View Article Online

# Sustainable Food Technology

# Accepted Manuscript

This article can be cited before page numbers have been issued, to do this please use: L. W. Browning, H. Wang, J. W. Taylor, P. Wilde, M. Rodriquez-Garcia, L. Holland and T. Knowles, *Sustainable Food Technol.*, 2024, DOI: 10.1039/D4FB00375F.



This is an Accepted Manuscript, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. We will replace this Accepted Manuscript with the edited and formatted Advance Article as soon as it is available.

You can find more information about Accepted Manuscripts in the Information for Authors.

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard <u>Terms & Conditions</u> and the <u>Ethical guidelines</u> still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this Accepted Manuscript or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.



Sustainability spotlight statement:

View Article Online DOI: 10.1039/D4FB00375F

Single-use plastics are a major problem in the global plastic pollution crisis. Their poor biodegradation and difficult recyclability increases the presence of microplastics in natural environments, which harms biota. Currently, many encapsulation techniques rely on use of synthetic polymers to form protective shells around active ingredients, which contributes to the formation of microplastics. We have developed encapsulates made with natural plant polymers, which are biodegradable, renewable and edible. Our work aligns with UN SDGs on multiple fronts: our encapsulation technology can be used to fortify food & beverages with nutrients that support good health and well-being; and replacing plastic with natural, biodegradable polymers supports life on land and below water.

# Digestibility and enteric release achieved with microencapsulates made from //D4FB00375F emulsion-templated plant proteins

# Authors

Luke Wayne Browning<sup>a\*</sup>, Huafu Wang<sup>a</sup>, James Ward Taylor<sup>a</sup>, Pete Wilde<sup>b</sup>, Marc Rodriguez-Garcia<sup>a</sup>, Lynette Holland<sup>a</sup>, Tuomas P. J. Knowles<sup>ac</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Xampla Ltd, Bioinnovation Centre, 25 Cambridge Science Park Road, Cambridge, CB4 0FW <sup>b</sup>Quadram Institute Bioscience, Rosalind Franklin Road, Norwich Research Park, Norwich, NR4 7UQ <sup>c</sup>Yusuf Hamied Department of Chemistry, Lensfield Road, Cambridge CB2 1EW

# Abstract

This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 Unported Licence

Open Access Article. Published on 26 February 2025. Downloaded on 2/26/2025 10:53:04 PM.

Microencapsulation of functional ingredients in food and drinks can improve their stability through manufacture, shelf-life, and digestion. A key challenge is to discover materials and approaches that allow cargo to be protected under gastric digestion conditions, yet provide subsequently effective release in the intestine where many actives are most effectively absorbed. Here, we address this challenge by developing a robust plant protein microcapsule with ability to retain oil-based cargo during simulated digestive conditions. To generate the capsule, pea protein isolate was exposed to aqueous organic acid and high shear to form a stable colloidal dispersion. The aqueous dispersion was subsequently emulsified with a test cargo (vitamin D2) dissolved in a solid lipid phase and spray dried to produce microcapsules with a  $D_{50}$  of 19  $\mu$ m. This process yielded microcapsules with smooth, continuous surfaces and effective internal encapsulation. The stability of microcapsules and release of vitamin D2 cargo was characterised by a static in vitro digestion model following the INFOGEST protocol. The results show that the processing conditions of the pea protein did not negatively impact digestibility. Crucially, our results further show that microcapsules are resilient to gastric conditions but highly susceptible to intestinal conditions, supporting an enteric release profile for vitamin D2 cargo. This study provides a model for encapsulation of oil-soluble cargoes and inspires the development of other encapsulates that would benefit from protective and controlled release mechanisms in food and beverage matrices.

Open Access Article. Published on 26 February 2025. Downloaded on 2/26/2025 10:53:04 PM.

# 1. Introduction

View Article Online DOI: 10.1039/D4FB00375F

Microencapsulation involves embedding active ingredients in a microscale matrix, offering physical protection from external conditions and providing a means to release cargo at an advantageous time. Often, active ingredients are highly sensitive to environmental challenges, so encapsulation provides crucial improvements in shelf life in product formulations and prevents premature activation. Common environmental challenges encountered during product manufacture, shelf life and consumer storage include light, acidic or alkaline pH, temperature, ionic strength, humidity and mechanical disruption. All of these factors may cause degradation of active ingredients and leakage from within capsule cores. A further important challenge with active components is their controlled delivery to the optimum site within the human body for absorption. Commonly for oral administration routes, this implies delivery to the intestine where many small molecules, such as vitamins, can be absorbed effectively. To reach the intestine, capsules have to survive the highly degradation-promoting conditions in oral cavity and the stomach, yet be able to release their cargo effectively once they reach the intestine.

Currently, many encapsulation techniques rely on use of synthetic polymers to form protective shells around active ingredients<sup>1</sup>. However, synthetic encapsulates may not be suitable for pharmaceutical or food applications and lead to formation of microplastics, which are harmful to biota.<sup>2–4</sup> Encapsulates made with natural polymers, such as proteins and polysaccharides from animal or plant sources, are advantageous because they are biodegradable, renewable and edible. There is growing interest in encapsulation of various actives to enhance nutritional or sensory value of food and beverage products. In light of global deficiencies of critical nutrients, such as vitamin D, fortification of 'convenience' food and beverages with nutrient encapsulates has become an attractive prospect.<sup>5,6</sup>

Proteins and polysaccharides already have diverse functionalities in food and beverage applications but development of discrete particles with mechanical and chemical robustness is challenging. The solubility and colloidal stability of microcapsules in solution is determined by formulation and processing conditions. The most common techniques for production of microcapsules from natural polymers are complex coacervation and spray drying, each with respective advantages and disadvantages. Complex coacervates can produce larger particles in aqueous systems with potentially high active loading but particles are often mechanically weak; on the other hand spray dried particles tend to be smaller with lower active loading but their production is simple, highly scalable and they can be more mechanically robust depending on polymer response to dehydration and processing parameters, such as concentration, temperature, pH and combinations with adjuncts.<sup>7,8</sup> Strengthening of microcapsules by covalent (and non-covalent) crosslinking can be achieved but extensive chemical modification may drift too far from consumer expectations of 'natural' foods.

