


# Green Chemistry

Cutting-edge research for a greener sustainable future

Accepted Manuscript

This article can be cited before page numbers have been issued, to do this please use: B. R. Manjunatha, K. Sun Marcus, R. M. Gomila, A. Frontera and A. J. Plajer, *Green Chem.*, 2024, DOI: 10.1039/D4GC05665E.



This is an Accepted Manuscript, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. We will replace this Accepted Manuscript with the edited and formatted Advance Article as soon as it is available.

You can find more information about Accepted Manuscripts in the [Information for Authors](#).

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard [Terms & Conditions](#) and the [Ethical guidelines](#) still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this Accepted Manuscript or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.

1. How does your work advance the field of green chemistry?

View Article Online  
DOI: 10.1039/D4GC05665E

We set a precedent for sustainable catalysis, providing access to more degradable and recyclable alternatives to current commodity materials.

2. Please can you describe your specific green chemistry achievement, either quantitatively or qualitatively?

We achieve the synthesis of sulfur-containing polymers using catalysts based on inexpensive, abundant, and colorless elements, replacing the previously required expensive, toxic, and colored chromium-based compounds.

3. How could your work be made greener and be elevated by further research?

Our catalysis still relies on air-sensitive boranes, necessitating specialized and more energy-intensive setups compared to standard benchtop conditions. Additionally, monomer synthesis yields require further optimization.



## Harnessing Borane-Potassium Cooperativity for Sulfurated Ring-Opening Copolymerisation

Article Online

DOI: 10.1039/D4GC05665E

Bhargav R. Manjunatha,<sup>a</sup> Kailey Sun Marcus,<sup>b</sup> Rosa M. Gomila,<sup>c</sup> Antonio Frontera,<sup>c</sup> Alex J. Plajer<sup>a,d\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Makromolekulare Chemie, Universität Bayreuth, Universitätsstraße 30, 95447 Bayreuth \*email: alex.plajer@uni-bayreuth.de; Institut für Chemie und Biochemie, Freie Universität Berlin, Fabeckstraße 34-36, Berlin 14195, Germany; <sup>c</sup> Departament de Química, Universitat de les Illes Balears, Crta. de Valldemossa km 7.5, 07122 Palma (Balears); <sup>d</sup> Bayrisches Polymer Institut (BPI), Universität Bayreuth, Universitätsstraße 30, 95447 Bayreuth.

**Abstract:** Sulfur-containing polymers, such as thioesters and thiocarbonates, can exhibit improved thermal properties and degradability compared to their all-oxygen analogues, yet their synthesis remains challenging. In this respect, ring-opening copolymerization (ROCOP) offers access to sulfur-containing polymers; however, the catalysts used for this process often rely on toxic, expensive or synthetically complex components. Here, we demonstrate that combining commercial borane Lewis acids with easily accessible potassium acetate crown ether complexes highly selectively mediates the ring-opening copolymerization of oxetanes with a wide range of sulfur-containing monomers. Mechanistic investigations clearly indicate a cooperative mode of action between boron and potassium, yielding high-melting, semicrystalline materials that exhibit improved thermal stability compared to those generated via chromium catalysis. Our study establishes new concepts in cooperative catalysis to produce sustainable materials that are otherwise difficult to access.

### Introduction

Incorporating sulfur atoms into polymer main chains can enhance material properties, for example, by improving semi-crystallinity compared to all-oxygen analogues, while also providing access to oxidative degradation pathways unavailable in current commodity materials.<sup>1–13</sup> Sulfur-containing polymers, such as polythioesters and polythiocarbonates, are typically synthesized via polycondensation or ring-opening polymerization (ROP), but these methods allow access to only a limited range of polymer structures.<sup>14–16</sup> In contrast, ring-opening copolymerization (ROCOP) of strained heterocycles with heteroallenes or (thio)anhydrides has emerged as a popular approach for synthesizing sulfur-containing copolymers, for example yielding polythioesters from the ROCOP of cyclic thioanhydrides with oxygenated heterocycles, and polythiocarbonates from carbon disulfide.<sup>17–23</sup> Although alternating enchainment of the two monomers, resulting in perfect microstructures, can be achieved, transesterification side reactions often occur, leading to a scrambled polymer microstructure—commonly referred to as O/S scrambling.<sup>24–32</sup> This scrambling process negatively impacts material properties, particularly by reducing or altogether eliminating semi-crystallinity, resulting in disordered polymers.

Addressing these issues, a series of selective sulfurated ROCOPs involving oxetane have recently been reported, mediated by heterobimetallic catalysts.<sup>33–36</sup> For example, ROCOP of phthalic thioanhydride (PTA) with

oxetane yields alternating poly(trimethylene-ester-*alt*-thioesters), ROCOP of CS<sub>2</sub> with oxetane yields poly(trimethylene dithiocarbonates), and ROCOP of PhNCS with oxetane yields the corresponding poly(monothioimidocarbonates). In these the improved nucleophilicity of sulfurated intermediates after PTA, CS<sub>2</sub> or PhNCS insertion achieves efficient ring opening of the four membered oxetane ring that typically requires activation by strong Lewis acids.<sup>36b,c</sup> Unfortunately, this process relies on toxic chromium(III) coordinated within a non-commercial, complex-to-synthesize ligand scaffold, limiting its utility in other research laboratories. Furthermore, the polymers obtained are often heavily discolored, indicating residual catalyst contamination even after multiple purification steps. Residual traces of toxic catalysts not only render the materials unsuitable for consumer applications but can also negatively impact thermal properties.<sup>37</sup> To address this issue, borane catalysis, a highly effective methodology in oxygenated ROCOP, presents a potential solution.<sup>38,39</sup> Indeed, BEt<sub>3</sub>-based borane catalysis has demonstrated selective sulfurated ROCOP, although Ph<sub>3</sub>PNPPh<sub>3</sub>Cl (PPNCl) cocatalyst was required.<sup>40</sup> Again, PPNCl is expensive and incompatible with larger-scale reactor setups, while Phosphazene residues in polymers are also known to be cytotoxic.<sup>41</sup> Therefore, viable alternatives are needed.

