

REVIEW

[View Article Online](#)
[View Journal](#) | [View Issue](#)
Cite this: *Nanoscale*, 2024, **16**, 13230

Bio-gel nanoarchitectonics in tissue engineering

Jingwen Song, ^{a,*} Wenyan Lyu, ^{b,c} Kohsaku Kawakami ^{a,d} and Katsuhiko Ariga ^{*b,c}

Given the creation of materials based on nanoscale science, nanotechnology must be combined with other disciplines. This role is played by the new concept of nanoarchitectonics, the process of constructing functional materials from nanocomponents. Nanoarchitectonics may be highly compatible with applications in biological systems. Conversely, it would be meaningful to consider nanoarchitectonics research oriented toward biological applications with a focus on materials systems. Perhaps, hydrogels are promising as a model medium to realize nanoarchitectonics in biofunctional materials science. In this review, we will provide an overview of some of the defined targets, especially for tissue engineering. Specifically, we will discuss (i) hydrogel bio-inks for 3D bioprinting, (ii) dynamic hydrogels as an artificial extracellular matrix (ECM), and (iii) topographical hydrogels for tissue organization. Based on these backgrounds and conceptual evolution, the construction strategies and functions of bio-gel nanoarchitectonics in medical applications and tissue engineering will be discussed.

Received 10th February 2024,
Accepted 13th June 2024

DOI: 10.1039/d4nr00609g

rsc.li/nanoscale

1. Introduction

The development of mankind has been accompanied by the development of available functional materials. As human

social life has become larger and more diverse, social demands have increased dramatically. For example, functional materials have been developed to confront many problems such as energy production,¹ energy storage,² various sensing,³ environmental protection,⁴ carbon neutrality,⁵ device development,⁶ drug delivery,⁷ regenerative medicine,⁸ pathogen control,⁹ and cancer treatment.¹⁰ Most of them do not rely on the inherent performance of the material itself. The key to the expression of advanced functions is the control of sophisticated structures that extend to the nanoscale. The development of the concept of nanotechnology has clarified this trend. It has made it possible to observe¹¹ and manipulate¹² structures at the atomic and molecular levels. Nanotechnology has allowed us to elucidate scientific phenomena and prin-

^aResearch Center for Functional Materials, National Institute for Materials Science (NIMS), 1-1 Namiki, Tsukuba 305-0044, Ibaraki, Japan.

E-mail: SONG.Jingwen@nims.go.jp

^bGraduate School of Frontier Sciences, The University of Tokyo, 5-1-5 Kashiwa-no-ha, Kashiwa 277-8561, Japan

^cResearch Center for Materials Nanoarchitectonics, National Institute for Materials Science (NIMS), 1-1 Namiki, Tsukuba 305-0044, Japan.

E-mail: ARIGA.Katsuhiko@nims.go.jp

^dGraduate School of Pure and Applied Sciences, University of Tsukuba, 1-1-1 Tennodai, Tsukuba 305-8577, Ibaraki, Japan



Jingwen Song

Jingwen Song received her Ph.D. degree from The University of Tokyo under the guidance of Professor Katsuhiko Ariga in 2021. She also studied in the Supermolecules Group at the World Premier International (WPI) Research Centre for Materials Nanoarchitectonics (MANA), National Institute for Materials Science (NIMS), from 2018 to 2021. She is currently a postdoctoral researcher in the Medical Soft Matter group, NIMS.



Wenyan Lyu

Wenyan Lyu is a PhD student at The University of Tokyo under the supervision of Professor Katsuhiko Ariga. She completed her master's degree from the Sun Yat-Sen University in 2023. Her research focuses on the Manipulation of cellular behaviors and fates based on liquid-liquid interfaces.






Fig. 1 Outline of the nanoarchitectonics concept and approach.

ciples at the nanoscale. Given the creation of materials based on nanoscale science, nanotechnology must be combined with other disciplines. This role is played by the new concept of nanoarchitectonics,¹³ the process of constructing functional materials from nanocomponents. It can be called a post-nanotechnology concept.¹⁴ The ultimate goal of nanoarchitectonics is to construct functional materials systems with many functional units, such as biological systems.¹⁵

While Richard Feynman proposed nanotechnology in the mid-20th century,¹⁶ Masakazu Aono initiated nanoarchitectonics at the beginning of the 21st century.¹⁷ Nanoarchitectonics combines various processes that have been mainly formulated in the field of materials science, with the aim of architecting functional materials systems from nano-units such as atoms and molecules (Fig. 1).¹⁸ It selects and combines atomic and molecular manipulation, chemical/physical material creation and transformation, self-assembly/self-organization, arrangement/organization by external fields

and forces, nano- and micro-fabrication, biochemical processes, etc. to architect functional materials.¹⁹ Thus, unlike self-assembly,²⁰ which is based on simple equilibrium, nanoarchitectonics is suited to creating asymmetric and hierarchical materials structures.²¹ Nanoscale processes are subject to uncertainties such as thermal fluctuations, stochastic distributions, and quantum effects. Therefore, functional materials systems are built in such a way that effects are harmonized.²² The form in which functional molecules are organized hierarchically and the mode in which they work symbiotically with thermal fluctuations is similar to those of biofunctional systems.²³ Therefore, the ultimate goal of nanoarchitectonics is to construct advanced functional systems like biological systems and to architect materials systems that interact with biofunctional systems. For example, exploration of functional systems based on bio-gels would be a good research target.

Nanoarchitectonics is a methodology for architecting functional materials systems from atoms and molecules. Since all materials are in principle made of atoms and molecules, the methodology of nanoarchitectonics applies to all materials. It is an integrative concept that can be likened to the theory of everything in physics²⁴ and can be called the method for everything in materials science.²⁵ The endeavor of nanoarchitectonics will provide new insights and deeper thinkings in the field of materials chemistry in general. In fact, research studies advocating nanoarchitectonics are being conducted in a wide range of fields, not only in fields related to chemistry²⁶ and physics,²⁷ but also many related to biology and bio-chemistry.²⁸ Nanoarchitectonics is expected to contribute to many areas, including those related to basic bio-chemistry,²⁹ biosensing,³⁰ drug delivery,³¹ cell control,³² and therapeutic areas.³³ Nanoarchitectonics may be highly compatible with applications in biological systems. Conversely, it would be meaningful to consider nanoarchitectonics research oriented toward biological applications with a focus on materials systems.

The methodology of nanoarchitectonics is not about launching an entirely new concept. Rather, it is an integration of existing fields. Therefore, there are many examples of



Kohsaku Kawakami

Kohsaku Kawakami received his PhD in Chemical Engineering in 2000 from Kyoto University. After working for pharmaceutical companies including Shionogi and Merck, he joined the National Institute for Materials Science in 2006. He is currently a group leader of the Medical Soft Matter group and a director of the Material Open Platform for Pharmaceutical Science. He also serves as a professor at the University of Tsukuba.



Katsuhiko Ariga

Katsuhiko Ariga received his Ph.D. degree from the Tokyo Institute of Technology in 1990. He joined the National Institute for Materials Science (NIMS) in 2004 and is currently the leader of the Supramolecules Group and a senior scientist with special missions of the Research Centre for Materials Nanoarchitectonics (MANA), NIMS. He has also been appointed as a professor in The University of Tokyo.



research that have characteristics of nanoarchitectonics even if they do not advocate nanoarchitectonics. From this perspective, we will consider how conventional technologies can contribute to nanoarchitectonics. The point is to assemble rational three-dimensional (3D) structures from nano-units such as atoms, molecules, and ions. One methodology is to organize two-dimensional (2D) structures and then assemble them into an organized 3D functional structure.³⁴ Functional integration in biological systems is the rational integration of functional molecules based on various membrane structures.³⁵ Therefore, organizing functional molecules intrinsically into 2D systems such as thin films may be one of the best strategies of nanoarchitectonics for creating highly functional materials. On this basis, building 3D structures is a suitable way to create materials for bio-applications. Typical methodologies are the Langmuir–Blodgett (LB) method³⁶ and layer-by-layer (LbL) assembly.³⁷ These methods are rational methods for building functional structures from two to three dimensions, but they only synthesize structures at the thin-film level. They are not always suitable as methods for building macroscopic materials.

A methodology for creating well-defined structures in two or three dimensions from molecules or ions is the synthesis of metal–organic frameworks (MOFs)³⁸ and covalent organic frameworks (COFs).³⁹ In this case, crystal structures with precise pore structures are formed. 3D precise structures can be made, but with a few exceptions,⁴⁰ most are rigid structures. Also, large structures at the level of animal experiments are not always possible. In addition, there are many other methodologies to create 3D structures by supramolecular assembly. Among them, gel creation is a methodology that incorporates a large amount of solvent molecules to form macroscopic structures using only a small amount of constituent molecules.⁴¹ The characteristic feature of this method is that it produces very soft structures due to the large amount of solvent. Hydrogels in which the solvent material is water molecules are particularly suitable for biological applications. When considering biological applications through 3D nanoarchitectonics, it is useful to consider gels as target materials.