The film forming and emulsification properties of proteins and polysaccharides have been demonstrated for production of various oil-based encapsulates by spray drying. The benefits of minimising odour and oxidation of high-value polyunsaturated fatty acids (PUFAs) and protection of other functional oils has been well-studied.<sup>8,9</sup> However, presence of polysaccharides can increase solubility of microcapsules, leading to fast dissolution in aqueous systems and so inadequate protection of actives over useful timeframes.<sup>10</sup> Therefore, the qualities of current encapsulates may not alleviate existing wasteful practices in industry, such as ingredient overages and increased cost.<sup>11,12</sup>

We have developed a novel pea protein microcapsule system<sup>13</sup> and for this study have focussed Very Article Online elucidating the relationship between plant processing and digestibility, and characterised the controlled release of the active throughout simulated digestive conditions (Figure 1). Samples under digestibility analysis followed different stages of processing the microcapsules and include the raw starting material pea protein isolate (PPI), processed <u>a</u>cid-<u>t</u>reated pea protein <u>d</u>ispersion (ATD) and spray dried encapsulates (Microcapsule) containing vitamin D2 as a test cargo.

Our work addresses the need for robust microcapsules made from natural, biodegradable materials with several useful properties, such as: encapsulation of oil-soluble cargo; microscopic sizing; dispersibility in aqueous systems; resilience to gastric digestion and enteric-release profiles.

Figure 1 – Outline of work in this study. We are investigating the digestibility of PPI as a raw material, as a processed dispersion, and as the final spray dried vitamin D2 encapsulate.



Open Access Article. Published on 26 February 2025. Downloaded on 2/26/2025 10:53:04 PM.

# 2.1 Material suppliers

Acetic acid (glacial 99.9%; industrial 96%), methanol (analytical grade) and ethanol (analytical grade) were purchased from Fisher Scientific, UK. Vitamin D2 oil (1 MIU in Sunflower oil) was purchased from Nutraceuticals Group, UK. Vitamin D2 powder (100,000 IU/g; gum acacia based) was purchased from Prinova, UK. Vitamin D2 standard (ergocalciferol #95220) was purchased from Sigma, UK. Softisan 100 was purchased from IOI Oleochemical GmbH, Germany. PPI (ProEarth 80% P16109) was purchased from Cambridge Commodities, UK. Potassium hydroxide and sodium ascorbate were purchased from Thermo Fisher Scientific, UK. Enzymes and other digestive reagents used for *in vitro* digestion were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich, UK, and corresponded to the specific products recommended by the INFOGEST network.<sup>14</sup>

# 2.2 Lab scale production of samples

# 2.2.1 Acid-treated pea protein dispersion (ATD)

Preparation of acidic dispersions with highly insoluble plant proteins was inspired by the previous work of Kamada et al.<sup>15</sup> 1120 g RO water and 216 g PPI were added to a 2-litre stainless steel container and mixed with an overhead stirrer for 3 min at 1500 RPM in a 85°C water bath. 480 g glacial acetic acid was added and the slurry was stirred for 15 min at 1500 RPM then 30 min at 1200 RPM, ensuring the temperature of the slurry surpassed 85°C for at least 10 min. The slurry was poured into trays at approx. 1 cm depth and left to cool overnight, forming a protein hydrogel. Protein hydrogel was cut into ~1 cm cubes and divided among two 75 µm filter bags which were each submerged in buckets containing 16 L of reverse osmosis (RO) water. Gel cubes were washed to remove excess acid with gentle agitation from an overhead stirrer at 600-800 RPM for 90-150 min. Washing was considered complete when pH of wash water was between 2.9 and 3.2. Filter bags were suspended above buckets to drain the gel cubes for 5 min. Washed cubes were transferred to a 5 L beaker and homogenised with a Silverson L5M-A at 5000 RPM for 5 min, 6000 RPM for 5 min and 7000 RPM for 5 min. The homogenised slurry was then divided into two ~800 g batches and ultrasonicated with a Hielscher UP500Hdt on ice with periodic shaking until 250 kJ had been applied to each batch. The pea protein dispersion was combined, passed through a 200  $\mu$ m sieve and stored at 4°C until used.

Percentage solids content of the final dispersion (abbreviated to 'ATD'; typically 10% w/w) was determined by measuring mass remaining after drying at 120°C for 2 hr and dispersions were stored at 4°C until used.

# 2.2.2 Comparative pea protein dispersion without acid

Since the final solids content of acidic dispersion was typically 10% w/w, we decided to formulate 10% w/w PPI solids in the dispersion without acid. 720 g RO water and 80 g PPI were added to a 1litre stainless steel container and mixed with an overhead stirrer at 1200 RPM in a 85°C water bath, ensuring the temperature of the slurry surpassed 85°C for 10 min. The slurry was allowed to cool to ambient temperature, then homogenised with a Silverson L5M-A at 5000 RPM for 5 min, 6000 RPM for 5 min and 7000 RPM for 5 min before ultrasonication with a Hielscher UP500Hdt on ice with periodic shaking until 250 kJ had been applied. The pea protein dispersion was passed through  $a^{V200}_{D01039/D4FB00375F}$  µm sieve and stored at 4°C until used.

# 2.2.3 Emulsions with vitamin D2 and solid lipid

Pea protein dispersions with and without acid were used as an emulsifier for vitamin D2 oil (1 MIU/g) mixed with solid lipid (Softisan 100). Before addition to dispersion, a 30/70 w/w blend of vitamin D2 oil and Softisan was melted together at 50°C for at least two hours with periodic shaking. The oily blend was added to pre-warmed dispersion at 40°C such that the final formulation contained 1.7% w/w of the vitamin D2/Softisan lipid blend and 98.3% pea protein dispersion. The mixture was emulsified with a Silverson L5M-A at 8000 RPM for 10 min then passed through a 200  $\mu$ m sieve.

# 2.2.4 Spray drying of emulsions to make vitamin D2 microcapsules

Spray drying conditions were considered with reference to parameters chosen by other researchers for protein and other natural polymers (reviewed for example by Piñón-Balderrama et al; 2020<sup>8</sup>). Emulsions pre-warmed to ~45°C were spray dried using a Buchi Mini Spray Dryer B-290 with a 1.4 mm nozzle using the following parameters: Inlet temp 180°C; outlet temp 110-114°C; Q-flow 40; feed temp 40°C and feed rate approx. 10.5 ml/min (adjusted to hit target outlet temp).

Microcapsules were typically dried to 8.5% w/w moisture and stored in the dark until used.

# 2.3 Pilot scale production of samples

Samples investigated in this study for digestibility include the raw starting material PPI, processed acid-treated pea protein dispersion (ATD) and spray dried vitamin D2 encapsulates (Microcapsule) made on larger spray drying equipment. These samples were chosen because they reflect key stages of the microcapsule manufacturing process and when intermittent (dispersion) and significant (spray drying) processing is involved.