Addressing this problem, we report that the combination of BEt<sub>3</sub> with KOAc complexed by 18-crown-6 acts as a fast and highly selective




catalyst for the copolymerization of PTA with oxetane, yielding perfectly alternating poly(ester-*alt*-thioester) as well as enabling a range of other ROCOPs to access a wide variety of sulfur-containing polymers. The high degree of alternation results in semi-crystalline materials with melting points up to 180°C, while the facile deactivation of the catalyst improves the thermal stability of the polymers by up to 100°C compared to previous reports.

## Results and Discussions

Attempting to achieve chromium-free PTA/OX ROCOP, we first employed KOAc (Table 1, run #1) at a loading of 1 eq. Cat.: 300 eq. PTA: 600

eq. OX at 80°C, as alkali metal acetates had previously proven to be a viable ROCOP catalysts.<sup>42–44</sup> However, in this case, no polymerization occurred. Similarly, BEt<sub>3</sub> alone showed no catalytic activity. Interestingly, when KOAc and BEt<sub>3</sub> were combined (Table 1, run #5), a poly(ester-*alt*-thioester) was formed with quantitative polymer selectivity achieving 78% PTA conversion after 24 hours. In this system KOAc represents the cocatalyst, effectively replacing PPNCI which is usually referred to as the cocatalyst, and BEt<sub>3</sub> represents the catalyst. To the best of our knowledge, this catalyst pair has not been successfully employed in the past in such polymerisation reactions.



**Figure 1:** (a) PTA/OX ROCOP scheme. (b) Photograph of polymer film. (c) <sup>1</sup>H spectrum (CDCl<sub>3</sub>\*, 400 MHz) as well as (d) gel-permeation chromatogram (GPC) of copolymer corresponding to Table 1 run #9.

**Table 1:** PTA/OX ROCOP

No.	Catalyst BEt <sub>3</sub> (1) + X	Temp. (°C)	Time (h)	PTA conv. (%) [a]	TOF (h <sup>-1</sup> )	M <sub>n</sub> (Đ) (kg/mol) [b]
1	KOAc	80	24	18	2.3	-
2	1	80	24	0	0.0	-
3	1 + LiOAc	80	24	20	2.5	14.2 (1.2)
4	1 + NaOAc	80	24	66	8.3	33.9 (1.1)
5	1 + KOAc	80	24	78	9.8	25.1 (1.2)
6	1 + RbOAc	80	24	64	8.0	24.6 (1.4)
7	1 + Mg(OAc) <sub>2</sub>	80	24	16	2.0	9.4 (1.3)
8	1 + KPF <sub>6</sub>	80	24	0	0.0	-
9	1 + KOAc@18c6	80	1	98	294.0	31.4 (1.2)
10	BPh <sub>3</sub> + KOAc@18c6	80	6.3	80	38.1	23.8 (1.2)
11	(C <sub>6</sub> F <sub>5</sub> ) <sub>3</sub> B + KOAc@18c6	80	6	0	0.0	-
12	LAICl + KOAc@18c6	80	18	98	16.3	21.4 (1.5)
13	1 + KOAc@18c6 (2:1)	80	0.66	96	436.0	27.0 (1.5)
14	1 + KCl@18c6	80	10	74	22.2	12.6 (1.9)
15	1 + KOAc@18c6	60	3	100	100.0	33.2 (1.4)
16	1 + KOAc@18c6	100	0.5	88	528.0	23.4 (1.2)
17	1 + KOAc@18c6	120	1.6	74	138.8	18.9 (1.4)
18	1 + KOAc@18c6	140	0.8	60	225.0	13.7 (1.5)

ROCOPs conducted with 1 eq. Cat. + coCat.: 300 eq. PTA: 600 eq. OX. [a] Relative integral of aromatic resonances from residual PTA versus polymer in the normalised <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz) spectrum of crude mixture. [b] Determined by GPC (gel



permeation chromatography) measurements in THF calibrated against a narrow polystyrene standard. L = (S,S)-N,N'-Bis(3,5-di-tert-butylsalicylidene)-1,2-cyclohexanediamine also known as SalCy. TOF – turnover frequency calculated w.r.t to equivalents of converted PTA per equivalent of catalyst.

