

# Green Chemistry

Cutting-edge research for a greener sustainable future

Accepted Manuscript

This article can be cited before page numbers have been issued, to do this please use: B. B. Kassaun, L. Wang, O. Backman, C. Xu and P. Fatehi, *Green Chem.*, 2024, DOI: 10.1039/D4GC06179A.



This is an Accepted Manuscript, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. We will replace this Accepted Manuscript with the edited and formatted Advance Article as soon as it is available.

You can find more information about Accepted Manuscripts in the [Information for Authors](#).

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard [Terms & Conditions](#) and the [Ethical guidelines](#) still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this Accepted Manuscript or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.

- 1- This work introduces a simple molecular weight upgrading and a solvent-free polymerization reaction (i.e., a green process) to fabricate a sustainable polymer (lignin-caprolactone, LCP, polymer) with outstanding characteristics that are suitable for 3D printing.
- 2- This work illustrates that, by fractionating lignin and controlling its molecular weight, it is possible to generate LCP that contains a large proportion of lignin derivative (> 75 wt.%) and has excellent thermal and rheological properties, which can be readily used for 3D printing excluding the necessity of other chemicals in the 3 D printing formulation.
- 3- In future work, other lignin fractionation processes may be explored to mimic the molecular weight of lignin so that a larger proportion of lignin can be used in 3D printing material fabrication.



# 3D printable lignin-caprolactone material

View Article Online  
DOI: 10.1039/D4GC06179A

Banchamlak Bemew Kassaun<sup>1,2</sup>, Luyao Wang<sup>2</sup>, Oskar Backman<sup>2</sup>, Chunlin Xu<sup>\*2</sup>, Pedram Fatehi<sup>\*1,2</sup>

The use of lignin in three-dimensional (3D) printing materials has been considered a viable strategy to generate sustainable 3D printing objects. However, complex molecular structures, high viscosity, and charring of lignin impair its 3D printability. This study investigated the synthesis of lignin-caprolactone polymer and its fused deposition modeling (FDM)-3D printing performance. Lignin-caprolactone polymerization was carried out with ethanol-soluble fractionated birch alkali lignin (L<sub>e</sub>) and caprolactone (CL). Results showed that ethanol fractionation reduced lignin's molecular weight from 22870 to 3827 g mol<sup>-1</sup> and increased its hydroxyl group concentration. The melt temperature, viscosity, and polymerization degree were considered in the Box-Behnken surface approach to obtain lignin-caprolactone with the best results. Compared to unfractionated lignin caprolactone (LPO), fractionated lignin-caprolactone polymer (L<sub>e</sub>PO) had a 10.9% higher grafting ratio, 69.43% rise in melt temperature (T<sub>m</sub>), and 85.71% increase in glass transition temperature. The melt rheological investigation showed that L<sub>e</sub>PO's lower viscosity (160.9 Pa.s) and shear-thinning behavior than those of LPO made it more suitable for the 3D printing application. The 15 °C delay in G' and G'' crossover points of L<sub>e</sub>PO compared to LPO improved 3D printing adhesion layers. Furthermore, L<sub>e</sub>PO exhibited superior mechanical characteristics and a greater water contact angle (92°) than LPO. The reduction in molecular weight distribution of lignin (due to ethanol fractionation) prior to copolymerization facilitated the production of a 3D-printable polymer containing 75% lignin. By tailoring the melt and viscosity parameters of the lignin-caprolactone copolymer, the lignin-copolymer exhibited improved 3D printing performance, which offers advantages over lignin composite 3D printing.

Keywords: lignin, sustainable polymer, 3D printing, green reaction

## 1. Introduction

Fused deposition modeling (FDM) is a widely used extrusion-based technique for fabricating 3D printing materials.<sup>1, 2</sup> Generally, rheological properties, thermal conductivity, and shear rate influence polymeric materials' printability via FDM.<sup>3</sup> Currently, acrylonitrile butadiene styrene, polyethylene terephthalate, polycarbonate, polyether ether ketone, polypropylene, polylactic acid, and nylon are commonly used in FDM-3D printing.<sup>4, 5</sup> Despite their high efficiency, they are environmentally unfriendly and expensive.<sup>2</sup> Thus, the incentive for generating sustainable 3D printing material is high.

The use of various biomass materials in 3D printing, such as cellulose and hemicellulose, has gained attention due to their renewable nature and potential to reduce environmental impacts.<sup>6, 7</sup> These materials have been utilized to produce biodegradable composites for fabricating 3D printed components, which provide advantages for sustainable manufacturing.<sup>8</sup> Nevertheless, the usability of cellulose and hemicellulose in 3D printing in the FDM technique is limited owing to their low-temperature stability, moisture sensitivity, weak mechanical properties, and challenges in extrusion caused by their fibrous nature.<sup>9</sup> Thanks to its high thermal stability, moisture resistance, higher mechanical properties, antioxidant, antibacterial, and biodegradable properties, lignin, i.e., a plentiful and sustainable biopolymer, has gained attention for fabricating sustainable 3D

printing materials.<sup>10, 11</sup>

Lignin has traditionally been underutilized and dominantly burnt as an energy source.<sup>12</sup> Its rich aromatic structure makes it suitable for creating valuable products, such as bio-adhesives, bioplastics, and carbon fibers.<sup>13, 14</sup> Nevertheless, the complex structure of lignin, its undesired thermal properties (e.g., its ability to char at high temperatures), and rheological properties (e.g., shear thickening behavior, which causes increased resistance to flow or deformation) have hindered its application in 3D printing applications.<sup>15-17</sup> For this reason, the application of lignin in 3D printing via FDM is limited to lignin being blended at a low quantity (e.g., 2-40 %) with other polymers, such as acrylonitrile-butadiene-styrene (ABS), polylactic acid (PLA) and polyamide (PA).<sup>17-20</sup>

The source and extraction process of lignin also influences its applicability in FDM 3D printing. Alkali hardwood lignin is especially beneficial compared to the softwood kraft lignin because of its less structural variability, which mitigates the risk of nozzle blockage.<sup>21, 22</sup> For example, Nguyen et al. mixed hardwood lignin and thermoplastic nylon 12 and reported improved rigidity and decreased melting viscosity of lignin for use as a 3D printing material.<sup>17</sup> In another study, an organosolv hardwood lignin exhibited high compatibility with polylactic acid (PLA), and its 15 wt.% lignin-loaded composition exhibited outstanding printability via the FDM process.<sup>15</sup> While incorporating lignin into polymer blends offers advantages, the exceptional properties (e.g., mechanical stability, UV protection, and antioxidant) of lignin in the blend are constrained by the percentage of lignin (40 wt.% max) in the matrix.<sup>23, 24</sup> Additionally, the blending process frequently results in phase separation, further restricting the ultimate characteristics of the printed material. One way to widen the use of lignin in a 3D printable formulation is to crosslink lignin and other thermoplastic polymers to improve their thermal and rheological properties. The performance of polymerized lignin in 3D

<sup>1</sup>Department of Chemical Engineering, Lakehead University, 955 Oliver Road, Thunder Bay, ON P7B 5E1, Canada

<sup>2</sup>Laboratory of Natural Materials Technology, Faculty of Science and Engineering, Åbo Akademi University, Henrikinkatu 2, Turku, FI-20500, Finland

Corresponding authors,  
email: chunlin.xu@abo.fi, address: Laboratory of Natural Materials Technology, Faculty of Science and Engineering, Åbo Akademi University, Turku, Finland  
email: pfatehi@lakeheadu.ca, address: 955 Oliver Road, Thunder Bay, ON, Canada



Received 00<sup>th</sup> January 20xx.  
Accepted 00<sup>th</sup> January 20xx.  
DOI

printing applications has yet to be explored. The present work examined polymerizing lignin with caprolactone (CL) to generate sustainable 3D printing material as the first objective.

Polycaprolactone (PCL) is a biodegradable polyester with a low melting point of approximately 60 °C and a glass transition temperature of roughly -60 °C.<sup>25, 26</sup> PCL is a favorable thermoplastic polymer for producing FDM scaffolds for tissue engineering applications due to its biocompatibility, biodegradability, low melting temperature, low glass transition temperature, and high thermal stability.<sup>27, 28</sup> Generally, carbon nanotubes, pristine graphene, and tricalcium phosphate are included in the PCL matrix to improve the mechanical properties and structural integrity of PCL materials.<sup>29-31</sup> However, these fillers would limit their biodegradability and biocompatibility.<sup>32</sup> In the past, caprolactone (CL), the monomer for PCL, was polymerized with kraft lignin to produce thermoplastic materials by compression molding with PCL.<sup>33</sup> However, the report did not elaborate on the reaction fundamentals and the molding characteristics of the lignin-caprolactone polymer. As the characteristics of such polymers would significantly impact their 3D printing affinity, the second objective of this study was to understand the polymerization reaction system to achieve a sustainable polymer with the best printing performance.

