. Hong, J. Lee, M. A. McDonough, H. Park and C. J. Schofield, *Commun. Chem.*, 2020, **3**, 52.
25. J. Kang, Y.-S. Chun, J. Huh and J.-W. Park, *Redox Biol.*, 2018, **19**, 364-374.
26. M. Mantri, Z. Zhang, M. A. McDonough and C. J. Schofield, *FEBS J.*, 2012, **279**, 1563-1575.
27. P. Koivunen, M. Hirsilä, V. Günzler, K. I. Kivirikko and J. Myllyharju, *J. Biol. Chem.*, 2004, **279**, 9899-9904.
28. M. Hirsilä, P. Koivunen, V. Günzler, K. I. Kivirikko and J. Myllyharju, *J. Biol. Chem.*, 2003, **278**, 30772-30780.
29. E. Flashman, L. M. Hoffart, R. B. Hamed, J. M. Bollinger Jr., C. Krebs and C. J. Schofield, *FEBS J.*, 2010, **277**, 4089-4099.
30. H. Tarhonskaya, A. P. Hardy, E. A. Howe, N. D. Loik, H. B. Kramer, J. S. O. McCullagh, C. J. Schofield and E. Flashman, *J. Biol. Chem.*, 2015, **290**, 19726-19742.
31. C. J. Webby, A. Wolf, N. Gromak, M. Dreger, H. Kramer, B. Kessler, M. L. Nielsen, C. Schmitz, D. S. Butler and J. R. Yates III, *Science*, 2009, **325**, 90-93.
32. M. Mantri, N. D. Loik, R. B. Hamed, T. D. Claridge, J. S. McCullagh and C. J. Schofield, *ChemBioChem*, 2011, **12**, 531-534.
33. C. Poulard, J. Rambaud, N. Hussein, L. Corbo and M. Le Romancer, *PLoS One*, 2014, **9**, e87982.
34. P. Lawrence, J. S. Conderino and E. Rieder, *Virology*, 2014, **452-453**, 1-11.
35. W.-w. Gao, R.-q. Xiao, B.-l. Peng, H.-t. Xu, H.-f. Shen, M.-f. Huang, T.-t. Shi, J. Yi, W.-j. Zhang, X.-n. Wu, X. Gao, X.-z. Lin, P. C. Dorrestein, M. G. Rosenfeld and W. Liu, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.*, 2015, **112**, 3327-3336.
36. B. Chang, Y. Chen, Y. Zhao and R. K. Bruick, *Science*, 2007, **318**, 444-447.
37. W. Liu, Q. Ma, K. Wong, W. Li, K. Ohgi, J. Zhang, Aneel K. Aggarwal and Michael G. Rosenfeld, *Cell*, 2013, **155**, 1581-1595.



38. M. S. Islam, M. A. McDonough, R. Chowdhury, J. Gault, A. Khan, E. Pires and C. J. Schofield, *J. Biol. Chem.*, 2019, **294**, 11637-11652. View Article Online
DOI: 10.1039/D4CB00311J
39. G. Han, J. Li, Y. Wang, X. Li, H. Mao, Y. Liu and C. D. Chen, *J. Cell. Biochem.*, 2012, **113**, 1663-1670.
40. A. Böttger, M. S. Islam, R. Chowdhury, C. J. Schofield and A. Wolf, *Biochem. J.*, 2015, **468**, 191-202.
41. J.-N. Boeckel, V. Guarani, M. Koyanagi, T. Roexe, A. Lengeling, R. T. Schermuly, P. Gellert, T. Braun, A. Zeiher and S. Dimmeler, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.*, 2011, **108**, 3276-3281.
42. M. E. Cockman, Y. Sugimoto, H. B. Pegg, N. Masson, E. Salah, A. Tumber, H. R. Flynn, J. M. Kirkpatrick, C. J. Schofield and P. J. Ratcliffe, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.*, 2022, **119**, e2201483119.
43. F. Wang, L. He, P. Huangyang, J. Liang, W. Si, R. Yan, X. Han, S. Liu, B. Gui and W. Li, *PLoS Biol.*, 2014, **12**, e1001819.
44. S. Alahari, M. Post and I. Caniggia, *Endocrinology*, 2015, **156**, 3012-3025.
45. M. Mantri, C. J. Webby, N. D. Loik, R. B. Hamed, M. L. Nielsen, M. A. McDonough, J. S. O. McCullagh, A. Böttger, C. J. Schofield and A. Wolf, *MedChemComm*, 2012, **3**, 80-85.