The polymer can be easily isolated via precipitation with methanol. Gel permeation chromatography (GPC) reveals a molecular weight of  $M_n = 25.1$  kg/mol and a polydispersity of  $\mathcal{D} = 1.2$ . The  $^1\text{H-NMR}$  spectrum of the polymer shows resonances at 7.51, 7.58, and 7.82 ppm, corresponding to an ester-thioester-substituted phthalate unit, and 2.12, 3.18, and 4.39 ppm, corresponding to the three  $\text{CH}_2$  groups from ring-opened oxetane, which in reference to previous studies, supports a perfectly alternating microstructure without ether linkages from oxetane homopropagation or linkages from O/S scrambling.  $^{13}\text{C-NMR}$  spectroscopy confirms this exhibiting sharp quarternary ester and thioester resonances at 166.59 and 193.57 ppm respectively (see ESI Figure S4). The effect of the metal cation on the ROCOP was investigated by employing different alkali and alkaline earth metal acetates as co-catalyst in combination with  $\text{BEt}_3$ . Rubidium acetate (Table 1, #6) resulted in similar molecular weights and lower turnover frequency (TOF) compared to potassium. Lithium and magnesium acetate (Table 1, run #3, #7) showed much slower rates and molecular weights. Sodium acetate showed lower TOF and somewhat improved molecular weight. Combined these results clearly indicate that the metal cation represents a real catalyst rather than just a mere spectator to the propagation chain end. Investigating the role of the acetate anion, a potassium salt with a weakly coordinating and non-nucleophilic anion  $\text{KPF}_6$  was employed as a cocatalyst but did not result in polymer formation (see ESI Figure S 14). This suggests that acetate represents the initiator of the polymerisation. Accordingly, we performed ROCOP at a reduced monomer loading (see ESI Section S2) and could indeed identify methyl ester resonances in the  $^1\text{H}$  and  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR spectra formed from acetate initiation which could further be confirmed by MALDI-TOF mass spectrometry (see ESI Figure S29). Furthermore, signals corresponding to propane diol initiated chains could be identified indicating co-initiated chain from alcohol impurities in the oxetane monomer. This produces a mixture of  $\alpha\text{-OAc}, \omega\text{-OH}$  and  $\alpha, \omega\text{-OH}$  terminated chains also explaining the bimodality of molecular weight distributions as reported for the previous chromium base catalysts.<sup>33-35</sup>

As the crown ether 18-crown-6, represents an ideal size fit for the potassium cation, we hypothesized that this could potentially enhance catalytic activity by breaking up aggregates of potassium salts and increase nucleophilicity of the anion. Indeed, employing the 18-crown-6 complex of potassium acetate ( $\text{KOAc@18c6}$ ) with  $\text{BEt}_3$  (Table 1, run #9) substantially accelerated the polymerization, resulting in near-quantitative PTA conversion within 1 hour and yielding perfectly alternating poly(ester-alt-thioester) with  $M_n = 31.4$  kg/mol ( $\mathcal{D} = 1.2$ ). Having demonstrated that the coordinative environment of potassium influences ROCOP, we then turned our attention to the effect of the Lewis acid, with the goal of investigation the potentially cooperative mode of action between boron and potassium.

Replacing  $\text{BEt}_3$  with its more Lewis acidic aromatic variant,  $\text{BPh}_3$  (Table 1, run #10), slowed down the polymerization, requiring six times longer and resulting in a slightly decreased PTA conversion of 80%, yielding a polymer with  $M_n = 23.8$  kg/mol and a good polydispersity of  $\mathcal{D} = 1.2$ . Moving to  $(\text{C}_6\text{F}_5)_3\text{B}$  resulted in no catalytic activity (Table 1, run #11), consistent with the observation that increased borane Lewis acidity negatively correlates with catalyst activity. When the commercial (S,S)-N,N'-Bis(3,5-di-tert-butylsalicylidene)-1,2-cyclohexanediamine aluminum chloride complex (also known as Salcy) was used in place of  $\text{BEt}_3$  (Table 1, run #12), the ROCOP was much slower and 98% conversion was achieved in 18 h while the  $M_n$  was 21.4 kDa (of  $\mathcal{D} = 1.5$ ). Increasing the stoichiometry of the Lewis acid,  $\text{BEt}_3$ , relative to  $\text{KOAc@18c6}$  led to slightly faster ROCOP; however, this came at the expense of polydispersity, yielding a polymer with a broader molecular weight distribution of  $\mathcal{D} = 1.5$ . Similarly, moving away from the acetate coligand, which likely acts as an initiator, negatively impacted catalysis. Replacing acetate with chloride, i.e.,  $\text{KCl@18c6}$  (Table 1, run #14), slowed down ROCOP by more than an order of magnitude, achieving 74% conversion in 10 hours and resulting in decreased molecular weights and much broader polydispersity of  $\mathcal{D} = 1.9$ . This suggests not only slower initiation by the chloride coligand but also more side reactions, such as




chain-end coupling involving the alkyl chloride chain ends.<sup>45</sup>

To study the effect of reaction temperature, we performed polymerization runs analogous to run #1 at temperatures ranging from 60°C to 140°C (Table 1, run #15 to #18). Reaction times were adapted in a way that excessive continuation of the polymerisation past full monomer consumption is avoided as we previously showed this to negatively affect sequence selectivity due to intermolecular scrambling reactions which can also lead to polymer degradation.<sup>36a</sup> As expected, decreasing the reaction temperature slowed down ROCOP, taking three times as long to achieve complete PTA conversion. Increasing the temperature to 100°C resulted in faster polymerization, requiring 30 minutes to reach 88% PTA conversion, with good polydispersities, although with a lower molecular weight of  $M_n = 23.4$  kg/mol than anticipated based on conversion compared to run #9. This trend continued at 120°C and 140°C, with no significant rate increase observed beyond 80°C, suggesting catalyst degradation at these higher temperatures. Remarkably, across all runs presented in Table 1, perfectly alternating poly(ester-*alt*-thioester) was consistently produced, demonstrating the catalyst system's ability to suppress O/S

scrambling reactions under a range of conditions.