In this study, the lignin was fractionated by ethanol to reduce its molecular weight before polymerizing with CL.<sup>34, 35</sup> Ethanol fractionation is chosen for upgrading lignin properties (e.g., molecular weight distribution) due to its simplicity, less severe process conditions, and the specific solubility of lignin in ethanol, as opposed to other techniques, such as lignin depolymerization and acid catalytic upgrading.<sup>36-40</sup> This study presents a ring-opening polymerization of birch alkali lignin (L) and CL to produce a 3D printable polymer with exceptional flow characteristics. The properties of L were improved using ethanol fractionation, and the ethanol soluble fractionated lignin ( $L_E$ ) resulted in a lower molecular weight than L, which was then used to fabricate lignin-caprolactone polymer with best properties ( $L_EPO$ ) following the Box-Behnken (BBD) response surface method (RSM). The printability of the selected sample was assessed by running melt rheology. Then, the 3D printing methods of FDM were employed to study the 3D printing performance of the generated sustainable material. The mechanical strength, surface properties, and appearance of 3D-printed structures were evaluated comprehensively. This work demonstrated a promising approach to utilizing polymerized lignin for a microstructurally well-organized 3D printed material fabrication.

## 2. Method and materials

### 2.1. Materials

Birch alkali lignin (BL) was supplied by CH-Bioforce Oy (Espoo, Finland), ethanol (96%), hexane (99%),  $\epsilon$ -caprolactone (CL), dibutyltin dilaurate (DBTDL, 95%), methanol (95%), dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO- $d_6$ ), chloroform ( $CDCl_3$ ), anhydrous pyridine, endo-N-hydroxy-5-norbornene-2,3-dicarboximide, chromium (III) acetylacetonate ( $Cr(acac)_3$ ), 2-chloro-4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-

dioxaphospholane (Cl-TMDP), dimethyl sulfoxide- $d_6$  (DMSO- $d_6$ ), chloroform- $d$  containing 0.03%, tetramethylsilane (TMS), and polycaprolactone (PCL, 80,000 g/mol) were purchased from Sigma Aldrich.

### 2.2. Ethanol fractionation of birch alkali lignin

Birch alkali lignin (L) was fractionated using ethanol. The amount of solvent and lignin was set to 7:1 wt/wt (70 g of ethanol for 10 g of lignin). After stirring for 2 h, the insoluble and soluble parts of lignin were separated using a vacuum filter. The ethanol-soluble part of lignin ( $L_E$ ) was taken and treated by a rotary evaporator to separate lignin from ethanol. Subsequently, samples were collected, washed with hexane (20 mL) to remove the extractives, and dried in a 60 °C oven for 48 hours. Eq. S1 (supporting information) was used to measure the yield of the lignin fractionation process.

### 2.3. Experimental design by Box-Behnken (BBD)- response surface method (RSM) for lignin-caprolactone ( $L_EP$ ) polymerization

The experiments for the polymerization of lignin and caprolactone ( $L_EP$ ) were designed using response surface methodology (RSM) based on a box-Behnken design (BBD). The BBD-RSM approach was used to evaluate the impact of three independent factors on the  $L_EP$  polymer's viscosity and melt temperature. To accomplish this goal, the influence of three experimental factors (the ratio of caprolactone monomer to the hydroxyl group of lignin (CL/OH), the concentration of catalyst, and the reaction duration) was assessed. These factors were evaluated at three levels: low, medium, and high, represented by coded values of -1, 0, and +1, respectively, in Table S1. To achieve this objective, 17 experiments were devised using the Box-Behnken Design (BBD) methodology. All second-order regression coefficients were determined by the statistical analysis of the findings using the ANOVA technique. Afterward, the best reaction conditions were determined by merging the acquired outcomes and graphing an equation for each response variable. The statistical analysis of multivariable equations, derivation of coefficients for a second-order regression model, and the examination of the impacts of factors on variables were conducted using design expert statistical software (Version 12, State-Ease Inc, USA). All BBD-RSM equations are listed in Eqs. S2-S5.

### 2.4. Polymerization of Lignin and Caprolactone

Lignin and caprolactone polymerization generates lignin caprolactone polymer ( $L_EP$ ) without the need for a solvent.<sup>33</sup> Caprolactone was used as a monomer for ring-opening polymerization (ROP), lignin was used as a micro initiator, and DBTDL was used as a catalyst. The  $L_E$  was mixed with CL based on the CL/OH ratio of lignin (as listed in Table S1) at 50 °C under nitrogen and stirred for 30 min. DBTDL (based on the wt.% ratio of lignin listed in Table S1) was added slowly to the mixture, which was heated to 150 °C under magnetic stirring (250 rpm). ROP is a form of chain-growth polymerization in which the terminal end of a polymer chain acts as a reactive center where further cyclic monomers (CL) can react by opening its ring structure and forming a longer polymer chain. The -COO- group is formed via the opening of the CL ring and reacts with the OH group of lignin to form an ester linkage, leaving -OH at the



end of the CL chain, which subsequently reacts with CL monomers to grow a PCL (Fig. S1b). When the reaction was complete (for the durations listed in Table S1), the product was cooled to room temperature, and the mixture was washed several times with cool methanol to remove impurities. The overall schematics of the reaction are described in Fig. S1a. The reaction conditions for the samples detailed in the main documents, L<sub>E</sub>P2, L<sub>E</sub>P5, and L<sub>E</sub>P6, are as follows: CL/OH ratios of 2.6, 1.73, and 0.83 mmol/g, respectively; a reaction time of 420 minutes for all; and catalyst concentrations of 0.5, 0.75, and 1 wt.% based on lignin content, respectively (Table S2). The optimized conditions were a CL/OH ratio (mmol/g) of 1.1, a reaction time of 420 min, and a catalyst concentration of 1wt.% based on lignin amount (Table S2), which generated the sample labeled as L<sub>E</sub>P. A control sample with unfractionated lignin (L) was produced under the same conditions as L<sub>E</sub>PO and labeled as LPO.

## 2.5. Lignin filament extrusion

Samples prepared under the optimization conditions were used to generate filaments. The lignin caprolactone polymer filament was generated using ethanol-fractionated lignin copolymer under optimized conditions (L<sub>E</sub>P), and the control sample was generated by unfractionated lignin under the same conditions and labeled as LP. The L<sub>E</sub>P and LP were extruded using a compounding twin extrusion machine (Xplore MC15HT). The extrusion was conducted at 100 °C for LPO and 80 °C for LEPO at a speed of 5 rpm to generate filaments with a 1.5 -1.7 mm diameter.

## 2.6. 3D printing of LPO and L<sub>E</sub>PO polymers

The printability of LPO and L<sub>E</sub>PO was assessed by the fused deposition modeling (FDM) method using extrusion-based 3D printing (Printer One, BRINTER Ltd., Finland) equipped with a 0.55 mm nozzle diameter. The samples were prepared as a pallet by chopping the prepared filaments and fed to a granular tool printhead with the temperature set at 100 °C for LPO and 80 °C for L<sub>E</sub>PO. The print bed was prepared by coating a tin PCL layer for better grip throughout the study. An eight-layered honeycomb structure was printed at a speed of 8 mm/s, pressure of 200 mbar, layer height of 0.2 mm, and shell thickness of 0.5 mm.

## 2.7. Characterization of lignin and lignin-caprolactone polymers

### 2.7.1. Molecular weight analysis

The molecular weight of lignin derivatives (L, L<sub>E</sub>) and the copolymers (L<sub>E</sub>P2, L<sub>E</sub>P5, L<sub>E</sub>P6, L<sub>E</sub>PO, and LPO) was assessed with a size exclusion chromatography (SEC) equipped with a multiangle light scattering detector and differential refractive index concentration detector (MALLS(IR)). Vacuum oven-dried (40 °C) samples were prepared in a clean HPLC vial at 10 mg mL<sup>-1</sup> concentration in DMSO/0.05M LiBr eluent, followed by filtration using 0.2 μm Nylon filters before SEC analysis. The separation was performed on a Jordi Gel glucose mixed-bed guard column (50 mm×10 mm i.d) and a Jordi Gel GBR mixed-bed column (250 mm×10 mm i.d). The molecular weight analysis was performed using the following parameters: 0.5 mL min<sup>-1</sup> flow rate, 60 °C column temperature, 100 μL injection volume, and 0.15 mL g<sup>-1</sup>

dn/dc value. The data was evaluated by Astra software version 7.3.3.<sup>41</sup> DOI: 10.1039/D4GC06179A