First, a brief look at some recent examples of gel research shows that gels, which are already widely studied materials, are also being investigated for controlling fundamental physical properties, such as mechanical properties are controlled through structural design, while the order and disorder characteristics are regulated using physicochemical procedures.⁴² This indicates that there remains ample room for nanoarchitectonics to contribute to the field of gels as well. Mayumi, Ito, and co-workers are investigating gels with sliding ring structures.⁴³ Recently, they found that moderate cross-linking of poly(ethylene glycol) hydrogels led to parallel orientation of the chains during elongation and rapid and reversible strain-induced crystallization.⁴⁴ The resulting toughness was an order of magnitude greater than that of covalently crosslinked poly(ethylene glycol) homogeneous gels. Sekine and Nankawa examined carboxymethylcellulose nanofiber hydrogels formed

by solid–liquid phase separation.⁴⁵ Kubota discussed supramolecular-polymer composite hydrogels as multi-network hydrogels in his recent review.⁴⁶ The design of this composite hydrogel can rationally integrate the stimulus-response of the supramolecular gel with the stiffness of the polymeric gel. Yamanaka and co-workers investigated the adsorption of silica, polystyrene, and titania particles onto polyacrylamide and polydimethylacrylamide hydrogels.⁴⁷ The van der Waals force was again found to be a strong enough driving force for particles absorbed on the surface of polymer hydrogels in water. Supramolecular polymer-based hydrogels typically exhibit highly ordered structures, which are stable and in a thermodynamic equilibrium state. Recently, Xing, Yan, and their co-workers prepared long-range disordered biomolecular glasses using amino acid and peptide nanoarchitectonics. These glasses demonstrate biocompatibility, biodegradability, and biorecyclability, surpassing the properties of currently used commercial glasses and plastic materials.⁴⁸

In addition to the basic studies of physical properties, several advanced applications have been reported. Li, Gao, and co-workers discussed in a recent review flexible sensors based on biohydrogels of bacterial cellulose as natural biomass.⁴⁹ Potential applications include human–machine interfaces, wearable medicine, and bionic intelligent robotics. Masud, Hossain, Kaneti, and co-workers developed κ -carrageenan hydrogel-coated mesoporous gold electrodes.⁵⁰ These were useful for the chronocoulometric detection of microRNA. Lu, Gu, and co-workers developed a conductive polymer hydrogel strain sensor that exhibited both extreme strain and negligible hysteresis.⁵¹ It was created by a micro-phase semi-separated network design of the polymer and a fabrication technique that combined 3D printing and continuous freeze–thawing. The technology could be directed toward stretchable electronic skin and intelligent robotic systems. Hydrogels are ideal materials for human–machine interfaces because of their mechanical and chemical similarity with biological tissues. A recent review reported by Yuk *et al.* provided a comprehensive discussion of functional modes, design principles, and future applications of hydrogel interfaces for human–machine integration.⁵² Kai, Yu, Huang, and co-workers also discussed the correlation between hydrogel properties and device performances in their recent review.⁵³ This is to provide a perspective on current challenges and future directions for the development of flexible electronics using environmentally responsive hydrogels.

For example, properties such as stiffness, pore size, viscoelasticity, nano- and microarchitecture, degradability, ligand presentation, and stimulus responsiveness can be widely modulated in hydrogels. Therefore, applications in the biomedical field are quite promising. The review by Cao, Zhang, and co-workers discussed the rational structural and functional design of hydrogels utilizing materials engineering to influence cell signaling cascades and fates.⁵⁴ Cheng, Yue, and co-workers proposed an antibiotic-free strategy to combat implant-related infections by using biodegradable and cyto-



compatible hydrogel coatings.⁵⁵ Duan, Guo, and co-workers developed a photo-crosslinked multifunctional antimicrobial adhesive hemostatic hydrogel dressing based on polyethylene glycol monomethyl ether modified glycidyl methacrylate functionalized chitosan, methacrylamide dopamine, and zinc ions.⁵⁶ This is intended to kill drug-resistant bacteria and promote wound healing. Guo and co-workers developed a self-healing hydrogel based on quaternized chitosan, oxidized dextran, tobramycin, and polydopamine-coated polypyrrole nanowires, with good electrical conductivity and antioxidant activity.⁵⁷ This is aimed at burn wound repairment. Qi, Shen, Hu, and co-workers developed a hydrogel with melanin nanoparticles loaded on a polysaccharide matrix composed of biguanide chitosan and oxidized β -glucan for efficient healing of bacterially infected diabetic wounds.⁵⁸ This wound dressing material could be a new option for the treatment of diabetic wounds. Fu, Zhu, Fan, and co-workers developed a gel that is conducive to diabetic chronic wounds.⁵⁹ They encapsulated gelatin microspheres containing insulin and celecoxib. The gel was prepared using phenylborate-grafted polyvinyl alcohol and chitosan. Showing dual-response temperature-sensitive shape self-adaptation to glucose and matrix metalloproteinase-9, Zhao, Yang, and co-workers hybridized collagen-based natural hydrogels with protocatechuic acid aldehyde for their ability to regulate macrophage heterogeneity.⁶⁰ Useful for promoting angiogenesis and diabetic wound healing, He, Shen, and co-workers developed a novel glycyrrhizic acid-based hybrid hydrogel dressing with intrinsic immunomodulatory properties.⁶¹ This hybrid hydrogel, which also focuses on promoting rapid healing of diabetic wounds, is composed of an interpenetrating polymer network with excellent injectability and mechanical strength. Zhu, Fan, and co-workers developed an injectable hydrogel based on Ti_3C_2 MXene nanosheets coated with hyaluronic acid-grafted-dopamine and polydopamine.⁶² This could be applied to diabetic wound healing in combination with mild photothermal stimulation. Guo and co-workers designed a cross-linked multifunctional adhesive hydrogel based on sodium alginate, gelatin, and protocatechuic aldehyde, with ferric ions added.⁶³ The developed reversible adhesive hydrogel dressing can be served as a versatile tissue sealant.

As described above, gels, especially hydrogels, have been studied in many fields. They can be used for various purposes because they can incorporate multiple components of nanoarchitectonics. They have many advantages, such as the ability to freely control their mechanical properties through solvent content and internal nano- and micro-structures. As can be seen from the examples, many efforts are being made for practical applications in the biomedical field. Perhaps, hydrogels are promising as a model medium to realize nanoarchitectonics in biofunctional materials science. In this review, we will provide a more detailed overview of some of the more narrowly defined targets, especially for tissue engineering, and look at the utility of hydrogel design and synthesis (nanoarchitectonics from molecules and polymers). Specifically, we will discuss (i) hydrogel bio-inks for 3D bio-

printing, (ii) dynamic hydrogels as an artificial extracellular matrix (ECM), and (iii) topographical hydrogels for tissue organization. In detail, hydrogel bio-inks stand out for the rheological properties of initial extrudability and printability as inks, as well as their self-supporting and shape maintenance abilities after being used to construct hydrogel scaffolds. Additionally, by regulating the printing formulation or programs, hydrogel bio-inks loaded with cells or growth factors of interest can be readily customized to accomplish the spatio-temporal distribution which has great potential for artificial 3D tissues or organs, *etc.* In dynamic hydrogels, the emphasis shifts to mechanical properties that dynamically respond to environmental stimuli such as pH, temperature, light, or biochemical signals. Dynamic hydrogels undergo reversible changes in stiffness or degradation rates to provide a supportive matrix that can remodel and adapt to cell activity, facilitating cell migration, proliferation, and differentiation. Topographical hydrogels rely on surface patterning and nano/micro-scale features to influence cell behaviors. They have precise spatial cues that mimic the native tissue microenvironment and guide cell adhesion, alignment, and organization. Based on these backgrounds and conceptual evolutions, the construction strategies and functions of bio-gel nanoarchitectonics in medical applications and tissue engineering will be discussed.

2. Hydrogel bio-inks for 3D bioprinting

3D bioprinting technology is expected to achieve scalable bio-fabrication of structurally complex and functionally powerful tissue simulations for the study and amelioration of human diseases. Bio-ink materials for 3D printing must present two main roles: first, as raw materials, they can be used to manufacture structured constructs and second, as downstream cell induction niches, they can support cell growth, proliferation, and function. Hydrogels are ideal bio-ink materials that can maintain high cell viability and can also combine with traditional biological growth factors strategies to guide cell phenotype and fate.

Conventional hydrogels exhibit a homogeneous structure, which as bio-inks for 3D printing will restrict cell growth and mobility as well as impede the diffusion of oxygen and nutrients, thus hindering cell viability, infiltration, and proliferation. Seymour *et al.* constructed microgel-based composite granular 3D printing inks composed of UV-cross-linkable gelatin methacryloyl (GelMA) microgels and sacrificial gelatin microgels (Fig. 2A).⁶⁴ After UV cross-linking and heat melting, crosslinked GelMA scaffolds remained, but gelatin was removed and left behind continuous void space. The void fraction could be readily controlled by the proportion of the gelatin microgel within total composite inks. Experiments of the rheological characteristics assessed that the total concentration of microgels impacted the printability and shape maintenance, which were independent of the ratio of






Fig. 2 Schematic of the preparation process and cellular effect of a microgel-based composite granular 3D printing hydrogel with void space. (A) Schematic of preparing 3D printing scaffolds by using UV-crosslinkable gelatin methacryloyl (GelMA) microgels and sacrificial gelatin microgels. The scaffold can create void spaces by melting the sacrificial gelatin microgel at 37 °C. (B) Cell infiltration was promoted with an increased void fraction of 3D-printed constructs, which was controlled by the proportion of sacrificial gelatin microgels.

GelMA:gelatin microgel inks, so the printability was decoupled from the void fraction. Furthermore, it also demonstrated that the interstitial void space of printed scaffolds was beneficial for human umbilical vein endothelial cell (HUVEC) growth and infiltration, which was positively associated with the void fraction (Fig. 2B). Therefore, this work could provide a new insights into bioprinting and tissue engineering applications through fabricating microporous GelMA microgel inks with advanced printability and shape maintenance.

Therapeutic growth factors delivery often requires higher than normal doses, which can cause unwanted side effects. Freeman *et al.* established a novel 3D printed composite scaffold with distinct spatiotemporally distributed growth factors, which could be used as an implant for angiogenesis and bone defect healing.⁶⁵ Two kinds of alginate/methylcellulose-based bioinks respectively containing vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) and bone morphogenetic protein-2 (BMP-2) were incorporated to fabricate the composite printed construct to achieve the above-mentioned tissue regeneration (Fig. 3A). It had been demonstrated that the spatial distribution of VEGF with decreasing load from the center to periphery within the implant was more conducive to vascular infiltration than the implant loading with homogeneously distributed VEGF, which could be credited to the cell migration chemotactic effect. Moreover, peripherally distributed BMP-2 with a slow-release profile, achieved by the addition of nanoparticles LAPONITE® due to electrostatic attraction, was assessed as more favorable for new bone formation than BMP-2 with fast release in implants. Furthermore, the whole was greater than the sum of the parts, and thereby the compo-

site growth factor delivery system with both VEGF and BMP-2 was also applied to bone defect healing. It had been proved that the composite implant could not only enhance angiogenesis more significantly compared to the VEGF gradient-only loaded hydrogel but also promote bone regeneration and maturation with little heterotopic bone formation (Fig. 3B), which was mainly attributed to appropriate growth factor release kinetics and non-supraphysiological dosages. Therefore, the composite growth factor loaded system displayed in this work provides some new inspirations in biohydrogel designs and precisely controlled tissue regeneration, such as the spatiotemporal synergetic technique and controlled release strategies of multiple growth factors under the auxiliary of nanoparticles.