The clear dependence of catalyst performance on both the borane Lewis acid and the potassium coordination environment indicates that both components act cooperatively to achieve copolymerization. In the PTA/OX system, the first step after initiation involves oxetane ring-opening by the acetate initiator, generating an alkoxide chain end. This is followed by propagation via PTA insertion, forming a thiocarboxylate chain end, which subsequently inserts oxetane to regenerate the alkoxide. To investigate this further, we studied the polymerization kinetics via *in situ* IR spectroscopy. First, ROCOP was conducted in the presence of excess oxetane, monitoring the decrease in PTA concentration. A constant linear decline was observed ( $R^2 = 0.98$  by linear fitting), indicating that the reaction rate is zero-order (or constant) with respect to the PTA concentration in the reaction mixture. In contrast, when polymerization was conducted with excess PTA, monitoring oxetane consumption showed a clear concentration dependence, although the precise reaction order could not be determined. Nevertheless, this indicates that oxetane ring opening is the rate-determining step of the ROCOP.



**Figure 2:** (a) Comparison of different transition state in the oxetane ring-opening step of PTA/OX ROCOP. Fully optimized (b) "pre-transition state (pre-TS)" and (c) TS structures for the three routes analyzed. H-atoms omitted for clarity. Level of theory BP86-D4/def2TZVP.

To understand how the two catalyst components facilitate this step, we performed density functional theory (DFT) calculations at the BP86-D4/def2TZVP level of theory. We considered three scenarios for the oxetane ring-opening step, in which the sulfur atoms remain uncoordinated, allowing for nucleophilic attack (see Figure 2(a)). To assess whether potassium actively participates or merely

serves as a counterbalancing cation, we calculated the energy barriers for the three transition states. For these calculations, the thiocarboxylate chain-end was simplified to a thiobenzoate for computational efficiency.

The first investigated transition state (TS1, Figure 2(a)) involves coordination of the inserted oxetane with  $\text{BEt}_3$ , while the




thiocarboxylate is coordinated to potassium. In the second scenario (TS2), both reaction partners are coordinated to two different  $\text{BEt}_3$  molecules. The third scenario (TS3) involves coordination of the inserted oxetane to potassium and the thiocarboxylate to  $\text{BEt}_3$ . In all cases, the coordination sphere of potassium is completed by an equivalent of oxetane in axial position to the crown ether plane.

Figure 2(b) presents the optimized structures prior to oxetane insertion for the three scenarios, serving as starting points for examining the oxetane ring-opening mechanism. These structures are referred to as "pre-transition states" (pre-TS). In route 1 (pre-TS1), oxetane is positioned near the thiobenzoate ring. In route 2, the interaction between the thiobenzoate- $\text{BEt}_3$  adduct and potassium (from  $\text{K@18c6}$ ) occurs at a distance of 3.29 Å, which is shorter than the combined van der Waals radii (3.40 Å), suggesting a noncovalent interaction. This likely reduces the sulfur atom's nucleophilicity. In route 3, a similar sulfur-potassium interaction is observed, facilitating the proximity of the nucleophile and electrophile. However, this interaction also reduces the nucleophilicity of the sulfur atom and the electrophilicity of the carbon atom, as the interaction between the oxetane oxygen and potassium weakens.

We then investigated the transition states for all three routes, with the corresponding energy profiles depicted in Figure 2(a). Notably, the energy barrier for route 1 is significantly lower (13.7 kcal/mol) compared to the others (22.2 kcal/mol for TS2 and 27.7 kcal/mol for TS3). This suggests that the propagation mechanism most likely involves coordination of the thiobenzoate chain end to a  $\text{K@18c6}$  complex via the oxygen atom, with the oxetane ring being activated through coordination with the  $\text{BEt}_3$  Lewis acid. Additionally, the process is exergonic for routes 1 and 2 (approximately -13 kcal/mol), but slightly endergonic for route 3 (1.8 kcal/mol). These results contrast with what is commonly observed in borane-catalyzed ROCOP.<sup>38,46</sup> Typically, pathways involving activation of both the propagating chain end and the ring-opened heterocycle, coordinated to boranes, are the most favorable. However, in the  $\text{BEt}_3/\text{KOAc@18c6}$  system, we infer that the potassium cation, which balances the charge of the anionic chain end, actively participates in the oxetane ring-opening step. Figure 2(c)

presents the optimized geometries of the transition states, all demonstrating a typical  $\text{S}_{\text{N}}2$  mechanism characterized by an almost linear  $\text{S}\cdots\text{C}\cdots\text{O}$  angle ( $>174^\circ$ ). Notably, the noncovalent  $\text{S}\cdots\text{K}$  interaction, seen in the initial pre-TS2 and pre-TS3 structures, is absent in the TS2 and TS3 geometries. This absence likely accounts for the higher energy barriers observed for these transition states. In the transition states, the  $\text{O}\cdots\text{C}$  distances range from 1.85 to 1.99 Å, and the  $\text{S}\cdots\text{C}$  distances span 2.40 to 2.55 Å. These measurements corroborate the  $\text{S}_{\text{N}}2$  mechanism, characterized by simultaneous bond formation and breaking.



**Figure 3:** (a) Monomer scope explored in this study. (b) Ideal polymer structure resulting from the employed monomers.

