

Showcasing joint research from the laboratories of Prof. Taki, Prof. Kuwahara, Prof. Miyashita, Prof. Kan and Prof. Yang at the University of Electro-Communications (UEC), Nihon University, Kindai University, Hokkaido University and the University of Wisconsin.

ARCaDia: single-round screening of a DNA-type targeted covalent binder possessing a latent warhead

This work presents a single-round selection method for covalent aptamers from an environment-sensitive warhead-modified DNA library, employing a unique affinity/reactivity-based co-selection strategy. It effectively bypasses the labour-intensive steps associated with traditional SELEX-based methods while simultaneously identifying the optimal warhead position on the aptamer.



See Masumi Taki, Jay Yang *et al., Chem. Commun.,* 2024, **60**, 14964.





ChemComm



View Article Online

View Journal | View Issue

pen Access Article. Published on 08 November 2024. Downloaded on 3/10/2025 6:57:17 PM.

COMMUNICATION

Check for updates

Cite this: Chem. Commun., 2024, 60, 14964

Received 6th September 2024, Accepted 6th November 2024

DOI: 10.1039/d4cc04594g

rsc.li/chemcomm

ARCaDia: single-round screening of a DNA-type targeted covalent binder possessing a latent warhead⁺

Masumi Taki, 🕩 *^{ab} Masayasu Kuwahara, 跑 ^c Chaohui Li,^a Naoko Tomoda,^d Naoyuki Miyashita,^{af} Tetsuo Kan^a and Jay Yang*^{ade}

A covalent binder for a target protein was obtained by a direct single-round screening of a latent-warhead-modified DNA library *via* affinity/reactivity-based co-selection of aptameric deoxyribo-nucleic acid (ARCaDia), followed by a top k-mer analysis. The optimal position of the conjugated warhead on the selected aptamer was simultaneously identified.

Covalent drugs, also known as targeted covalent inhibitors (TCIs), form permanent bonds to target proteins and show a prolonged duration of drug action.^{1,2} The half-life extension should result in less frequent drug dosing at lower amounts and improve the quality-of-life of patients.³ The major TCI developments have focused on small molecules,⁴ however, the interaction between a small molecule and a target protein is strongly influenced by hydrophobic interactions,⁵ which often induce irreversible sideeffects from off-target covalent binding.³ To avoid this potential risk, the TCI modality in fundamental research is broadening from the conventional small molecules to larger biomolecules possessing more stringent target specificity.⁶ Such middle-tomacro biomolecular TCIs (bioTCIs) can be sub-categorized into peptidic, proteinic, and nucleotidic (i.e., aptameric) TCIs. Among them, reversible bioTCIs, especially nucleotidic TCIs where the semi-permanent drug effect can be reversed on demand by a

^a Department of Engineering Science, The Graduate School of Informatics and Engineering, The University of Electro-Communications (UEC), 1-5-1 Chofugaoka, Chofu, Tokyo 182-8585, Japan. E-mail: taki@pc.uec.ac.jp; Tel: +81-42-443-5980

^b Institute for Advanced Science, UEC, Chofu 182-8585, Japan

^c Graduate School of Integrated Basic Sciences, Nihon University, Setagaya-ku, Tokyo 156-8550, Japan

^e School of Medicine and Public Health, University of Wisconsin, Madison, Wisconsin 53706, USA. E-mail: jyang75@wisc.edu selective complementary-strand (CS) antidote, would mitigate the major concern of a long-lasting drug side effect.⁷