In addition to the above examples, there are diverse applications of hydrogels for 3D bioprinting. Properties such as biocompatibility with shear viscosity reduction, adequate yield strength, and fast self-healing are desired in hydrogel inks for 3D bioprinting. The searches of gel structures for this purpose are underway from various viewpoints. Zhang *et al.* developed novel self-healing pre-crosslinked hydrogel microparticles of chitosan methacrylate/poly(vinyl alcohol) hybrid hydrogels.⁶⁶ Using this ink, they directly printed a series of biomimetic constructs with very high aspect ratios and delicate microstructures. It was possible to fabricate constructs easily and versatilely with excellent shape fidelity at organ-related scales, such as blood vessels, human ears, and rat femurs. Bioprinting by digital light processing (DLP) is advantageous for the biofabrication of structurally complex tissues. Wang *et al.* used a method of selective enzymatic digestion of hyalur-






Fig. 3 Schematic of the construction method and biological regeneration effect of 3D printing composite hydrogel scaffolds loaded with distinct spatiotemporally distributed growth factors. (A) The 3D printing hydrogels with spatial gradient distribution of BMP2 and VEGF based on the PCL framework as a support material were built and transplanted. (B) The spatial differential distribution of two kinds of growth factors within 3D printing constructs proved more advantageous for promoting angiogenesis and bone regeneration.

onan methacrylate for DLP bioprinting.⁶⁷ The molecular cleavage approach provided a tissue-matched structure without loss of structural complexity and fidelity. With this method, stem cell-derived NGN2-accelerated progenitor cells (SNaPs) differentiated into neurons and astrocytes. They exhibited strong electrophysiological and other brain-like properties. With further optimization, the molecular cleavage strategy was expected to be applied to tissue engineering, which has been difficult to realize.

Kim *et al.* used bioink made from silk fibroin for DLP-3D bioprinting in tissue engineering applications.⁶⁸ Mechanical and rheological properties could be adjusted by varying the content of the silk fibroin-based bioink. The bioink allowed the construction of very complex organ structures such as the heart, blood vessels, brain, trachea, and ears with excellent structural stability and reliable biocompatibility. It was particularly noteworthy that this approach only used naturally derived polymers. Recently, four-dimensional (4D) printing has emerged as a next generation biofabrication technology. Kim *et al.* reported a 4D bioprinting system based on DLP and a photocurable silk fibroin hydrogel, containing two or more cell types.⁶⁹ Using this 4D bioprinting system, tracheal mimetic tissues consisting of two types of cells were produced. When implanted into a damaged rabbit trachea, the implant

spontaneously integrated with the host trachea. Both epithelium and cartilage formed at the predicted site. This raised the possibility of using this system for tissue engineering and biomedical applications.

The above illustrates the usefulness of hydrogels as bioinks for 3D bioprinting. Their mechanical and biocompatibility properties are important parameters. The target organs and biological tissues are diverse. The phenomena are intricate and complex, but the underlying polymer chemistry and physical chemistry are based on prior knowledge. In other words, the design and synthesis of optimal hydrogels using such knowledge leave a variety of grounds for the contribution of nanoarchitectonics.

3. Dynamic hydrogels as an artificial ECM

In living organisms, cells constantly interact with the highly dynamic ECM and remodel it. This process promotes various cell behaviors and fates, including growth, proliferation, migration, differentiation, and apoptosis. In addition to intrinsic thermodynamic remodeling, the degradation of biological polymers and the dissociation/recombination of force-induced



physical crosslinks, which are two orthogonal external sources, also play roles in the dynamic characteristics of biological polymer networks in the natural ECM. Hydrogels are widely used as tunable biomimetic 3D cell culture matrices. Dynamic hydrogels can change their structures and properties under environmental stimuli. The formation of these hydrogels is usually achieved through physical interaction or chemical cross-linking, building a 3D network nanoarchitectonics that has the capacity to swell in water and respond to external cues. Moreover, dynamic hydrogels comprise reversible bonds like hydrogen bonds, ionic interactions, or dynamic covalent bonds, so that the hydrogel can have reversible structural changes, which provides it the applications for self-healing, adaptation and responsiveness to environmental cues. Some hydrogels show fast responses to stimuli such as temperature, pH, light, and ionic strength. As a result, dynamic hydrogels can be assembled from building blocks that adapt to cell activities when living cells are introduced. However, the natural ECM is very complex, and designing the physical and chemical properties of hydrogels to precisely manipulate their dynamic

interactions with cells remains challenging. Therefore, designing a 3D hydrogel matrix with adjustable dynamic characteristics to reproduce the spatiotemporal hierarchy of ECM dynamics is of great significance in comprehending the relationship between the natural ECM and cell behaviors.

To explore the dynamic correlation between the natural ECM and cell behaviors, researchers are committed to developing dynamic cell-adaptive hydrogels cross-linked by dynamic reversible bonds. Yang *et al.* investigated the indispensable role played by the dynamic property of 3D hydrogels based on supramolecular crosslinks in guiding cell behaviors and fates (Fig. 4).⁷⁰ Hydrogels with similar reaction equilibrium constants but distinct kinetic constants were prepared through host-guest reversible interactions between the mono-acryloyl β -cyclodextrin (ac- β -CD) and hyaluronic acid chains (HA) equally grafted with adamantane (ADA) or cholic acid (CA) prior to photo-initiated polymerization, and were termed HA-ADA and HA-CA, respectively. Consistent with the higher dissociation kinetic constants of the HA-ADA hydrogel, it also exhibited faster stress relaxation than the HA-CA hydrogel as




Fig. 4 Schematic of the preparation principles and cell behavior of dynamic hydrogels with distinct stress-relaxation time. (A) The dynamic hydrogels were prepared based on host-guest complexation, and different guest molecules were used to build dynamic hydrogels with different dissociation rate constants. A cell encapsulated in the dynamic hydrogel with a large dissociation rate constant was found more conducive to cell spreading and mechanosensing. (B) The importance of precise conjugated sites and robust binding strength of cell-adhesive ligands of RGD peptides in cellular spreading and mechanosensing is emphasized.



verified through rheological experiments. In addition, human Mesenchymal Stem Cells (hMSCs) cultured within the HA-ADA-cRGD (RGD is the abbreviation for arginylglycylaspartic acid) hydrogel presented extensive stellate spreading and intensive cellular mechanotransduction and osteogenic differentiation, while hMSCs within the HA-CA-cRGD hydrogel exhibited a round morphology and weak mechanosensing and adipogenic differentiation (Fig. 4A). In addition, the precise conjugated sites and robust binding strength of cell-adhesive ligands of RGD peptides also played a vital role in cellular spreading and mechanosensing (Fig. 4B). The above-mentioned cellular behaviors and multi-cell assembly impacted by the dynamics of hydrogels involved the concerted action of cell–matrix interactions, cell-adhesive ligand $\beta 1$ integrin, and cytoskeletal mechanotransduction. Altogether, higher dynamics of the ECM could provide the cell membrane more local space freedom to explore and recruit cell-adhesive ligands of the ECM, and activate intracellular downstream mechanical signaling pathways better.

The collective behavior of cell and tissue patterns are also controlled by the mechanical properties of the ECM, for example, the viscosity, elasticity, viscoelasticity, fluidity, and so on. Among these features, how the viscoelasticity of the ECM affects the collective cell's organization from the spatial and temporal aspects remains not very well studied. Elosegui-Artola *et al.* explored and illustrated the effects driven by the passive viscoelasticity of the ECM on the spatiotemporal organization of encapsulated collective cells using alginate hydrogels (Fig. 5).⁷¹ Through coordinating the formula of alginate polymers and calcium crosslinker concentration, hydrogels with varying stress relaxation times were prepared, with independent control of the stiffness (Fig. 5A). Compared with elastic matrices, epithelial cell spheroids cultured within viscoelastic hydrogels were experimentally validated to show morphological instability (rapid growth, formation of finger-like protrusions, and spherical symmetry breaking) (Fig. 5B), and higher cellular mechanotransduction involved the activation of Rac1 signaling pathways, and facilitation of epithelial-to-mesenchymal transitions (EMTs), which was recognized to promote tumor growth and metastasis (Fig. 5C). Moreover, a computational simulation and prediction model was created. The calculation formulas of three variables of the matrix that could be used to recapitulate tissue organization within hydrogels were considered in the model – the scaled fluidity and passive mechanical relaxation time and the scaled cell proliferative capacity – and they were in concert to design a phase diagram to predict cell patterning. Based on formulations, the computational model simulated that decreased viscosity of the ECM could lead to tissue growth and instability, which was validated by the above-mentioned experiments. Besides, the model predicted cell motility, tissue proliferation, and increased stiffness of the matrix independent of viscoelasticity or elasticity, and all these also could contribute to tissue growth and instability. Definitely, these predictions were substantiated by related experiments. To prove the universal applicability of the model, the intestinal organoids culturing experiment was performed and indeed verified that the viscoelasticity of ECM promoted tissue growth and morphological changes.

Natural fiber matrices are critical components of the ECM, and the effects of programmable and remodelable assembly of a 3D fibrous hydrogel scaffold on cellular behavior have yet to be investigated. Davidson *et al.* fabricated reassembled norbornene-modified hyaluronic acid (NorHA) fibrous hydrogel assemblies by photocrosslinking the resuspension solution of fragments produced from mechanical disruption of the preprepared electrospun fiber (Fig. 6).⁷² The hydrogels were found to possess excellent physical properties of shear-thinning and self-healing before photocrosslinking, which is conducive to the potential of application in extrusion printing constructs.




Fig. 5 Schematic of a hydrogel with adjustable viscoelasticity and stiffness in spatiotemporally controlling the collective cell patterning. (A) Hydrogels with varying viscoelasticity and stiffness can be independently controlled by coordinating the formula of alginate molecular weight and crosslinker concentration. (B) The viscoelasticity and elasticity of hydrogels synergistically drove organoid morphogenesis. (C) The molecular mechanism behind the symmetry breaking of organoids encapsulated in the viscoelastic hydrogel.