Having demonstrated the cooperative mode of action between the borane and potassium centers, we applied this strategy to other monomer combinations. Based on the results above, the  $\text{BEt}_3/\text{KOAc@18c6}$  catalyst at  $80^\circ\text{C}$  showed the overall best performance, and we continued our study under these conditions. Replacing PTA with an aliphatic anhydride, succinic thioanhydride (STA, Table 2, run #2), resulted in the formation of an aliphatic



polythioester. However, the polymer had a substantially reduced molecular weight and broad polydispersity with some scrambled links occurring due to O/S exchange. We also explored the use of 3,3'-disubstituted oxetanes (Table 2, run #3 to #6) as comonomers with PTA for ROCOP. Specifically, we used 3,3'-dimethyloxetane (OX<sup>Me</sup>) as well as various 3-methyl,3'-methylenetheroxetanes with ethyl (OX<sup>OEt</sup>), benzyl (OX<sup>OBn</sup>), and allyl (OX<sup>OAlI</sup>) groups. All substituted oxetanes required higher temperatures (120 to 140 °C) and longer reaction times for ROCOP, resulting in materials with  $M_n = 4.0 - 9.6$  kDa and  $\mathcal{D} = 1.2 - 1.9$ . For all the polymers, minor resonances, in addition to the symmetric sets of resonances corresponding to links from alternating copolymerization, were observed in the <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra (ESI Figures S58 to S77). These minor resonances correspond to some disordered links, arising from O/S scrambling side reactions.<sup>24</sup>

In contrast, the ROCOP of PTA with industrially relevant propylene oxide (Table 2, run #7) occurs readily at 80 °C, resulting in 84% PTA conversion after 9 hours. A perfectly alternating poly(ester-*alt*-thioester) with >95% selectivity (see ESI Figure S42) is formed with  $M_n = 25.3$  kDa and  $\mathcal{D} = 1.7$  in quantitative polymer selectivity. This is evident in the <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectrum, which shows two well-defined carbonyl resonances corresponding to the ester ( $\delta(^{13}\text{C}) = 165.78$  ppm) and thioester ( $\delta(^{13}\text{C}) = 192.80$  ppm) groups. Previous catalysts achieving such sequence selectivity were based on metal complexes with complex ligand frameworks that required multistep synthesis.<sup>47,48</sup> In contrast, our catalytic system is easily assembled from commercial building blocks and achieves equally high sequence selectivity.

**Table 2:** Monomer scope of ROCOP with BEt<sub>3</sub>/KOAc@18C6 (1:1)

No.	Monomers (X, Y)	Temp. (°C)	Time (h)	Conversion of X (%) <sup>[a]</sup>	Pol. (%) <sup>[b]</sup>	$M_n$ ( $\mathcal{D}$ ) (kg/mol) <sup>[c]</sup>	$T_{d,5\%}$ (°C) <sup>[d]</sup>	$T_g, T_m$ (°C) <sup>[e]</sup>
1	PTA, OX	80	1	98	>99	31.4 (1.2)	312.3	$T_g = 28.3$ $T_m = 83.5$
2	STA, OX	80	72	- <sup>[f]</sup>	>99	2.2 (3.0)	268.6	$T_g = -33.5$
3	PTA, OX-Me <sub>2</sub>	80	144	90	>99	4.0 (1.2)	332.6	$T_g = 42.2$
4	PTA, OX-OEt	120	25	68	>99	8.6 (1.4)	310.6	$T_g = 15.5$
5	PTA, Ox-OBn	140	88	>99	>99	4.8 (1.5)	301.0	$T_g = 17.5$
6	PTA, Ox-OAlI	120	72	48	>99	9.6 (1.9)	308.3	$T_g = 18.2$
7	PTA, PO	50	9	84	99	25.3 (1.7)	243.1	$T_g = 63.0$
8	PhNCS, OX	80	0.33	- <sup>[f]</sup>	>99	28.9 (1.2)	228.0	$T_g = 30.5$ $T_m = 180.1$
9	CyNCS, OX	80	17.5	100	>99	14.9 (1.2)	244.3	$T_g = 5.8$
10	EtNCS, OX	80	17.5	63	>99	5.7 (1.8)	180.2	$T_g = -36.8$
11	CS <sub>2</sub> , OX	80	0.5	>99	>85	19.2 (1.8)	232.5	$T_g = -22.2$ $T_m = 85.5$
12	CS <sub>2</sub> , OX	60	1.5	90	>99	32.2 (1.8)	121.5*	$T_g = -18.8$ $T_m = 82.0$


ROCOPs conducted with 1 eq. Cat. + coCat.: 300 eq. PTA: 600 eq. OX. <sup>[a]</sup> Relative integral of aromatic resonances from residual monomer X versus polymer in the normalised <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz) spectrum of crude mixture. <sup>[b]</sup> Relative integral in the normalised <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of deconvoluted resonances from -O-C(=NPh)-S- (ONS) repeat units versus other signals. <sup>[c]</sup> Determined by GPC (gel permeation chromatography) measurements in THF versus a narrow polystyrene standard. <sup>[d]</sup> Degradation temperature  $T_{d,5\%}$  determined by thermogravimetric analysis <sup>[e]</sup> Melting point  $T_m$  determined from first heating scan of the as-synthesised polymer; glass transition temperature  $T_g$  determined from the second cycle by differential scanning calorimetry. <sup>[f]</sup> Conversion/selectivity not determined due to overlapping signals in <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum. \* due to insolubility polymer purification by precipitation could not be performed potentially explaining the decrease in  $T_d$ .