46. I. Tikhanovich, S. Kuravi, A. Artigues, M. T. Villar, K. Dorko, A. Nawabi, B. Roberts and S. A. Weinman, *J. Biol. Chem.*, 2015, **290**, 22236-22249.
47. M. Unoki, A. Masuda, N. Dohmae, K. Arita, M. Yoshimatsu, Y. Iwai, Y. Fukui, K. Ueda, R. Hamamoto and M. Shirakawa, *J. Biol. Chem.*, 2013, **288**, 6053-6062.
48. T. M. Leissing, A. P. Hardy, H. Chan, Y. Wang, A. Tumber, R. Chowdhury, T. Feng, M. L. Coleman, M. E. Cockman, H. B. Kramer, G. Berridge, R. Fischer, B. M. Kessler, P. J. Ratcliffe, X. Lu and C. J. Schofield, *J. Biol. Chem.*, 2022, **298**, 102020.
49. L. Brewitz, A. Tumber and C. J. Schofield, *J. Biol. Chem.*, 2020, **295**, 7826-7838.
50. S. E. Wilkins, M. S. Islam, J. M. Gannon, S. Markolovic, R. J. Hopkinson, W. Ge, C. J. Schofield and R. Chowdhury, *Nat. Commun.*, 2018, **9**, 1180.
51. P. A. Del Rizzo, S. Krishnan and R. C. Triebel, *Mol. Cell. Biol.*, 2012, **32**, 4044-4052.
52. S. Markolovic, Q. Zhuang, S. E. Wilkins, C. D. Eaton, M. I. Abboud, M. J. Katz, H. E. McNeil, R. K. Leśniak, C. Hall, W. B. Struwe, R. Konietzny, S. Davis, M. Yang, W. Ge, J. L. P. Benesch, B. M. Kessler, P. J. Ratcliffe, M. E. Cockman, R. Fischer, P. Wappner, R. Chowdhury, M. L. Coleman and C. J. Schofield, *Nat. Chem. Biol.*, 2018, **14**, 688-695.
53. N. Bilgin, A. Tumber, S. Dhingra, E. Salah, A. Al-Salmy, S. P. Martín, Y. Wang, C. J. Schofield and J. Mecinović, *Protein Sci.*, 2024, **33**, e5162.



54. W. Ge, A. Wolf, T. Feng, C.-h. Ho, R. Sekirnik, A. Zayer, N. Granatino, M. E. Cockman, C. Loenarz, N. D. Loik, A. P. Hardy, T. D. W. Claridge, R. B. Hamed, R. Chowdhury, L. Gong, C. V. Robinson, D. C. Trudgian, M. Jiang, M. M. Mackeen, J. S. McCullagh, Y. Gordiyenko, A. Thalhammer, A. Yamamoto, M. Yang, P. Liu-Yi, Z. Zhang, M. Schmidt-Zachmann, B. M. Kessler, P. J. Ratcliffe, G. M. Preston, M. L. Coleman and C. J. Schofield, *Nat. Chem. Biol.*, 2012, **8**, 960-962.
55. J. Kwok, M. O'Shea, D. A. Hume and A. Lengeling, *Front. Genet.*, 2017, **8**, 32.
56. J. E. Schneider, J. Böse, S. D. Bamforth, A. D. Gruber, C. Broadbent, K. Clarke, S. Neubauer, A. Lengeling and S. Bhattacharya, *BMC Dev. Biol.*, 2004, **4**, 16.
57. J. Böse, A. D. Gruber, L. Helming, S. Schiebe, I. Wegener, M. Hafner, M. Beales, F. Köntgen and A. Lengeling, *J. Biol.*, 2004, **3**, 15.
58. J.-R. Hong, G.-H. Lin, C. J.-F. Lin, W.-p. Wang, C.-C. Lee, T.-L. Lin and J.-L. Wu, *Development*, 2004, **131**, 5417-5427.
59. Y. Kunisaki, S. Masuko, M. Noda, A. Inayoshi, T. Sanui, M. Harada, T. Sasazuki and Y. Fukui, *Blood*, 2004, **103**, 3362-3364.