Fig. 6 Schematic of the process of creating reassembled fibrous hydrogels by using fragments of electrospun fiber mats. The study demonstrated that fibers in low-density fibrous assemblies, cells exerting contraction forces could recruit more fibers, leading to increased fiber alignment, greater reduction in hydrogel volume, and higher cell density.

Moreover, the features of strain-stiffening and strain-induced fiber alignment had been detected after photocrosslinking, and both features were dramatically demonstrated in the low-density (20%) fibrous assemblies compared to those of median (50%) and high (75%) density hydrogels. After encapsulating and culturing cells within varying fibre density hydrogels, the low-density fibrous assemblies were found to exhibit more extensive and more pericellular fiber recruitment, more reduction of volume, higher cell density, and compressive moduli under the cellular mechanical forces than median and high-density hydrogels. Due to the spatial distribution of matrix fibers being converted from the initial isotropic orientation into an organized alignment consistent with a micro-scale hydrogel geometry under cell contraction, the cell-laden fibrous assemblies were proposed to have the utility of micro-tissue fabrication. Furthermore, the hydrogel system could

also be utilized to fabricate programmable biohydrogels *via* printing technology or photolithography methods, which were enabled by the shear-thinning induced extrudability and photocrosslinking of fibrous assemblies, respectively.

As seen in the examples above, the physical and structural cues of ECMs are diverse. Hydrogels are frequently used as synthetic ECMs for 3D cell culture. In addition to the examples described above, various other examples of studies have been reported. Lou *et al.* reported an interpenetrating network (IPN) hydrogel system based on dynamically covalently cross-linked HA and collagen I.⁷³ The stress relaxation of IPN hydrogels can be tuned by the component HA. The modulation was based on the affinity of the HA cross-linker, the molecular weight, and the concentration of HA. The resulting viscoelastic hyaluronan-collagen IPN hydrogels mimicked well the microenvironment of the ECM, where mechanical cues in 3D cell culture



could direct the function and fate of stem cells. Bone marrow-derived hMSCs are promising cells for regenerative therapies, and *ex vivo* expansion is necessary to obtain clinically useful cell numbers. Killaars *et al.* used hydrogels containing allyl sulfide cross-linkers and radical-mediated addition–fragmentation chain transfer processes at different time points.⁷⁴ Hydrogels containing hMSCs were softened *in situ*. The effects of short- and long-term mechanical stimuli on epigenetic modification of hMSCs were quantified. Epigenetic remodeling was persistent, suggesting that memory may be retained in neural progenitor cell (NPC) culture in 3D hydrogels. This was an attractive strategy to increase the number of stem cells needed for therapeutic purposes. How the properties of the 3D material affect the maintenance of NPC stem cells in the absence of differentiation factors has been less well explored. Madl *et al.* found that stem cell maintenance did not correlate with initial hydrogel firmness in the range of physiologically appropriate firmness.⁷⁵ Conversely, hydrogel degradation correlated with and was necessary for the maintenance of NPC stemness. This finding suggested that matrix remodeling without cytoskeletal tension generation was one strategy to maintain cellular stemness in 3D.

Zhang *et al.* investigated the mechanosensing behavior of human mesenchymal stem cells using a thermosensitive electrospun microfiber crosslinked hydrogel.⁷⁶ The ability to switch *in situ* from a rigid (37 °C) to a soft (25 °C) state in a temperature-induced manner for a number of cycles was investigated. Cell proliferation, adhesion, mechanical threshold for nuclear migration of YAP signals, and osteogenic differentiation were enhanced compared to hMSCs grown under normal culture conditions. This might be due to enhanced mechanical feedbacks *via* dynamic mechanical interactions between cells and 3D fibrous constructs. The influence of early protein deposition on cell behavior in hydrogels had not been well explored. Loebel *et al.* used biological orthogonal labeling techniques to visualize early proteins within 1 day of culture in a variety of hydrogels.⁷⁷ The role of nascent proteins in cell recognition of engineered materials had been reaffirmed and had implications for *in vitro* cell signaling studies and tissue repairment applications. However, there is still room for further investigations in complex feedback mechanisms. Intracellular biomacromolecules were dynamically reorganized in response to signals. This is dynamic nanoarchitectonics in living systems. Freeman *et al.* prepared hydrogels based on peptide amphiphiles, which can have DNA chains that degrade in response to chemical triggers, and investigated their superstructural assembly behaviors.⁷⁸ The hydrogels had the property of degrading upon the addition of molecules or changes in charge density and organizing into a superstructure of entangled filaments. Experimental and simulation results indicated that reversible superstructures were formed when the large-scale dynamics of the supramolecular material was controlled by the formation of strong non-covalent bonds that could be broken from the outside. This dynamic supramolecular system allowed us to consider how changes in the structural features of the hydrogel network modulate important phenoty-

pic changes in astrocytes associated with brain and spinal cord injury and neurological diseases.

The natural ECM has a decisive influence on cell behavior. Therefore, nanoarchitectonics of its artificial mimics is important for cellular tissue engineering and regenerative medicine. Hydrogels are very promising candidates, as seen in many of the examples above. The development of an artificial ECM is challenging due to the need for responses to the interaction/feedback from cells. Therefore, it is necessary to analyze the dynamic cell behavior and synthesize corresponding materials and structures. In such cases, the dynamic nanoarchitectonics approach is considered a powerful target.

4. Topographical hydrogels for tissue organization

Topographical scaffolding plays a crucial role in the development of epithelial organs and the solid vascular networks connecting them. In tissue engineering, the scaffold used is one of the most critical elements. Biocompatible hydrogel based topographical scaffold fabrications are expected to mimic from the microscale of the native ECM to macroscale of tissue topographical structures.

The mechanism of fluid transport by multivascular networks and intravascular topographies has always been a very challenging research topic as such complex 3D network channels are difficult to construct. Grigoryan *et al.* constructed poly(ethylene glycol) diacrylate (PEGDA) hydrogels containing multivascular networks based on 3D stereolithography through bottom-up LBL photopolymerization under the assistance of innovative biocompatible photoabsorber additives, such as tartrazine (yellow food coloring FD&C Yellow 5, E102), curcumin (from turmeric), or anthocyanin (from blueberries).⁷⁹ 3D monolithic hydrogels with the vessel wall integrated with a functional bicuspid valve were fabricated, which could effectively spontaneously control the open-state of anterograde flows and the close-state of retrograde flows. Besides, entangled vascular networks comprising two separated and non-intersected channels also were established. When one of the channels was oxygen ventilation and another was perfused human red blood cells, respectively, vascularized alveolar and lung-mimetic models were created and validated to extremely recapitulate the functional intervascular oxygen transportation between the circulatory system and respiratory system. Furthermore, superior therapeutic effects of the transplantation of composite hydrogel carriers containing vascular networks and encapsulated hepatocytes in chronic liver injury were determined, so it was revealed that the system had clinical application value.

The stem cell self-organization process that is fundamental to organoid development has been difficult to control, which leads to the lack of repeatability in most existing technology for organoid culturing. Gjorevski *et al.* developed an external control strategy based on photosensitive poly(ethylene glycol) (PEG) hydrogels to confine the geometry of intestinal organoids, and demonstrated the indispensable influence of a pre-



defined geometrical framework for the development of intestinal organoids (Fig. 7A).⁸⁰ The crypt-villus axis of intestinal organoids mostly occurred at the localized position of cell accumulation within the shape-confined organoids, which involved greater spatial distribution in the activation of YAP and Notch signaling pathways, thereby influencing the stem cell fate by regulating Paneth cell localization and differentiation (Fig. 7B), and ultimately mediating the formation of crypt and villus domains (Fig. 7C). This pre-setting of organoid geometry could make the whole development process of organoids highly conservative, and there was no need to introduce external biochemical gradients. Furthermore, it was also demonstrated that the natural physiological structure of the intestinal epithelium *in vivo* could be further simulated when intestinal organoids were cultivated within the shape-confined hydrogel scaffolds.

Similarly, in tissue engineering for muscle repair, scaffold design also plays a critical role. The topographical hydrogel scaffolds with conductivity that mimic the ECM are crucial for skeletal muscle repair. Xue *et al.* created an anisotropic and

conductive hydrogel scaffold by using gelatin methacryloyl (GelMA) as the base hydrogel and silver nanowires (AgNW) as the conductive dopant, employing a directional freezing technique to achieve the desired topographical scaffold for muscle defect repair (Fig. 8).⁸¹ These topographical and mechanical properties closely resemble those of the native muscle ECM, enabling cell orientation through contact cues and electrical stimulation. When transplanted into rats with muscle defects, the electrically stimulated topographical hydrogel scaffold showed a marked improvement in muscle reconstruction, achieving muscle mass and strength restoration ratios of 95% and 99% of normal levels, respectively. This finding presents the potential of topographically optimized and conductive hydrogel scaffolds in advancing muscle repair applications.

As seen in the above examples, cells and their tissues do not have a uniform structure, and the corresponding gels require topographic structural control. Various attempts have been made, not limited to the above examples. Deshmukh *et al.* developed an acoustofluidic device that continuously patterned mammalian cells within hydrogel fibers.⁸² Photopolymerizable




Fig. 7 Schematic of the geometry-driven deterministic organoid patterning of hydrogels. (A) Crypt formation of ISC colonies, which is indicated by the occurrence of Paneth cells, could be artificially controlled precisely through embedding cells within the photopatterned hydrogel. (B) ISCs encapsulated in the geometry-defined hydrogel were found to exhibit symmetry-breaking and epithelial patterning, which may arise from the spatial differential distribution of YAP and Notch signaling. (C) Similar organoid patterning also occurred when ISCs were cultured on the hydrogels that resemble the native intestinal mucosa.