Transitioning from PTA to the ROCOP of sulfurated heteroallenes with oxetane similarly resulted in good to excellent linkage selectivities. Specifically, the PhNCS/oxetane ROCOP (Table 2, run #8) produced a perfectly alternating poly(thioimidocarbonate) featuring -O-C(=NPh)-S- (ONS) linkages, with  $M_n = 28.9$  kDa and  $\bar{D} = 1.2$ . The aliphatic variant, cyclohexylisothiocyanate (Table 2, run #9), also underwent selective ROCOP, with ONS linkage selectivity >98% (see ESI Figure S51, S52) and yielding a polymer with a narrow polydispersity of  $\bar{D} = 1.2$  and a somewhat reduced molecular weight of  $M_n = 14.9$  kDa. The ethyl variant (Table 2, run #10) resulted in broadened dispersities and decreased molecular weights with due scrambling. Carbon disulfide (CS<sub>2</sub>) also underwent fast ROCOP, achieving full conversion after 30 minutes, thus exceeding the speed of the PTA/oxetane ROCOP under the same conditions. This reaction produced a

polymer with  $M_n = 19.2$  kDa and  $\bar{D} = 1.8$  with 92% polymer selectivity versus cyclic six-membered trithiocarbonate. <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectroscopy revealed good linkage selectivity for the alternating dithiocarbonate -O-(C=S)-S- links ( $\delta(C^q) = 214.22$  ppm), with 85% selectivity based on integration of the <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum compared to links from scrambling processes. Interestingly, these predominantly comprise monothiocarbonate -O-(C=O)-S-, indicating oxygen enrichment of the polymer and the deployment of the lost sulfur atom in the trithiocarbonate byproduct. Decreasing the temperature to 60 °C (Table 2, run #12) resulted in >99% polymer selectivity vs. cyclic byproducts with 97% links (see ESI Figure S32) being dithiocarbonate -O-(C=S)-S- alongside a significant increase in  $M_n$  to 31.2 kDa.



**Figure 4:** DSC data showing results of self-nucleation experiments for (a) PTA/OX copolymer (Table 2, run #1), (b) CS<sub>2</sub>/OX copolymer (Table 2, run #12), (c) PhNCS/OX copolymer (Table 2, run #8).

With a range of materials in hand, we assessed their thermal properties. Polymers obtained from the ROCOP of substituted oxetanes, epoxides, and aliphatic isothiocyanates resulted in amorphous materials with glass transition temperatures ranging from  $-33.5^\circ\text{C}$  to  $63^\circ\text{C}$  (see ESI Section S4). In contrast, polymers derived from unsubstituted oxetane were found to be semicrystalline. For example, the poly(ester-*alt*-thioester) obtained from PTA/oxetane ROCOP (Table 1, run #9) exhibited a complex, multimodal endotherm in the differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) at a heating rate of  $10^\circ\text{C}/\text{min}$ , associated with the

melting of crystals when heating the sample for the first time. The most pronounced peak was observed at  $T_m = 83.5^\circ\text{C}$ . However, the sample did not crystallize upon cooling the melt in the calorimeter. The subsequent cooling and second heating only displayed glass transitions. Thus, while the as-synthesized polymer is semi-crystalline, its crystallization from the melt is slow.

In an attempt to achieve crystallization, we employed a self-nucleation strategy (see ESI Section S5), where heating was halted at different domains of the melting peak at a



temperature  $T_s$  to leave crystallization nuclei in the sample, aiding crystallization upon cooling.<sup>34</sup> Despite this strategy, crystallization during cooling was not achieved. However, it was possible to anneal the sample during partial melting at  $T_s = 83^\circ\text{C}$ . In the second heating scan, a sharpened endothermic peak at a higher  $T_m = 89.3^\circ\text{C}$  was observed, (Figure 4 (a)) indicating the melting of annealed crystals and surpassing the previously achieved melting points for the PTA/oxetane copolymers.

Regarding thermal stability, thermogravimetric analysis shows a  $T_{d,5\%} = 312^\circ\text{C}$ . Compared to PTA/oxetane copolymers of similar molecular weights synthesized using Cr (III) catalysis, this represents an increase in  $T_{d,5\%}$  by more than  $50^\circ\text{C}$ .<sup>35</sup> The difference is even more pronounced when considering the onset temperature of degradation, which improves by more than  $100^\circ\text{C}$ . We attribute this improvement to the complete removal (or at least deactivation by decomposition) of the borane catalyst during the polymerization work-up. In contrast, sulfur-containing polymers are known to absorb transition metals, which may explain why Cr(III) catalyst residues have been challenging to remove, leading to decreased thermal stability and catalysing degradation during heating.<sup>49,50</sup>

The scrambled  $\text{CS}_2/\text{OX}$  copolymer (Table 2, run #11) shows a similar melting behaviour such as that the first heating scan shows a complex multimodal endotherm due to the melting of crystals with the most pronounced peak at  $T_m = 85.5^\circ\text{C}$ ; no crystallisation is observed in the cooling scan due to slow crystallization. The less scrambled material showed the melting peak at  $T_m = 82^\circ\text{C}$ . This material could be crystallised during the first cooling cycle of the DSC when it was heated to a  $T_s = 84^\circ\text{C}$  with a broad recrystallization peak at  $T_c = 63.5^\circ\text{C}$ . But going to temperatures closer to the end of the melting peak ( $90^\circ\text{C}$ ) resulted in the erasure of thermal history and no crystallization was seen.

The PhNCS/OX copolymer (Table 2, run #8) exhibits a melting peak at  $T_m = 180.1^\circ\text{C}$  in the first heating cycle, followed by again no crystallisation upon cooling. A broad crystallization peak was observed when the sample was incompletely melted when  $T_s = 180^\circ\text{C}$ . At  $T_s = 185^\circ\text{C}$ , the semi-crystalline polymer melts almost completely yet still leaving a few nuclei for crystallization. After

heating to this temperature, a sharp crystallization peak was observed during the cooling cycle at  $T_c = 144.6^\circ\text{C}$ . Furthermore, an improved  $T_{d,5\%} = 228^\circ\text{C}$ , which is ca.  $50^\circ\text{C}$  higher than for the PhNCS/OX copolymer obtained from chromium catalysis, is obtained clearly highlighting the benefits of the new catalytic system.