# 2.3.1 Acid-treated pea protein dispersion (ATD)

ATD was made according to the method above, in section 2.2.1.

# 2.3.2 Emulsions with vitamin D2 and solid lipid

Emulsions containing vitamin D2 were made according to the method above, in section 2.2.2.

# 2.3.3 Spray drying of emulsions to make vitamin D2 microcapsules

Emulsions pre-warmed to ~45°C were spray dried using a pilot scale co-current spray dryer equipped with a 2-fluid nozzle. The drying chamber was approximately 1 m in diameter and 2.1 m in height. The nominal evaporative capacity was 3 kg  $H_2O/hr$  at 150°C, with a nominal airflow of 125 m<sup>3</sup>/hr. The fluid was pumped into the spray dryer using a peristaltic pump with the feed flow rate adjusted to achieve the target outlet temperature. The following parameters were used: Inlet temp 180°C; outlet temp 88°C; atomising pressure 40 psi; feed temp 40°C and feed rate approx. 87 ml/min (adjusted to hit target outlet temp).

Microcapsules were typically dried to 8.5% w/w moisture and stored dark until used.

Open Access Article. Published on 26 February 2025. Downloaded on 2/26/2025 10:53:04 PM.

### View Article Online DOI: 10.1039/D4FB00375F

Light microscopy images were obtained using an open Frame microscope equipped with a CellCam 200CR camera, Aura Pro phase contrast illuminator and universal plan fluorite objectives at 4x, 10x and 20x. Samples were prepared for imaging by adding microcapsules suspended in RO water to glass slides with cover slips. For SEM, samples were prepared by pipetting microcapsules suspended in RO water onto double-sided carbon adhesive and left to dry overnight. The samples were sputtered with 10 nm platinum using a Quorum Technologies Q150T ES sputter coater. SEM images were acquired using Tescan Mira3 operating at 5 kV and a 6 mm working distance.

# 2.5 Particle size analysis

Particle size was measured with an Anton Paar laser diffraction particle size analyser PSA 1190. Measurements were carried out by diluting microcapsules in an aqueous solution with 2% w/v acetic acid. The test material was diluted to the required concentration to prevent microcapsule aggregation and to have the desired optical density (2-8% obscuration) for the measurement. The  $D_{50}$  quoted is for the volume distribution, as calculated via a general analysis using Mie theory within Anton Paar's Kalliope<sup>TM</sup> software (Anton Paar, Germany).

# 2.6 Sample preparation for digestion

2.4 Light and Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM)

The solids content of all samples was equalised for a fairer comparison by *in vitro* digestion. Samples were baselined against ATD because the solids content of this sample could not be manipulated once made. Since the percentage solids content of ATD was measured as 10.4% w/w, all other samples were prepared as 10.4% w/w slurries using RO water (for microcapsules) or 7.2% w/w acetic acid (for PPI) as diluents. Samples were mixed to homogeneity before addition to oral digesta.

# 2.7 In vitro digestions

Samples were digested using a static *in vitro* digestion model following the INFOGEST protocol<sup>14</sup> Starting with a simulated oral phase, also known as a model "chew", followed by simulated gastric and duodenal digestions.

Briefly, each sample (5 g) was subjected to an oral phase of digestion in the presence of salivary amylase (Sigma, 75 U/ml) for 2 min at 37°C, pH 7.0, followed by gastric digestion in the presence of pepsin (Sigma, 2000 U/ml) and gastric lipase (Lipolytech RGE25, 60 U/ml) for 2 h at 37°C, pH 3.0, and a duodenal digestion in the presence of pancreatin (Sigma, 100 U/ml) and bile solution (Sigma, 10 mM) for 2 h at 37°C, pH 7.0. Individual enzymes trypsin (100 U/mL, Merck, T0303) and chymotrypsin (25 U/mL, Merck, C4129) were used in place of pancreatin for the PPI and the ATD samples in order to understand the fate of individual proteins.

The digestion study was in two stages. Firstly, we wanted to understand the fate of individual proteins in the PPI and ATD sample to determine the effect of processing on the PPI sample, so for the PPI and ATD samples we used individual enzymes (trypsin, chymotrypsin and lipase) during the intestinal phase. For the microcapsules, the main aim was to understand the overall digestibility and vitamin D2 release in a more realistic simulation, so the pancreatin extract was used as the enzyme source during the intestinal phase for the microcapsule sample.

Aliquots (100  $\mu$ L) taken at different time points (baseline, post oral digestion, after 2, 5, 30, 60 at 20 min gastric digestion, after 2, 5, 30, 60 and 120 min duodenal digestion) were stored at -20°C for further analysis. Due to the difficulties in consistently sampling microcapsules, separate runs for each time point (3 time points in the gastric phase and 4 time points in the intestinal phase) were performed. After centrifugation (5,000 g for 10 min), both the supernatant and pellet were separated for further analysis. Digesta samples were centrifuged prior to protein analysis as described below.

# 2.8 Protein Digestibility

This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 Unported Licence

Open Access Article. Published on 26 February 2025. Downloaded on 2/26/2025 10:53:04 PM.

Protein digestibility was investigated using two separate techniques. Aliquots (100  $\mu$ L) of the digesta were taken and centrifuged (5,000 g for 10 min) to separate the insoluble material (pellet) from the soluble, bioaccessible material (supernatant) and subjected to subsequent analyses.

# 2.8.1 Sodium dodecyl sulfate-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE) analysis

SDS-PAGE was performed on the supernatant of all digesta samples to determine how quickly individual, free proteins were digested. Samples (65  $\mu$ l) were diluted into NuPAGE reducing agent (Invitrogen, 10  $\mu$ l) and NuPAGE LDS sample buffer (Invitrogen, 25  $\mu$ l) and heated to 70°C for 10 min before applying to gel. Baseline samples corresponded to the starting material as received and measured using the entire sample with no centrifugation step. Protein separation was run at 200 V for appropriate duration (usually approx. 40 min) using tris-glycine-SDS running buffer as per manufacturer's instructions (Bio-Rad Laboratories Inc, USA).

# 2.8.2 Nitrogen determination

Total nitrogen analysis was performed on the insoluble pellet to determine how much soluble protein was released and available for digestion and absorption. This was determined using the Dumas combustion technique using a LECO CHN elemental analyser. Total nitrogen analysis was performed on the starting material (baseline) and at three (3) time points during digestion (oral, end of gastric, and end of intestinal) for each sample. Measurements were carried out in triplicate.