The aptameric covalent binders can be created by both indirect rational design, such as introducing a warhead⁸⁻¹⁰ into a preexisting aptamer,^{11,12} and by the direct combinatorial screening method. In the latter, repetitive bio-panning of an aptamer library against the target by a process termed systematic evolution of ligands by exponential enrichment (SELEX)¹³ has been exclusively used. Historically, Smith and colleagues were the first to report covalently binding RNA and DNA aptamers targeting neutrophil elastase.^{14,15} The optimized binder was obtained by a screening denoted the blended SELEX using a splint-DNA comprising a smallmolecule-TCI as the elastase-specific warhead, a spacer, and a 3' overlap complimentary to the forward primer region of the nucleotide library. This method has a major advantage in that an unmodified conventional nucleotide library is used as the input. Other covalent SELEX methods using a generalized warhead reactable with any target protein, in theory, instead of the neutrophil elastase-specific warhead, have been reported¹⁶⁻¹⁸ (ESI⁺ for details), but all require multiple cycle selection and regeneration of the multiple-warhead conjugated library every cycle (Fig. 1A).

To avoid the labor and time-consuming process of the traditional SELEX-based covalent aptamer selection, here we report a singleround selection of a covalent binder possessing a latent fosylate-type warhead^{19,20} and a linker between the warhead and the nucleotide base (Fig. 1B). Our library design differed from the previous attempts at combinatorial screening for covalent aptamers. In fact, our library design was previously reported as being potentially problematic for screening because of (1) linker flexibility,²¹ (2) low reactivity of the fosylate warhead,¹⁸ and (3) placement of only a single warhead within the aptamer.¹⁸ We chose this approach since placing a long linker between a single nucleotide base and the warhead likely positioned the covalent attachment site outside the aptamer-target docking domain, enabling on-demand reversal using the CS antidote.12 The fosylate warhead, although less reactive, shows high selectivity and reacts with the target protein in a microenvironmentdependent manner, theoretically limiting nonspecific conjugation

^d Department of GI Surgery II, Hokkaido University Graduate School of Medicine, Sapporo, Hokkaido, Japan

^f Department of Biological Systems Engineering, Graduate School of Biology-Oriented Science and Technology, KINDAI University, 930 Nishimitani, Kinokawa, Wakayama 649-6493, Japan

[†] Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. See DOI: https://doi.org/ 10.1039/d4cc04594g



Fig. 1 A graphical comparison between a previously reported (A) multiple cycle SELEX using a generalized warhead, and (B) our current single round non-SELEX combinatorial screening for a covalently binding aptamer, namely ARCaDia. The major differences between the two are: (1) conjugation of multiple reactive warheads replacing all A or U residues in the library vs. a single latent warhead at a pre-defined position, (2) requisite regeneration of the warhead conjugated library at every cycle vs. a single use of the warhead conjugated library, and (3) read number (*i.e.*, most frequent count) vs. *k*-mer sorting of the high-throughput sequencing reads.

and enabling a direct single-round screening of a latent-warheadmodified library *via* affinity/reactivity-based co-selection²² of aptameric deoxyribonucleic acid (ARCaDia). Using the non-SELEX method, we successfully identified a covalent-binder against thrombin through high throughput sequencing (HTS) after the selection, followed by a *k*-mer-based bioinformatics analysis.

We started with an N25-randomized DNA library where a single 5octadinyl-dU is placed in the middle at nucleotide 13, with the primer sequences flanking the randomized region (Fig. 2A) to attempt the non-SELEX single round selection of aptamers covalently binding to the thrombin target protein. A relatively high-reactive warhead (benzene-sulfonyl fluoride; BSF, 1), the latent fosylate (aryl-fluorosulfate; AFS, 2), and a control non-reactive one (4) were conjugated at the central alkyne, respectively (Fig. 2A, right). After pre-selection with BSA to remove non-specific binders, the remaining library reacted with the thrombin target bound to magnetic nano-beads. The aptamer-target conjugate was subjected to a harsh wash using urea/SDS-containing buffer to remove noncovalent binders while retaining the covalently bound aptamers on the beads. That the warhead-conjugated library before conjugation with the target protein was adequately amplified by PCR was confirmed by a qPCR assay (Fig. S2, ESI⁺), but the aptamers after selection now covalently bound to the large target protein required digestion with proteinase K to serve as a template for PCR amplification (Fig. S3, ESI[†]). Comparison of the N₂₅ libraries endowed with different warheads demonstrated a robust expected 64bp PCR product band for the AFS library (2) and a weak band for the BSF library (1) suggesting the potential presence of a selected aptamer surviving the strong wash (Fig. 2B, lanes 1 and 2). Other control libraries which presumably do not form a covalent conjugation with the thrombin target only gave a negligible PCR product band under the same condition (lanes 3-5). We focused on the robust PCR product resulting from the AFS library screening and further analyzed for potential covalent binders by HTS and bioinformatics analysis.

