Nanoscale

PAPER

Check for updates

Cite this: Nanoscale, 2024, 16, 20194

Nanoscopic visualization of microgel-immobilized cytochrome P450 enzymes and their local activity⁺

Lukas Schubert, ¹^D^a Chiara Nenninger, ¹^D^b Maximilian Nöth,^b Thomke Belthle, ¹^D^{c,d} Robert Dirk de Lange, ¹^D^a Andrij Pich, ¹^D^{c,d,e} Ulrich Schwaneberg ¹^{D,c} and Dominik Wöll ¹^D*^a

Microgels provide a controlled microenvironment for enzymes, protecting them from degradation while enhancing stability and activity. Their customizable and biocompatible structure allows for targeted delivery and controlled release, making them ideal for transporting and preserving enzyme function in various applications. For such applications, detailed knowledge of the distribution of enzymes and their activity within the microgels is essential. We present a combination of different localization-based super-resolution fluorescence microscopy measurements to localise single Cytochrome P450 BM3 enzymes and compare their local catalytic activity.

Received 21st August 2024, Accepted 3rd October 2024 DOI: 10.1039/d4nr03435j

rsc.li/nanoscale

1 Introduction

Microgels are colloidal cross-linked 3D polymer networks swollen by water, which have emerged as promising materials for various applications, including (bio-)catalysis.^{1,2} Depending on the employed functional comonomers and synthesis method, microgels can be tailor-made for postfunctionalization and physical interactions. These versatile and tunable soft polymeric gels offer a unique environment for enzymes, e.g., a porous structure and high water content, to enhance their stability, maintain their activity, and allow for efficient reusability. Furthermore, the 3D network allows immobilization of (bio-)catalysts not only on the microgel surface but also inside the polymer network, increasing the potential load and protection of active centres.³ The immobilization of enzymes within microgels is a promising approach to improve the catalytic efficiency due to the microgels' ability

to provide a protective and hydrated microenvironment that closely resembles natural conditions.4 Additionally, the meshsize, nano-structure, and surface properties of microgels can be engineered to optimise substrate diffusion, colloidal stability, interfacial activity, and enzyme-substrate interactions.^{5,6} This makes microgels highly suitable for applications in biocatalysis, ranging from industrial processes to environmental remediation and biomedical applications. The integration of enzymes into microgels not only enhances their performance but also facilitates easy recovery, separation, and reuse of the (bio-)catalysts, making the processes more cost-effective and sustainable.⁷ One important class of enzymes are P450 monooxygenases known for their remarkable ability to catalyze a wide range of oxidative reactions, including hydroxylation and epoxidation of fatty acids.8 These enzymes are highly efficient and versatile, as they combine a cytochrome P450 domain with a reductase domain allowing them to function independently of external electron donors and making them valuable in biotechnological applications.9,10 Despite the impressive potential for synthetic use of, in specific, P450 BM3, its small- and large-scale applicability is significantly hampered, amongst other factors, by its low operational stability with total turnover numbers (TTNs) in general below 40 000.8,11 Enzyme immobilization on a variety of carrier materials, e.g. agarose hydrogels,¹² solid particles such as glutaraldehyde activated magnetic nanoparticles¹³ and microgels has become a universal method for improving enzyme activity, stability, and process metrics, especially reusability.14-16 Specifically, the characterization of P450 BM3 in PVCL/Vim microgels was reported previously, demonstrating that the



View Article Online

^aInstitute of Physical Chemistry, RWTH Aachen University, Landoltweg 2, 52074 Aachen, Germany. E-mail: woell@pc.rwth-aachen.de; Tel: +49 241 80 98624 ^bInstitute of Biotechnology, RWTH Aachen University, Worringerweg 3, 52074 Aachen, Germany

^cDWI – Leibniz-Institute for Interactive Materials, Forckenbeckstraße 50, 52074 Aachen, Germany

^dFunctional and Interactive Polymers, Institute of Technical and Macromolecular Chemistry, RWTH Aachen University, Worringerweg 2, 52074 Aachen, Germany ^eAachen Maastricht Institute for Biobased Materials (AMIBM), Maastricht University, Brightlands Chemelot Campus, Urmonderbaan 22, 6167 RD Geleen, The Netherlands

[†]Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. See DOI: https://doi.org/ 10.1039/d4nr03435j

enzyme retained full catalytic activity.¹⁷ This catalytic system enables the accessibility and profitability of enzymes for larger scale industrial processes, as the microgel can be easily recycled.17-19 However, the actual loading determination of enzymes in the microgels so far has been constrained to activity-based assay batch measurements.^{20,21} As a consequence, it is not possible to investigate whether the catalytic activity depends on the position of the catalyst within the microgel. Also, the spatial distribution of enzymes in the microgels affects the activity depending on whether the enzymes accumulate only in the shell, only in the core or evenly distributed throughout the microgel.¹⁸ As the core and shell region of microgels are in many cases associated with a difference in cross-linking density, the enzyme allocation may significantly alter their accessibility for substrates or flexibility of the active center. In addition, with the knowledge of immobilization distribution, processes can be improved in terms of decreased leaching, higher activity, or improved catalyst efficiency.²² In order to monitor enzyme immobilization within microgels, non-invasive analytical methods are required to generate knowledge on a nanoscopic level.