## Conclusion

We have developed a transition metal-free catalytic system using simple and inexpensive components based on colorless and abundant main group elements with reduced toxicity for the ring-opening copolymerization (ROCOP) of sulfur-containing monomers with oxetanes and epoxides. This catalyst achieves polymers with perfect sequence selectivity through a cooperative mechanism between the borane and potassium centers, resulting in semi-crystalline materials with melting points up to  $180^\circ\text{C}$ . Additionally, the polymers can demonstrate enhanced thermal stability compared to those synthesized with Cr (III) catalysts, likely due to the effective removal or deactivation of the borane catalyst. Our study highlights the potential of cooperative catalysis exclusively based on main group elements for sustainable polymerization catalysis in general.

## Data availability

The data supporting this article have been included as part of the ESI.

## Acknowledgements

We thank the "Verband der chemischen Industrie" and the "Daimler and Benz Foundation" for financial support (personal scholarships for A. J. P).

## Conflict of Interest

There are no conflicts of interest.

## References

- 1 T.-J. Yue, W.-M. Ren and X.-B. Lu, *Chem. Rev.*, 2023, **123**, 14038–14083.
- 2 Y. Xia, X. Yue, Y. Sun, C. Zhang and X. Zhang, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2023, **62**, e202219251.
- 3 Y.-L. Su, L. Yue, H. Tran, M. Xu, A. Engler, R. Ramprasad, H. J. Qi and W. R. Gutekunst, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2023, **145**, 13950–13956.
- 4 C. Hardy, G. Kociok-Köhn and A. Buchard, *Chem. Commun.*, 2022, **58**, 5463–5466.
- 5 T. Lee, P. T. Dirlam, J. T. Njardarson, R. S. Glass and J. Pyun, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2022, **144**, 5–22.
- 6 P. Yuan, Y. Sun, X. Xu, Y. Luo and M. Hong, *Nat. Chem.*, 2022, **14**, 294–303.