Fig. 8 Schematic diagram of topographical scaffolds with conductivity for *in vivo* muscle repair under electrical stimulation. Reprinted with permission from ref. 46. Copyright 2024, Royal Society of Chemistry.

hydrogels were externally induced to undergo gelatinization by light when the cells were placed under the influence of an acoustic field. Muscle progenitor cells (myoblasts) could be patterned in parallel lines within the hydrogel, mimicking the structure of skeletal muscle. There, increased formation of myotubes and spontaneous twitching of myotubes could be observed. In addition, the anisotropy of natural tissues of other cell types, such as tendons, ligaments, and neurons, could be mimicked. Liu *et al.* reported a new technique called filament optical biofabrication.⁸³ This technique allowed for the rapid fabrication of hydrogels consisting of a unidirectional network of microfilaments with a diameter on the length scale of a single cell. The formed microfilaments exhibit outstanding cell induction properties in fibroblasts, tendon cells, endothelial cells, and myoblasts. It is also possible to form multidirectional microfilaments within a single hydrogel construct. Potential applications of nanoarchitectonics in complex multicellular tissue engineering constructs would be considered more. Ma *et al.* reported an approach for versatile tissue engineering from tendon to bone, utilizing calcium silicate nanowires and alginate composite hydrogels as building blocks.⁸⁴ 3D printing technology and mechanical stretching post-processing were integrated to create structures with significantly enhanced mechanical properties ranging from nanoscale to submicron and microscale. The composite hydrogels significantly enhanced tissue regeneration of bone-to-tendon, especially fibrocartilage transition tissue *in vivo*.

As is the case with many mammalian tissues, organisms have specific cellular arrangements that allow for their unique functions. Correspondingly, topographic controls such as the patterning of hydrogels and their mixtures with the network become important. Biological systems universally possess hierarchical and topographic structural properties. Therefore, arti-

ficial structures such as hydrogels must have a topographic structure. The nanoarchitectonics methodology, which has advantages in creating hierarchical structures, is expected to be able to meet such demands.

5. Conclusions

Some of the above examples are reviewed and summarized. These examples illustrate the utility of the concept of nanoarchitectonics for research in the biological and medical fields, especially in tissue engineering, using gels. For hydrogels as bioinks for 3D bioprinting, mechanical and biocompatible properties are important parameters. The organs and biological tissues to which they are applied are complex systems, but fundamentally they are controlled upon a combination of known phenomena of polymer chemistry and physical chemistry. This is in common with the concept of nanoarchitectonics, which is based on the harmonization of fundamental processes and phenomena. Also, it is useful for cellular tissue engineering and regenerative medicine to construct artificial mimics of ECMs with nanoarchitectonics of gels, as they play a decisive role in the behaviors of cells. During this process, the interaction with cells is dynamic at the interfaces they come in contact with. Interfacial nanoarchitectonics emphasizing dynamic elements and nanoarchitectonics of soft materials surfaces will be key. As shown in the last few examples, it is not the cells themselves, but their specific arrangement and organization that play a major role in the expression of function. Patterning technology that corresponds to the hierarchical structure of living organisms is required. A nanoarchitectonics technique that favors the formation of hierarchical structures can meet these requirements.



Cells that perform biofunctions, their tissue bodies, organs, and living organisms are structurally and functionally complex systems. As a material that is compatible with these complex systems, hydrogels are promising because of their ability to manipulate various components, structures, and mechanical properties. It is necessary to assemble unit molecules, polymers, ions, *etc.* into macroscopic structures comparable to those of cells and tissues. This is where the concept of nanoarchitectonics has many opportunities to demonstrate its power. Human beings have devised a variety of gels that can cope with such complex systems through accumulated experience and scientific knowledge. However, a more rational approach requires the introduction of data processing methodologies. The concepts of machine learning⁸⁵ and materials informatics⁸⁶ are being used to select, design, and develop optimal materials. There are also proposals to combine nanoarchitectonics and materials informatics.⁸⁷ A rational approach to large-scale production is also needed. The development of such methodologies may also be achieved by supporting nanoarchitectonics with artificial intelligence. The fabrication of optimal gel structures from nanoscale structural elements by nanoarchitectonics will be useful for the development of tissue engineering biomedical materials at the practical level. The participation of new technologies such as machine learning will also promote it.

Author contributions

Jingwen Song: conceptualization, writing – original draft, and writing – review & editing. Wenyan Lyu: writing – original draft. Kohsaku Kawakami: project administration, review & editing, and funding acquisition. Katsuhiko Ariga: conceptualization, writing – original draft, writing – review & editing, and funding acquisition.

Data availability

This is a review article and does not include any original data.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgements

This study was partially supported by Japan Society for the Promotion of Science KAKENHI (Grant Numbers JP20H00392 and JP23H05459).

References

- (a) D. Guo, R. Shibuya, C. Akiba, S. Saji, T. Kondo and J. Nakamura, *Science*, 2016, **351**, 361–365; (b) E. Zhang, Q. Zhu, J. Huang, J. Liu, G. Tan, C. Sun, T. Li, S. Liu, Y. Li, H. Wang, Xi. Wan, Z. Wen, F. Fan, J. Zhang and K. Ariga, *Appl. Catal., B*, 2021, **293**, 120213; (c) K. Maeda, F. Takeiri, G. Kobayashi, S. Matsuishi, H. Ogino, S. Ida, T. Mori, Y. Uchimoto, S. Tanabe, T. Hasegawa, N. Imanaka and H. Kageyama, *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.*, 2022, **95**, 26–37; (d) G. Chen, S. K. Singh, K. Takeyasu, J. P. Hill, J. Nakamura and K. Ariga, *Sci. Technol. Adv. Mater.*, 2023, **23**, 413–423; (e) H. Imahori, *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.*, 2023, **96**, 339–352.
- (a) A. H. Khan, S. Ghosh, B. Pradhan, A. Dalui, L. K. Shrestha, S. Acharya and K. Ariga, *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.*, 2017, **90**, 627–648; (b) Md. S. Islam, Y. Shudo and S. Hayami, *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.*, 2022, **95**, 1–25; (c) A. Yoshino, *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.*, 2022, **95**, 195; (d) T. Hosaka and S. Komaba, *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.*, 2022, **95**, 569–581; (e) P. A. Shinde, Q. Abbas, N. R. Chodankar, K. Ariga, M. A. Abdelkareem and A. G. Olabi, *J. Energy Chem.*, 2023, **79**, 611–638.
- (a) S. Ishihara, J. Labuta, W. V. Rossom, D. Ishikawa, K. Minami, J. P. Hill and K. Ariga, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2014, **16**, 9713–9746; (b) A. Muranaka, H. Ban, M. Naito, S. Miyagawa, M. Ueda, S. Yamamoto, M. Harada, H. Takaya, M. Kimura, N. Kobayashi, M. Uchiyama and Y. Tokunaga, *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.*, 2022, **95**, 1428–1437; (c) T. Murata, K. Minami, T. Yamazaki, T. Sato, H. Koinuma, K. Ariga and N. Matsuki, *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.*, 2023, **96**, 29–34; (d) S. d. K. Sundaram, Md. M. Hossain, M. Rezki, K. Ariga and S. Tsujimura, *Biosensors*, 2023, **13**, 1018; (e) Y. Sasaki and T. Minami, *ChemNanoMat*, 2024, **10**, e202300335.
- (a) M. Wen, G. Li, H. Liu, J. Chen, T. An and H. Yamashita, *Environ. Sci.: Nano*, 2019, **6**, 1006–1025; (b) X. Han, S. Wang, M. Liu and L. Liu, *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.*, 2022, **95**, 1445–1452; (c) R. Li, D. Huang, S. Chen, L. Lei, Y. Chen, J. Tao, W. Zhouab and G. Wang, *Nanoscale*, 2022, **14**, 10299–10320; (d) J. Wang, F. Matsuzawa, N. Sato, Y. Amano and M. Machida, *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.*, 2023, **96**, 1088–1098; (e) J. Yang, L. Huang, J. You and Y. Yamauchi, *Small*, 2023, **19**, 2301044.
- (a) R. Zhang and T. Hanaoka, *Nat. Commun.*, 2022, **13**, 3629; (b) A. Chapman, E. Ertekin, M. Kubota, A. Nagao, K. Bertsch, A. Macadre, T. Tsuchiyama, T. Masamura, S. Takaki, R. Komoda, M. Dadfarnia, B. Somerday, A. T. Staykov, J. Sugimura, Y. Sawae, T. Morita, H. Tanaka, K. Yagi, V. Niste, P. Saravanan, S. Onitsuka, K.-S. Yoon, S. Ogo, T. Matsushima, G. Tumen-Ulzii, D. Klotz, D. H. Nguyen, G. Harrington, C. Adachi, H. Matsumoto, L. Kwati, Y. Takahashi, N. Kosem, T. Ishihara, M. Yamauchi, B. B. Saha, M. A. Islam, J. Miyawaki, H. Sivasankaran, M. Kohno, S. Fujikawa, R. Selyanchyn, T. Tsuji, Y. Higashi, R. Kirchheim and P. Sofronis, *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.*, 2022, **95**, 73; (c) S. Chen, J. Liu, Q. Zhang, F. Teng and B. C. McLellan, *Renewable Sustainable Energy Rev.*, 2022, **167**, 112537; (d) N. Tsubaki, Y. Wang, G. Yan and Y. He, *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.*, 2023, **96**, 291–302;