Super-resolution microscopy and single molecule techniques have become a valuable tool to investigate soft matter and polymers in general^{23,24} and microgels in particular.^{25,26} Since the early stages of single molecule spectroscopy and microscopy, researchers were interested in analyzing single molecule enzyme dynamics.^{27,28} In parallel to the development of localization-based super-resolution methods,²⁹ super-resolution maps of catalytic activity became accessible³⁰ and revealed many new insights into the heterogeneity of catalytic activity in different systems.³¹⁻³⁵ Roeffaers et al. called this approach nanometer accuracy by stochastic chemical reactions (NASCA).³⁶ NASCA is not restricted to artificial catalytic systems, but can be also used to analyze biocatalysis.³⁷ Resorufin is a suitable fluorophore for this approach since it can be catalytically produced from pro-fluorescent precursors,³⁸ for example by the reduction of resazurin. The reduction of non-fluorescent resazurin to fluorescent resorufin has been used to visualise the local catalytic activity on metal nanoparticles,^{39,40} but it can be also applied to investigate the activity of cytochrome P450 oxidoreductase.⁴¹ In addition to determining the sites of catalysis, it is also important to visualise where the enzymes are located. This can be achieved with stochastic optical reconstruction direct microscopy (dSTORM).42 dSTORM has been used by different groups to analyze functionalization, deformation, cross-linking, inner structure and the local environment of microgels.²⁶ This method can also be employed for the localization of enzymes, provided that the enzymes are labelled with an appropriate dye. A suitable way of labelling enzymes that was chosen herein, is the covalent reaction of amine groups present in the enzyme structure from corresponding amino acids with NHS esters from fluorescent dyes such as Alexa Fluor 647 (also referred to as Alexa 647 in the following).43 Furthermore, previous studies reported that the combination of dSTORM with points accumulation for imaging in nanoscale topography

(PAINT) is possible in different imaging buffers.⁴⁴ PAINT is a technique where temporal binding of probes to nanoscopic structures enables their super-resolved imaging.^{45,46} A comprehensive study of the fluorescence of Nile Red under different solvent conditions and the PAINT technique allowed Purohit *et al.* to visualise the polymer structure of microgels and connect it to the local nansocopic polarity inside them.⁴⁷ In this context, super-resolution studies suggest that, if all regions can be fully accessed by the dye, a correlation between polymer density and number of localizations of non-covalently attached fluorescent probes can be assumed.⁴⁸

In this paper, we report the investigation of the incorporation and the activity of P450 BM3 enzymes embedded in poly (N-vinylcaprolactam)-based microgels via electrostatic interaction¹⁴ with three different localization-based super-resolution methods. The microgels were functionalised with the comonomer N-vinylimidazole (Vim) to allow for a pH-dependent positive charge oppositely to the enzymes. The shape of microgels was determined with Nile Red PAINT, the positions of single enzymes in microgels were accessed with dSTORM measurements with Alexa Fluor[™] 647 labelled enzymes, and the activity of single enzymes was analyzed with NASCA using the enzyme-catalyzed transition of non-fluorescent resazurin to fluorescent resorufin (see Fig. 1). The results and distributions obtained from the three different methods were combined to answer the question how the P450 BM3 enzymes are distributed inside the poly(VCL-co-Vim) microgels and whether catalytic activity depends on the respective enzyme location within the microgels.

2 Experimental

2.1 Chemicals and reagents

All chemicals were purchased from Sigma Aldrich (Darmstadt, Germany), Carl Roth GmbH & Co KG (Karlsruhe, Germany), AppliChem GmbH (Darmstadt, Germany) or Ambinter (Orléans, France) with analytical purity unless stated differently. The microgel crosslinker *N*,*N*'-methylenebis(acrylamide)



Fig. 1 Schematic depiction of the experimental set-up for the immobilization of fluorescent labelled P450 BM3 enzymes (crystal structure from PDB: 1BVY) in poly(VCL-*co*-Vim) microgels and their analysis using points accumulation for imaging in nanoscale topography (PAINT), direct stochastic optical reconstruction microscopy (dSTORM), and nanometer accuracy by stochastic chemical reactions (NASCA).

This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 Unported Licence.

Paper

(BIS; Sigma-Aldrich, 99%) and initiator 2,2'-azobis(2-methylpropionamidine) dihydrochloride (AMPA; Sigma-Aldrich; 97%) were used as received. N-Vinylcaprolactam (VCL; Sigma-Aldrich, 98.0%) was distilled and recrystallised from n-hexane before use. The comonomer N-vinylimidazole (Vim; Sigma-Aldrich, 99%) was purified by basic aluminium oxide column filtration to remove the stabilizer. Primers for polymerase chain reaction (PCR) were obtained from Eurofins genomics (Ebersberg, Germany). Microtiter plates were purchased from Greiner Bio-One GmbH (Frickenhausen, Germany). Microscopic supplies were purchased from VWR International GmbH (Darmstadt, Germany). Nile Red was purchased from Sigma Aldrich (Darmstadt, Germany) and purified via recrystallization. Alexa dyes and resazurin were purchased from Thermo Fisher Scientific (Schwerte, Germany) and used as received.