60. M. O. Li, M. R. Sarkisian, W. Z. Mehal, P. Rakic and R. A. Flavell, *Science*, 2003, **302**, 1560-1563.
61. N. Raguz, A. Heim, E. Engal, J. Wesche, J. Merl-Pham, S. M. Hauck, S. Erkelenz, H. Schaal, O. Bensaude, A. Wolf, M. Salton and A. Böttger, *Int. J. Mol. Sci.*, 2020, **21**, 6618.
62. J. Barman-Aksözen, C. Béguin, A. M. Dogar, X. Schneider-Yin and E. I. Minder, *Blood Cells Mol. Dis.*, 2013, **51**, 151-161.
63. H. Lawson, C. Sepulveda, L. N. van de Lagemaat, J. Durko, M. Barile, A. Tavosanis, E. Georges, A. Shmakova, P. Timms, R. N. Carter, L. Allen, J. Campos, M. Vukovic, A. V. Guitart, P. Giles, M. O'Shea, D. Vernimmen, N. M. Morton, N. P. Rodrigues, B. Göttgens, C. J. Schofield, A. Lengeling, D. O'Carroll and K. R. Kranc, *Blood Adv.*, 2021, **5**, 889-899.
64. K. Wang, C. Yang, H. Li, X. Liu, M. Zheng, Z. Xuan, Z. Mei and H. Wang, *Front. Immunol.*, 2022, **13**.
65. Y. F. Lee, L. D. Miller, X. B. Chan, M. A. Black, B. Pang, C. W. Ong, M. Salto-Tellez, E. T. Liu and K. V. Desai, *Breast Cancer Res.*, 2012, **14**, 3001.
66. H. Zheng, Y. Tie, Z. Fang, X. Wu, T. Yi, S. Huang, X. Liang, Y. Qian, X. Wang and R. Pi, *Signal Transduct. Target Ther.*, 2019, **4**, 24.
67. A. Paschalis, J. Welti, A. J. Neeb, W. Yuan, I. Figueiredo, R. Pereira, A. Ferreira, R. Riisnaes, D. N. Rodrigues and J. M. Jiménez-Vacas, *Cancer Res.*, 2022, **81**, 1087-1100.
68. X. Han, D. Yu, R. Gu, Y. Jia, Q. Wang, A. Jaganathan, X. Yang, M. Yu, N. Babault, C. Zhao, H. Yi, Q. Zhang, M.-M. Zhou and L. Zeng, *Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol.*, 2020, **27**, 333-341.



69. A. C. R. Epstein, J. M. Gleadle, L. A. McNeill, K. S. Hewitson, J. O'Rourke, D. B. Mole, M. Mukherji, E. Metzen, M. I. Wilson, A. Dhanda, Y.-M. Tian, N. Masson, D. L. Hamilton, P. Jaakkola, R. Barstead, J. Hodgkin, P. H. Maxwell, C. W. Pugh, C. J. Schofield and P. J. Ratcliffe, *Cell*, 2001, **107**, 43-54. View Article Online
DOI: 10.1039/04CB00311J
70. N. Pescador, Y. Cuevas, S. Naranjo, M. Alcaide, D. Villar, Manuel O. Landázuri and L. del Peso, *Biochem. J.*, 2005, **390**, 189-197.
71. L. Brewitz, A. Tumber, I. Pfeffer, M. A. McDonough and C. J. Schofield, *Sci. Rep.*, 2020, **10**, 8650.
72. S. E. Hutchinson, M. V. Leveridge, M. L. Heathcote, P. Francis, L. Williams, M. Gee, J. Munoz-Muriedas, B. Leavens, A. Shillings, E. Jones, P. Homes, S. Baddeley, C.-w. Chung, A. Bridges and A. Argyrou, *J. Biomol. Screen.*, 2012, **17**, 39-48.
73. J. P. Holt-Martyn, R. Chowdhury, A. Tumber, T.-L. Yeh, M. I. Abboud, K. Lippl, C. T. Lohans, G. W. Langley, W. Figg Jr., M. A. McDonough, C. W. Pugh, P. J. Ratcliffe and C. J. Schofield, *ChemMedChem*, 2020, **15**, 270-273.