- (e) T. Fukushima, M. Higashi and M. Yamauchi, *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.*, 2023, **96**, 1209–1215.
- 6 (a) T. Tsuchiya, T. Nakayama and K. Ariga, *Appl. Phys. Express*, 2022, **15**, 100101; (b) Y. Saito, H. Sasabe, H. Tsuneyama, S. Abe, M. Matsuya, T. Kawano, Y. Kori, T. Hanayama and J. Kido, *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.*, 2023, **96**, 24–28; (c) M. Ishii, Y. Yamashita, S. Watanabe, K. Ariga and J. Takeya, *Nature*, 2023, **622**, 285–291; (d) M. Matsuya, H. Sasabe, S. Sumikoshi, K. Hoshi, K. Nakao, K. Kumada, R. Sugiyama, R. Sato and J. Kido, *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.*, 2023, **96**, 183–189; (e) Y. Yamamoto, H. Yano, S. Karashima, R. Uenishi, N. Orimo, J. Nishitani and T. Suzuki, *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.*, 2023, **96**, 938–942; (f) K. Ariga, S. Akakabe, R. Sekiguchi, M. L. Thomas, Y. Takeoka, M. Rikukawa and M. Yoshizawa-Fujita, *ACS Omega*, 2024, **9**, 22203–22212.
- 7 (a) S. Quader, K. Kataoka and H. Cabral, *Adv. Drug Delivery Rev.*, 2022, **182**, 114115; (b) Y. Ju, H. Liao, J. J. Richardson, J. Guo and F. Caruso, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2022, **51**, 4287–4336; (c) Y. Han, P. Wen, J. Li and K. Kataoka, *J. Controlled Release*, 2022, **345**, 709–720; (d) L. T. B. Nguyen and M. Abe, *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.*, 2023, **96**, 899–906; (e) S. Mohanan, C. I. Sathish, T. J. Adams, S. Kan, M. Liang and A. Vinu, *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.*, 2023, **96**, 1188–1195.
- 8 (a) H. Sekine, T. Shimizu, K. Sakaguchi, I. Dobashi, M. Wada, M. Yamato, E. Kobayashi, M. Umezumi and T. Okano, *Nat. Commun.*, 2013, **4**, 1399; (b) L. Moroni, J. A. Burdick, C. Highley, S. J. Lee, Y. Morimoto, S. Takeuchi and J. J. Yoo, *Nat. Rev. Mater.*, 2018, **3**, 21–37; (c) X. Fu, G. Liu, A. Halim, Y. Ju, Q. Luo and G. Song, *Cells*, 2019, **8**, 784; (d) Y. Shang, J. Zeng, Z. Xie, N. Sasaki and M. Matsusaki, *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.*, 2022, **95**, 1163–1168; (e) R. Hama, A. Ulzibayar, J. W. Reinhardt, T. Watanabe, I. Kelly and T. Shinoka, *Biomolecules*, 2023, **13**, 280.
- 9 (a) Y. Hashimoto, T. Suzuki and K. Hashimoto, *Mol. Psychiatry*, 2022, **27**, 1898–1907; (b) M. Komiyama, *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.*, 2022, **95**, 1308–1317; (c) Y. Cui, A. Taguchi, H. Shida, S. Konno, K. Takayama, A. Taniguchi and Y. Hayashi, *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.*, 2022, **95**, 1156–1162; (d) A. Watanabe, M. Iwagami, J. Yasuhara, H. Takagi and T. Kuno, *Vaccine*, 2023, **41**, 1783–1790; (e) S. Sugita, H. Ishitani and S. Kobayashi, *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.*, 2023, **96**, 744–751.
- 10 (a) A. Bieniek, A. P. Terzyk, M. Wiśniewski, K. Roszek, P. Kowalczyk, L. Sarkisov, S. Keskin and K. Kaneko, *Prog. Mater. Sci.*, 2021, **117**, 100743; (b) A. R. Pradipta, H. Michiba, A. Kubo, M. Fujii, T. Tanei, K. Morimoto, K. Shimazu and K. Tanaka, *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.*, 2022, **95**, 421–426; (c) V. J. Sahayasheela, Z. Yu, Y. Hirose, G. N. Pandian, T. Bando and H. Sugiyama, *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.*, 2022, **95**, 693–699; (d) L. Sutrisno and K. Ariga, *NPG Asia Mater.*, 2023, **15**, 21; (e) C. Tay, A. Tanaka and S. Sakaguchi, *Cancer Cell*, 2023, **41**, 450–465.
- 11 (a) Y. Sugimoto, P. Pou, M. Abe, P. Jelinek, R. Pérez, S. Morita and Ó. Custance, *Nature*, 2007, **446**, 64–67; (b) A. Shiotari and Y. Sugimoto, *Nat. Commun.*, 2017, **8**, 14313; (c) K. Kimura, K. Miwa, H. Imada, M. Imai-Imada, S. Kawahara, J. Takeya, M. Kawai, M. Galperin and Y. Kim, *Nature*, 2019, **570**, 210–213; (d) K. Tada, Y. Hinuma, S. Ichikawa and S. Tanaka, *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.*, 2023, **96**, 373–380; (e) H. Hoelzel, S. Lee, K. Y. Amsharov, N. Jux, K. Harano, E. Nakamura and D. Lungerich, *Nat. Chem.*, 2023, **15**, 1444–1451.
- 12 (a) Y. Okawa and M. Aono, *Nature*, 2001, **409**, 683–684; (b) P. Mishra, J. P. Hill, S. Vijayaraghavan, W. Van Rossom, S. Yoshizawa, M. Grisolia, J. Echeverria, T. Ono, K. Ariga, T. Nakayama, C. Joachim and T. Uchihashi, *Nano Lett.*, 2015, **15**, 4793–4798; (c) G. J. Simpson, V. García-López, P. Petermeier, L. Grill and J. M. Tour, *Nat. Nanotechnol.*, 2017, **12**, 604–606; (d) S. Kawai, O. Krejčí, T. Nishiuchi, K. Sahara, T. Kodama, R. Pawlak, E. Meyer, T. Kubo and A. S. Foster, *Sci. Adv.*, 2020, **6**, eaay8913; (e) W.-H. Soe, M. Kleinwächter, C. Kammerer, G. Rapenne and C. Joachim, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2020, **124**, 22625–22630.
- 13 (a) K. Ariga, Q. Ji, W. Nakanishi, J. P. Hill and M. Aono, *Mater. Horiz.*, 2015, **2**, 406–413; (b) L. Cao, Y. Huang, B. Parakhonskiy and A. G. Skirtach, *Nanoscale*, 2022, **14**, 15964–16002.
- 14 K. Ariga, *Nanoscale Horiz.*, 2021, **6**, 364–378.
- 15 K. Ariga and Y. Yamauchi, *Chem. - Asian J.*, 2020, **15**, 718–728.
- 16 (a) R. P. Feynman, *Eng. Sci.*, 1960, **23**, 32–36; (b) M. Roukes, *Sci. Am.*, 2001, **285**, 48–51.
- 17 K. Ariga, K. Minami, M. Ebara and J. Nakanishi, *Polym. J.*, 2016, **48**, 371–389.
- 18 (a) K. Ariga, *Curr. Opin. Colloid Interface Sci.*, 2023, **63**, 101656; (b) O. Azzaroni, E. Piccinini, G. Fenoy, W. Marmisollé and K. Ariga, *Nanotechnology*, 2023, **34**, 472001.
- 19 K. Ariga, J. Li, J. Fei, Q. Ji and J. P. Hill, *Adv. Mater.*, 2016, **28**, 1251–1286.
- 20 (a) K. Ariga, M. Nishikawa, T. Mori, J. Takeya, L. K. Shrestha and J. P. Hill, *Sci. Technol. Adv. Mater.*, 2020, **20**, 51–95; (b) E. Mieda, Y. Morishima, T. Watanabe, H. Miyake and S. Shinoda, *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.*, 2023, **96**, 538–544; (c) K. Saito and Y. Yamamura, *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.*, 2023, **96**, 607–613.
- 21 (a) K. Ariga, X. Jia, J. Song, J. P. Hill, D. T. Leong, Y. Jia and J. Li, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2020, **59**, 15424–15446; (b) K. Ariga, J. Song and K. Kawakami, *Chem. Commun.*, 2024, **60**, 2152–2167.
- 22 M. Aono and K. Ariga, *Adv. Mater.*, 2016, **28**, 989–992.
- 23 (a) M. Komiyama, K. Yoshimoto, M. Sisido and K. Ariga, *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.*, 2017, **90**, 967–1004; (b) K. Ariga, *Int. J. Mol. Sci.*, 2022, **23**, 3577.
- 24 R. B. Laughlin and D. Pines, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.*, 2000, **97**, 28–31.
- 25 (a) K. Ariga and R. Fakhrullin, *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.*, 2022, **95**, 774–795; (b) K. Ariga, *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.*, 2024, **97**, uoad001.
- 26 (a) T. Govindaraju and M. B. Avinash, *Nanoscale*, 2012, **4**, 6102–6117; (b) W. Nakanishi, K. Minami, L. K. Shrestha,