2.2 Synthesis of poly(VCL-co-Vim) microgels

Poly(VCL-co-Vim) microgels were prepared by free radical precipitation polymerization in water. The main monomer VCL (661.8 mg, 90 mol%), the crosslinker BIS (24.4 mg, 3 mol%) and the comonomer Vim (47.7 µL, 10 mol%) were dissolved in 47 mL ultrapure water in a 50 mL-roundbottom flask. This mixture was heated to 70 °C and flushed with N2 for 30 min while stirring. The initiator AMPA (8.6 mg, 0.6 mol%) was separately dissolved in 3 mL ultrapure water and purged with N₂ for 30 min. The polymerization was started by addition of the AMPA solution to the reaction flask and removal of the N₂ source. The reaction was allowed to proceed for 2 h at 70 °C while being stirred. Afterwards, the microgel dispersion was purified by dialysis in a regenerated cellulose tube against distilled H₂O (MWCO 12 000-14 000 Da) with daily exchange of the H₂O for 4 days. The microgels have a hydrodynamic radius of approx. 428 nm (see DLS part of the ESI[†]).

2.3 Expression and purification of P450 BM3

P450 BM3 was expressed in *E. coli* BL21 Gold (DE3) cells as described previously.⁴⁹ The cells were harvested by centrifugation using a Sorvall RC 6 centrifuge (ThermoFisher, Dreieich, Germany) for 30 min at 6340 RCF and 4 °C. The supernatant was removed, and the cell pellets were frozen at -20 °C until further use.

P450 BM3 was purified *via* ion exchange chromatography using a 5 mL HiTrap Capto Q chromatography column (Cytiva, Freiburg, Germany), and the ÄKTA pureTM chromatography system (Cytiva, Freiburg, Germany) for UV-detection. Prior purification, the cell pellet from 200 mL of expression culture was resuspended in 30 mL of binding buffer (100 mM Tris HCl, pH 7.8) and sonicated for 4 min (15 s on + 15 s off, 70% amplitude) using the Sonicator Vibra-Cell VCX130 from Sonics & Materials (Newtown, USA) to lyse the cells. The cell lysate was centrifuged (15 000 RCF, 4 °C, for 30 min) and the supernatant was afterwards filtered using a 0.2 μ M mixed cellulose membrane filter from Cytiva (Freiburg, Germany).

For the ion exchange chromatography, the binding buffer (100 mM Tris HCl, pH 7.8), elution buffer (100 mM Tris HCl, 1

M NaCl, pH 7.8), 20% EtOH, and ultrapure H₂O were prepared, sterile filtered using a 0.2 µM mixed cellulose membrane filter from Cytiva (Freiburg, Germany) and degassed. The HiTrap Capto Q chromatography column (Cytiva, Freiburg, Germany) was connected to the chromatography system and pre-washed with 5 column volumes (cv) of ultrapure water followed by 5 cv of binding buffer (100 mM Tris HCl, pH 7.8). After prewashing, the protein sample was loaded onto the column. The column was then washed with 5 cv of 23% of elution buffer (100 mM Tris HCl, 1 M NaCl, pH 7.8). Protein elution was performed using a gradient from 23% to 40% of elution buffer over 6 cv. The fractions were collected and analyzed via sodium dodecyl sulfate polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE) using a 10% Mini-PROTEAN® TGXTM precast protein gel from Bio-Rad Laboratories GmbH (Feldkirchen, Germany). The fractions containing P450 BM3 were pooled, concentrated, and buffer exchanged to potassium phosphate buffer (100 mM, pH 7.5) using an Amicon (Merck Millipore, Darmstadt, Germany) Ultra-15 centrifugation tube (100 kDa cut-off). Samples were stored at 4 °C until further use or freeze dried in liquid nitrogen and lyophilised in an Alpha 1-2 LC plus freeze dryer (Christ, Osterode am Harz, Germany).

2.4 Fluorescence Labelling of P450 BM3

1 mg of Alexa 647 NHS ester was dissolved in 0.1 mL of PBS labelling buffer (pH 8.3) and vortexed for 1 min. This Alexa 647 NHS ester solution was then slowly added to a stirred solution of 10 mg of P450 BM3 enzymes in 1.29 mL of PBS labelling buffer (pH 8.3). The final concentrations of enzyme and dye were 0.061 mM and 0.58 mM, respectively. The reaction mixture was kept under continuous stirring at room temperature for 2 h. Subsequently, the labelled enzymes were purified using Zeba spin columns (150 μ L per column, 4 columns in total). The remaining enzyme solution underwent multiple rounds of dialysis against 1 L of potassium phosphate buffer (100 mM, pH 7.5) at 5 °C until no significant amount of free dye could be detected by UV Vis absorption or fluorescence measurements of the dialysis liquid. Afterwards, the solution was stored at 5 °C.

2.5 Immobilization of P450 BM3 in microgels

Before immobilization, lyophilised microgels were reconstituted to a final concentration of 10–40 mg mL⁻¹ with ultrapure H_2O and washed with the respective buffer solutions by centrifugation and redispersion. For the latter, microgels (100–400 μ L per immobilization reaction) were centrifuged (20 °C, 9391–21 130 RCF, for 5–10 min) in an Eppendorf 5424 R centrifuge (Eppendorf, Hamburg, Germany). The supernatant was removed and the microgels were redispersed in the initial volume of buffer solution. Centrifugation time and RCF were adapted depending on the colloidal stability of the microgel sample to ensure proper pellet formation and subsequent re-dispersion.