# 2.9 Vitamin D2 quantification by High-Performance Liquid Chromatography (HPLC)

Methods for the saponification of vitamin D2 prior to HPLC were based on Upreti *et al*<sup>16</sup> (2002) and international standard method EN 12821:2009.<sup>17</sup> HPLC analysis was performed on an Agilent 1200 Infinity with a reverse phase column (Phenomenex Kinetex 5  $\mu$ m EVO-C18 150 x 4.6mm) using methanol/water (95/5, w/w) as eluent, and UV detection at 265 nm. Flow rate: 1.0 ml/min; Injection volume: 10  $\mu$ l; Run time: 10 min.

Vitamin D2 standard (ergocalciferol, Sigma-Aldrich, #95220) was typically made into a stock solution of 2 mg/g in absolute ethanol. Stock solution was diluted further in 50% KOH/ethanol (40/60, w/w) for preparing a series of calibrants ranging from 20 to 0.02  $\mu$ g/g. An average response factor across the calibrants and masses of samples were used to calculate concentration of vitamin D2 in samples.

The masses of various samples (dry powder, supernatants and pellets) were recorded for mass balance and calculation of vitamin D2 concentrations.

Open Access Article. Published on 26 February 2025. Downloaded on 2/26/2025 10:53:04 PM.

# 2.9.1 Analysis of dry powder samples of microcapsules:

## View Article Online DOI: 10.1039/D4FB00375F

50 mg of microcapsules was suspended in 50 mg sodium ascorbate and 5 g 50% KOH/ethanol (40/60, w/w). Samples were sonicated and saponified in an ultrasonic water bath for 60-90 min without heating. Full breakage of microcapsules was confirmed by microscopy before proceeding. 1 ml of sample was centrifuged at 12,000 g for 15 min and supernatant containing vitamin D2 was transferred into amber HPLC vials for injection.

# 2.9.2 Analysis of pellets and supernatants from digesta:

Replicate samples were set up in parallel so that digesta could be processed at successive time points throughout digestive stages. At each time point, samples were centrifuged for 15 min at 4,000 g to separate pellets from supernatants, which represented retained *vs* released vitamin D2, respectively. Samples were processed as described above with the following exceptions: sodium ascorbate was added at approximately 50 mg/g of **oral**, **gastric** and **intestinal** pellets; **oral** and **intestinal** pellets were suspended in 5 g 50% KOH/ethanol (40/60, w/w); **gastric** pellets were suspended in 10 g 50% KOH/ethanol (40/60, w/w); and approx. 5 g of **supernatants** from all digestive phases were suspended in 30 mg sodium ascorbate and 5 g 50% KOH/ethanol (40/60, w/w).

# 2.9.3 Analysis of pellets and supernatants from leakage tests

10 g of boiling water was added to 100 mg of microcapsules containing vitamin D2. The sample was mixed well, vortexed for 2 min, then centrifuged for 15 min at 4,000 g to separate pellets from supernatants, which represented retained *vs* released vitamin D2, respectively. Sample pellets were then processed as described above in section 2.9.1. Sample supernatants were processed as described above in section 2.9.2.

# 2.9.4 Analysis of pellet and supernatant from comparative, unencapsulated vitamin D2 control

234 mg vitamin D2 powder was added to 5 ml of RO water then subjected to an oral phase of digestion as described above in section 2.7. The quantity of vitamin D2 powder added was calculated to match the same quantity of vitamin D2 in microcapsule samples. Sample pellets were then processed as described above in section 2.9.1. Sample supernatants were processed as described above in section 2.9.2.

# 2.10 Statistical analyses

Experimental samples were prepared in triplicate where stated and significance differences between samples means were evaluated by one-way ANOVA with significance level (p < 0.05) and pairwise comparisons of multiple means were evaluated using Tukey Honest Significant Difference (HSD) test (p < 0.05).

# 3. Results & Discussion

View Article Online DOI: 10.1039/D4FB00375F

# 3.1 Comparative leakage test of microcapsules made at lab scale

Whilst the intake of vitamin D is acceptable as D2 or D3, we decided to encapsulate vitamin D2 as the test cargo for this study because it can be sourced synthetically and avoids ethical concerns of using vitamin D3, which is often sourced from animals. As an early indication on the robustness of spray dried microcapsules made at lab scale from acid and non-acid dispersions, we quantified the retention and leakage of vitamin D2 cargo after exposure of the microcapsules to boiling water and vortex mixing for 2 min. These stresses would approximate pasteurisation conditions typically encountered during food and beverage processing. Microcapsules made from acid-based pea protein dispersion (ATD) had no detectable leakage of vitamin D2. Conversely, microcapsules made from a comparative non-acid dispersion leaked 25% of vitamin D2 and were clearly not suitable for further experiments and long-term stability in food & beverage matrices (Table 1; absolute data with statistical analysis in Figure 1, Supplementary Information). Percentage release from microcapsules is expressed as the amount of vitamin D2 released into sample supernatants as a portion of total vitamin D2 recovered from both sample supernatants and pellets. These findings corroborate previous investigations into the stability of encapsulates and other structured materials when organic acids are included in dispersion formulations to lower pH and cause gelation of plant proteins.<sup>13,15</sup> Since only the acid-based dispersion effectively encapsulated vitamin D2, our study focussed on this formulation for subsequent experiments.

The average loading of active was determined by microcapsule disintegration and quantification by HPLC. Microcapsules contained 943  $\mu$ g/g and 1363  $\mu$ g/g vitamin D2 when made from acid-based and non-acid dispersions, respectively.

Table 1 – leakage of vitamin D2 from spray dried microcapsules made with acid-based and non-acid dispersions at lab scale. The recovery of vitamin D2 was calculated by summing the quantities retained and released and expressing it as a percentage of the total quantity of vitamin D2 in the original samples.

Sample	Retained (% of detected)	Released (% of detected)	Recovery (% of added)
Acid-based dispersion (ATD)	100	ND	88
Comparative non-acid dispersion	75	25	94

# Vitamin D2

# 3.2 Characterisation of spray dried vitamin D2 microcapsules made at pilot scale

Emulsion from ATD spray dried at pilot scale formed discrete microscopic particles, resembling collapsed wrinkled spheres. To confirm the robustness of microcapsules spray dried at pilot scale, as was evident at lab scale, leakage of vitamin D2 was also not detected after challenging microcapsules with the boiling water test mentioned above (Table 1, Supplementary Information).