74. A. Tumber, E. Saleh, L. Brewitz, T. P. Corner and C. J. Schofield, *RSC Chem. Biol.*, 2023, **4**, 399-413.
75. C. Johansson, S. Velupillai, A. Tumber, A. Szykowska, E. S. Hookway, R. P. Nowak, C. Strain-Damerell, C. Gileadi, M. Philpott, N. Burgess-Brown, N. Wu, J. Kopec, A. Nuzzi, H. Steuber, U. Egner, V. Badock, S. Munro, N. B. LaThangue, S. Westaway, J. Brown, N. Athanasou, R. Prinjha, P. E. Brennan and U. Oppermann, *Nat. Chem. Biol.*, 2016, **12**, 539-545.
76. A. Mulji, C. Haslam, F. Brown, R. Randle, B. Karamshi, J. Smith, R. Eagle, J. Munoz-Muriedas, J. Taylor, A. Sheikh, A. Bridges, K. Gill, R. Jepras, P. Smee, M. Barker, M. Woodrow, J. Liddle, P. Thomas, E. Jones, L. Gordon, R. Tanner, M. Leveridge, S. Hutchinson, M. Martin, M. Brown, L. Kruidenier and R. Katso, *SLAS Discov.*, 2012, **17**, 108-120.
77. R. P. Nowak, A. Tumber, E. Hendrix, M. S. Z. Ansari, M. Sabatino, L. Antonini, R. Andrijes, E. Salah, N. Mautone, F. R. Pellegrini, K. Simelis, A. Kawamura, C. Johansson, D. Passeri, R. Pellicciari, A. Ciogli, D. Del Bufalo, R. Ragno, M. L. Coleman, D. Trisciuoglio, A. Mai, U. Oppermann, C. J. Schofield and D. Rotili, *J. Med. Chem.*, 2021, **64**, 17031-17050.
78. S. Shishodia, M. Demetriades, D. Zhang, N. Y. Tam, P. Maheswaran, C. Clunie-O'Connor, A. Tumber, I. K. H. Leung, Y. M. Ng, T. M. Leissing, A. H. El-Sagheer, E. Salah, T. Brown, W. S. Aik, M. A. McDonough and C. J. Schofield, *J. Med. Chem.*, 2021, **64**, 16609-16625.
79. A. Wolf, M. Mantri, A. Heim, U. Müller, E. Fichter, Mukram M. Mackeen, L. Schermelleh, G. Dadie, H. Leonhardt, C. Vénien-Bryan, B. M. Kessler, C. J. Schofield and A. Böttger, *Biochem. J.*, 2013, **453**, 357-370.



80. N. Tibrewal, T. Liu, H. Li and R. B. Birge, *Mol. Cell. Biochem.*, 2007, **304**, 119-125. View Article Online
DOI: 10.1039/D4CB00311J
81. P. Hahn, I. Wegener, A. Burrells, J. Böse, A. Wolf, C. Erck, D. Butler, C. J. Schofield, A. Böttger and A. Lengeling, *PLoS One*, 2010, **5**, e13769.
82. Y. Nakashima, L. Brewitz, A. Tumber, E. Salah and C. J. Schofield, *Nat. Commun.*, 2021, **12**, 6478.
83. A. M. Rydzik, I. K. H. Leung, G. T. Kochan, N. D. Loik, L. Henry, M. A. McDonough, T. D. W. Claridge and C. J. Schofield, *Org. Biomol. Chem.*, 2014, **12**, 6354-6358.
84. A. M. Rydzik, I. K. H. Leung, A. Thalhammer, G. T. Kochan, T. D. W. Claridge and C. J. Schofield, *Chem. Commun.*, 2014, **50**, 1175-1177.
85. R. K. Leśniak, A. M. Rydzik, J. J. A. G. Kamps, A. Kahn, T. D. W. Claridge and C. J. Schofield, *Chem. Commun.*, 2019, **55**, 14717-14720.
86. L. F. Huergo and R. Dixon, *Microbiol. Mol. Biol. Rev.*, 2015, **79**, 419-435.
87. S. B. Hatch, C. Yapp, R. C. Montenegro, P. Savitsky, V. Gamble, A. Tumber, G. F. Ruda, V. Bavetsias, O. Fedorov, B. Atrash, F. Raynaud, R. Lanigan, L. Carmichael, K. Tomlin, R. Burke, S. M. Westaway, J. A. Brown, R. K. Prinjha, E. D. Martinez, U. Oppermann, C. J. Schofield, C. Bountra, A. Kawamura, J. Blagg, P. E. Brennan, O. Rossanese and S. Müller, *Epigenetics Chromatin*, 2017, **10**, 9.