For immobilization, lyophilised P450 BM3 was dissolved in potassium phosphate buffer (50 mM, pH 6–8) to a final concentration of 0.6–3.0 mg mL⁻¹. An equal volume of pre-washed

microgel pellet was redispersed in a P450 BM3 solution and incubated for 30 min on ice. After incubation, unbound P450 BM3 was removed by two consecutive wash steps. Each wash step consisted of a centrifugation step (20 °C, 9391 RCF, for 5 min). After centrifugation, the supernatant was discarded and the P450 BM3 µ-gelzymes were redispersed in the respective buffer solutions and volume. After the final washing step, the P450 BM3 µ-gelzymes were redispersed in the respective buffer solution and volume. The amounts of immobilised P450 BM3 were quantified employing the Pierce[™] BCA Protein Assay kit from Thermo Fisher Scientific (Rockford, USA), following the manufacturer's protocol. The activity of soluble and microgel-immobilised P450 BM3 was determined by the 7-benzoxy-3-carboxycoumarin ethyl ester (BCCE) assay as described elsewhere.⁵⁰ The immobilization of Cytochrome P450 BM3 reduces the hydrodynamic radius of the microgels to 359 nm as determined by DLS (see the ESI[†]).

2.6 Quantification of P450 BM3 microgel loading and activity after immobilization

The protein concentration of immobilised P450 BM3 was quantified by employing the PierceTM BCA Protein Assay kit from Thermo Fisher Scientific (Rockford, USA), following the manufacturers instructions. 25 μ L of a bovine serum albumin (BSA) standard (2–0.125 mg mL⁻¹) was mixed with 25 μ L microgel sample and 200 μ L working solution in a 96-well transparent flatbottom microtiter plate (MTP). The samples were incubated at 37 °C for 30 min and the absorbance was quantified afterwards at 562 nm using a Tecan Infinite M1000 Pro plate reader (Tecan Group, Männedorf, Switzerland). All measurements were performed in triplicates.

For samples containing microgels, the solution was centrifuged (20 °C, 21 130 RCF, for 1 min) after the incubation step at 37 °C for 30 min to remove microgels from the reaction mixture and prevent light scattering during the absorption measurement. After centrifugation, the supernatant was transferred to a fresh microtiter plate, and the absorbance at 562 nm was measured using a Tecan Infinite M1000 Pro plate reader (Tecan Group, Männedorf, Switzerland).

The activity of soluble and microgel-immobilised P450 BM3 was determined via BCCE assay.50 The assay was performed in a black flat bottom 96-well MTP. For activity determination of immobilised P450 BM3, each well contained 188 µL potassium phosphate buffer (50 mM, pH 6-8), 2 µL BCCE (2 mM in DMSO), and 10 µL of P450 BM3 µ-gelzymes. The MTP was incubated for 5 min at 600 RPM before the reaction was started by adding 50 µL NADPH (1 mM in ultrapure H₂O). The fluorescent signal (Ex: 400 nm/Em: 440 nm) was monitored using a Tecan Infinite M1000 Pro plate reader (Tecan Group, Männedorf, Switzerland). To quantify P450 BM3 activity before and after immobilization, similar concentrations of soluble and immobilised enzyme were used. The activity of soluble P450 BM3 at different pH values was assessed using the BCCE assay and 600 nM of P450 BM3. Buffer conditions included sodium acetate buffer (50 mM, pH 4/5), potassium phosphate buffer (50 mM, pH 6-8), Tris HCl buffer (50 mM, pH 9), and

glycine NaOH buffer (50 mM, pH 10). All measurements were performed in triplicates and the product concentration was calculated using a standard curve generated with BCCE in potassium phosphate buffer (50 mM, pH 6–8).

2.7 Super-resolution fluorescence microscopy

2.7.1 Wide-field microscopy setup. Microscopic measurements were conducted on a home-built inverted fluorescence microscopy setup. The excitation of Nile Red for PAINT and Resorufin for NASCA measurements was achieved using a Cobolt Samba 1000 mW CW diode-pumped laser at 532 nm (used laser power 250 mW, 8.6 kW cm^{-2}) with a clean-up filter (Chroma ZET 532/10). For the excitation of Alexa 647 for dSTORM measurements, a Cobolt Rouge 1000 mW diodepumped laser at 640 nm (used laser power 350 mW, 8.9 kW cm⁻²) with a clean-up filter (Semrock 636/8 BrightLine HC) was used. All laser beams were directed into a reflective beam expander (ThorLabs BE06R 6× magnification), and the resulting expanded beam was sent to the fluorescence microscope by a periscopic arrangement of mirrors and passing a plano convex lens (ThorLabs LA1380-A, d = 50 mm, f = 500 mm) focusing on the back focal plane of the objective lens (Olympus 100× O2 UPFLN, oil immersion, NA 1.3, working distance WD: 0.2 mm). The sample investigated was placed onto a xyz-piezo table (Physik Instrumente P-545.3R7) on the objective lens with oil as immersion medium (Zeiss ImmersolTM 518F, n = 1.518). Fluorescence was collected using the same objective lens and spectrally separated from the excitation light by a dichroic mirror (Chroma zt405/488/532/640rpc-UF2) and an emission filter (Chroma ZET405/473/532/640 m). Two lenses (ThorLabs AC254-200A, AC254-400-A, $f_1 = 200 \text{ mm}, f_2 =$ 100 mm) in the emission path gave a total magnification of 200×. PAINT and dSTORM were measured simultaneously, the corresponding fluorescence of Nile Red and Alexa 647 was separated using a CAIRN Research OptoSplit 2 ByPass image splitter with a Chroma ZT 640 RDC flat dichroic mirror. The signal of Alexa 647 was reflected by the dichroic mirror in the OptoSplit (emission wavelength > 650 nm) and additionally cleaned from background signals using a Semrock HC770/SP short pass filter and a Chroma 685/70 ET bandpass filter (resulting spectral width: 660-720 nm). An IO137 corrector lens (f = 1346 mm) was used for chromatic correction of the reflected path. The transmitted path (emission wavelength 545-640 nm) was reduced in spectral width using a Semrock 514RU longpass filter (resulting spectral width: 520-640 nm) and an IO137 corrector lens (f = 4034 mm) for chromatic correction. 3D imaging was achieved with a cylindrical lens (f =500 mm) placed approximately 5 cm in front of the detector. As detector a pco.edge 4.2M sCMOS camera with an imaging pixel size of $6.5 \times 6.5 \ \mu m$ resuling in a sample size of $65 \ nm$ per pixel and a FOV of 1519 × 1030 pixel was employed. All measurements were performed at 295 K.