### 2.7.2. NMR analysis

The hydroxyl groups of L, L<sub>E</sub>, L<sub>E</sub>P2, L<sub>E</sub>P5, and L<sub>E</sub>P6 (reaction conditions available in Table S4), L<sub>E</sub>PO, and LPO were quantified by <sup>31</sup>P NMR.<sup>42</sup> The samples were prepared by dissolving 20 mg vacuum oven-dried (40 °C) samples in the solvent mixture of 0.55 mL of anhydrous pyridine and CDCl<sub>3</sub> (1.6:1, v/v) that contained relaxation reagent of chromium (III) acetylacetonate (Cr(acac)<sub>3</sub>, 1.3 μmol) and internal standard of endo-N-hydroxy-5-norbornene-2-3-dicarboximide (12 μmol). The ratio of internal standard to lignin was set to 0.6 mmol g<sup>-1</sup>. The hydroxyl groups were phosphorylated with 0.1 mL of 2-chloro-4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaphospholane for 30 min before <sup>31</sup>P-NMR measurement. The concentration of Cr(acac)<sub>3</sub> was 0.002 M to ensure the complete relation of the phosphorus nuclei before applying the radiofrequency pulse. Spectrum acquisition parameters were a 10 s pulse delay, 2.0 s acquisition time, and 64 scans. The hydroxyl group was used to calculate the grafting percentage of CL on the hydroxyl group of lignin according to Eq. S6. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR and HSQC NMR analyses were performed on L, L<sub>E</sub>, L<sub>E</sub>P, LPO, and L<sub>E</sub>PO samples. The <sup>1</sup>H-NMR setup was set to 16 scans, 3.28 s acquisition, 1s relaxation, and 90° pulse at room temperature. L and L<sub>E</sub> samples were prepared by dissolving the vacuum oven-dried (40 °C) samples in 80 mg mL<sup>-1</sup> DMSO, while L<sub>E</sub>P and LPO were prepared by dissolving 80 mg samples in 0.75 mL of CDCl<sub>3</sub> (0.03v/v% of TMS). The HSQC NMR spectra were acquired using the HSQCEDETGPSISP2.3 pulse sequence with a relaxation delay of 2.0 s and an acquisition time of 0.15 s, recording 256 increments of 80 scans per increment. The results were assessed using Top Spin 4.02 software. The <sup>1</sup>H-NMR spectra of the L<sub>E</sub>PO and LPO were used to quantify the degree of polymerization (n) in the PCL chain segments, following Eq. S7. The major linkages of L and L<sub>E</sub> were quantified according to Eqs. S8-S9.

### 2.7.3. Thermal analysis

The thermal stability of L, L<sub>E</sub>, L<sub>E</sub>P (17 samples), L<sub>E</sub>PO, and LPO samples was assessed by a Q500 thermogravimetric analyzer (TA Instrument, USA). Around 10 mg of vacuum oven-dried (40 °C) samples were weighed into an alumina disposable crucible (T 2101127, China) and heated from 30 °C to 800 °C at a 10 °C min<sup>-1</sup> under a nitrogen atmosphere at the flow rate of 15 mL min<sup>-1</sup>. The samples' glass transition temperature (T<sub>g</sub>) and melt temperature (T<sub>m</sub>) were assessed using a Discovery DSC 250 calorimeter in a heating-cooling-heating cycle under continuous nitrogen at the flow rate of 15 mL min<sup>-1</sup>. The vacuum oven-dried (40 °C) samples were weighed into a Tzero pan (T 140829, Switzerland) sealed with a Tzero lid (T 140826, Switzerland) and then transferred to the calorimeter containing a reference, i.e., an empty sealed Tzero pan. TRIOS v5.5.1.5 software was used to determine the T<sub>g</sub> and T<sub>m</sub> of the samples.





### 2.7.4. Rheology

The viscosity of  $L_E$ P (17 samples) was assessed by the BBD-RSM design at each melting temperature using an MCR 702 MultiDrive rheometer (Anton Paar GmbH), a PP25 parallel plate (diameter: 25 mm and gap: 0.5 mm) at a shear rate of 0.1 to 100  $s^{-1}$ . Melt rheological properties of the LPO and  $L_E$ PO samples were assessed by temperature ramp experiment at a 1  $^{\circ}C\ min^{-1}$  heating rate starting from 130  $^{\circ}C$  and going to a temperature where the first sight of crossover between storage modulus and loss modulus was detected. The data acquisition time was 10 seconds per data point. In addition, the rheological study was conducted on LPO at a temperature of 100  $^{\circ}C$  and  $L_E$ PO at 80  $^{\circ}C$  with a hybrid rotational rheometer (Discovery HR-2, TA Instruments, DE, USA). The analysis included a flow ramp test at a shear rate ranging from 0.01 to 1000  $s^{-1}$  and a frequency sweep test of 1 to 100  $rad\ s^{-1}$ , with a strain of 63 % in the viscoelastic zone.

### 2.7.5. Mechanical Properties

The  $L_E$ PO films were prepared by molding, and the mechanical properties of the films were examined using universal testing equipment with a 200 N load cell (Shimadzu Instrone-6800 series, Japan). Samples were cut from cast sheets with a rectangular shape die, measuring 27 cm long, 3.12 cm wide (ASTM D638 type V), and 1.2 mm thick. Five specimens from  $L_E$ PO film were evaluated at 50  $mm\ min^{-1}$  and room temperature, and an average value with a standard error was reported. The LPO sample cured faster before the film was properly prepared, and the prepared sample was too brittle to be tested.

### 2.7.6. Contact Angle Analysis and Imaging

An optical tensiometer assessed the surface wettability of LPO and  $L_E$ PO 3D-printed parts using the sessile drop method (Theta Lite, Bolin Scientific, Finland). The experiment was conducted by placing a liquid droplet (6-10  $\mu$ L) onto the flat side of the 3D-printed samples. The contact angle of the droplet was visually measured using a camera for 10 seconds. The contact angle was analyzed using one-attention software, the measurement was performed in three places, and the mean value was reported. An optical microscope (specifically, a Nikon ECLIPSE E200) was used to capture microscopic photographs of the printed samples.

## 3. Results and discussion

### 3.1. Ethanol fractionation of birch alkali lignin

The chemical structure of lignin substantially impacts the characteristics of the material incorporating it.<sup>43</sup> Therefore, the chemical structure, molecular weight, and thermal properties of birch alkali lignin, unfractionated (L) and ethanol fractionated ( $L_E$ ), were assessed and reported in Fig. 1. The molar mass distributions of L and  $L_E$  are illustrated in Fig. 1a. The molecular weight (Mw) and

polydispersity ( $\Delta$ M) values are displayed in Table 1. The  $L_E$  exhibited a significantly lower Mw and  $\Delta$ M than L. The reduced Mw and  $\Delta$ M result from ethanol fractionation, which solubilized part of lignin fragments.<sup>35, 44, 45</sup>

The  $^1H$  NMR spectra of L and  $L_E$  polymers are presented in Fig. 1b. The spectra revealed that aromatic protons appeared in the region of 6.0-7.5 ppm, methoxy proton at 3.75 ppm, aliphatic proton at 0.85-2.2 ppm, and DMSO solvent at 2.5 ppm.<sup>39</sup>  $L_E$  showed stronger aliphatic signals that belonged to ethanol residues. The quantity of aliphatic, guaiacyl, C5-substituted, and carboxylic hydroxyl groups in L and  $L_E$  was determined by quantitative  $^{31}P$  NMR analysis (Fig. 1c), and the hydroxyl group concentration of L and  $L_E$  are listed in Table 1. Phenolic hydroxyl groups substantially rose compared to aliphatic and carboxylic hydroxyl groups for  $L_E$  compared to L. The C5-substituted hydroxyl groups exhibited the most significant rise from the constituents of phenolic hydroxyl groups. In addition, the interunit linkages and substructures of L and  $L_E$  were analyzed by HSQC NMR to understand the changes in lignin structure further. The spectra are presented in Fig. 1(d-g), and the  $\delta_C/\delta_H$  ppm are presented in Table S3. Quantitative HSQC was used to evaluate the inter-unit linkages in L and  $L_E$  using the guaiacol (G2) and syringyl (S2,6) signals as an internal standard described according to Eqs. (S8-S9), and values are listed in Table 1.<sup>46</sup> The oxygenated aliphatic region ( $\delta_C/\delta_H$  40-120/2.5-6.0) of L and  $L_E$  showed signals presented in Fig. 1(d-e). The dominant interunit linkages in L and  $L_E$  were the diacylglycerol- $\beta$ -aryl ether link ( $\beta$ -O-4') followed by pinoresinol ( $\beta$ - $\beta'$ ) and minor amounts of phenylcoumaran ( $\beta$ -5'). Relative to L, the  $L_E$  interunit linkages showed a 165% reduction, which was prominent for  $\beta$ -O-4 linkages due to the ethanol fractionation.<sup>47</sup> This is further supported by the increased phenolic hydroxyl group content from  $^{31}P$  NMR analysis (Fig. 1c). Furthermore, the aromatic linkages ( $\delta_C/\delta_H$  100-140/6.0-8) were analyzed, and the results are presented in Fig. 1(f-g). The results showed a vigorous intensity of the S units in  $L_E$  compared to L. The increase in the S units is consistent with the result of P-NMR, where the phenolic hydroxyl group showed an increase.