88. C. C. Smith-Díaz, A. B. Das, T. P. Jurkowski, T. A. Hore and M. C. M. Vissers, *J. Med. Chem.*, 2025, **68**, 2219-2237.
89. S. Murad, D. Grove, K. A. Lindberg, G. Reynolds, A. Sivarajah and S. R. Pinnell, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.*, 1981, **78**, 2879-2882.
90. E. Flashman, S. L. Davies, K. K. Yeoh and C. J. Schofield, *Biochem. J.*, 2010, **427**, 135-142.
91. H. J. Knowles, R. R. Raval, A. L. Harris and P. J. Ratcliffe, *Cancer Res.*, 2003, **63**, 1764-1768.
92. G. Fiorini, S. A. Marshall, W. D. Figg, W. K. Myers, L. Brewitz and C. J. Schofield, *Sci. Rep.*, 2024, **14**, 26162.
93. A. I. Osipyants, A. A. Poloznikov, N. A. Smirnova, D. M. Hushpulia, A. Y. Khristichenko, T. A. Chubar, A. A. Zakhariants, M. Ahuja, I. N. Gaisina, B. Thomas, A. M. Brown, I. G. Gazaryan and V. I. Tishkov, *Biochim.*, 2018, **147**, 46-54.
94. J. H. Dao, R. J. M. Kurzeja, J. M. Morachis, H. Veith, J. Lewis, V. Yu, C. M. Tegley and P. Tagari, *Anal. Biochem.*, 2009, **384**, 213-223.
95. R. Chowdhury, R. Sekirnik, N. C. Brissett, T. Krojer, C.-h. Ho, S. S. Ng, I. J. Clifton, W. Ge, N. J. Kershaw, G. C. Fox, J. R. C. Muniz, M. Vollmar, C. Phillips, E. S. Pilka, K. L. Kavanagh,



- F. von Delft, U. Oppermann, M. A. McDonough, A. J. Doherty and C. J. Schofield, *Nature*, 2014, **510**, 422-426. View Article Online
DOI: 10.1039/D4CB00311J
96. A. A. Chakraborty, T. Laukka, M. Myllykoski, A. E. Ringel, M. A. Booker, M. Y. Tolstorukov, Y. J. Meng, S. R. Meier, R. B. Jennings, A. L. Creech, Z. T. Herbert, S. K. McBrayer, B. A. Olenchock, J. D. Jaffe, M. C. Haigis, R. Beroukhim, S. Signoretti, P. Koivunen and W. G. Kaelin, *Science*, 2019, **363**, 1217-1222.
97. D. Ehrismann, E. Flashman, David N. Genn, N. Mathioudakis, Kirsty S. Hewitson, Peter J. Ratcliffe and Christopher J. Schofield, *Biochem. J.*, 2006, **401**, 227-234.
98. Y. L. Volkova, C. Pickel, A. E. Jucht, R. H. Wenger and C. C. Scholz, *Antioxid. Redox Signal.*, 2022, **37**, 913-935.
99. R. L. Hancock, N. Masson, K. Dunne, E. Flashman and A. Kawamura, *ACS Chem. Biol.*, 2017, **12**, 1011-1019.
100. B. Cascella and L. M. Mirica, *Biochemistry*, 2012, **51**, 8699-8701.
101. W. G. Kaelin Jr. and P. J. Ratcliffe, *Mol. Cell*, 2008, **30**, 393-402.
102. C. J. Schofield and P. J. Ratcliffe, *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.*, 2004, **5**, 343-354.
103. L. A. McNeill, K. S. Hewitson, J. M. Gleadle, L. E. Horsfall, N. J. Oldham, P. H. Maxwell, C. W. Pugh, P. J. Ratcliffe and C. J. Schofield, *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.*, 2002, **12**, 1547-1550.
104. W. Min, T. P. Begley, J. Myllyharju and K. I. Kivirikko, *Bioorg. Chem.*, 2000, **28**, 261-265.
105. R. W. D. Welford, J. M. Kirkpatrick, L. A. McNeill, M. Puri, N. J. Oldham and C. J. Schofield, *FEBS Lett.*, 2005, **579**, 5170-5174.
106. J. E. Baldwin, R. M. Adlington, N. P. Crouch and I. A. C. Pereira, *Tetrahedron*, 1993, **49**, 7499-7518.
107. J. E. Baldwin, R. M. Adlington, N. P. Crouch, I. A. C. Pereira, R. T. Aplin and C. Robinson, *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.*, 1993, 105-108.