- Q. Ji, J. P. Hill and K. Ariga, *Nano Today*, 2014, **9**, 378–394; (c) K. Ariga and M. Shionoya, *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.*, 2021, **94**, 839–859; (d) G. Chen, F. Sciortino, K. Takeyasu, J. Nakamura, J. P. Hill, L. K. Shrestha and K. Ariga, *Chem. – Asian J.*, 2022, **17**, e202200756; (e) P. A. Shinde, N. R. Chodankar, H.-J. Kim, M. A. Abdelkareem, A. A. Ghaferi, Y.-K. Han, A. G. Olabi and K. Ariga, *ACS Energy Lett.*, 2023, **8**(10), 4474–4487; (f) E. Ruiz-Hitzky and C. Ruiz-Garcia, *Nanoscale*, 2023, **15**, 18959–18979.
- 27 (a) M. Ramanathan, L. K. Shrestha, T. Mori, Q. Ji, J. P. Hill and K. Ariga, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2013, **15**, 10580–10611; (b) J. Kim, J. H. Kim and K. Ariga, *Joule*, 2017, **1**, 739–768; (c) A. Nayak, S. Unayama, S. Tai, T. Tsuruoka, R. Waser, M. Aono, I. Valov and T. Hasegawa, *Adv. Mater.*, 2018, **30**, 1703261; (d) M. Eguchi, A. S. Nugraha, A. E. Rowan, J. Shapter and Y. Yamauchi, *Adv. Sci.*, 2021, **8**, 2100539; (e) K. Lee, M. Han, G. Kwon, Y. Jeon, J. Kim and J. You, *Appl. Surf. Sci.*, 2023, **613**, 155955.
- 28 (a) K. Ariga, Q. Ji, T. Mori, M. Naito, Y. Yamauchi, H. Abe and J. P. Hill, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2013, **42**, 6322–6345; (b) R. Chang, L. Zhao, R. Xing, J. Li and X. Yan, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2023, **52**, 2688–2712; (c) Q. Liu, H. Li, B. Yu, Z. Meng, X. Zhang, J. Li and L. Zheng, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2022, **32**, 2201196; (d) Z. Li, F. Yu, X. Xu, T. Wang, J. Fei, J. Hao and J. Li, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2023, **145**, 20907–20912.
- 29 (a) K. Ariga, *Small Struct.*, 2021, **2**, 2100006; (b) Y. Jia, X. Yan and J. Li, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2022, **61**, e202207752; (c) D. Parbat, N. Jana, M. Dhar and U. Manna, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2023, **15**, 25232–25247; (d) X. Shen, J. Song, C. Sevenican and D. T. Leong, *Sci. Technol. Adv. Mater.*, 2023, **23**, 199–224.
- 30 (a) A. Juste-Dolz, M. Delgado-Pinar, M. Avella-Oliver, E. Fernández, J. L. Cruz, M. V. Andrés and Á. Maquieira, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2022, **14**, 41640–41648; (b) J. Liu, R. Wang, H. Zhou, M. Mathesh, M. Dubey, W. Zhang, B. Wang and W. Yang, *Nanoscale*, 2022, **14**, 10286–10298; (c) S. Kim, S. Baek, R. Sluyter, K. Konstantinov, J. H. Kim, S. Kim and Y. H. Kim, *EcoMat*, 2023, **5**, e12356; (d) J. V. Vaghasiya, C. C. Mayorga-Martinez and M. Pumera, *npj Flexible Electron.*, 2023, **7**, 26; (e) H.-M. Deng, M.-J. Xiao, Y.-L. Yuan, R. Yuan and Y.-Q. Chai, *Sens. Actuators, B*, 2024, **398**, 134715.
- 31 (a) A. R. Ferhan, S. Park, H. Park, H. Tae, J. A. Jackman and N.-J. Cho, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2022, **32**, 2203669; (b) M. Komiyama, *Beilstein J. Nanotechnol.*, 2023, **14**, 218–232; (c) Y. N. Reddy, A. De, S. Paul, A. K. Pujari and J. Bhaumik, *Biomacromolecules*, 2023, **24**, 1717–1730; (d) S. Mohanan, X. Guan, M. Liang, A. Karakoti and A. Vinu, *Small*, 2023, 2301113; (e) W. Tian, C. Wang, R. Chu, H. Ge, X. Sun and M. Li, *Biomater. Res.*, 2023, **27**, 100.
- 32 (a) E. Psarra, U. König, Y. Ueda, C. Bellmann, A. Janke, E. Bittrich, K.-J. Eichhorn and P. Uhlmann, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2015, **7**, 12516–12529; (b) B. Tian, J. Liu, S. Guo, A. Li and J.-B. Wan, *Int. J. Biol. Macromol.*, 2023, **243**, 125161; (c) X. Jia, J. Chen, W. Lv, H. Li and K. Ariga, *Int. J. Biol. Macromol.*, 2023, **4**, 101251; (d) W. Hu, J. Shi, W. Lv, X. Jia and K. Ariga, *Sci. Technol. Adv. Mater.*, 2023, **23**, 393–412; (e) Z. Hajikhani, I. Haririan, M. Akrami and S. Hajikhani, *Nanomedicine*, 2023, **18**, 1441–1458.
- 33 (a) Q. Ren, N. Yu, L. Wang, M. Wen, P. Geng, Q. Jiang, M. Li and Z. Chen, *J. Colloid Interface Sci.*, 2022, **614**, 147–159; (b) H. Duan, F. Wang, W. Xu, G. Sheng, Z. Sun and H. Chu, *Dalton Trans.*, 2023, **52**, 16085–16102; (c) Y. Liu, J. Zhao, X. Xu, Y. Xu, W. Cui, Y. Yang and J. Li, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2023, **62**, e202308019; (d) P. Jayachandran, S. Ilango, V. Suseela, R. Nirmaladevi, M. R. Shaik, M. Khan, M. Khan and B. Shaik, *Biomedicines*, 2023, **11**, 217; (e) N. Yang, X. Pan, X. Zhou, Z. Liu, J. Yang, J. Zhang, Z. Jia and Q. Shen, *Adv. Healthcare Mater.*, 2023, 2302752.
- 34 (a) K. Ariga, *Nanoscale*, 2022, **14**, 10610–11062; (b) K. Ariga, *Chem. Mater.*, 2023, **35**, 5233–5254.
- 35 (a) I. R. Vetter and A. Wittinghofer, *Science*, 2001, **294**, 1299–1304; (b) K. N. Ferreira, T. M. Iverson, K. Maghlaoui, J. Barber and S. Iwata, *Science*, 2004, **303**, 1831–1838; (c) D. A. Bryant and D. P. Canniffe, *J. Phys. B: At., Mol. Opt. Phys.*, 2018, **51**, 033001.
- 36 (a) K. Ariga, Y. Yamauchi, T. Mori and J. P. Hill, *Adv. Mater.*, 2013, **25**, 6477–6512; (b) K. Ariga, *Langmuir*, 2020, **36**, 7158–7180; (c) S. Negi, M. Hamori, H. Kitagishi and K. Kano, *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.*, 2022, **95**, 1537–1545; (d) S. Negi, M. Hamori, Y. Kubo, H. Kitagishi and K. Kano, *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.*, 2023, **96**, 48–56; (e) O. N. Oliveira Jr., L. Caseli and K. Ariga, *Chem. Rev.*, 2022, **122**, 6459–6513; (f) J. Adachi, M. Naito, S. Sugiura, N. H.-T. Le, S. Nishimura, S. Huang, S. Suzuki, S. Kawamorita, N. Komiyama, J. P. Hill, K. Ariga, T. Naota and T. Mori, *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.*, 2022, **95**, 889–897.
- 37 (a) G. Decher, *Science*, 1997, **277**, 1232–1237; (b) K. Ariga, J. P. Hill and Q. Ji, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2007, **9**, 2319–2340; (c) G. Rydzek, Q. Ji, M. Li, P. Schaaf, J. P. Hill, F. Boulmedais and K. Ariga, *Nano Today*, 2015, **10**, 138–167; (d) Z. Zhang, J. Zeng, J. Groll and M. Matsusaki, *Biomater. Sci.*, 2022, **10**, 4077–4094; (e) K. Ariga, Y. Lvov and G. Decher, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2022, **24**, 4097–4115; (f) J. Borges, J. Zeng, X. Q. Liu, H. Chang, C. Monge, C. Garot, K. Ren, P. Machillot, N. E. Vrana, P. Laval, T. Akagi, M. Matsusaki, J. Ji, M. Akashi, J. F. Mano, V. Gribova and C. Picart, *Adv. Healthcare Mater.*, 2024, 2302713.
- 38 (a) Y. Shan, G. Zhang, W. Yin, H. Pang and Q. Xu, *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.*, 2022, **95**, 230–260; (b) M. Daniel, G. Mathew, M. Anpo and B. Neppolian, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 2022, **468**, 214627; (c) L. Larasati, W. W. Lestari and M. Firdaus, *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.*, 2022, **95**, 1561–1577; (d) T. Ohata, K. Tachimoto, K. J. Takeno, A. Nomoto, T. Watanabe, I. Hirose and R. Makiura, *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.*, 2023, **96**, 274–282; (e) Q. Yao, X. Zhang, Z.-H. Lu and Q. Xu, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 2023, **493**, 215302.
- 39 (a) Y. Hara and K. Sakaushi, *Nanoscale*, 2021, **13**, 6341–6356; (b) Y. Charles-Blin, T. Kondo, Y. Wu, S. Bandow and K. Awaga, *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.*, 2022, **95**, 972–977; (c) L. Huang, J. Yang, Y. Asakura, Q. Shuai and