The traditional SELEX relied on the identification of binding aptamers by focusing on the "most abundant" sequences observed among the sequences obtained at the end of multiple rounds of selection.¹⁸ However, incorporation of HTS in the



Fig. 2 The DNA library and warheads used for the combinatorial screening. (A) The library comprises tandem N₁₂ randomized sequences with a single linker (octadinyl-dU) with a terminal alkyne (U*) inserted at position 13. The flanking primer sequences are denoted in green. The R substitution on the uracil was benzenesulfonyl fluoride (BSF; 1), fosylate (AFS; 2), CH₃ with no linker (3; natural T), benzyl (4), or unconjugated alkyne (5). The single-round selection comprised pre-screening of the library with BSA-beads, reaction with thrombin-beads, harsh (stringent) wash, proteinase K digestion to free the covalently bound aptamers, and PCR amplification. For details, see ESI,† Section S3.2. (B) PAGE separation of the PCR products corresponding to the respective starting libraries (1–5), without template (w/o), 50 bp markers on both edges. The blue arrow points to the expected 64 bp PCR-amplified aptamers.

workflow with a dramatic increase in the observed sequences suggested that the sequence abundance at the end of multiple rounds of selection may not be the best measure to identify the strongest binding aptamers.²³ Rather, the emergence of a motif or a critical subsequence of nucleotides during the selection cycles may better identify the best binders. Such a focus on subsequences of *k*-nucleotides or *k*-mers of non-SELEX HTS data was reported by Hoon,²⁴ and we extend this approach to arrive at a systematic but a simple procedure for identifying potential covalent binders after a single-round selection and PCR amplification.

The bidirectional raw HTS reads were cleaned up (AptaSuite) and a FASTA file of 25 nucleotide long reads passed onto Tallymer for *k*-mer analysis (see ESI† for details and instructions on implementation of the freeware). The overall non-unique

ChemComm

k-mer distribution of the HTS data shows an abundance of small *k*-mers with a rapid decrease in the number of *k*-mers with increasing *k*-mer length (Fig. S4, ESI[†]). The most and second abundant reads for each *k*-mer length are noted as seeds, since we use these sequences for the alignment in the next step. The *ad hoc* analysis employed by Hoon, namely the ASKAS method, applied to our data showed that the total counts of the top five *k*-mer reads jumped between *k*-mers 15 and 16 in agreement with their report.²⁴ However, the objective definition of a significant decrease in the counts was not defined.

Without defining a priori, the critical k-mer length, as with Hoon,²⁴ we took two approaches for further analysis of the HTS data. In the first approach, we took the top seed sequences for each k-mer and aligned the 20 seeds themselves (Fig. 3A). A loose consensus sequence of 15 nucleotides-long with a G-quad-like structure resembling the well-known thrombin-binding aptamer (TBA) emerged. The ambiguity, for example at the thymidine residues not forming the G-quad structure, is well tolerated with little impact on binding to the target (reviewed in Ricardi et al.).²⁵ Inclusion of the second-best seeds in the alignment had little effect on the identified consensus sequence (Fig. S5, ESI⁺). Thus, a simple alignment of the top seed sequences appeared sufficient to identify the critical nucleotides and the length of the aptamers that presumably covalently bound the target thrombin in the single-round selection. We next took the respective seed sequences for the k-mer length and sought the HTS reads that contained the seeds. Fig. 3B shows the alignment of 36 HTS reads that contained the top seed