The thermal properties of L and  $L_E$  were assessed using TGA and DSC to understand the thermal alteration of lignin after ethanol fractionation. The TGA and DTG curves are presented in Fig. 1(h-i). The results indicated that the  $L_E$  had a lower  $T_o$  (onset temperature) and  $T_{50\%}$  (the temperature at which 50% of the weight was lost) than L (Table 1). However, the  $DTG_{max}$  of  $L_E$  was 20  $^{\circ}C$  higher than L. The lower molecular weight of  $L_E$  than L could be the reason for the lower  $T_o$  and  $T_{50\%}$ . The increased phenolic hydroxyl group of  $L_E$  contributed to the higher  $DTG_{max}$  due to the higher frequency of intermolecular hydrogen bond interactions of phenolic hydroxyl groups.<sup>48</sup> The glass transition ( $T_g$ ) of L and  $L_E$  were analyzed using DSC, and the results are presented in Table 1. In general, the  $T_g$  of  $L_E$  was lower than L, which is attributed to the reduced molecular weight, narrower molecular weight distribution (Table 1), and change in the hydroxyl group of  $L_E$ , all of which would increase the chain mobility and thus reduce  $T_g$ .



### 3.2. Lignin and caprolactone polymerization via ring opening polymerization (ROP)

The fractionated lignin ( $L_E$ ) and caprolactone (CL) polymerization were designed using BBD-RMS. Lignin-(OH) served as a macroinitiator with  $\text{Sn}(\text{Oct})_2$  catalyst and CL as a monomer.<sup>29</sup> ROP is a polymerization process where the reactive center of a polymer chain is the terminal end. This reactive center allows for the opening

of cyclic monomers (CL). It forms a more extended polymer chain (Fig. S1b).<sup>17, 29</sup> The impact of reaction conditions on the response variables (viscosity, melt temperature, and degree of polymerization) is discussed in the supporting information with details in Fig. S5-S6 and Tables Table S5-S7. This document places particular emphasis on the selected polymers ( $L_E\text{P}2$ ,  $L_E\text{P}5$ , and  $L_E\text{P}6$ ) and the optimized polymer ( $L_E\text{PO}$ ) and control polymer (LPO).



**Fig. 1.** Molar mass distribution (a),  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (b),  $^{31}\text{P}$  NMR (c), HSQC spectra of oxygenated aliphatic linkages (d-e), aromatic linkages (f-g) of L and  $L_E$ , and TGA (h) and DTG (i) of L and  $L_E$ .

#### 3.2.1. $^{31}\text{P}$ NMR analysis


According to  $^{31}\text{P}$  NMR (Fig. 1b), the total hydroxyl group of  $L_E$  was  $4.85 \text{ mmol g}^{-1}$ . This indicates that about  $4.85 \text{ mmol/g}$  of initiating sites are available on the lignin structure, corresponding to  $0.64 \text{ mmol/g}$  carboxylic,  $1.44 \text{ mmol/g}$  aliphatic, and  $2.77 \text{ mmol/g}$  phenolic groups (Table 1). The amount of the hydroxyl group on the lignin-caprolactone polymers after polymerization was assessed by  $^{31}\text{P}$  NMR in Fig. 2a.  $L_E\text{PO}$ , LPO, and 3 selected samples based on the CL/OH ratio ( $L_E\text{P}2$ ,  $L_E\text{P}5$ , and  $L_E\text{P}6$ ) were analyzed for  $^{31}\text{P}$ -NMR spectra in Fig. 2a. The total grafting ratio (substitution) was calculated using the hydroxyl groups of the polymers from  $^{31}\text{P}$ -NMR (Eq. S6). The

results are presented in Table 1. The spectra of lignin-caprolactone polymers showed aromatic and methoxy peaks similar to those of  $L_E$  and L. However, the quantification of each peak showed a decrease in all of the hydroxyl groups in the lignin-caprolactone polymers compared to  $L_E$  and L in Table 1, confirming the success of polymerization and lignin's hydroxyl group participation in the polymerization. The  $L_E\text{P}2$ ,  $L_E\text{P}5$ , and  $L_E\text{P}6$  samples were chosen from 17 samples to investigate the effect of CL/OH and catalyst concentration on the structure of the polymers. Also,  $L_E\text{PO}$  (optimized) and LPO (control) were assessed to understand how the structural variations in lignin-caprolactone polymers (due to ethanol fractionation) impact the performance of the copolymer in 3D printing. Interestingly, lignin's hydroxyl groups are present in  $L_E\text{PO}$ , LPO,  $L_E\text{P}2$ ,  $L_E\text{P}5$ , and  $L_E\text{P}6$ , indicating that not all their hydroxyl groups



reacted with caprolactone. **Table 1** shows the total grafting %, and **Fig. S2** shows the substitution percentage for each functional

hydroxyl group. L<sub>E</sub>PO had a higher grafting and degree of substitution than LPO, as seen in **Table 1** and **Fig. S2**, respectively.



**Fig. 2.** <sup>31</sup>P-NMR (a) and <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (b) spectra of L<sub>E</sub>, LEP's, and LPO polymer.

The increase in the grafting percentage in L<sub>E</sub>PO (compared with LPO) is attributed to the increased hydroxyl groups of L<sub>E</sub> due to ethanol fractionation (**Table 1** and **Fig. 1c**), creating more initiation sites for the CL ring opening and subsequent grafting. Previous research indicated that the CL monomers would mainly react with the aliphatic hydroxyl groups of kraft lignin, and this reactivity would be dependent on the CL/OH ratios.<sup>49</sup> However, the reactivity of phenolic hydroxyl was higher when the ratio of CL/OH was higher.<sup>50</sup> Similarly, L<sub>E</sub>P2 had the highest grafting percentage and substitution of phenolic hydroxyl groups (54%), followed by L<sub>E</sub>P5 with phenolic hydroxyl groups (38%) between the three samples. This behavior could be due to the higher CL concentration in L<sub>E</sub>P2 than in L<sub>E</sub>P5, which would provide an advantage in reacting with lignin's hydroxyl sites. L<sub>E</sub>P6 had the lowest grafting percentage and the highest substitution of the hydroxyl group (30%).

### 3.2.2. <sup>1</sup>H NMR analysis

The <sup>1</sup>H-NMR spectra of the polymers are depicted in **Fig. 2b** and **Fig. S3**. The degree of polymerization (DP) was calculated according to **Eq. S7** and the results are presented in **Table S4** and **Table 1**. The <sup>1</sup>H-NMR spectra for all L<sub>E</sub>PO, LPO, L<sub>E</sub>P2, L<sub>E</sub>P5, and L<sub>E</sub>P6 samples showed

a peak at 7.24 ppm, corresponding to CDCl<sub>3</sub>, while that at 2.5 ppm corresponded to DMSO in L<sub>E</sub>. Due to the chloroform used as the solvent of NMR,<sup>51</sup> the L<sub>E</sub>P spectra shifted to the left slightly, moving the methoxy peak from 3.3–3.9 ppm in L<sub>E</sub> to 4–4.5 ppm in the lignin-caprolactone polymers. The aliphatic-H of L<sub>E</sub> appeared in the 0.5–1.2 ppm range, while that in copolymers was observed at 1.9–2.9 ppm. The aliphatic-H in the copolymers is a result of -CH<sub>2</sub>- (d), -CH<sub>2</sub>- (e), and -COCH<sub>2</sub>- (f) appearing in 1.32, 1.57, and 2.24 ppm, respectively, as they aligned with pure PCL's <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra in **Fig. S3**. The signals corresponding to the -CH<sub>2</sub>O- (a), -COH (b), the repeating and end-group of the PCL chain at 3.9–4.1 ppm and 3.6–3.7 ppm, respectively, were identified and assigned in the copolymer's spectra. The groups described from <sup>1</sup>H-NMR and <sup>31</sup>P-NMR spectra (**Fig. 2a**) and the decrease in the total hydroxyl groups of the lignin (**Table 1**) confirm the polymerization of CL into PCL on the lignin backbone. Furthermore, the degree of polymerization (DP) calculation following **Eq S7** revealed that LPO's degree of polymerization (DP) was higher than L<sub>E</sub>PO's. This could be related to the fact that the PCL chain in LPO was larger than that in L<sub>E</sub>PO, which might be caused by the competing hydroxyl groups in L<sub>E</sub> preventing the chain growth. In contrast, the scarcity of hydroxyl groups in L helps the development of the PCL chain. L<sub>E</sub>P2 had the highest DP within the three samples,





whereas L<sub>E</sub>P6 had the lowest (Table S4 and Fig. S3). This indicates that the CL/OH ratio and catalyst concentration influenced the DP of lignin caprolactone polymers, which was consistent with the grafting percentage for L<sub>E</sub>P2 (Table 1). DOI: 10.1039/D4GC06179A

**Table 1.** Yield, grafting ratio (substitution) based on hydroxyl group, molar mass characteristics, hydroxyl group distribution, quantification of major linkages, thermal characteristics, and degree of polymerization (DP) based on H-NMR linkage quantification of lignin and lignin caprolactone polymers.