- 7 N. M. Bingham, Z. Abousalman-Rezvani, K. Collins and P. J. Roth, *Polym. Chem.*, 2022, **13**, 2880–2901.
- 8 Y. Zhu, M. Li, Y. Wang, X. Wang and Y. Tao, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2023, **62**, e202302898.
- 9 X.-F. Zhu, G.-W. Yang, R. Xie and G.-P. Wu, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2022, **61**, e202115189.
- 10 H. Huang, S. Zheng, J. Luo, L. Gao, Y. Fang, Z. Zhang, J. Dong and N. Hadjichristidis, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2024, **63**, e202318919.
- 11 J. Jia, J. Liu, Z.-Q. Wang, T. Liu, P. Yan, X.-Q. Gong, C. Zhao, L. Chen, C. Miao, W. Zhao, S. (Diana) Cai, X.-C. Wang, A. I. Cooper, X. Wu, T. Hasell and Z.-J. Quan, *Nat. Chem.*, 2022, **14**, 1249–1257.
- 12 S. Wang, Z.-Y. Tian and H. Lu, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2024, **63**, e202411630.
- 13 C. Shi, M. L. McGraw, Z.-C. Li, L. Cavallo, L. Falivene and E. Y.-X. Chen, *Sci. Adv.*, 2020, **6**, eabc0495.
- 14 H. Mutlu, E. B. Ceper, X. Li, J. Yang, W. Dong, M. M. Ozmen and P. Theato, *Macromol. Rapid Commun.*, 2019, **40**, 1800650.
- 15 T. Sehn, B. Huber, J. Fanelli and H. Mutlu, *Polym. Chem.*, 2022, **13**, 5965–5973.
- 16 A. W. Woodhouse, A. Kocaarslan, J. A. Garden and H. Mutlu, *Macromol. Rapid Commun.* 2024, **45**, 2400260.
- 17 A. J. Plajer and C. K. Williams, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2022, **61**, e202104495.
- 18 L.-Y. Wang, G.-G. Gu, B.-H. Ren, T.-J. Yue, X.-B. Lu and W.-M. Ren, *ACS Catal.*, 2020, **10**, 6635–6644.
- 19 T.-J. Yue, M.-C. Zhang, G.-G. Gu, L.-Y. Wang, W.-M. Ren and X.-B. Lu, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2019, **131**, 628–633.
- 20 D. K. Tran, A. N. Braaksma, A. M. Andras, S. K. Boopathi, D. J. Darensbourg and K. L. Wooley, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2023, **145**, 18560–18567.
- 21 K. Nakano, G. Tatsumi and K. Nozaki, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2007, **129**, 15116–15117.
- 22 M. Sengoden, G. A. Bhat and D. J. Darensbourg, *Green Chem.*, 2022, **24**, 2535–2541.
- 23 E. F. Clark, G. Kociok-Köhn, M. G. Davidson and A. Buchard, *Polym. Chem.*, 2023, **14**, 2838–2847.
- 24 Y. Sun, C. Zhang and X. Zhang, *Chem. Eur. J.*, 2024, **30**, e202401684.
- 25 J. Stephan, M. R. Stühler, S. M. Rupf, S. Neale and A. J. Plajer, *Cell Rep. Phys. Sci.*, 2023, 101510.
- 26 C. Gallizioli, D. Battke, H. Schlaad, P. Deglmann and A. J. Plajer, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2024, **63**, e202319810.
- 27 S. Rupf, P. Pröhm and A. J. Plajer, *Chem. Sci.*, 2022, **13**, 6355–6365.
- 28 P. Deglmann, S. Machleit, C. Gallizioli, S. M. Rupf and A. J. Plajer, *Cat. Sci. Tech.*, 2023, **13**, 2937–2945.
- 29 D. Silbernagl, H. Sturm and A. J. Plajer, *Polym. Chem.*, 2022, **13**, 3981–3985.
- 30 L.-Y. Wang, G.-G. Gu, T.-J. Yue, W.-M. Ren and X.-B. Lu, *Macromolecules*, 2019, **52**, 2439–2445.
- 31 T.-J. Yue, W.-M. Ren, L. Chen, G.-G. Gu, Y. Liu and X.-B. Lu, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2018, **130**, 12852–12856.
- 32 T. M. McGuire and A. Buchard, *Polym. Chem.*, 2021, **12**, 4253–4261. Article Online DOI: 10.1039/D4GC05665E
- 33 C. Fornacon-Wood, B. R. Manjunatha, M. R. Stühler, C. Gallizioli, C. Müller, P. Pröhm and A. J. Plajer, *Nat. Commun.*, 2023, **14**, 4525.
- 34 J. Stephan, J. L. Olmedo-Martínez, C. Fornacon-Wood, M. Stühler, M. Dimde, D. Braatz, R. Langer, A. J. Müller, H. Schmalz and A. J. Plajer, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2024, **63**, e202405047.
- 35 C. Fornacon-Wood, M. R. Stühler, C. Gallizioli, B. R. Manjunatha, V. Wachtendorf, B. ScharTEL and A. J. Plajer, *Chem. Commun.*, 2023, **59**, 11353–11356.
- 36 (a) M. R. Stühler, M. Kreische, C. Fornacon-Wood, S. M. Rupf, R. Langer and A. J. Plajer, *Chem. Sci.*, 2024, **15**, 19029–19036. (b) C.-J. Zhang, S.-Q. Wu, S. Boopathi, X.-H. Zhang, X. Hong, Y. Gnanou, X.-S. Feng *ACS Sustainable Chem. Eng.* 2020, **34**, 13056–13063. (c) M. Alves, B. Grignard, A. Boyaval, R. Méreau, J. De Winter, P. Gerbaux, C. Detrembleur, T. Tassaing, C. Jérôme *ChemSusChem* 2017, **10**, 1128 – 1138
- 37 K. V. Khopade, S. H. Chikkali and N. Barsu, *Cell Rep. Phys. Sci.*, 2023, **4**, 101341.
- 38 (a) S. Naumann, *Polym. Chem.*, 2023, **14**, 1834–1862. (b) Y.-Y. Zhang, G.-W. Yang, C. Lu, X.-F. Zhu, Y. Wang, G.-P. Wu, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2024, **53**, 3384–3456. (c) C. Zhang, X. Geng, X. Zhang, Y. Gnanou, X. Feng, *Prog. Pol. Sci.*, 2023, **136**, 101644
- 39 G.-W. Yang, Y.-Y. Zhang and G.-P. Wu, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2021, **54**, 4434–4448.
- 40 G. Feng, X. Feng, X. Liu, W. Guo, C. Zhang and X. Zhang, *Macromolecules*, 2023, **56**, 6798–6805.
- 41 Y. Xia, J. Shen, H. Alamri, N. Hadjichristidis, J. Zhao, Y. Wang and G. Zhang, *Biomacromolecules*, 2017, **18**, 3233–3237.
- 42 X. Xia, R. Suzuki, K. Takojima, D.-H. Jiang, T. Isono and T. Satoh, *ACS Catal.*, 2021, **11**, 5999–6009.
- 43 X. Xia, R. Suzuki, T. Gao, T. Isono and T. Satoh, *Nat. Commun.*, 2022, **13**, 163.
- 44 (a) X. Xia, T. Gao, F. Li, R. Suzuki, T. Isono and T. Satoh, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2022, **144**, 17905–17915. (b) X. Dou, X.-H. Liu, B. Wang, Y.-S. Li, *Chin. J. Chem.*, 2023, **41**, 83–92.
- 45 J. Xu, P. Zhang, Y. Yuan and N. Hadjichristidis, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2023, **62**, e202218891
- 46 A. Sirin-Sariaslan and S. Naumann, *Chem. Sci.*, 2022, **13**, 10939–10943.
- 47 L.-Y. Wang, G.-G. Gu, B.-H. Ren, T.-J. Yue, X.-B. Lu and W.-M. Ren, *ACS Catal.*, 2020, **10**, 6635–6644.
- 48 X.-L. Chen, B. Wang, D.-P. Song, L. Pan and Y.-S. Li, *Macromolecules*, 2022, **55**, 1153–1164.
- 49 T. Tian, R. Hu and B. Z. Tang, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2018, **140**, 6156–6163.
- 50 M. P. Crockett, A. M. Evans, M. J. H. Worthington, I. S. Albuquerque, A. D. Slattery, C. T. Gibson, J. A. Campbell, D. A. Lewis, G. J. L. Bernardes and J. M. Chalker, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2016, **55**, 1714–1718.



**Data availability**

View Article Online  
DOI: 10.1039/D4GC05665E

The data supporting this article have been included as part of the ESI.