Fig. 3 Alignment of seed *k*-mers and HTS reads containing the seed sequences. (A) Top two seeds for *k*-mers 12–18 were aligned with the consensus sequence shown on the top. Note that some top and 2nd seed *k*-mers had equal counts (*e.g.*, 18mer has 7 sequences a–g with equal counts) and all were included in the alignment. (B) Alignment of 36 HTS reads containing the 15mer top seed sequence (GGGTGGTGTGGTTGG) with the consensus sequence shown on the top. The position of the warhead denoted in red (*i.e.*, the 13th nucleotide from the 5' end of the aptamer) in the aligned HTS reads was used to identify where, within the TBA sequence, the warhead was located and designated as TBA_x. (C) Pie chart of the distribution of TBA_x from 36 reads containing the 12–17 mer top seeds. The overall distribution showed TBA₄ \gg TBA₁₃ > TBA₇ > others.

sequence GGGTGGTGTGGGTTGG for k-mer length 15. The alignments of actual HTS reads identified by other k-mer seeds are shown in Fig. S6 (ESI[†]). Since the reactive AFS warhead (U*) was placed at nucleotide 13 in the original library (see Fig. 2A) and read as 'T' by HTS, we can identify the precise position of the warhead from the alignment. The position of the warhead is denoted as TBA_r, where the x indicates the position of the warhead (i.e., 3, 4, 7, 9, 12, or 13) in the original TBA sequence. The HTS reads identified from the 15mer seed showed a predominance of TBA₄ followed by TBA₁₃ (Fig. 3C). The distribution of TBAx-like sequence from 279 HTS reads identified by 12-17mer top seeds showed a similar overall distribution with predominance of TBA₄ (Fig. 3D). It was previously noted that the position of warhead conjugation in the TBA aptamer was critical in determining the ability to form a covalent bond with the thrombin target.^{11,12} Thus far, the determination of the optimal position of warhead conjugation required a trial-and-error approach experimentally testing each potential position, but the asymmetric distribution of TBA_x obtained during the covalent-aptamer screening starting from the warhead-endowed library suggests that the preferred position may be identified during the selection itself.

We tested the ability of AFS-conjugated TBA_x to interact covalently with the thrombin target by a reducing SDS-PAGE band-shift assay where the covalently modified thrombin target appears as an upward mobility shifted band. AFS reacts slowly compared to the previously studied BSF warhead and only a faint mobility-shifted band can be observed at 3 hours reaction duration and a robust mobility-shifted band only observed after the overnight incubation (Fig. 4A). TBA_x conjugated to a nonreactive benzyl mock warhead (4) or left unmodified as an alkyne (5) did not produce a band-shifted thrombin even after an overnight incubation. Of note is the observation that the mobility-shifted band is weak for TBA₃ and TBA₉, and stronger for TBA₄ and TBA₁₃ in agreement with the TBA_x distribution abundance. The exception is TBA₇, where a robust band shift is seen but less represented in the TBA_x distribution.

The target-specificity of AFS warhead endowed-TBA₄ was examined by a non-reducing SDS-PAGE mobility shift assay.¹² The covalent conjugation of the aptamer was visualized by the addition of a fluorescent-label tagged complimentary strand against TBA (Fl-CS; Fig. 4B). The fluorescent signal (blue arrow) was observed only for AFS-TBA₄, and a gross excess of human serum albumin revealed no non-specific binding supporting the high specificity of the AFS-endowed aptamer, as was shown previously for BSF.¹² Control lanes with no Fl-CS showed no fluorescent signal.