Open Access Article. Published on 26 February 2025. Downloaded on 2/26/2025 10:53:04 PM.

Typical microcapsule morphologies under light and SEM microscopy are shown in Figures 2 and yew Article Online respectively. Particles formed smooth, continuous surfaces and showed no obvious partitioning of oil-vitamin phase into larger pockets, showing good stability of oil droplets in emulsion and prevention of coalescence during spray drying. General morphologies under SEM are similar to those observed by others spray drying oil emulsions with plant or animal proteins.<sup>10,18–20</sup> Surface depressions caused by collapse during drying particularly resembled particles made by Di Giorgio et al,<sup>21</sup> who encapsulated fish oil with soy protein, whose gelling and film-forming behaviour of major storage proteins are highly similar to those of pea. Although broken particles were not apparent for the specific batches of microcapsules made herein, we have seen in other batches that the particle shell forms a homogenous network of oil-vitamin phase and protein hydrogel, and the particle interior is largely hollow (Figure 2, Supplementary Information). Such morphologies were also distinct features of microcapsules made from a fish oil and whey protein isolate.<sup>18</sup>

The average loading of active was determined by microcapsule disintegration and quantification by HPLC. Microcapsules contained 1035  $\mu$ g/g vitamin D2. Particle size analysis by laser diffraction showed microcapsules had a D<sub>50</sub> of 19  $\mu$ m. The sizes of particles are typical of spray dried particles and are largely influenced by the spray dryer nozzle parameters and sample viscosity.

Figure 2 - light microscopy of typical spray dried particles.



Figure 3 - SEM microscopy of typical spray dried particles, which formed collapsed, wrinkled spheres and the s



# 3.3 Digestive profiles of microcapsules

This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 Unported Licence

Open Access Article. Published on 26 February 2025. Downloaded on 2/26/2025 10:53:04 PM.

# 3.3.1 Characterisation by nitrogen measurements

Nitrogenous content of insoluble digesta was measured as a proxy for protein content in samples after each stage of digestion (Table 2). The apparent increase of nitrogen at oral stage from baseline of PPI sample can be attributed to release of non-proteinaceous components into the supernatant when samples are mixed with oral digesta, creating an artefactual enrichment of protein. Overall, the results highlight a modest amount of digestion after gastric phase but complete or near-complete digestion after intestinal phase. The absence of nitrogen above background for microcapsule samples at the end of intestinal phase suggests microcapsules are fully digested. For the purpose of this study, protein digestibility was expressed as the percentage reduction of nitrogen content from the end of the oral stage to the end of the intestinal stage, reflecting the amount of protein released into soluble phase which was subsequently digested and also allowing for any loss of soluble material during the oral phase (Table 2).

The apparent digestibility of PPI in our investigation (79%) is similar to that reported by others.<sup>22,23</sup> Nosworthy et al reported 67-75% digestibility scores according to PDCAAS (Protein Digestibility-Corrected Amino Acid Score) and DIAAS (Digestible Indispensable Amino Acid Score) methods, where the extent of digestibility was moderately affected by pea cultivar type and processing conditions, such as cooking, baking and extrusion. These authors also showed a strong correlation between rat *in vivo* digestion with *in vitro* PDCAAS/DIAAS digestion, suggesting that *in vitro* models are sometimes a good proxy for *in vivo* analysis. Jiménez-Munoz et al<sup>23</sup> characterised digestion by degree of hydrolysis (DH) and reported >63% DH in INFOGEST intestinal digestates of different commercial PPI sources. Overall, the extent of digestibility in our results is similar to the measurements of others and shows that processing does not have a negative effect on digestibility. Untreated PPI, which is the starting material for all other samples herein and which is consumed regularly in diverse food and beverage matrices, is less digestible than the processed samples. Whilst

Open Access Article. Published on 26 February 2025. Downloaded on 2/26/2025 10:53:04 PM.

we acknowledge the limitations of the INFOGEST method in representing physiological ratios of View Article Online sample (food) to final digestates, the main purpose of our study was to test the protein encapsulation system as a carrier for oil-soluble bioactive molecules, using vitamin D2 as an example and to compare its relative digestibility to the pea protein starting material.

Table 2 - total nitrogen content (% dry matter) of insoluble digesta of samples in this study. Protein digestibility (%) was determined by calculating the percentage of protein remaining at the end of intestinal phase from initial protein content at oral phase.

Sample	PPI	ATD	Microcapsule
Baseline	11.3	12.1	10.5
End Oral	12.2	12.1	9.9
End Gastric	8.3	8.0	8.9
End Intestinal	2.6	1.2	ND
Protein digestibility (%)	79	90	100

# 3.3.2 Characterisation by SDS-PAGE

We used SDS-PAGE to characterise soluble proteins/peptides released from samples throughout digestion. The results should be taken in context with the nitrogen measurements above (Table 2), which quantified unreleased proteins in the insoluble phase.

SDS-PAGE gels are shown in Figure 4. At oral phase, some soluble proteins were released from PPI and ATD but the bands were less prominent than the baselines. Proteins released at oral phase were likely derived from loosely associated aggregates and could not be digestive products due to lack of proteolytic enzymes in oral digesta.

During gastric phase, an approximately equal quantity of proteins from PPI and ATD were liberated into soluble phase according to nitrogen measurements (~30% loss from insoluble phase). However, SDS-PAGE shows that proteins released from PPI were more resistant to digestion than proteins released from ATD. This resistance may be due to differences in structural elements and aggregation state of proteins in PPI over proteins in the ATD, which could resist pepsin action. Also, the thermal and physical processes encountered by ATD may have resulted in some unfolding and denaturation, rendering them more susceptible to proteolysis.<sup>24,25</sup> Proteins were also liberated from Microcapsules but to a lesser extent than its respective dispersion, and were also resistant to pepsin action. During intestinal phase, all samples behaved similarly in that all remaining protein was quickly and fully or near-fully released into soluble phase and digested.

Overall, gastric phase differentiated the digestive profile of samples, suggesting the structure and degree of processing of samples influenced the amount of protein released during gastric phase but not during intestinal phase. The migration of protein bands across gels matched expected molecular weights of major storage proteins in pea, such as fragments of legumin, vicilin and convicilin.<sup>26–28</sup>

Figure 4 – SDS-PAGE gels showing release of soluble proteins from PPI, ATD and Microcapsule View Article Online View Article O samples throughout digestion. Note protein bands derived from digestive enzymes are shown in lanes E: lane E associated with gels for PPI and ATD samples corresponds to individual pancreatic enzymes, whereas lane E associated with the gel for Microcapsule samples corresponds to pancreatin.