Sample	Yield (wt.%)	Grafting (%)	Molar mass characteristics		Lignin hydroxyl group amount (mmol g <sup>-1</sup> )		The concentration of interunit linkages (% of C9 units)			Thermal characteristics (°C)				DP		
			M <sub>w</sub> (g mol <sup>-1</sup> )	Δ <sub>M</sub>	Total phenolic-OH	Aliphatic c-OH	Carboxylic OH	-B-O-4	β-β	β-5	T <sub>o</sub>	T <sub>50%</sub>	DTG <sub>max</sub>		T <sub>g</sub>	T <sub>m</sub>
L	100	-	22870	2.982	6.1	1.38	0.59	229.4	74.1	41.8	239	416	360	120	-	-
L <sub>E</sub>	54.2	-	3827	1.002	7.7	1.44	0.64	64.3	27.8	64.3	123	398	380	111.5	-	-
L <sub>E</sub> P2	-	48.6	141600	-	1.25	0.87	0.36	-	-	-	257.8	394.2	400	-20	50	7.02
L <sub>E</sub> P5	-	33.6	36340	-	1.71	1.04	0.47	-	-	-	257.8	404.8	395	-22	52	5.91
L <sub>E</sub> P6	-	25.6	38880	-	2.51	1.00	0.40	-	-	-	266.9	398.4	395	-20	53	4.60
L <sub>E</sub> PO	-	26.6	402300	-	2.13	0.95	0.56	-	-	-	292.2	396.8	396.7	-26	49	4.97
LPO	-	15.7	728800	-	2.17	0.97	0.69	-	-	-	287.0	391.7	396.6	-14	47	5.16

### 3.2.3. HSQC NMR analysis

The aromatic and aliphatic regions' C-H correlation signals were assessed by HSQC NMR spectra for L<sub>E</sub>P2, L<sub>E</sub>P5, L<sub>E</sub>P6, L<sub>E</sub>PO, and LPO. The results are presented in Fig. 3. The L<sub>E</sub>P2, L<sub>E</sub>P5, L<sub>E</sub>P6, and L<sub>E</sub>PO polymers share similar linkages with L<sub>E</sub> in the oxygenated aliphatic region δC/δH 50-100/2.5-5 ppm (Fig. 1d). The aliphatic region (δC/δH 10-80/0.5-2.5) resulting from the PCL chain appeared dominant in the lignin-caprolactone polymers, which did not appear in L<sub>E</sub> (Fig. 1d). The strong aliphatic signals dominating the HSQC NMR spectra (Fig. 3) caused shielding, making the identification of some aliphatic linkage signals hard. The aromatic linkages of S<sub>2,6</sub>, S'<sub>2,6</sub>, G<sub>2</sub>, G<sub>5</sub>, and G<sub>6</sub> are depicted in Fig. 3b. The LPO's aliphatic oxygenated region and aliphatic regions had similar linkages to that of L<sub>E</sub>PO. Aromatic linkage signals in L<sub>E</sub>P2, L<sub>E</sub>P5, L<sub>E</sub>P6, and L<sub>E</sub>PO results showed strong aromatic linkages, like L<sub>E</sub> (Fig. 1g). This indicated that the lignin caprolactone polymers gained strong aliphatic signals due to CL grafting and polymerization while maintaining the lignin's aromatic structure. There is a decrease in the strength of the signal in LPO compared to L<sub>E</sub>PO, notably for signals S<sub>2,6</sub>, G<sub>2</sub>, and G<sub>6</sub>. The variation in the intensity of S<sub>2,6</sub>, G<sub>2</sub>, and G<sub>6</sub> may be attributed to the disparity in L and L<sub>E</sub> (Fig. 1f-g). Furthermore, the S<sub>2,6</sub>, G<sub>2</sub>, and G<sub>6</sub> signals were weaker in LPO than in L. The decreased intensity can be related to potential shielding caused by PCL grafted on the neighboring

aliphatic hydroxyl group of S<sub>2,6</sub>, G<sub>2</sub>, and G<sub>6</sub>, as evidenced by <sup>31</sup>P NMR and the higher chain length of PCL in LPO as evidenced by higher DP (Table 1).

### 3.2.4. Molecular weight analysis

The M<sub>w</sub> was investigated for L<sub>E</sub>P2, L<sub>E</sub>P5, L<sub>E</sub>P6, L<sub>E</sub>PO, and LPO samples (Table 1). The LPO polymer showed a higher molecular weight than L<sub>E</sub>PO, which could have originated from the higher molecular weight of the pristine lignin (L). The molecular weight of the L<sub>E</sub>P2, L<sub>E</sub>P5, L<sub>E</sub>P6, and L<sub>E</sub>PO polymers increased significantly compared to L<sub>E</sub> (Table 1), which further confirmed the introduction of an aliphatic polyester chain covalently bonded to the lignin structure (Fig. 2b). This indicated that there was a more significant reaction of lignin's hydroxyl (OH) groups and (ε-caprolactone) (CL) chains, which led to an increase in the M<sub>w</sub> of the copolymers.

### 3.2.5. Thermal behavior

The thermal properties of L<sub>E</sub>P2, L<sub>E</sub>P5, L<sub>E</sub>P6, L<sub>E</sub>PO, and LPO polymers were analyzed using TGA and DSC (Fig. 4a). The TGA analysis for L<sub>E</sub>P2,



L<sub>E</sub>P5, L<sub>E</sub>P6, and L<sub>E</sub>PO polymers showed a higher  $T_o$ ,  $T_{50\%}$ , and  $DTG_{max}$  than L<sub>E</sub> (Table 1). The thermal properties showed improvement by an elevated  $T_o$  for L<sub>E</sub>PO and LPO compared to L<sub>E</sub> and L.




Fig. 3. HSQC NMR spectra, aliphatic region (a), and aromatic linkage regions (b) of L<sub>E</sub>P2, L<sub>E</sub>P5, L<sub>E</sub>P6, L<sub>E</sub>PO, and LPO.

This is due to the higher molecular weight of the polymers after lignin-caprolactone polymerization, which resulted in the grafting of PCL chains to the lignin backbone, improving its thermal stability (Table 1 or Fig. 4a,b). Similarly, the  $T_m$  of L<sub>E</sub>P polymers was analyzed (Fig. 4c) and reported in Table 1. It is crucial to note that the  $T_m$  was recorded during the initial heating cycle of the DSC analysis due to the disappearance of the  $T_m$  in the last heating cycle. The disappearance of the  $T_m$  could result from the lower concentration of CL/OH (0.8–2.6 mmol), leading to a short PCL chain length (DP from Table 1) in the lignin molecular structure. Also, the shorter chain of PCL in lignin could experience restricted molecular mobility due to the random and rigid structure of lignin.<sup>52</sup> All the samples exhibited lower  $T_g$  (obtained from the second heating cycle of DSC) than L and L<sub>E</sub> (Table S4). The decreased  $T_g$  in the polymers may be attributed to increased free volume, allowing molecular movement due to the grafting of PCL on the lignin backbone.<sup>52</sup> Also, the difference in  $T_g$  and  $T_m$  between L<sub>E</sub>P2, L<sub>E</sub>P5, and L<sub>E</sub>P6 is insignificant due to the greater lignin concentration in all the samples and the short chain length of PCL, as shown in Table 1.

### 3.2.6. Rheological assessment

View Article Online  
DOI: 10.1039/D4GC06179A

The viscosity of lignin-caprolactone polymers at melt temperature as a function of shear rate was recorded in ramp-up experiments, as presented in Fig. 4d and Fig. S4. The shear-thinning property is an essential characteristic of ink required for extrusion-based 3D printing. This feature was detected in L<sub>E</sub>P6, L<sub>E</sub>P5, L<sub>E</sub>PO, and LPO (Fig. 4d). However, the L<sub>E</sub>PO shows a lower viscosity at a lower shear rate, followed by LPO, L<sub>E</sub>P5, and L<sub>E</sub>P6. L<sub>E</sub>PO's lower viscosity than LPO is attributed to the lower  $M_w$  and  $\bar{M}_n$  of L<sub>E</sub> than L (Table 1). There is extensive evidence that greater  $M_w$  and a higher  $\bar{M}_n$  would result in more entanglements, a greater degree of intermolecular contacts, and the formation of a complex interplay of diverse flow behavior, leading to enhanced flow resistance.<sup>53–55</sup> During the ramp-up experiment at the melt temperature, L<sub>E</sub>P2 exhibited a consistently low viscosity profile. The constant viscosity against a shear rate seen during the ramp-up experiment of L<sub>E</sub>P2 may be attributed to the polymer's higher DP and  $M_w$  (Table 1). These factors contribute to a polymer without shear-thinning properties, which is not typical for a polymer suitable for 3D printing.<sup>56</sup> L<sub>E</sub>P6 and L<sub>E</sub>P5 exhibit shear-thinning behavior, making them attractive for 3D printing. Nevertheless, the notably elevated viscosity, even when subjected to a greater shear rate, may obstruct the nozzle, impeding the process of 3D printing by extrusion, making them undesirable for the FDM 3D printing process.<sup>57, 58</sup> Therefore, L<sub>E</sub>P6 and L<sub>E</sub>P5 were not used for further rheology analysis and 3D printing.