2.7.2 Super-resolved PAINT, dSTORM and NASCA measurements. Three different super-resolution fluorescence microscopy measurements were used: PAINT to visualise the polymer within the microgels, dSTORM to localise the posi-

Paper

tions of (labelled) Cytochrome P450 BM3 enzymes and NASCA to determine their (local) activity. The subsequent SRFM measurements were conducted with the setup described above and a sample immobilised onto a freshly plasma-cleaned glass coverslip (High precision, 24 × 20 mm #1.5, Paul Marienfeld GmbH & Co. KG) via spincoating. A sample of 15 µL, 0.1 mg mL⁻¹ solution of enzyme loaded microgels was spincoated followed by a second run of spinning with the application of 30 µL ultrapure water for cleaning. A bottomless 6 channel slide (sticky-Slide VI 0.4, Channel size: $0.4 \times 3.8 \times 17$ mm, ibidi GmbH) was glued to this coverslip to allow for fluid exchange without changing the sample position. First NASCA was measured by introducing a resazurin solution (9900 µL bidest. H₂O, 9900 µL KPi pH 6 50 mM, 100 µL NADPH mM, 100 µL Resazurin mM) into the channel until the sample was totally covered with liquid. The sample was illuminated by the 532 nm laser while 60 000 frames were recorded with 20 ms exposure time.

Subsequently, for the combined PAINT and dSTORM measurements, the channel was flushed with an imaging buffer solution (bidest. water with KPi buffer pH 6 50 mM, Cysteamine 50 mM, Nile Red in MeOH (1%), c_{end} approx. 5 × 10^{-11} M). The sample was illuminated simultaneously with both the 532 nm and 640 nm laser. 60 000 frames were taken with an exposure time of 20 ms. The image was spectrally split using the CAIRN OptoSplit II described above to distinguish Alexa 647 and Nile Red blinking events. Inner filter effects can be excluded due to the low concentration of (active) dyes and the small path length of light traveling through the microgels due to their sub-micron size. The images were further processed using ThunderSTORM analysis including a correction for drifts of the sample during the measurement time.⁵¹ From the microgels observed in the field of view, a previously published algorithm was used to chose the most representative microgels.52

2.7.3 Analysis of super-resolution data. For all methods several microgels in the field of view were measured and analyzed. First ThunderSTORM was used to localised the 3D positions of single molecules. Additionally, a drift correction was performed. All positions were added together and the resulting point distributions were analyzed with a recently developed procedure.⁵² First, the microgels appearing as clusters are identified within the localization distribution of the raw data and the positions not belonging to these microgel point clouds discarded. Subsequently, the single point clouds are compared with each other and point clouds that do not significantly resemble the majority of the other point clouds are discarded using a so-called elbow algorithm.⁵³

3 Results and discussion

The main objective of this research was the analytical, noninvasive allocation of negatively charged P450 BM3 enzymes embedded into positively charged poly(VCL-*co*-Vim) microgels by electrostatic interaction. Further, the enzymatic activity of the enzymes was studied in dependence of their position within the microgels, as well as the local polymer density. For that purpose, we performed three different types of superresolution fluorescence measurements: PAINT to visualise the microgels, dSTORM to show the positions of enzymes and NASCA to determine the local activity of the enzymes. The results of these measurements can be found in section 2 of the ESI.[†] Overlay of the representative microgels according to a previously developed analysis method⁵² yields the point clouds shown in Fig. 2.