Our combinatorial screening for covalent aptamers differs from the prior efforts in several respects. First, the starting library contained a single warhead in distinction to placing multiple warheads replacing all adenine¹⁸ or uracil^{16,17} nucleoside residues in the library like click-SELEX.²¹ Our approach enabled randomization of all four nucleotides, enhancing the starting library diversity. Although the single warhead in our library was tethered to the aptamer *via* an elongated octadinyl-dU linker, this modified unnatural nucleotide-containing library served well as a PCR substrate required for the single round of selection unlike the covalently binding library created by modification of the phosphodiester linkage.¹⁸ Second, we chose the AFS warhead with high specificity



Fig. 4 Characterization of thrombin conjugation with AFS-TBA_x aptamers (blue arrows) by SDS-PAGE. (A) Oriol-stained thrombin after incubation with AFS-conjugated TBA_x for 3 hours or overnight (O/N). Blue arrow points to the mobility shifted thrombin with a covalently bound aptamer. (B) Thrombin-specific conjugation of TBA₄ (+AFS) in the presence of human serum (40%). As a negative control, wild-type TBA (–AFS) was used. TBA-conjugated protein(s) can be visualized by duplex formation of Fl-CS followed by fluorescence imaging (left panel). Whole proteins were visualized by CBB staining in the same gel (right panel).

previously shown to be an optimal latent-warhead with little reactivity until placed in an optimal microenvironment created by the target protein and the warhead.²² This enabled a "slow-butaccurate" conjugation of the warhead-enabled aptamer to thrombin. Once a covalent aptamer is identified by selection, the slow AFS warhead could be altered to a more reactive warhead²⁶ such as BSF¹² to increase the rate of covalent reaction with the target important to potential utility as a drug. Third, the use of nanobeads to anchor the target protein allowed high stringency wash during the aptamer selection and recovery of only the covalently bound aptamers, reducing background noise in the HTS readout from non-covalent interactors or non-specific binders. This together with optimization of the overall protocol including proteinase K digestion to enable polymerase read-through of the target-bound aptamers, preselection of the library with BSA-nano beads to reduce non-specific interactors, and k-mer-based bioinformatics analysis enabled identification of covalent binders from the HTS readout after only one round of selection.

Despite the initial excitement over aptamers, fundamental limitations of rapid elimination from renal clearance and nuclease sensitivity have precluded the translational application of this promising drug modality. Recent exploration of covalent aptamers where the warhead-endowed single stranded nucleotide forms a covalent bond with the target protein suggests that covalent aptamers may circumvent these fundamental limitations.⁷ A significant remaining barrier to further acceleration of exploration of covalent aptamers as a drug modality has been the cumbersome trial-and-error approach required for determining the optimal position of warhead incorporation. The single round non-SELEX covalent aptamer screening that easily allows simultaneous identification of the aptamer sequence and the probable position of warhead incorporation described in this work, if reproducible for other targets, is likely to accelerate the resurgence of interest in aptamer drugs.

This work was supported by a JSPS KAKENHI grant (#21K05287, 21K12123, 20K20533), and JKA and its promotion funds from KEIRIN RACE. We thank Hokkaido Univ. Dept GI Surgery for the free use of the laboratory.

Data availability

Data supporting the findings of this study have been deposited in the ESI† of this article, including raw data of HTS results as three independent files of fastq format, and their summary on the basis of the top-*k*-mer analysis as an excel file. The details, including their manipulation methods using several web server, are written in the ESI.†