LPO and L<sub>E</sub>PO were selected for further rheological analysis and 3D printing due to lower viscosity with a more shear-thinning behavior than the other samples, making them suitable candidates for FDM 3D printing. Temperature ramp and frequency sweep analysis provide information on molecular entanglement, molecular relaxation, layer stability, and guidelines of layer adhesion for FDM 3D printability.<sup>59</sup> The printability of L<sub>E</sub>P and LPO was assessed using a temperature ramp experiment. The complex viscosity, storage ( $G'$ ), and loss modulus ( $G''$ ) decreased with reducing temperature for both polymers (Fig. 5a–b). The complex viscosity,  $G'$ , and  $G''$ , of LPO were higher than those of L<sub>E</sub>PO, which might be related to the higher  $M_w$  of LPO (Table 1). Furthermore, the point at which  $G'$  and  $G''$  intersect (i.e., cross-over point) appears at a lower temperature (55°C) for LPO than for L<sub>E</sub>PO (40°C). This provides an insight into the material's behavior during printing, implying that LPO behaved more like a solid at a faster rate than L<sub>E</sub>PO with the temperature decreasing. The loss modulus ( $G''$ ) and storage modulus ( $G'$ ) of a polymer imply the layer adhesion and quality of 3D printable materials.<sup>60</sup> Strong interfacial bonding between layers is crucial for strong printing. An increment in the storage modulus often correlates with improved stiffness and better layer adhesion. Conversely, decreasing loss modulus may enhance printability by reducing energy dissipation during extrusion.<sup>61</sup> In this regard, L<sub>E</sub>PO had a much more printable behavior than LPO. In addition, the ratio between  $G'$  and  $G''$  (Tan delta) of L<sub>E</sub>PO was lower than that of LPO and closer to 1 (Fig. 5c). This indicates that L<sub>E</sub>PO had more balanced viscous and elastic properties, i.e., an ideal balance for FDM printing where a balanced flow during extrusion and shape retention after printing would be necessary.<sup>62</sup> In addition, the complex viscosity from the frequency sweep analysis in Fig. 5d exhibited that both polymers had similar flow behaviour,



indicating that they could flow at their melting temperature in the printing nozzle in the extrusion step. However, the significantly higher viscosity of LPO could cause filament buckling during nozzle extrusion, which is typical for materials with a higher viscosity.<sup>63</sup> The final mechanical properties of the printed parts are directly dependent on the interlayer adhesion between the layers deposited subsequently. The adhesion between layers is determined by the diffusion of polymer chains across the interface and the reorganization of macromolecules to their initial state.<sup>64</sup> This process

is directly influenced by the molten material's elastic or viscous properties and the polymer's relaxation time. The frequency sweep analysis for both polymers shows  $G'' > G'$  indicating an interlayer adhesion (Fig. 5e-f). In comparison, the LPO had a higher  $G'$  and  $G''$  than  $L_E$ PO, indicating that LPO possessed more solid-like properties than  $L_E$ PO. The filaments were extruded using a filament extrusion machine, and the appearance of  $L_E$ PO and LPO is displayed in Fig. 5g and Fig. S7a.




Fig. 4. TGA curve (a) and 1st order derivatives of TGA (b), glass transition ( $T_g$ ) and melt temperature ( $T_m$ ) (c) and viscosity at melt temperatures (d) and appearance of the sample  $L_E$ PO at room temperature (e), and the melt temperature (f).


### 3.2.7. Mechanical and surface properties

The tensile strength of  $L_E$ PO was analyzed after the film was cast in a Mold (Fig. 5h); however, the LPO film dried quickly, which hindered the film formation process (Fig. S7b), and it became too brittle to be tested for tensile analysis. The poor mechanical properties of LPO can be attributed to several factors. Firstly, LPO has a higher molecular weight (Table 1), which leads to LPO having a higher viscosity at the melt temperature (Fig. 4d). Furthermore, LPO loses its elastic behavior and behaves more like a solid at a temperature higher than  $L_E$ PO, as evidenced by an earlier cross-over point in the temperature ramp experiment (Fig. 5b). That leads to faster drying and higher flow resistance at the melt temperature. The tensile strength of  $L_E$ PO was  $0.9 \pm 0.02$  MPa, its elastic modulus was  $277 \pm 119$  %, and its young modulus was  $2774 \pm 1756$  MPa. The water contact angle of  $L_E$ PO ( $92^\circ$ ) was higher than LPO ( $62^\circ$ ) (Fig. 5i), indicating the higher hydrophobicity of  $L_E$ PO, which could result from the higher percentage of substitution of the hydrophilic hydroxyl groups in  $L_E$ PO (67%) than LPO (51%) with PCL (Fig. S2).


Overall, using several characterization methods clearly showed that ethanol fractionation had a noticeable effect on the physicochemical properties of the lignin-caprolactone polymer, as stated in the overall performance of LPO and  $L_E$ PO. The molecular weight, molecular weight distribution, and hydroxyl group content were altered due to ethanol separation, resulting in a lower molecular weight and increased hydroxyl groups. This led to  $L_E$  having a more significant advantage than L in interacting with CL, as seen by a higher grafting in the hydroxyl groups (Fig. S2).  $L_E$ PO had a lower viscosity and better rheological, mechanical, and hydrophobic properties than LPO because it had a lower molecular weight (Table 1), which may be attributed to improved free volume for molecular chain mobility and conformational rearrangement. Table 2 shows that earlier publications have identified interfacial incompatibility between lignin and other polymers in the system. This incompatibility has restricted lignin's presence in the final products.







**Fig. 5.** Complex viscosity (a), storage and loss modulus (b),  $\tan \delta$  (c), of LPO and L<sub>E</sub>PO from temperature ramp experiment, complex viscosity (d), storage modulus (e), loss modulus (f) from frequency sweep analysis: of LPO and L<sub>E</sub>PO polymers and, extruded filament of (f), L<sub>E</sub>PO polymer (g), tensile stress vs strain curve (h), and water contact angle of L<sub>E</sub>PO and LPO (i).



**Fig. 6.** FDM printed honeycomb structure of LPO photograph images of trial 1 (a) and trial 2 (b), light microscope image showing layer adhesions (a') and (b'), L<sub>E</sub>PO photograph images of trial 1 (c), and trial 2 (d) and light microscope magnification showing layer adhesion (c') and (d').





**Table 2.** Literature results for the generation of lignin included caprolactone composites.View Article Online  
DOI: 10.1039/D4GC06179A

Materials	Solvent	Filament preparation method	Lignin amount, wt. %	Other components con. %	Viscosity, Pa.S	Advantages	Shortcomings	Ref.
Lignin-caprolactone and acrylonitrile-butadiene-styrene	Solvent-free	Blending	5	ABS, 90 PCL, 5	2130	Lignin improved the processability of the composite	-Stability of composite material not reported -Lower concentration of lignin - Still used	66
Lignin, Polycaprolactone, and curcumin	Solvent-free	Blending	10	Curcumin, PCL, 85	5 NR	Lignin improved the antibacterial and antimicrobial properties	- Mechanical properties not reported - Lower concentration of lignin	67
Enzymatic lignin, polycaprolactone and polyurethane	Solvent-free	Blending	20	PCL, 30 PU, 55	100	Lignin enhanced the rigidity of the composite	Interface incompatibility between lignin and other components	68
Polylactic acid, polycaprolactone, and lignin	Solvent-free	Blending	2	PCL, 24 PLA, 65	NR	Lignin improved the thermal recovery and mechanical properties	Lower concentration of lignin	69
Lignin and caprolactone	Solvent-free	Copolymerization	75	Caprolactone, 25	160.9	- Higher concentration of lignin - Ease of use - Superior mechanical properties	Application of 3D printed material needs investigation	This work

### 3.3. 3D printability of lignin-caprolactone polymer

The 3D printability of LPO and L<sub>E</sub>PO was assessed using FDM, and images of the 3D printed parts are displayed in **Fig. 6**. The pallets for both polymers were rolled, loaded, and printed using a 3D printer; however, as seen from the printed parts, a visible gap between layers indicates low interlayer diffusion and adhesion between deposited layers in the LPO samples (**Fig. 6a'** and **6b'**). Conversely, L<sub>E</sub>PO showed no gaps between printed layers, indicating better interlayer adhesion and filling. This behavior could be attributed to the very high viscosity (**Fig. 5a** and **5d**) and molecular weight of LPO (**Table 1**), inducing thermal crosslinking, which might lead to reducing molecular mobility and interfacial diffusion, thus reducing adhesion between deposited layers.<sup>20</sup>

Furthermore, the intersection point observed during the melt rheology investigation (**Fig. 5b**) of LPO occurred at higher temperatures (55 °C), indicating that the sample might lose its ability to melt more quickly when exposed to lower temperatures. This could hinder the bonding of layers, as seen in **Fig. 6a'-b'**. The higher 3D printability of L<sub>E</sub>PO than LPO might be ascribed to improved layer adhesion originating from the lowered molecular weight and viscosity of L<sub>E</sub>PO due to L<sub>E</sub>'s lower molecular weight achieved by ethanol fractionation (**Table 1**). Therefore, we can conclude that the ethanol fractionation of alkali lignin improved the 3D printability of lignin-caprolactone polymers.