Fig. 2a presents the results of the PAINT measurements. During PAINT, the solvatochromic dye Nile Red temporarily binds to the polymer chains of the microgels and becomes fluorescent, due to the change from the polar aqueous environment to the more apolar polymer surrounding. Based on our previous experience with PAINT measurements on microgels47 and on measurements with non-covalently bound rhodamine 6G of Wrede et al.,48 we assume that the localization density profiles obtained from the PAINT experiments correlate with the polymer density profiles of the poly(VCL-co-Vim) microgels. The radial point density distribution (see Fig. 3, red squares) derived from the PAINT point cloud data in Fig. 2a represents a high polymer density in the microgel center that gradually decreases towards the periphery. This observation is in line with the known polymerization kinetics of the main monomer VCL and cross-linker BIS employed here, which is characterised by an early consumption of the cross-linking agent, resulting in a dense polymer core.⁵⁴⁻⁵⁶ It must be noted that the microgel radius represented in Fig. 3 differs from the hydrodynamic radius reported in Table S1 (see the ESI[†]). As the microgels are sensitive to small changes in ionic strength, their hydrodynamic radius in the buffer solution used for dynamic light scattering (DLS) measurements is larger than the radius determined after several washing steps with buffer, as required for the PAINT sample preparation. In addition, DLS analysis of charged microgels gives a size that also includes a significant hydration shell of the colloids.

The immobilization of P450 BM3 within the microgels can be determined with dSTORM measurements. The enzymes were covalently labelled with the fluorophore Alexa 647 and subsequently embedded into microgels by electrostatic interaction. The latter was achieved by the cationic charges of the microgels resulting from functionalization with the comonomer Vim. As depicted in Fig. 2b, the enzymes are distributed within the entire microgel. Additionally, Fig. 3 (blue circles) shows that in the periphery, at distances larger than 80 nm from the microgel center, the density of enzyme labels drops similar to the density of the polymer with increasing distance from the core as determined by the PAINT measurements. In the microgel core region, however, a constant enzyme density is observed. This indicates that either there is insufficient space or charge for more enzymes to be immobilised, or that the mesh size is so dense that only a few paths are available for the enzyme to reach the centre.

With the knowledge that enzymes can be immobilised within the entire microgel volume, the question arises whether



Fig. 2 Point clouds of the (a) PAINT, (b) dSTORM, and (c) NASCA measurements of microgels. The point clouds were constructed by the overlay of several single microgels according to their median center. To represent the point density, the points are colored by the number of other points within a radius of 50 nm. The points in the front upper left octant are removed to reveal the point density within the microgels. The number of presented localizations are as follows: PAINT: 33 114 localizations; dSTORM: 25 243 localizations; NASCA: 17 063 localizations.



a 2

radial localization density / $10^{-7}~{\rm nm^{-3}}$

1.5

0.5

0

0



Fig. 3 Comparison of the radial localization densities of the point clouds of the three different super-resolution fluorescence microscopy results presented in Fig. 2; (a) absolute localization densities combined from several microgels; (b) radial localization densities normalised to the value in the center for a direct comparison of the functions.

their activity depends on the position in the microgel. The activity of the enzymes was addressed with the super-resolution technique NASCA, where the non-fluorescent substrate resazurin is reduced to fluorescent resorufin by the present enzymes with NADPH as co-factor. The positions of resorufin molecules were determined and their distribution is presented in Fig. 2c. The corresponding radial analysis (green crosses in Fig. 3) exhibits a similar radial distribution function as the one obtained for the enzymes. A detailed comparison between the localization of enzymes and the enzyme turnover, however, indicates differences. When the both radial distribution functions are normalised to their values in the center of the microgels, as presented in Fig. 3b, it becomes obvious that the enzyme activity is significantly higher in the periphery compared to the microgel center. This observation indicates that either the accessibility for the substrate resazurin to the enzymes or the enzyme activity itself is increased in the periphery compared to the center. Based on our previous experi-

Paper

ence with diffusion measurements in analogous microgels, we can infer that the diffusion of small molecules is not significantly impeded. Consequently, we can conclude that the activity of the enzymes in the periphery is significantly increased. The reason for this may be attributed to the lower polymer density observed in the outer regions of the microgels, which resembles a more natural aqueous environment for the enzyme. In contrast, the central region of the microgels appears to cause some degree of inhibition.

4 Conclusions

We presented a combination of super-resolution fluorescence microscopy techniques to analyse sub-diffraction sized polymeric gels with enzymes embedded by electrostatic interaction. In contrast to bulk methods, we were able to localise single enzymes embedded into microgels and to compare the local activity of substrate conversion at different distances to the microgel centre. Our results show that the enzyme Cytochrome P450 BM3 can be embedded within the entire volume of the microgels and that its activity is in general preserved within the microgel environment, however, with a small but significant trend of higher enzyme activity towards the periphery. Our conclusions can be transferred to similar micro- and hydrogel systems with enzymes of comparable size. They indicate that microgels are excellent carrier systems for (bio-)catalysts with 3D capacity compared to solid supports where immobilization is only possible at the particle surface. The combination of methods can be extended to other systems if suitable pro-fluorescent substrates are available or can be developed.

Author contributions

D. W., U. S. and A. P. designed the project within the framework of collaborative research center SFB 985. T. B. provided the functionalised microgels. M. N. and C. N. provided the enzymes and helped with the enzyme labelling of microgels. L. S. performed all super-resolution measurements. L. S. and D. W. analysed the super-resolution measurements. R. D. d. L. performed and analysed light scattering measurement. L. S., C. N., T. B., R. D. d. L. and D. W. wrote the manuscript which was approved by all authors.

Data availability

Data are stored according to the guidelines of the DFG. Additional data is available upon request.