# 3.3.3 Characterisation by optical microscopy

Microscopic images (Figure 5) concentrated on the morphology of the particulate material remaining in the samples, and should therefore be taken in context with quantitative nitrogen measurements and SDS-PAGE for the fullest representation of digestion. After gastric phase, microcapsules

Open Access Article. Published on 26 February 2025. Downloaded on 2/26/2025 10:53:04 PM.

underwent swelling. PPI spray dried particles and ATD also showed signs of swelling and loose View Article Online aggregation.

After intestinal phase, some aggregates remained for PPI and ATD samples whereas Microcapsules were fully digested, in which only debris from the crude pancreatic extract could be seen (bottom right, Figure 5). These observations agree with and are complimentary to the nitrogen measurements and SDS-PAGE gels herein.

Figure 5 - Toluidine Blue stained samples at x40 magnification. Scale bars =  $50 \mu m$ . Note the morphology of baseline and oral ATD samples were affected by freeze-thawing before imaging. Microcapsules were fully digested after intestinal phase and only pancreatic debris could be seen.



# 3.3.4 Vitamin D release throughout digestive phases

Replicate samples were setup in parallel with samples for digestions so that release of vitamin D2 from microcapsules into soluble phase could be quantified by HPLC. The release profile of vitamin D2

from microcapsules is shown in Figure 6 and is expressed as the percentage of vitamin D2 that Wise Article Online released at each stage of digestion. The results at oral stage show that virtually all vitamin D2 remained encapsulated (>97%) and did not leak into oral digesta. During gastric phase, a modest amount of vitamin D2 was released from microcapsules at t=5 min and t=60 min. At the end of gastric phase, t=120 min, microcapsules retained 75% of their vitamin D2 content. This finding agrees with microscopic examination, which showed that some microcapsules were swollen and partially digested (Figure 5). While microcapsules appeared resilient to gastric digestion, they were highly susceptible to intestinal digestion. Any encapsulated vitamin D2 entering intestinal stage was released within the first 5 minutes of digestion (<3% retention). This finding agrees with digestive profiles visualised by SDS-PAGE, which showed resistant protein bands throughout oral and gastric phases but no bands at intestinal phase. The absolute recovery of vitamin D2 from sample pellets and supernatants was close to the theoretical initial loadings - averaged over the respective sample replicates, 88% vitamin D2 was recovered. Small losses of vitamin D2 were likely caused by degradation and sample handling. While the data in Figure 6 is expressed as percentage release from microcapsules, which expresses the amount of vitamin D2 released into sample supernatants as a portion of total vitamin D2 recovered from both sample supernatants and pellets, statistical analysis of vitamin D2 release and retention independently demonstrates significant release and retention of cargo through phases of digestion (p < 0.05, Figure 3, Supplementary Information). A comparative, unencapsulated vitamin D2 control sample was prepared for Oral phase analysis, which showed 94% release, demonstrating the effectiveness of encapsulation in our experiment (inset graph in Figure 6; absolute data in Figure 4, Supplementary Information). The averaged total recovery of vitamin D2 in the comparative sample was 47%, which was considerably lower than the encapsulated samples (88%). However, the absolute quantity of vitamin D2 measured in the sample pellet was miniscule compared to the total quantity in the original sample, clearly demonstrating that the analyte was unencapsulated and released into the sample (Figure 4, Supplementary Information).

The high resilience of microcapsules to gastric conditions is influenced by conditions around formulation and processing. In the production of microcapsules, PPI was exposed to a relatively large amount of organic acid, which caused protein gelation and necessitated a washing step to reduce acid content and a high shear step to form a homogeneous slurry. The relatively large exposure of PPI to organic acid during production may have affected protein structure and aggregation state, reducing susceptibility of proteins to pepsin hydrolysis. Their resilience to gastric digestion is also evident despite their small sizing ( $D_{50}$  = 19  $\mu$ m), which may have influenced kinetics of digestion by effect of increased surface area. Finally, the triglyceride content of microcapsules will affect particle hydrophobicity and likely the orientation and aggregation state of proteins within and on the surface of capsules.

Open Access Article. Published on 26 February 2025. Downloaded on 2/26/2025 10:53:04 PM.

Figure 6 – Vitamin D2 release profile of microcapsules throughout digestion. Data points are an View Article Online average of three replicate samples and error around the means is represented as propagated standard error.



This work provides a novel example of a robust plant protein microcapsule produced by spray drying with ability to retain oil-based cargo during simulated gastric digestive conditions with release of cargo under intestinal conditions. Xue et al<sup>29</sup> assessed stability of solid lipid nanoparticles (SLNs) containing curcumin under simulated gastrointestinal conditions and found that particle sizes and polydispersity indices remained relatively stable throughout challenges in pH and ionic strength. However, gastric and intestinal conditions were studied independently and not *sequentially*, and leakage of curcumin was not assessed. A spray dried curcumin encapsulate made by other researchers demonstrated some protection of the active under *in vitro* digestive conditions.<sup>30</sup> Their encapsulates contained additional shell materials, such as alginate and shellac, and were reported to reduce the degradation of encapsulated curcumin *vs* free, unencapsulated curcumin during processing and digestion. However, the kinetics of cargo release and morphologies of particle disintegration throughout digestive stages were not reported and therefore controlled enteric release was not demonstrated.

While our work serves as a model for encapsulation of oil-soluble cargoes, it could be useful for nutrients that would benefit from a protein shielding mechanism, such as iron, whose absorption in the intestine is hindered by antinutritive factors, including common metal chelators phytate and polyphenols.<sup>31–34</sup> Our work may also extend to encapsulation of probiotics, whose viability suffer

from hostile gastric conditions and whose targeted delivery to the intestines in significant viable View Article Online DOI: 10.1039/D4FB00375F quantities is thought to confer their beneficial health effects.<sup>35–38</sup>

# 4. Conclusions

This study reports a novel example of a robust plant protein microcapsule produced by spray drying with ability to retain oil-based cargo during simulated digestive conditions with selective release in the enteric phase. The results show that the processing conditions of PPI did not negatively impact digestibility. Furthermore, the results show that microcapsules are resilient to gastric conditions but are highly susceptible to intestinal conditions, supporting an enteric-controlled release profile for vitamin D2 cargo. This study provides a model for encapsulation of oil-soluble cargoes and inspires the development of other encapsulates that would benefit from protective and controlled release mechanisms in food and beverage matrices.