### 3.4. Implications

In the past, lignin polymers were used in 3D printing in polymer blends with other polymers, such as acrylonitrile-butadiene-styrene, polycaprolactone, curcumin, polycaprolactone/polyurethane, and polylactic acid following the FDM-3D printing technique, as indicated in **Table 2**. The addition of lignin-caprolactone polymer (15 wt.%) to acrylonitrile-butadiene-styrene led to a decrease in viscosity (2130 Pa.s) compared to the formulations without lignin-caprolactone polymer (4270 Pa.s) under similar temperatures and shear rates.<sup>66</sup> In another study, incorporating lignin-caprolactone polymer (40 wt.%) into polycaprolactone and curcumin improved the blend's thermal stability even though the rheological properties were not reported.<sup>67</sup> **Table 2** shows that earlier publications have identified interfacial incompatibility between lignin and other polymers in the system. This incompatibility has restricted lignin's presence in the final products. Utilizing a homogeneous copolymer would facilitate the manufacturing process. The current study is unique compared to the existing literature since the lignin-caprolactone polymer was used solitarily for 3D printing after mimicking the characteristics of lignin polymer via solvent fractionation (ethanol). The total concentration of lignin present in the lignin-caprolactone matrix was 75 wt.% (i.e., a truly sustainable material), based on the calculation in (**Eq. S1**), with much lower viscosity (160.9 Pa.S) and ease of printing. Considering the ethanol fractionation, the overall use of lignin in the produced 3D printing material would be 40.12 wt.% of the original pristine lignin. The results imply that almost 60% of pristine lignin is still available for other uses and can be incorporated into other value-added applications if collected after filtration. This study also conducted an analysis of variance (ANOVA) on the responses (viscosity, melt temperature, and degree of polymerization) of lignin-caprolactone polymer, considering three variables: CL/OH ratio, reaction time, and catalyst concentration in **Tables S5-S7**. The results indicate that all reaction parameters significantly affect the response variables, with p-values less than 0.05. Additionally, suitable models for predicting the response variables are presented, according to **Eqs. S10-S12**. This work provides an excellent insight into the use of lignin polymer for FDM-3D printing, which would reduce the use of inorganic and synthetic polymers in 3D printed materials, tackling the problem of sustainability. Future research may explore the substitutions of hexane with a more environmentally friendly solvent utilized for the extraction of extractives from post-ethanol fractionated lignin, as well as the DBTDL catalyst with environmentally friendly catalysts.

### 4. Conclusion

This work aimed to reduce the molecular weight and molecular weight distribution of birch alkali lignin (L) via ethanol fraction before polymerization of the fractionated lignin ( $L_E$ ) with caprolactone for FDM-3D printing.  $L_E$  showed a higher hydroxyl group content, lower molecular weight, and lower poly dispersibility than L. The increase in hydroxyl groups created more reactive sites on the lignin structure for the caprolactone grafting. The copolymerization of  $L_E$  and caprolactone was optimized by considering the melt temperature ( $T_m$ ), degree of polymerization (DP), and viscosity as the primary

factors of its printability. The results confirmed that the optimized sample ( $L_E$ PO), produced by a CL/OH ratio of 1.15 mmol g<sup>-1</sup>, a reaction duration of 420 minutes, and a catalyst concentration of 1 wt.% showed better printability with a melt temperature of 48°C, shear-thinning behavior, low viscosity (160.9 Pa.S) and better thermal stability with an onset temperature 292.2 °C. The melt rheology results demonstrated that  $L_E$ PO exhibited superior interlayer adhesion during printing and mechanical capabilities to unfractionated lignin-caprolactone (LPO) polymer.  $L_E$ 's decreased molecular weight and narrower molecular weight distribution contributed to  $L_E$ PO's reduced viscosity. Furthermore, the occurrence of the cross-over points between G' and G'' appearing 15 °C higher than LPO provided  $L_E$ PO with a favorable condition for improved layer formation and enhanced bonding between layers.  $L_E$ PO's water contact angle value was higher than those of LPO by 30°, which was consistent with the higher grafting percentage of CL (11% higher) to the hydroxyl groups of  $L_E$ PO than LPO. Based on this study's findings, the reduced variability in the lignin structure after ethanol fractionation of lignin is a viable approach for producing lignin-caprolactone polymer for 3D printable materials, where 75% of the fractionated lignin (representing 40.12 % unfractionated pristine alkali birch lignin) was part of the lignin-caprolactone polymer. More extensive research is required to explore the usefulness of this 3D-printed lignin-caprolactone polymer in areas such as bio-medical applications.

### Author Contributions

**Banchamiak Bemew Kassaun:** Writing the original draft, conceptualization, methodology, and investigation. **Luyao Wang:** Methodology, Investigation. **Oskar Backman:** Methodology, Investigation. **Chunlin Xu:** Writing-review & editing, Project administration, Methodology, Investigation, Conceptualization **Pedram Fatehi:** Writing-review and editing, Project administration, Methodology, Investigation, Conceptualization.

### Conflicts of interest

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

### Data availability

The data is available upon request.

### Acknowledgment

The authors acknowledge the Globalink MITACS national research organization, Northern Ontario Heritage Fund Corporation, NSERC, Business Finland (project 43674/31/2020), and part of the research used Research Council of Finland Research Infrastructure "Printed Intelligence Infrastructure kkJure" (PII-FIRI).

### References

1. T. Yao, J. Ye, Z. Deng, K. Zhang, Y. Ma and H. Ouyang, *Compos. B: Eng.*, 2020, **188**, 107894.
2. chey, *Compos. B. Eng.*, 2020, **201**, 108373.
3. Z. Fu, S. Naghieh, C. Xu, C. Wang, W. Sun and X. Chen, *Biofabrication*, 2021, **13**, 033001.
4. N. R. Madhu, H. Erfani, S. Jadoun, M. Amir, Y. Thiagarajan and N. P. S. Chauhan, *Int. J. Adv. Manuf. Technol.*, 2022, **122**, 2125-2138.