The data supporting this article have been included as part of the ESI.†

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

We gratefully acknowledge funding from the German Research Foundation (DFG) *via* project C5 of the Collaborative Research Center SFB 985 "Functional Microgels and Microgel Systems".

References

- 1 A. Pich and W. Richtering, in *Microgels by Precipitation Polymerization: Synthesis, Characterization, and Functionalization*, ed. A. Pich and W. Richtering, Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2011, vol. 234, book section 70, pp. 1–37.
- 2 M. Karg, A. Pich, T. Hellweg, T. Hoare, L. A. Lyon, J. J. Crassous, D. Suzuki, R. A. Gumerov, S. Schneider, I. Potemkin and W. Richtering, *Langmuir*, 2019, 35, 6231– 6255.
- 3 S. Walta, D. V. Pergushov, A. Oppermann,
 A. A. Steinschulte, K. Geisel, L. V. Sigolaeva, F. A. Plamper,
 D. Wöll and W. Richtering, *Polymer*, 2017, 119, 50–58.
- 4 Q. Wang, Q. Wu, T. Ye, X. Wang, H. Qiu, J. Xie, Y. Wang, S. Zhou and W. Wu, *ACS Macro Lett.*, 2021, 26–32.
- 5 F. A. Plamper and W. Richtering, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2017, **50**, 131–140.
- 6 L. Sigolaeva, D. Pergushov, M. Oelmann, S. Schwarz, M. Brugnoni, I. Kurochkin, F. Plamper, A. Fery and W. Richtering, *Polymers*, 2018, 10, 791.
- 7 N. C. Dubey, D. Gaur and B. P. Tripathi, *J. Polym. Sci.*, 2023, **61**, 1730–1748.
- 8 C. J. Whitehouse, S. G. Bell and L. L. Wong, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2012, **41**, 1218–1260.
- 9 L. Zhang, Z. Xie, Z. Liu, S. Zhou, L. Ma, W. Liu, J. W. Huang, T. P. Ko, X. Li, Y. Hu, J. Min, X. Yu, R. T. Guo and C. C. Chen, *Nat. Commun.*, 2020, **11**, 2676.
- 10 G. C. Schröder, M. S. Smit and D. J. Opperman, *Curr. Opin. Green Sustainable Chem.*, 2023, 39, 100734.
- 11 D. J. Cook, J. D. Finnigan, K. Cook, G. W. Black and S. J. Charnock, in *Chapter Five - Cytochromes P450: History, Classes, Catalytic Mechanism, and Industrial Application*, ed. C. Z. Christov, Academic Press, 2016, vol. 105, pp. 105–126.
- 12 V. M. Martínez, G. D. Cremer, M. B. J. Roeffaers, M. Sliwa, M. Baruah, D. E. De Vos, J. Hofkens and B. F. Sels, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2008, **130**, 13192–13193.
- 13 A. Bahrami, A. Garnier, F. Larachi and M. C. Iliuta, *Can. J. Chem. Eng.*, 2018, **96**, 2227–2235.
- 14 M. Nöth, E. Gau, F. Jung, M. D. Davari, I. El-Awaad, A. Pich and U. Schwaneberg, *Green Chem.*, 2020, 22, 8183–8209.
- 15 L. Cai, Y. Chu, X. Liu, Y. Qiu, Z. Ge and G. Zhang, *Microb. Cell Fact.*, 2021, **20**, 37.
- 16 Y. Wang, X. Luo, X. Sun, J. Hu, Q. Guo, B. Shen and Y. Fu, *Colloids Surf.*, B, 2022, 216, 112604.
- M. Nöth, L. Hussmann, T. Belthle, I. El-Awaad, M. D. Davari, F. Jakob, A. Pich and U. Schwaneberg, *Biomacromolecules*, 2020, 21, 5128–5138.

20200 | Nanoscale, 2024, 16, 20194-20201

This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 Unported Licence.

Open Access Article. Published on 04 October 2024. Downloaded on 7/16/2025 1:30:04 PM.