- Y. Yamauchi, *ACS Nano*, 2023, **17**, 8918–8934; (d) S. Zhang, L. Lombardo, M. Tsujimoto, Z. Fan, E. K. Berdichevsky, Y.-S. Wei, K. Kageyama, Y. Nishiyama and S. Horike, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2023, **62**, e202312095; (e) Y. Zhao, T. Irie, J. Sakai, H. Mabuchi, S. Biswas, T. Sekine, S. Das, T. Ben and Y. Negishi, *ACS Appl. Nano Mater.*, 2023, **6**, 19210–19217.
- 40 (a) N. Ma and S. Horike, *Chem. Rev.*, 2022, **122**, 4163–4203; (b) S. Horike, *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.*, 2023, **96**, 887–898.
- 41 (a) C. Creton, *Macromolecules*, 2017, **50**, 8297–8316; (b) R. Yoshida, *Polym. J.*, 2022, **54**, 827–849; (c) M. Z. I. Nizami, B. D. L. Campéon, A. Satoh and Y. Nishina, *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.*, 2022, **95**, 713–720; (d) H. Jia and T. Michinobu, *ChemNanoMat*, 2023, **9**, e202300020; (e) R. Tamate and T. Ueki, *Chem. Rec.*, 2023, **23**, e202300043.
- 42 R. Chang, C. Yuan, P. Zhou, R. Xing and X. Yan, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2024, **57**, 289–301.
- 43 (a) Y. Noda, Y. Hayashi and K. Ito, *J. Appl. Polym. Sci.*, 2014, **131**, 40509; (b) A. B. Imran, K. Esaki, H. Gotoh, T. Seki, K. Ito, Y. Sakai and Y. Takeoka, *Nat. Commun.*, 2014, **5**, 5124; (c) K. Mayumi, C. Liu, Y. Yasuda and K. Ito, *Gels*, 2021, **7**, 91.
- 44 C. Liu, N. Morimoto, L. Jiang, S. Kawahara, T. Noritomi, H. Yokoyama, K. Mayumi and K. Ito, *Science*, 2021, **372**, 1078–1081.
- 45 Y. Sekine and T. Nankawa, *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.*, 2023, **96**, 1150–1155.
- 46 R. Kubota, *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.*, 2023, **96**, 802–812.
- 47 Y. Aoyama, N. Sato, A. Toyotama, T. Okuzono and J. Yamanaka, *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.*, 2022, **95**, 314–324.
- 48 R. Xing, C. Yuan, W. Fan, X. Ren and X. Yan, *Sci. Adv.*, 2023, **9**, eadd8105.
- 49 X. Pan, J. Li, N. Ma, X. Ma and M. Gao, *Chem. Eng. J.*, 2023, **461**, 142062.
- 50 B. Salahuddin, M. K. Masud, S. Aziz, C.-H. Liu, N. Amiralian, A. Ashok, S. M. A. Hossain, H. Park, M. A. Wahab, M. A. Amin, M. A. Chari, A. E. Rowan, Y. Yamauchi, M. S. A. Hossain and Y. V. Kaneti, *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.*, 2022, **95**, 198–207.
- 51 Z. Shen, Z. Zhang, N. Zhang, J. Li, P. Zhou, F. Hu, Y. Rong, B. Lu and G. Gu, *Adv. Mater.*, 2022, **34**, 2203650.
- 52 H. Yuk, J. Wu and X. Zhao, *Nat. Rev. Mater.*, 2022, **7**, 935–952.
- 53 L. Hu, P. L. Chee, S. Sugiarto, Y. Yu, C. Shi, R. Yan, Z. Yao, X. Shi, J. Zhi, D. Kai, H.-D. Yu and W. Huang, *Adv. Mater.*, 2023, **35**, 2205326.
- 54 H. Cao, L. Duan, Y. Zhang, J. Cao and K. Zhang, *Signal Transduction Targeted Ther.*, 2021, **6**, 426.
- 55 Y. Liu, T. Dong, Y. Chen, N. Sun, Q. Liu, Z. Huang, Y. Yang, H. Cheng and K. Yue, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2023, **15**, 11507–11519.
- 56 Y. Yang, Y. Liang, J. Chen, X. Duan and B. Guo, *Bioact. Mater.*, 2022, **8**, 341–354.
- 57 Y. Huang, L. Mu, X. Zhao, Y. Han and B. Guo, *ACS Nano*, 2022, **16**, 13022–13036.
- 58 Y. Xiang, X. Qi, E. Cai, C. Zhang, J. Wang, Y. Lan, H. Deng, J. Shen and R. Hu, *Chem. Eng. J.*, 2023, **460**, 141852.
- 59 W. Zhou, Z. Duan, J. Zhao, R. Fu, C. Zhu and D. Fan, *Bioact. Mater.*, 2022, **17**, 1–17.
- 60 Y.-J. Fu, Y.-F. Shi, L.-Y. Wang, Y.-F. Zhao, R.-K. Wang, K. Li, S.-T. Zhang, X.-J. Zha, W. Wang, X. Zhao and W. Yang, *Adv. Sci.*, 2023, **10**, 2206771.
- 61 Y. Qian, Y. Zheng, J. Jin, X. Wu, K. Xu, M. Dai, Q. Niu, H. Zheng, X. He and J. Shen, *Adv. Mater.*, 2022, **34**, 2200521.
- 62 Y. Li, R. Fu, Z. Duan, C. Zhu and D. Fan, *ACS Nano*, 2022, **16**, 7486–7502.
- 63 Y. Liang, H. Xu, Z. Li, A. Zhangji and B. Guo, *Nano-Micro Lett.*, 2022, **14**, 185.
- 64 A. J. Seymour, S. Shin and S. C. Heilshorn, *Adv. Healthcare Mater.*, 2021, **10**, 2100644.
- 65 F. E. Freeman, P. Pitacco, L. H. A. van Dommelen, J. Nulty, D. C. Browe, J.-Y. Shin, E. Alsberg and D. J. Kelly, *Sci. Adv.*, 2020, **6**, eabb5093.
- 66 H. Zhang, Y. Cong, A. R. Osi, Y. Zhou, F. Huang, R. P. Zaccaria, J. Chen, R. Wang and J. Fu, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2020, **30**, 1910573.
- 67 M. Wang, W. Li, J. Hao, A. Gonzales III, Z. Zhao, R. S. Flores, X. Kuang, X. Mu, T. Ching, G. Tang, Z. Luo, C. E. Garciamendez-Mijares, J. K. Sahoo, M. F. Wells, G. Niu, P. Agrawal, A. Q. Hinojosa, K. Eggan and Y. S. Zhang, *Nat. Commun.*, 2022, **13**, 3317.
- 68 S. H. Kim, Y. K. Yeon, J. M. Lee, J. R. Chao, Y. J. Lee, Y. B. Seo, Md. T. Sultan, O. J. Lee, J. S. Lee, S.-i. Yoon, I.-S. Hong, G. Khang, S. J. Lee, J. J. Yoo and C. H. Park, *Nat. Commun.*, 2018, **9**, 1620.
- 69 S. H. Kim, Y. B. Seo, Y. K. Yeon, Y. J. Lee, H. S. Park, Md. T. Sultan, J. M. Lee, J. S. Lee, O. J. Lee, H. Hong, H. Lee, O. Ajiteru, Y. J. Suh, S.-H. Song, K.-H. Lee and C. H. Park, *Biomaterials*, 2020, **260**, 120281.
- 70 B. Yang, K. Wei, C. Loebel, K. Zhang, Q. Feng, R. Li, S. H. D. Wong, X. Xu, C. Lau, X. Chen, P. Zhao, C. Yin, J. A. Burdick, Y. Wang and L. Bian, *Nat. Commun.*, 2021, **12**, 3514.
- 71 A. Elosegui-Artola, A. Gupta, A. J. Najibi, B. R. Seo, R. Garry, C. M. Tringides, I. de Lázaro, M. Darnell, W. Gu, Q. Zhou, D. A. Weitz, L. Mahadevan and D. J. Mooney, *Nat. Mater.*, 2023, **22**, 117–127.
- 72 M. D. Davidson, M. E. Prendergast, E. Ban, K. L. Xu, G. Mickel, P. Mensah, A. Dhand, P. A. Janmey, V. B. Shenoy and J. A. Burdick, *Sci. Adv.*, 2021, **7**, eabi8157.
- 73 J. Lou, R. Stowers, S. Nam, Y. Xia and O. Chaudhuri, *Biomaterials*, 2018, **154**, 213–222.
- 74 A. R. Killaars, J. C. Grim, C. J. Walker, E. A. Hushka, T. E. Brown and K. S. Anseth, *Adv. Sci.*, 2019, **6**, 1801483.
- 75 C. M. Madl, B. L. LeSavage, R. E. Dewi, C. B. Dinh, R. S. Stowers, M. Khariton, K. J. Lampe, D. Nguyen, O. Chaudhuri, A. Enejder and S. C. Heilshorn, *Nat. Mater.*, 2017, **16**, 1233–1242.
- 76 J. G. Zhang, C. Cheng, J. L. Cuellar-Camacho, M. Li, Y. Xia, W. Li and R. Haag, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2018, **28**, 1804773.



- 77 C. Loebel, R. L. Mauck and J. A. Burdick, *Nat. Mater.*, 2019, **18**, 883–891.
- 78 R. Freeman, M. Han, Z. Álvarez, J. A. Lewis, J. R. Wester, N. Stephanopoulos, M. T. McClendon, C. Lynsky, J. M. Godbe, H. Sangji, E. Luijten and S. I. Stupp, *Science*, 2018, **362**, 808–813.
- 79 B. Grigoryan, S. J. Paulsen, D. C. Corbett, D. W. Sazer, C. L. Fortin, A. J. Zaita, P. T. Greenfield, N. J. Calafat, J. P. Gounley, A. H. Ta, F. Johansson, A. Randles, J. E. Rosenkrantz, J. D. Louis-Rosenberg, P. A. Galie, K. R. Stevens and J. S. Miller, *Science*, 2019, **364**, 458–464.
- 80 (a) N. Gjorevski, M. Nikolaev, T. E. Brown, O. Mitrofanova, N. Brandenberg, F. W. DelRio, F. M. Yavitt, P. Liberali, K. S. Anseth and M. P. Lutolf, *Science*, 2022, **375**, eaaw9021; (b) T. R. Huycke and Z. J. Gartner, *Science*, 2022, **375**, 26–27.
- 81 Y. Xue, J. Li, T. Jiang, Q. Han, Y. Jing, S. Bai and X. Yan, *Adv. Healthcare Mater.*, 2024, **13**, 2302180.
- 82 D. V. Deshmukh, P. Reichert, J. Zwick, C. Labouesse, V. Künzli, O. Dudaryeva, O. Bar-Nur, M. W. Tibbitt and J. Dual, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2022, **32**, 2113038.
- 83 H. Liu, P. Chansoria, P. Delrot, E. Angelidakis, R. Rizzo, D. Rütsche, L. A. Applegate, D. Loterie and M. Zenobi-Wong, *Adv. Mater.*, 2022, **34**, 2204301.
- 84 H. Ma, C. Yang, Z. Ma, X. Wei, M. R. Younis, H. Wang, W. Li, Z. Wang, W. Wang, Y. Luo, P. Huang and J. Wang, *Adv. Healthcare Mater.*, 2022, **11**, 2102837.
- 85 (a) Y. Takagiwa, Z. Hou, K. Tsuda, T. Ikeda and H. Kojima, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2021, **13**, 53346–53354; (b) Y. Liang, C. Jiao, P. Zhou, W. Li, Y. Zang, Y. Liu, G. Yang, L. Liu, J. Cheng, G. Liang, J. Wang, Z. Zhong and W. Yan, *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.*, 2023, **96**, 148–155; (c) Y. Komoto, J. Ryu and M. Taniguchi, *Chem. Commun.*, 2023, **59**, 6796–6810; (d) N. Saito, A. Nawachi, Y. Kondo, J. Choi, H. Morimoto and T. Ohshima, *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.*, 2023, **96**, 465–474; (e) K. Nakaguro, Y. Mitsuta, S. Koseki, T. Oshiyama and T. Asada, *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.*, 2023, **96**, 1099–1107.
- 86 (a) S. Ju, T. Shiga, L. Feng, Z. Hou, K. Tsuda and J. Shiomi, *Phys. Rev. X*, 2017, **7**, 021024; (b) L. Himanen, A. Geurts, A. S. Foster and P. Rinke, *Adv. Sci.*, 2019, **6**, 1900808; (c) S. Hashimura, Y. Yamaguchi, H. Takeda, N. Tanibata, M. Nakayama, N. Niizeki and T. Nakaya, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2023, **127**, 21665–21674; (d) K. Hatakeyama-Sato, *Polym. J.*, 2023, **55**, 117–131; (e) X. Zheng, X. Zhang, T.-T. Chen and I. Watanabe, *Adv. Mater.*, 2023, **35**, 2302530; (f) S. Hashimura, Y. Yamaguchi, H. Takeda, N. Tanibata, M. Nakayama, N. Niizeki and T. Nakaya, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2023, **127**, 21665–21674.
- 87 (a) W. Chaikittisilp, Y. Yamauchi and K. Ariga, *Adv. Mater.*, 2022, **34**, 2107212; (b) R. Hikichi, Y. Tokura, Y. Igarashi, H. Imai and Y. Oaki, *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.*, 2023, **96**, 766–774.