The scalability of our approach to microencapsulation is supported by industrial spray drying equipment – the pilot-scale spray dryer used herein was designed to be directly scalable to a full-scale commercial dryer. In future work, it will be important to assess microcapsule stabilities and retention of cargoes in various food and beverage matrices before or concurrent with simulated digestion conditions, and in quantities that are closer to physiologically relevant portions of food. We plan to investigate the effect of microencapsulation on reducing exposure of sensitive nutrients to UV light, such as vitamin D2/D3, or in reducing oxidation of polyunsaturated fatty acids, such as DHA. Such protections could greatly extend the shelf life of active ingredients in fortified products and so enhance nutritional opportunities for everyday consumers.

# **Author Contributions**

Luke Wayne Browning: data curation, formal analysis, investigation, project administration, writing – original draft, writing – review & editing.

Huafu Wang: investigation, methodology

James Ward Taylor: writing - original draft, writing - review & editing

Pete Wilde: supervision, project administration, writing - original draft, writing - review & editing

Marc Rodriguez-Garcia: conceptualisation

Lynette Holland: writing - review & editing

Tuomas P. J. Knowles: conceptualisation, writing - review & editing

All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

# **Conflicts of interest**

Xampla is a company that produces plant protein-based materials for packaging and microencapsulation, including a microencapsulated vitamin D powder for food and beverage applications.

PW declares that this work was entirely funded by Xampla through QIB Extra (a subsidiary of View Article Online Quadram Institute Bioscience) which provides short and long-term high-quality strategic and applied research and consulting services.

# Data availability

Data supporting this study are available within the article and its Supplementary Information (SI)

# Acknowledgements

We would like to thank Gareth Hennighan, Stephen Roe, Terez Kolonics and James Jarratt for making dispersions; Polly Keen and Scott Stevens for spray drying vitamin D2 emulsions; Yasin Gee for vitamin D2 analysis; Ayaka Kamada for help with designing graphics and Dan Hawksley for help with data analysis. This work was supported in part by Innovate UK 10015915 for investigating the digestibility of Xampla materials.

# References

- L. He, J. Hu and W. Deng, Preparation and application of flavor and fragrance capsules, *Polym. Chem.*, 2018, **9**, 4926–4946.
- 2 A. Hasan Anik, S. Hossain, M. Alam, M. Binte Sultan, M. T. Hasnine and M. M. Rahman, Microplastics pollution: A comprehensive review on the sources, fates, effects, and potential remediation, *Environ. Nanotechnology, Monit. Manag.*, 2021, **16**, 100530.
- A. Ashrafy, A. A. Liza, M. N. Islam, M. M. Billah, S. T. Arafat, M. M. Rahman and S. M. Rahman, Microplastics Pollution: A Brief Review of Its Source and Abundance in Different Aquatic Ecosystems, J. Hazard. Mater. Adv., 2023, **9**, 100215.
- 4 G. Lamichhane, A. Acharya, R. Marahatha, B. Modi, R. Paudel, A. Adhikari, B. K. Raut, S. Aryal and N. Parajuli, Microplastics in environment: global concern, challenges, and controlling measures, *Int. J. Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 2023, **20**, 4673–4694.
- 5 M. Haham, S. Ish-Shalom, M. Nodelman, I. Duek, E. Segal, M. Kustanovich and Y. D. Livney, Stability and bioavailability of vitamin D nanoencapsulated in casein micelles, *Food Funct.*, 2012, **3**, 737–744.
- 6 R. E. Grossmann and V. Tangpricha, Evaluation of vehicle substances on vitamin D bioavailability: A systematic review, *Mol. Nutr. Food Res.*, 2010, **54**, 1055–1061.
- A. Nesterenko, I. Alric, F. Silvestre and V. Durrieu, Vegetable proteins in microencapsulation:
  A review of recent interventions and their effectiveness, *Ind. Crops Prod.*, 2013, 42, 469–479.
- 8 C. I. Piñón-Balderrama, C. Leyva-Porras, Y. Terán-Figueroa, V. Espinosa-Solís, C. Álvarez-Salas and M. Z. Saavedra-Leos, Encapsulation of Active Ingredients in Food Industry by Spray-Drying and Nano Spray-Drying Technologies, *Processes*, 2020, **8**, 889.
- M. Geranpour, E. Assadpour and S. M. Jafari, Recent advances in the spray drying encapsulation of essential fatty acids and functional oils, *Trends Food Sci. Technol.*, 2020, **102**, 71–90.
- 10 C. Bustos-Garza, J. Yáñez-Fernández and B. E. Barragán-Huerta, Thermal and pH stability of

spray-dried encapsulated astaxanthin oleoresin from Haematococcus pluvialis using severation of the se

- 11 L. T. Abe-Matsumoto, G. R. Sampaio and D. H. M. Bastos, Do the labels of vitamin A, C, and E supplements reflect actual vitamin content in commercial supplements?, *J. Food Compos. Anal.*, 2018, **72**, 141–149.
- 12 A. Flynn, L. Kehoe, Á. Hennessy and J. Walton, Estimating safe maximum levels of vitamins and minerals in fortified foods and food supplements, *Eur. J. Nutr.*, 2017, **56**, 2529–2539.
- 13 Worldwide Patent, WO2023/170156A1, 2023.

This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 Unported Licence

Open Access Article. Published on 26 February 2025. Downloaded on 2/26/2025 10:53:04 PM.