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Notes and references

- 1 D. S. Johnson, E. Weerapana and B. F. Cravatt, *Future Med. Chem.*, 2010, **2**, 949–964.
- 2 J. Singh, R. C. Petter, T. A. Baillie and A. Whitty, *Nat. Rev. Drug Discovery*, 2011, **10**, 307–317.
- 3 R. A. Bauer, Drug Discovery Today, 2015, 20, 1061-1073.
- 4 F. Sutanto, M. Konstantinidou and A. Domling, RSC Med. Chem., 2020, 11, 876–884.
- 5 M. S. Rao, R. Gupta, M. J. Liguori, M. Hu, X. Huang, S. R. Mantena, S. W. Mittelstadt, E. A. G. Blomme and T. R. Van Vleet, *Front. Big Data*, 2019, 2, 25.
- 6 Q. Li, Q. Chen, P. C. Klauser, M. Li, F. Zheng, N. Wang, X. Li, Q. Zhang, X. Fu, Q. Wang, Y. Xu and L. Wang, *Cell*, 2020, 182, 85–97e16.
- 7 J. Yang, Y. Tabuchi, R. Katsuki and M. Taki, *Int. J. Mol. Sci.*, 2023, 24, 3525.
- 8 N. V. Mehta and M. S. Degani, Drug Discovery Today, 2023, 28, 103799.
- 9 N. Shindo and A. Ojida, Bioorg. Med. Chem., 2021, 47, 116386.
- 10 L. Hillebrand, X. J. Liang, R. A. M. Serafim and M. Gehringer, J. Med. Chem., 2024, 67, 7668–7758.
- 11 Y. Tivon, G. Falcone and A. Deiters, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2021, **60**, 15899–15904.
- 12 Y. Tabuchi, J. Yang and M. Taki, Chem. Commun., 2021, 57, 2483-2486.
- 13 J. H. Zhou and J. Rossi, *Nat. Rev. Drug Discovery*, 2017, **16**, 181–202. 14 D. Smith, G. P. Kirschenheuter, J. Charlton, D. M. Guidot and
- J. E. Repine, *Chem. Biol.*, 1995, 2, 741–750.
- 15 J. Charlton, G. P. Kirschenheuter and D. Smith, *Biochemistry*, 1997, 36, 3018–3026.
- 16 K. B. Jensen, B. L. Atkinson, M. C. Willis, T. H. Koch and L. Gold, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A., 1995, 92, 12220–12224.
- 17 I. S. MacPherson and T. Liedl, Aptamers, 2018, 2, 74-81.
- 18 Z. Qin, K. Zhang, P. He, X. Zhang, M. Xie, Y. Fu, C. Gu, Y. Zhu, A. Tong, H. Wei, C. Zhang and Y. Xiang, *Nat. Chem.*, 2023, 15, 1705–1714.
- 19 Q. Zheng, J. L. Woehl, S. Kitamura, D. Santos-Martins, C. J. Smedley, G. Li, S. Forli, J. E. Moses, D. W. Wolan and K. B. Sharpless, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, 2019, **116**, 18808–18814.
- 20 S. N. Carneiro, S. R. Khasnavis, J. S. Lee, T. W. Butler, J. D. Majmudar, C. A. W. Ende and N. D. Ball, *Org. Biomol. Chem.*, 2023, 21, 1356–1372.
- 21 F. Pfeiffer, F. Tolle, M. Rosenthal, G. M. Brandle, J. Ewers and G. Mayer, *Nat. Protoc.*, 2018, **13**, 1153–1180.
- 22 Y. Tabuchi, T. Watanabe, R. Katsuki, Y. Ito and M. Taki, *Chem. Commun.*, 2021, 57, 5378–5381.
- 23 T. Schütze, B. Wilhelm, N. Greiner, H. Braun, F. Peter, M. Mörl, V. A. Erdmann, H. Lehrach, Z. Konthur, M. Menger, P. F. Arndt and J. Glökler, *PLoS One*, 2011, 6, e29604.
- 24 S. Hoon, B. Zhou, K. D. Janda, S. Brenner and J. Scolnick, *Biotechniques*, 2011, **51**, 413–416.
- 25 C. Riccardi, E. Napolitano, C. Platella, D. Musumeci and D. Montesarchio, *Pharmacol. Ther.*, 2021, **217**, 107649.
- 26 S. Uematsu, Y. Tabuchi, Y. Ito and M. Taki, *Bioconjugate Chem.*, 2018, **29**, 1866–1871.