108. B. Lindblad, G. Lindstedt and S. Lindstedt, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1970, **92**, 7446-7449.
109. P. J. Sabourin and L. L. Bieber, *J. Biol. Chem.*, 1982, **257**, 7468-7471.
110. Y. Kikuchi, Y. Suzuki and N. Tamiya, *Biochem. J.*, 1983, **213**, 507-512.
111. J. E. Baldwin, R. M. Adlington, N. P. Crouch and C. J. Schofield, *Tetrahedron*, 1988, **44**, 643-650.
112. B. D. Burgute, V. S. Peche, A.-L. Steckelberg, G. Glöckner, B. Gaßen, N. H. Gehring and A. A. Noegel, *Nucleic Acids Res.*, 2013, **42**, 3177-3193.



113. S. Liu, T. Wang, Y. Shi, L. Bai, S. Wang, D. Guo, Y. Zhang, Y. Qi, C. Chen, J. Zhang, Y. Zhang, Q. Liu, Q. Yang, Y. Wang and H. Liu, *Cell Death Differ.*, 2021, **28**, 2482-2498. View Article Online
DOI: 10.1039/D1CB00311J
114. N. S. Heiss, A. Girod, R. Salowsky, S. Wiemann, R. Pepperkok and A. Poustka, *Hum. Mol. Genet.*, 1999, **8**, 2515-2524.
115. M. Mantri, T. Krojer, E. A. Bagg, C. J. Webby, D. S. Butler, G. Kochan, K. L. Kavanagh, U. Oppermann, M. A. McDonough and C. J. Schofield, *J. Mol. Biol.*, 2010, **401**, 211-222.
116. X. Hong, J. Zang, J. White, C. Wang, C.-H. Pan, R. Zhao, R. C. Murphy, S. Dai, P. Henson, J. W. Kappler, J. Hagman and G. Zhang, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.*, 2010, **107**, 14568-14572.
117. E. A. Minor, B. L. Court, J. I. Young and G. Wang, *J. Biol. Chem.*, 2013, **288**, 13669-13674.
118. R. Myllylä, E.-R. Kuutti-Savolainen and K. I. Kivirikko, *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.*, 1978, **83**, 441-448.
119. X. Yang and N. D. Chasteen, *Biochem. J.*, 1999, **338**, 615-618.
120. R. W. D. Welford, I. Schlemminger, L. A. McNeill, K. S. Hewitson and C. J. Schofield, *J. Biol. Chem.*, 2003, **278**, 10157-10161.
121. N. R. Rose, M. A. McDonough, O. N. F. King, A. Kawamura and C. J. Schofield, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2011, **40**, 4364-4397.
122. A. A. Joharapurkar, V. B. Pandya, V. J. Patel, R. C. Desai and M. R. Jain, *J. Med. Chem.*, 2018, **61**, 6964-6982.
123. L. Brewitz, A. Tumber, X. Zhang and C. J. Schofield, *Bioorg. Med. Chem.*, 2020, **28**, 115675.
124. L. Brewitz, Y. Nakashima and C. J. Schofield, *Chem. Sci.*, 2021, **12**, 1327-1342.
125. L. Brewitz, Y. Nakashima, A. Tumber, E. Salah and C. J. Schofield, *J. Fluorine Chem.*, 2021, **247**, 109804.
126. L. Brewitz, A. Tumber, A. Thalhammer, E. Salah, K. E. Christensen and C. J. Schofield, *ChemMedChem*, 2020, **15**, 1139-1149.
127. T. P. Corner, R. Z. R. Teo, Y. Wu, E. Salah, Y. Nakashima, G. Fiorini, A. Tumber, A. Brasnett, J. P. Holt-Martyn, W. D. Figg, X. Zhang, L. Brewitz and C. J. Schofield, *Chem. Sci.*, 2023, **14**, 12098-12120.
128. L. Brewitz, Y. Nakashima, S. K. Piasecka, E. Salah, S. C. Fletcher, A. Tumber, T. P. Corner, T. J. Kennedy, G. Fiorini, A. Thalhammer, K. E. Christensen, M. L. Coleman and C. J. Schofield, *J. Med. Chem.*, 2023, **66**, 10849-10865.



Data availability statement

View Article Online
DOI: 10.1039/D4CB00311J

The data supporting this article have been included as part of the Supplementary Information.