- A. Brodkorb, L. Egger, M. Alminger, P. Alvito, R. Assunção, S. Ballance, T. Bohn, C. Bourlieu-Lacanal, R. Boutrou, F. Carrière, A. Clemente, M. Corredig, D. Dupont, C. Dufour, C. Edwards, M. Golding, S. Karakaya, B. Kirkhus, S. Le Feunteun, U. Lesmes, A. Macierzanka, A. R. Mackie, C. Martins, S. Marze, D. J. McClements, O. Ménard, M. Minekus, R. Portmann, C. N. Santos, I. Souchon, R. P. Singh, G. E. Vegarud, M. S. J. Wickham, W. Weitschies and I. Recio, INFOGEST static in vitro simulation of gastrointestinal food digestion, *Nat. Protoc.*, 2019, 14, 991–1014.
- 15 A. Kamada, M. Rodriguez-Garcia, F. S. Ruggeri, Y. Shen, A. Levin and T. P. J. Knowles, Controlled self-assembly of plant proteins into high-performance multifunctional nanostructured films, *Nat. Commun.*, 2021, **12**, 1–10.
- 16 P. Upreti, V. V. Mistry and J. J. Warthesen, Estimation and fortification of vitamin D3 in pasteurized process cheese, *J. Dairy Sci.*, 2002, **85**, 3173–3181.
- 17 EN 12821:2009 Foodstuffs Determination of vitamin D by high performance liquid chromatography Measurement of cholecalciferol (D3) or ergocalciferol (D2), 2009.
- 18 Y. Wang, W. Liu, X. D. Chen and C. Selomulya, Micro-encapsulation and stabilization of DHA containing fish oil in protein-based emulsion through mono-disperse droplet spray dryer, *J. Food Eng.*, 2016, **175**, 74–84.
- 19 L. Le Priol, A. Dagmey, S. Morandat, K. Saleh, K. El Kirat and A. Nesterenko, Comparative study of plant protein extracts as wall materials for the improvement of the oxidative stability of sunflower oil by microencapsulation, *Food Hydrocoll.*, 2019, **95**, 105–115.
- 20 A. P. Pierucci, L. Andrade, M. Farina, C. Pedrosa and M. H. Rocha-Leão, Comparison of αtocopherol microparticles produced with different wall materials: Pea protein a new interesting alternative, *J. Microencapsul.*, 2007, **24**, 201–213.
- 21 L. Di Giorgio, P. R. Salgado and A. N. Mauri, Encapsulation of fish oil in soybean protein particles by emulsification and spray drying, *Food Hydrocoll.*, 2019, **87**, 891–901.
- M. G. Nosworthy, A. J. Franczyk, G. Medina, J. Neufeld, P. Appah, A. Utioh, P. Frohlich and J. D. House, Effect of Processing on the in Vitro and in Vivo Protein Quality of Yellow and Green Split Peas (Pisum sativum), J. Agric. Food Chem., 2017, 65, 7790–7796.
- 23 L. Jiménez-Munoz, M. Torp Nielsen, L. Roman and M. Corredig, Variation of in vitro digestibility of pea protein powder dispersions from commercially available sources, *Food Chem.*, 2023, **401**, 134178.
- A. E. Hall and C. I. Moraru, Comparative effects of high pressure processing and heat treatment on in vitro digestibility of pea protein and starch, *Sci. Food*, 2022, **6**, 2.
- 25 A. Rivera del Rio, A. C. Möller, R. M. Boom and A. E. M. Janssen, In vitro gastro-small intestinal digestion of conventional and mildly processed pea protein ingredients, *Food Chem.*, 2022, **387**, 132894.

- ustainable Food Technology Accepted Manuscript
- 26 M. Barac, S. Cabrilo, M. Pesic, S. Stanojevic, S. Zilic, O. Macej and N. Ristic, Profile and View Article Online functional properties of seed proteins from six pea (Pisum sativum) genotypes, *Int. J. Mol. Sci.*, 2010, **11**, 4973–4990.
- 27 M. Griga, J. Horáček and H. Klenotičová, Protein patterns associated with Pisum sativum somatic embryogenesis, *Biol. Plant.*, 2007, **51**, 201–211.
- 28 X. D. Sun and S. D. Arntfield, Gelation properties of salt-extracted pea protein isolate catalyzed by microbial transglutaminase cross-linking, *Food Hydrocoll.*, 2011, **25**, 25–31.
- J. Xue, T. Wang, Q. Hu, M. Zhou and Y. Luo, Insight into natural biopolymer-emulsified solid lipid nanoparticles for encapsulation of curcumin: Effect of loading methods, *Food Hydrocoll.*, 2018, **79**, 110–116.
- 30 Worldwide Pat., WO2020188108A1, 2020.
- 31 K. L. Moore, I. Rodríguez-Ramiro, E. R. Jones, E. J. Jones, J. Rodríguez-Celma, K. Halsey, C. Domoney, P. R. Shewry, S. Fairweather-Tait and J. Balk, The stage of seed development influences iron bioavailability in pea (Pisum sativum L.), *Sci. Rep.*, 2018, **8**, 1–11.
- 32 S. Bejjani, R. Pullakhandam, R. Punjal and K. Madhavan Nair, Gastric digestion of pea ferritin and modulation of its iron bioavailability by ascorbic and phytic acids in caco-2 cells, *World J. Gastroenterol.*, 2007, **13**, 2083–2088.
- 33 A. Perfecto, I. Rodriguez-Ramiro, J. Rodriguez-Celma, P. Sharp, J. Balk and S. Fairweather-Tait, Pea ferritin stability under gastric pH conditions determines the mechanism of iron uptake in Caco-2 cells, *J. Nutr.*, 2018, **148**, 1229–1235.
- 34 N. Abbaspour, R. Hurrell and R. Kelishadi, Review on iron and its importance for human health, *J. Res. Med. Sci.*, 2014, **19**, 3–11.
- S. Huang, M. L. Vignolles, X. D. Chen, Y. Le Loir, G. Jan, P. Schuck and R. Jeantet, Spray drying of probiotics and other food-grade bacteria: A review, *Trends Food Sci. Technol.*, 2017, **63**, 1–17.
- 36 M. Afzaal, F. Saeed, Y. A. Shah, M. Hussain, R. Rabail, C. T. Socol, A. Hassoun, M. Pateiro, J. M. Lorenzo, A. V. Rusu and R. M. Aadil, Human gut microbiota in health and disease: Unveiling the relationship, *Front. Microbiol.*, 2022, **13**, 1–14.
- S. Lebeer, P. A. Bron, M. L. Marco, J. P. Van Pijkeren, M. O'Connell Motherway, C. Hill, B. Pot,
  S. Roos and T. Klaenhammer, Identification of probiotic effector molecules: present state and future perspectives, *Curr. Opin. Biotechnol.*, 2018, 49, 217–223.
- 38 K. Hou, Z.-X. Wu, X.-Y. Chen, J.-Q. Wang, D. Zhang, C. Xiao, D. Zhu, J. B. Koya, L. Wei, J. Li and Z.-S. Chen, Microbiota in health and diseases, *Signal Transduct. Target. Ther.*, 2022, **7**, 135.

Sustainable Food Technology Accepted Manuscript

View Article Online DOI: 10.1039/D4FB00375F

# Data availability

Data supporting this study are available within the article and its Supplementary Information (SI)

[written into the article also]