- 18 L. Schoonen and J. C. M. van Hest, *Adv. Mater.*, 2016, 28, 1109–1128.
- 19 M. Faulde, J. Tonn and A. Jupke, *Chem. Eng. Technol.*, 2019, 43, 137–142.
- 20 N. Welsch, A. Wittemann and M. Ballauff, *J. Phys. Chem. B*, 2009, **113**, 16039–16045.
- 21 S. Schachschal, H.-J. Adler, A. Pich, S. Wetzel, A. Matura and K.-H. van Pee, *Colloid Polym. Sci.*, 2011, **289**, 693–698.
- 22 C. Mateo, J. M. Palomo, G. Fernandez-Lorente, J. M. Guisan and R. Fernandez-Lafuente, *Enzyme Microb. Technol.*, 2007, 40, 1451–1463.
- 23 D. Wöll and C. Flors, Small Methods, 2017, 1700191.
- 24 A. Aloi and I. K. Voets, *Curr. Opin. Colloid Interface Sci.*, 2018, 34, 59–73.
- 25 F. Scheffold, Nat. Commun., 2020, 11, 4315.
- 26 O. Nevskyi and D. Wöll, Annu. Rev. Phys. Chem., 2023, 74, 391-414.
- 27 H. P. Lu, L. Xun and X. S. Xie, *Science*, 1998, 282, 1877– 1882.
- 28 X. S. Xie, Science, 2013, 342, 1457-1459.
- 29 E. Betzig, G. H. Patterson, R. Sougrat, O. W. Lindwasser, S. Olenych, J. S. Bonifacino, M. W. Davidson, J. Lippincott-Schwartz and H. F. Hess, *Science*, 2006, 313, 1642–1645.
- 30 M. B. J. Roeffaers, B. F. Sels, H. Uji-i, F. C. De Schryver, P. A. Jacobs, D. E. De Vos and J. Hofkens, *Nature*, 2006, **439**, 572–575.
- 31 G. De Cremer, M. B. J. Roeffaers, E. Bartholomeeusen, K. Lin, P. Dedecker, P. P. Pescarmona, P. A. Jacobs, D. E. De Vos, J. Hofkens and B. F. Sels, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2010, 49, 908–911.
- 32 M. B. J. Roeffaers, B. F. Sels, H. Uji-i, B. Blanpain, P. L'hoëst, P. A. Jacobs, F. C. De Schryver, J. Hofkens and D. E. De Vos, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2007, 46, 1706–1709.
- 33 X. Zhou, N. M. Andoy, G. Liu, E. Choudhary, K. S. Han, H. Shen and P. Chen, *Nat. Nanotechnol.*, 2012, 7, 237–241.
- 34 K. P. Janssen, G. De Cremer, R. K. Neely, A. V. Kubarev, J. Van Loon, J. A. Martens, D. E. De Vos, M. B. Roeffaers and J. Hofkens, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2014, 43, 990–1006.
- 35 N. M. Andoy, X. Zhou, E. Choudhary, H. Shen, G. Liu and P. Chen, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2013, 135, 1845–1852.
- 36 M. B. J. Roeffaers, G. De Cremer, J. Libeert, R. Ameloot,
 P. Dedecker, A.-J. Bons, M. Bückins, J. A. Martens,
 B. F. Sels, D. E. De Vos and J. Hofkens, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2009, 48, 9285–9289.

- 37 R. Ye, X. Mao, X. Sun and P. Chen, ACS Catal., 2019, 9, 1985–1992.
- 38 L. Tian, H. Feng, Z. Dai and R. Zhang, J. Mater. Chem. B, 2021, 9, 53-79.
- 39 G. Chen, N. Zou, B. Chen, J. B. Sambur, E. Choudhary and P. Chen, ACS Cent. Sci., 2017, 3, 1189–1197.
- 40 R. F. Hamans, M. Parente and A. Baldi, *Nano Lett.*, 2021, 21, 2149–2155.
- 41 T. Laursen, A. Singha, N. Rantzau, M. Tutkus, J. Borch, P. Hedegard, D. Stamou, B. L. Moller and N. S. Hatzakis, ACS Chem. Biol., 2014, 9, 630–634.
- 42 M. Heilemann, S. van de Linde, M. Schüttpelz, R. Kasper,
 B. Seefeldt, A. Mukherjee, P. Tinnefeld and M. Sauer,
 Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2008, 47, 6172–6176.
- 43 Y. Zhang, K. Y. Park, K. F. Suazo and M. D. Distefano, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2018, 47, 9106–9136.
- 44 C. K. Spahn, M. Glaesmann, J. B. Grimm, A. X. Ayala, L. D. Lavis and M. Heilemann, *Sci. Rep.*, 2018, **8**, 14768.
- 45 A. Sharonov and R. M. Hochstrasser, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, 2006, **103**, 18911–18916.
- 46 R. Jungmann, C. Steinhauer, M. Scheible, A. Kuzyk, P. Tinnefeld and F. C. Simmel, *Nano Lett.*, 2010, **10**, 4756– 4761.
- 47 A. Purohit, S. P. Centeno, S. K. Wypysek, W. Richtering and D. Wöll, *Chem. Sci.*, 2019, **10**, 10336–10342.
- 48 O. Wrede, S. Bergmann, Y. Hannappel, T. Hellweg and T. Huser, *Soft Matter*, 2020, **16**, 8078–8084.
- 49 A. Dennig, J. Marienhagen, A. J. Ruff, L. Guddat and U. Schwaneberg, *ChemCatChem*, 2012, 4, 771–773.
- 50 A. J. Ruff, A. Dennig, G. Wirtz, M. Blanusa and U. Schwaneberg, ACS Catal., 2012, 2, 2724–2728.
- 51 M. Ovesný, P. Křížek, J. Borkovec, Z. Švindrych and G. M. Hagen, *Bioinformatics*, 2014, **30**, 2389–2390.
- 52 R. Azad, P. Lenßen, Y. Jia, M. Strauch, B. A. Bener, D. Merhof and D. Wöll, *Nano Lett.*, 2024, 24, 4447–4453.
- 53 R. L. Thorndike, Psychometrika, 1953, 18, 267-276.
- 54 J. Meyer-Kirschner, M. Kather, A. Pich, D. Engel, W. Marquardt, J. Viell and A. Mitsos, *Appl. Spectrosc.*, 2016, 70, 416–426.
- 55 F. A. L. Janssen, M. Kather, A. Ksiazkiewicz, A. Pich and A. Mitsos, *ACS Omega*, 2019, 4, 13795–13807.
- 56 H. J. M. Wolff, M. Kather, H. Breisig, W. Richtering, A. Pich and M. Wessling, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2018, 10, 24799–24806.