5. L. Shao, Y.-C. Chang, C. Hao, M.-e. Fei, B. Zhao, B. J. Bliss and J. Zhang, *Green Chem.*, 2022, **24**, 8716-8724.
6. Y. Bozkurt and E. Karayel, *J. Mater. Res. Technol.*, 2021, **14**, 1430-1450.
7. G. Chyr and J. M. DeSimone, *Green Chem.*, 2023, **25**, 453-466.
8. S. Shariatnia, A. Veldanda, S. Obeidat, D. Jarrahbashi and A. Asadi, *Compos. B. Eng.*, 2019, **177**, 107291.
9. Ö. Yapar, in *Additive Manufacturing in Multidisciplinary Cooperation and Production*, Springer, 2023, DOI: 10.1007/978-3-031-37671-9\_8, pp. 79-102.
10. D. S. Bajwa, G. Pourhashem, A. H. Ullah and S. G. Bajwa, *Ind. Crops. Prod.*, 2019, **139**, 111526.
11. S. Wasti, E. Triggs, R. Farag, M. Auad, S. Adhikari, D. Bajwa, M. Li and A. J. Ragauskas, *Compos. B. Eng.*, 2021, **205**, 108483.
12. A. E. Kazzaz, Z. H. Feizi and P. Fatehi, *Green Chem.*, 2019, **21**, 5714-5752.
13. O. Yu and K. H. Kim, *Appl. Sci.*, 2020, **10**, 4626.
14. M. Fazeli, S. Mukherjee, H. Baniasadi, R. Abidnejad, M. Mujtaba, J. Lipponen, J. Seppälä and O. J. Rojas, *Green Chem.*, 2024, **26**, 593-630.
15. V. Mimini, E. Sykacek, S. N. A. Syed Hashim, J. Holzweber, H. Hettegger, K. Fackler, A. Potthast, N. Mundigler and T. Rosenau, *J. Wood Chem. Technol.*, 2019, **39**, 14-30.
16. X. Zhang, M. Morits, C. Jonkergouw, A. Ora, J. J. Valle-Delgado, M. Farooq, R. Ajdary, S. Huan, M. Linder and O. Rojas, *Biomacromolecules*, 2020, **21**, 1875-1885.
17. N. A. Nguyen, S. H. Barnes, C. C. Bowland, K. M. Meek, K. C. Littrell, J. K. Keum and A. K. Naskar, *Sci. Advances*, 2018, **4**, eaat4967.
18. J. Domínguez-Robles, N. K. Martin, M. L. Fong, S. A. Stewart, N. J. Irwin, M. I. Rial-Hermida, R. F. Donnelly and E. Larrañeta, *Pharmaceutics*, 2019, **11**, 165.
19. S. Wasti, E. Triggs, R. Farag, M. Auad, S. Adhikari, D. Bajwa, M. Li and A. J. Ragauskas, *Composites Part B: Engineering*, 2021, **205**, 108483.
20. N. A. Nguyen, C. C. Bowland and A. K. Naskar, *Appl. Mater.*, 2018, **12**, 138-152.
21. P. Schlee, O. Hosseinaei, C. A. O'Keefe, M. J. Mostazo-López, D. Cazorla-Amorós, S. Herou, P. Tomani, C. P. Grey and M.-M. Titirici, *J. Mater. Chem.*, 2020, **8**, 23543-23554.
22. L. S. Ebers, A. Arya, C. C. Bowland, W. G. Glasser, S. C. Chmely, A. K. Naskar and M. P. Laborie, *Biopolymers*, 2021, **112**, e23431.
23. V. V. Adithyamol, S. Gupta and B. Kandasubramanian, *Biomed. Mater. Dev.*, 2024, DOI: 10.1007/s44174-024-00193-1, 1-13.
24. H. Ye, Y. He, H. Li, T. You and F. Xu, *Polymers*, 2023, **15**, 2806.
25. M. Labet and W. Thielemans, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2009, **38**, 3484-3504.
26. R. M. Mohamed and K. Yusoh, *Adv. Mat. Res.*, 2016, **1134**, 249-255.
27. M. Bartnikowski, T. R. Dargaville, S. Ivanovski and D. W. Hutmacher, *Prog. Polym. Sci.*, 2019, **96**, 1-20.
28. V. Guarino, G. Gentile, L. Sorrentino and L. Ambrosio, *EPST*, 2002, DOI: 10.1002/0471440264.pst658, 1-36.
29. C. M. B. Ho, A. Mishra, P. T. P. Lin, S. H. Ng, W. Y. Yeong, Y. J. Kim and Y. J. Yoon, *Macromol. Biosci.*, 2017, **17**, 1600250.
30. W. Wang, G. F. Caetano, W.-H. Chiang, A. L. Braz, J. J. Blaker, M. A. C. Frade and P. J. Bartolo, *Int. J. Bioprinting*, 2016, **2**, 95-104.
31. K. Liu, J. Sun, Q. Zhu, X. Jin, Z. Zhang, Z. Zhao, G. Chen, C. Wang, H. Jiang and P. Zhang, *Ceram. Int.*, 2022, **48**, 24032-24043.
32. Z. Muwaffak, A. Goyanes, V. Clark, A. W. Basit, S. T. Hilton and S. Gaisford, *Int. J. Pharm.*, 2017, **527**, 161-170.
33. I.-K. Park, H. Sun, S.-H. Kim, Y. Kim, G. E. Kim, Y. Lee, T. Kim, H. R. Choi, J. Suhr and J.-D. Nam, *Sci. Rep.*, 2019, **9**, 7033.
34. K. L. Kadam, C. Y. Chin and L. W. Brown, *Environ. Prog. Sustain. Energy.*, 2009, **28**, 89-99.
35. H. Sadeghifar and A. Ragauskas, *ACS sustain. Chem. Eng.*, 2020, **8**, 8086-8101.
36. Y. Wang, L. Wei, Q. Hou, Z. Mo, X. Liu and W. Li, *Fermentation*, 2023, **9**, 386.
37. C. A. E. Costa, F. M. Casimiro, C. Vega-Aguilar and A. E. Rodrigues, *Chemengineering*, 2023, **7**, 42.
38. R. Liu, A. Smeds, L. Wang, A. Pranovich, J. Hemming, S. Willfor, H. Zhang and C. Xu, *ACS Sustain. Chem. Eng.*, 2021, **9**, 13862-13873.
39. L. Wang, L. Lagerquist, Y. Zhang, R. Koppolu, T. Tirri, I. Sulaeva, S. v. Schoultz, L. Vähäsalo, A. Pranovich and T. Rosenau, *ACS Sustain. Chem. Eng.*, 2020, **8**, 13517-13526.
40. C. Gao, M. Li, C. Zhu, Y. Hu, T. Shen, M. Li, X. Ji, G. Lyu and W. Zhuang, *Compos. B. Eng.*, 2021, **205**, 108530.
41. G. Zinovyev, I. Sulaeva, S. Podzimek, D. Rössner, I. Kilpeläinen, I. Sumerskii, T. Rosenau and A. Potthast, *ChemSusChem*, 2018, **11**, 3259-3268.
42. X. Meng, C. Crestini, H. Ben, N. Hao, Y. Pu, A. J. Ragauskas and D. S. Argyropoulos, *Nat. Protoc.*, 2019, **14**, 2627-2647.
43. D. Diment, O. Tkachenko, P. Schlee, N. Kohlhuber, A. Potthast, T. M. Budnyak, D. Rigo and M. Balakshin, *Biomacromolecules*, 2023, **25**, 200-212.
44. M. Gigli and C. Crestini, *Green Chem.*, 2020, **22**, 4722-4746.
45. R. Liu, A. Smeds, T. Tirri, H. Zhang, S. Willfor and C. Xu, *ACS Sustain. Chem. Eng.*, 2022, **10**, 14588-14599.
46. M. Sette, R. Wechselberger and C. Crestini, *Chem. Eur. J.*, 2011, **17**, 9529-9535.
47. T. Pang, G. Wang, H. Sun, W. Sui and C. Si, *Ind. Crops Prod.*, 2021, **165**, 113442.
48. J.-H. Choi, S.-M. Cho, J.-C. Kim, S.-W. Park, Y.-M. Cho, B. Koo, H. W. Kwak and I.-G. Choi, *ACS omega*, 2021, **6**, 1534-1546.
49. N. A. Nguyen, K. M. Meek, C. C. Bowland, and A. K. Naskar, *Data in Brief*, 2019, **22**, 392-399.
50. M. C. Najarro, M. Nikolic, J. Iruthayaraj and I. Johannsen, *ACS Appl. Polym. Mater.*, 2020, **2**, 5767-5778.
51. E. A. Capanema, M. Y. Balakshin and J. F. Kadla, *J. Agric. Food Chem.*, 2004, **52**, 1850-1860.
52. T. Hatakeyama, S. Yamashita and H. Hatakeyama, *J. Therm. Anal. Calorim.*, 2021, **143**, 203-211.
53. J. F. Vega, J. Otegui, J. Ramos and J. Martínez-Salazar, *Rheol. Acta*, 2012, **51**, 81-87.
54. S. Middleman, *J. Appl. Polym. Sci.*, 1967, **11**, 417-424.
55. S. J. Kozmiski, *Effect of Molecular Weight Distribution on Viscosity-shear Rate and Die Swell Behavior in Homologous Polystyrene Blends*, The Pennsylvania State University, 1984.
56. S. Wickramasinghe, T. Do and P. Tran, *Polymers*, 2020, **12**, 1529.



## Paper

## Green Chemistry

57. Y. Tlegenov, W. F. Lu and G. S. Hong, *Prog. Addit. Manuf.*, 2019, **4**, 211-223.
58. H. Zhang, L. Zhang, H. Zhang, J. Wu, X. An and D. Yang, *Int. J. Adv. Manuf. Technol.*, 2021, **117**, 3549-3562.
59. M. V. Candal, I. Calafel, N. Aranburu, M. Fernández, G. Gerrica-Echevarria, A. Santamaría and A. J. Müller, *Addit. Manuf.*, 2020, **36**, 101408.
60. A. Patti, S. Acierno, G. Cicala, and D. Acierno, *ChemEngineering*, 2022, **7**, 1.
61. S. Thumsorn, W. Prasong, T. Kurose, A. Ishigami, Y. Kobayashi and H. Ito, *Polymers*, 2022, **14**, 2721.
62. R. Arrigo and A. Frache, *Polymers*, 2022, **14**, 1754.
63. K. Ilyés, N. K. Kovács, A. Balogh, E. Borbás, B. Farkas, T. Casian, G. Marosi, I. Tomuță and Z. K. Nagy, *Eur. J. Pharm. Sci.*, 2019, **129**, 110-123.
64. A. Das, J. A. Riet, M. J. Bortner and C. McIlroy, *Phys. Fluids*, 2022, **34**.
65. Y. Weng, M. Li, D. Zhang, M. J. Tan and S. Qian, *Cem. Concr. Res.*, 2021, **143**, 106386.
66. S.-H. Kim, K. Choi, H. R. Choi, T. Kim, J. Suhr, K. J. Kim, H. J. Choi and J.-D. Nam, *ACS omega*, 2019, **4**, 10036-10043.
67. J. Domínguez-Robles, E. Cuartas-Gómez, S. Dynes, E. Utomo, Q. K. Anjani, U. Detamornrat, R. F. Donnelly, N. Moreno-Castellanos and E. Larrañeta, *SM &T*, 2023, **35**, e00581.
68. F. Suo, X. Bai, Y. Liu, M. Xu, T. Gu, L. Cao, X. Lv, X. Zhang and Y. Yao, *Int. J. Biol. Macromol.*, 2024, DOI: 10.1016/j.ijbiomac.2024.132943, 132943.
69. B. Liu, X. Gu, X. Chen, Y. Yang, J. Zou, H. Yin and Y. Cai, *J. Appl. Polym. Sci.*, 2024, **141**, e55252.

View Article Online  
DOI: 10.1039/D4GC06179A





## Data availability

The data supporting this article have been included as part of the Supplementary Information. No software or codes were used in this article, and extra data can be obtained upon request from the corresponding author.

